

A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence  
of a properly coloured  $f$ -factor in an edge-coloured graph

Roman Čada<sup>1</sup>                      Michitaka Furuya<sup>2</sup>                      Kenji Kimura<sup>3</sup>  
 cadar@kma.zcu.cz                      michitaka.furuya@gmail.com                      kimura@isenshu-u.ac.jp

Kenta Ozeki<sup>4</sup>                      Christopher Purcell<sup>1\*</sup>  
 ozeki-kenta-xr@ynu.ac.jp                      purcell@ntis.zcu.cz

Takamasa Yashima<sup>1,5</sup>  
 takamasa.yashima@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>*European Centre of Excellence NTIS, Department of Mathematics,  
 University of West Bohemia,  
 P.O. Box 314, 306 14 Pilsen, Czech Republic*

<sup>2</sup>*College of Liberal Arts and Sciences,  
 Kitasato University,  
 1-15-1 Kitasato, Minami-ku, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-0373, Japan*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Information Technology and Electronics,  
 Ishinomaki Senshu University,  
 1 Shinmito, Minamizakai, Ishinomaki, Miyagi 986-8580, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*Faculty of Environment and Information Sciences,  
 Yokohama National University,  
 79-2 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 240-8501, Japan*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Computer and Information Science,  
 Seikei University,  
 3-3-1 Kichijoji-Kitamachi, Musashino-shi, Tokyo, 180-8633, Japan*

---

\*Corresponding author.

## Abstract

The main result of this paper is an edge-coloured version of Tutte’s  $f$ -factor theorem. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  to have a properly coloured  $f$ -factor. We state and prove our result in terms of an auxiliary graph  $G_f^c$  which has a 1-factor if and only if  $G^c$  has a properly coloured  $f$ -factor; this is analogous to the “short proof” of the  $f$ -factor theorem given by Tutte in 1954. An alternative statement, analogous to the original  $f$ -factor theorem, is also given. We show that our theorem generalises the  $f$ -factor theorem; that is, the former implies the latter. We consider other properties of edge-coloured graphs, and show that similar results are unlikely for  $f$ -factors with rainbow components and distance- $d$ -coloured  $f$ -factors, even when  $d = 2$  and the number of colours used is asymptotically minimal.

## 1 Introduction

In order to characterise the graphs which have a 1-factor, Tutte proved the following well-known theorem, in which  $\text{odd}(H)$  is the number of components of a graph  $H$  with an odd number of vertices.

**Theorem A** [15] *A graph  $G$  has a 1-factor if and only if, for each subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ ,  $\text{odd}(G - S) \leq |S|$ .*

If we want to characterise the graphs which have an  $f$ -factor<sup>1</sup>, we can use Theorem A in the following way. For any graph  $G$ , we may construct the graph  $G_f$  by replacing each vertex  $u$  in  $V(G)$  with a complete bipartite graph on  $S_u \cup T_u$  where  $S_u = \{u_v \mid uv \in E(G)\}$  and  $T_u = \{u'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_G(u) - f(u)\}$ , with an edge in  $G_f$  between  $u_v$  and  $v_u$  whenever  $uv$  is an edge in  $G$  (unless  $f(v) > d_G(v)$  for some vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , in which case,  $G_f$  does not exist and  $G$  can have no  $f$ -factor). It is easy to check that  $G$  has an  $f$ -factor if and only if  $G_f$  (exists and) has a 1-factor. So we could simply apply Theorem A to  $G_f$  to decide whether  $G$  has an  $f$ -factor. However, Tutte’s  $f$ -factor theorem, or, more accurately, its proof as given in [17], says that we need not check the condition for every subset of  $V(G_f)$ . For a pair of disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $X_{S,T} = (\bigcup_{u \in S} S_u) \cup (\bigcup_{u \in T} T_u)$ . Tutte’s  $f$ -factor theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem B** [16] (but see [17]) *A graph  $G$  has an  $f$ -factor if and only if, for each pair of disjoint subsets  $S, T$  of  $V(G)$ ,  $\text{odd}(G_f - X_{S,T}) \leq |X_{S,T}|$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>If  $G$  is a graph and  $f$  is a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , an  $f$ -factor of  $G$  is a subgraph  $F$  that spans  $G$  such that  $d_F(v) = f(v)$  for each  $v$  in  $V(G)$ . Throughout the paper, we include 0 in  $\mathbb{N}$ .




Figure 1: Left: an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  and a function  $f$  from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ ; the label on  $v$  denotes  $f(v)$ . Right: the graph  $G_f^c$ .

The proof of the  $f$ -factor theorem given in [17] is essentially a proof of the above theorem followed by a proof that it is equivalent to the original formulation. In this way, Tutte reduced  $f$ -factors to 1-factors, which is not easy to infer directly from the original (and most common) version of the theorem given below; here,  $h(S, T)$  denotes the number of components  $C$  of  $G - (S \cup T)$  such that  $\sum_{v \in V(C)} f(v) + |E_G(C, T)|$  is odd ( $E_G(X, Y)$  is the set of edges with one endpoint in  $X$  and the other in  $Y$ ).

**Theorem C** [16] *A graph  $G$  has an  $f$ -factor if and only if, for each pair of disjoint subsets  $S, T$  of  $V(G)$ ,*

$$\sum_{u \in S} f(u) - \sum_{u \in T} (f(u) + d_S(u)) \geq h(S, T).$$

Our main result is an analogue of the  $f$ -factor theorem for properly colored  $f$ -factors in edge-coloured graphs. Recently, properly coloured structures in edge-coloured graphs have received much attention in the literature [5, 6, 8, 10, 13].

Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $c : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  be a colouring of its edges. We denote the resulting edge-coloured graph by  $G^c$ . Our aim in this paper is to characterise the pairs  $(G^c, f)$ , where  $f$  is a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $G^c$  has a *properly coloured  $f$ -factor*; that is, one in which adjacent edges have different colours. We call such a factor a *pc- $f$ -factor* for short. In order to decide whether  $G^c$  has a pc- $f$ -factor we construct an auxiliary graph in the following way. For each vertex  $u$  in  $G^c$ , we define  $E^c(u)$  to be the set of colours assigned by  $c$  to the set of edges incident with  $u$ . The *colour degree* of  $u$  is defined to be  $d^c(u) = |E^c(u)|$ . We obtain the graph  $G_f^c$  from  $G^c$  by replacing each vertex  $v$  with a complete bipartite graph on  $S_u \cup T_u$ , where  $S_u = \{u_i \mid i \in E^c(u)\}$  and  $T_u = \{u'_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d^c(u) - f(u)\}$ ,

with an edge in  $G_f^c$  between  $u_j$  and  $v_j$  whenever  $uv$  is an edge with  $c(uv) = j$ ; see Figure 1 for an example of this construction. It is easy to check that  $G^c$  has a pc- $f$ -factor if and only if  $G_f^c$  has a 1-factor. To decide whether  $G^c$  has such a factor, we could apply Theorem A to  $G_f^c$ , similarly to the uncoloured version described above. Our main result is that we need not check the condition for every subset, analogously to Theorem B.

We now introduce some concepts that will be important throughout the paper. We define a *palette system*  $\mathcal{S}$  of an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  to be a triple  $(S, T, W)$  of disjoint subsets of  $V(G^c)$ , where  $T$  and  $W$  are disjoint unions of subsets of  $V(G^c)$  defined as follows. Let  $\hat{f}$  be the maximum value of  $f$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denote the following families of subsets of  $1, \dots, k$ :

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq \hat{f}-2} \binom{\{1, \dots, k\}}{i}; \quad \mathcal{C}_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq \hat{f}-1} \binom{\{1, \dots, k\}}{i}.$$

Let  $\{T^A \subseteq V(G^c) \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$  be a set of disjoint subsets of  $V(G^c)$  and let  $T$  be their union. Let  $\{W^A \subseteq V(G^c) \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_1\}$  be a set of disjoint subsets of  $V(G^c)$  and let  $W$  be their union. For each palette system  $\mathcal{S}$ , we further define a set  $X_{\mathcal{S}}$  of vertices of the derived graph  $G_f^c$ . Let  $X_S, X_T$ , and  $X_W$  be defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X_S &= \bigcup_{u \in S} S_u \\ X_T &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}_0} \bigcup_{u \in T^A} (T_u \cup \{u_i \mid i \in A\}) \\ X_W &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}_1} \bigcup_{u \in W^A} \{u_i \mid i \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

We define  $X_{\mathcal{S}} = X_S \cup X_T \cup X_W$ . In Figure 2, we show how  $X_{\mathcal{S}}$  intersects  $S_v \cup T_v$  when  $v$  is in  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $W$  respectively. We can now state our main result.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $G^c$  be an edge-coloured graph and let  $f$  be a function from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a properly coloured  $f$ -factor in  $G^c$  if and only if, for every palette system  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$ .*

We remark that the necessity of the condition of Theorem 1 follows immediately from the 1-factor theorem: if  $G^c$  has a pc- $f$ -factor, then  $G_f^c$  has a 1-factor by construction and so  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X) \leq |X|$  for all  $X \subseteq V(G_f^c)$  by Theorem A. We prove the sufficiency of the condition in Section 2. We continue this section by describing some additional computational results, which we prove in Section 3; we complete the section with some important definitions.

Given an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  and a function  $f$  from  $V(G^c)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , we can construct  $G_f^c$  efficiently; that is, the number of computational steps required is bounded




Figure 2: In  $G_f^c$ ,  $X_S \cap (S_v \cup T_v)$  depends on whether  $v$  is in  $S$ ,  $T$  or  $W$ . Here,  $X_S$  is depicted in grey.

by a polynomial in the number of bits required to represent  $G^c$  and  $f$  (approximately  $|E(G^c)| + |V(G^c)| \log \hat{f}$ ). Since a 1-factor in a graph can also be computed efficiently (using any algorithm for maximum matching; e.g., [3]), we may deduce that finding a pc- $f$ -factor in an edge-coloured graph, if one exists, can be done in polynomial time. It is natural to ask whether  $f$ -factors with other properties can be reduced to 1-factors efficiently. We say that an edge-coloured graph is *rainbow* if every edge has a unique colour. Rainbow coloured substructures in edge-coloured graphs are widely studied; we direct the reader to the survey by Kano and Xueliang [11]. A *rainbow component  $f$ -factor* (or rc- $f$ -factor) in an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  is an  $f$ -factor for which each component is rainbow. We show that deciding whether an edge-coloured graph has an rc- $f$ -factor is NP-complete, and therefore no efficient reduction from rc- $f$ -factors to 1-factors exists unless  $P = NP$ .

Being properly coloured is a local condition while being rainbow coloured is global. It is natural to ask what role is played by locality in the difference between pc- $f$ -factors and rc- $f$ -factors. We introduce an intermediate case to answer this question. An edge-coloured graph is *distance- $d$ -coloured* if the distance between every monochromatic pair of its edges is at least  $d$ ; distance-1-colouring coincides with proper edge colouring. A distance- $d$ -colouring of a graph  $G$  is also a proper vertex colouring of the  $d$ th power of the line graph of  $G$ . Proper vertex and edge colourings are, of course, widely studied; distance- $d$ -colourings have been the subject of several papers [4, 9, 14]. We show that deciding the existence of a distance- $d$ -coloured  $f$ -factor in an edge-coloured graph is NP-complete even for  $d = 2$ . We strengthen this result by showing that the problem remains hard even when the number of colours in the graph is asymptotically minimal.

## 1.1 Notation and Terminology

In this paper we consider only finite simple graphs. We follow the definitions in [2] unless stated otherwise and refer the reader to [1] for a full treatment of factor theory. Here, we recall some important concepts. To *delete* a set  $X$  of vertices from

a graph  $G$  is to remove them from  $V(G)$  and remove all edges incident with at least one vertex in  $X$  from  $E(G)$ . The resulting graph is denoted by  $G-X$ . If  $X$  is a singleton  $\{x\}$ , we may omit the brackets and write  $G-x$ ; we adopt this convention also for the union and difference of sets and write, say,  $(X \setminus x) \cup y$ . If  $X$  is a subset of  $V(G)$ , the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $X$  is the graph obtained by deleting  $V(G) \setminus X$  from  $G$ .

## 2 The Main Result

We start this section by completing the proof of Theorem 1; that is, we prove that the condition in the theorem is sufficient for an edge-coloured graph to have a pc- $f$ -factor. We then show that our result implies Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem (Theorem B). Finally, we discuss an alternative statement of the result, analogous to the original statement of the  $f$ -factor theorem (Theorem C).

### 2.1 Sufficiency

In order to show that the condition in Theorem 1 is sufficient, completing its proof, we suppose that there exists an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  which has no pc- $f$ -factor for some function  $f$  from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . We will show that there exists a palette system  $\mathcal{S} = (S, T, W)$  such that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) > |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$ , where  $X_{\mathcal{S}} = X_S \cup X_T \cup X_W$  as defined in Section 1. We know that  $G_f^c$  has no 1-factor by construction; by Theorem A, there must exist a set of vertices  $X$  in  $G_f^c$  such that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X) > |X|$ . We say that a set with this property is *violating*. We want to show that there is a palette system  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $X_{\mathcal{S}} = X_S \cup X_T \cup X_W$  is violating. In the following claims, we show that there is a violating set  $X$  with subsets corresponding to  $X_S$ ,  $X_T$  and  $X_W$ . The first claim deals with the subset corresponding to  $X_T$ .

**Claim 2.1** *Let  $v \in V(G^c)$ . There exists a violating set  $X$  of  $G_f^c$  such that if  $X \cap T_v \neq \emptyset$ , then:*

- (1)  $T_v \subseteq X$ ;
- (2)  $|X \cap S_v| \leq f(v) - 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a violating set of  $G_f^c$  with minimum cardinality. We suppose that  $X \cap T_v$  is not empty, and begin by proving (1). If  $S_v \subseteq X$ , then  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) = \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) + |X \cap T_v| \geq |X| + 1 \geq |X \setminus T_v| + 1$ , and so  $X \setminus T_v$  is violating, contradicting the minimality of  $X$ . We therefore assume that  $S_v \setminus X$  is not empty. If  $f(v) = d^c(v)$ , then  $T_v = \emptyset$ , contradicting the definition of  $X$ ; thus,  $f(v) < d^c(v)$ . Suppose that there exists a vertex  $x \in T_v \setminus X$ . Comparing the components of  $G_f^c - X$

and  $G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)$ , we see that they only differ at the component containing  $x$ . In particular, we observe that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X) - \text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) \leq 1$ . But then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) &\geq \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) - 1 \\ &\geq |X| \\ &= |X \cap T_v| + |X \setminus T_v| \\ &\geq |X \setminus T_v| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $X \setminus T_v$  is violating, contradicting the minimality of  $X$ . We conclude that (1) holds.

Now suppose that  $X \cap T_v \neq \emptyset$  and  $|X \cap S_v| \geq f(v) - 1$ ; we will show that (2) holds. By (1),  $T_v \subseteq X$ . Note that  $S_v$  contributes at most  $|S_v \setminus X|$  odd components to  $G_f^c - X$ . We observe that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X)$  and  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v))$  differ by at most  $|S_v \setminus X|$ ; this maximum is attained when each vertex of  $S_v \setminus X$  is in a separate odd component and  $|T_v| + |S_v \setminus X|$  is even. If  $|X \cap S_v| = f(v) - 1$ , then  $|T_v| + |S_v \setminus X| = (d^c(v) - f(v)) + (d^c(v) - f(v) + 1)$  is odd, in which case  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X) - \text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) \leq |S_v \setminus X| - 1$ . Let  $\eta = 1$  if  $|X \cap S_v| = f(v) - 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  otherwise; in other words, we have  $|X \cap S_v| \geq f(v) - \eta$ . We also have  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X) - \text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) \leq |S_v \setminus X| - \eta$ . But then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{odd}(G_f^c - (X \setminus T_v)) &\geq \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) - |S_v \setminus X| + \eta \\ &\geq |X| + 1 - |S_v \setminus X| + \eta \\ &= |X \setminus T_v| + |X \cap T_v| + 1 - |S_v \setminus X| + \eta \\ &= |X \setminus T_v| + (d^c(v) - f(v)) + 1 - (d^c(v) - |X \cap S_v|) + \eta \\ &= |X \setminus T_v| - f(v) + 1 + |X \cap S_v| + \eta \\ &\geq |X \setminus T_v| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the minimality of  $X$  as before; this completes the proof of (2) and the claim.  $\square$

The next claim shows that a violating set  $X$  satisfying the above claim also has disjoint subsets corresponding to  $X_S$  and  $X_W$ .

**Claim 2.2** *Let  $v \in V(G)$ . There exists a violating set  $X$  of  $G_f^c$  which satisfies Claim 2.1, such that if  $X \cap T_v = \emptyset$  and  $X \cap S_v \neq \emptyset$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $S_v \subseteq X$ ;
- (2)  $1 \leq |X \cap S_v| \leq f(v) - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a violating set satisfying Claim 2.1 and suppose  $X$  is of maximum cardinality among such sets. Further suppose that  $X \cap T_v$  is empty but  $X \cap S_v$  is not. We assume that neither (1) nor (2) hold, and derive a contradiction. Since  $|S_v| = d^c(v)$ , if (1) does not hold then  $1 \leq |X \cap S_v| \leq d^c(v) - 1$ . If  $d^c(v) = f(v)$ , (2) follows immediately, giving a contradiction. We may therefore assume that  $d^c(v) > f(v)$ , and thus  $T_v$  is not empty. Since (1) does not hold, there exists a vertex  $u$  in  $S_v \setminus X$ ; note that every vertex in  $T_v$  is a neighbour of  $u$ . Since (2) does not hold,  $|X \cap S_v| \geq f(v)$ . We now consider  $X' = X \cup S_v$ . Observe that each vertex of  $T_v$  is isolated, and therefore an odd component, in  $G_f^c - X'$ . On the other hand, the vertices in  $T_v$  are in the same component as  $u$  in  $G_f^c - X$ . We conclude that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X')$  and  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X)$  differ by at least  $|T_v| - 1$ ; this minimum is attained only if  $|T_v| + |S_v \setminus X|$  is odd. If  $|X \cap S_v| = f(v)$ , then  $|T_v| + |S_v \setminus X| = (d^c(v) - f(v)) + (d^c(v) - f(v))$  is even, in which case  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X') - \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) \geq |T_v|$ . Let  $\eta = 0$  if  $|X \cap S_v| = f(v)$  and  $\eta = 1$  otherwise; in other words, we have that  $|X \cap S_v| \geq f(v) + \eta$ . We also have that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X') - \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) \geq |T_v| - \eta$ . But then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{odd}(G_f^c - X') &\geq \text{odd}(G_f^c - X) + |T_v| - \eta \\
&\geq |X| + 1 + |T_v| - \eta \\
&= |X| + 1 + |S_v| - f(v) - \eta \\
&= |X'| + |X \cap S_v| + 1 - f(v) - \eta \\
&\geq |X'| + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the maximality of  $X$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $X$  be a violating set that satisfies Claims 2.1 and 2.2. It is clear that  $X$  is the disjoint union of three sets corresponding respectively to Claim 2.1 and the first and second parts of Claim 2.2; respectively and suggestively, we call these sets  $T, S$  and  $W$ . We define these sets formally as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \{u \in V(G^c) \mid S_u \subseteq X\} \\
T^A &= \{u \in V(G^c) \mid T_u \subseteq X, S_u \cap X = \{u_i \mid i \in A\}\} & (A \in \mathcal{C}_0) \\
W^A &= \{u \in V(G^c) \mid T_u \cap X = \emptyset, S_u \cap X = \{u_i \mid i \in A\}\} & (A \in \mathcal{C}_1) \\
T &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}_0} T^A \\
W &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}_1} W^A.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, with  $X_S, X_T$  and  $X_W$  defined as in Section 1, and with  $X_S = X_S \cup X_T \cup X_W$ , it is easy to verify that  $X = X_S$  as required.



## 2.2 Relationship to Tutte's $f$ -Factor Theorem

We now show that our main result is stronger than the  $f$ -factor theorem, in the sense that the latter may be deduced from the former. For a graph  $G$  and a function  $f$  from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , let (C1) be the statement that, for all disjoint subsets  $S, T$  of  $V(G)$ ,  $\text{odd}(G_f - X_{S,T}) \leq |X_{S,T}|$ ; i.e., (C1) is the condition in Theorem B. For  $G$  and  $f$  as before and for a colouring  $c$  of the edges of  $G$ , let (C2) be the statement that, for all palette systems  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $G$ ,  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$ ; i.e., (C2) is the condition in Theorem 1. Thus, Theorem B is the statement that  $G$  has an  $f$ -factor if and only if  $G_f$  satisfies (C1); Theorem 1 is the statement that  $G^c$  has a pc- $f$ -factor if and only if  $G_f^c$  satisfies (C2). The following lemma shows that the restriction of (C2) to proper edge-colourings is equivalent to (C1).

**Lemma 2** *Let  $G$  be a graph, let  $c$  be a proper edge-colouring of  $G$  and let  $f$  be a function from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $G_f$  satisfies (C1) if and only if  $G_f^c$  satisfies (C2).*

*Proof.* Since  $c$  is a proper edge-colouring,  $G_f$  is isomorphic to  $G_f^c$ . Suppose that  $G_f^c$  satisfies (C2). Let  $S$  and  $T$  be disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the palette system obtained from  $S$  and  $T$  by leaving  $S$  unchanged, setting  $T^\emptyset = T$ , and leaving  $T^A$  and  $W^A$  empty for all non-empty  $A$ . In this case, it follows from the definitions of  $X_{S,T}$  and  $X_{\mathcal{S}}$  that  $X_{S,T} = X_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Since  $G_f^c$  satisfies (C2),  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$ , and it immediately follows that  $\text{odd}(G_f - X_{S,T}) \leq |X_{S,T}|$ ; i.e.,  $G_f$  satisfies (C1).

Now suppose that  $G_f$  satisfies (C1). Let  $\mathcal{S} = (S, T, W)$  be a palette system in  $G^c$ . Let  $A$  be an element of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and consider modifying  $\mathcal{S}$  by removing a vertex  $w$  from  $W^A$ . By the definition of  $X_W$ , the effect of this operation is to remove  $|A|$  vertices from  $X_W$  and thereby reduce  $|X_{\mathcal{S}}|$  by  $|A|$ , which is at least 1 since  $A$  is non-empty. On the other hand, if the component of  $G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}$  containing  $T_w$  is odd and  $|A|$  is odd, then the effect of removing  $w$  from  $W^A$  is to reduce  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}})$  by 1; otherwise,  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}})$  stays the same or even increases. The effect of modifying  $\mathcal{S}$  by moving a vertex  $v$  from  $T^A$  to  $T^\emptyset$  is identical. We deduce that if  $\mathcal{S}'$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{S}$  by repeatedly performing these operations, then  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}'}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}'}|$  implies  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  be the palette system obtained from  $\mathcal{S}$  by moving all vertices in  $T^A$  to  $T^\emptyset$  and removing all vertices from  $W^A$ , for all non-empty  $A$ . Observe that  $X_{S,T} = X_{\mathcal{S}^*}$ . Since  $G_f$  satisfies (C1),  $\text{odd}(G_f - X_{S,T}) \leq |X_{S,T}|$ , from which it immediately follows that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}^*}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}^*}|$ . By the argument given in the previous paragraph, we conclude that  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq |X_{\mathcal{S}}|$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *Theorem 1 implies Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph, let  $f$  be a function from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $c$  be a proper edge-colouring of  $G$ . Suppose  $G_f$  satisfies (C1) but  $G$  has no  $f$ -factor. Since  $G$  has no  $f$ -factor,  $G^c$  has no pc- $f$ -factor. By Theorem 1,  $G_f^c$  does not satisfy (C2). But then  $G_f$  does not satisfy (C1) by Lemma 2, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $G_f$  does not satisfy (C1) but has an  $f$ -factor. By Lemma 2,  $G_f^c$  does not satisfy (C2) and therefore by Theorem 1,  $G^c$  has no pc- $f$ -factor. But since  $c$  is a proper edge-colouring, every  $f$ -factor of  $G$  is a pc- $f$ -factor of  $G^c$ , so  $G$  has no  $f$ -factor, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### 2.3 Alternative Statement

We now present a different version of Theorem 1; the difference between the original statement and the one that follows is analogous to the difference between Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem as presented in Theorem B and as in Theorem C.

In order to restate our main result, we define two operations on vertices. Let  $G$  be a graph and  $c$  a colouring of its edges. When we *c-split* a vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , we replace  $v$  with  $d^c(v)$  vertices denoted by  $v_i$  for each colour  $i$  in  $E^c(v)$ , and for each  $w$  in  $N_G(v)$ , we replace the edge  $vw$  by  $v_{c(vw)}w$ . When we *twin* a vertex  $x$  of  $G$ , we replace  $x$  with two vertices  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , and for each  $y$  in  $N_G(x)$ , we replace the edge  $xy$  with two edges  $x_0y, x_1y$ ; we also add an edge between  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = (S, T, W)$  be a palette system and let  $G_{\mathcal{S}}$  denote the graph obtained from  $G$  by performing the following operations for every vertex  $y$  in  $S \cup T \cup W$ : if  $y$  is in  $S$ , we delete  $y$ ; if  $y$  is in  $T^A$  for some  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0$ , we *c-split*  $y$  and then delete  $y_i$  whenever  $i \in A$ ; if  $y$  is in  $W^A$  for some  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , we delete the edge  $yz$  whenever  $c(yz) \in A$  and then twin  $y$  if  $f(y) + |A|$  is even. We denote by  $h(\mathcal{S})$  the number of odd components of  $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G^c$  be an edge-coloured graph and let  $f$  be a function from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a properly coloured  $f$ -factor in  $G^c$  if and only if, for every palette system  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have*

$$\sum_{u \in S} f(u) + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_0} \sum_{u \in T^A} (d^c(u) - f(u) + |A|) + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_1} |W^A| |A| \geq h(\mathcal{S}).$$

*Proof.* We show that the inequality in the statement of the theorem is a restatement of that in Theorem 1. Add  $\sum_{u \in S} d^c(u)$  to both sides of the inequality in the statement of the theorem and rearrange it to obtain:

$$h(\mathcal{S}) + \sum_{u \in S} (d^c(u) - f(u)) \leq \sum_{u \in S} d^c(u) + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_0} \sum_{u \in T^A} (d^c(u) - f(u) + |A|) + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_1} \sum_{u \in W^A} |A|.$$

It follows from the definition of  $X_{\mathcal{S}}$  that the right-hand side of the (rearranged)

inequality is equal to  $|X_S|$ . By Theorem 1, it is enough to show that the left-hand side is equal to  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_S)$ .

Observe that each vertex  $v$  in  $S$  contributes  $d^c(v) - f(v)$  isolated vertices (and therefore odd components) to  $G_f^c - X_S$ . We denote those isolated vertices by  $I_S$ ; that is,  $I_S = \bigcup_{u \in S} T_u$ . Consider a vertex  $w$  which is in  $W^A$  for some  $A$ . Suppose we identify  $(S_w \setminus \{w_i \mid i \in A\}) \cup T_w$  to a single vertex  $w^*$  and then, if  $f(w) + |A|$  is even, we twin  $w^*$ . Since  $|(S_w \setminus \{w_i \mid i \in A\}) \cup T_w|$  is even if and only if  $f(w) + |A|$  is even, this operation preserves the number of odd components in the graph. If we perform this operation for every vertex in  $W$ , we obtain from  $G_f^c - X_S - I_S$  a graph isomorphic to  $G_S$ . Therefore,  $\text{odd}(G_f^c - X_S - I_S) = \text{odd}(G_S) = h(S)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Computational Results

We now turn to global properties of coloured factors. The main result of this section is that, given an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  and a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , deciding whether  $G^c$  has a rainbow component  $f$ -factor (rc- $f$ -factor) is an NP-complete problem. This implies that it is not possible to reduce rc- $f$ -factors to 1-factors unless  $P=NP$ . We omit a full discussion of computational complexity theory and refer the reader to [7]. Formally, the computational problem we are interested in is the following.

RAINBOW COMPONENT FACTOR (RC-FAC)

**Input:** A graph  $G$ , a colouring  $c$  of its edges, a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

**Question:** Does  $G^c$  have a rainbow component  $f$ -factor?

To prove our main result we introduce a problem that is known to be NP-hard. We define a *1-in-3-colouring* of a 3-uniform hypergraph  $H$  to be a function  $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that in each edge of  $H$  there is exactly one vertex  $v$  with  $\phi(v) = 1$ .

HYPERGRAPH 1-IN-3-COLOURING (H-1-IN-3-COL)

**Input:** A 3-uniform hypergraph  $H$

**Question:** Does  $H$  have a 1-in-3-colouring?

It is known that H-1-IN-3-COL is NP-hard even for  $k$ -regular hypergraphs, where  $k \geq 3$  [12]. We will reduce this version of H-1-IN-3-COL to RC-FAC; given a  $k$ -regular, 3-uniform hypergraph  $H$ , we construct a graph  $G_H$ , a colouring  $c$  and a function  $f$  such that  $G_H^c$  has an rc- $f$ -factor if and only if  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring. In fact, the function  $f$  will be the constant function with  $f(v) = k-1$  for every vertex  $v$  in

$V(G)$ ; thus, we can prove the stronger result that the following problem is NP-hard, for any  $r \geq 2$ .

RAINBOW COMPONENT  $r$ -FACTOR (RC- $r$ -FAC)

**Input:** A graph  $G$ , a colouring  $c$  of its edges

**Question:** Does  $G^c$  have a rainbow component  $r$ -factor?

We remark that the constant  $r$  is a fixed part of the definition of the problem, not a part of the input. The hardness of this problem implies hardness of the version of the problem where the constant is in the input, which in turn implies hardness of RC-FAC.

**Theorem 5** *The RAINBOW COMPONENT FACTOR PROBLEM is NP-complete. Moreover, the RAINBOW COMPONENT  $r$ -FACTOR problem is NP-complete, for any integer  $r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the problem is in NP; a nondeterministic Turing machine can guess a set of edges and it is easy to verify in polynomial time whether a set of edges induces 1) an  $r$ -factor and 2) a graph each component of which is rainbow (by traversal of the components).

Let  $r$  be an integer greater than or equal to 2, and let  $H$  be an  $(r+1)$ -regular, 3-uniform hypergraph. We will construct an edge-coloured graph  $G_H^c$  such that  $G_H^c$  has an rc- $r$ -factor if and only if  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring. As discussed above, this is enough to prove the theorem.

We now describe the construction of  $G_H^c$ . For each vertex  $x$  in  $H$ , the graph  $G_H$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{r+1}$  and  $r+1$  subgraphs isomorphic to  $K_r$  (where  $K_n$  denotes the complete graph on  $n$  vertices). We call the former the *central clique* of  $x$ , and we denote the latter by  $Q_i^x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ . We denote the vertices of the central clique of  $x$  by  $x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}$ , and for each  $i$  we add an edge from  $x_i$  to each vertex of  $Q_i^x$ .

For each edge  $e = \{x, y, z\}$  in  $H$  we add a vertex to  $G_H$  denoted by  $v_e$ . We arbitrarily order the edges of  $H$  in which each vertex appears; we may thus refer to  $e$  as (say) the  $i$ th edge of  $x$ , the  $j$ th edge of  $y$ , and the  $k$ th edge of  $z$ . In that case, we add an edge from  $v_e$  to each vertex of  $Q_i^x$ ,  $Q_j^y$ , and  $Q_k^z$ .

We now describe the colouring  $c$  of the edges of  $G_H$ . To simplify our notation, we introduce the constants  $\rho_0 = \binom{r}{2}$  and  $\rho_1 = \binom{r+1}{2}$ . All colours assigned by  $c$  will be in  $\{1, \dots, \rho_1\}$ . For each vertex  $x$  in  $H$ ,  $c$  assigns the edges of the central clique of  $x$  distinct colours (there are  $\rho_1$  edges in the clique). For  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ ,  $c$  assigns the edges in  $Q_i^x$  distinct colours from  $\{1, \dots, \rho_0\}$  (there are  $\rho_0$  such edges). Each of the  $r$  edges from  $x_i$  to  $Q_i^x$  is assigned a distinct colour from  $\{\rho_0+1, \dots, \rho_1\}$  by  $c$  (note




Figure 3:  $G_H^c$  for  $r=2$  where  $H$  is the hypergraph on  $\{w, x, y, z\}$  with edges  $e_1=\{z, w, x\}$ ,  $e_2=\{w, x, y\}$ ,  $e_3=\{x, y, z\}$ ,  $e_4=\{y, z, w\}$ . Colours 1, 2, 3 are shown in green, blue, and orange, respectively.

that  $\rho_1 - \rho_0 = r$ ). Finally, when the  $j$ th edge of  $x$  in  $H$  is  $e$ , we set  $c(v_e u) = c(x_j)$  for each vertex  $u$  in  $Q_j^x$ ; in other words,  $c$  assigns the edge  $v_e u$  the same colour that it assigns the edge  $x_j$ . It is easy to see that this construction can be performed in polynomial time.

In Figure 3 we give an example of the construction where  $H$  is the complete 3-uniform hypergraph on four vertices. It is easy to see that  $H$  has no 1-in-3-colouring. The reader is invited to check that  $G_H^c$  has no rc-2-factor. Note that to cover  $x_1$ , for example, such a factor must include as a component either the central clique of  $x$  or the clique on  $x_1 \cup Q_1^x$ .

Now suppose that  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring  $\phi$ . We construct an rc- $r$ -factor  $F_\phi$  for  $G_H^c$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $V(H)$  and suppose  $x$  appears in edges  $e_1, \dots, e_{r+1}$ . Then, if  $\phi(x) = 1$ , we include in  $F_\phi$  the edges of the central clique of  $x$  and the edges of the clique on  $v_{e_i} \cup Q_i^x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ ; otherwise, we include the edges of the clique on  $x_i \cup Q_i^x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ . The obtained subgraph is clearly rainbow as every component is a rainbow clique. For each vertex  $x$  in  $H$ , the vertices in the central clique of  $x$  are covered by exactly  $r$  edges of  $F_\phi$ , as are those in  $Q_i^x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ . It remains to check that, for each edge  $e$  of  $H$ , the vertex  $v_e$  is covered by exactly

$r$  edges of  $F_\phi$ . For each vertex  $x$  in  $e$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$ , we included  $r$  edges that contain  $v_e$ . Since  $\phi$  is a 1-in-3-colouring, there is exactly one such vertex in  $e$ , and thus  $v_e$  is covered by exactly  $r$  edges, as required.

Now suppose that  $G_H^c$  has an rc- $r$ -factor  $F$ . We will show that  $H$  has a 1-in-3 colouring  $\phi_F$ . Observe that, for each  $x$  in  $V(H)$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ , every edge of  $Q_i^x$  must be in  $F$ , because every vertex in  $Q_i^x$  is incident with  $r+1$  edges, two of which have the same colour. Therefore, if there is an edge in  $F$  from  $v_e$  to  $Q_i^x$ , there cannot be an edge in  $F$  from  $v_e$  to  $Q_j^y$ , unless  $x = y$  and  $i = j$ , because such a pair of edges would connect a monochromatic pair of edges. We deduce that there is exactly one vertex  $x$  in each edge  $e$  of  $H$  such that  $v_e \cup Q_i^x$  is a component of  $F$  for some value of  $i$ . Furthermore, if  $v_e \cup Q_i^x$  is not a component of  $F$ , then  $x_i \cup Q_i^x$  is a component of  $F$ . We conclude that, if the  $i$ th and  $j$ th edges of  $x$  are  $e$  and  $e'$ , and  $v_e \cup Q_i^x$  is a component of  $F$ , then  $v_{e'} \cup Q_j^x$  is a component of  $F$  (otherwise, there is an edge  $x_i x_j$  in  $F$  and then there are more than  $r$  edges in  $F$  incident with  $x_j$ ). It follows that we may define a valid 1-in-3-colouring  $\phi_F$  of  $H$  by setting  $\phi_F(x) = 1$  if and only if  $v_e \cup Q_i^x$  is a component of  $F$  whenever  $e$  is the  $i$ th edge of  $x$  in  $H$ .  $\square$

It is natural to ask whether we can find a nice characterisation of edge-coloured graphs with  $\Pi$ - $f$ -factors, where  $\Pi$  is some local property of edge-coloured graphs; that is,  $\Pi$  is valid for an edge-coloured graph  $G^c$  whenever it is valid within a constant distance of each vertex. Unfortunately, such a conjecture would be false in general (unless  $P = NP$ ). Consider the following problem for integers  $d$  and  $r$ .

DISTANCE- $d$ -COLOURED  $r$ -FACTOR (DDC- $r$ -FAC)

**Input:** A graph  $G$ , a colouring  $c$  of its edges

**Question:** Does  $G^c$  have a distance- $d$ -coloured  $r$ -factor?

The proof of Theorem 5 can be easily modified to show that the above problem is hard for  $r \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 3$ . It is enough to demonstrate that, in this case, a set of edges induces a distance- $d$ -coloured  $r$ -factor if and only if it induces an rc- $r$ -factor. One direction is immediate, since every rc- $r$ -factor in every edge-coloured graph is distance- $d$ -coloured by definition. The other direction requires observing that a distance- $d$ -coloured  $r$ -factor in the constructed graph  $G_H^c$  must be the disjoint union of rainbow cliques. With some modifications to  $G_H^c$ , we may even prove that D2C- $r$ -FAC is hard using the same proof. However, the modifications are tedious (we leave them as a puzzle for the interested reader) and suboptimal in a sense we will now describe. The colouring constructed in the proof of Theorem 5 uses  $\Omega(r^2)$  colours. While a distance- $d$ -coloured  $r$ -factor requires  $\Omega(r^2)$  colours for  $d \geq 3$ , a distance-2-coloured  $r$ -factor only requires a number of colours linear in  $r$ . Therefore, we omit




Figure 4:  $KG(5, 2)$  with its canonical colouring; the vertex  $\{x, y\}$  is labelled  $xy$ .

the modification of the above proof and strengthen the result for the case  $d = 2$ ; that is, we show that D2C- $r$ -FAC is hard even when the number of colours used by the input colouring  $c$  is linear in  $r$ .

The construction in the proof of the following theorem is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 5, but the role of the cliques is played by subgraphs isomorphic to the *Kneser graph*  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ . Recall that  $[n]$  denotes the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  denotes the set of subsets of  $[n]$  of size  $k$ . Then,  $KG(n, k)$  is the graph on  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  with an edge between  $A$  and  $B$  if and only if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Observe that, in  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ , if  $A$  and  $B$  are adjacent then  $|A \cup B| = 2r-2$ ; that is, there is a unique element  $c^*(AB)$  in  $[2r-1] \setminus (A \cup B)$ . It is not difficult to check that  $c^*$  is a distance-2-colouring of  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ , which we call the *canonical* colouring; note that  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$  is  $r$ -regular. For example, when  $r = 3$ , we have  $KG(5, 2)$  which is isomorphic to the Petersen graph, as shown in Figure 4 along with its canonical colouring.

**Theorem 6** *The DISTANCE- $d$ -COLOURED  $r$ -FACTOR problem is NP-complete for integers  $d, r$  at least 2. Furthermore, the DISTANCE-2-COLOURED  $r$ -FACTOR problem is NP-complete even when the input colouring uses at most  $2r-1$  colours.*

*Proof.* As we discussed above, we need only deal with the second part of the statement of the theorem. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the problem is in NP as in the previous theorem.

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\rho = \binom{2r-1}{r-1}$ , and let  $H$  be a  $\rho$ -regular, 3-uniform hypergraph. We construct a graph  $\bar{G}_H$  and a colouring  $c$  of its edges using at most  $2r-1$  colours, such that  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring if and only if  $\bar{G}_H^c$  has a distance-2-coloured  $r$ -




Figure 5: A subgraph of  $\bar{G}_H$  for some hypergraph  $H$ , showing how the central clique of  $x$  is connected to  $v_e$ , when  $r = 3$ .

factor.

We now describe the construction of  $\bar{G}_H$ . For each vertex  $x$  in  $V(H)$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ , we add a set of vertices  $Q_i^x = \{x_i^X \mid X \in \binom{[2r-1]}{r-1}\}$  and a set of vertices  $\bar{Q}_i^x = \{\bar{x}_i^X \mid X \in \binom{[2r-1]}{r-1}\}$ . We add edges so that the respective subgraphs induced by  $Q_i^x$  and  $\bar{Q}_i^x$  are isomorphic to  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ ; that is, we add an edge  $x_i^X x_i^Y$  (and  $\bar{x}_i^X \bar{x}_i^Y$ ) if and only if  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . To simplify our notation, we will relabel two important vertices. We denote  $x_i^{\{1, \dots, r-1\}}$  by  $x_i$  and  $\bar{x}_i^{\{r+1, \dots, 2r-1\}}$  by  $\bar{x}_i$ . We add edges between the vertices  $\{x_1, \dots, x_\rho\}$  so that they induce a subgraph isomorphic to  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ ; this is done in an arbitrary way, and we call the subgraph the *central gadget* of  $x$ . We add an edge from  $\bar{x}_i$  to each neighbour of  $x_i$  in  $Q_i^x$ ; that is, we add the edge  $\bar{x}_i x_i^X$  if and only if  $\{1, \dots, r-1\} \cap X = \emptyset$ . In this way,  $(Q_i^x \setminus x_i) \cup \bar{x}_i$  induces a subgraph isomorphic to  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ . Finally, we add a vertex  $v_e$  for each edge  $e$  of  $H$ . When  $e$  is the  $i$ th edge of some vertex  $x$ , we add edges from  $v_e$  to each neighbour of  $\bar{x}_i$  in  $\bar{Q}_i^x$ ; that is, we add the edge  $v_e \bar{x}_i^X$  if and only if  $\{r+1, \dots, 2r-1\} \cap X = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $(\bar{Q}_i^x \setminus \bar{x}_i) \cup v_e$  also induces a subgraph isomorphic to  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ . In Figure 5, we have depicted part of the constructed graph  $\bar{G}_H$  in the case  $r = 3$ .

We now describe the colouring  $c$  of the edges of  $\bar{G}_H$ . The central gadget of each vertex is given the canonical colouring of  $KG(2r-1, r-1)$ . The subgraphs induced by  $Q_i^x$  and  $\bar{Q}_i^x$  are also given the canonical colouring; to clarify, we set  $c(x_i^X x_i^Y)$  (and  $c(\bar{x}_i^X \bar{x}_i^Y)$ ) to be the unique element of  $[2r-1] \setminus (X \cap Y)$ . We set  $c(\bar{x}_i x_i^X) = c(x_i x_i^X)$  and  $c(v_e \bar{x}_i^X) = c(\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i^X)$ . This completes the description of  $\bar{G}_H^c$ .

Suppose that  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring  $\phi$ . We construct a distance-2-coloured  $r$ -factor  $F_\phi$  for  $\bar{G}_H^c$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex in  $V(H)$  that appears in the edges  $e_1, \dots, e_\rho$ .



Then, if  $\phi(x) = 1$ , we include in  $F_\phi$  the edges of the central gadget of  $x$ , the edges in the subgraph induced by  $(Q_i^x \setminus x_i) \cup \bar{x}_i$  and the edges in the subgraph induced by  $(\bar{Q}_i^x \setminus \bar{x}_i) \cup v_{e_i}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ . If  $\phi(x) = -1$ , we include in  $F_\phi$  the edges in  $Q_i^x$  and  $\bar{Q}_i^x$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ . Every component of the subgraph induced by  $F_\phi$  is distance-2-coloured; verifying that  $v_e$  is covered by  $r$  edges of  $F_\phi$  proceeds as in the proof of Theorem 5.

Now suppose that  $\bar{G}_H^c$  has a distance-2-coloured  $r$ -factor  $F$ . We will show that  $H$  has a 1-in-3-colouring  $\phi_F$ . Observe that, for each  $x$  in  $V(H)$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq \rho$ , every edge in the subgraphs induced by  $Q_i^x \setminus x_i$  and  $\bar{Q}_i^x \setminus \bar{x}_i$  must be in  $F$ . We claim that there cannot be a vertex  $u$  in  $Q_i^x \setminus x_i$  and a vertex  $u'$  in  $\bar{Q}_i^x \setminus \bar{x}_i$  such that both  $u\bar{x}_i$  and  $u'\bar{x}_i$  are in  $F$ . From this it follows that for each  $x$  in  $V(H)$ , either the central gadget of  $x$  is a component of  $F$  or none of its edges are in  $F$  and the rest of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 5. Suppose the claim is false, and observe that  $u\bar{x}_i$  has a colour in  $\{r, \dots, 2r-1\}$  and  $u'\bar{x}_i$  has a colour in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . The edges containing  $u$  in  $Q_i^x \setminus x_i$  have colours  $1, \dots, r-1$ ; thus,  $u'\bar{x}_i$  has colour  $r$  otherwise  $F$  is not distance-2-coloured. The edges containing  $u'$  in  $\bar{Q}_i^x \setminus \bar{x}_i$  have colours  $r+1, \dots, 2r-1$ ; thus,  $u\bar{x}_i$  has colour  $r$  as well, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by project GA20-09525S of the Czech Science Foundation (to R. Čada), by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) JP23K03204 (to M. Furuya), by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) JP23K03195 (to K. Ozeki), and by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists JP20K14353 (to T. Yashima).

## References

- [1] Jin Akiyama and Mikio Kano. *Factors and Factorizations of Graphs: Proof Techniques in Factor Theory*, volume 2031 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2018.
- [3] Jack Edmonds. Paths, trees, and flowers. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 17:449–467, 1965/ed.
- [4] R.J. Faudree, A. Gyárfas, R.H. Schelp, and Zs. Tuza. Induced matchings in bipartite graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 78(1-2):83–87, 1989.

- [5] S. Fujita, R. Li, and S. Zhang. Color degree and monochromatic degree conditions for short properly colored cycles in edge-colored graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 87:362–373, 2017.
- [6] S. Fujita and C. Magnant. Properly colored paths and cycles. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159:1391–1397, 2011.
- [7] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [8] G. Gutin, B. Sheng, and M. Wahlström. Odd properly colored cycles in edge-colored graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 340:817–821, 2017.
- [9] Takehiro Ito, Akira Kato, Xiao Zhou, and Takao Nishizeki. Algorithms for finding distance-edge-colorings of graphs. *Journal of Discrete Algorithms*, 5(2):304–322, 2007.
- [10] M. Kano, S. Maezawa, K. Ota, M. Tsugaki, and T. Yashima. Color degree sum conditions for properly colored spanning trees in edge-colored graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343:11, 2020.
- [11] Mikio Kano and Li Xueliang. Monochromatic and heterochromatic subgraphs in edge-colored graphs - a survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 24(4):237–263, 2008.
- [12] Jan Kratochvíl. Complexity of hypergraph coloring and Seidel’s switching. In *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 297–308. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [13] A. Lo. An edge-colored version of Dirac’s Theorem. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 28(1):18–36, 2014.
- [14] Michael Molloy and Bruce Reed. A bound on the strong chromatic index of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 69(2):103–109, 1997.
- [15] William T. Tutte. The factorization of linear graphs. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 22:107–111, 1947.
- [16] William T. Tutte. The factors of graphs. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 4:314–328, 1952.
- [17] William T. Tutte. A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 6:347–352, 1954.