

WEAK AND STRONG SOLUTIONS FOR POLYMERIC FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION OF OLDROYD-B TYPE

PRINCE ROMEO MENSAH

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of weak solutions and a unique strong solution to the Oldroyd-B dumbbell model describing the evolution of a two-dimensional dilute polymer fluid interacting with a one-dimensional viscoelastic shell. The polymer fluid consists of a mixture of an incompressible viscous solvent and a solute comprising two massless beads connected with Hookean springs. This solute-solvent mixture then interacts with a flexible structure that evolves in time. An arbitrary nondegenerate reference domain for the polymer fluid is allowed and both solutions exist globally in time provided no self-intersection of the structure occurs.

1. INTRODUCTION

A polymer fluid is a complex fluid with a high molecular weight consisting of a mixture of a solvent and a solute. In this work, the solvent is a viscous fluid modeled by the incompressible Navier–Stokes equation and the solute is described by the macroscopic average of the probability distribution function of two monomers connected by a Hookean spring and modeled by the Oldroyd-B system (also referred to as the *convected Jeffreys model* by some authors [12]). We refer to [1] for the modeling of the generalized state-of-art where the fluid’s density is also allowed to vary.

We initiate work on the rigorous analysis of such a polymer fluid within a flexible structure and the interaction between the evolution of the structure and the polymer fluid. The polymer fluid is two-dimensional and contained in a domain whose boundary is a flexible structure in 1-D modeled by a viscoelastic shell equation. We deviate from the usual practice in the literature where one considers a simple flat reference domain for fluids and allows for a generalized reference domain for the solute-solvent mixture.

1.1. Geometric setup and equations of motion. We consider a fluid domain whose reference configuration is $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The boundary of this reference domain $\partial\Omega$ may consist of a flexible part $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and a rigid part $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. However, because the analysis at the rigid part is significantly simpler, we shall identify the whole of $\partial\Omega$ with ω . Let $I := (0, T)$ represent a time interval for a given constant $T > 0$. We represent the time-dependent displacement of the structure by $\eta : \bar{I} \times \omega \rightarrow (-L, L)$ where $L > 0$ is a fixed length of the tubular neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$ given by

$$S_L := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial\Omega) < L\}.$$

Now, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we assume that $\partial\Omega$ is parametrized by an injective mapping $\varphi \in C^k(\omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\partial_y \varphi \neq 0$ such that

$$\partial\Omega_{\eta(t)} = \{\varphi_{\eta(t)} := \varphi(y) + \mathbf{n}(y)\eta(t, y) : t \in I, y \in \omega\}.$$

The set $\partial\Omega_{\eta(t)}$ represents the boundary of the flexible domain at any instant of time $t \in I$ and the vector $\mathbf{n}(y)$ is a unit normal at the point $y \in \omega$. We also let $\mathbf{n}_{\eta(t)}(y)$ be the corresponding normal of $\partial\Omega_{\eta(t)}$ at the spacetime point $y \in \omega$ and $t \in I$. Then for $L > 0$ sufficiently small, $\mathbf{n}_{\eta(t)}(y)$ is close to $\mathbf{n}(y)$ and $\varphi_{\eta(t)}$ is close to φ . Since $\partial_y \varphi \neq 0$, it will follow that

$$\partial_y \varphi_{\eta(t)} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}(y) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\eta(t)}(y) \neq 0$$

Date: November 16, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76D03; 74F10; 35Q30; 35Q84; 82D60.

Key words and phrases. Incompressible Navier–Stokes–Fokker–Planck system, Oldroyd-B, Fluid-Structure interaction, Polymeric fluid.

for $y \in \omega$ and $t \in I$. Thus, in particular, there is no loss of strict positivity of the Jacobian determinant provided that $\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} < L$.

For the interior points, we transform the reference domain Ω into a time-dependent moving domain $\Omega_{\eta(t)}$ whose state at time $t \in \bar{I}$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\eta(t)} = \{\Psi_{\eta(t)}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}.$$

Here,

$$\Psi_{\eta(t)}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}(y(\mathbf{x}))\eta(t, y(\mathbf{x}))\phi(s(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial\Omega) < L, \\ \mathbf{x} & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

is the Hanzawa transform with inverse $\Psi_{-\eta(t)}$ and where for a point \mathbf{x} in the neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$, the vector $\mathbf{n}(y(\mathbf{x}))$ is the unit normal at the point $y(\mathbf{x}) = \text{argmin}_{y \in \omega} |\mathbf{x} - \varphi(y)|$. Also, $s(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} - \varphi(y(\mathbf{x}))) \cdot \mathbf{n}(y(\mathbf{x}))$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a cut-off function that is $\phi \equiv 0$ in the neighbourhood of $-L$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ in the neighbourhood of 0. Note that $\Psi_{\eta(t)}(\mathbf{x})$ can be rewritten as

$$\Psi_{\eta(t)}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \varphi(y(\mathbf{x})) + \mathbf{n}(y(\mathbf{x}))[s(\mathbf{x}) + \eta(t, y(\mathbf{x}))\phi(s(\mathbf{x}))] & \text{if } \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial\Omega) < L, \\ \mathbf{x} & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

With the above preparation in hand, we consider the Oldroyd-B model for the flow of a dilute polymeric fluid interacting with a flexible structure in the closure of the deformed spacetime cylinder

$$I \times \Omega_\eta := \bigcup_{t \in I} \{t\} \times \Omega_\eta$$

with $\Omega_\eta := \Omega_{\eta(t)}$. Our goal is to find a structure displacement function $\eta : (t, y) \in I \times \omega \mapsto \eta(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}$, a fluid velocity field $\mathbf{u} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in I \times \Omega_\eta \mapsto \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, a pressure function $p : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in I \times \Omega_\eta \mapsto p(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$, a polymer number density $\rho : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in I \times \Omega_\eta \mapsto \rho(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and an extra stress tensor $\mathbb{T} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in I \times \Omega_\eta \mapsto \mathbb{T}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ such that the system of equations

$$\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho = \varepsilon \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p + \mathbf{f} + K \text{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\varrho_s \partial_t^2 \eta - \gamma \partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta + \alpha \partial_y^4 \eta = g - (\mathbb{S} \mathbf{n}_\eta) \circ \varphi_\eta \cdot \mathbf{n} \det(\partial_y \varphi_\eta), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top - 2k(\mathbb{T} - \rho \mathbb{I}) + \varepsilon \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} \quad (1.5)$$

holds on $I \times \Omega_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ where

$$\mathbb{S} = \nu(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top) - p \mathbb{I} + K \mathbb{T},$$

the parameters $\varepsilon, K, \gamma, k$ are nonnegative constants, the parameters ν, ϱ_s, α are strictly positive constants, \mathbf{n}_η is the normal at $\partial\Omega_\eta$ and \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix. We complement (1.1)–(1.5) with the following initial and boundary conditions

$$\eta(0, \cdot) = \eta_0(\cdot), \quad \partial_t \eta(0, \cdot) = \eta_*(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \omega, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta_0}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0(\cdot), \quad \mathbb{T}(0, \cdot) = \mathbb{T}_0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta_0}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_\eta \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}_\eta \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial\Omega_\eta. \quad (1.9)$$

Furthermore, we impose periodicity on the boundary of ω and the following interface condition

$$\mathbf{u} \circ \varphi_\eta = (\partial_t \eta) \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } I \times \omega \quad (1.10)$$

at the flexible part of the boundary with normal \mathbf{n} .

The two unknowns ρ and \mathbb{T} for the solute component of the polymeric fluid are related via the identities

$$\mathbb{T}(t, \mathbf{x}) = k \int_B f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{q}, \quad \rho(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_B f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \, d\mathbf{q}$$

where for $B = \mathbb{R}^2$ with elements $\mathbf{q} \in B$, the function f is the probability density function ($f \geq 0$ a.e. on $\bar{I} \times \Omega_\eta \times B$) satisfying the Fokker–Planck equation

$$\partial_t f + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u}f) + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{q}}((\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u})\mathbf{q}f) = \epsilon \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}f + k \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{q}}(M \nabla_{\mathbf{q}}(f/M)) \quad (1.11)$$

in $I \times \Omega_\eta \times B$ for a Hookean dumbbell spring potential and Maxwellian

$$U\left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{q}|^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{q}|^2, \quad M = \frac{\exp(-U(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{q}|^2))}{\int_B \exp(-U(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{q}|^2)) \, d\mathbf{q}},$$

respectively. The existence of smooth solutions for the coupling of the Fokker–Planck equation (1.11) with a generalized viscous compressible fluid in a fixed domain has been shown in [15] whereas weak solutions have earlier been constructed in [8, 9, 27]. More work has been done in the incompressible case in fixed domains. Weak solutions are constructed in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and in [39] when $\epsilon = 0$ in (1.11) whereas results on the existence of strong solutions are shown in [20, 21, 31, 32, 35, 37, 38, 41, 45]

There are several works on the rigorous analysis of Oldroyd-B-type models in a fixed spatial domain or for domains without boundaries. Strong solutions have been constructed in [29] where the authors also show the existence of stable time-periodic solutions when the forcing term is small and time-periodic. For small data, the existence of global-in-time classical solutions has been shown in [33] using an incompressible limit argument. Small data global solutions living in Hölder spaces and Besov spaces are also shown in [23] and [47, 26, 44], respectively. See also [46] for the three-dimensional case. The authors in [23] also cover large data for a model in which the potential responds to high rates of strain in the fluid. When stress diffusion is taken into account, the authors in [22] study the global existence and regularity of strong solutions. See also [24, 25, 43] which covers the case where the solvent is inviscid and [19] where there is no damping or dissipation in the equation for the extra stress tensor. Results on weak solutions are unusually fewer and we refer to [10, 30, 36] where global weak solutions are constructed and to [11] which combines the Oldroyd-B and the Giesekus models.

There are only a couple of results on polymer fluids evolving and interacting with a flexible structure. The existence of weak solutions to a finitely extensible dilute polymer (1.11) of Warner-type immersed in a viscous incompressible fluid (1.1), (1.3) and with this 3-D mixture interacting with a 2-D elastic structure (1.4) ($\gamma = 0$) has been shown in [14]. These weak solutions are global provided the shell does not self-intersect and there are no degeneracies in the system. This was recently followed up with the local-in-time existence of a unique strong solution [18] for a viscoelastic structure with ($\gamma > 0$). The mathematical analysis of the Oldroyd-B model interacting with flexible materials is completely open.

1.2. Work plan. The paper is structured in the following way: In Section 2, we introduce some notations, give the precise definition of the types of solutions we are investigating, and state our main results. To make the construction of solutions as concise as possible, we present in Section 3, the a priori bounds leading to global solutions. These bounds will be referred to several times in later sections. We then devote the entirety of Section 4 to the proof of the existence of weak solutions. This will come in three main steps. Firstly, we construct a finite-dimensional approximation of the linearised solvent-structure subproblem and of the solute subproblem independently of each other. Here, the linearised subproblem is advected by a given regularized vector field and evolves on a given regularised geometry. A fixed point argument is then used to obtain a finite-dimensional approximation of the fully coupled linearized system. After this, we pass to the limit in the discrete parameter to obtain a unique solution to the linear and regularised system. Our second step will be to remove the given advected vector field and the given spatial geometry to obtain a weak solution to the fully nonlinear regularised system posed on a regularised spatial geometry that is evolving according to the structure equation. Here, we use a Schauder-type fixed point argument whose key ingredient is an Aubin-Lion-type compactness result [40, Theorem 5.1] for establishing the compactness of the fluid’s velocity fields. We lose uniqueness at this point due to the nonlinearity in the system and the fact that the regularity of weak solutions is low. The final step then involves the passage to the limit in the regularisation parameter to obtain a weak solution to the original system.

We devote Section 5 to the construction of a unique strong solution via a fixed point argument. Strong solutions are only an instant of differentiability stronger than weak solutions. This low regularity of the

strong solutions coupled with the boundary-valued effect of the moving domain (e.g. boundary terms from applying the Reynolds transport theorem) makes it very difficult to obtain useful estimates. Indeed, the most challenging part of Section 5 is the proof of contraction which requires obtaining estimates for the difference of two solutions. Firstly, each solution is defined on a unique spatial domain evolving in time and as such, it is not clear what the spatial domain for the difference between the two solutions is. Secondly, the strong solutions are not very regular and are actually only an instant on integrability stronger than weak solutions. Consequently, the standard Hölder doubles or triples for estimating the product of functions leads one to require more regularity for some of the terms in the product than they actually possess.

There are at least two ways to remedy the first problem. We can either transform each of the solutions to the fixed reference domain and study the difference equation on this fixed domain or we transform one solution to the domain of the other and study the difference equation on this latter domain. The second approach is more difficult than the first. However, we opt for the second approach since it allows us to take full advantage of the regularity properties of the latter geometry on which we study the difference equation. This approach has been used in [28] to show the continuous dependence on initial data in a fluid-structure problem and in [42] to obtain a weak-strong uniqueness result for a fluid interacting with elastic plates and in [17] for elastic shells.

The key to solving the second problem is to find equivalent (in the sense that two spaces are continuously embedded in each other) square-integrable Sobolev spaces of fractional differentiability for non-square-integrable Sobolev spaces in order to obtain sharp interpolation between the weakest and strongest spaces of the functions being estimated.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

Henceforth, without loss of generality, we set all the parameters $\{\varepsilon, K, \gamma, k, \nu, \varrho_s, \alpha\}$ in (1.1)-(1.9) to 1. For two non-negative quantities F and G , we write $F \lesssim G$ if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $F \leq cG$. If $F \lesssim G$ and $G \lesssim F$ both hold, we use the notation $F \sim G$. The symbol $|\cdot|$ may be used in four different contexts. For a scalar function $f \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f|$ denotes the absolute value of f . For a vector $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|\mathbf{f}|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of \mathbf{f} . For a square matrix $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $|\mathbb{F}|$ shall denote the Frobenius norm $\sqrt{\text{trace}(\mathbb{F}^T \mathbb{F})}$. Finally, if $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a (sub)set, then $|S|$ is the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure of S .

For $I = (0, T)$, $T > 0$, and $\eta \in C(\bar{I} \times \omega)$ with $\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} < L$, we define for $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^p(I; L^r(\Omega_\eta)) &:= \left\{ v \in L^1(I \times \Omega_\eta) : \begin{array}{l} v(t, \cdot) \in L^r(\Omega_{\eta(t)}) \text{ for a.e. } t, \\ \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^r(\Omega_{\eta(t)})} \in L^p(I) \end{array} \right\}, \\ L^p(I; W^{1,r}(\Omega_\eta)) &:= \left\{ v \in L^p(I; L^r(\Omega_\eta)) : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} v \in L^p(I; L^r(\Omega_\eta)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Higher-order Sobolev spaces can be defined accordingly. For $k > 0$ with $k \notin \mathbb{N}$, we define the fractional Sobolev space $L^p(I; W^{k,r}(\Omega_\eta))$ as the class of $L^p(I; L^r(\Omega_\eta))$ -functions v for which

$$\|v\|_{L^p(I; W^{k,r}(\Omega_\eta))}^p = \int_I \left(\int_{\Omega_\eta} |v|^r \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega_\eta} \int_{\Omega_\eta} \frac{|v(\mathbf{x}) - v(\mathbf{x}')|^r}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{d+kr}} \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{x}' \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} dt$$

is finite. Accordingly, we can also introduce fractional differentiability in time for the spaces on moving domains.

2.1. The concept of solutions and the main results. Let us start with a precise definition of what we mean by a weak solution.

Definition 2.1 (Weak solution). Let $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_\star)$ be a dataset that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f} &\in L^2(I; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad g \in L^2(I; L^2(\omega)), \\ \eta_0 &\in W^{2,2}(\omega) \text{ with } \|\eta_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < L, \quad \eta_\star \in L^2(\omega), \\ \mathbf{u}_0 &\in L^2_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_{\eta_0}) \text{ is such that } \mathbf{u}_0 \circ \varphi_{\eta_0} = \eta_\star \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \omega, \\ \rho_0 &\in L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0}), \quad \mathbb{T}_0 \in L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0}), \\ \rho_0 &\geq 0, \quad \mathbb{T}_0 > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_{\eta_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We call $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ a *weak solution* of (1.1)–(1.10) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_\star)$ if:

(a) the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\in W^{1,\infty}(I; L^2(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)), \\ \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} &< L, \\ \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W_{\text{div}_x}^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \\ \rho &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \\ \mathbb{T} &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \\ \rho &\geq 0, \mathbb{T} > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } I \times \Omega_\eta \end{aligned}$$

holds;

(b) for all $\psi \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} \rho \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\rho \partial_t \psi + (\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \psi] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt; \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

(c) for all $\mathbb{Y} \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbb{T} : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\mathbb{T} : \partial_t \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{T} : (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{Y}] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top] : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} (\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{Y} - \rho \text{tr}(\mathbb{Y})) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_i} \mathbb{T} : \partial_{x_i} \mathbb{Y}$;

(d) for all $(\phi, \phi) \in C_{\text{div}_x}^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \otimes C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \omega)$ with $\phi(T, \cdot) = 0$, $\phi(T, \cdot) = 0$ and $\phi \circ \varphi_\eta = \phi \mathbf{n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbf{u} \cdot \phi \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_\omega \partial_t \eta \phi \, dy \right) dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \phi] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi - \mathbf{f} \cdot \phi + \mathbb{T} : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_\omega [\partial_t \eta \partial_t \phi - \partial_t \partial_y \eta \partial_y \phi - \partial_y^2 \eta \partial_y^2 \phi + g \phi] \, dy \, dt; \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

(e) the energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in I} \left(\int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_0) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + \|\eta_\star\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_0\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + T \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \rho_0 \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

holds.

(f) In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2) + \int_I (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt \\ & + \int_I \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt \lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

With this definition in hand, we now state our first main result.

Theorem 2.2. *For a dataset $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_\star)$ that satisfies (2.1), there exists a global weak solution $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ of (1.1)–(1.10).*

Remark 2.3. As in [22, Proposition 1], a solution \mathbb{T} of (1.5) advected by a velocity field $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(I; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_\eta))$ remains strictly positive if it were initially so. Furthermore, a solution ρ of (1.2) also remains nonnegative if it were initially nonnegative. Indeed, if we test (1.2) with the nonpositive part $\rho_- = \min\{0, \rho\}$ of ρ , integrate over Ω_η and use the boundary condition (1.9) together with Reynold's transport theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\rho_-|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_-|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Therefore, it follows that $\rho_- = 0$ a.e. in Ω_η for any $t \in I$ and thus, $\rho = \rho_+ = \max\{0, \rho\}$.

We note that since the test function for the (weak) momentum equation in (2.4) is divergence-free, our weak formulation is consequently pressure-free. However, when the weak solution and the forcing \mathbf{f} are sufficiently regular, the pressure can be recovered by solving an elliptic problem obtained by taking the divergence in (1.3). A first step to obtaining said regularity is by showing the existence of a strong solution which is the subject of our second main result. Here, by a ‘strong solution’, we mean the following.

Definition 2.4 (Strong solution). Let $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_\star)$ be a dataset that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{f} \in L^2(I; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad g \in L^2(I; L^2(\omega)), \\ & \eta_0 \in W^{3,2}(\omega) \text{ with } \|\eta_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < L, \quad \eta_\star \in W^{1,2}(\omega), \\ & \mathbf{u}_0 \in W^{1,2}_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_{\eta_0}) \text{ is such that } \mathbf{u}_0 \circ \varphi_{\eta_0} = \eta_\star \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \omega, \\ & \rho_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0}), \quad \mathbb{T}_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0}), \\ & \rho_0 \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{T}_0 > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_{\eta_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We call $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ a *strong solution* of (1.1)–(1.10) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_\star)$ if:

- (a) $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ is a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.10);
- (b) the structure-function η is such that $\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} < L$ and

$$\eta \in W^{1,\infty}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{3,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{2,2}(I; L^2(\omega));$$

- (c) the velocity \mathbf{u} is such that $\mathbf{u} \circ \varphi_\eta = (\partial_t \eta) \mathbf{n}$ on $I \times \omega$ and

$$\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(I; L^2_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta));$$

- (d) the pressure p is such that

$$p \in L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta));$$

- (e) the pair (ρ, \mathbb{T}) is such that

$$\rho, \mathbb{T} \in W^{1,2}(I; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta));$$

- (f) equations (1.1)–(1.5) are satisfied a.e. in spacetime with $\eta(0) = \eta_0$ and $\partial_t \eta(0) = \eta_\star$ a.e. in ω , as well as $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$, $\rho(0) = \rho_0$ and $\mathbb{T}(0) = \mathbb{T}_0$ a.e. in Ω_{η_0} .

Our second main result concerning the existence of a strong solution is given as follows:

Theorem 2.5. *For a dataset $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_*)$ that satisfies (2.7), there exists a global strong solution $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ of (1.1)–(1.10).*

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we derive formal estimates in energy norms satisfied by smooth solutions of (1.1)–(1.5). The first two estimates will be shown to be satisfied by weak solutions in subsequent sections and the last estimate will be satisfied by a strong solution.

Proposition 3.1. *Any smooth solution $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ of (1.1)–(1.10) with smooth dataset $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_*)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in I} \left(\int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\ & + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_0) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + \|\eta_*\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_0\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ & + T \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \rho_0 \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Take $(\phi, \phi) = (\mathbf{u}, \partial_t \eta)$ in (2.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) dt + \int_I \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) dt \\ & = - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbb{T} : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \int_{\omega} g \partial_t \eta \, dy \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \leq c \int_I \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{t \in I} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2, \\ & \int_I \int_{\omega} g \partial_t \eta \, dy \, dt \leq c \int_I \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{t \in I} \|\partial_t \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we take the trace in (1.5), integrate and use (1.9) and the relation $\text{tr}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}^\top) = \mathbb{A} : \mathbb{B}$ which holds for all $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt = \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbb{T} : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + 3 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} \rho \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt. \quad (3.3)$$

Now note that due to the Neumann boundary condition (1.9) for ρ , if we integrate (1.2) over space, apply Gauss theorem and the fact that the left-hand side is transported by divergence-free velocity, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega_\eta} \rho \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \rho_0 \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Combining everything finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Any smooth solution $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ of (1.1)–(1.10) with smooth dataset $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_*)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in I} \left(\|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \right) + \int_I \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \right) dt \\ & \lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp \left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. If we set $\psi = \rho$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\rho|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt = \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\rho \partial_t \rho + (\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt.$$

Thus, by Reynold's transport theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in I} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, if we set $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{T}$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [\mathbb{T} : \partial_t \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} : (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top] : \mathbb{T} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} (|\mathbb{T}|^2 - \rho \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{T})) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

If we now use the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega_\eta} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{T})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}$$

and Reynold's transport theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt \\ \leq 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}| |\mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt \\ \leq 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}| |\mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where the second inequality follows from (3.5). We now note that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\Omega_\eta} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}| |\mathbb{T}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^4(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} + c \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $\delta > 0$. Consequently, it follows from Grönwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt \\ \lesssim (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp \left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \, dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Combining everything finishes the proof. \square

We now present a result that will be satisfied by strong solutions.

Proposition 3.3. *Any smooth solution $(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbb{T})$ of (1.1)–(1.10) with smooth dataset $(\mathbf{f}, g, \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \eta_0, \eta_*)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I (\|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \eta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) dt \\
& + \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\eta(t)\|_{W^{3,2}(\Omega)}^2) \\
& + \int_I (\|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)} + \|\mathbb{T}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)} + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} + \|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}^2) \\
& \lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \|\eta_*\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\eta_0\|_{W^{3,2}(\omega)}^2 \\
& + \int_I (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2) dt + T(\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp(ch_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where h_0 is the right-hand side of (3.1).

Proof. We begin with the following acceleration estimate for the solvent-structure subsystem (1.1) and (1.3)–(1.4) (see [13, (5.4)] and [17, (4.5)])

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in I} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^3 \eta(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\
& + \int_I (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \eta\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2) dt \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + \|\partial_y \eta_*\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^3 \eta_0\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
& + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The constant in the bound depends only on the right-hand side of (3.1) and the estimate is the key ingredient in showing the global-in-time existence of strong solutions for the solvent-structure subsystem (1.1) and (1.3)–(1.4). The original estimate [13, (5.4)] required the L^2 -norm in time of $\|\partial_y g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ on the right-hand side of (3.9). The fact that $\|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ (rather than $\|\partial_y g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$) is sufficient is shown in [17, (4.5)]. We also note that by (3.4)

$$\int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt \lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) e^{ch_0} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h_0 & := \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_0) d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + \|\eta_*\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_0\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
& + T \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} \rho_0 d\mathbf{x} + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We now test (1.2) with $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt & = \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho) \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_I \int_{\partial \Omega_\eta} (\partial_t \eta \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_\eta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\eta |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
& =: I_1 + I_2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$I_1 \leq \delta \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + c(\delta) \int_I \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt$$

for any $\delta > 0$, and by using $\eta \in L^\infty(I; W^{1,\infty}(\omega))$ and $\partial_t \eta \in L^\infty(I; W^{1,2}(\omega))$ (which follows from (3.9)), we also obtain

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\partial \Omega_\eta)}^2 \|(\partial_t \eta \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_\eta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\eta\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega_\eta)} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \int_I \|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\rho\|_{W^{3/4,2}(\Omega_\eta)} \|\partial_y\eta\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} \|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{5/4,2}(\omega)} dt \\
&\lesssim \int_I \|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^{7/4} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^{1/4} \|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^{3/4} \|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^{1/4} dt \\
&\leq \delta \int_I \|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + c(\delta) \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 dt
\end{aligned}$$

or any $\delta > 0$. Consequently, it follows from Grönwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t \in I} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} + \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} dt \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

If we also test (1.5) with $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt = \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\mathbb{T}) \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T} dx dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_I \int_{\partial\Omega_\eta} (\partial_t\eta\mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_\eta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\eta |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
&\quad + 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} (\mathbb{T} - \rho\mathbb{I}) \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T} dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} ((\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u})\mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T}(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u})^\top) \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T} dx dt \\
&=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The terms J_1 and J_2 can be treated as I_1 and I_2 above. By using (3.4), we obtain

$$J_3 \leq \delta \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + cT(\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right)$$

for any $\delta > 0$ and by Ladyzhenskaya's inequality and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &\lesssim \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^{1/2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^{1/2} \|\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^{1/2} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} dt \\
&\leq \delta \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + c \int_I \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt \\
&\quad + cT(\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from Grönwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t \in I} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} + \int_I \|\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)} dt \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t\eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt \\
&\quad + T(\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

To obtain regularity in time, we test (1.2) with $\partial_t \rho$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_I \int_{\partial\Omega_\eta} (\partial_t \eta \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_\eta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\eta |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
&\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho \partial_t \rho \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\
&\leq c \int_I \|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 dt \\
&\quad + c(\delta) \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \delta \int_I \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Note the estimate for the boundary term done earlier in (3.12). By using (3.13), it follows from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \sup_{t \in I} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now, we note that (compare with the estimate for J_3 in (3.14))

$$\begin{aligned}
2k \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} (\mathbb{T} - \rho \mathbb{I}) : \partial_t \mathbb{T} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &\leq \delta \int_I \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + cT (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and (compare with the estimate for J_4 in (3.14))

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I \int_{\Omega_\eta} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top] : \partial_t \mathbb{T} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\
&\leq \delta \int_I \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + c \int_I \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt \\
&\quad + cT (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by testing (1.5) with $\partial_t \mathbb{T}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt + \sup_{t \in I} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt \\
&\quad + cT (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

If we now combine (3.13), (3.15) (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I (\|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt + \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) \\
&\quad + \int_I (\|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)} + \|\mathbb{T}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}) \\
&\lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt \\
&\quad + T (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Further combining (3.9) and (3.19) yields the desired estimate. \square

4. WEAK SOLUTIONS

4.1. Galerkin approximation. Our goal in this section is to construct a finite-dimensional approximation of a linearized version of the polymeric fluid-structure system for a given geometric setup. The approach follows a fixed-point argument where we decouple the equation for the solute from the solvent-structure system. In this case, the solute system becomes a bilinear system whose finite-dimensional approximation can be constructed using the classical Galerkin method. On the other hand, the problem for the solvent-structure system can follow the construction done in [34] where the Galerkin basis on the moving domain is constructed from the Piola transform of the basis of the fixed reference domain. For completeness, but to avoid repetition, we summarize the construction in what follows.

We consider a given structure displacement $\zeta \in C(\bar{I} \times \omega)$ with an initial state $\zeta(0, \cdot) = \eta_0$ and a given driving velocity field $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. To ensure that the pair (ζ, \mathbf{v}) are sufficiently smooth so that the subsequent analyses are well defined, we consider their spatial regularisation $(\zeta_\kappa, \mathbf{v}_\kappa)^1$ and assume that they satisfy the interface condition $\mathbf{v}_\kappa \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} = \mathbf{n} \partial_t \zeta_\kappa$ on $I \times \omega$. For $\kappa > 0$ fixed, we are going to use a Galerkin approximation to construct a weak solution $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ of the following linearized system

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_\kappa + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho_\kappa = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\kappa + (\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p_\kappa + \mathbf{f}_\kappa + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\partial_t^2 \eta_\kappa - \partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa + \partial_y^4 \eta_\kappa = g_\kappa - (\mathbb{S}_\kappa \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa}) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{n} \det(\partial_y \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T}_\kappa + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa) \mathbb{T}_\kappa + \mathbb{T}_\kappa (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa)^\top - 2(\mathbb{T}_\kappa - \rho_\kappa \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa \quad (4.5)$$

on $I \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ where

$$\mathbb{S}_\kappa = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa)^\top) - p_\kappa \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa.$$

We now make precise, the notion of a weak solution $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ in this linearised setting.

Definition 4.1. Let $(\mathbf{f}_\kappa, g_\kappa, \rho_{0,\kappa}, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{\star,\kappa})$ be a dataset that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_\kappa &\in L^2(I; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad g \in L^2(I; L^2(\omega)), \\ \eta_{0,\kappa} &\in W^{2,2}(\omega) \text{ with } \|\eta_{0,\kappa}\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < L, \quad \eta_{\star,\kappa} \in L^2(\omega), \\ \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa} &\in L^2_{\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}}) \text{ is such that } \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa} \circ \varphi_{\eta_{0,\kappa}} = \eta_{\star,\kappa} \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \omega, \\ \rho_{0,\kappa} &\in L^2(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}}), \quad \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa} \in L^2(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}}), \\ \rho_{0,\kappa} &\geq 0, \quad \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa} > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_{\eta_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We call $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ a *weak solution* of (4.1)–(4.5) if:

(a) the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\kappa &\in W^{1,\infty}(I; L^2(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)), \\ \|\eta_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} &< L, \\ \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}_{\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), \\ \rho_\kappa &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), \\ \mathbb{T}_\kappa &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), \\ \rho_\kappa &\geq 0, \quad \mathbb{T}_\kappa > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } I \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

holds;

¹Here, $f_\kappa := \mathcal{R}_\kappa f$, where the regularising kernels $(\mathcal{R}_\kappa)_{\kappa>0}$ commutes with ∂_t . See [34] for more details.

(b) for all $\psi \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \rho_\kappa \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [\rho_\kappa \partial_t \psi + (\rho_\kappa \mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \psi] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt; \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

(c) for all $\mathbb{Y} \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [\mathbb{T}_\kappa : \partial_t \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa : (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{Y}] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa) \mathbb{T}_\kappa + \mathbb{T}_\kappa (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa)^\top] : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} (\mathbb{T}_\kappa : \mathbb{Y} - \rho_\kappa \text{tr}(\mathbb{Y})) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt; \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

(d) for all $(\phi, \phi) \in C^\infty_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \otimes C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \omega)$ with $\phi(T, \cdot) = 0$, $\phi(T, \cdot) = 0$ and $\phi \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} = \phi \mathbf{n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\omega} \partial_t \eta_\kappa \phi \, dy + \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \right) dt \\ &= \int_I \int_{\omega} \left(\partial_t \eta_\kappa \partial_t \phi - \partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa \partial_y \phi + g_\kappa \phi - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \phi \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \eta_\kappa \right) dy \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{n}^\top \phi \partial_t \zeta_\kappa \partial_t \eta_\kappa \det(\partial_y \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}) \right) dy \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \left(\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \partial_t \phi - \frac{1}{2} ((\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa) \cdot \phi \right) d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \left(\frac{1}{2} ((\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \phi) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\kappa - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi + \mathbf{f}_\kappa \cdot \phi - \mathbb{T}_\kappa : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi \right) d\mathbf{x} \, dt; \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

(e) the energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_\kappa(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\ + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \\ \lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0, \kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_{0, \kappa}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0, \kappa})}^2 + \|\eta_{\star, \kappa}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_{0, \kappa}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ + T \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0, \kappa}} \rho_{0, \kappa} \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|g_\kappa\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

holds.

(f) In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho_\kappa(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2) + \int_I (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2) \, dt \\ + \int_I \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt \lesssim \|\rho_{0, \kappa}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + (\|\mathbb{T}_{0, \kappa}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T \|\rho_{0, \kappa}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \\ \times \exp \left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Our main result in this section is now given as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ be fixed. For a dataset $(\mathbf{f}_\kappa, g_\kappa, \rho_{0,\kappa}, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{*,\kappa})$ that satisfies (4.6), there exists a global weak solution $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ of (4.1)–(4.5).*

We now devote the rest of this section to the proof of Theorem 4.2. To obtain $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$, we consider the basis $(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $W_{0, \text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $W^{2,2}(\omega)$ respectively. Then by [34, Theorem A.3], there exists solenoidal vector fields $(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that solve a Stokes system on the fixed reference domain with boundary data $(\mathbf{n}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now, for all $t \in \overline{I}$, we obtain from $(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the following basis

$$\mathbf{X}_n(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{J}_{\zeta_\kappa(t)} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n$$

for $W_{0, \text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(t)})$ where a vector field \mathbf{v} , $\mathcal{J}_{\zeta_\kappa} \mathbf{v}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\zeta_\kappa} \mathbf{v} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa} (\det \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa})^{-1} \mathbf{v}) \circ \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \quad (4.12)$$

is Piola transform of \mathbf{v} with respect to a mapping $\zeta : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The Piola transform is invertible with inverse

$$\mathcal{J}_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \mathbf{v} = ((\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa})^{-1} (\det \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa}) \mathbf{v}) \circ \Psi_{\zeta_\kappa}. \quad (4.13)$$

In order to ensure that the basis for the solvent system matches with the basis for the structure at the solvent-structure interface, additionally, we consider the Piola transform of the solenoidal vector fields $(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by setting

$$\mathbf{Y}_n(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{J}_{\zeta_\kappa(t)} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n.$$

Consequently, if we set

$$Y_n(t, \cdot) := (\det(\partial_y \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa(t)}))^{-1} \overline{Y}_n$$

we obtain the interface condition

$$Y_n(t, \cdot) \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{Y}_n(t, \cdot) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa(t)}.$$

Our extended basis for the moving domain will now consist of the pair $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_n = \begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_n & : n \text{ even,} \\ \mathbf{Y}_n & : n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n \mathbf{n} := \boldsymbol{\psi}_n \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa(t)}. \quad (4.14)$$

With the basis (4.14) in hand, we can use Picard–Lindelöf theorem to find functions $\alpha_n^N \in C^1(\overline{I})$, $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N := \alpha_n^N \boldsymbol{\psi}_n$ and $\eta_\kappa^N = \int_0^t \alpha_n^N \psi_n \, ds + \eta_{\kappa,0}^N$ solves²

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_\omega \partial_t \eta_\kappa^N \psi_j \, dy + \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_j \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \\ &= \int_\omega \left(\partial_t \eta_\kappa^N \partial_t \psi_j - \partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa^N \partial_y \psi_j + g_\kappa^N \psi_j - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \psi_j \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \eta_\kappa^N \right) dy \\ &+ \int_\omega \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{n}^\top \psi_j \partial_t \zeta_\kappa \partial_t \eta_\kappa^N \det(\partial_y \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}) \right) dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \left(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_j - \frac{1}{2} ((\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N) \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_j \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \left(\frac{1}{2} ((\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \boldsymbol{\psi}_j) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \mathbf{f}_\kappa^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_j - \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j \right) d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq N$ with the pair $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ determined by

$$\partial_t \rho_\kappa^N + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho_\kappa^N = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^N, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N)^\top - 2(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N - \rho_\kappa^N \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N \quad (4.17)$$

²The dependence of \mathbf{u}_κ^N and η_κ^N on κ follows from the implicit dependence of $\boldsymbol{\psi}_n$ and ψ_n on κ

on $I \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$. Here, we complement (4.16)–(4.17) with the following initial and boundary conditions

$$\rho_{0,\kappa}^N \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N > 0 \quad \text{a.e in } I \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\rho_\kappa^N(0, \cdot) = \rho_{0,\kappa}^N(\cdot), \quad \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(0, \cdot) = \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\zeta_0,\kappa}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^N = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}. \quad (4.21)$$

Indeed, for a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that $(\overline{\eta_\kappa^N}, \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N})$ satisfying

$$\overline{\eta_\kappa^N} \in W^{1,\infty}(I; L^2(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)),$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N} \in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})),$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N} \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} = \mathbf{n} \partial_t \overline{\eta_\kappa^N} \text{ on } I \times \omega$$

is given. Then due to the bilinearity of (4.16)–(4.17), a solution pair $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ of (4.16)–(4.21) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \rho_\kappa^N \phi_i \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [\rho_\kappa^N \partial_t \phi_i + (\rho_\kappa^N \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \phi_i] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^N \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_i \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N : \mathbb{Y}_i \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N : \partial_t \mathbb{Y}_i + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N : (\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{Y}_i] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N})^\top] : \mathbb{Y}_i \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} (\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N : \mathbb{Y}_i - \rho_\kappa^N \text{tr}(\mathbb{Y}_i)) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y}_i \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ is obtained as a limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ of, yet again, a Galerkin approximation $(\rho_\kappa^{N,M}, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,M})$ for a basis (ϕ_i, \mathbb{Y}_i) in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}) \otimes W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})$. Furthermore, if we take the trace in (4.17), then similar to (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt' &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt' + \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt' \\ &\quad + 6 \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0,\kappa}} \rho_{\kappa,0}^N \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_0,\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_{\kappa,0}^N) \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

for all $t \in I$. On the other hand, if we take the inner product of (4.17) with \mathbb{T}_κ^N and apply Grönwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + 2 \int_I \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt \\ \lesssim (\|\mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0,\kappa})}^2 + T \|\rho_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0,\kappa})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

If we now combine (4.24) and (4.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \sup_{t \in I} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 \, dt \\ \lesssim \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N}, \rho_{0,\kappa}^N, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N) \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N, \rho_{0,\kappa}^N, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N) &:= \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}}} \rho_{0,\kappa}^N d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \left(\|\mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}})}^2 + T \|\rho_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}})}^2 \right) \exp \left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of (4.26) is finite and thus, we have constructed a solution $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ of (4.16)–(4.21) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\kappa^N &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), \\ \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N &\in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, with the constructed pair $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ in hand, we revisit (4.15). As stated earlier, its solution follows from Picard–Lindelöf theorem. Furthermore, by taking the pair $(\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N)$ as test functions, we obtain the global bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) &+ \int_I \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) dt \\ &\lesssim \int_I \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt + \int_I \|g_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt \\ &+ \|\mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_{0,\kappa}})}^2 + \|\eta_{\star,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\lesssim 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

leading to $(\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N) \in X^I$ where

$$\begin{aligned} X^I &:= (W^{1,\infty}(I; L^2(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega))) \\ &\otimes (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})) \cap L^2(I; W_{\text{div}\mathbf{x}}^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}))). \end{aligned}$$

At this point, on the one hand, we have obtained a solution $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ to the solute system (4.16)–(4.21) given a solvent-structure pair $(\overline{\eta}_\kappa^N, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N)$. On the other hand, we have also constructed a solvent-structure pair $(\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N)$ given a solute pair $(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$. We can now use a fixed point argument to get a local solution $(\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N, \rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N)$ for the mutually coupled system. To do this, for a time $T_* > 0$, $I_* := (0, T_*)$ to be determined soon, we consider the solution map $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_1 \circ \mathbb{T}_2 : X^{I_*} \rightarrow X^{I_*}$ where

$$\mathbb{T}(\overline{\eta}_\kappa^N, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N) = (\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N), \quad \mathbb{T}_2(\overline{\eta}_\kappa^N, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N) = (\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N), \quad \mathbb{T}_1(\rho_\kappa^N, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N) = (\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N)$$

and the associated set

$$\begin{aligned} B_R^{I_*} &:= \left\{ (\overline{\eta}_\kappa^N, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N) \in X^{I_*} \text{ with } \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N := \overline{\alpha}_n^N \psi_n, \overline{\eta}_\kappa^N := \int_0^t \overline{\alpha}_n^N \psi_n ds + \eta_{\kappa,0}^N \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{such that } \|(\overline{\eta}_\kappa^N, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^N)\|_{X^{I_*}}^2 \leq R^2 \text{ for } t \in \overline{I_*} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $R > 0$ large enough and for fixed $\kappa > 0$. From (4.26) and (4.27), it follows that $\mathbb{T} : B_R^{I_*} \rightarrow B_R^{I_*}$ for $R > 0$ large and $T_* > 0$ small, i.e. the solution mapping \mathbb{T} maps the ball $B_R^{I_*}$ into itself. To show the contraction property leading to the existence of a unique local solution for the fully coupled system, we consider any two solution pair $(\eta_\kappa^{N,i}, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,i})$, $i = 1, 2$ of the solvent-structure system with datasets $(\mathbf{f}_\kappa^N, g_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}^N, \eta_{0,\kappa}^N, \eta_{\star,\kappa}^N, \rho_\kappa^{N,i}, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Thus, the difference

$$(\eta_\kappa^{N,12}, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}) := (\eta_\kappa^{N,1} - \eta_\kappa^{N,2}, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,1} - \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2})$$

solves

$$\partial_t^2 \eta_\kappa^{N,12} - \partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa^{N,12} + \partial_y^4 \eta_\kappa^{N,12} = -\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbb{S}_\kappa^{N,12} \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa} \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \det(\partial_y \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}) \quad (4.28)$$

in $I_* \times \omega$ and

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12} + (\mathbf{v}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12} = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12} - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p_\kappa^{N,12} + \text{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} \quad (4.29)$$

in $I_* \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}$ where

$$\mathbb{S}_\kappa^{N,12} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12})^\top) - p_\kappa^{N,12} \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}$$

with $p_\kappa^{N,12} := p_\kappa^{N,1} - p_\kappa^{N,2}$ and $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} := \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1} - \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,2}$. Similar to (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in I_*} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\ & + \int_{I_*} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) dt \lesssim T_* \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

To obtain a contraction estimate for \mathbb{T}_κ^N , let us consider any two solution $(\rho_\kappa^{N,i}, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,i})$, $i = 1, 2$ of the (4.16)-(4.17) with datasets $(\rho_{0,\kappa}^N, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N, \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,i}}, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively, so that the difference $\rho_\kappa^{N,12} := \rho_\kappa^{N,1} - \rho_\kappa^{N,2}$ and $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} := \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1} - \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,2}$ solves

$$\partial_t \rho_\kappa^{N,12} + (\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho_\kappa^{N,12} = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12} - (\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho_\kappa^{N,12} \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} + (\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} &= (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}})^\top \\ &\quad - 2(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} - \rho_\kappa^{N,12} \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} - (\overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}})^\top \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

in $I_* \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}$. We now test (4.31) with $\rho_\kappa^{N,12}$ and use the boundary condition (4.20) which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} (\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}} \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \rho_\kappa^{N,1} \rho_\kappa^{N,12} d\mathcal{H}^1 dt' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} ((\partial_{t'} \zeta_\kappa - \partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}) \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} |\rho_\kappa^{N,12}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt' \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I_*$. Given that

$$\|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^4(\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \lesssim \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{W^{1/4,2}(\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \lesssim \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{W^{3/4,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \lesssim \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^{3/2}$$

holds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} ((\partial_{t'} \zeta_\kappa - \partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}) \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} |\rho_\kappa^{N,12}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt' \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (\|\partial_{t'} \zeta_\kappa\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}) \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^4(\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' + c \int_0^t (\|\partial_{t'} \zeta_\kappa\|_{L^2(\omega)}^4 + \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^4) \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

for any $t \in I_*$ and any $\delta > 0$. We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} (\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}} \mathbf{n}) \circ \varphi_{\zeta_\kappa}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\zeta_\kappa} \rho_\kappa^{N,1} \rho_\kappa^{N,12} d\mathcal{H}^1 dt' \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\omega)} \|\rho_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^{1/4} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^{3/4} dt' \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' + \delta \int_0^t \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \|\rho_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & + c \int_0^t \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

for any $t \in I_*$ and any $\delta > 0$. Consequently, by using the regularity of $\rho_\kappa^{N,1}, \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}$ and the smoothness of ζ_κ , we obtain from Grönwall's lemma that

$$\sup_{t \in I_*} \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt \leq \delta e^{cT_*} \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\partial_t \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \quad (4.35)$$

holds for any $\delta > 0$. Next we test (4.32) with $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}$. By using a similar argument as in (4.33) and (4.34), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' + \int_0^t \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & \lesssim T_* \|\rho_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & + \delta \int_0^t \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & + \int_0^t (\|\partial_{t'} \zeta_\kappa\|_{L^2(\omega)}^4 + \|\partial_{t'} \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^4) \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} (|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}| |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}| + |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}| |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}|) |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}| d\mathbf{x} dt' \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

for all $t \in I_*$ whereas by using Ladyzhenskaya inequality, we find that the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}| |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}| |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}| d\mathbf{x} dt' \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} dt' \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' + \delta \int_0^t \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt \\ & + c \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $\delta > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}| |\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt' \lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt' + c \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt'. \end{aligned}$$

If we now use the regularity of $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,1}, \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,2}}, \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,2}}$ and the smoothness of ζ_κ as well as (4.35), we obtain from Grönwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt + \int_{I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^{N,12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt \\ & \leq \delta T_* e^{cT_*} \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\partial_t \overline{\eta_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \delta e^{cT_*} \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^{N,12}}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

By combining (4.30) and (4.37), we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{T}(\overline{\eta_\kappa^N}, \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N})\|_{X^{I_*}}^2 = \|(\eta_\kappa^N, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N)\|_{X^{I_*}}^2 \leq c\delta T_* e^{cT_*} \|(\overline{\eta_\kappa^N}, \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N})\|_{X^{I_*}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\overline{\eta_\kappa^N}, \overline{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N})\|_{X^{I_*}}^2$$

for the choice of T_* such that $2T_* e^{cT_*} \leq (c\delta)^{-1}$. This completes the proof of the existence of a unique local-in-time weak solution for the fully coupled finite-dimensional system (4.15)-(4.17). The fact that

this solution is global follows from the energy estimate. Similar to (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in I} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \\
& + \int_I \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|\partial_t \partial_y \eta_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)}} \text{tr}(\mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N) \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)})}^2 + \|\eta_{\star,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
& + T \int_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)}} \rho_{0,\kappa}^N \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_I \|\mathbf{f}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt + \int_I \|g_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \, dt
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

and similar to (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2) + \int_I (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2) \, dt \\
& \lesssim \|\rho_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)})}^2 + (\|\mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)})}^2 + T \|\rho_{0,\kappa}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa(0)})}^2) \exp \left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})}^2 \, dt \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

By using the boundedness of the initial conditions, it follows from (4.38) and (4.39) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_\kappa^N & \rightarrow \eta_\kappa & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)), w^*), \\
\partial_t \eta_\kappa^N & \rightarrow \partial_t \eta_\kappa & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\omega)), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)), w), \\
\mathbf{u}_\kappa^N & \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\kappa & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w), \\
\rho_\kappa^N & \rightarrow \rho_\kappa & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w), \\
\mathbb{T}_\kappa^N & \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_\kappa & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa})), w).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using a density argument and lower semi-continuity of norms, the convergences above offers all the ingredients to pass to the limit in (4.15), (4.22), (4.23), (4.38) and (4.39) to complete the proof of Theorem 4.2.

4.2. The regularised fully-coupled system. In the previous section, we constructed a weak solution $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ to the linearised system posed on the given regularised spacetime geometry $I \times \Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}$. In this section, we are going to use a fixed-point argument to show that $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ actually solves the fully-coupled nonlinear system posed on the unknown regularized geometry, i.e.

$$\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa = 0, \tag{4.40}$$

$$\partial_t \rho_\kappa + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho_\kappa = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_\kappa, \tag{4.41}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\kappa + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p_\kappa + \mathbf{f}_\kappa + \text{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa, \tag{4.42}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \eta_\kappa - \partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa + \partial_y^4 \eta_\kappa = g_\kappa - (\mathbb{S}_\kappa \mathbf{n}_{\eta_\kappa}) \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\eta_\kappa} \cdot \mathbf{n} \det(\partial_y \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\eta_\kappa}), \tag{4.43}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T}_\kappa + (\mathbf{u}_\kappa \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_\kappa = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa) \mathbb{T}_\kappa + \mathbb{T}_\kappa (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa)^\top - 2(\mathbb{T}_\kappa - \rho_\kappa \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa \tag{4.44}$$

on $I \times \Omega_{\eta_\kappa} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ where

$$\mathbb{S}_\kappa = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa)^\top) - p_\kappa \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_\kappa.$$

A weak solution of (4.40)-(4.44) is defined in analogy to Definition 4.1. Our main result now reads.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\kappa > 0$ be arbitrary. For a dataset $(\mathbf{f}_\kappa, g_\kappa, \rho_{0,\kappa}, \mathbb{T}_{0,\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{0,\kappa}, \eta_{\star,\kappa})$ that satisfies (4.6), there exists a global weak solution $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa, \rho_\kappa, \mathbb{T}_\kappa)$ of (4.40)-(4.44).*

Proof. We note that the only differences between (4.1)-(4.5) and the anticipated system (4.40)-(4.44) consists of the linearisation in the momentum equation (4.3) by the given velocity \mathbf{v}_κ (rather than \mathbf{u}_κ) in the advection term, the stress tensor term on the right-hand side of (4.4) being transformed by a coordinate transform with respect of ζ_κ (rather than η_κ), and finally the full system posed on Ω_{ζ_κ} (rather than Ω_{η_κ}). The proof of Theorem 4.3 therefore follows from the construction of a fixed point

$\mathbf{T}(\zeta_\epsilon, \mathbf{v}_\epsilon) = (\eta_\epsilon, \mathbf{u}_\epsilon)$ of a certain solution map \mathbf{T} . Unfortunately, since we are dealing with weak solutions and the anticipated system (4.40)-(4.44) is nonlinear, we are unable to use a Banach fixed-point-type argument as we did in the previous section. Consequently, we resort to a fixed-point theorem for set-valued mappings which gives the existence (but not uniqueness) of a fixed-point [34, Theorem A.4]. For this, we consider the interval $I_* := (0, T_*)$ where T_* is to be chosen later. For a tubular neighbourhood S_α of $\partial\Omega$ with $\alpha \leq L$, we set

$$X := C(\bar{I}_* \times \omega) \otimes L^2(I_*; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha))$$

and define the ball

$$B_R^X = \{(\zeta_\kappa, \mathbf{v}_\kappa) \in X : \zeta_\kappa(0) = \eta_{0,\kappa}, \quad \|(\zeta_\kappa, \mathbf{v}_\kappa)\|_X \leq R\}$$

for $R > 0$ large enough and for fixed $\kappa > 0$. Now let us consider the solution map $\mathbf{T} : B_R^X \subset X \rightarrow 2B_R^X$ defined by $\mathbf{T}(\zeta_\kappa, \mathbf{v}_\kappa) = (\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa)$. The critical requirement for a fixed point is to show compactness of the map \mathbf{T} . Thus, for a sequence $(\rho_\kappa^n, \mathbb{T}_\kappa^n)$ satisfying (4.16)-(4.17), we consider any sequence $(\zeta_\kappa^n, \mathbf{v}_\kappa^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_R^X$ with $\mathbf{T}(\zeta_\kappa^n, \mathbf{v}_\kappa^n) = (\eta_\kappa^n, \mathbf{u}_\kappa^n)$ (where the existence of such a solution map is guaranteed by (4.15) and (4.27)). Consequently, we have in particular that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \left(\|\partial_t \eta_\kappa^n\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\partial_y^2 \eta_\kappa^n\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \lesssim 1 \quad (4.45)$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given that the embedding $W^{2,2}(\omega) \hookrightarrow C(\omega)$ is compact and the embedding $C(\omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\omega)$ is continuous, it follows from Aubin-Lions lemma that

$$\eta_\kappa^n \rightarrow \eta_\kappa \quad \text{in} \quad C(\bar{I}_* \times \omega).$$

Also, just as in [40, Lemma 6.3], we can use a reformulated Aubin-Lions lemma [40, Theorem 5.1] and the existence of a smooth solenoidal extension operator [40, Corollary 3.4] to also obtain

$$\partial_t \eta_\kappa^n \rightarrow \partial_t \eta_\kappa \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(I_*; L^2(\omega)), \quad (4.46)$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa^n}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^n \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\zeta_\kappa}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(I_*; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)) \quad (4.47)$$

which finishes the proof of compactness of \mathbf{T} . Consequently, the map \mathbf{T} posses a fixed point, i.e., there exists $(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa) \in B_R^X$ with $\mathbf{T}(\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa) = (\eta_\kappa, \mathbf{u}_\kappa)$. The fact that the solution is global follows from (3.1). \square

Remark 4.4. We remark that the now standard method [40, Lemma 6.3] for obtaining compactness for the velocity sequence has been adapted to various settings including a momentum equation with a forcing of divergence form [14, Page 31] (just as we have in our present setting) and to stochastic models [16, Page 24].

4.3. Limits of the regularised system. We are now going to pass to the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ in the regularisation parameter to complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. Due to Theorem 4.3 (and (3.1)), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\kappa &\rightarrow \eta & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)), w^*), \\ \partial_t \eta_\kappa &\rightarrow \partial_t \eta & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\omega)), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)), w), \\ \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\eta_\kappa}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbf{u} & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W_{\text{div}_x}^{1,2}(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w), \\ \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\eta_\kappa}} \rho_\kappa &\rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_\eta} \rho & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w), \\ \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\eta_\kappa}} \mathbb{T}_\kappa &\rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbb{T} & \text{in} & \quad (L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w^*) \cap (L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)), w). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, just as in (4.46)-(4.47), we also obtain

$$\partial_t \eta_\kappa \rightarrow \partial_t \eta \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(I_*; L^2(\omega)), \quad (4.48)$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{\eta_\kappa}} \mathbf{u}_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_\eta} \mathbf{u} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(I_*; L^2(\Omega \cup S_\alpha)). \quad (4.49)$$

The above convergence results allow us to pass to the limit in the weak formulation and the energy inequality.

5. STRONG SOLUTIONS

We now attend to the proof of Theorem 2.5. Our strategy for constructing a solution also involves solving the solvent-structure subproblem and the solute subproblem independently of each other and using a fixed-point argument to get a local solution to the fully coupled system. The extension to a global solution will then follow from the estimate (3.8).

5.1. The solvent-structure subproblem. In the following, for a given pair (ρ, \mathbb{T}) , given body forces \mathbf{f} and g , we wish to find a strong solution to the following system of equations

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u} = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p + \mathbf{f} + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\partial_t^2 \eta - \partial_t \partial_y^2 \eta + \partial_y^4 \eta = g - (\mathbb{S} \mathbf{n}_\eta) \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\eta \cdot \mathbf{n} \det(\partial_y \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\eta), \quad (5.3)$$

defined on $I \times \Omega_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ where

$$\mathbb{S} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^\top) - p \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}.$$

We complement (5.1)–(5.3) with the following initial and interface conditions

$$\eta(0, \cdot) = \eta_0(\cdot), \quad \partial_t \eta(0, \cdot) = \eta_\star(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \omega, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta_0}. \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\eta = (\partial_t \eta) \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } I \times \omega. \quad (5.6)$$

The precise definition of a strong solution is given as follows.

Definition 5.1 (Strong solution). Let $(\mathbf{f}, g, \eta_0, \eta_\star, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbb{T})$ be a dataset such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f} &\in L^2(I; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad g \in L^2(I; L^2(\omega)), \quad \eta_0 \in W^{3,2}(\omega) \text{ with } \|\eta_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} < L, \\ \eta_\star &\in W^{1,2}(\omega), \quad \mathbb{T} \in L^2(I; W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in W^{1,2}_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_{\eta_0}) \text{ is such that } \mathbf{u}_0 \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\eta_0} = \eta_\star \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

We call (η, \mathbf{u}, p) a *strong solution* of (5.1)–(5.6) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \eta_0, \eta_\star, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbb{T})$ provided that the following holds:

(a) the structure-function η is such that $\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} < L$ and

$$\eta \in W^{1,\infty}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{3,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{2,2}(I; L^2(\omega));$$

(b) the velocity \mathbf{u} is such that $\mathbf{u} \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\eta = (\partial_t \eta) \mathbf{n}$ on $I \times \omega$ and

$$\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(I; L^2_{\text{div}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta));$$

(c) the pressure p is such that

$$p \in L^2(I; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta));$$

(d) equations (5.1)–(5.3) are satisfied a.e. in spacetime with $\eta(0) = \eta_0$ and $\partial_t \eta = \eta_\star$ a.e. in ω as well as $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ a.e. in Ω_{η_0} .

The existence of a unique global-in-time strong solution to (5.1)–(5.6) in the sense of Definition 5.1 is already shown in [13, Theorem 2.5] so we can proceed to the solute subproblem.

5.2. The solute subproblem. For a known flexible domain Ω_ζ and a known solenoidal vector field \mathbf{v} , we aim in this section to construct a strong solution of the following solute subproblem:

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \rho = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \rho, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v})^\top - 2(\mathbb{T} - \rho \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} \quad (5.9)$$

on $I \times \Omega_\zeta \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ subject to the following initial and boundary conditions

$$\rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0(\cdot), \quad \mathbb{T}(0, \cdot) = \mathbb{T}_0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\zeta(0)}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_\zeta \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho = 0, \quad \mathbf{n}_\zeta \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial \Omega_\zeta. \quad (5.11)$$

The two unknowns ρ and \mathbb{T} for the solute component of the polymer fluid are related via the identities

$$\mathbb{T}(t, \mathbf{x}) = k \int_B f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{q}, \quad \rho(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_B f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \, d\mathbf{q}$$

where f solves (1.11).

Let us start with a precise definition of what we mean by a strong solution.

Definition 5.2. Assume that $(\rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{v}, \zeta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0 &\in W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta(0)}), \\ \rho_0 \geq 0, \mathbb{T}_0 &> 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_{\zeta(0)}, \\ \mathbf{v} &\in W^{1,2}(I; L^2_{\text{div}_x}(\Omega_{\zeta})) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\zeta})), \\ \zeta &\in W^{1,\infty}(I; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I; W^{3,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I; W^{2,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{2,2}(I; L^2(\omega)), \\ \mathbf{v} \circ \varphi_\zeta &= (\partial_t \zeta) \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } I \times \omega, \quad \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(I \times \omega)} < L. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We call (ρ, \mathbb{T}) a *strong solution* of (5.8)-(5.11) with dataset $(\rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{v}, \zeta)$ if

(a) (ρ, \mathbb{T}) satisfies

$$\rho, \mathbb{T} \in W^{1,2}(I; L^2(\Omega_\zeta)) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\zeta));$$

(b) for all $\psi \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\zeta} \rho \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} [\rho \partial_t \psi + (\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \psi] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \psi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

(c) for all $\mathbb{Y} \in C^\infty(\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\zeta} \mathbb{T} : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} [\mathbb{T} : \partial_t \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{T} : (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{Y}] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v}) \mathbb{T} + \mathbb{T} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v})^\top] : \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} (\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{Y} - \rho \text{tr}(\mathbb{Y})) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_I \int_{\Omega_\zeta} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T} :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We now formulate our result on the existence of a unique strong solution of (5.8)-(5.11)

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{v}, \zeta)$ satisfy (5.12). Then there is a unique strong solution (ρ, \mathbb{T}) of (5.8)-(5.11), in the sense of Definition 5.2, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I (\|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega_\zeta)}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbb{T}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\zeta)}^2) \, dt + \sup_{t \in I} (\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\zeta)}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\zeta)}^2) \\ &\quad + \int_I (\|\rho\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\zeta)} + \|\mathbb{T}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\zeta)}) \\ &\lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta(0)})} + \|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\zeta(0)})} + \int_I (\|\partial_t \zeta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\zeta)}^2) \, dt \\ &\quad + T(\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta(0)})}^2 + T\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\zeta(0)})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_I \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\zeta)}^2 \, dt\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

holds with a constant depending on the $L^\infty(I; W^{1,2}(\omega))$ -norm of $\partial_t \zeta$ and the $L^\infty(I; W^{1,\infty}(\omega))$ -norm of ζ but otherwise being independent of the data.

Since (5.8) and (5.9) are dissipative and bilinear, a strong solution of (5.8)-(5.11) is directly obtained by way of a limit to a Galerkin approximation. In particular, the bound (5.15) for the finite-dimensional solution is obtained in the same manner as (3.19) after which one can pass to the limit.

5.3. The fully coupled system. In this section, we are going to use a fixed point argument to first establish the existence of a unique local strong solution to the fully coupled solute-solvent-structure system. This solution will hold globally in time because of Proposition 3.3. The fixed point argument requires showing the closedness of an anticipated solution in a ball and a contraction argument. These two properties will be shown in the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} X_\eta &:= W^{1,2}(I_*; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^\infty(I_*; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I_*; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \\ Y_\eta &:= L^\infty(I_*; L^2(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I_*; W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \end{aligned}$$

equipped with their canonical norms $\|\cdot\|_{X_\eta}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Y_\eta}$, respectively. Here, I_* with $I_* = (0, T_*)$ is to be determined later.

Now, for $(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) \in Y_\eta \otimes Y_\eta$, let (η, \mathbf{u}, p) a unique strong solution of (5.1)–(5.6) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \eta_0, \eta_*, \mathbf{u}_0, \underline{\mathbb{T}})$ as shown in [13, Theorem 2.5]. On the other hand, for

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, \mathbf{u}) &\in W^{1,\infty}(I_*; W^{1,2}(\omega)) \cap L^\infty(I_*; W^{3,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(I_*; W^{2,2}(\omega)) \cap W^{2,2}(I_*; L^2(\omega)) \\ &\otimes W^{1,2}(I_*; L^2_{\text{div}_x}(\Omega_\eta)) \cap L^2(I_*; W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)), \end{aligned}$$

let (ρ, \mathbb{T}) be the unique strong solution of (5.8)-(5.11) with dataset $(\rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}, \eta)$ as shown in Theorem 5.3. Now define the mapping $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_1 \circ \mathbb{T}_2$ where

$$\mathbb{T}(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) = (\rho, \mathbb{T}), \quad \mathbb{T}_2(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) = (\eta, \mathbf{u}, p), \quad \mathbb{T}_1(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p) = (\rho, \mathbb{T})$$

and let

$$B_R := \{(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) \in X_\eta \otimes X_\eta : \|(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 \leq R^2\}.$$

Let show that $\mathbb{T} : X_\eta \otimes X_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta \otimes X_\eta$ maps B_R into B_R , i.e., for any $(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) \in B_R$, we have that

$$\|(\rho, \mathbb{T})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 = \|\mathbb{T}(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 = \|\mathbb{T}_1 \circ \mathbb{T}_2(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 = \|\mathbb{T}_1(\eta, \mathbf{u}, p)\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 \leq R^2.$$

Indeed if we let $(\underline{\rho}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}) \in X_\eta \otimes X_\eta$ then by the a priori estimate (5.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho, \mathbb{T})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 &\lesssim \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_0})} + \int_{I_*} (\|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt \\ &\quad + cT_* (\|\mathbb{T}_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2 + T_* \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0})}^2) \exp\left(c \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 dt\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

However, by [13, (4.14) & Lemma 4.2], a unique strong solution (η, \mathbf{u}, p) of (5.1)–(5.6) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \eta_0, \eta_*, \mathbf{u}_0, \underline{\mathbb{T}})$ satisfies³

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} (\|\partial_t \eta\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\eta_0)}^2 + \|\eta_0\|_{W^{3,2}(\omega)}^2 + \|\eta_*\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{I_*} (\|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\eta)}^2 + \|\underline{\mathbb{T}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\eta)}^2) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Given the regularity of the dataset and the fact that $\underline{\mathbb{T}} \in X_\eta$, for a large enough $R > 0$ and $T_* > 0$ small enough, we obtain

$$\|(\rho, \mathbb{T})\|_{X_\eta \otimes X_\eta}^2 \leq R^2$$

by substituting (5.17) into (5.16). Thus $\mathbb{T} : B_R \rightarrow B_R$.

To show the contraction property, we let (ρ_i, \mathbb{T}_i) , $i = 1, 2$ be two strong solutions of (5.8)-(5.11) with dataset $(\rho_0, \mathbb{T}_0, \mathbf{u}, \eta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Since the fluid domain depends on the deformation of the

³A careful analysis of [13, (4.14)] shows that $\|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ (rather than $\|g\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2$) is sufficient on the right of (5.17).

shell, we have to transform one solution, say \mathbb{T}_2 , to the domain of \mathbb{T}_1 in order to get a difference estimate. To get the equation for the transformation of \mathbb{T}_2 , we make use of the distributional formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} [\partial_t \mathbb{T}_2 + (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_2] : \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_2) \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_2)^\top] : \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} (\mathbb{T}_2 : \mathbb{Y}_2 - \rho_2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{Y}_2)) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt - \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_2 :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbb{Y} \in C^\infty(\overline{I_*} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Let us set $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 = \mathbb{T}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$, $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$, $\overline{\rho}_2 = \rho_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 = \mathbb{Y}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \partial_t \mathbb{T}_2 : \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} (\partial_t \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \partial_t \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1}) : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\partial_t \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \cdot \partial_t \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}) : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned}$$

where $J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} = \det(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1})$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_2 : \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} [(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_2) \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_2)^\top] : \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} [\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1})^\top (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1})^\top] : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} [\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1})^\top (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)^\top] : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} (\mathbb{T}_2 : \mathbb{Y}_2 - \rho_2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{Y}_2)) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt = \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 : \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 - \overline{\rho}_2 \operatorname{tr}(\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2)) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_2 :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{Y}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_2}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &= \int_{I_*} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1})^\top : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\mathbb{A}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} := \mathbb{B}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1})^\top \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{B}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} := J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$$

then we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 &= \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)^\top - 2(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 - \overline{\rho}_2 \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \\ &\quad - \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1})) + \mathbb{H}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\overline{\rho}_2, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2) \end{aligned}$$

defined on $I_* \times \Omega_{\eta_1}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} (\overline{\rho}_2, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2) &= (1 - J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}) \partial_t \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 - J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \cdot \partial_t \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 (\mathbb{B}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} - \mathbb{I}) \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 \\ &\quad + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\mathbb{B}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1} - \mathbb{I})^\top (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)^\top + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}) + 2(1 - J_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}) (\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 - \overline{\rho}_2 \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Now take the first solution

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_1 = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_1 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1)^\top - 2(\mathbb{T}_1 - \rho_1 \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_1$$

defined on $I_* \times \Omega_{\eta_1}$ and set

$$\mathbb{T}_{12} := \mathbb{T}_1 - \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2, \quad \mathbf{u}_{12} = \mathbf{u}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \quad \rho_{12} = \rho_1 - \overline{\rho}_2, \quad \eta_{12} = \eta_1 - \eta_2.$$

Then \mathbb{T}_{12} solves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbb{T}_{12} + \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12} &= \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbb{T}_{12} + \mathbb{T}_{12} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1)^\top - 2(\mathbb{T}_{12} - \rho_{12} \mathbb{I}) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{u}_{12} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12})^\top \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}})) - \mathbb{H}_{-\eta_{12}}(\overline{\rho}_2, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

on $I_* \times \Omega_{\eta_1}$ with identically zero initial condition. If we now test (5.18) with \mathbb{T}_{12} , then for $t \in I_*$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 &\leq \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\rho_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_1}} (\mathbf{n}_{\eta_1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_{12} : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathcal{H}^1 + 2 \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1| |\mathbb{T}_{12}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} [\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12})^\top - \mathbf{u}_{12} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2] : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} [\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}})) - \mathbb{H}_{-\eta_{12}}(\overline{\rho}_2, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2)] : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Given that $\mathbf{n}_{\eta_1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_1 = 0$ on $I_* \times \partial\Omega_{\eta_1}$ and by Trace theorem and interpolation

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{3/4,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/4} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{3/4},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_1}} (\mathbf{n}_{\eta_1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{T}_{12} : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathcal{H}^1 &\lesssim \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/4} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{3/4} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{8/5} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{2/5} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Next, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1| |\mathbb{T}_{12}|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\leq c \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Next, by using the embedding $W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1}) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})$ as well as Ladyzhenskaya inequality to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} [\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 + \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12})^\top] : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{4/3} \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{4/3} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{2/3} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \mathbf{u}_{12} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2 : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Next, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}})) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_1}} \mathbf{n}_{\eta_1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}}) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}}) :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

where, by trace theorem and the fact that $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}} \sim -\partial_y\eta_{12}$ holds in norm

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_1}} \mathbf{n}_{\eta_1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}}) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^4(\partial\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}}\|_{L^4(\partial\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{3/4,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,4}(\omega)} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{W^{3/4,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/4} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{3/4} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{-\eta_{12}}) :: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Next, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \mathbb{H}_{-\eta_{12}}(\bar{\rho}_2, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2, \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} (1 - J_{-\eta_{12}}) \partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2 : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} J_{-\eta_{12}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2 \cdot \partial_t \Psi_{-\eta_{12}}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{-\eta_{12}} : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2(\mathbb{B}_{-\eta_{12}} - \mathbb{I})\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2 : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{B}_{-\eta_{12}} - \mathbb{I})^\top (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)^\top : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{-\eta_{12}}) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega_{\eta_1}} (1 - J_{-\eta_{12}})(\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2 - \bar{\rho}_2\mathbb{I}) : \mathbb{T}_{12} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &=: I_1 + \dots + I_6. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Then we have, by interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,4}(\omega)} \|\partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)} \|\partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 \|\partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + 2\delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\leq c \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 \|\partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , we use the equivalence $W^{3/2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1}) \equiv W^{1,4}(\Omega_{\eta_1})$ in 2-d and interpolation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{3/2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^4(\Omega_{\eta_1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^{4/3} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{2/3} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{2/3} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^{2/3} \\ &\leq \delta \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2 \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + c \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 + I_4 + I_5 &\lesssim \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2, \\ I_6 &\leq c \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 (\|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\bar{\rho}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2) + \delta \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt + \int_{I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim \exp \left(\int_{I_*} (1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2) dt \right) \\ &\times \left[\int_{I_*} \|\rho_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt + \int_{I_*} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 (\|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2) dt \right. \\ &\left. + \int_{I_*} (\|\partial_t \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\bar{\rho}_2\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2) \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 dt + \delta \int_{I_*} \|\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

which can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{I_*} \|\rho_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt + \int_{I_*} (\|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2) dt \\ &+ \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \delta \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

for any $\delta > 0$ by using the regularity of the individual terms. Similarly, for the difference of two strong solutions of (5.8), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in I_*} \|\rho_{12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \int_{I_*} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{I_*} (\|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2) dt \\ &+ \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \delta \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Combining the two estimates above therefore yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in I_*} (\|\rho_{12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\mathbb{T}_{12}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2) + \int_{I_*} (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2) dt \\ &\lesssim (1 + T_*) \left[\int_{I_*} (\|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2) dt + \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 + \delta \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Now, let consider two strong solutions $(\eta_i, \mathbf{u}_i, p_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ of (5.1)–(5.6) with data $(\mathbf{f}, g, \eta_0, \eta_*, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbb{T}_i)$, respectively. The existence of these solutions is shown in [13, Theorem 2.5]. For

$$\mathbb{T}_{12} := \mathbb{T}_1 - \bar{\mathbb{T}}_2, \quad \mathbf{u}_{12} = \mathbf{u}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2, \quad \eta_{12} = \eta_1 - \eta_2,$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{T}}_2 := \mathbb{T}_2 \circ \Psi_{\eta_2 - \eta_1}$, it follows from [17, Remark 5.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_*} (\|\mathbf{u}_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \eta_{12}\|_{W^{1,2}(\omega)}^2) dt + \sup_{t \in I_*} \|\eta_{12}\|_{W^{2,2}(\omega)}^2 \lesssim \int_{I_*} \|\mathbb{T}_{12}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\eta_1})}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim T_* \|(\rho_{12}, \mathbb{T}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (5.30) then yields

$$\|(\rho_{12}, \mathbb{T}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2 \lesssim (1 + T_*) \left[\delta + T_* \|(\rho_{12}, \mathbb{T}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2 \right]. \quad (5.31)$$

Since a contraction map holds trivially when $\|(\underline{\rho}_{12}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2 = 0$, we may assume that $\|(\underline{\rho}_{12}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2$ is strictly positive and bounded the sum of the individual terms $\rho_1, \underline{\rho}_2, \mathbb{T}_1$ and $\underline{\mathbb{T}}_2$ in Y_{η_1} . In this case, we can divide and multiply the δ -term in (5.31) by $\|(\rho_{12}, \mathbb{T}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2$ such that for $\delta > 0$ and $T_* > 0$ chosen small enough, we obtain

$$\|(\rho_{12}, \mathbb{T}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\underline{\rho}_{12}, \underline{\mathbb{T}}_{12})\|_{Y_{\eta_1} \otimes Y_{\eta_1}}^2. \quad (5.32)$$

The existence of the desired fixed point now follows.

The strong solution being global in time follows from Proposition 3.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Dominic Breit for many useful discussions.

Author Contribution. The author wrote and reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest. The author declares that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barrett, J.W., Lu, Y., Süli, E.: Existence of large-data finite-energy global weak solutions to a compressible Oldroyd-B model. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **15**(5), 1265–1323 (2017).
- [2] Barrett, J.W., Schwab, C., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions for some polymeric flow models. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **15**(6), 939–983 (2005).
- [3] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to some regularized kinetic models for dilute polymers. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **6**(2), 506–546 (2007).
- [4] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to dumbbell models for dilute polymers with microscopic cut-off. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **18**(6), 935–971 (2008).
- [5] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers I: Finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chains. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **21**(6), 1211–1289 (2011).
- [6] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers II: Hookean-type models. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **22**(5), 1150,024, 84 (2012).
- [7] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers with variable density and viscosity. *J. Differential Equations* **253**(12), 3610–3677 (2012).
- [8] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to compressible isentropic finitely extensible bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* **26**(03), 469–568 (2016).
- [9] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to compressible isentropic finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers: the two-dimensional case. *J. Differential Equations* **261**(1), 592–626 (2016).
- [10] Barrett, J.W., Süli, E.: Existence of global weak solutions to the kinetic Hookean dumbbell model for incompressible dilute polymeric fluids. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **39**, 362–395 (2018).
- [11] Bathory, M., Bulíček, M., Málek, J.: Large data existence theory for three-dimensional unsteady flows of rate-type viscoelastic fluids with stress diffusion. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **10**(1), 501–521 (2021).
- [12] Bird, R.B., Curtiss, C.F., Armstrong, R.C., Hassager, O.: *Dynamics of polymeric liquids. vol. 2: Kinetic theory.* Wiley, New York (1987).
- [13] Breit, D.: Regularity results in 2d fluid-structure interaction. *Math. Ann.*, 1–44 (2022).
- [14] Breit, D., Mensah, P.R.: An incompressible polymer fluid interacting with a Koiter shell. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **31**(1), Paper No. 25, 56 (2021).
- [15] Breit, D., Mensah, P.R.: Local well-posedness of the compressible FENE dumbbell model of Warner type. *Nonlinearity* **34**(5), 2715–2749 (2021).
- [16] Breit, D., Mensah, P.R., Moyo, T.C.: Martingale solutions in stochastic fluid-structure interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08519* (2023).
- [17] Breit, D., Mensah, P.R., Su, P., Schwarzacher, S.: On the Serrin condition in fluid-structure interaction. *arXiv preprint arXiv: (2023)*.
- [18] Breit, D., Mensah, P.R.: Existence of a local strong solution to the beam-polymeric fluid interaction system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04809* (2023).
- [19] Chen, Y., Zhu, Y.: Global well-posedness of 2D incompressible Oldroyd-B model with only velocity dissipation. *J. Differential Equations* **376**, 606–632 (2023).
- [20] Constantin, P.: Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Navier-Stokes systems. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **3**(4), 531–544 (2005).

- [21] Constantin, P., Fefferman, C., Titi, E.S., Zarnescu, A.: Regularity of coupled two-dimensional nonlinear Fokker-Planck and Navier-Stokes systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **270**(3), 789–811 (2007).
- [22] Constantin, P., Kliegl, M.: Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **206**(3), 725–740 (2012).
- [23] Constantin, P., Sun, W.: Remarks on Oldroyd-B and related complex fluid models. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **10**(1), 33–73 (2012).
- [24] Elgindi, T.M., Liu, J.: Global wellposedness to the generalized Oldroyd type models in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differential Equations* **259**(5), 1958–1966 (2015).
- [25] Elgindi, T.M., Rousset, F.: Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68**(11), 2005–2021 (2015).
- [26] Fang, D., Zi, R.: Global solutions to the Oldroyd-B model with a class of large initial data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **48**(2), 1054–1084 (2016).
- [27] Feireisl, E., Lu, Y., Süli, E.: Dissipative weak solutions to compressible Navier-Stokes-Fokker-Planck systems with variable viscosity coefficients. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **443**(1), 322–351 (2016).
- [28] Guidoboni, G., Guidorzi, M., Padula, M.: Continuous dependence on initial data in fluid-structure motions. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **14**(1), 1–32 (2012).
- [29] Guillopé, C., Saut, J.C.: Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law. *Nonlinear Anal.* **15**(9), 849–869 (1990).
- [30] Hu, X., Lin, F.: Global solutions of two-dimensional incompressible viscoelastic flows with discontinuous initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **69**(2), 372–404 (2016).
- [31] Jourdain, B., Lelièvre, T., Le Bris, C.: Existence of solution for a micro-macro model of polymeric fluid: the FENE model. *J. Funct. Anal.* **209**(1), 162–193 (2004).
- [32] Kreml, O., Pokorný, M.: On the local strong solutions for the FENE dumbbell model. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **3**(2), 311–324 (2010).
- [33] Lei, Z., Zhou, Y.: Global existence of classical solutions for the two-dimensional Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37**(3), 797–814 (2005).
- [34] Lengeler, D., Růžička, M.: Weak solutions for an incompressible Newtonian fluid interacting with a Koiter type shell. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **211**(1), 205–255 (2014).
- [35] Li, T., Zhang, H., Zhang, P.: Local existence for the dumbbell model of polymeric fluids. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **29**(5-6), 903–923 (2004).
- [36] Lions, P.L., Masmoudi, N.: Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **21**(2), 131–146 (2000).
- [37] Luo, W., Yin, Z.: Global existence and well-posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **37**, 457–488 (2017).
- [38] Masmoudi, N.: Well-posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **61**(12), 1685–1714 (2008).
- [39] Masmoudi, N.: Global existence of weak solutions to the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Invent. Math.* **191**(2), 427–500 (2013).
- [40] Muha, B., Schwarzacher, S.: Existence and regularity for weak solutions for a fluid interacting with a non-linear shell in 3d. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **39**, 1369–1412 (2022).
- [41] Renardy, M.: An existence theorem for model equations resulting from kinetic theories of polymer solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **22**(2), 313–327 (1991).
- [42] Schwarzacher, S., Sroczinski, M.: Weak-strong uniqueness for an elastic plate interacting with the Navier-Stokes equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **54**(4), 4104–4138 (2022).
- [43] Wu, J., Zhao, J.: Global regularity for the generalized incompressible Oldroyd-B model with only stress tensor dissipation in critical Besov spaces. *J. Differential Equations* **316**, 641–686 (2022).
- [44] Zhai, X.: Global solutions to the n -dimensional incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism. *J. Math. Phys.* **62**(2), Paper No. 021,503, 17 (2021).
- [45] Zhang, H., Zhang, P.: Local existence for the FENE-dumbbell model of polymeric fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **181**(2), 373–400 (2006).
- [46] Zhu, Y.: Global small solutions of 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism. *J. Funct. Anal.* **274**(7), 2039–2060 (2018).
- [47] Zi, R., Fang, D., Zhang, T.: Global solution to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in the critical L^p framework: the case of the non-small coupling parameter. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **213**(2), 651–687 (2014).