

ALTERNATING PATTERNS IN COMMUTATOR MONOMIALS

GYULA LAKOS

ABSTRACT. Considering commutator monomials of the non-commutative associative variables X_1, \dots, X_n ; we determine the maximal possible number of alternating associative monomials in their noncommutative polynomial expansions. This is achieved by replacing generating functions with polytope sequences, which turn out to be finitely generated in some sense.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

We call a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ alternating, if $\sigma(k)$ is not between $\sigma(k-1)$ and $\sigma(k+1)$ for any $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. This terminology is somewhat different from the one of Flajolet, Sedgewick [4] or Stanley [7], whose “forward” alternating permutations are required to do not start with ascents.

The following question was originally motivated by investigations concerning the convergence of the Magnus expansion in Banach–Lie algebras, cf. [5]. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are noncommutative associative variables. Let us consider the commutator monomials M built up from X_1, \dots, X_n by $n-1$ many “Lie” commutators (i. e. commutators $[Y, Z] = YZ - ZY$), with all variables used; e. g. $M = [[X_5, [X_1, X_4]], [X_3, X_4]]$ for $n = 5$. We ask what is the maximal number r_n of associative monomials $X_{\sigma(1)} \cdots X_{\sigma(n)}$ in the expanded M such that σ is alternating. Trivially, the answer is the same if we ask the question about “Jacobi” commutators (i. e. commutators $\{Y, Z\} = YZ + ZY$). (The reader is free to reformulate the question in terms of binary trees, purely in combinatorial terms.) Although, ultimately, the answer turns out to be not particularly informative for the purposes the of Magnus expansion, the question is a strangely engaging one.

It is not hard too see that the expected number of alternating associative monomials in the commutators monomials above (averaged over permutations of the variables X_1, \dots, X_n) is asymptotically $\sim (4/\pi)^{n+1}$, cf. Appendix A. Thus, asymptotically, we expect at least that much for r_n . The exact answer is

Theorem 1.1. *The number r_n is given by the table*

n	1	2	3	4	5	$6 + 4k$	$7 + 4k$	$8 + 4k$	$9 + 4k$
r_n	1	2	4	6	8	$14 \cdot 6^k$	$24 \cdot 6^k$	$36 \cdot 6^k$	$56 \cdot 6^k$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, this is asymptotically $c_{n \bmod 4} \cdot (6^{1/4})^n$; which is not that much greater than the averaged value. (Being $4/\pi = 1.27323\dots$ and $6^{1/4} = 1.56508\dots$)

Although it is not our primary interest, we may also ask about the maximal possible number r_n^{forw} of monomials of “forward” alternating type in commutator monomials.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05A05, Secondary: 05A15, 52B12.

Key words and phrases. Alternating permutations, commutator polynomials, convex geometry of polytopes.

Theorem 1.2. *The number r_n^{forw} is given by the table*

n	1	2	3	$4 + 4k$	$5 + 4k$	$6 + 4k$	$7 + 4k$
r_n^{forw}	1	1	2	$3 \cdot 6^k$	$6 \cdot 6^k$	$7 \cdot 6^k$	$14 \cdot 6^k$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similar comment applies about the asymptotic behaviour, except the averaged expected number is halved (for $n \geq 2$).

As the exact answers above are rather simple (with only $n \in \{1, 2, 5\}$ falling out of the general pattern in Theorem 1.1, and only $n \in \{2, 3\}$ being irregular in Theorem 1.2), one might hope that the theorems above can be proven by induction on n . This is sort of true, but, in practice, one gets quickly frustrated by the large number of combinatorial possibilities one faces even in low orders. A way to deal with the combinatorial multitude of the problem is, however, provided by convex geometry / linear programming. The objective of this paper is to explain this method. The basic idea is to replace generating functions with polytope sequences, which turn out to be finitely generated in some sense.

In order to do so, we extend our terminology. Firstly, we will relax the requirement that in the commutator monomials we use exactly the variables X_1, \dots, X_n with multiplicity 1. Instead, we allow to use arbitrary variables X_i with $i \in \mathbb{N}^* \equiv \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. In general, a function $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ will be called alternating if, for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, it holds that $\sigma(k) \neq \sigma(k+1)$, and, for any $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, it holds that $\sigma(k)$ is not between $\sigma(k-1)$ and $\sigma(k+1)$. If P is a homogeneous polynomial of order n of some noncommutative variables X_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}^*$),

$$(1) \quad P = \sum_{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*} p_\sigma X_{\sigma(1)} \cdots X_{\sigma(n)},$$

then we let

$$\|P\|_{\text{alt}} := \sum_{\substack{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \\ \text{is alternating}}} |p_\sigma|.$$

We can ask what is the maximal number of $\|M\|_{\text{alt}}$, where M is a commutator monomial of order n of some variables X_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}^*$). The answer is r_n again. Indeed, if M was a commutator monomial of the variables X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n} with $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n$, then we can consider a commutator monomial M^c which is like M but the variables are replaced by X_1, \dots, X_n . Then $\|M\|_{\text{alt}} \leq \|M^c\|_{\text{alt}}$, and $\|M^c\|_{\text{alt}}$ is just the number of alternating associative monomials in M^c . This argument applies for Lie commutators and Jacobi commutators alike. We may call this process as ‘‘combing out’’ the multiplicities. Note that this process is not deterministic: the result may vary because the variables with multiplicities can be replaced in multiple ways.

Let us fix here that we use only Jacobi commutators in the future, and we consider only polynomials (1) with $p_\sigma \geq 0$. Then we do not have to keep the alternating associative monomials in their individual form. For example, the associative monomial $X_2 X_5 X_2 X_8 X_1$ can be replaced by the symbol $X_{2 \nearrow \searrow 1}$, keeping only the first and last indices, and the information that they are followed or preceded by ascents or descents. Similarly, the associative monomial $X_2 X_5 X_6 X_8 X_1$ can be replaced by 0 as it is not an alternating monomial. In that way we obtain the linear factor space \mathcal{X} generated linearly by the terms \tilde{X}_i , $X_{i \nearrow j}$, $X_{i \searrow j}$, $X_{i \nearrow \searrow j}$, $X_{i \searrow \nearrow j}$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Note that the Lie and Jacobi commutators act naturally on \mathcal{X} . However, in the Jacobi commutator case (with nonnegative coefficients), $\|\cdot\|_{\text{alt}}$ is compatible with the factorization process. Ultimately, on $\mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$, we have a natural action of the Jacobi commutator, and an induced

norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{alt}}$ (old notation is kept) with respect to additivity and nonnegative scaling. Written explicitly, if

$$(2) \quad \tilde{P} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_i \tilde{X}_i + \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*} (p_{i \nearrow j} X_{i \nearrow j} + p_{i \searrow j} X_{i \searrow j} + p_{i \swarrow j} X_{i \swarrow j} + p_{i \nwarrow j} X_{i \nwarrow j})$$

with nonnegative coefficients (i. e. it is in $\mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$), then

$$\|\tilde{P}\|_{\text{alt}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_i + \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*} (p_{i \nearrow j} + p_{i \searrow j} + p_{i \swarrow j} + p_{i \nwarrow j}).$$

(Algebraically, with respect to the commutators, $\tilde{X}_i = \tilde{X}_{i \nearrow i} + \tilde{X}_{i \searrow i}$, where $\tilde{}$ is lost after being commutated.) If \tilde{P} is as in (2), then we let

$$\text{supp } \tilde{P} = \bigcup_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ p_i \neq 0}} \{i\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ p_{i \nearrow j} \neq 0 \text{ or } p_{i \searrow j} \neq 0 \text{ or } p_{i \swarrow j} \neq 0 \text{ or } p_{i \nwarrow j} \neq 0}} \{i, j\};$$

i. e. the set of indices which occur in the expansion of \tilde{P} . If $f : \text{supp } \tilde{P} \subset I \subset \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ is a (not necessarily strictly) monotone increasing function, then we let

$$(3) \quad \pi_f \tilde{P} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} p_i \tilde{X}_{f(i)} + \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*} (p_{i \nearrow j} X_{f(i) \nearrow f(j)} + p_{i \searrow j} X_{f(i) \searrow f(j)} + p_{i \swarrow j} X_{f(i) \swarrow f(j)} + p_{i \nwarrow j} X_{f(i) \nwarrow f(j)}).$$

Note that $\|\tilde{P}\|_{\text{alt}} = \|\pi_f \tilde{P}\|_{\text{alt}}$. If f is injective on the support of \tilde{P} , then $\pi_f \tilde{P}$ is called a relabeling of P . If f is defined on the support of \tilde{P} , sending the support to a set of shape $\{1, \dots, k\}$, then $\pi_f \tilde{P}$ is called a regular projection of P . Note that any \tilde{P} has typically multiple but only finitely many regular projections. We have a regular relabeling when the support $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ (with $i_s < i_{s+1}$) is sent to $\{1, \dots, k\}$. The regular relabeling $\text{reg } \tilde{P}$ is unique. In general, any projection π_f can be imagined as a succession of a regular projection and a relabeling. If $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ (with $i_s < i_{s+1}$) is the support of P , and f sends i_s to j_s , then we may use the notation

$$\pi_f \tilde{P} \equiv \pi_{\substack{[i_1, \dots, i_k] \\ [j_1, \dots, j_k]}} \tilde{P}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$. We let (the projected set) $\text{proj } \mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $\pi_f x$, where $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and f is defined on the support of x . We let (the decreased set) $\text{dec } \mathcal{S}$ be the set of those $y \in \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$ for which there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $0 \leq y \leq x$ (monomial-wise). Furthermore, let $\text{conv } \mathcal{S}$ be the set of convex combinations from \mathcal{S} . Then, we define the projective generatum $\text{pgen } \mathcal{S}$ of \mathcal{S} as $\text{conv dec proj } \mathcal{S}$. It is easy to see that $\text{pgen } \mathcal{S}$ will be closed for the operations conv , dec , proj . Now, proj can be decomposed into two steps: In general, we let (the regularly projected set) $\text{proj}_0 \mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $\pi_f x$, where $\pi_f x$ is a regular projection, and we let (the relabeled set) $\text{rlb } \mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $\pi_f x$, where $\pi_f x$ is a relabeling. Thus $\text{proj } \mathcal{S} = \text{rlb proj}_0 \mathcal{S}$. We define the relatively linear generatum $\text{rgen } \mathcal{S}$ of \mathcal{S} as $\text{conv dec rlb } \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\text{pgen } \mathcal{S} = \text{rgen proj}_0 \mathcal{S}.$$

All these operations leave $\|S\|_{\text{alt}} = \sup_{x \in S} \|x\|_{\text{alt}}$ invariant. Also, the operations above are compatible to the Jacobian commutators in the following sense: the Jacobian commutator of convex combinations of is a convex combination of Jacobian commutators;

the Jacobian commutator of decreased terms of is a decreased term of the Jacobian commutator of the original terms; the Jacobian commutator of projections is a decreased term of a projection of a Jacobian commutator of some relabelings of the original terms with disjoint supports (this is a case for combing out some multiplicities).

Let $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ be the set all (Jacobian) commutator monomials built up from the starting elements $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$ using $n - 1$ many commutators (with all starting elements used). Then $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ contains finitely many elements with $r_n = \|\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}\|_{\text{alt}}$. We define

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \text{pgen } \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}.$$

Then we have $r_n = \|\mathcal{B}_n\|_{\text{alt}}$. Also note that $\mathcal{B}_n = \text{rgen}(\text{proj}_0 \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}})$, where $\text{proj}_0 \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ is still finite. The problem is that the generating systems above for \mathcal{B}_n are quite large. Yet, we may hope that \mathcal{B}_n allows simpler generating systems. And this is, indeed, the case. In order to formulate the corresponding result, we define the normalized sets

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \frac{1}{(6^{1/4})^n} \mathcal{B}_n.$$

Now we can state

Theorem 1.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_2 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_6 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_{10} = \mathcal{R}_{14} = \dots & \quad (\text{stabilizes}); \\ \mathcal{R}_3 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_7 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_{11} \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_{15} = \mathcal{R}_{19} = \dots & \quad (\text{stabilizes}); \\ \mathcal{R}_4 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_8 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{R}_{16} = \dots & \quad (\text{stabilizes}); \\ \mathcal{R}_5 \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_9 = \mathcal{R}_{13} = \dots & \quad (\text{stabilizes}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 1.3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are “easy” to check: It is sufficient to verify $n \in \{1, \dots, 12, 15\}$; and the rest follows by the stabilization property. This, however, still involves checking several cases, and Theorem 1.3 is expectedly even harder. What makes the situation tractable is that there are generating systems of tractable size for \mathcal{R}_i . The content of the next section is the description of these generating systems and the outline of the proofs of the above mentioned theorems.

2. COMMUTATOR POLYTOPES AND THEIR GENERATING SETS

Before describing the various \mathcal{B}_n or \mathcal{R}_n by small generating sets, let us consider what kind of nice generating sets we might hope for. Here we use some convex geometry; see Matoušek, Gärtner [6] for more about that.

Firstly, let us consider the relatively linear (rgen-) generating sets for \mathcal{B}_n . We know that \mathcal{B}_n is relatively linearly generated by $\text{proj}_0 \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$, which is finite set, and regular in the sense that the supports are regular (i. e. of shape $\{1, \dots, k\}$). We will see that there is a single minimal regular relatively linear generating set for \mathcal{B}_n , which is then necessarily is a subset of $\text{proj}_0 \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$. In general, for a finite set $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{N}^*$, let

$$\mathcal{S}|_{\mathcal{I}} = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : \text{supp } x \subset \mathcal{I}\}.$$

For later use, we also introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{S}|_{\text{reg}} = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : x = \text{reg } x\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{conv dec } \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}},$$

where, in general, $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{S}$ is the set of projections where the support of the elements of \mathcal{S} is sent to a subset of \mathcal{I} . Here, $\mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}|_{\mathcal{I}}$, the latter one being a finite dimensional

positive space. Now $\text{conv}^+ = \text{conv dec}$ is a kind of convex closure on $\mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}|_{\mathcal{I}}$, for which there is a minimal generating set $\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ such that

$$\text{conv dec proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} = \text{conv dec ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}.$$

In fact, in the dual viewpoint ext^+ is set points which are singular extrema for strictly positive linear functionals on $\mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Here the finitely generatedness was crucial. In any case,

$$\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}.$$

(And note that ext^+ is always a selection of elements.) Up to this point we have used only convex geometry and finitely generatedness. What is less trivial is that for $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}'$

$$\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}'} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$$

holds, i. e. there is no loss of extremal points. (Indeed, for $x \in (\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}) \setminus (\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}'} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}})$, due to the support, the element would be strictly majorized by an element z of $\mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}'}$, but then a projection of z to \mathcal{I} would strictly majorize x , which is a contradiction.) Due to relabelings, it is clear that in the structure of $\mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}}$ only the cardinality of \mathcal{I} is important. Thus it is sufficient to consider the cases $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We have a sequence of sets

$$(4) \quad \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \dots \subset \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \dots$$

As extremal points will be invariant for relabelings, these sets can be characterized by the regular restrictions

$$(5) \quad \left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} \subset \dots \subset \left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$$

(and the knowledge of the full support sets $\{1, \dots, k\}$). This latter sequence will stabilize due finitely generatedness by $\left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$ (as further extensions introduce only relabelings). Here m is the smallest value for which the sequence stabilizes, and we know that $m \leq n$. Every generating set of \mathcal{B}_n with respect to $\text{conv}^+ \equiv \text{conv dec}$ must contain all relabelings of $\left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$; and, conversely, the relabelings already form a generating set for \mathcal{B}_n . Moreover, every regular generating set of \mathcal{B}_n with respect to $\text{rgen} \equiv \text{conv dec rlb}$ must contain $\left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$, which already forms a regular relatively linear generating set. We emphasize that the hierarchy of extremal sets depend only on \mathcal{B}_n as

$$(6) \quad \text{ext}^+ \mathcal{B}_n|_{\{1, \dots, k\}} = \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}};$$

and for the regular extremal points

$$\left(\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{B}_n|_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} = \left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}.$$

In general, $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ can be replaced by any finite projective generating set \mathcal{G}_n of \mathcal{B}_n . In that case, we know $m \leq \max \text{card supp } \mathcal{G}_n$ (maximal cardinality of support); which information might be of advantage, as we might have to compute the extremal sets in fewer cases.

Next we consider projective (pgen-) generating sets for \mathcal{B}_n . Here $\mathcal{B}_n = \text{pgen } \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \text{pgen } \mathcal{S}$. Now, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ fits this role, but

according to Theorem 1.3 we cannot hope for a single minimal projective generating set for \mathcal{B}_n , and there are ones possibly disjoint from $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$. Let

$$(7) \quad \text{top}_\pi \text{reg ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$$

be denote the set those elements $x \in \text{reg ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ for which there are no $y \in \text{reg ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ such that $x = \pi_f y$ with some f . Due to finiteness and the natural filtration by the support, we see that (7) yields a projective generating system for \mathcal{B}_n . Again, we note that this generating system depends only on \mathcal{B}_n , canonically.

We define the cleaning operation $T : \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$ which simply replaces the terms $X_{i \nearrow \searrow i}$, $X_{i \searrow \nearrow i}$, $X_{i \nearrow \nearrow i}$, $X_{i \searrow \searrow i}$ by 0. We say that $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\geq 0}$ is clean if $Tx = x$. In particular, the elements of $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ are clean: Assume that $x \in \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ is not clean. We know that there is an element $y \in \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ such that $x = \pi_f y$ with some f . Then

$$(8) \quad y \leq c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_s x_s,$$

where $x_i \in \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ and $c_i > 0$ with $c_1 + \dots + c_s = 1$. Applying π_f , we see that

$$(9) \quad x \leq c_1 \pi_f x_1 + \dots + c_s \pi_f x_s.$$

Then by the extremality of x we see that $x = \pi_f x_i$ and there is equality in (9). As projection leaves $\|\cdot\|_{\text{alt}}$ invariant, this forces equality in (8). As y is clean, this forces the x_i to be clean. As $x = \pi_f x_i$, we see that x is π -topped by a clean x_i . In general, this argument shows that (7) contains only clean elements.

This observation helps a little bit to recover (7), as it is sufficient to consider clean elements. We may consider the sets

$$(10) \quad \text{ext}^+ T \mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}};$$

(restriction to \mathcal{I} and cleaning commute), which leads to the sequence

$$(11) \quad \text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\{1\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}, \dots, \text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}, \dots$$

(The first set is empty, of course.) This is simpler than (4) in the sense that

$$\text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} = \text{ext}^+ T \text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}},$$

in general; thus the structure of the individual sets is reduced. On the other hand, (10) is not necessarily monotone in \mathcal{I} , and it is not necessarily closed for relabelings inside \mathcal{I} . In particular, (11) cannot be characterized simply by the regular restriction.

For this reason, in general, let

$$\text{ext}^{+0} \mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{J}} \text{ext}^+ \mathcal{S}|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Then

$$(12) \quad \text{ext}^{+0} T \mathcal{B}_n|_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}.$$

This is clearly monotone in \mathcal{I} . The sequence

$$(13) \quad \text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \dots \subset \text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \subset \dots$$

is more similar to (4); its members are closed for relabelings (in the indicated support set), thus they can be characterized by the regular restriction. In fact,

$$(14) \quad (\text{ext}^{+0} T \mathcal{B}_n|_{\{1, \dots, k\}})|_{\text{reg}} = \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right)|_{\text{reg}} =$$

$$= \left(\text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\{1\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} \cup \dots \cup \left(\text{ext}^+ T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, k\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}.$$

Here the stabilizing threshold will be the same $k = m$, the maximal cardinality for the supports in (7). Then

$$(15) \quad \text{top}_\pi \left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} = \text{top}_{T\pi} \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}};$$

where the latter set contains those elements $x \in \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$ such that there is no element $y \in \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}} \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}$ with the property $x = T\pi fy$ with some f . As we have seen, via (10), the extremal points in (12) are all cleaned versions of (6) except possibly not all of those. This looks like a small gain, but in a computationally heavy environment it counts a bit. Again, the projective generating set $\mathcal{B}_n^{\text{cmon}}$ of \mathcal{B}_n can be replaced by any other finite \mathcal{G}_n . Altogether, we find:

The theoretically ideal way to describe \mathcal{B}_n is by the ‘‘full canonical generating system’’

$$\left(\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{B}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} = \left(\text{ext}^+ \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{G}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}.$$

A cruder but more practical way is by the ‘‘reduced canonical generating system’’

$$\left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \mathcal{B}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} = \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \text{proj}_{\{1, \dots, m\}} \mathcal{G}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}.$$

Finally, a concise way to describe \mathcal{B}_n is by the ‘‘top canonical generating system’’

$$\text{top}_\pi \left(\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{B}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}} = \text{top}_{T\pi} \left(\text{ext}^{+0} T \mathcal{B}_n \right) \Big|_{\text{reg}}.$$

These are all natural projective generating sets for \mathcal{B}_n not depending on choice of \mathcal{G}_n .

Now, \mathcal{R}_n is the same as \mathcal{B}_n but multiplied by $6^{-n/4}$. \mathcal{R}_1 is projectively generated by

$$R_1^{[1]} = \frac{1}{6^{1/4}} X_1.$$

For the sake of the next statement, we introduce the notation

$$[A, B] = \frac{1}{6^{1/4}} \{A, B\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. (a) *The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_2 is given by the single element*

$$R_1^{[2]} = \pi_{[1,2]}^{[1,2]} [\pi_{[1]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}].$$

(b) *The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_3 is given by the single element*

$$R_1^{[3]} = \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}].$$

(c) *The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_4 is given by $R_1^{[4]}, R_2^{[4]}$; where:*

$$R_1^{[4]} = \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[2,3,4]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}]$$

$$R_2^{[4]} = \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}]$$

(d) *The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_5 is given by $R_1^{[5]}, \dots, R_8^{[5]}$; where:*

$$R_1^{[5]} = \pi_{[1,2,2]}^{[1,2,3]} [\pi_{[1]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}]$$

$$R_2^{[5]} = \pi_{[1,1,2]}^{[2,3,4]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}]$$

$$R_3^{[5]} = \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4^{[5]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_5^{[5]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,4]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_6^{[5]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[2,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_7^{[5]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_8^{[5]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[2,4]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[1,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}]
\end{aligned}$$

(e) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_6 is given by $R_1^{[2]}$ and $R_1^{[6]}, \dots, R_{15}^{[6]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_2^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_3^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4]}^{[2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_4^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]}] \\
R_5^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]}] \\
R_6^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]}] \\
R_7^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,2,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_8^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_9^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_{10}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_{11}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_{12}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}] \\
R_{13}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2]}^{[1,2]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3]}^{[1,2]} R_2^{[5]}] \\
R_{14}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]}] \\
R_{15}^{[6]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]}]
\end{aligned}$$

Remark:

$$(16) \quad R_1^{[2]} = \pi_{[1,1,2]}^{[1,2,3]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[5]}].$$

(f) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_7 is given by $R_1^{[3]}$ and $R_1^{[7]}, \dots, R_{28}^{[7]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_1^{[6]}] \\
R_2^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_2^{[6]}] \\
R_3^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_9^{[6]}] \\
R_4^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]}] \\
R_5^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[6]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{12}^{[6]}] \\
R_6^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_7^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,2,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_8^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_9^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{10}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,2,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{11}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{12}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{13}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{14}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{15}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{16}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{17}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{18}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{19}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,2]}^{[1,2,3,4]} [\pi_{[1]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_1^{[6]}] \\
R_{20}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,1,1,2]}^{[2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_1^{[6]}] \\
R_{21}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,4,5,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_{22}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,4,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,7]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_{23}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[3,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_{24}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[3,7]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]}] \\
R_{25}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{26}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}] \\
R_{27}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_{28}^{[7]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}]
\end{aligned}$$

(g) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_8 is given by $R_1^{[4]}$, $R_2^{[4]}$ and $R_1^{[8]}$, \dots , $R_{31}^{[8]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,2]}^{[2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}] \\
R_2^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_3^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_4^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,4]}^{[2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}] \\
R_5^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_6^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_{21}^{[7]}] \\
R_7^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_{21}^{[7]}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_8^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_9^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{10}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{11}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{12}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{13}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{14}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{15}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{16}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{17}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[2,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{18}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{19}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{20}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{21}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[2,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{22}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{23}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{24}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{25}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{26}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{27}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[3,5,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{28}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{29}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,4,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{30}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[5]} \right] \\
R_{31}^{[8]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[5]} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(h) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_9 is given by $R_1^{[5]}, \dots, R_8^{[5]}$ and $R_1^{[9]}, \dots, R_{81}^{[9]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,1,1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} \left[\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_2^{[8]} \right] \\
R_2^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,4,5,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \left[\pi_{[1,2,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_9^{[6]} \right] \\
R_3^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,2,3,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \left[\pi_{[3,8,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_9^{[6]} \right] \\
R_4^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6,7,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \left[\pi_{[1,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]} \right] \\
R_5^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \left[\pi_{[1,6,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{66}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[2,7,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{12}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{67}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,7,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{12}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{68}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,7,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{69}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,7,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,6,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{70}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[3,7,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{12}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{71}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[3,7,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{12}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{72}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[2,7,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{73}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[2,7,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{74}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[3,7,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{75}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[3,7,9]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{10}^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_{76}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[3,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]} \rfloor \\
R_{77}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,2,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]} \rfloor \\
R_{78}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]} \rfloor \\
R_{79}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]} \rfloor \\
R_{80}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]} \rfloor \\
R_{81}^{[9]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]} \rfloor
\end{aligned}$$

(i) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_{10} is given by $R_1^{[2]}$ and $R_1^{[6]}, \dots, R_{12}^{[6]}$ and $R_1^{[10]}, \dots, R_8^{[10]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,1,1,2,2]}^{[2,3,4,5,6]} \lfloor \pi_{[5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_1^{[6]} \rfloor \\
R_2^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[2,3,4]} \lfloor \pi_{[2]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_3^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3]}^{[1,2,3]} \lfloor \pi_{[3]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_4^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,5]}^{[1,2,4,5,6,7,8]} \lfloor \pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_4^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_5^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} \lfloor \pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{16}^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_6^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4,5,6,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} \lfloor \pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} R_{55}^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_7^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,3,4,5,6,6]}^{[1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} \lfloor \pi_{[7]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} R_{64}^{[9]} \rfloor \\
R_8^{[10]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]}, \pi_{[4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]} \rfloor
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: Here $R_{13}^{[6]}, \dots, R_{15}^{[6]}$ are generated out by the system above.

(j) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_{11} is given by $R_1^{[3]}$ and $R_1^{[7]}, \dots, R_{18}^{[7]}$ and $R_1^{[11]}, \dots, R_{67}^{[11]}$; where:

$$R_1^{[11]} = \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} \lfloor \pi_{[1,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]} \rfloor$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{32}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_2^{[8]}] \\
R_{33}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_2^{[8]}] \\
R_{34}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[8]}] \\
R_{35}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[8]}] \\
R_{36}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_{37}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{38}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{39}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[1,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}] \\
R_{40}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[3,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,4,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{41}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{42}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_{43}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{44}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{45}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}] \\
R_{46}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,3,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{47}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{48}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{49}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,5,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{50}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,2,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{51}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,2,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{52}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{53}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{54}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,3,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{55}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{56}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[7]}] \\
R_{57}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[1,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[7]}] \\
R_{58}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}] \\
R_{59}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_{60}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[2,3,8]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}] \\
R_{61}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[2,3,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_5^{[7]}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{62}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[1,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[2,3,4,5,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_{63}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[2,6,7]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,3,4,5,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} R_6^{[7]}] \\
R_{64}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} [\pi_{[1,2,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]}, \pi_{[3,4,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_9^{[6]}] \\
R_{65}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[5,6,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]}] \\
R_{66}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,4,5,6,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]} [\pi_{[1,2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_3^{[5]}, \pi_{[4,5,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_9^{[6]}] \\
R_{67}^{[11]} &= \pi_{[1,2,2,3,4,4,5,6,7]}^{[1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[5,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_4^{[5]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} R_{11}^{[6]}]
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: Here $R_{19}^{[7]}, \dots, R_{28}^{[7]}$ are generated out by the system above.

(k) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_{12} is given by $R_1^{[4]}, R_2^{[4]}$ and $R_1^{[8]}, \dots, R_5^{[8]}$ and $R_1^{[12]}, \dots, R_8^{[12]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{20}^{[11]}] \\
R_2^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{22}^{[11]}] \\
R_3^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[5]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{24}^{[11]}] \\
R_4^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]} [\pi_{[4]}^{[1]} R_1^{[1]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,5,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{26}^{[11]}] \\
R_5^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]}] \\
R_6^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]}] \\
R_7^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]}] \\
R_8^{[12]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6]} [\pi_{[1,3,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[2,4,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]}]
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: Here $R_6^{[8]}, \dots, R_{31}^{[8]}$ are generated out by the system above.

(l) The top canonical generating system for \mathcal{R}_{15} is given by $R_1^{[3]}$ and $R_1^{[7]}, \dots, R_{18}^{[7]}$ and $R_1^{[11]}, \dots, R_{67}^{[11]}$ and $R_1^{[15]}, \dots, R_{10}^{[15]}$; where:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,3,4,5,6,7,8,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[1,2,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{50}^{[11]}] \\
R_2^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[6,9,10]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{52}^{[11]}] \\
R_3^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,5,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{46}^{[11]}] \\
R_4^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[1,2,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,5,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{50}^{[11]}] \\
R_5^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[1,2,5]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,6,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{46}^{[11]}] \\
R_6^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[1,2,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[4]}, \pi_{[3,4,5,7,8,9,10]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{50}^{[11]}] \\
R_7^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[5,9,10]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,6,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{52}^{[11]}] \\
R_8^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[6,9,10]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,7,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{48}^{[11]}] \\
R_9^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[7,9,10]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{52}^{[11]}] \\
R_{10}^{[15]} &= \pi_{[1,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]} [\pi_{[7,9,10]}^{[1,2,3]} R_2^{[4]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5,6,8]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} R_{48}^{[11]}]
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the presentation of the generators like above is typically not unique. For example,

$$R_1^{[11]} = \pi_{[1,2,3,4,5]}^{[1,2,3,4,5]} [\pi_{[1,5]}^{[1,2]} R_1^{[2]}, \pi_{[2,3,4]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[9]}] = \pi_{[1,1,1,2,3,4,5,7]}^{[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]} [\pi_{[4,5,6]}^{[1,2,3]} R_1^{[3]}, \pi_{[1,2,3,7]}^{[1,2,3,4]} R_2^{[8]}].$$

The full and reduced canonical generating systems can also be computed explicitly. For obvious reasons, we write down only the cardinality of the generating systems here.

Theorem 2.2. *Regarding the cardinalities of the canonical generating systems:*

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	15
$\text{card}(\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{R}_n) _{\text{reg}}$	2	4	6	42	91	139	211	937	107	945	70	1253
$\text{card}(\text{ext}^{+0} T\mathcal{R}_n) _{\text{reg}}$	1	3	3	15	45	59	145	411	65	275	48	387
$\text{card top}_\pi(\text{ext}^+ \mathcal{R}_n) _{\text{reg}}$	1	1	2	8	16	29	33	89	21	86	15	96

Remark 2.3. If one interested only in the maximal asymptotical growth, then it is much easier, as the structure of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{odd}} = \text{conv}(\mathcal{R}_9 \cup \mathcal{R}_{15})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{even}} = \text{conv}(\mathcal{R}_{10} \cup \mathcal{R}_{12})$ is much simpler. Indeed, \mathcal{R}_{odd} is already projectively generated by $R_1^{[3]}, R_1^{[5]}, \dots, R_8^{[5]}, R_7^{[7]}, \dots, R_{18}^{[7]}, R_2^{[9]}, R_3^{[9]}, R_{76}^{[9]}, \dots, R_{81}^{[9]}$ (29 elements); and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{even}}$ is already projectively generated by $R_1^{[2]}, R_1^{[4]}, R_2^{[4]}, R_1^{[6]}, R_2^{[6]}, R_5^{[6]}, R_6^{[6]}, R_9^{[6]}, \dots, R_{12}^{[6]}, R_1^{[8]}, R_8^{[10]}$ (13 elements).

Then we can discuss

(*Sketch of*) *Proofs.* Let us consider the generating systems \mathcal{G}_n given in Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}} = \text{pgen } \mathcal{G}_n$. Firstly, we prove that \mathcal{G}_n is the top canonical generating system of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}}$. This can be done via computing the other canonical generating systems. In short, we prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 with respect to $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}}$ instead of \mathcal{R}_n ; except we keep the canonical reduced generating systems $\mathcal{G}_n^{\text{cr}}$ for further use. All this can be realized by using standard techniques of linear programming. Next, we prove the strict containment relations indicated in Theorem 1.3 but for $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}}$. We extend the notations $\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}}, \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{cr}}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1, \dots, 12, 15\}$ in the way expected from Theorem 1.3. Due to the construction of the generating elements and (16), we immediately see that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}} \subset \mathcal{R}_n$. Then we have to prove that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{cand}} = \mathcal{R}_n$. For this, it is sufficient to prove that if $A \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $B \in \mathcal{G}_m$, $n, m \in \{1, \dots, 12, 15\}$, and $a = \text{card supp } A$, $b = \text{card supp } B$, and $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, \dots, i_a\}$, $i_1 < \dots < i_a$, $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_b\}$ $j_1 < \dots < j_b$, and $\mathcal{I} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, a+b\}$, then

$$(17) \quad [\pi_{[i_1, \dots, i_a]}^{[1, \dots, a]} A, [\pi_{[j_1, \dots, j_b]}^{[1, \dots, b]} B]$$

is in $\text{rgen } \mathcal{G}_{a+b}^{\text{cr}}$. I. e. we have to prove that (17) is majorized by a convex combination of relabelings of $\mathcal{G}_{a+b}^{\text{cr}}$. This yields a finite number of problems in linear programming, but, due to the large number of cases, this is the computationally most intensive part of the proof. By this, Theorems 1.3, 2.1, and 2.2 are proven. Then Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are sufficient to check through the elements generating sets \mathcal{G}_n , which is straightforward. \square

Remark 2.4. Finding extremal values and coefficients for convex combinations can be done by linear programming. An accessible exact solver is `qsopt_ex` of Applegate, Cook, Dash, Espinoza [3]. However, here we need linear programming only for qualitative purposes. Hence corrected solutions obtained from generic numerical solutions are sufficient (at least, in our case). Ultimately, the computer algebraic software Maple 2015 can handle all computations on a personal computer in a typical configuration.

APPENDIX A. THE NUMBER OF ALTERNATING PERMUTATIONS

Let \tilde{E}_n be the number of those permutations $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ which are alternating. Here we also count the case $n = 0$. We may consider the exponential generating function

$$\tilde{E}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{E}_n}{n!} x^n.$$

A more practical variant is

$$E(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_n}{n!} x^n,$$

where $E_0 = E_1 = 1$, and $E_n = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{E}_n$, for $n \geq 2$. This yields the exponential generating function of the “forward” alternating permutations. (The coefficients are the [unsigned non-vanishing] Euler numbers.) It is well-known (see André [1]/[2], cf. [4], [7]) that

$$E(x) = \tan\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \sec x + \tan x.$$

This makes

$$\tilde{E}(x) = -1 - x + 2 \tan\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = (-1 + 2 \sec x) + (-x + 2 \tan x).$$

It is easy to see that asymptotically

$$\frac{\tilde{E}_n}{n!} \sim 4 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n+1}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the expected number of alternating monomials in commutator monomials of X_1, \dots, X_n (each variable with multiplicity 1) averaged over the permutations of the variables is

$$2^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{E}_n}{n!} \sim \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{n+1}$$

as $n \geq 1$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] André, D.: Développements de $\sec x$ et de $\tan x$. *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences* **88**, 1879. P. 965–967.
- [2] André, Désiré: Sur les permutations alternées. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées 3^e série* **7** (1881), p. 167–184
- [3] Applegate, David L.; Cook, William; Dash, Sanjeeb; Espinoza, Daniel G.: Exact solutions to linear programming problems. *Oper. Res. Lett.* **35** (2007), 693–699.
- [4] Flajolet, Philippe; Sedgewick, Robert: *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [5] Lakos, Gyula: *Convergence estimates for the Magnus expansion III. Banach–Lie algebras*. arXiv:1910.03330
- [6] Matoušek, Jiří; Gärtner, Bernd: *Understanding and using linear programming*. Universitext. Springer; Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [7] Stanley, Richard P.: A Survey of Alternating Permutations. In: *Combinatorics and Graphs*. (Brualdi, Richard A.; Hedayat, Samad; Kharaghani, Hadi; Khosrovshahi, Gholamreza B.; Shahriari, Shahriar eds.) Contemp. Math. 531. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. Pp. 165–196.

DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER
S. 1/C, BUDAPEST, H-1117, HUNGARY
Email address: lakos@cs.elte.hu