

# Random Surfaces and Higher Algebra

Darrick Lee and Harald Oberhauser

ABSTRACT. The space of surfaces  $X : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is equipped with natural horizontal and vertical concatenation operations, and we study representations of surfaces which preserve this algebraic structure. The framework of higher category theory allows us to formalize this notion in terms of a functor between double groupoids. We construct such functors by generalizing the classical concept of the path-ordered exponential as a nonabelian path integral. This generalization yields a notion of nonabelian surface integration, and is known in higher gauge theory as surface holonomy (or higher parallel transport). We extend these constructions from smooth surfaces to bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces in the Young regime, with  $p < 2$ . By focusing on surface holonomy valued in higher generalizations of the classical matrix groups, called matrix double groups, we obtain explicit computations for piecewise linear approximations. Furthermore, we generalize the nonabelian Stokes' and Fubini theorems to the Young regime. Finally, we use these matrix surface holonomy functors to construct characteristic functions for random surfaces such as fractional Brownian sheets with Hurst parameter  $h > \frac{1}{2}$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Paths and Groupoids	4
1.2. Surfaces and Double Groupoids	6
1.3. Contribution: Random and Nonsmooth Surfaces	8
1.4. Related Work	9
2. Path Holonomy Functors	10
2.1. Thin Fundamental Groupoid	10
2.2. Holonomy	12
3. Double Groupoids	13
3.1. Double Groupoids	14
3.2. Thin Fundamental Double Groupoid	16
4. Crossed Modules and Matrix Double Groups	18
4.1. Double Groups	18
4.2. Crossed Modules of Matrix Lie Groups	21
4.3. Crossed Modules of Matrix Lie Algebras	23
5. Smooth Surface Holonomy	24
5.1. Nonabelian Surface Integration	24
5.2. Matrix Surface Holonomy	30
6. Computational Matrix Surface Holonomy	32
6.1. Matrix Surface Holonomy for a Linear Surface	32
6.2. Piecewise Linear Surfaces	34
7. Young Surface Holonomy	35
7.1. Surface Holonomy for Young Surfaces	37
7.2. Young Double Groupoids	38
8. Parametrized Surface Holonomy	45
8.1. Characterizing the Boundary	46
8.2. Characterizing the Interior	47
9. Characteristicness and Random Surfaces	50
9.1. Universality and Characteristicness	50
9.2. Metrics for Random Surfaces	52
9.3. Fractional Brownian Sheets	53
10. Discussion	54
Appendix A. Notation and Conventions	56
Appendix B. Characteristic Functions from Path Holonomy Functors	58
Appendix C. Further Details on Matrix Double Groups	60
C.1. From Internal Categories to Matrix Crossed Modules	60
C.2. The $*$ -Exponential	62
C.3. Direct Sums and Inclusions	64
C.4. Tensor Product of Surface Holonomy	65
Appendix D. Special Case of Surface Holonomy of a Linear Map	67
Appendix E. Technical Details of Young Surface Holonomy	69
E.1. Preliminaries on Young Integration	69
E.2. Regularity of Young Surface Holonomy	74
E.3. Continuity of Surface Holonomy	79
Appendix F. Piecewise Linear Approximations	87
Appendix G. Closure of Smooth Surfaces in Controlled $p$ -Variation Norm	90
Appendix H. Characterization of Surfaces using Polynomial Integrals	92
Appendix I. Towards Brownian Sheets	94
References	97

## 1. Introduction

A fundamental task in probability theory is to find structured and computable representations of the law of a random variable that takes values in a space  $\mathcal{X}$ . If the space is linear, such as  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ , then the classic characteristic function (Fourier transform)

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu}[\exp(i\alpha X)] \equiv \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp(i\alpha x) \mu(dx),$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , provides such a representation of the probability measure  $\mu$  or equivalently, the law of  $X$ . As is well-known, one can generalize this construction to probability measures  $\mu$  on a compact Hausdorff topological group  $\mathcal{X} = G$  by using classical results from noncommutative harmonic analysis: here one considers the collection  $\{\Phi^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}}$  of finite dimensional irreducible unitary representations  $\Phi^\alpha : G \rightarrow U^\alpha$ , where  $U^\alpha$  is the unitary group of a Hilbert space  $V^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of equivalence classes of such representations (choosing one representative from each class). One defines the characteristic functions of  $\mu$  by the Fourier-Stieltjes transform,

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu}[\Phi^\alpha(X)] : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B(V^\alpha) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is the space of probability measures on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $B(V^\alpha)$  are the bounded linear operators on  $V^\alpha$ . The collection of such functions over all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$  characterize the measure  $\mu$  and are maps of algebras, where the space of measures is equipped with the convolution product [50].

In this article, we are interested in the case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a function space, is equipped with partially defined algebraic operations, and does not form a group. Understanding such measures is the central theme of stochastic analysis. To follow the above method to represent a probability measure on such spaces, the first step is to arrive at a general notion of representations  $\Phi^\alpha : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\alpha$  of elements in these spaces which are compatible with the algebraic operations on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- (1) If  $\mathcal{X} \subset C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a space of paths, equipped with the concatenation operator  $\star$ , we require  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha$  to be a group, such that

$$\Phi^\alpha(x \star y) = \Phi^\alpha(x) \cdot \Phi^\alpha(y) \quad (1.2)$$

for any two composable paths  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . The inverse  $x^{-1}$  of a path  $x$  is defined by time reversal, and we require  $\Phi^\alpha$  to preserve the inverse,

$$\Phi^\alpha(x^{-1}) = (\Phi^\alpha(x))^{-1}.$$

- (2) If  $\mathcal{X} \subset C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a space of surfaces, we have two different operations  $\star_h$  and  $\star_v$ , corresponding to horizontal and vertical concatenation. In this case, we require  $\mathcal{G}$  to be a *double group*, equipped with two algebraic operations, denoted  $\odot_h$  and  $\odot_v$ , such that

$$\Phi^\alpha(X \star_h Y) = \Phi^\alpha(X) \odot_h \Phi^\alpha(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^\alpha(X \star_v Y) = \Phi^\alpha(X) \odot_v \Phi^\alpha(Y) \quad (1.3)$$

for appropriately composable surfaces  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$ . A surface  $X_{s,t} \in \mathcal{X}$  is equipped with two distinct inverses, a horizontal  $X^{-h}$  and a vertical  $X^{-v}$  inverse, given by reversing the  $s$  or  $t$  parameter. The double group  $\mathcal{G}$  also has two inverses, and  $\Phi^\alpha$  must preserve both,

$$\Phi^\alpha(X^{-h}) = (\Phi^\alpha(X))^{-h} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^\alpha(X^{-v}) = (\Phi^\alpha(X))^{-v}.$$

The case of paths, (1), is well-studied, and several such structure-preserving characteristic functions have been developed in the rough paths literature. Our primary objective is to extend these constructions to the setting of surfaces, (2). Therefore we revisit and formalize these algebraic structures on  $\mathcal{X}$  and compatibility conditions using the language of category theory.

In particular, thin homotopy (or equivalently, tree-like) equivalence classes of paths have the structure of a groupoid, while thin homotopy classes of surfaces have the structure of a double groupoid. In the case of paths, the representation (1) can be identified as a functor between a groupoid and a group. Thus it is not surprising that for our desired notion of a representation on the space of surfaces (2), we will look for a *functor between double groupoid and a double group*.

**1.1. Paths and Groupoids.** A groupoid is an algebraic structure which generalizes the notion of a group such that the algebraic operation need only be partially defined. Consider the space of continuous piecewise smooth paths  $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and its corresponding concatenation operation for paths  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , defined by

$$(\mathbf{x} \star \mathbf{y})_t := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{2t} & : t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \mathbf{y}_{2t-1} & : t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

This operation is only well-defined on  $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  if  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{y}_0$ ; otherwise, the resulting path is discontinuous<sup>1</sup>. By considering *thin homotopy* equivalence classes of paths, which identifies reparametrizations and retracings, we obtain the example of the *thin fundamental groupoid*

$$\Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) := C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{th}},$$

with (1.4) as the partially defined groupoid operation. Given a group  $G$ , which is a special case of a groupoid, a *functor* is a function  $\Phi : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow G$  which satisfies (1.2), and preserves inverses and identities. We can construct such a functor by first defining how  $\Phi$  should behave on linear paths, then extending the definition to piecewise linear paths using (1.2), and finally extending the definition to  $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  by taking limits of piecewise linear approximations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [0, 1] & & \mathbb{R}^d & & & & \\ \text{---|---|---|---|---|---|} & \xrightarrow{x} & \text{---} \curvearrowright \text{---} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_1^k \cdot \tilde{F}_2^k \cdots \tilde{F}_k^k & \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} & F^\alpha(x) \end{array}$$

**Example 1.1.** Consider a linear map  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$ , and we will construct a map

$$F^\alpha : C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}^n.$$

First, for a linear path  $\mathbf{x}_t = vt$  for some  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we simply define  $F^\alpha$  as the matrix exponential

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := \exp(\alpha(v)).$$

Second, given a collection of linear paths  $\mathbf{x}_t^i = \mathbf{x}_1^{i-1} + v^i t$  for  $i \in [k]$  and  $\mathbf{x}_t^0 = 0$ , we use (1.2) and define  $F^\alpha$  for any reparametrization of  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^1 \star \mathbf{x}^2 \star \dots \star \mathbf{x}^k$  via matrix multiplication by

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^1) \cdot F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^2) \cdots F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^k) = \exp(\alpha(v^1)) \cdot \exp(\alpha(v^2)) \cdots \exp(\alpha(v^k)).$$

Finally, suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . In this case, let  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the piecewise linear approximation of  $\mathbf{x}$  with time points  $t = \frac{i}{k}$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}_t^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i-1})t \quad t \in \left[ \frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k} \right].$$

<sup>1</sup>While this issue can be rectified in the case of paths by considering translation equivalence classes, this cannot be done for surfaces, so we provide this discussion as motivation for the following section.

Then, we define

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \exp(\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{1/k} - \mathbf{x}_0)) \cdot \exp(\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{2/k} - \mathbf{x}_{1/k})) \cdots \exp(\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_{(k-1)/k})) \right].$$

Using the fact that  $\mathbf{x}_{i/k} - \mathbf{x}_{(i-1)/k} \approx \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{i/k}}{dt} \epsilon_k$  when  $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{k}$  is sufficiently small,

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \tilde{F}_1^k \cdot \tilde{F}_2^k \cdots \tilde{F}_k^k \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{F}_i^k = \exp \left( \alpha \left( \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{i/k}}{dt} \right) \epsilon_k \right). \quad (1.5)$$

This limit is well-defined and can be viewed as a nonabelian path integral. It satisfies the desired functorial property in (1.2) and is invariant under thin homotopy, descending to a functor

$$F^\alpha : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}^n.$$

This leads to our notion of a representation on the space of paths, and take  $\Phi^\alpha = F^\alpha$ .

Although our motivation and presentation might be less standard, this construction of embedding a path into a nonabelian group is well-known to different communities (see Section 1.4). However, the perspective that yields a generalization to surfaces is the geometric one: the map  $F^\alpha$  can be viewed as holonomy (or parallel transport)<sup>2</sup> with respect to the translation-invariant connection  $\alpha$  on the trivial  $\text{GL}^n$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

So far, we have focused on the piecewise smooth setting for simplicity, but the functors from these two examples can be significantly generalized to highly nonsmooth paths by using the theory of rough paths [63, 41, 40]. Given a stochastic process valued in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with law  $\mu$ , we can follow the Fourier-Stieltjes transform (1.1) and define characteristic functions

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mu} [F^\alpha(\mathbf{x})] \quad (1.6)$$

using matrix holonomy functors into unitary groups rather than group representations [24]. Such functions characterize the law, under tree-like equivalence, given certain moment conditions on  $\mu$ . In fact, we can obtain analogous results for the space of paths itself, rather than tree-like equivalence classes. Given a path  $\mathbf{x} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we consider the *parametrized path*  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , defined by

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_t = (t, \mathbf{x}_t).$$

Furthermore, by considering an additional complex exponential [29, Remark 5.5], we can obtain a characteristic function without moment conditions. Section 2 provides the formal definitions from this section, and we reformulate known results from the literature [24, 62] in the following theorem, which we prove in Appendix B.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p < 2$  and  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the space of bounded  $p$ -variation paths, and let  $\mathcal{P}^p$  be the space of probability measures valued in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

- (1) **Functoriality.** *The space  $\Pi^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of tree-like equivalence classes in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a groupoid. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$ , the map  $F^\alpha : \Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}^n$  is a functor.*
- (2) **Point Separating.** *For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ , there exists some  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  such that  $F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \neq F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{y}})$ .*
- (3) **Characteristic.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  are random variables valued in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  with laws  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^p$  such that  $\mu \neq \nu$ . There exists a connection  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}$  such that*

$$\text{Law}(\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \rangle) \neq \text{Law}(\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) \rangle).$$

<sup>2</sup>In classical differential geometry, holonomy refers to parallel transport over loops. However, in the higher geometry literature, these two terms are often used interchangeably, and we continue this convention here.

(4) **Metric.** There exists a metric  $d : \mathcal{P}^p \times \mathcal{P}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$d(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu} \left[ |\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle) - \exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{y}) \rangle)| \right]$$

where  $\Xi^n$  is a Gaussian measure on  $\mathfrak{gl}^n$  and  $\Theta^n$  is the uniform measure on the unit ball of  $\text{Mat}_{n,n}$ . Restricted to a compact subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}^p$  in the weak topology,  $d$  metrizes the weak topology.

**1.2. Surfaces and Double Groupoids.** The primary objective of this article is to extend the above constructions from paths to surfaces; namely we generalize Theorem 1.2 from  $\mathcal{X} \subset C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $\mathcal{X} \subset C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Once again, we start by considering the space of continuous piecewise smooth surfaces  $\mathcal{X} = C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

In this setting, the algebraic structure of  $\mathcal{X}$  is more complex. Given two surfaces  $X, Y \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define *horizontal concatenation* and *vertical concatenation* respectively by

$$(X \star_h Y)_{s,t} := \begin{cases} X_{2s,t} & : s \in [0, 1/2] \\ Y_{2s-1,t} & : s \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (X \star_v Y)_{s,t} := \begin{cases} X_{s,2t} & : t \in [0, 1/2] \\ Y_{s,2t-1} & : t \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

As with the case of paths, these operations are only well-defined if the corresponding boundaries are aligned. In particular  $\star_h$  is only well defined if  $X_{1,t} = Y_{0,t}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\star_v$  is only well defined if  $X_{s,1} = Y_{s,0}$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ; otherwise these operations result in a discontinuous surface. There are also further compatibility conditions: given four surfaces  $X, Y, Z, W \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  arranged in a square, horizontally concatenating first, and then vertically concatenating results in the same surface as the opposite order. This is the *interchange law*,

$$(X \star_h Y) \star_v (W \star_h Z) = (X \star_v W) \star_h (Y \star_v Z), \quad (1.8)$$

which holds whenever this operation is well-defined. In order for (1.3) to hold, the codomain of  $\Phi$  must also be equipped with two operations, which we denote  $\odot_h$  and  $\odot_v$ . Furthermore, it must be compatible with the interchange law from (1.8), and thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(W) \odot_h \Phi(Z) \\ \odot_v \\ \Phi(X) \odot_h \Phi(Y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(W) \\ \odot_v \\ \Phi(X) \end{pmatrix} \odot_h \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(Z) \\ \odot_v \\ \Phi(Y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.9)$$

However, the classical Eckmann-Hilton argument destroys any hope that we can simply add an additional operation and proceed as above.

**Theorem 1.3** (Eckmann-Hilton). [34] *Let  $M$  be a set equipped with two unital monoid structures,  $(M, \odot_h, e_h)$  and  $(M, \odot_v, e_v)$ . If the interchange law*

$$(a \odot_h b) \odot_v (c \odot_h d) = (a \odot_v c) \odot_h (b \odot_v d) \quad (1.10)$$

*holds for all  $a, b, c, d \in M$ , then both monoid structures are equal, associative, and commutative, in particular,  $a \odot_h b = b \odot_h a = a \odot_v b = b \odot_v a$  for all  $a, b \in M$ .*

Eckmann-Hilton tells us that a naive generalization of the path construction will result in a trivial algebraic operation, thus motivating the use of higher algebraic structures from higher category theory. There is a plethora of different models of higher categories, depending on the shape of higher morphisms (for instance, globes, simplices, or cubes). The model which reflects the algebraic structure of surfaces is the *double groupoid*, where the 2-morphisms are modelled by squares, and equipped with partially defined horizontal and vertical composition operators.

Using a generalization of thin homotopy equivalence to surfaces, we define

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) := C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{th}},$$

the *thin fundamental double groupoid*, where (1.7) is used as the two operations. The codomain of our desired representation is a *double group*, a special case of a double groupoid which is defined as a subset  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G}) \subset \mathbf{G}_1^4 \times \mathbf{G}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2$  are ordinary groups which have the structure of a *crossed module*. An element  $S = (x, y, z, w; E) \in \mathbf{G}$  is arranged in a square, where  $x, y, z, w \in \mathbf{G}_1$  are interpreted as the boundary of  $E \in \mathbf{G}_2$ , and enforced with the condition  $\delta(E) = x \cdot y \cdot z^{-1} \cdot w^{-1}$  using a group homomorphism  $\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$  is equipped with two partially defined algebraic operations,  $\odot_h$  and  $\odot_v$ , which are only well-defined for  $S, S' \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$  if their relevant boundaries are the same, which bypasses the Eckmann-Hilton issue.

Finally, a *double functor* between double groupoids  $\mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\Pi}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$  has two components:

- a *path component*, which is a functor  $F : \mathbf{\Pi}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$ , and
- a *surface component*, which is function  $H : \mathbf{\Pi}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$ .

Then, the double functor  $\mathbf{H}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbf{X}) := (F(\partial_b \mathbf{X}), F(\partial_r \mathbf{X}), F(\partial_u \mathbf{X}), F(\partial_l \mathbf{X}), H(\mathbf{X})), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\partial_b \mathbf{X}, \partial_r \mathbf{X}, \partial_u \mathbf{X}, \partial_l \mathbf{X}$  denote the bottom, right, upper, and left boundary paths of  $\mathbf{X}$ , and this must satisfy (1.3) and (1.9).

We construct such functors by following the philosophy of Example 1.1. First, we consider functors which take values in the higher analogue of  $\text{GL}^n$ , the matrix double groups  $\mathbf{D}(\text{GL}^{n,m,p})$  [38, 66, 49]. This double group is made up of two Lie groups  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  and  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  denote the corresponding Lie algebras, and we construct a double functor  $H^{\alpha,\gamma}$ , parametrized by  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p})$  and  $\gamma \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ .

- (1) The path component of the double functor is simply  $F^\alpha$  as defined in Example 1.1.
- (2) Given a surface  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and a rectangular region  $[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j] \subset [0, 1]^2$ , we approximate the function  $H$  on  $\mathbf{X}|_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$  with  $\Delta_i s = (s_i - s_{i-1})$  and  $\Delta_j t =$



$$(t_j - t_{j-1}) \text{ using the exponential } \exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p},$$

$$\tilde{H}_{i,j} := \exp_* \left( \gamma \left( \frac{d\mathbf{X}_{s_i,t_j}}{ds}, \frac{d\mathbf{X}_{s_i,t_j}}{dt} \right) \Delta_i s \Delta_j t \right).$$

- (3) Given a grid-like partition  $\pi = \{0 = s_0 < \dots < s_k = 1\} \times \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_l = 1\}$  of  $[0, 1]^2$ , we use the horizontal and vertical compositions to glue these approximations  $\tilde{H}_{i,j}$  together, and define  $H^{\alpha,\gamma}(X)$  to be the limit of such partitions.

Putting this together using (1.11), we obtain our notion of representation of surfaces,  $\Phi^{\alpha,\gamma} = H^{\alpha,\gamma} : \Pi(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$ , where the representation is indexed by the pair  $(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

The fact that this is well-defined is given in Theorem 5.2. Following the perspective of Example 1.1, this can be viewed as a nonabelian surface integral, and similar formulations have been studied in [84, 72]. Moreover, it satisfies a differential equation which coincides with the notion of *surface holonomy*, which has been introduced and studied in the higher geometry literature [7, 9, 68, 75, 54]. From this perspective,  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  is known as a *2-connection*, and we call  $H^{\alpha,\gamma}$  a *matrix surface holonomy functor*.

We provide a more detailed description of double groupoids and the thin fundamental double groupoid in Section 3. Next, in Section 4, we consider double groups, crossed modules, and the explicit description of the matrix double groups. Surface holonomy is introduced in Section 5 in the piecewise smooth setting as a nonabelian surface integral, and we consider the special case of surface holonomy valued in matrix double groups.

**1.3. Contribution: Random and Nonsmooth Surfaces.** The remainder of the article focuses on our primary contribution: to initiate the study of functorial representations of random and nonsmooth surfaces. We consider bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  in the Young regime when  $p < 2$ .

In Section 6, we provide computations of matrix surface holonomy functors for linear and piecewise linear surfaces. Further details on piecewise linear surfaces are given in Appendix F.

Section 7 deals with surface holonomy of nonsmooth surfaces in the Young regime.

- Theorem 7.4 extends the definition of surface holonomy to the Young regime by reformulating the surface holonomy equation in terms of a 2D Young integral and a 1D controlled differential equation.
- In Theorem 7.5, we show that surface holonomy is locally Lipschitz with respect to the controlled  $p$ -variation norm on surfaces.
- From the perspective of surface holonomy as nonabelian surface integration, we use the previous result to prove a nonabelian Stokes' theorem (Theorem 7.7) and nonabelian Fubini theorem (Theorem 7.8) in the Young regime.
- In the remainder of Section 7.2, we introduce the notion of *holonomy equivalence classes* of  $p$ -variation surfaces, define the double groupoid structure on such equivalence classes, and show that surface holonomy is still functorial in Theorem 7.20.

In Section 8, we consider *parametrized surface holonomy*, where surfaces  $\mathbf{X} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are appended with their parametrization to obtain a surface

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+2}.$$

Theorem 8.6 shows that parametrized surface holonomy separates points in  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .



Finally, in Section 9, we move to the stochastic setting. In particular, we show in Theorem 9.5 that the span of complex exponentials of surface holonomy is *universal* and *characteristic*, meaning it can be used to approximate functions and characterize probability measures on  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We note that the lack of an appropriate tensor product of 2-vector spaces precludes a direct generalization of (1.1) and (1.6), and this additional complex exponential is required. We discuss this in more detail in Appendix C.4. Finally in Section 9.2, we use this characteristic property to define a novel metric for random surfaces, which coincides with the weak topology on compact subsets on the space of measures (Theorem 9.11).

We summarize our main results in the following theorem, generalizing Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $p < 2$  and  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces, and let  $\mathcal{P}^p$  be the space of probability measures valued in  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

- (1) **Functoriality.** *The space  $\Pi^{p\text{-cvar}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of holonomy equivalence classes in  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a double groupoid. For any matrix 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$ , the map  $H^{\alpha, \gamma} : \Pi_2^{p\text{-cvar}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}^{n, m, p}$  is a double functor.*
- (2) **Point Separating.** *For any  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{Y}$ , there exists a matrix 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  such that  $H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \neq H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}})$ .*
- (3) **Characteristic.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  are random variables valued in  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with laws  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^p$  such that  $\mu \neq \nu$ . There exists a matrix 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{n+m, n+p}$  with*

$$\text{Law}(\langle \ell, H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \rangle) \neq \text{Law}(\langle \ell, H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}) \rangle).$$

- (4) **Metric.** *There exists a metric  $d : \mathcal{P}^p \times \mathcal{P}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by*

$$d(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \nu} \left[ \left| \exp(i\langle \ell, H^\omega(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \rangle) - \exp(i\langle \ell, H^\omega(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}) \rangle) \right| \right]$$

where  $\Xi^n$  is a Gaussian measure on a restricted class of 2-connections valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n, n, n}$  and  $\Theta^n$  is the uniform measure on the unit ball of  $\text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}$ . Restricted to a compact subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}^p$  in the weak topology,  $d$  metrizes the weak topology.

The first point is proved in Theorem 7.20, the second point in Theorem 8.6, the third point in Corollary 9.6, and the fourth point in Proposition 9.10 and Theorem 9.11.

**1.4. Related Work.** Functorial representations of paths such as matrix holonomy (Example 1.1) have been deeply studied in many communities. The construction of iterated products from Example 1.1 originated in the work of Volterra who developed a theory of Riemannian integration based on products rather than sums, called *product integrals* [77, 69, 73]. In physics, this construction is often referred to as the *path-ordered exponential*, while control theorists will refer to this as the *Chen-Fliess expansion* [37] used to linearize and control nonlinear dynamical systems. Topologists will recognize the connection to Chen's iterated integrals to compute loop space cohomology [22], and algebraists will point out the rich relations between iterated integrals and combinatorial Hopf algebras [57, 33]. The formalization of holonomy in terms of a group homomorphism or functor is developed in [10, 18, 74].

Closely related to the current article is the theory of rough paths [63, 64, 41, 40], which initiated the use of signatures in stochastic analysis to understand stochastic processes. In particular, they provide a structured description of functions on path space, and can characterize the law of stochastic processes [24, 25, 29]. These properties have been used to extend the classical method of moments for parameter estimation to stochastic processes [71], define cubature formulae for

measures on path space [58, 65], and more recently, develop novel methodology for sequential data in machine learning [23, 56, 59, 61, 62].

Perhaps the earliest work in nonabelian surface integration began with the search for a non-abelian Stokes' theorem (see [55] and references therein), leading back to [73]. In the geometric setting, this initial nonabelian Stokes' theorem allowed us to compute the path holonomy of a loop as a surface integral of the curvature (see Example 5.7), and can be viewed as a variant of the Ambrose-Singer theorem [4] (see [67, Section 1.1.4]).

In the early 2000s, the mathematical physics community constructed higher parallel transport, which generalized the previous surface integrals of curvature to nontrivial 2-groups, to develop higher gauge theory [7, 9, 11, 44, 16, 68, 75]. There is also a related construction of parallel transport along superconnections [13, 52, 5]. A comparison between these two generalizations of holonomy has been studied in the case of flat 2-connections over surfaces [6, 1].

The extension of rough path theory and the path signature to higher dimensional maps such as surfaces has recently received some attention in stochastic analysis. In [26, 27], controlled rough paths are extended to surfaces and a change of variable formula for Brownian sheets is derived. More geometrically, [3, 78] develops an integration theory of rough differential forms. The above works focus on developing a rough integration theory for surfaces but do not generalize the notion of the path signature itself. Works that attempt to generalize the path signature to a surface signature are [45] which follows a topological approach in a continuous setting, and [32] which follows an algebraic approach in the discrete setting. Furthermore, such notions of higher dimensional signatures have recently been applied to image and texture classification [85]. In contrast to the surface holonomy functors in the present article, these generalizations of the path signature do not respect the compositional structure of surfaces, that is, they are not functorial.

We provide a table of notation and list our notational conventions in Appendix A.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the organizers, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard and Fabian Harang, of the *Structural Aspects of Signatures and Rough Paths* workshop, where this work was first presented and many helpful discussions took place. We would also like to thank Chad Giusti, Vidit Nanda, Francis Bischoff, Camilo Arias Abad, João Faria Martins for several helpful discussions. HO and DL were supported by the Hong Kong Innovation and Technology Commission (InnoHK Project CIMDA). HO was supported by the EPSRC [grant number EP/S026347/1].

## 2. Path Holonomy Functors

In this section, we provide a more formal overview of the functorial perspective on path holonomy, as discussed in Section 1.1.

**2.1. Thin Fundamental Groupoid.** We will begin by defining the groupoid structure of thin homotopy equivalence classes of paths.

**Definition 2.1.** A category  $C$  consists of

- a set of *objects*  $C_0$ , and
- a set of *morphisms* (or edges)  $C_1$ , equipped with *source* and *target* maps  $\partial_s, \partial_t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ . Given a morphism  $x \in C_1$  with source  $\partial_s x = a$  and target  $\partial_t x = b$ , we write  $x : a \rightarrow b$ .

These sets are equipped with additional structure.

- **Composition.** For any  $a, b, c \in C_0$  and morphisms  $x, y \in C_1$  such that  $\partial_t x = \partial_s y$ , there exists an associative composition operator  $x \star y$  with  $\partial_s(x \star y) = \partial_s x$  and  $\partial_t(x \star y) = \partial_t y$ .


- **Identity Edges.** For any  $a \in C_0$ , there exists an identity edge  $1_a$  which acts as a unit under composition: for  $x : a \rightarrow b$  and  $y : b \rightarrow a$  we have  $1_a \star x = x$  and  $y \star 1_b = y$ .

A *groupoid*  $G$  is a category in which every morphism has an inverse.

- **Isomorphism.** For every  $x : a \rightarrow b$ , there exists some  $x^{-1} : b \rightarrow a$  such that  $x \star x^{-1} = 1_a$  and  $x^{-1} \star x = 1_b$ .

**Example 2.2.** Every group  $(G, \cdot, e)$  is a groupoid  $B(G)$  with a single object. Indeed, we define the objects to be the singleton set  $B_0(G) = \{*\}$ , the morphisms  $B_1(G) = G$  to be the group, composition to be the group multiplication,  $\star = \cdot$ , and the only identity morphism is the group identity  $1_* = e$ . Because there is only a single object, we have  $\partial_t(g) = \partial_s(h)$  for all  $g, h \in B_1(G)$ , and thus composition is well defined between any two morphisms.

We can begin to construct a groupoid of paths by specifying the set of objects to be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and the set of morphisms to be  $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the space of continuous piecewise smooth paths. For a path  $x \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the source and target maps are defined as  $\partial_s x = x_0$  and  $\partial_t x = x_1$ , and thus path concatenation from (1.4) is well-defined as a groupoid composition. We define the identity for each object  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to be the constant path at  $a$ , denoted  $1_a \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and the inverse of a path  $x$  as the time reversed path  $x_t^{-1} = x_{1-t}$ . However, path concatenation is not associative and for any nonconstant path  $x : a \rightarrow b$ , we have  $x \star 1_b \neq x$ , both due to the parametrization. Furthermore, it is clear that for any nonconstant path  $x : a \rightarrow b$ , we have  $x \star x^{-1} \neq 1_a$ . Thus, we must introduce an equivalence relation on the space of paths which takes into account reparametrization and retracing.



**Definition 2.3.** Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $x, y : a \rightarrow b$ . We say that  $x$  and  $y$  are *thin homotopy equivalent*, denoted  $x \sim_{\text{th}} y$  if there exists a piecewise smooth (see Definition 3.3) endpoint-preserving thin homotopy  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  between  $x$  and  $y$ , where

- **(endpoint preserving)**  $\eta_{s,0} = a$  and  $\eta_{s,1} = b$ ;
- **(homotopy condition)**  $\eta_{0,t} = x_t$  and  $\eta_{1,t} = y_t$ ; and
- **(thinness condition)**  $\text{rank}(d\eta) \leq 1$ , where  $d\eta$  is the differential of  $\eta$ .

While we will primarily use thin homotopy equivalence, there is a similar relation which is commonly used in the stochastic analysis literature [47, 46, 14], which we return to when we work with lower regularity paths in Section 7.2.

**Definition 2.4.** [14] A metric space  $(T, d)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree if there exists a unique geodesic between any two points. Two continuous paths  $x, y : a \rightarrow b$  are *tree-like equivalent*, denoted  $x \sim_{\text{tl}} y$  if the composition  $z := x \star y^{-1}$  factors through an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $T$ , as

$$z : [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\tau} T \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\tau$  is continuous and satisfies  $\tau_0 = \tau_1$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [80, 70] Let  $x, y : a \rightarrow b$  be smooth paths. Then  $x \sim_{\text{th}} y$  if and only if  $x \sim_{\text{tl}} y$ .

Two important classes of thin homotopies arise from reparametrizations and retracings.

- **Reparametrization.** Let  $x \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth, monotone increasing bijection. Then,  $x \sim_{\text{th}} x \circ \psi$ .
- **Retracing.** Let  $x, y, z \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $x \star y$  and  $x \star z$  are well-defined. Then,

$$x \star y \star y^{-1} \star z \sim_{\text{th}} x \star z. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $x : a \rightarrow b$ ,  $y : b \rightarrow c$  and  $z : c \rightarrow d$ . We note that the identity relation  $x \sim_{\text{th}} x \star 1_b$  and associativity  $x \star (y \star z) \sim_{\text{th}} (x \star y) \star z$  holds up to thin homotopy equivalence. Furthermore,  $x \star x^{-1} \sim_{\text{th}} 1_a$  by the retracing property in (2.2).

**Definition 2.6.** The *thin fundamental groupoid* is a groupoid  $\Pi$  where the objects are  $\Pi_0 := \mathbb{R}^d$ , and the morphisms are

$$\Pi_1 := C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{th}}. \quad (2.3)$$

We will now turn our attention towards maps between groupoids.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groupoids. A *functor*  $F : G \rightarrow H$  consists of

- a function  $F_0 : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$ ; and
- a function  $F_1 : G_1 \rightarrow H_1$  such that

$$\partial_s \circ F_1 = F_0 \circ \partial_s \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \circ F_1 = F_0 \circ \partial_t.$$

These functions must satisfy the following properties.

- (1) **Preserves composition.** For  $x, y \in G_1$ ,

$$F_1(x \star y) = F_1(x) \star F_1(y).$$

- (2) **Preserves identities.** For any  $a \in G_0$ ,

$$F_1(1_a) = 1_{F_0(a)}.$$

The map  $F^\alpha$  defined in Example 1.1 is a functor between a groupoid and a group.

**Theorem 2.8.** [18, Theorem 2] *The map  $F^\alpha : C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}^n$  from (1.5) is invariant under thin homotopy: if  $x, y \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $x \sim_{\text{th}} y$ , then  $F^\alpha(x) = F^\alpha(y)$ .*

**Corollary 2.9.** *The map  $F^\alpha : \Pi \rightarrow \text{B}(\text{GL}^n)$ , which is trivially defined on objects and defined by (1.5) on morphisms, is a functor between groupoids.*

**2.2. Holonomy.** Next, we discuss the geometric interpretation of Example 1.1 in terms of parallel transport (or holonomy). As the name suggests, parallel transport is a mechanism to transport group elements in the fiber  $p^{-1}(a) \cong G$  to group elements in the fiber  $p^{-1}(b) \cong G$  along a path  $x : a \rightarrow b$ . This transport should be performed along a path in the principal bundle  $P$ , which is “parallel” to the path  $x$  on the base space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In order to define this notion of parallel, we first require the definition of a connection.

**Definition 2.10.** A *translation-invariant connection* over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  valued in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a linear differential 1-form  $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$  of the form

$$\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha^i dx_i, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\alpha^i \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Throughout this article, we work exclusively with translation-invariant connections, and we will simply refer to them as connections.

Let  $x \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . At each point  $x_t$ , a connection specifies an element of the Lie algebra  $\alpha \left( \frac{dx_t}{dt} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}$ , which specifies the direction on the Lie group which is “parallel” to the path.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$  be a connection,  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a smooth path, and  $g \in G$ . The *holonomy of  $x$  with respect to  $\alpha$*  is the solution at  $t = 1$  of the differential equation for  $F_t^\alpha(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ , defined by

$$\frac{dF_t^\alpha(x)}{dt} = dL_{F_t^\alpha(x)}\alpha \left( \frac{dx_t}{dt} \right), \quad F_0^\alpha(x) = e_G. \quad (2.5)$$

We define  $F^\alpha(x) := F_1^\alpha(x)$ , and when the choice of connection is clear, we omit the superscript.

The following theorem provides the link between holonomy and Example 1.1. While this is a classical result, it is most often stated in terms of matrix Lie groups, and we use the formulation for arbitrary Lie groups in [48].

**Proposition 2.12.** [48] *Let  $G$  be a finite-dimensional Lie group, and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. Let  $A_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be bounded and continuous (Lebesgue a.e.), then the limits*

$$\overrightarrow{\text{Pexp}}_t(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i \in [n]} \exp_G(A_{t(i-1)\epsilon_n} \epsilon_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \overleftarrow{\text{Pexp}}_t(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i \in [n]} \exp_G(A_{t(i-1)\epsilon_n} \epsilon_n) \quad (2.6)$$

exist and are called the path ordered exponential of  $A_t$ . The first and second path ordered exponentials are the solution to the respective differential equations

$$\frac{dF_t}{dt} = dL_{F_t}A_t, \quad F_0 = e_G, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dF_t}{dt} = dR_{F_t}A_t, \quad F_0 = e_G, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $L_g R_g : G \rightarrow G$  are the left and right translation maps respectively.

**PROOF.** The fact that the limit exists is [48, Theorem 5.12]. Then, the fact that it satisfies the differential equation is given by the combination of [48, Theorem 6.4] and [48, Theorem 6.8].  $\square$

**Example 2.13.** Suppose  $G = \text{GL}^n$ , and let  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$ . Furthermore, let  $x \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . In the case of matrix Lie groups, the differential of left translation is simply matrix multiplication,  $dL_A B = A \cdot B$  for  $A \in \text{GL}^n$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{gl}^n$ . Thus, the holonomy equation is

$$\frac{dF_t^\alpha(x)}{dt} = F_t^\alpha(x) \cdot \alpha \left( \frac{dx_t}{dt} \right), \quad F_0^\alpha(x) = e.$$

Next, if we define  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}^n$  by  $A_t = \alpha \left( \frac{dx_t}{dt} \right)$ , we apply Proposition 2.12 and find that the solution to the holonomy equation is given by (1.5).

We will now consider the curvature of a connection, which measures the holonomy along infinitesimal loops and will be helpful in understanding higher connections in the next section.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$  be a connection. The *curvature* of the connection  $\alpha$  is a linear differential 2-form  $\kappa^\alpha \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$  defined for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (viewed as tangent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) by

$$\kappa^\alpha(a, b) = D\alpha(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(a), \alpha(b)] \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $D$  is the exterior covariant derivative. A connection  $\alpha$  is *flat* if  $\kappa^\alpha = 0$ .

### 3. Double Groupoids

In this section, we introduce the thin fundamental double groupoid, which will be the domain of our desired double functor for surface holonomy.

**3.1. Double Groupoids.** There exist two natural algebraic operations for surfaces  $X, Y \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ : horizontal and vertical concatenation, as defined in (1.7). Motivated by the natural groupoid structure underlying paths, we turn to higher category theory for the appropriate algebraic structure underlying surfaces. A higher category builds upon a category by also including a hierarchy of  $k$  morphisms between  $(k - 1)$ -morphisms. There is a plethora of different models of higher categories, which depend on:

- the maximal dimension  $k$  of morphisms considered;
- which algebraic axioms hold only up to a specified coherence condition (weak) or hold exactly (strict);
- the “shape” of higher morphisms (for instance, globes, simplices, or cubes); and
- which  $k$ -morphisms have inverses.


In the case of surfaces, we only require morphisms up to dimension  $k = 2$ , and because our desired condition from (1.3) is strict (it does not hold only up to homotopy or isomorphism), we work with *strict* higher categories. There are various ways to obtain higher categories from ordinary categories. Two common approaches are *enrichment* and *internalization*, leading to the notion of 2-categories and double categories respectively. The 2-morphisms in a 2-category are 2-globes, while the 2-morphisms in a double category are squares.



While the globular formulation is convenient for some applications, we use the cubical construction as there is a natural algebraic inverse to rectangular subdivision [17]. Finally, we will equip our surfaces with inverses defined by reversing one of the parameters, as in the case of paths. Thus, the appropriate categorical structure is the strict double groupoid.

**Definition 3.1.** A *strict (edge-symmetric) double groupoid*  $\mathbf{G}$  consists of

- a set of objects  $\mathbf{G}_0$ ,
- a set of edges  $\mathbf{G}_1$ , equipped with *source* and *target* maps  $\partial_s, \partial_t : \mathbf{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_0$ , and
- a set of squares  $\mathbf{G}_2$ , equipped with left, right, bottom, and upper boundary maps  $\partial_l, \partial_r, \partial_b, \partial_u : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$ , which satisfy the compatibility relations in the figure below.




The objects, edges and squares satisfy the following conditions.

- (1) The objects  $\mathbf{G}_0$  and edges  $\mathbf{G}_1$  have the structure of a groupoid.



(2) The squares in  $\mathbf{G}_2$  are equipped with two partial compositions. Let  $X, X' \in \mathbf{G}_2$ .


- **(Horizontal Composition.)** If  $\partial_r X = \partial_l X'$ , there exists a composite  $X \star_h X'$ .
- **(Vertical Composition.)** If  $\partial_u X = \partial_b X'$ , there exists a composite  $X \star_v X'$ .



- **(Interchange Law.)** For any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbf{G}_2$ , we have


$$(X \star_h Y) \star_v (W \star_h Z) = (X \star_v W) \star_h (Y \star_v Z), \quad (3.1)$$

whenever these compositions are well-defined.



(3) Finally, there exist units and inverses for both compositions.

- **(Identity Squares.)** For any  $x \in \mathbf{G}_1$ , there exists a *horizontal identity*  $1_x^h \in \mathbf{G}_2$  and a *vertical identity*  $1_y^v \in \mathbf{G}_2$  which acts as a unit under composition for horizontal and vertical composition respectively. In particular, for  $X, Y \in \mathbf{G}_2$  such that  $\partial_l X = \partial_r Y = x$ , we have  $1_x^h \star_h X = X$  and  $Y \star_h 1_x^h = Y$ , and same for vertical composition.
- **(Isomorphism.)** For any  $X \in \mathbf{G}_2$ , there exist horizontal and vertical inverses, denoted  $X^{-h}, X^{-v} \in \mathbf{G}_2$  respectively. In particular, we have  $X \star_h X^{-h} = 1_{\partial_l F}^h$  and  $X^{-h} \star_h X = 1_{\partial_r F}^h$ , and similarly for  $X^{-v}$ .





**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  be double groupoids. A *double functor*  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  consists of

- functions  $F_0 : \mathbf{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_0$  and  $F_1 : \mathbf{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1$  which form a functor (Definition 2.7); and
- a function  $F_2 : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_2$  such that for all boundary maps  $\partial = \{\partial_l, \partial_r, \partial_b, \partial_u\}$ , we have

$$\partial \circ F_2 = F_1 \circ \partial.$$

These functions must also satisfy the following properties.

- (1) **Preserves composition.** For  $X, Y \in \mathbf{G}_2$ ,

$$F_2(X \star_h Y) = F_2(X) \star_h F_2(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(X \star_v Y) = F_2(X) \star_v F_2(Y).$$

- (2) **Preserves identities.** For any  $x \in \mathbf{G}_1$ ,

$$F_2(1_x^h) = 1_{F_1(x)}^h \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(1_x^v) = 1_{F_1(x)}^v.$$

**3.2. Thin Fundamental Double Groupoid.** We begin with a definition of piecewise smooth which is closed<sup>3</sup> under the horizontal and vertical concatenation operations in (1.7).

**Definition 3.3.** A surface  $X \in C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is *piecewise smooth* if there exists a smooth bijection  $\psi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  which preserves the corners and boundary,  $\psi(\partial[0, 1]^2) = \partial[0, 1]^2$  such that  $X \circ \psi$  is smooth. The space of piecewise smooth surfaces is denoted  $C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We work exclusively with piecewise smooth surfaces, so we will simply refer to them as *smooth surfaces*.

We now consider the double groupoid structure which encodes smooth surfaces: the thin fundamental double groupoid. The objects and edges are the same as the thin fundamental groupoid. We equip  $C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with the horizontal and vertical composition maps from (1.7). Given  $X \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the *left, right, bottom and upper boundary maps* are

$$(\partial_l X)_t := X_{0,t}, \quad (\partial_r X)_t := X_{1,t}, \quad (\partial_b X)_t := X_{t,0}, \quad (\partial_u X)_t := X_{t,1}. \quad (3.2)$$

For any smooth path  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the horizontal and vertical identities of  $x$ , denoted  $1_x^h, 1_x^v : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  respectively, by

$$(1_x^h)_{s,t} := x_t \quad \text{and} \quad (1_x^v)_{s,t} := x_s. \quad (3.3)$$

For any smooth surface  $X : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the horizontal and vertical inverses of  $X$ , denoted  $X^{-h}, X^{-v} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$X_{s,t}^{-h} = X_{1-s,t} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{s,t}^{-v} = X_{s,1-t}. \quad (3.4)$$


The associativity and identity condition (for both horizontal and vertical composition) fail to hold due to reparametrization issues, as in the 1D setting. Furthermore, the horizontal composition of a surface  $X$  with its horizontal inverse  $X^{-h}$  is not equal to the identity square  $1_{\partial_l X}^h$ , since this results in a “fold.” A direct generalization of thin homotopy will account for both of these issues.

**Definition 3.4.** Suppose  $X, Y : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are surfaces with equal corners, where  $X_{i,j} = Y_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . We say that  $X$  and  $Y$  are *thin homotopy equivalent*, denoted  $X \sim_{\text{th}} Y$  if there exists a smooth corner-preserving thin homotopy  $\Xi : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  between  $X$  and  $Y$ , where

- **(homotopy condition)**  $\Xi_{0,s,t} = X_{s,t}$  and  $\Xi_{1,s,t} = Y_{s,t}$ ;
- **(thin homotopy boundaries)** the four sides of the homotopy  $\Xi_{u,s,0}, \Xi_{u,s,1}, \Xi_{u,0,t}, \Xi_{u,1,t}$  are thin homotopies between the four boundary paths of  $X$  and  $Y$ ; and

<sup>3</sup>Given two composable smooth surfaces  $X, Y$ , the concatenation  $X \star_h Y$  is smooth everywhere except possibly  $s = 1/2$ . We can reparametrize the  $s$ -axis using the normalized integral of the smooth function  $1 - \exp(-\frac{(2s-1)^2}{(2s-1)^2-1})$ , which is 0 at exactly  $s = 1/2$ .

- **(thinness condition)**  $\text{rank}(d\Xi) \leq 2$ , where  $d\Xi$  is the differential of  $\Xi$ .



**Example 3.5.** Here, we provide some examples of thin homotopy invariance of  $X : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- (1) **Reparametrizations of the domain.** Suppose  $\psi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  is a smooth bijection which preserves the corners and boundary,  $\psi(\partial[0, 1]^2) = \partial[0, 1]^2$ . Then  $X \circ \psi$  is thin homotopy equivalent to  $X$ . Indeed, we can first define a homotopy  $\Psi : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  between  $\psi$  and the identity  $\text{id} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  by

$$\Psi_{s,t,u} := u\psi_{s,t} + (1-u)\text{id}_{s,t}.$$

Then,  $\Xi : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  defined by  $\Xi_{s,t,u} = X \circ \Psi_{s,t,u}$  is a thin homotopy from  $X$  to  $X \circ \psi$ . From Definition 3.3 of piecewise smooth, there always exist smooth representatives of thin homotopy classes in  $C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

- (2) **Folding.** This is a type of invariance which arises when considering horizontal and vertical inverses as described above. In particular, for any smooth surface  $X$ , we have  $X \star_h X^{-h} \sim_{\text{th}} 1_{\partial_r X}^h$ , and similarly for vertical composition.

With this equivalence relation, we define the *thin fundamental double groupoid*  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  where

- **objects** are  $\mathbf{\Pi}_0 = \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
- **edges** are  $\mathbf{\Pi}_1 = C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{th}}$ ; and
- **squares** are  $\mathbf{\Pi}_2 = C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{th}}$ ,

While we have defined compositions, identities and inverses for strict surfaces, we must define or verify these operations are well-defined under thin homotopy equivalence. The remainder of the double groupoid structure is defined as follows.

**Groupoid Structure on Edges.** We equip the edges with the same composition, identities, and inverses as the thin fundamental groupoid from Definition 2.6.

**Boundary Maps.** By definition, thin homotopy equivalent surfaces have thin homotopy equivalent boundaries, so the boundaries from (3.2) are well-defined.

**Horizontal and Vertical Composition.** Suppose  $[X], [Y] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_2$  are two thin homotopy equivalence classes of surfaces, and let  $X, Y : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be arbitrary representatives.


- (1) **Horizontal concatenation of equivalence classes.** Suppose  $\partial_r X \sim_{\text{th}} \partial_l Y$ , and let  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a thin homotopy between  $\partial_r X$  and  $\partial_l Y$ . We define

$$[X] \star_h [Y] := [X \star_h \eta \star_h Y], \quad (3.5)$$

where these surfaces are composable by the definition of the thin homotopy.

- (2) **Vertical concatenation of equivalence classes.** Suppose  $\partial_u X \sim_{\text{th}} \partial_b Y$ , and let  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a thin homotopy between  $\partial_u X$  and  $\partial_b Y$ . Let  $\tilde{\eta}_{s,t} := \eta_{t,s}$  be the reflection of  $\eta$  about the diagonal. We define

$$[X] \star_v [Y] := [X \star_v \tilde{\eta} \star_v Y], \quad (3.6)$$



where these surfaces are composable by definition of thin homotopy and the reflection. The fact that these compositions are well-defined is proved in Lemma 2.12 of [68]. Furthermore, this satisfies the interchange law.

**Identity Squares.** Given a thin homotopy equivalence class of paths  $[x] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_1$  with a representative  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , the horizontal and vertical identity squares are exactly  $[1_x^h], [1_x^v] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_2$ . To verify this is well defined, suppose  $x \sim_{\text{th}} y$ , and let  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a thin homotopy between  $x$  and  $y$ . Then, we note that  $\Xi : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  defined by  $\Xi_{s,t,u} = \eta_{t,u}$  is a thin homotopy between  $1_x^h$  and  $1_y^h$  since  $\Xi$  is constant in the  $s$ -direction and therefore  $\text{rank}(d\Xi) = \text{rank}(d\eta) = 1 \leq 2$ .

**Inverse Squares.** Given a thin homotopy equivalence class of surfaces  $[X] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_2$  with a representative  $X : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , the horizontal and vertical inverses are exactly  $[X^{-h}], [X^{-v}] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_2$ . If  $X \sim_{\text{th}} Y$ , then we have  $X^{-h} \sim_{\text{th}} Y^{-h}$  and  $X^{-v} \sim_{\text{th}} Y^{-v}$  by inverting the thin homotopy between  $X$  and  $Y$  in the same way as the horizontal and vertical inverses. One can check that  $[X^{-h}]$  and  $[X^{-v}]$  act as inverses with respect to horizontal and vertical concatenation,

$$[X] \star_h [X^{-h}] = [1_{\partial_h X}^h], \quad [X] \star_v [X^{-v}] = [1_{\partial_v X}^v],$$


since thin homotopy quotients out 2-dimensional retracings (Example 3.5).

**Theorem 3.6.** [68, Theorem 2.13] *The structure  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  defined above is a double groupoid.*

#### 4. Crossed Modules and Matrix Double Groups

**4.1. Double Groups.** In this section, we turn to a higher dimensional generalization of a group called a double group. Following the observation that a group is simply a groupoid with one object, a *double group* is a double groupoid  $\mathbf{G}$  with a single object. Thus, the only data we must specify are the edges, and the squares. Given a pair of groups  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2)$ , with the structure of a crossed module, we define an associated double group  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$  concretely as follows.

- The edges  $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}_1$  form an ordinary group  $\mathbf{G}_1$ , called the *boundary group*.
- The squares  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G}) \subset \mathbf{G}_1^4 \times \mathbf{G}_2$  consists of four elements in  $\mathbf{G}_1$ , which make up the boundary of the square, and an element from another group  $\mathbf{G}_2$  called the *interior group*. An element  $S = (x, y, z, w, E) \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$  can be visually represented as follows.



There exists a *boundary map*  $\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$  and for a square  $S \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$ , we must have

$$\delta(E) = x \cdot y \cdot z^{-1} \cdot w^{-1}.$$

Double groups are also equipped with horizontal and vertical products denoted  $\odot_h$  and  $\odot_v$  respectively. We begin with a simple example of a double group, followed by the general definition in terms of *crossed modules*.


**4.1.1. From Groups to Double Groups.** We begin by constructing a double group  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  from an ordinary group  $G$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_1 = \mathbf{G}_2 = G$ . We define the edges and squares by

$$\mathbf{D}_1(G) := G \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_2(G) := \{(x, y, z, w, E) \in G^5 : x, y, z, w \in G, E = xyz^{-1}w^{-1}\}.$$

We will call this the *trivial double group associated to  $G$* , where the boundary map is the identity,  $\delta = \text{id}$ . The fifth component,  $E$ , of  $\mathbf{D}_2(G)$  is the term which represents the interior, and is simply taken to be the product of the boundary terms in the counter-clockwise order. Now, let's consider the horizontal and vertical composition of two such squares

$$S = (x, y, z, w, E), \quad S' = (x', y', z', w', E') \in \mathbf{D}_2(G).$$



If  $S$  and  $S'$  are horizontally composable, meaning  $y = w'$ , then we can explicitly compute the boundary of the composed square as

$$xx'y'(z')^{-1}z^{-1}w^{-1} = x(x'y'(z')^{-1}(w')^{-1})x^{-1}(xyz^{-1}w^{-1}) = (x \triangleright E')E,$$

where  $x \triangleright y := xyx^{-1}$  denotes the conjugation action. Therefore, the horizontal composition of  $S$  and  $S'$  is defined to be

$$S \odot_h S' = (xx', y', zz', w, (x \triangleright E')E).$$

Similarly, if  $S$  and  $S'$  are vertically composable, meaning  $z = x'$ , then once again we compute the boundary of the vertically composed square as

$$xyy'(z')^{-1}(w')^{-1}w^{-1} = (xyz^{-1}w^{-1})w(x'y'(z')^{-1}(w')^{-1}) = E(w \triangleright E').$$

Thus, the vertical composition of  $S$  and  $S'$  is defined to be

$$S \odot_v S' = (x, yy', z, ww', E(w \triangleright E')).$$

In this setting, the identities and inverses are also straightforward to define. In particular, given  $x \in G$ , we define the horizontal and vertical identities to be

$$1_x^h = (e, x, e, x, e) \quad \text{and} \quad 1_x^v = (x, e, x, e, e),$$

where  $e \in G$  is the identity in  $G$ . Given a square  $S = (x, y, z, w, E)$ , the horizontal and vertical inverses are

$$S^{-h} := (x^{-1}, w, z^{-1}, y; E^{-h}) \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-v} := (z, y^{-1}, x, w^{-1}, E^{-v}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-h} &= x^{-1}wzy^{-1} = x^{-1}(wzy^{-1}x^{-1})x = x^{-1} \triangleright E^{-1} \\ E^{-v} &= zy^{-1}x^{-1}w = w^{-1}(wzy^{-1}x^{-1})w = w^{-1} \triangleright E^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that these indeed satisfy the horizontal and vertical inverse conditions.

**4.1.2. From Crossed Modules to Double Groups.** The interior elements of squares in the double group  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  are completely determined by the boundary elements. In order to faithfully represent surfaces, we require a double group in which the interior group  $\mathbf{G}_2$  encodes more information than the group elements on the boundary of a square  $S$ . In this general setting, we require the pair of groups  $(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2)$  to satisfy additional structure.

**Definition 4.1.** A *crossed module of groups*,

$$\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright : \mathbf{G}_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{G}_2))$$

is given by two groups  $(\mathbf{G}_1, \cdot), (\mathbf{G}_2, *)$ , a group morphism  $\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$  and a left action of  $\mathbf{G}_1$  on  $\mathbf{G}_2$  (denoted element-wise by  $g \triangleright : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$  for  $g \in \mathbf{G}_1$ ) which is a group morphism, such that

$$\text{(CM1) (First Peiffer relation)} \quad \delta(g \triangleright h) = g \cdot \delta(h) \cdot g^{-1}; \text{ for } g \in \mathbf{G}_1 \text{ and } h \in \mathbf{G}_2$$

$$\text{(CM2) (Second Peiffer relation)} \quad \delta(h_1) \triangleright (h_2) = h_1 * h_2 * h_1^{-1}; \text{ for } h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{G}_2.$$

A *crossed module of Lie groups* is the same as above, except  $\mathbf{G}_1$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2$  are Lie groups, and all morphisms are smooth.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $G$  be a group. As a trivial example of a crossed module of groups, we consider  $\mathbf{G}_1 = \mathbf{G}_2 = G$ , the morphism  $\delta = \text{id} : G \rightarrow G$  to be the identity, action to be conjugation.

Given a crossed module  $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright)$ , we construct a double group  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$  which generalizes the construction from Section 4.1.1. We define the edges and squares by

$$\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G}) := \{(x, y, z, w, E) \in \mathbf{G}_1^4 \times \mathbf{G}_2 : \delta(E) = xyz^{-1}w^{-1}\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here, we note that the compatibility condition between  $\mathbf{G}_1$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2$  is that the interior element in  $\mathbf{G}_2$  must coincide with the boundary of the square under the map  $\delta$ . For this reason, we call the map  $\delta$  the *crossed module boundary*. We define the horizontal and vertical composition of squares  $S = (x, y, z, w, E)$  and  $S' = (x', y', z', w', E')$  in the same way as before,

$$S \odot_h S' = (x \cdot x', y', z \cdot z'w, (x \triangleright E') * E) \quad \text{and} \quad S \odot_v S' = (x, y \cdot y', z, w \cdot w', E * (w \triangleright E')), \quad (4.3)$$

where we assume that  $y = w'$  for horizontal composition and  $z = x'$  for vertical composition. In order for these compositions to be well-defined, we must ensure that

$$\delta((x \triangleright E') * E) = x \cdot x' \cdot y' \cdot (z')^{-1} \cdot z^{-1} \cdot w \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(E * (w \triangleright E')) = x \cdot y \cdot y' \cdot z^{-1} \cdot w^{-1} \cdot w^{-1},$$

both of which can be checked by direct computation by using the first Peiffer relation. Furthermore, we must also ensure that the interchange law holds, which is once again verified by direct computation using the second Peiffer relation (see [17, Section 6.6]).

For  $x \in \mathbf{G}_1$ , we define the horizontal and vertical identities to be

$$1_x^h = (e_1, x, e_1, x, e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad 1_x^v = (x, e_1, x, e_1, e_2), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $e_1 \in \mathbf{G}_1$  and  $e_2 \in \mathbf{G}_2$  are the respective identities. Finally, given  $S = (x, y, z, w, E)$ , the horizontal and vertical inverses are given by

$$S^{-h} := (x^{-1}, w, z^{-1}, y, x^{-1} \triangleright E^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-v} := (z, y^{-1}, x, w^{-1}, w^{-1} \triangleright E^{-1}), \quad (4.5)$$

where the inverses are understood to be the group inverses in  $\mathbf{G}_1$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** [17, Section 6.6] *Given a crossed module  $\mathbf{G}$ , the structure  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$  is a double group.*

**4.2. Crossed Modules of Matrix Lie Groups.** In this section, we will define a crossed module

$$\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p} = (\partial : \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}, \triangleright) \quad (4.6)$$

of Lie groups, which is the higher analogue of the classical matrix groups, and will be used throughout the remainder of the article. The general linear group  $\mathbf{GL}^n$  is the automorphism group of the vector space  $V$ . Thus, the natural extension is to consider automorphisms of some higher notion of a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ . We use Baez-Crans 2-vector spaces, first defined in [8]. These notions of higher general linear groups have previously been studied in [38, 49, 66, 31].

**Definition 4.4.** A 2-vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  is a 2-term chain complex<sup>4</sup>; in particular, it consists of two classical vector spaces  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ , along with a linear transformation  $\phi$ ,

$$\mathcal{V} = V_0 \xrightarrow{\phi} V_1.$$

Equation (4.6) is the *general linear crossed module* of the 2-vector space

$$\mathcal{V} : V_1^{n,p} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \xrightarrow{\phi} V_0^{n,m} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+m},$$

where we choose bases for  $V_1^{n,p}$  and  $V_0^{n,m}$  such that the linear transformation  $\phi$  has the form

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $I \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}$  is the identity matrix. The group  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  consists of invertible chain maps (1-automorphisms) of  $\mathcal{V}$ , while the group  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  consists of chain homotopies (2-automorphisms) from the identity to an element of  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$ . For further details, see Appendix C.1.

**The Group  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$ .** The group  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  contains the invertible chain maps  $(F, G)$ , where  $F \in \mathbf{GL}^{n+m}$  and  $G \in \mathbf{GL}^{n+p}$  such that  $F\phi = \phi G$ . Representing  $F$  and  $G$  in block matrix form,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ L & M \end{pmatrix},$$

the chain map condition is

$$\phi F = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ L & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J & K \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = G\phi.$$

This implies that  $A = J$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $L = 0$ . Therefore, we define  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  to be

$$\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p} = \left\{ F, G = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathbf{GL}^n, B \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}, C \in \mathbf{GL}^m, D \in \text{Mat}_{n,p}, E \in \mathbf{GL}^p \right\}.$$

The group multiplication of  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  is matrix multiplication in each coordinate.

**The Group  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ .** Based on our discussion in the previous section, the interior group of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  will consist of 2-isomorphisms between the identity map and 1-isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{V}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  consists of all chain homotopies from the identity chain map to the invertible chain maps in  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$ .

A chain homotopy is a map  $H : V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow V_0 = \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  written in block matrix form as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} R \in \text{Mat}_{n,n} & S \in \text{Mat}_{n,p} \\ T \in \text{Mat}_{m,n} & U \in \text{Mat}_{m,p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

<sup>4</sup>Throughout, we will use the symbol  $\phi$  for the boundary map in a 2-vector space.

This must be a chain homotopy between the identity  $(I, I) \in \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  and some other chain automorphism  $(F, G) \in \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$ . This means that

$$H\phi = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-I & 0 \\ B & C-I \end{pmatrix} = F - I \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\phi H = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-I & D \\ 0 & E-I \end{pmatrix} = G - I. \quad (4.9)$$

There are two implications of these two equations.

- (1) We must have  $C = I$  and  $E = I$ . Thus, the target of the homotopy must be of the form

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (2) We must have  $T = B$  and  $S = D$ . Thus, the homotopy  $H$  must be of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A-I & D \\ B & U \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $A, B, D$  are all determined by the target of the homotopy, and  $U$  is arbitrary.

Therefore, we define

$$\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} := \left\{ h = \begin{pmatrix} A-I & D \\ B & U \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathbf{GL}^n, B \in \mathbf{Mat}_{m,n}, D \in \mathbf{Mat}_{n,p}, H \in \mathbf{Mat}_{m,p} \right\}. \quad (4.11)$$

**Group Structure of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ .** Group multiplication in  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  corresponds to horizontal composition of chain homotopies. Given two chain homotopies  $H : I \simeq (F, G)$  and  $H' : I \simeq (F', G')$ , the horizontal composition is a homotopy  $H * H' : I \simeq (FF', GG')$  defined by

$$H * H' = FH' + H = (H\phi + I)H' + H = H + H' + H\phi H',$$

where we use  $F = H\phi + I$  from (4.8). Thus, the group multiplication is

$$H * H' = H + H' + H\phi H'. \quad (4.12)$$

The unit is the zero map, which we simply denote by  $0$ , and the inverse of  $H$  with respect to  $*$  is

$$H^{-*} = -(I + H\phi)^{-1}H = -H(I + \phi H)^{-1}. \quad (4.13)$$

**Crossed Module Boundary.** The crossed module boundary map  $\delta : \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  sends a homotopy to its target. From the chain homotopy conditions in (4.8) and (4.9), this is

$$\delta(H) = (H\phi + I, \phi H + I). \quad (4.14)$$

**Crossed Module Action.** The last part of the crossed module structure to define is the action  $\triangleright$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  on  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Given a homotopy  $h \in \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  and a chain automorphism  $(g, f) \in \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$ , the action is defined by

$$(F, G) \triangleright H := FHG^{-1}. \quad (4.15)$$

**Theorem 4.5.** [66] *The structure*

$$\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p} = (\partial : \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}, \triangleright)$$

*defined in this section is a crossed module of Lie groups.*



**4.3. Crossed Modules of Matrix Lie Algebras.** Crossed modules of Lie algebras (also called differential crossed modules), are the infinitesimal version of crossed modules of Lie groups.

**Definition 4.6.** A crossed module of Lie algebras,

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1, \triangleright : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_2)),$$

is given by Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot]_2)$ , a Lie algebra morphism  $\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$  and an action of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  (denoted element-wise by  $X \triangleright : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ ), which is a morphism of Lie algebras, such that

$$\text{(DCM1) (First Peiffer relation)} \quad \delta(X \triangleright v) = [X, \delta(v)]_1; \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \text{ and } v \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

$$\text{(DCM2) (Second Peiffer relation)} \quad \delta(u) \triangleright (v) = [u, v]_2; \text{ for } u, v \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

For a crossed module of Lie groups,  $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1)$ , one can construct its associated crossed module of Lie algebras by applying the Lie algebra functor to the boundary map  $\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1$  and the action  $\triangleright$  [35]. We apply this to the general linear crossed module to get

$$\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p} = (\delta : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}, \triangleright).$$

More concretely, this is obtained by taking the Lie algebra of both Lie groups in  $\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$ , and taking the differential of all maps.

**The 1-Morphisms.** Because  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  just contains pairs of matrices with matrix multiplication as the group multiplication, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$  is simply the space of all chain maps,

$$\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p} = \left\{ X, Y = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{gl}^n, B \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}, C \in \mathfrak{gl}^m, D \in \text{Mat}_{n,p}, E \in \mathfrak{gl}^p \right\}.$$

The Lie bracket is the usual commutator of matrices, denoted  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ .

**The 2-Morphisms.** The Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  consists of linear transformations  $Z : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} := \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} : R \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}, S \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}, T \in \text{Mat}_{n,p}, U \in \text{Mat}_{m,p} \right\},$$

where the Lie bracket is the commutator with respect to the  $*$ -product,

$$[Z, Z']_* := Z\phi Z' - Z'\phi Z. \quad (4.16)$$

**Crossed Module Boundary.** The differential of the boundary map,  $\delta : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$ , is

$$\delta(Z) = (Z\phi, \phi Z).$$

**Crossed Module Action.** Finally, the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  is

$$(X, Y) \triangleright Z = XZ - ZY.$$

**Interactions between Lie Groups and Lie Algebras.** Recall that for any action of Lie groups  $\triangleright : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ , there is a corresponding action of the Lie group  $G$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . In particular, for any  $g \in G$ , we have an automorphism  $g \triangleright : H \rightarrow H$ ; when we take the differential of this map, we obtain the action  $\triangleright : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$ . In the case of the general linear crossed modules, the action  $\triangleright : \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$  is defined by

$$(F, G) \triangleright X = FXG^{-1}. \quad (4.17)$$

The Lie exponential  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is discussed in Appendix C.2.

### 5. Smooth Surface Holonomy

We will now construct the desired double functor (Definition 3.2) between double groupoids,

$$H : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G}),$$

where  $\Pi$  is the thin fundamental double groupoid (Theorem 3.6) and  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$  is the double group (4.2) associated to a crossed module  $\mathbf{G}$ . Because  $\mathbf{D}_0(\mathbf{G})$  is a singleton, the functor is trivial at the level of objects  $H_0 : \Pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_0(\mathbf{G})$ . At the level of edges,

$$H_1 : \Pi_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{G}),$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}_1$  is just a group, this functor reduces to classical path holonomy on  $\mathbf{G}_1$ . Then, at the level of squares, we have a map

$$H_2 : \Pi_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G}).$$

Given a surface  $X \in \Pi_2$  with boundary paths  $x, y, z, w \in \Pi_1$ , the resulting square has the form

$$H_2(X) = \left( H_1(x), H_1(y), H_1(z), H_1(w); H(X) \right), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $H : C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$  is a map which is invariant under thin homotopy, and thus descend to  $H : \Pi_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$ . We will call  $H$  the *surface holonomy*.

In order to define surface holonomy valued in the crossed module  $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1)$ , we require a 2-connection valued in the associated differential crossed module  $\mathfrak{g} = (\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1)$ .

**Definition 5.1.** A translation-invariant 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  valued in the differential crossed module  $\mathfrak{g} = (\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1)$  consists of a linear differential 1-form  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g}_1)$  and a linear differential 2-form  $\gamma \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g}_2)$  of the form

$$\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha^i dx_i \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma := \sum_{i < j} \gamma^{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\alpha^i \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\gamma^{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ . The 2-connection is called *semiflat* if

$$\delta\gamma = \kappa^\alpha, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\kappa^\alpha$  is the curvature of  $\alpha$  (Definition 2.14). In this article, 2-connections will always refer to semiflat translation-invariant 2-connections.

**5.1. Nonabelian Surface Integration.** We will construct this surface holonomy functor by following the philosophy of Example 1.1, as outlined in Section 1.2. Let  $X \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and fix a 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  valued in the differential crossed module  $\mathfrak{g} = (\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1)$ . The group multiplication in  $\mathbf{G}_2$  is denoted  $*$ , and the exponential for  $\mathbf{G}_2$  is denoted  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$ .

We begin by considering a grid-like partition

$$\pi = \pi_s \times \pi_t = \{0 = s_0 < \dots < s_n = 1\} \times \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_m = 1\}.$$

We approximate the surface holonomy over a rectangle  $[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]$  by

$$H(X_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}) \approx \tilde{H}_{i,j} := \exp_* \left( \gamma \left( \frac{\partial X_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial X_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \Delta_i s \Delta_j t \right) \in \mathbf{G}_2,$$

where

$$\Delta_i s := s_i - s_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j t := t_j - t_{j-1}.$$


Moreover, we denote the path holonomies of the bottom and left boundaries of  $\mathbf{X}_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_{j-1}} &:= F(\mathbf{X}_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}) \\ F_{s_{i-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j} &:= F(\mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1} \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we approximate the entire square  $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbf{X}_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]})$  by

$$\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbf{X}_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}) \approx \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} := \left( F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_{j-1}}, F_{s_i; t_{j-1}, t_j}, F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_j}^{-1}, F_{s_{i-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j}^{-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} \right), \quad (5.4)$$

and we will stitch these squares together using the double groupoid compositions.



However, this square is not necessarily an element of  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$ , since

$$\delta \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} \neq F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_{j-1}} \cdot F_{s_i; t_{j-1}, t_j} \cdot F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_j}^{-1} \cdot F_{s_{i-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j}^{-1} \quad (5.5)$$

in general. Indeed, we can compute the crossed module boundary of  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} &= \delta \exp_* \left( \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \Delta_i s \Delta_j t \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \delta \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \Delta_i s \Delta_j t \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial s} \right), \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \right] \Delta_i s \Delta_j t \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $\delta$  commutes with Lie exponentials ( $\delta$  is a Lie group morphism in the first line and the corresponding Lie algebra morphism in the second line), and the semiflatness condition (5.3). Equation (5.5) holds generically, which follows from the fact that  $\delta \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}$  is only dependent on  $\mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1}, t_{j-1}}$ , while the product of boundary terms depends on the entire boundary.

Despite the fact that these are not squares in the double group  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$ , we show that the limit of iterated horizontal and vertical compositions forms an element of the double group. By a slight abuse of notation, we define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} \odot_h \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i+1,j} := (F_{s_{i-1}, s_i; t_{j-1}} \triangleright \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i+1,j}) * \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} \odot_v \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j+1} := \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} * (F_{s_{i-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j} \triangleright \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j+1}), \quad (5.7)$$

and denote the iterated horizontal and vertical products by


$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^h \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} &:= \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1,j} \odot_h \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2,j} \odot_h \dots \odot_h \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n,j} \\ \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j} &:= \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,1} \odot_v \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,2} \odot_v \dots \odot_v \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{x}^{s,t} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the path specified by the image of the piecewise linear path in  $[0, 1]^2$ ,

$$(0, 0) \rightarrow (0, t) \rightarrow (s, t),$$

under the map  $X$ . More precisely, we define

$$\mathbf{x}_u^{s,t} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_{0,2ut} & : u \in [0, 1/2] \\ \mathbf{X}_{(2u-1)s,t} & : u \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$



We call this the  $(s,t)$ -tail path of  $X$ , and will be used throughout the remainder of the article. The following result shows that the limit of such iterated compositions yield the surface holonomy. Similar results on showing iterated compositions have previously appeared in [72, 84].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  be a 2-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{g} = (\delta : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1)$ . For each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the uniform partition  $\pi^{n,m}$  of  $[0, 1]^2$  given by  $s_i = \frac{i}{n}$  and  $t_j = \frac{j}{n}$ . Then,*

$$H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^h \tilde{H}_{i,j} \quad (5.9)$$


is well defined, and is the solution of the differential equation,

$$\frac{\partial H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial t} = dL_{H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X})} \int_0^s F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) ds', \quad H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = e_2, \quad (5.10)$$

where  $e_2 \in \mathbf{G}_2$  is the identity, with  $H^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = H_{1,1}^{\alpha, \gamma}(\mathbf{X})$ .

**PROOF.** To simplify notation, we will omit the  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  superscripts in this proof. We consider one limit at a time, and we will begin by approximating

$$\tilde{H}_j := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^h \tilde{H}_{i,j}. \quad (5.11)$$



By applying the horizontal composition rule from (5.6) from left to right, and using the fact that  $F_{s,s';t} \cdot F_{s',s'';t} = F_{s,s'';t}$ , since  $F$  denotes a path holonomy, we have

$$\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^h \tilde{H}_{i,j} = (F_{0,s_{n-1};t_{j-1}} \triangleright \tilde{H}_{n,j}) * \dots * (F_{0,s_1;t_{j-1}} \triangleright \tilde{H}_{2,j}) * \tilde{H}_{1,j}$$

Next, expanding the definitions, and denoting  $\epsilon_n = \Delta_i s = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\epsilon_m = \Delta_j t = \frac{1}{n}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{0,s_{i-1};t_{j-1}} \triangleright \tilde{H}_{i,j}) &= F_{0,s_{i-1};t_{j-1}} \triangleright \exp_* \left( \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \epsilon_n \epsilon_m \right) \\ &= \exp_* \left( F_{0,s_{i-1};t_{j-1}} \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \epsilon_n \epsilon_m \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Proposition 2.12, we can write (5.11) is a path ordered exponential,

$$\tilde{H}_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overleftarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^* \exp_* \left( F_{0,s_{i-1};t_{j-1}} \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \epsilon_n \Delta t \right) = \overleftarrow{\text{Pexp}}_1(A)$$


where  $A_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  is definewd by

$$A_s := F_{0,s;t_{j-1}} \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) \epsilon_m \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

In particular,  $\tilde{H}_j$  is well defined. Next, we wish to consider approximations of  $\tilde{H}_j$ , so we embed the Lie group  $\mathbf{G}_2$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  in the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ . Then, for sufficiently small  $\epsilon_m$ , we make the approximation

$$\tilde{H}_j = \exp_* \left( \epsilon_m \int_0^1 F_{0,s';t_{j-1}} \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) ds' \right) + O(\epsilon_m^2).$$

While  $\tilde{H}_j \in \mathbf{G}_2$ , the error term  $O(\epsilon_m^2)$  is an element of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  and not necessarily in  $\mathbf{G}_2$ .



Lastly, we consider limit of the vertical compositions,

$$\overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \tilde{H}_j = \tilde{H}_1 * (F_{0,0;t_1} \triangleright \tilde{H}_2) * \dots * (F_{0,0;t_{m-1}} \triangleright \tilde{H}_m).$$

Then, in the limit, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \tilde{H}_j = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^* F_{0,0;t_{j-1}} \triangleright \exp_* \left( \epsilon_m \int_0^1 F_{0,s';t_{j-1}} \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) ds' \right),$$

since the  $O(\epsilon_m^2)$  error term vanishes as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Next, we note that

$$F_{0,0;t_{j-1}} \cdot F_{0,s';t_{j-1}} = F(\mathbf{x}^{s',t_{j-1}}),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^{s,t}$  is the tail path from (5.8). Once again using the fact that the action  $\triangleright$  commutes with the exponential  $\exp_*$ , we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \tilde{H}_j = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^* \exp_* \left( \epsilon_m \int_0^1 F(\mathbf{x}^{s',t_{j-1}}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t_{j-1}}}{\partial t} \right) ds' \right) = \overrightarrow{\text{Pexp}}_t(B),$$

where

$$B_t := \int_0^1 F(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) ds' \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Finally, by Proposition 2.12, see that  $\overrightarrow{\text{Pexp}}_t(B)$  satisfies the differential equation (5.12), and thus

$$H(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \tilde{H}_j = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^v \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^h \tilde{H}_{i,j}.$$

□

**Remark 5.3.** In the definition of this surface ordered exponential, we first perform horizontal compositions, and then perform vertical compositions. The approximate squares defined in (5.4) are *not* elements of  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$  because the boundary condition does not hold. Thus the interchange law does not hold, and the equivalence with the opposite ordering is not immediate. However, the limiting product in the opposite ordering

$$\widehat{H}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [n]}^v \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [m]}^h \widetilde{H}_{i,j},$$

will satisfy the differential equation in (5.23) in terms of  $s$  rather than  $t$ . We will show in Proposition 5.9 that  $H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = \widehat{H}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})$ . Following [68], this can be viewed as a nonabelian Fubini theorem. Further discussion on the order of multiplication can be found in [84, Chapter 7] and [72, Remark 3.9].

**5.1.1. Surface Holonomy in Crossed Modules.** The map obtained in Theorem 5.2 corresponds to a generalization of path holonomy to surfaces. Surface holonomy was initially defined using 2-categories [7, 9, 75, 67], but we will use the formulation from [68] in terms of double groupoids.

**Definition 5.4.** [68, Equation 2.13] Let  $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright)$  be a crossed module, and let  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  be a 2-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g}_1)$  and  $\gamma \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ . Let  $\mathbf{X} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a smooth surface. The *surface holonomy of  $\mathbf{X}$  with respect to  $(\alpha, \gamma)$*  is the solution at  $(s, t) = (1, 1)$  of the differential equation for  $H_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$ , defined by

$$\frac{\partial H_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial t} = dL_{H_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})} \int_0^s F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) ds', \quad H_{s,0}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = e_{\mathbf{G}_2}, \quad (5.12)$$

where  $\triangleright$  is the induced action of  $\mathbf{G}_1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , and the tail path  $\mathbf{x}^{s,t} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is defined in (5.8). When the connection is clear, we write  $H_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) := H_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})$ , and we define

$$H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) := H_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}).$$

In order for this definition of surface holonomy to be used in the surface holonomy functor from (5.1), it must be invariant under thin homotopy.

**Proposition 5.5.** [68, Corollary 2.31] *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be smooth maps such that  $\mathbf{X} \sim_{\text{th}} \mathbf{X}'$ . Then, for any 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$ , we have*

$$H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}').$$

This implies that for any 2-connection  $(\alpha, \gamma)$ , the surface holonomy function from Definition 5.4 is well-defined under thin homotopy classes of surfaces,

$$H^{\alpha,\gamma} : \Pi_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2.$$

Furthermore, it satisfies the crossed module boundary condition, which can be viewed as a nonabelian Stokes' theorem (see the following Example 5.7).

**Theorem 5.6** (Smooth Nonabelian Stokes' Theorem). [68, Theorem 4.1] *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  be a 2-connection. Suppose  $\partial \mathbf{X} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is the boundary path of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then,*

$$\delta H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = F^\alpha(\partial \mathbf{X}). \quad (5.13)$$

**Example 5.7.** We consider the simplest example of surface holonomy using the trivial crossed module from Example 4.2,

$$\mathbf{G} = (\text{id} : G \rightarrow G, \triangleright),$$

where the action  $\triangleright$  is given by conjugation. This induces the trivial double group  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  defined in (4.1). Choose a 1-connection  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$ , and define the 2-connection as the curvature  $\gamma = \kappa \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g})$  of  $\alpha$  from Definition 2.14, where semiflatness is satisfied by definition.

Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with boundary paths  $x, y, z, w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . From (5.13) and the fact that  $\delta = \text{id}$ , the surface holonomy must be the path holonomy of the boundary,

$$H^{\alpha, \kappa}(\mathbf{X}) = F^\alpha(x) \cdot F^\alpha(y) \cdot F^\alpha(z^{-1}) \cdot F^\alpha(w^{-1}) = F^\alpha(\partial \mathbf{X}), \quad (5.14)$$

which motivates terminology of the *nonabelian Stokes' theorem*. Indeed, from Theorem 5.2, we view  $H^{\alpha, \kappa}$  as the nonabelian surface integral of  $\kappa = D\alpha$  (where  $D$  is the exterior covariant derivative of  $\alpha$ ), and  $F^\alpha(\partial \mathbf{X})$  is the nonabelian path integral of  $\alpha$ . Here,  $H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \kappa}(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow G$ , is defined by

$$\frac{\partial H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \kappa}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial t} = dL_{H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \kappa}(\mathbf{X})} \int_0^s F^\alpha(x^{s',t}) \triangleright \kappa \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) ds', \quad H_{s,t}^{\alpha, \kappa}(\mathbf{X}) = e_G. \quad (5.15)$$

The formula in (5.14) has previously been studied as a nonabelian Stokes' theorem (see [55] and references therein), going back to the work of Schlesinger [73].

Finally, the map defined between the double groupoids  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  and  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  at the beginning of this section is indeed a functor between double groupoids.

**Theorem 5.8.** [68, Theorem 2.32] *Let  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  be a 2-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The maps*

$$H_1^{\alpha, \gamma} : \mathbf{\Pi}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1(G) \quad \text{and} \quad H_2^{\alpha, \gamma} : \mathbf{\Pi}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_2(G)$$

*given for  $[x] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_1$  and  $[\mathbf{X}] \in \mathbf{\Pi}_2$  by*

$$H_1^{\alpha, \gamma}([x]) = F^\alpha([x]) \quad (5.16)$$

$$H_2^{\alpha, \gamma}([\mathbf{X}]) = \left( F^\alpha([x]), F^\alpha([y]), F^\alpha([z]), F^\alpha([w]), H^{\alpha, \gamma}([\mathbf{X}]) \right), \quad (5.17)$$

*defines a functor  $H^{\alpha, \gamma} : \mathbf{\Pi} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(G)$  between double groupoids.*

This result implies that surface holonomy preserves horizontal and vertical compositions of maps. In particular, given surfaces  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $\mathbf{X}$  has bottom and left boundaries  $\partial_b \mathbf{X} = x$  and  $\partial_l \mathbf{X} = w$ , and the maps are horizontally (resp. vertically) composable, then

$$H(\mathbf{X} \star_h \mathbf{Y}) = [F(x) \triangleright H(\mathbf{Y})] * H(\mathbf{X}) \quad (5.18)$$

$$H(\mathbf{X} \star_v \mathbf{Y}) = H(\mathbf{X}) * [F(w) \triangleright H(\mathbf{Y})]. \quad (5.19)$$

In fact, this holds under the composition of thin homotopy classes of surfaces defined in (3.5) and (3.6), and thus we have

$$H([\mathbf{X}] \star_h [\mathbf{Y}]) = [F([x]) \triangleright H([\mathbf{Y}])] * H([\mathbf{X}]) \quad (5.20)$$

$$H([\mathbf{X}] \star_v [\mathbf{Y}]) = H([\mathbf{X}]) * [F([w]) \triangleright H([\mathbf{Y}])]. \quad (5.21)$$

This is because of the fact that if a surface  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  has  $\text{rank}(d\eta) \leq 1$ , then  $\gamma \left( \frac{\partial \eta_{s,t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \eta_{s,t}}{\partial t} \right) = 0$  everywhere, and thus  $H(\eta) = 0$ .

The surface holonomy functor also satisfies an equivariance property. There is a natural dihedral group action on the elements of  $\mathbf{\Pi}_2$  by rotation or reflections of the domain, and there



also exists a group action on the squares of  $\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{G})$  such that  $H_2^{\alpha,\gamma}$  is equivariant [68, Theorem 4.4]. Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} := \mathbf{X}_{t,s}$  be the map defined by reflecting the domain about the diagonal  $s = t$ . From this equivariance property, we have

$$H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}) = H^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})^{-*}, \quad (5.22)$$

where  $(\cdot)^{-*}$  denotes the group inverse in  $\mathbf{G}_2$ . This leads to another differential equation for surface holonomy, which is implicit in [68].

**Proposition 5.9** (Smooth Nonabelian Fubini's Theorem). *Let  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  be a 2-connection valued in  $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright)$ , and suppose  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $\widehat{H}_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$  be defined by the differential equation*

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{H}_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial s} = dR_{\widehat{H}_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})} \int_0^t F^\alpha(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{t',s}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t'}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t'}}{\partial t} \right) dt', \quad H_{0,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = e_{\mathbf{G}_2}, \quad (5.23)$$

where  $R_g : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$  is right multiplication by  $g \in \mathbf{G}_2$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{t,s}$  is the  $(t,s)$ -tail path<sup>5</sup> of  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$ . Then,

$$\widehat{H}_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = H_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}),$$

where  $H^{\alpha,\gamma}$  is the map from Definition 5.4.

PROOF. Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} = \mathbf{X}_{t,s}$ , and using the definition of  $H_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})$  from Definition 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_{t,s}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})}{\partial s} &= dL_{H_{t,s}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})} \int_0^t F^\alpha(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{t',s}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{t',s}}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{t',s}}{\partial s} \right) dt' \\ &= dL_{H_{t,s}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})} \left( - \int_0^t F^\alpha(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{t',s}) \triangleright \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t'}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t'}}{\partial t} \right) dt' \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the inverse property of path ordered exponentials [2, Proposition 2.1], we have  $\widehat{H}_{s,t}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = H_{t,s}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})^{-*}$  for all  $s, t \in [0,1]$ . Finally, combining this with (5.22), we have

$$\widehat{H}_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}) = H_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}})^{-*} = H_{1,1}^{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathbf{X}).$$

□

**5.2. Matrix Surface Holonomy.** So far, we considered surface holonomy for 2-connections valued in arbitrary differential crossed modules. Here, we will specialize to the case of *matrix surface holonomy*, when the 2-connections are valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$ .

**Notation.** We will fix a 2-vector space  $\mathcal{V} = V_1^{n,p} \rightarrow V_0^{n,m}$ , where  $V_1^{n,p} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $V_0^{n,m} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, recall that

$$\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p} \subset \mathbf{GL}^{n+m} \times \mathbf{GL}^{n+p} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p} \subset \mathfrak{gl}^{n+m} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}^{n+p}.$$

When we discuss matrix surface holonomy, it is convenient to distinguish the two components of  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$ .

**1-Connections and Path Holonomy.** We denote a 1-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}$  by  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}) \cong L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^{n+m}) \oplus L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^{n+p})$ , where

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha^i, \beta^i) dx_i,$$

<sup>5</sup>Alternatively,  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{t,s}$  is the image of the path  $(0,0) \rightarrow (s,0) \rightarrow (s,t)$  under  $\mathbf{X}$ .

with

$$\alpha^i, \beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} A^i & 0 \\ B^i & C^i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A^i & D^i \\ 0 & E^i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}.$$

We view each component of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  separately as  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^{n+m})$  and  $\beta \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^{n+p})$ . Then, given a smooth path  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote the path holonomy of  $x$  by

$$(F^\alpha(x), G^\beta(x)) \in \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}. \quad (5.24)$$

In particular,  $F^\alpha(x) \in \mathbf{GL}^{n+m}$  is the path holonomy with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $G^\beta(x) \in \mathbf{GL}^{n+p}$  is the path holonomy with respect to  $\beta$ . If the connection is clear, we will simply write  $(F(x), G(x))$ .

**2-Connections.** We denote the 2-form in the 2-connection by  $\gamma \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ , where

$$\gamma = \sum_{i < j} \gamma^{i,j} dx_i \wedge dx_j$$

and

$$\gamma^{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & S^{i,j} \\ T^{i,j} & U^{i,j} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}.$$

However, there are some restrictions on the  $\alpha^i, \beta^i$  and  $\gamma^{i,j}$  due to the semiflat condition (5.3). In particular, for each  $i, j$ , we must have  $\delta(\gamma^{i,j}) = [(\alpha^i, \beta^i), (\alpha^j, \beta^j)]$ . Written out in block matrix form, we have

$$\delta(\gamma^{i,j}) = (\gamma^{i,j} \phi, \phi \gamma^{i,j}) = \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & 0 \\ T^{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & S^{i,j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In terms of the two components, we must have  $\gamma^{i,j} \phi = [\alpha^i, \alpha^j]$  and  $\phi \gamma^{i,j} = [\beta^i, \beta^j]$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{i,j} \phi &= \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & 0 \\ T^{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [A^i, A^j] & 0 \\ B^i A^j - B^j A^i + C^i B^j - C^j B^i & [C^i, C^j] \end{pmatrix} = [\alpha^i, \alpha^j] \\ \phi \gamma^{i,j} &= \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & S^{i,j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [A^i, A^j] & A^i D^j - A^j D^i + D^i E^j - D^j E^i \\ 0 & [E^i, E^j] \end{pmatrix} = [\beta^i, \beta^j]. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.10.** A matrix 2-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$  is a triple  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p})$  and  $\gamma \in L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ , where the components in block matrix form are

$$\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} A^i & 0 \\ B^i & C^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} A^i & D^i \\ 0 & E^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{i,j} & S^{i,j} \\ T^{i,j} & U^{i,j} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that for all  $i < j$ , we have  $[C^i, C^j] = [E^i, E^j] = 0$ ,  $R^{i,j} = [A^i, A^j]$ ,

$$S^{i,j} = A^i D^j - A^j D^i + D^i E^j - D^j E^i \quad \text{and} \quad T^{i,j} = B^i A^j - B^j A^i + C^i B^j - C^j B^i.$$

We let  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the set of matrix 2-connections on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$ . When the domain is clear, we simplify the notation and simply write  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} := \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

This implies that the choice of 1-connection fully determines the matrices  $R^{i,j}, S^{i,j}$  and  $T^{i,j}$ , and the choice of 2-connection reduces to choosing a set of matrices  $U^{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ . Furthermore, we note that  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  is not a linear space due to the nonlinear conditions  $[C^i, C^j] = 0$  and  $[E^i, E^j] = 0$ .

**Metrics on 2-Connections.** While  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  is not a linear space, it is a subset of the linear space  $L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}) \oplus L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ , which we equip with the Frobenius norm. Given  $\omega =$

$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p}) \oplus L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ , we define

$$\|\omega\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^d \|\alpha^i\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \|\beta^i\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \|\gamma^{ij}\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2, \quad (5.25)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}}$  on the right side of the equation is the usual Frobenius norm for matrices  $A \in \text{Mat}_{n,m}$ ,

$$\|A\|_{\mathbb{F}}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |A_{i,j}|^2.$$

Then, we define a metric between 2-connections  $d_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_{\mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.26)$$

**Surface Holonomy.** Now, we will consider the surface holonomy equation in (5.12) for matrix 2-connections. In order to make this equation explicit, we note that the differential of the left multiplication map  $L_H : \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is

$$dL_H(X) = (I + H\phi)X. \quad (5.27)$$

Then, using the definition of the action  $\triangleright$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  from (4.17), the *matrix surface holonomy equation* with respect to the matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  is

$$\frac{\partial H_{s,t}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial t} = (I + H_{s,t}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X})\phi) \int_0^s F^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \cdot \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \left( G^{\beta}(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \right)^{-1} ds', \quad (5.28)$$

with boundary conditions  $H_{s,0}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X}) = H_{0,t}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ . Note that we can evaluate  $\gamma$  to get

$$\gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s',t}}{\partial t} \right) = \sum_{i < j} \gamma^{ij} J_{s,t}^{ij}[\mathbf{X}],$$

where  $J_{s,t}^{ij}[\mathbf{X}] : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the determinant of the Jacobian of  $(\mathbf{X}^i, \mathbf{X}^j)$ ; in other words,

$$J_{s,t}^{ij}[\mathbf{X}] := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}^i}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}^i}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}^j}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}^j}{\partial t} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then, we can further simplify the matrix holonomy equation as

$$\frac{\partial H_{s,t}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X})}{\partial t} = (I + H_{s,t}^{\omega}(\mathbf{X})\phi) \left( \sum_{i < j} \int_0^s F^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \cdot \gamma^{ij} \cdot \left( G^{\beta}(\mathbf{x}^{s',t}) \right)^{-1} J_{s,t}^{ij}[\mathbf{X}] ds' \right). \quad (5.29)$$

## 6. Computational Matrix Surface Holonomy

In this section, we provide explicit computations for matrix surface holonomy for linear and piecewise linear maps using the ordinary matrix exponential. We fix a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  and we omit this from the notation.

**6.1. Matrix Surface Holonomy for a Linear Surface.** We begin with a linear surface,

$$\mathbf{X}_{s,t} = as + bt \in C^{\infty}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \quad (6.1)$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $a = (a^1, \dots, a^d)$  and  $b = (b^1, \dots, b^d)$ . We solve the matrix holonomy equation from (5.28) in terms of elementary matrix operations such as the standard matrix exponential.

Here, the matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  evaluated on  $\mathbf{X}$  is constant over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_a &:= \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial s} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha^i a^i, & \alpha_b &:= \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial t} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha^i b^i \\ \beta_a &:= \beta \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial s} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i a^i, & \beta_b &:= \beta \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial t} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta^i b^i \\ \gamma_{a,b} &:= \gamma \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{s,t}}{\partial t} \right) = \sum_{i < j} \gamma^{ij} (a^i b^j - a^j b^i).\end{aligned}$$

Next, note that the tail path  $\mathbf{x}^{s,t}$  from (5.8) is a piecewise linear path from  $\mathbf{X}_{0,0} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{0,t} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{s,t}$ , so the 1-holonomy of  $\mathbf{x}_{s,t}$  can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}F(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) &= \exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \exp(s\alpha_a) \\ G(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) &= \exp(t\beta_b) \cdot \exp(s\beta_a).\end{aligned}$$

In this case, the matrix surface holonomy equation from (5.28) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_t(\mathbf{X}) = (1 + H_t(\mathbf{x})\phi) \cdot \underbrace{\exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \exp(s\alpha_a) \cdot \gamma_{a,b} \cdot \exp(-s\beta_a) ds \right) \cdot \exp(-t\beta_b)}_{=: Q_t}. \quad (6.2)$$

We consider the computation for  $s = 1$ , and to simplify the notation, we set  $H_t(\mathbf{X}) := H_{1,t}(\mathbf{X})$ .

**6.1.1. Computing  $Q_t$ .** First, we compute the term  $Q_t$  from (6.2). Consider the integral

$$J := \int_0^1 \exp(s\alpha_a) \cdot \gamma_{a,b} \cdot \exp(-s\beta_a) ds. \quad (6.3)$$

This integral can be represented as the matrix exponential of a larger matrix by reformulating the following as a system of matrix ODEs.

**Lemma 6.1.** *We have the following two identities*

$$\exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{pmatrix} s \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-sA) & \exp(-sA) \cdot \left( \int_0^s \exp(s'A) \cdot C \cdot \exp(-s'B) ds' \right) \\ 0 & \exp(-sB) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ C & A \end{pmatrix} s \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(sB) & 0 \\ \left( \int_0^s \exp(s'A) \cdot C \cdot \exp(-s'B) ds' \right) \cdot \exp(-sB) & \exp(sA) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.5)$$

Using (6.4) from this lemma, we obtain

$$\exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_a & \gamma_{a,b} \\ 0 & -\beta_a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \exp(-\alpha_a) \cdot J \\ 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\bullet$  denotes a possibly nonzero matrix. Therefore, we can express  $Q_t$  as a block matrix as

$$Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} Q_t^1 & Q_t^2 \\ Q_t^3 & Q_t^4 \end{pmatrix} = (\exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \exp(\alpha_a) \quad 0) \cdot \exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_a & \gamma_{a,b} \\ 0 & -\beta_a \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \exp(-t\beta_b) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.6)$$

explicitly in terms of matrix exponentials and matrix multiplication.

**6.1.2. Solving the Surface Holonomy Equation.** Now, we rewrite Equation (6.2) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_t(\mathbf{X}) = (I + H_t(\mathbf{X})\phi) \cdot Q_t. \quad (6.7)$$

We begin by reducing this differential equation by using the boundary property (5.13) of surface holonomy. In particular, we have

$$\delta H_t(\mathbf{X}) = (F^\alpha, G^\beta)(\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})), \quad (6.8)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]}$  denotes the restriction of the map  $\mathbf{X}$  to the region  $[0, 1] \times [0, t]$ , and  $\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})$  denotes the boundary path of the restricted surface. In particular,  $\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})$  is the image of

$$(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (1, t) \rightarrow (0, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$$

under  $\mathbf{X}$ . The path holonomies of the boundary paths can be explicitly computed as

$$F^\alpha(\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & 0 \\ B_t & C_t \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\alpha_a) \cdot \exp(\alpha_b t) \cdot \exp(-\alpha_a) \cdot \exp(-\alpha_b t) \quad (6.9)$$

$$G^\beta(\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & D_t \\ 0 & E_t \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\beta_a) \cdot \exp(\beta_b t) \cdot \exp(-\beta_a) \cdot \exp(-\beta_b t). \quad (6.10)$$

We express the surface holonomy in block matrix notation as

$$H^\omega(\partial(\mathbf{X}|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})) = \begin{pmatrix} R_t & S_t \\ T_t & U_t \end{pmatrix},$$

and from the definition of the crossed module boundary (4.14), and (4.8) – (4.9) we obtain

$$R_t = A_t - I, \quad S_t = D_t, \quad \text{and} \quad T_t = B_t. \quad (6.11)$$

This implies that we only need to use (6.7) to compute  $U_t$ . We express  $Q_t$  in block form as

$$Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} Q_t^1 & Q_t^2 \\ Q_t^3 & Q_t^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and expanding (6.7), we obtain


$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial R_t}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial S_t}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R_t & S_t \\ T_t & U_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Q_t^1 & Q_t^2 \\ Q_t^3 & Q_t^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_t^1 + R_t Q_t^1 & Q_t^2 + R_t Q_t^2 \\ Q_t^3 + T_t Q_t^1 & Q_t^4 + T_t Q_t^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, this shows that  $U_t$  can be computed as


$$U_t = \int_0^t Q_{t'}^4 + B_{t'} Q_{t'}^2 dt', \quad (6.12)$$

where the  $Q_t^i$  are defined in (6.6), and  $B_t$  is defined in (6.9). We also provide a more direct approach assuming further conditions on the 2-connection in Appendix D.

**6.2. Piecewise Linear Surfaces.** Next, we consider the computation of surface holonomy for piecewise linear maps, which naturally arise as approximations to continuous surfaces or interpolations of a discrete 2-dimensional grid of points. However, we must further triangulate the square to obtain a piecewise linear interpolation. Thus, we consider *basic squares*, defined by the points  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where we fix one point to be the origin  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .



Once we can compute surface holonomy for basic squares, we can use the functorial properties in (5.18) and (5.19) to compute surface holonomy for piecewise linear surfaces. The idea is to view a basic square as the composition of two squares as follows. The colored parts of the square are linear as in the previous figure, while striped regions are horizontally constant (and thus has trivial Jacobian). Moreover, the composition is thin homotopy equivalent to our original map.



Therefore, our task is reduced to computing the surface holonomy of the first two half-squares. To begin, we consider the surface holonomy equation for the first half-square  $X$  above,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_t(X) = (I + H_t(X)\phi) \cdot \exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \left( \int_0^t \exp(s\alpha_c) \cdot \gamma_{c,b} \cdot \exp_m(-s\beta_c) ds \right) \cdot \exp(t\beta_b). \quad (6.13)$$

The only difference is that the inner integral only goes up to  $s = t$  rather than  $s = 1$  since the Jacobian of  $X$  is trivial for  $s > t$ . We can still compute this inner integral

$$J_t = \int_0^t \exp(s\alpha_c) \cdot \gamma_{c,b} \cdot \exp(-s\beta_c) ds$$

with matrix exponentials by using (6.4). However, this is now dependent on  $t$ , and the vector field  $Q_t$  now has the form

$$Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} Q_t^1 & Q_t^2 \\ Q_t^3 & Q_t^4 \end{pmatrix} = (\exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \exp(t\alpha_c) \quad 0) \cdot \exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_c & \gamma_{c,b} \\ 0 & -\beta_c \end{pmatrix} t \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \exp(-t\beta_b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we can use the same methods as Section 6.1.2 to compute the interior block of surface holonomy using (6.12). In this case, the path holonomies is computed as

$$F^\alpha(\partial(X|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & 0 \\ B_t & C_t \end{pmatrix} = \exp((\alpha_b + \alpha_c)t) \cdot \exp(-\alpha_c t) \cdot \exp(-\alpha_b t)$$

$$G^\beta(\partial(X|_{[0,1] \times [0,t]})) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & D_t \\ 0 & E_t \end{pmatrix} = \exp((\beta_b + \beta_c)t) \cdot \exp(-\beta_c t) \cdot \exp(-\beta_b t).$$

We can use similar methods to compute  $H_t(Y)$ . Finally, using the fact that the lower boundary path of  $X$  is trivial, we use the horizontal composition formula to obtain

$$H(X \star_h Y) = H(Y) * H(X).$$

## 7. Young Surface Holonomy

In this section, we generalize surface holonomy from smooth surfaces to bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces in the Young regime, with  $p < 2$ . Furthermore, we show that there exists a double groupoid of equivalence classes of such nonsmooth surfaces, and that surface holonomy is still functorial.

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $\mathbf{x} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $p \geq 1$ . The  $p$ -variation of  $\mathbf{x}$  over  $[s, s']$  is

$$|\mathbf{x}|_{p; [s, s']} := \sup_{\pi} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{x}_{s_i} - \mathbf{x}_{s_{i-1}}|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (7.1)$$

The path  $\mathbf{x}$  has *bounded  $p$ -variation* if  $|\mathbf{x}|_p := |\mathbf{x}|_{p;[0,1]} < \infty$ , and the space of bounded  $p$ -variation paths is denoted  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Furthermore, let

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p;[s,s']} := |\mathbf{x}_s| + |\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']}. \quad (7.2)$$

The  $p$ -variation norm on  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \|\mathbf{x}\|_{p;[0,1]}$ .

In the higher dimensional setting, there are two distinct notions of  $p$ -variation [39]. Suppose  $\mathbf{X} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a continuous surface. For a *rectangle*  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t'] \subset [0,1]^2$ , we denote the *2D increment* of  $\mathbf{X}$  by

$$\square_R[\mathbf{X}] := \mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t} - \mathbf{X}_{s,t'} + \mathbf{X}_{s',t'}. \quad (7.3)$$

Two rectangles are *essentially disjoint* if their intersection is empty or degenerate. A *partition* of a rectangle  $R \subset [0,1]^2$  of a rectangle is a finite set of essentially disjoint rectangles, whose union is  $R$ ; we denote the family of all such partitions by  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ . A *grid-like partition* of  $R$  is a partition  $\pi$  of the form  $\{s = s_0 < \dots < s_n = s'\} \times \{t = t_0 < \dots < t_m = t'\}$ , so all constituent rectangles have the form  $R_{i,j} = [s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]$  for  $i \in [n]$  and  $j \in [m]$ . The family of all grid-like partitions is denoted  $\mathcal{D}^{\square}(R) \subset \mathcal{D}(R)$ . For partitions of  $[0,1]^2$ , we simplify the notation and write  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}([0,1]^2)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{\square} := \mathcal{D}^{\square}([0,1]^2)$ .

**Definition 7.2.** Let  $\mathbf{X} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a continuous surface and  $p \geq 1$ . The *controlled  $p$ -variation of  $\mathbf{X}$  over  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$*  is

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{p;R} := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}(R)} \left( \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (7.4)$$

The  $p$ -variation of  $\mathbf{X}$  over  $R$  is

$$V_{p;R}(\mathbf{X}) := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\square}(R)} \left( \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (7.5)$$

where the supremum is only taken over grid-like partitions. If either  $p$ -variation is taken over the entire domain  $[0,1]^2$ , then we simply denote

$$|\mathbf{X}|_p := |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[0,1]^2} \quad \text{and} \quad V_p(\mathbf{X}) := V_{p;[0,1]^2}(\mathbf{X})$$

The *controlled  $p$ -variation norm* and the  *$p$ -variation norm* are defined respectively by

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_p := |\mathbf{X}|_{\infty} + |\mathbf{X}_{s,0}|_p + |\mathbf{X}_{0,t}|_p + |\mathbf{X}|_p, \quad (7.6)$$

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_{V_p} := |\mathbf{X}|_{\infty} + |\mathbf{X}_{s,0}|_p + |\mathbf{X}_{0,t}|_p + V_p(\mathbf{X}). \quad (7.7)$$

The space of bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces and bounded  $p$ -variation surfaces are denoted  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  respectively. Finally, we denote three subsets of surfaces with certain boundary conditions,

- $C_0([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , surfaces which satisfy  $\mathbf{X}_{0,0} = 0$ ;
- $C_{\perp}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , surfaces which satisfy  $\mathbf{X}_{s,0} = \mathbf{X}_{0,t} = 0$ ; and
- $C_{\square}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , surfaces which satisfy  $\mathbf{X}_{s,0} = \mathbf{X}_{s,1} = \mathbf{X}_{0,t} = \mathbf{X}_{1,t} = 0$ .

We require both notions of  $p$ -variation, as controlled  $p$ -variation can be used as a 2D control function [39], while Young integration only requires ordinary  $p$ -variation. The relationship between these two notions from [39] is summarized in Theorem E.10.



**7.1. Surface Holonomy for Young Surfaces.** We fix a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  throughout this section. The first issue to overcome is the fact that such surfaces are not differentiable in general, and thus, we must reformulate the matrix surface holonomy equation in (5.29) such that it does not involve the Jacobian. The second issue is to reformulate the equation such that it can be solved using standard 1D and 2D Young integration.

We briefly return to the smooth setting and consider a surface  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The goal is to decompose the surface holonomy equation into two steps in order to express it as a 1D CDE in the form of (E.2),

$$\partial_t H_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) = (I + H^{s,t}(\mathbf{X})\phi)\partial_t Z_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}), \quad H_{s,0} = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

Here, the surface  $Z_{s,t} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  has the form

$$Z_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{i < j} \int_0^t \int_0^s F(\mathbf{x}^{s',t'}) \cdot \gamma^{ij} \cdot G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{s',t'}) J_{s',t'}^{ij}(\mathbf{X}) ds' dt'. \quad (7.9)$$

From the definition of the Young integral for smooth surfaces from (E.14), we can replace the Jacobian term for nonsmooth surfaces by rewriting this differential equation in terms of the *area process*  $A(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$ , where the  $(i, j)$ -component is defined by

$$A_{s,t}^{ij}(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^t \int_0^s J_{s',t'}^{ij}(\mathbf{X}) ds' dt'.$$

In fact,  $A_{s,t}^{ij}(\mathbf{X})$  is the signed area of the surface  $(\mathbf{X}^i, \mathbf{X}^j)$  restricted to the rectangle  $[0, s] \times [0, t]$ . From a well-known property of the path signature [41, Example 7.1],  $A_{s,t}^{ij}(\mathbf{X})$  is equivalently defined as the second iterated integral of the boundary of this rectangle. We define the rectangular loop  $\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  through  $\mathbf{X}$  to be the composition of the path

$$(s, t) \rightarrow (s', t) \rightarrow (s', t') \rightarrow (s, t') \rightarrow (s, t)$$

in  $[0, 1]^2$  with  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then, the area process is defined by

$$A_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\Delta^2} d\mathbf{x}_{u_1}^{0,s;0,t} \otimes d\mathbf{x}_{u_2}^{0,s;0,t} \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (7.10)$$

which is anti-symmetric because  $\mathbf{x}^{0,s;0,t}$  is a loop. In particular,  $A_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) = S_2(\mathbf{x}^{0,s;0,t})$ , the second level *path signature* [41, 64] of  $\mathbf{x}^{0,s;0,t}$ .

Thus, we summarize the construction of surface holonomy in the Young regime as follows, where we prove that these maps have the desired regularity in Appendix E.2.

- The *area process*  $A : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined by (7.10).
- The *integrand*  $T : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, L(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}))$  is

$$T_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma \cdot G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})^{-1}. \quad (7.11)$$

- The map  $Z : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$  is

$$Z_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := \int_0^t \int_0^s T_{s',t'}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}). \quad (7.12)$$

- The *surface holonomy*  $H_{s,t} : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathfrak{GL}_2^{n,m,p})$  satisfies

$$\partial_t H_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = (I + H_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})\phi)\partial_t Z_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}), \quad H_{s,0} = 0, \quad (7.13)$$

where  $s \in [0, 1]$  is fixed, and we denote  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := H_{1,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathfrak{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ .

**Remark 7.3.** We can consider the same procedure for the alternate definition of smooth surface holonomy given in Proposition 5.9. In particular, we define a map  $\widehat{H}_{s,t} : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1], \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p})$  as the solution to the differential equation

$$\partial_s \widehat{H}_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \partial_s Z_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) \cdot (I + \phi \widehat{H}_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})) \quad (7.14)$$

The first main theorem of this section shows that surface holonomy is well-defined in the Young regime, and is proved in Appendix E.2.

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  be a matrix 2-connection. The solutions  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}), \widehat{H}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  of (7.13) and (7.14) respectively are bounded 1D  $p$ -variation; in particular,  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := H_{1,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  and  $\widehat{H}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := \widehat{H}_{1,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  are well-defined.*

PROOF SKETCH.

- (1) Show that  $A(\mathbf{X})$  and  $T(\mathbf{X})$  are both bounded  $p$ -variation as surfaces, proved in Proposition E.16 and Proposition E.20 respectively.
- (2) Use 2D Young integration to define  $Z(\mathbf{X})$  and to show  $p$ -variation in Proposition E.21.
- (3) Solutions to controlled differential equations driven by bounded  $p$ -variation paths are bounded  $p$ -variation to conclude (Theorem E.22 and Theorem E.23 respectively).

□

The second main theorem shows that surface holonomy is continuous with respect to the controlled  $p$ -variation metric and the Frobenius metric on 2-connections, and is proved in a similar manner in Appendix E.3.

**Theorem 7.5.** *The surface holonomy maps  $H, \widehat{H} : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  are locally Lipschitz with respect to the controlled  $p$ -variation metric on  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and Frobenius norms on  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  and  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ .*

**7.2. Young Double Groupoids.** We will now consider a generalization of the thin fundamental double groupoid which contains lower regularity surfaces in the Young regime. While we construct double groupoids for bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces, we will make use of the closure (under controlled  $p$ -variation) of smooth surfaces, which we denote by

$$C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) : \exists \mathbf{X}^n \in C^\infty([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } \mathbf{X}^n \xrightarrow{p\text{-cvar}} \mathbf{X} \right\}.$$

Similar to the case of paths, we have the following inclusion, proved in Appendix G.

**Proposition 7.6.** *For  $p > 1$ , we have*

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq p < q} C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^{0,q\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (7.15)$$

Because we only consider  $p < 2$ , we can choose  $q \in (p, 2)$  such that  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^{0,q\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, using continuity with respect to controlled  $q$ -variation, this allows us to easily translate results from the smooth setting to the Young regime. We begin by showing that the nonabelian Stokes' and Fubini theorems generalize to this nonsmooth setting.

**Theorem 7.7 (Nonabelian Stokes' Theorem).** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$ . Let  $\partial \mathbf{X} : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the boundary path of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then,*

$$\delta H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \left( F^\alpha(\partial \mathbf{X}), G^\beta(\partial \mathbf{X}) \right).$$

PROOF. Let  $q \in (p, 2)$ , and suppose  $X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} X$ , where  $X \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . In particular, this implies that  $\partial X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-var}} \partial X$ . Then, by continuity of surface holonomy Theorem 7.5, the smooth nonabelian Stokes' theorem (Theorem 5.6), and continuity of path holonomy in Proposition E.7 (and Example E.8), we have

$$\delta H^\omega(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta H^\omega(X^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F^\alpha(\partial X^n), G^\beta(\partial X^n)) = (F^\alpha(\partial X), G^\beta(\partial X)).$$

□

**Theorem 7.8** (Nonabelian Fubini's Theorem). *Let  $X \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$ . Then,*

$$H_{1,1}^\omega(X) = \widehat{H}_{1,1}^\omega(X),$$

where  $H$  and  $\widehat{H}$  are defined in (7.13) and (7.14) respectively.

PROOF. Let  $q \in (p, 2)$ , and suppose  $X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} X$ , where  $X \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Using the same arguments as the previous theorem, the smooth nonabelian Fubini theorem Proposition 5.9, and continuity of the both definitions of surface holonomy (Theorem 7.5), we have

$$H^\omega(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^\omega(X^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{H}^\omega(X^n) = \widehat{H}^\omega(X).$$

□

**7.2.1. Holonomy Equivalence.** As in the smooth setting, we require an equivalence relation on surfaces to satisfy the double groupoid axioms. However, the standard definition of a thin homotopy requires smoothness; thus we introduce the notion of *holonomy equivalent* surfaces.

**Proposition 7.9.** *Let  $X, Y \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say that  $X$  and  $Y$  are holonomically equivalent, denoted  $X \sim_h Y$ , if*

- (1) *the corners of  $X$  and  $Y$  are equal;*
- (2) *the boundaries of  $X$  and  $Y$  are tree-like equivalent (Definition 2.4); and*
- (3) *for all matrix 2-connections  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  with  $n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$H^\omega(X) = H^\omega(Y). \tag{7.16}$$

The relation  $\sim_h$  is an equivalence relation. If  $X \sim_h 0$ , then we say that  $X$  is holonomically trivial.

PROOF. All three conditions of an equivalence relation are straightforward to check. □

**Corollary 7.10.** *Let  $X, Y \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If  $X \sim_{\text{th}} Y$ , then  $X \sim_h Y$ .*

In the remainder of this section, we will show that the structure  $\Pi^{p\text{-cvar}}$  where

- **objects** are  $\Pi_0^{p\text{-cvar}} = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,
- **edges** are tree-like equivalence classes of paths,  $\Pi_1^{p\text{-cvar}} = C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{tl}}$ ; and
- **squares** are holonomy equivalence classes of surfaces  $\Pi_2^{p\text{-cvar}} = C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{\text{h}}$ ,

is a double groupoid.

**7.2.2. Horizontal and Vertical Composition.** Now, we begin to define the double groupoid structure for holonomy equivalence classes of surfaces, and we start by considering composition. We focus on horizontal composition, and vertical composition will be defined in the same way. We will do this in three steps.

- (1) **Strict Composition.** We will first show that the surface holonomy composition rule holds for strict surfaces (rather than equivalence classes) which are strictly composable (the boundary along which they compose is equal).

- (2) **Holonomically Trivial Homotopy between Tree-like Equivalent Paths.** In order to compose surfaces whose boundaries are only tree-like equivalent, we need to use an intermediate surface to connect the two boundaries. In the Young regime, we do not have a notion of thin homotopy of paths, so instead, we construct a *holonomically trivial homotopy* between the two boundary paths.
- (3) **Composition of Holonomy Equivalence Classes.** Finally, we define composition for holonomy equivalence classes and show that it is well defined.

For  $X, Y \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define horizontal and vertical concatenation by (1.7), assuming the surfaces are composable.

**Lemma 7.11.** *Suppose  $X, Y \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\partial_r X = \partial_l Y$  so that they are horizontally composable. Then, for all matrix 2-connections, we have*

$$H(X \star_h Y) = [(F(\partial_b X), G(\partial_b X)) \triangleright H(Y)] * H(X). \quad (7.17)$$

**PROOF.** Let  $q \in (p, 2)$  such that  $X, Y \in C^{0, q\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  by Proposition 7.6. Let  $Z = X \star_h Y$ , and  $Z^n \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be a sequence of surfaces such that  $Z^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} Z$ . Now, define

$$X_{s,t}^n := Z_{s/2,t}^n \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{s,t}^n := Z_{(s+1)/2,t}^n.$$

Because  $Z^n$  converges to  $Z$  in controlled  $q$ -variation, we also have

$$X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} X, \quad \partial_b X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} \partial_b X \quad \text{and} \quad Y^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} Y.$$

Now, note that  $X^n$  and  $Y^n$  are strictly composable by definition. Then, by the continuity of path and surface holonomy, along with the double groupoid structure for smooth surfaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(X \star_h Y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(X^n \star_h Y^n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(F(\partial_b X^n), G(\partial_b X^n)) \triangleright H(Y^n)] * H(X^n) \\ &= \left[ \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(\partial_b X^n), G(\partial_b X^n)) \right) \triangleright \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Y^n) \right) \right] * \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(X^n) \right) \\ &= [(F(\partial_b X), G(\partial_b X)) \triangleright H(Y)] * H(X). \end{aligned}$$

□

We move on to the second step, and start with a preliminary lemma.

**Lemma 7.12.** *Let  $(T, d)$  be a bounded  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree. Then for any point  $x_0 \in T$ , there exists a strong deformation retract onto  $x_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $L = \sup_{x \in T} d(x, x_0) < \infty$  since  $T$  is bounded; without loss of generality, we set  $L = 1$  by rescaling the metric. We wish to define a homotopy  $h : T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T$ , where  $L = \sup_{x \in T} d(x, x_0) < \infty$  since  $T$  is bounded.

$$h(x, 0) = x_0, \quad h(x, 1) = x, \quad \text{and} \quad h(x_0, t) = x_0.$$


For every  $x \in T$ , let  $\tilde{\sigma}_x : [0, L_x] \rightarrow T$  be the unique geodesic from  $x_0$  to  $x$  with unit speed, where  $L_x = d(x, x_0)$ . We extend each geodesic to  $\sigma_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow T$  by setting

$$\sigma_x(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\sigma}_x(t) & : t \in [0, L_x] \\ x & : t \in [L_x, 1]. \end{cases} \quad (7.18)$$

Then, we define

$$h(x, t) = \sigma_x(t), \quad (7.19)$$

which has the above properties. For fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we note that the image of  $h(\cdot, t)$  is contained in the ball  $B_t(x_0) \subset T$ .



□

**Lemma 7.13.** *For any two paths  $x, y \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $x \sim_{\text{H}} y$ , there exists a homotopically trivial homotopy between them. In particular, there exists some  $\eta \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that*

$$\eta_{0,t} = x_t, \quad \eta_{1,t} = y_t, \quad \eta_{s,0} = x_0 = y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{s,1} = x_1 = y_1, \quad (7.20)$$

and  $H(\eta) = 0$  for all 2-connections.

**PROOF. Constructing the Homotopy.** First, because  $x$  and  $y$  are tree-like, there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $T$  such that  $z = y \star x^{-1}$  factors through a tree  $T$ , as

$$z : [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\tau} T \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We restrict  $T$  to the image of  $\tau$  so that  $T$  is bounded (since  $z$  is bounded  $p$ -variation), and rescale the metric such that  $L = \sup_{x \in T} d(x, \tau_0) = 1$ . Then, by Lemma 7.12, there exists a strong deformation retract  $h : T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T$ , where we explicitly use the one constructed in (7.19), onto  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 \in T$ . Now, we define  $\tilde{\eta} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\tilde{\eta}_{s,t} = \rho \circ h(\tau_t, s).$$


This satisfies the conditions:

$$\tilde{\eta}_{0,t} = \rho \circ h(\tau_t, 0) = \rho \circ \tau_t = z_0$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_{1,t} = \rho \circ h(\tau_t, 1) = \rho \circ \tau_0 = z_t$$


$$\tilde{\eta}_{s,0} = \rho \circ h(\tau_0, s) = \rho \circ \tau_0 = z_0$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_{s,1} = \rho \circ h(\tau_1, s) = \rho \circ \tau_0 = z_0.$$



This homotopy can be reparametrized as in the following figure such that (7.20) is satisfied. In particular, there is some  $\theta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  such that  $\eta = \tilde{\eta} \circ \theta$ . We will not need the exact

form of this reparametrization, except that  $\theta$  is Lipschitz, and that since  $\tilde{\eta}$  factors through  $T$ , the reparametrized  $\eta$  also factors through  $T$ .



**Bounded controlled  $p$ -variation.** Next, we show that  $\tilde{\eta}$  is bounded controlled  $p$ -variation. This implies that  $\eta$  is also bounded controlled  $p$ -variation since  $\theta$  is Lipschitz.

We define the *height function*  $L : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  where  $L_t = d_T(\tau_t, \tau_0)$  is the geodesic distance in  $T$  between  $\tau_t$  and  $\tau_0$ . This is continuous since  $\tau$  is continuous. Given a rectangle  $R \subset [0, 1]^2$ , we note that the 2D increment  $\square_R[\tilde{\eta}]$  is trivial unless  $R$  intersects the graph of  $L$ ,

$$\Gamma(L) = \{(L_t, t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset [0, 1]^2.$$

In particular,  $\tilde{\eta}$  has the following properties.


- For fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $s \leq L_t$  (solid region),  $\tilde{\eta}_{s,t}$  is locally constant as a function of  $t$ .
- For fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $s > L_t$  (striped region),  $\tilde{\eta}_{s,t}$  is constant as a function of  $s$ .

If  $R \cap \Gamma(L)$  has a single connected component,

$$R \cap \Gamma(L) = \Gamma_{[u, u']}(L) := \{(L_t, t) : t \in [u, u']\},$$

then

$$|\square_R[\tilde{\eta}]|^p \leq |z_u - z_{u'}|^p \leq |z|_{p; [u, u']}^p.$$



$$R \cap \Gamma(L) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \Gamma_{I_{\alpha}}(L)$$

where  $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$  is a collection of disjoint closed subsets  $I_{\alpha} \subset [0, 1]$ . Then, let

$$Q(R) := \bigcup_{|I_{\alpha}| > 1} I_{\alpha}$$

be the union of all such subsets, excluding the singletons. In this case, we have

$$|\square_R[\tilde{\eta}]|^p \leq |z|_{p; Q(R)}^p.$$

Now, suppose  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}$  is an arbitrary partition, and let  $\pi_\Gamma = \{R \in \pi : R \cap \Gamma(L) \neq \emptyset\}$  be the set of rectangles which intersect  $\Gamma(L)$ , and enumerate them as  $\pi_\Gamma = \{R_1, \dots, R_k\}$ . Intersecting each  $R_i$  with the graph  $\Gamma(L)$ , we obtain a partition of  $\Gamma(L)$  as

$$\Gamma(L) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q(R_i),$$

where  $Q(R_i) \cap Q(R_j)$  is a disjoint union of singletons. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{R \in \pi} |\square_R[\tilde{\eta}]|^p = \sum_{R \in \pi_\Gamma} |\square_R[\tilde{\eta}]|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbf{z}|_{p; Q(R_i)}^p \leq |\mathbf{z}|_p^p.$$

Therefore,  $|\tilde{\eta}|_p^p \leq |\mathbf{z}|_p^p$ , and  $\tilde{\eta}$  is bounded controlled  $p$ -variation.

**Holonomically Trivial.** Finally, we must show that  $\eta : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  which we have constructed is holonomically trivial. This will be done by showing that the area process  $A[\eta] = 0$  everywhere. Indeed, recall that the area process in the  $(i, j)$  coordinate,  $A_{s,t}^{i,j}[\eta]$ , is defined to be the signed area of the path given by the image of

$$(0, 0) \rightarrow (s, 0) \rightarrow (s, t) \rightarrow (t, 0) \rightarrow (0, 0)$$

under  $\eta$ . However, because  $\eta$  factors through the  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $T$ , this path also factors through  $T$ , and is therefore tree-like. Thus, the signed area is trivial, and  $A[\eta] = 0$ . Then, to compute  $Z$ , we integrate against the area process, which is zero, and therefore  $Z$  is also trivial. Finally, this implies that  $H[\eta] = 0$  for all 2-connections.  $\square$

Next, we show that reparametrizations of the domain are invariant under surface holonomy and that horizontal composition is well defined for holonomy equivalence classes.

**Lemma 7.14.** *Let  $\psi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  be a smooth corner preserving bijective function which preserves the boundaries, so that  $\psi(\partial[0, 1]^2) = \partial[0, 1]^2$ . Then for any  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have*

$$H(\mathbf{X}) = H(\mathbf{X} \circ \psi).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $q \in (p, 2)$  and suppose  $\mathbf{X}^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} \mathbf{X}$ . Then, by thin homotopy invariance of smooth surfaces,

$$H(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\mathbf{X}^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\mathbf{X}^n \circ \psi) = H(\mathbf{X} \circ \psi).$$

$\square$

**Proposition 7.15.** *Suppose  $[\mathbf{X}], [\mathbf{Y}] \in \Pi_2^{p\text{-cvar}}$  are two holonomy equivalence classes of surfaces such that  $[\partial_l \mathbf{X}] = [\partial_r \mathbf{Y}]$ . Given representatives  $\mathbf{X} \in [\mathbf{X}]$  and  $\mathbf{Y} \in [\mathbf{Y}]$ , the horizontal composition defined by*

$$[\mathbf{X}] \star_h [\mathbf{Y}] = [\mathbf{X} \star_h \eta \star_h \mathbf{Y}], \tag{7.21}$$

where  $\eta \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a holonomically trivial homotopy between  $\partial_l \mathbf{X}$  and  $\partial_r \mathbf{Y}$  from Lemma 7.13, is well defined on holonomy equivalence classes. Furthermore, this horizontal composition is associative.

**PROOF.** First, by Lemma 7.14, we know that strict horizontal composition is associative up to holonomy equivalence,

$$\mathbf{X} \star_h (h \star_h \mathbf{Y}) \sim_h (\mathbf{X} \star_h h) \star_h \mathbf{Y},$$

thus we can choose to use either (we use the first). Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' \in [\mathbf{X}]$  and  $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}' \in [\mathbf{Y}]$ . Further, let  $h, h' \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be holonomically trivial homotopies between  $h : \partial_l \mathbf{X} \sim \partial_r \mathbf{Y}$  and



$h' : \partial_l X' \sim \partial_r Y'$  respectively. We must show that

$$X \star_h h \star_h Y \sim_h X' \star_h h' \star_h Y'.$$

The first two conditions of holonomy equivalence are straightforward to check. Thus, we only need to show that the surface holonomies of the two are equivalent. Indeed, fix a 2-connection, and by two applications of Lemma 7.11 from right to left, we have

$$H(X \star_h h \star_h Y) = (G(\partial_b X), F(\partial_b X)) \triangleright \left( [(G(\partial_b h), F(\partial_b h)) \triangleright H(Y)] * H(h) \right) * H(X).$$

Note that  $\partial_b h$  is a constant path so the path holonomies  $G(\partial_b h)$  and  $F(\partial_b h)$  are trivial. Further,  $h$  is homotopically trivial by Lemma 7.13. Then, using the fact that  $X \sim_h X'$  and  $Y \sim_h Y'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(X \star_h h \star_h Y) &= [(G(\partial_b X), F(\partial_b X)) \triangleright H(Y)] * H(X) \\ &= [(G(\partial_b X'), F(\partial_b X')) \triangleright H(Y')] * H(X') \\ &= H(X' \star_h h' \star_h Y'). \end{aligned}$$

□

Using the same arguments as the horizontal case, vertical composition of holonomy equivalence classes of surfaces is well defined.

**Proposition 7.16.** *Suppose  $[X], [Y] \in \Pi_2^{p-\text{cvar}}$  are two holonomy equivalence classes of surfaces such that  $[\partial_u X] = [\partial_b Y]$ . Given explicit representatives  $X \in [X]$  and  $Y \in [Y]$ , the vertical composition defined by*

$$[X] \star_v [Y] = [X \star_v \tilde{\eta} \star_v Y], \quad (7.22)$$

where  $\eta \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a homotopically trivial homotopy between  $\partial_u X$  and  $\partial_b Y$  from Lemma 7.13 and  $\tilde{\eta}_{s,t} := \eta_{t,s}$  is the reflection of  $\eta$  about the diagonal, is well defined on holonomy equivalence classes. Furthermore, this vertical composition is associative.

Finally, by taking limits of smooth paths, we can show that the interchange law holds.

**Lemma 7.17.** *Let  $[X], [Y], [Z], [W] \in \Pi_2^{p-\text{cvar}}$  which are composable into a square, then*

$$([X] \star_h [Y]) * ([W] \star_h [Z]) = ([X] * [W]) \star_h ([Y] * [Z]).$$

PROOF. For  $q \in (p, 2)$ , consider sequences  $X^n \xrightarrow{q-\text{cvar}} X$ , etc of each surface. Then, by continuity of surface holonomy, this holds since the interchange law holds in the smooth setting. □

**7.2.3. Identity and Inverses.** Now that we've obtained compositions, we can consider the identity and inverse squares. We begin with the identity squares. Suppose  $x \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a path, and we define the horizontal and vertical identity squares as follows.

(1) **(Horizontal identity.)** Let  $1_x^h \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be defined by

$$(1_x^h)_{s,t} := x_t. \quad (7.23)$$

(2) **(Vertical identity.)** Let  $1_x^v \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be defined by

$$(1_x^v)_{s,t} := x_s. \quad (7.24)$$

Both of these have trivial controlled  $p$ -variation.

**Lemma 7.18.** *The horizontal/vertical identity squares are identities for horizontal/vertical compositions.*

PROOF. We consider one case; other cases work in the same way. Let  $[X] \in C_h^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and suppose  $[x] = [\partial_r X]$ . Then choosing representatives, we see that that

$$X \star_h 1_x^h \sim_h X$$

since  $X \star_h 1_x^h$  is a reparametrization of  $X$  (Lemma 7.14).  $\square$

Suppose  $X \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The horizontal and vertical inverses are defined as follows.

(1) **(Horizontal inverse.)** The horizontal inverse is

$$X_{s,t}^{-h} = X_{1-s,t}. \quad (7.25)$$

(2) **(Vertical inverse.)** The horizontal inverse is

$$X_{s,t}^{-v} = X_{s,1-t}. \quad (7.26)$$

**Lemma 7.19.** *The horizontal/vertical inverse squares are inverses for horizontal/vertical compositions.*

PROOF. Once again, we will just show the horizontal case. Let  $X \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and let  $x = \partial_l X$ . Consider the horizontal inverse  $X^{-h}$ . We wish to show that

$$X \star_h X^{-h} \sim_h 1_x^h.$$

Once again, the corner and boundary conditions of  $\sim_h$  are easy to check. Then, since  $1_x^h$  is homologically trivial (it has trivial controlled  $p$ -variation), we must show that  $X \star_h X^{-h}$  is also homologically trivial. Let  $q \in (p, 2)$  and  $X^n \xrightarrow{q\text{-cvar}} X$ . Then,

$$H(X \star_h X^{-h}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(X^n \star_h (X^n)^{-h}) = 0,$$

since  $X^n \star_h (X^n)^{-h}$  is homologically trivial.  $\square$

Now, to summarize all of this, we have proved the following.

**Theorem 7.20.** *For  $p < 2$ , the structure  $\Pi^{p\text{-cvar}}$  is a double groupoid. Furthermore, for any matrix 2-connection  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$ , surface holonomy for Young surfaces is a morphism of double groupoids,*

$$H^\omega : \Pi^{p\text{-cvar}} \rightarrow D(GL^{n,m,p}).$$

PROOF. At the level of paths, the fact that groupoid axioms are satisfied and path holonomy is functorial is classical (see the proof the first part of Theorem 1.2 in Appendix B). Thus, it remains to consider the double groupoid axioms and functoriality of the squares. The composition axioms are shown in Proposition 7.15 and Proposition 7.16, and interchange is proved in Lemma 7.17. Furthermore, the identity and inverse axioms are provided in Lemma 7.18 and Lemma 7.19.

Now, we consider functoriality. Suppose  $[X], [Y] \in \Pi_2^{p\text{-cvar}}$  such that  $[\partial_l X] = [\partial_r Y]$ , with representatives  $X, Y \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and let  $\eta$  be a homologically trivial homotopy between these boundaries. We apply Lemma 7.11 to  $X \star_h \eta \star_h Y$  and since  $H^\omega(\eta) = 0$ , horizontal composition is preserved. The vertical case is shown in the same way, and finally  $H^\omega(1_x^h) = H^\omega(1_x^v) = 0$  for any  $x \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  since identities have trivial controlled  $p$ -variation.  $\square$

## 8. Parametrized Surface Holonomy

In this section, we show that matrix surface holonomy is injective (up to translation) for parametrized surfaces in the Young regime. In particular, given  $X, Y \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $p < 2$ , there exists some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  with associated surface holonomy  $H^\omega$  such that

$$H^\omega(\overline{X}) \neq H^\omega(\overline{Y}),$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} := (s, t, \mathbf{X}_{s,t}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+2} \quad (8.1)$$

is the *parametrized surface*. Throughout this section, we consider surfaces based at the origin,  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We denote matrix 2-connections for parametrized surfaces valued in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha^s ds + \alpha^t dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha^i dx_i, & \beta &= \beta^s ds + \beta^t dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta^i dx_i \\ \gamma &= \gamma^{s,t} ds \wedge dt + \sum_{i=1}^d (\gamma^{s,i} ds \wedge dx_i + \gamma^{t,i} dt \wedge dx_i) + \sum_{i < j} \gamma^{i,j} dx_i \wedge dx_j, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the  $s$  and  $t$  superscripts as indices for the  $s$  and  $t$  coordinates in  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ .

We will prove this separation property by considering the boundary and the interior of a surface  $\mathbf{X}$  individually. In each of these cases, we choose matrix 2-connections valued in different  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$ , which will capture information purely about the boundary and interior respectively.

- (1) **Boundary.** To capture information about the boundary, we consider  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,0,0}$  such that in the block matrix notation of Definition 5.10, we only have a nontrivial upper left block. Given the semiflat conditions on a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ , we must have  $\beta = \alpha$  and  $\gamma = \kappa^\alpha$ , where  $\kappa^\alpha$  is the curvature of  $\alpha$  (Definition 2.14). This reduces surface holonomy to the case discussed in Example 5.7, where  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = F^\alpha(\partial\mathbf{X})$ .
- (2) **Interior.** We consider  $\mathfrak{gl}^{0,m,p}$  (where  $\gamma$  has a nontrivial lower right block) to capture information purely about the interior of a surface. From the semiflat conditions for  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{0,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ , we must have  $\kappa^\alpha = 0$  and  $\kappa^\beta = 0$ , and no conditions on  $\gamma$ . Because  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both flat connections, the holonomy over loops is trivial; thus

$$\delta H^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = (F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{X}}), G^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{X}})) = (I, I).$$

Furthermore, the map  $\phi$  from the 2-vector space structure is trivial,  $\phi = 0$ , so the matrix surface holonomy equation (7.8) reduces to

$$\partial_t H_{s,t}^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = \partial_t Z_{s,t}^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}),$$

and thus  $H^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = Z^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}})$ .

**8.1. Characterizing the Boundary.** We begin by showing that the holonomy of parametrized surfaces is able to distinguish between different boundary paths. We will require a preliminary lemma on the boundaries of parametrized surfaces.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, the boundaries of  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$  are tree-like equivalent if and only if the boundaries of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are equivalent; in other words,  $\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} \sim_{\text{tl}} \partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$  if and only if  $\partial\mathbf{X} = \partial\mathbf{Y}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\partial\mathbf{X} = \partial\mathbf{Y}$ , then  $\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ , and thus  $\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} \sim_{\text{tl}} \partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ . Now, suppose  $\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} \sim_{\text{tl}} \partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ . Let  $\bar{u}^X, \bar{v}^X, \bar{w}^X, \bar{z}^X : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$  denote the bottom, right, upper, and left boundary paths of  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ . In particular, we have

$$\bar{u}^X = (s, 0, \mathbf{X}_{s,0}), \quad \bar{v}^X = (1, t, \mathbf{X}_{1,t}), \quad \bar{w}^X = (s, 1, \mathbf{X}_{s,1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^X = (0, t, \mathbf{X}_{0,t}),$$

and we analogously define the boundary paths of  $\mathbf{Y}$ . By definition,  $\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} \sim_{\text{tl}} \partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ , means that

$$\partial\bar{\mathbf{X}} \star (\partial\bar{\mathbf{Y}})^{-1} = \bar{u}^X \star \bar{v}^X \star (\bar{w}^X)^{-1} \star (\bar{z}^X)^{-1} \star \bar{z}^Y \star \bar{w}^Y \star (\bar{v}^Y)^{-1} \star (\bar{u}^X)^{-1}$$

must be a tree-like equivalent to the constant path. In order for this to hold, we require

$$\bar{u}^X \sim_{\text{tl}} \bar{u}^Y, \quad \bar{v}^X \sim_{\text{tl}} \bar{v}^Y, \quad \bar{w}^X \sim_{\text{tl}} \bar{w}^Y \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^X \sim_{\text{tl}} \bar{z}^Y, \quad (8.2)$$

which is due to the  $s$  and  $t$  parameters in  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$ . Indeed, suppose to the contrary that  $\bar{z}^X$  and  $\bar{z}^Y$  are not tree-like equivalent. Because the path  $\partial\bar{X} \star (\partial\bar{Y})^{-1}$  factors through a tree, the segment  $(\bar{z}^X)^{-1} \star (\bar{z}^Y)$  must also factor through a tree. Thus,  $\bar{z}^X$  must be tree-like equivalent to some restriction  $\bar{z}^Y|_{[0,r]}$  (or the other way around). However, the remaining segment  $\bar{z}^Y|_{[r,1]}$  cannot be tree-like equivalent to any segment of  $\bar{w}^Y$  or  $(\bar{w}^X)^{-1}$ , since  $\bar{z}^Y|_{[r,1]}$  has a monotone  $t$  coordinate, and constant  $s$  coordinate, while both  $\bar{w}^Y$  and  $(\bar{w}^X)^{-1}$  have monotone  $s$  coordinates and constant  $t$ . Because each of the boundary paths has a monotone coordinate, (8.2) implies that

$$\bar{u}^X = \bar{u}^Y, \quad \bar{v}^X = \bar{v}^Y, \quad \bar{w}^X = \bar{w}^Y \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^X = \bar{z}^Y.$$

□

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $X, Y \in C_0^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If the boundary paths  $\partial X$  and  $\partial Y$  are not equal, then there exists a matrix 2-connection  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  such that*

$$H^\omega(\bar{X}) \neq H^\omega(\bar{Y}).$$

**PROOF.** We consider a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \alpha, \kappa^\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  where  $H^\omega(\bar{X}) = F^\alpha(\bar{X})$ . Thus, it suffices to show there exists a 1-connection  $\alpha$  such that  $F^\alpha(\partial\bar{X}) \neq F^\alpha(\partial\bar{Y})$ . Because path holonomies separate paths up to tree-like equivalence by Theorem 1.2 (see also [24, Theorem 4.8]), Lemma 8.1 shows that such a 1-connection must exist. □

**8.2. Characterizing the Interior.** Now that we can characterize the boundary of surfaces, we move on to characterizing the interior of surfaces which have the same boundary. We consider matrix 2-connections  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{0,m,p}$ , as discussed in the beginning of this section. Because  $H_{s,t}^\omega(\bar{X}) = Z_{s,t}^\omega(\bar{X})$  in this case, it suffices to consider the computation of  $Z^\omega(\bar{X})$ .

We begin with the parametrized area process  $A(\bar{X})$  of a surface  $X \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $A^{s,i}(\bar{X})$  and  $A^{t,i}(\bar{X})$  denote the components of the area process  $A(\bar{X})$  which correspond to the areas of  $(s, X^i)$  and  $(t, X^i)$  respectively. If  $X$  is smooth, we use the Jacobian formulation to get

$$A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{X}) = \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{\partial X_{s',t'}^i}{\partial t} ds' dt' \quad \text{and} \quad A_{s,t}^{t,i}(\bar{X}) = - \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{\partial X_{s',t'}^i}{\partial s} ds' dt'$$

Integrating out the  $t'$  in  $A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{X})$ , and the  $s'$  in  $A_{s,t}^{t,i}(\bar{X})$ , we get

$$A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{X}) = \int_0^s (X_{s',t}^i - X_{s',0}^i) ds' \quad \text{and} \quad A_{s,t}^{t,i}(\bar{X}) = - \int_0^t (X_{s,t'}^i - X_{0,t'}^i) dt'$$

which we use as our definition in the Young regime. We will only need to consider one of the two area processes, so we focus on  $A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{X})$ . If  $X, Y \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  are distinct surfaces which have the same boundary,  $\partial X = \partial Y$ , then there must exist some  $i$  such that  $A^{s,i}(\bar{X}) - A^{s,i}(\bar{Y}) \neq 0$ . Note that these components of the area process are *linear* with respect to the surface,

$$A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{X}) - A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{Y}) = A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\overline{X - Y}).$$

and thus it suffices to consider the difference  $X - Y$  which has trivial boundary.

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $X \in C_{\square}^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If  $X \neq 0$ , then there exist some  $i \in [d]$ ,  $0 < u, u' < 1$  and  $0 < v, v' < 1$  such that*

$$\square_{u,u';v,v'}[A^{s,i}(\bar{X})] \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Because  $\mathbf{X}$  is nontrivial, there exists some  $u', v' \in [0, 1]$  and  $i \in [d]$  such that

$$A_{u',v'}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = \int_0^{u'} \mathbf{X}_{s,v'}^i ds' \neq 0.$$

In particular, this implies  $\square_{0,u';0,v'}[A^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}})] = A_{u',v'}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \neq 0$ . Finally, since

$$\square_{u,u';v,v'}[A^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}})] = \int_{[u,u'] \times [v,v']} dA_{s',t'}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}})$$

is continuous in  $u$  and  $v$ , the result holds.  $\square$

This lemma implies that the linear map

$$\mathbf{X} \mapsto (A^{s,1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}), \dots, A^{s,d}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}))$$

is injective. Now, suppose that  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C_0^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\partial\mathbf{X} = \partial\mathbf{Y}$ , but  $\mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{Y}$ , and let  $i \in [d]$  be the coordinate such that  $A^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \neq A^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{Y}})$ . We will consider a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{0,m,p}$  such that the only nontrivial component of  $\gamma$  is  $\gamma^{s,i}$ . Equipped with this choice, the definition of  $Z^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}})$  reduces to

$$Z_{s,t}^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = \int_0^t \int_0^s F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s',t'}) \gamma^{s,i} G^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s',t'})^{-1} dA_{s',t'}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}). \quad (8.3)$$

In Proposition H.3, we show that if  $A_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \neq 0$  there exist some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^a t^b dA_{s,t}^{s,i}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \neq 0.$$

Thus, it remains to show that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a choice of 2-connection such that the integrand of (8.3) such that the integrand is  $s^a t^b$ .

**Proposition 8.4.** *For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in [d]$ , there exists  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{0,a+1,b+1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  with  $\gamma = \gamma^{s,i} ds \wedge dx_i$  such that for any  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists an entry of*

$$T^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma^{s,i} \cdot G^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t})^{-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{0,a+1,b+1}$$

which is a polynomial with leading term  $s^a t^b$ .

PROOF. We choose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that the only nonzero components are  $\alpha^s$  and  $\beta^t$  respectively. Note that this satisfies the semiflat condition. Then, by definition of the tail path  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t}$ , we have

$$F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t}) = \exp(\alpha^s s) \quad \text{and} \quad G^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t}) = \exp(\beta^t t).$$

The entries of  $F^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{s,t})$  will be polynomials in  $s$  if  $\alpha^s$  is chosen to be a strictly upper triangular matrix. Define the matrices  $U_{m,k} \in \text{Mat}_{m,m}$  which is 1 above the  $k^{\text{th}}$  upper diagonal, by

$$[U_{m,k}]_{i,j} := \begin{cases} 1 & : j \geq i + k \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For example,

$$U_{3,1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{3,2} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we have

$$U_{m,1}^k = U_{m,k} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{m,k} = 0 \text{ for } k \geq m.$$

Now, we set  $\alpha^s = U_{a+1,1}$ , and we obtain

$$F^\alpha(\bar{x}^{s,t}) = \exp(U_{a+1,1}s) = \sum_{k=0}^a \frac{U_{a+1,k}s^k}{k!}.$$

We denote the truncated Taylor series of the exponential by

$$E_k(s) := \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{s^j}{j!}$$

and we express the above exponential entry-wise as

$$[\exp(U_{a+1,1}s)]_{i,j} := \begin{cases} E_{j-i}(s) & : j \geq i \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the  $(1, a+1)$  entry of  $F^\alpha(\bar{x}^{s,t})$  is  $E_a(s)$  which has leading term  $\frac{s^a}{a!}$ . Similarly, we will choose  $\beta^t = -U_{b+1,1}$  so that the  $(1, b+1)$  entry of  $G^\beta(\bar{x}^{s,t})^{-1}$  is  $E_b(t)$ , which has leading term  $\frac{t^b}{b!}$ .

Finally, we choose  $\gamma^{s,i} \in \text{Mat}_{a+1,b+1}$  to be the matrix with a  $ca!b!$  in the  $(a+1, 1)$  entry, and 0 elsewhere. By direct computation, we find that the  $(1, b+1)$  entry has the desired polynomial,

$$[F^\alpha(\bar{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma^{s,i} \cdot G^\beta(\bar{x}^{s,t})^{-1}]_{1,b+1} = ca!b!E_a(s)E_b(t) = cs^a t^b + \text{lower degree terms.}$$

□

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $X, Y \in C_0^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\partial X = \partial Y$  and  $X \neq Y$ . There exists a matrix 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{0,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  such that*

$$H^\omega(\bar{X}) \neq H^\omega(\bar{Y}).$$

**PROOF.** By Lemma 8.3, we know that there exists some  $i \in [d]$  such that  $A^{s,i}(\overline{X - Y}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nontrivial. Then by Proposition H.3, there exists some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^a t^b dA_{s,t}^{s,i}(\overline{X - Y}) \neq 0. \quad (8.4)$$

We choose the lowest degree  $s^a t^b$  such that this is true. Next, by Proposition 8.4, there exists a matrix 2-connection  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{0,a+1,b+1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  such that

$$[F^\alpha(\bar{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma^{s,i} \cdot G^\beta(\bar{x}^{s,t})^{-1}]_{1,b+1} = cs^a t^b + \text{lower degree terms.}$$

This integrand is independent of  $X$ , since it uses only the parametrization. Thus, we have

$$[Z^\omega(\bar{X}) - Z^\omega(\bar{Y})]_{1,b+1} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^a t^b dA_{s,t}^{s,i}(\overline{X - Y}) \neq 0,$$

where the lower degree terms integrate to 0 by the assumption that  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  are chosen where  $s^a t^b$  is the lowest degree term such that (8.4) holds. Then, since  $H^\omega(\bar{X}) = Z^\omega(\bar{X})$  for  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{0,m,p}$ , we obtain the desired result.

□

Now, we can put the characterization of the boundary and interior together to obtain the main result of this section.

**Theorem 8.6.** *Let  $X, Y \in C_0^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $X \neq Y$ . There exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  such that*

$$H^\omega(\bar{X}) \neq H^\omega(\bar{Y}).$$

PROOF. If  $\partial X \neq \partial Y$ , we choose the 2-connection from Proposition 8.2; otherwise, we use the 2-connection from Proposition 8.5.  $\square$

## 9. Characteristicness and Random Surfaces

In this section, we consider the application of parametrized surface holonomy to construct characteristic functions for measures on  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**9.1. Universality and Characteristicness.** We will show that expectations of parametrized surface holonomy can be used to characterize the law of such measures using the duality between universality and characteristicness.

**Definition 9.1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  is a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}$  be a topological vector space where  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that the topological dual  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a space of measures. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$  be another topological vector space.

- (1) The set  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is *universal* with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (2) The set  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is *characteristic* with respect to  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{F}'$  if the map  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_0$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu)(f) = \int f(x) d\mu(x)$$

is injective.

The duality of these two properties have been studied from the language of feature maps [25, Theorem 7] and kernels [76, Theorem 6] in the machine learning literature. The proof of the following theorem follows exactly from [76, Theorem 6].

**Theorem 9.2.** [76, Theorem 6] *Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally convex topological vector space. Then  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is universal to  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is characteristic to  $\mathcal{F}'$ .*

**9.1.1. The Strict Topology.** Our aim is to show that surface holonomy can be used to approximate a certain class of continuous functions on  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and provide a notion of a characteristic function for probability measures valued in  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . As discussed for the case of paths in [25, 29], one of the difficulties in proving such results is the fact that  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is not locally compact, and thus standard Stone-Weierstrass arguments do not apply. Following [25], we will rectify this issue by considering strict topologies.

Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  is a metric space, and let  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  denote the space of continuous bounded functions on  $\mathcal{X}$  valued in the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Further, let  $B_0(\mathcal{X})$  denote the space of functions  $\theta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which *vanish at infinity*. In particular,  $\theta \in B_0(\mathcal{X})$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus K_\epsilon} |\theta(x)| < \epsilon$ .

**Definition 9.3.** The *strict topology* on  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  is the topology generated by the seminorms

$$p_\theta(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)\theta(x)|$$

for all  $\theta \in B_0(\mathcal{X})$ .

**Theorem 9.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a metric space.*

- (1) *Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be a subalgebra of  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  such that*
  - (a) *for all  $x \neq y \in \mathcal{X}$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , and*
  - (b) *for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ ,**then  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is dense in  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  under the strict topology.*



- (2) *The topological dual of  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  equipped with the strict topology is the space of complex Radon measures on  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

PROOF. The real version of this theorem is proved in [42]. Item 1 is obtained from [79, Theorem 4.3]. For Item 2, the topological dual of  $C_b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  is shown to be the space of tight linear functionals in [82], which are equivalent to Radon measures for completely regular spaces (see the proof of [15, Theorem 7.10.6]).  $\square$

**9.1.2. Universality and Characteristicness.** Recall from Definition 5.10 that  $\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the space of matrix 2-connections on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$ . In order to reduce the number of parameters, we will only consider connections in  $\mathcal{M}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}) := \mathcal{M}^{n,n,n}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ , using the fact that  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$  embeds in  $\mathfrak{gl}^N := \mathfrak{gl}^{N,N,N}$  for all  $n, m, p \leq N$  (see Appendix C.3). We consider connections on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$  because we use parametrized surfaces. However, the space of 2-connections  $\mathcal{M}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  is not a linear space, due to the quadratic semiflat conditions from Definition 5.10,

$$[C^i, C^j] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [E^i, E^j] = 0 \quad (9.1)$$

for the  $C$  and  $E$  blocks of the 2-connection. In order to further reduce the number of connections we must consider, we define a restricted *linear* space of 2-connections. Using the block notation of Definition 5.10, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}) := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}) : C^i = 0, E^j = 0 \text{ for } i \in [d] \cup \{t\} \text{ and } j \in [d] \cup \{s\} \right\}. \quad (9.2)$$

In particular, these are 2-connections where the only nonzero  $C$  block of  $\alpha$  is  $C^s$ , and the only nonzero  $E$  block of  $\beta$  is  $E^t$ ; thus the quadratic conditions of (9.1) are satisfied. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  is a linear space, which can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}) \cong \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}^{d+2}}_{A^i} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}^{d+2}}_{B^i} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}}_{C^s} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}^{d+2}}_{D^i} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}}_{E^t} \oplus \underbrace{\text{Mat}_{n,n}^{(d+2)}}_{U^{i,j}}. \quad (9.3)$$

Note that the 2-connections required for Theorem 8.6 are contained in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ . We define the restricted space of surface holonomy functions by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}} := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^r c_k \exp(i \langle \ell_k, H^{\omega_k}(\bar{\cdot}) \rangle) : c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \omega_k \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^{n_k}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}), \ell_k \in \text{Mat}_{2n_k, 2n_k} \right\} \quad (9.4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{X} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^r c_k \exp(i \langle \ell_k, H^{\omega_k}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \rangle) : C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Note in particular that  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}} \subset C_b(C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 9.5.** *The function space  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  defined above has the following properties.*

- (1) **(Universality.)** *The space  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  is dense in  $C_b(C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{C})$  with respect to the strict topology.*
- (2) **(Characteristicness.)** *Given two probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d))$  such that  $\mu \neq \nu$ , there exists some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X} \sim \mu} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \rangle) \right] \neq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y} \sim \nu} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}) \rangle) \right].$$

PROOF. First, we note that  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  is a subalgebra of functions. Indeed, let  $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{M}^{n_1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ ,  $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{n_2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ , and  $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n_1}$ ,  $\ell_2 \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n_2}$ . Then using Lemma C.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(i\langle \ell_1, H^{\omega_1}(\bar{X}) \rangle) \cdot \exp(i\langle \ell_2, H^{\omega_2}(\bar{X}) \rangle) &= \exp(i\langle \ell_1, H^{\omega_1}(\bar{X}) \rangle + \langle \ell_2, H^{\omega_2}(\bar{X}) \rangle) \\ &= \exp\left(i\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_2 \end{pmatrix}, H^{\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2}(\bar{X}) \right\rangle\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2$  is defined in Appendix C.3, and is still a restricted 2-connection. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  contains the constant functions and this separates points by Theorem 8.6. In particular, all  $C, E = 0$  in the 2-connections required to distinguish the boundary (Proposition 8.2), and the 2-connections required to separate the interior are exactly the restricted 2-connections (Proposition 8.5). Thus, by the strict Stone-Weierstrass theorem (Theorem 9.4), the first statement holds. Then, by duality between universality and characteristicness from Theorem 9.2, we know that  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  is characteristic with respect to the dual of  $C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , which is the space of complex Radon measures (Theorem 9.4). Because this contains the space of probability measures, there exists some  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu}[f(X)] \neq \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \nu}[f(Y)]$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{res}}$  is the span of the functions of the form  $\exp(i\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{\cdot}) \rangle)$ , the second statement holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.6.** *Suppose  $X, Y$  are random variables valued in  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with laws  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^p$  such that  $\mu \neq \nu$ . There exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}$  such that*

$$\text{Law}(\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}) \rangle) \neq \text{Law}(\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{Y}) \rangle).$$

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of the characteristic statement in Theorem 9.5.  $\square$

**Remark 9.7.** In the 1D setting of path holonomy, one can directly consider products of linear functionals by using tensor products of the path holonomies,

$$\langle \ell_1, F^{\alpha_1}(x) \rangle \cdot \langle \ell_2, F^{\alpha_2}(x) \rangle = \langle \ell_1 \otimes \ell_2, F^{\alpha_1 \otimes I + I \otimes \alpha_2}(x) \rangle.$$

However, due to the structure of tensor products of 2-vector spaces, we cannot generalize this property (see Appendix C.4 for further details).

**Remark 9.8.** Another possible approach to obtain an algebra structure for surface holonomy is to consider the polynomial functions generated  $\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}) \rangle$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^n$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, one can consider weighted topologies on function spaces, and follow the methodology in [29] to obtain characteristic functions, though this approach will restrict to laws of random surfaces which satisfy certain moment conditions.

**9.2. Metrics for Random Surfaces.** We will now use the characteristic property to obtain a metric for random surface, which requires us to summarize the information from the surface holonomy over all restricted matrix 2-connections. Using a method similar to [62] in the case of paths, we will achieve this by considering the expected distance between the surface holonomy functions with respect to a probability measure over the space of connections and the space of linear functionals. We denote the space of probability measures on bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces by  $\mathcal{P}^p := \mathcal{P}(C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d))$  to simplify notation

**Definition 9.9.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define the function  $\tilde{d}_n : C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times C_0^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2}) \times \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{d}_n(X, Y; \omega, \ell) := |\exp(i\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}) \rangle) - \exp(i\langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{Y}) \rangle)|.$$

Let  $\Xi^n$  be the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n$  and  $\Theta^n$  be the uniform measure on the unit ball of  $\text{Mat}_{n,n}$ . The level  $n$  surface holonomy pseudometric, denoted  $d_n : \mathcal{P}^p \times \mathcal{P}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is defined by

$$d_n(\mu, \nu) := \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \nu} \left[ \tilde{d}_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \omega, \ell) \right]. \quad (9.5)$$

Finally, the surface holonomy metric, denoted  $d : \mathcal{P}^p \times \mathcal{P}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$d(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(\mu, \nu)}{n!}. \quad (9.6)$$

**Proposition 9.10.** *The functions  $d_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  defined in Definition 9.9 are pseudometrics and  $d$  is a metric.*

**PROOF.** Symmetry is straightforward. The function  $d_n$  satisfies the triangle inequality because  $\tilde{d}_n(\cdot, \cdot; \omega, \ell)$  satisfies it and the expectation is linear. Thus,  $d_n$  is a pseudo-metric.

Next,  $d$  is a pseudometric since each  $d_n$  is a pseudometric. Suppose that  $d(\mu, \nu) = 0$ . This implies that  $d_n(\mu, \nu) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, since each  $\Xi^n$  has full support, this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}) \rangle) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \nu} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{Y}) \rangle) \right]$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ ,  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{2n,2n}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 9.5,  $\mu = \nu$ , so  $d$  is a metric.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.11.** *Weak convergence in  $\mathcal{P}^p$  implies convergence in  $d$ . Furthermore, given  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}^p$  which is compact in the weak topology, convergence in  $d$  implies weak convergence.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^p$ , and  $\mu_k \in \mathcal{P}^p$  is a measure which weakly converges to  $\mu$ . We note that by Theorem 7.5, the function  $\tilde{d}_n$  from Definition 9.9 is continuous, where  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  and  $\text{Mat}_{2n,2n}$  are equipped with the usual Euclidean topology. Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_n(\mu, \mu_k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \mu_k} \left[ \tilde{d}_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \omega, \ell) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \left[ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim \mu_k} \left[ \tilde{d}_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \omega, \ell) \right] \right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is given by the dominated convergence theorem, and the last equality is by the weak convergence of  $\mu_k$  to  $\mu$ . Thus,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(\mu, \mu_k) = 0$ . Because the weak topology and the topology induced by  $d$  are comparable on a compact  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}^p$ , they must coincide on  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

**9.3. Fractional Brownian Sheets.** Finally, we discuss the application of these characteristic functions to a specific example of a random surface.

**Definition 9.12.** The fractional Brownian sheet  $B : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  parametrized by Hurst parameter  $h \in (0, 1)$  is a Gaussian field on  $[0, 1]^2$  with  $B_{s,0} = B_{0,t} = 0$  and with covariance function

$$Q^h(s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) := \mathbb{E} \left[ B_{s_1, t_1} \cdot B_{s_2, t_2} \right] = \left( s_1^{2h} + t_1^{2h} - |s_1 - t_1|^{2h} \right) \cdot \left( s_2^{2h} + t_2^{2h} - |s_2 - t_2|^{2h} \right).$$

If  $h = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $B$  is called a Brownian sheet, and the covariance function reduces to

$$Q(s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) := \max\{s_1, t_1\} \cdot \max\{s_2, t_2\}.$$

**Proposition 9.13.** [51, Corollary 4.5] *The fractional Brownian sheet with Hurst parameter  $h \in (0, 1)$  is almost surely contained in  $C_{\perp}^{p-\text{var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R})$  where  $p > \frac{1}{h}$ .*

While the following result holds for any random surface contained in  $C^{p-\text{var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $p < 2$  almost surely, we summarize our results for fractional Brownian sheets.

**Theorem 9.14.** *Let  $B : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a fractional Brownian sheet with Hurst parameter  $h \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  with independent components.*

- (1) *For  $q \in (\frac{1}{h}, 2)$ , the fractional Brownian sheet is almost surely contained in  $C_{res}^{q-cvar}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*
- (2) *For  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ , let  $X^\pi$  denote the piecewise linear approximation from Definition F.1. Then, for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{res}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}$ ,*

$$\lim_{\|\pi\| \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim B} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}^\pi) \rangle) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim B} \left[ \exp(i \langle \ell, H^\omega(\bar{X}) \rangle) \right]. \quad (9.7)$$

- (3) *The quantity in (9.7) for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{res}^n(\mathbb{R}^{d+2})$ ,  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  characterize the law of  $B$  within all probability measures valued in  $C^{q-cvar}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

PROOF. From Proposition 9.13,  $B \in C_{res}^{p-cvar}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  almost surely for  $p > \frac{1}{h}$ . Then, by Theorem E.10,  $B \in C_{res}^{q-cvar}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  almost surely for  $q \in (p, 2)$ , which proves the first statement. Then, for the second statement, we use Proposition G.3, which shows that  $B^\pi \xrightarrow{q-cvar} B$  almost surely as  $\|\pi\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then, by the controlled  $q$ -variation continuity of surface holonomy from Theorem 7.5, we have  $H^\omega(\bar{B}^\pi) \rightarrow H^\omega(\bar{B})$  almost surely, where  $\bar{B}$  denotes the parametrized fractional Brownian sheet. Finally, since linear functionals and the exponential are continuous, we prove the second statement. Finally, the third claim is a restatement of Theorem 9.5.  $\square$

The second statement allows us to approximate the expected surface holonomy using piecewise linear surfaces of the form Definition F.1. The surface holonomy of each constituent square in the piecewise linear approximation  $X^\pi$  can be computed via an integral of an ordinary matrix exponential, as shown in Section 6. Then, using the functorial nature of surface holonomy, we can stitch the local computations together using horizontal and vertical compositions in the double group  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{GL}^n)$ , and obtain the surface holonomy over  $X^\pi$  via ordinary matrix multiplication.

This suggests an avenue towards applying these characteristic functions in practice. Characteristic functions constructed using functorial representations of paths have previously been used for hypothesis testing [25], parameter estimation [71], distribution regression [60], and time series generation [62]. A compelling direction for future work would be to extend such methodology to surface-valued data.

## 10. Discussion

In this article, we recast well-known representations of paths in a geometric and category theoretic framework. This approach illuminated the functorial nature of these representations, and we leveraged this perspective to obtain a functorial approach to characteristic functions for random surfaces in the Young regime. For path signatures, the functorial property is simply called *Chen's identity*, and lies at the heart of foundational results in the theory of rough paths, as well as parallelized algorithms for signature computations in machine learning. This motivates further developing functorial representations of surfaces, and studying how such results and algorithms may generalize.

- **Algebra Structure.** From Remark 9.7 and Appendix C.4, we cannot directly use tensor products of 2-vector spaces to provide an algebra structure for linear functionals of surface holonomy. This leads to an obstruction to a direct generalization of the notion of Fourier transform for compact groups in (1.1) and for paths in (1.6). Is there an alternate method to obtain an algebra structure for linear functionals of surface holonomy?


- **Universal Surface Holonomy.** The current article presents a generalization of path holonomy with respect to matrix Lie groups. Kapranov [54] introduced surface holonomy with respect to a universal translation-invariant 2-connection. To what extent does this surface holonomy generalize the path signature?
- **Characterization of Thin Homotopy Classes.** It is well-known that the path signature [21, 47, 14] and matrix path holonomy [24] characterize tree-like classes of paths, and a natural question is whether matrix surface holonomy and the universal surface holonomy characterize thin homotopy classes of surfaces.
- **Towards Rough Surfaces.** Our formulation of surface holonomy for nonsmooth surfaces heavily relies on Young integration. We provide a preliminary step towards defining surface holonomy for Brownian sheets in Appendix I by showing that the area process is a martingale with respect to each parameter. Can this be combined with recent stochastic estimates [12] to define surface holonomy for Brownian sheets? Going beyond this, can surface holonomies be used to construct a theory of rough surfaces, extending [27, 26] to bounded  $p$ -variation surfaces for  $p > 2$ ?
- **Higher Dimensional Maps.** Kapranov [54] also introduced holonomy for higher dimensional maps beyond surfaces, using the framework of *crossed complexes*. How can this construction be made explicit in terms of  $n$ -fold groupoids? An explicit construction based on Gray 3-groupoids is provided in [36]. Furthermore, how are these constructions related to the notion of parallel transport along superconnections [13, 52, 5]? A comparison between holonomy in terms of crossed modules and superconnections has been studied in the case of flat 2-connections over surfaces [6, 1].
- **Machine Learning.** While representations of paths are used to provide features for sequential data such as time series, we can use representations of surfaces to provide features for 2-dimensional data such as images [85]. The universal and characteristic properties of matrix path holonomy has recently been applied to machine learning problems in time series classification [61], as well as time series generation [62]. Can this methodology be extended to the setting of matrix surface holonomy? Furthermore, the signature kernel [59] provides a computationally effective and rigorous method to use path signatures to study time series data. Is there a natural kernel underlying matrix surface holonomy, and are there efficient algorithms to compute them?

## Appendix A. Notation and Conventions

Symbol	Description	Page
<b>Parameters and Dimensions</b>		
$n, m, p$	dimensions for a generic 2-vector space $\mathcal{V} = V_1^{n,m} \rightarrow V_0^{n,p}$	
$d$	dimension of state space of paths/surface	
<b>Vector Spaces</b>		
$\Lambda^k \mathbb{R}^d$	degree $k$ exterior algebra of $\mathbb{R}^d$	
$\mathcal{V}$	2-vector space $\mathcal{V} = V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} V_0$	21
$L(V, W)$	linear maps between $V$ and $W$ (operator norm)	
$\text{Mat}_{n,m}$	vector space of $n \times m$ matrices (Frobenius norm)	
<b>Seminorms and Norms</b>		
$ \mathbf{x} _{p;[s,s']}$	$p$ -variation of a path $\mathbf{x}$ in $[s, s']$	35
$\ \mathbf{x}\ _{p;[s,s']}$	$p$ -variation norm of a path $\mathbf{x}$ in $[s, s']$	35
$ \mathbf{X} _{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}$	2D controlled $p$ -variation of a surface $\mathbf{X}$ in $[s, s'] \times [t, t']$	36
$\ \mathbf{X}\ _{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}$	2D controlled $p$ -variation norm of a surface $\mathbf{X}$ in $[s, s'] \times [t, t']$	36
$V_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t]}(\mathbf{X})$	2D $p$ -variation of a surface $\mathbf{X}$ in $[s, s'] \times [t, t']$	36
$\ \mathbf{X}\ _{V_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t]}}$	2D $p$ -variation norm of a surface $\mathbf{X}$ in $[s, s'] \times [t, t']$	36
$ \mathbf{X} _{(s),p;[s,s']}$	the horizontal $p$ -variation of a surface in $[s, s']$	73
$ \mathbf{X} _{(t),p;[t,t']}$	the vertical $p$ -variation of a surface in $[t, t']$	73
$\ V\ _{\text{Lip}}$	Lipschitz norm	
$\ \omega\ _{\text{F}}$	Frobenius norm of a matrix 2-connection	32
<b>Function Spaces</b>		
$C_b(X, Y)$	continuous bounded functions	
$C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$	piecewise smooth paths ( $X = [0, 1]$ ) and surfaces ( $X = [0, 1]^2$ )	16
$C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$	bounded $p$ -variation paths	35
$C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$	bounded $p$ -variation surfaces	36
$C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$	bounded controlled $p$ -variation surfaces	36
$C_0([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$	surfaces such that $\mathbf{X}_{0,0} = 0$	36
$C_{\perp}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$	surfaces such that $\mathbf{X}_{s,0} = \mathbf{X}_{0,t} = 0$	36
$C_{\square}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$	surfaces such that $\mathbf{X}_{s,0} = \mathbf{X}_{0,t} = \mathbf{X}_{s,1} = \mathbf{X}_{1,t} = 0$	36
<b>Groupoids and Double Groupoids</b>		
$\Pi$	thin fundamental groupoid (thin homotopy equivalence)	12
$\partial_s, \partial_t$	source and target maps in groupoid	10
$\star$	1D concatenation of paths in $\Pi$	4
$\mathbf{\Pi}$	thin fundamental double groupoid (thin homotopy equivalence)	18
$\mathbf{\Pi}^{p\text{-cvar}}$	controlled $p$ -variation double groupoid (holonomy equivalence)	45
$\partial_l, \partial_r, \partial_b, \partial_u$	left, right, bottom, upper boundaries in double groupoid	14
$\star_h, \star_v$	horizontal and vertical concatenation of surfaces in $\mathbf{\Pi}$	6
$\odot_h, \odot_v$	horizontal and vertical composition in a double group	20

Lie Groups and Lie Algebras		
$\mathrm{GL}^n$	general linear group of degree $n$	
$\mathfrak{gl}^n$	Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}^n$	
$\mathbf{G}$	crossed module of groups, $\mathbf{G} = (\delta : \mathbf{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright)$	20
$\delta$	crossed module boundary	20
$\triangleright$	crossed module action	20
$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G})$	double group associated to the crossed module $\mathbf{G}$	20
$\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$	general linear 2-group as a crossed module $\delta : \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$	21
$\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$	2-Lie algebra of $\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$ as a crossed module of Lie algebras	23
$*$	group multiplication in $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$	22
$\bar{*}$	reduced $*$ product	62
$\exp$	matrix exponential	
$\exp_*$	$*$ -exponential	62
$[\cdot, \cdot]$	matrix commutator	
$[\cdot, \cdot]_*$	$*$ -commutator	23
Matrix Surface Holonomy		
$\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$	matrix 2-connection	31
$\mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$	space of matrix 2-connections valued in $\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$	31
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{res}}^n$	space of restricted matrix 2-connections valued in $\mathbf{GL}^{n,n}$	51
$F^\alpha, G^\beta$	path holonomies with respect to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively	31
$H^\omega$	surface holonomy with respect to $\omega$	
$\hat{H}^\omega$	alternate definition of surface holonomy	30
$H$	matrix surface holonomy functor	24
$A(\mathbf{X})$	area process	37
$T(\mathbf{X})$	integrand of intermediate holonomy	37
$Z(\mathbf{X})$	intermediate holonomy	37
Partitions and Surfaces		
$\mathcal{D}(R)$	set of partitions of a rectangle $R$	36
$\mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}(R)$	set of grid-like partitions of a rectangle $R$	36
$\mathbf{X}$	generic surface $\mathbf{X} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$	
$\bar{\mathbf{X}}$	parametrized surface $\bar{\mathbf{X}} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$	46
$\mathbf{X}^\pi$	piecewise linear approximation of $\mathbf{X}$ with respect to $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$	88

Given a surface  $\mathbf{X}$ , we use the following notation to denote various paths through this surface.





### Conventions

- Scalars are lowercase,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ; vectors are bold lowercase  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; paths are bold lowercase  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ ; and surfaces are bold uppercase  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z} \in C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Categories, groups, and groupoids are not bolded (ex.  $\text{Vect}, \text{GL}^n, \Pi$ ), while 2-categories, crossed modules, and double groupoids are bolded (ex.  $\mathbf{2Vect}, \mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}, \mathbf{\Pi}$ ).
- For paths and surfaces, subscripts  $(s, t)$  denote the parametrization (ex.  $\mathbf{x}_t$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{s,t}$ ).
- We fix  $n, m, p$  to denote the dimensions of a 2-vector space, and its corresponding (differential) crossed module  $\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$ . We also use  $n, m$  to denote the number of elements in a partition, and this is unrelated.
- We fix the notation for the block matrices  $(F, G) \in \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  and  $H \in \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix}.$$

This notation is also used for the differential crossed module  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n,m,p}$  and we generally do not distinguish between them.

- When a product  $\cdot$ , exponential  $\exp$  and bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  are used without modifiers, this refers to either scalar or matrix operations.
- Groupoid composition or horizontal composition (ex.  $\star, \star_h, \odot_h$ ) are read from left to right. Vertical compositions (ex.  $\star_v, \odot_v$ ) are read from bottom to top.
- We reserve  $|\cdot|$  for quantities which can be used as controls, and  $\|\cdot\|$  for quantities which are norms.

### Appendix B. Characteristic Functions from Path Holonomy Functors

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2 by reformulating known results from the literature. We will show this using the path signature, which can also be defined using the same procedure as Example 1.1.

**Example B.1.** Let  $T((\mathbb{R}^d)) := \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes m}$  denote the tensor algebra, and  $T_{\text{gl}}((\mathbb{R}^d))$  denote its group-like elements. Furthermore, let  $\mathfrak{f}$  denote the free Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{f})$  denote the inclusion into the Lie algebra generators. The *path signature*

$$S : C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow T_{\text{gl}}((\mathbb{R}^d))$$

can be defined in the same manner as above

$$S(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \exp_{\otimes} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{x}_0}{dt} \epsilon_k \right) \otimes \exp_{\otimes} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{\epsilon_k}}{dt} \epsilon_k \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \exp_{\otimes} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{(k-1)\epsilon_k}}{dt} \epsilon_k \right) \right],$$

and can be represented degree-wise as the iterated integrals

$$S^m(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Delta^m} d\mathbf{x}_{t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes d\mathbf{x}_{t_k} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes m}.$$

By tree-like equivalence [21, 47, 14], this also descends to a functor

$$S : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow T_{\text{gl}}((\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

We will need the fact that matrix path holonomies (Example 1.1) can be computed from the path signature (Example B.1). In particular, given a connection  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g}^m)$ , there exists a natural extension to be map  $\alpha^{\otimes k} \in L((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k}, \mathfrak{g}^m)$  defined by

$$\alpha^{\otimes k}(\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{a}_k) = \alpha(\mathbf{a}_1) \cdots \alpha(\mathbf{a}_k).$$

This further extends to an algebra morphism  $\alpha : T(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}^n$ , where  $\mathfrak{gl}^n$  is viewed as a matrix algebra [24]. Now, we note that path holonomy is a 1D controlled differential equation (see Example 2.13 or Example E.8), and for a path  $x \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it can be solved by Picard iteration as

$$F^\alpha(x) = I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{\otimes k} \left( \int_{\Delta^k} dx_{t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx_{t_k} \right) = \alpha(S(x)). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p < 2$  and  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the space of bounded  $p$ -variation paths, and let  $\mathcal{P}^p$  be the space of probability measures valued in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

- (1) **Functoriality.** *The space  $\Pi^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of tree-like equivalence classes in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a groupoid. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$ , the map  $F^\alpha : \Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}^n$  is a functor.*
- (2) **Point Separating.** *For any  $x, y \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exists some  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  such that  $F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \neq F^\alpha(\bar{y})$ .*
- (3) **Characteristic.** *Suppose  $x, y$  are random variables valued in  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  with laws  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}^p$  such that  $\mu \neq \nu$ . There exists a connection  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}$  such that*

$$\text{Law}(\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle) \neq \text{Law}(\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{y}) \rangle).$$

- (4) **Metric.** *There exists a metric  $d : \mathcal{P}^p \times \mathcal{P}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$d(\mu, \nu) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \sim \Xi^n} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \Theta^n} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu} \left[ |\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle) - \exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{y}) \rangle)| \right]$$

where  $\Xi^n$  is a Gaussian measure on  $\mathfrak{gl}^n$  and  $\Theta^n$  is the uniform measure on the unit ball of  $\text{Mat}_{n,n}$ . Restricted to a compact subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}^p$  in the weak topology,  $d$  metrizes the weak topology.

PROOF.

**Functoriality.** Following Definition 2.6, we define  $\Pi^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to be the groupoid with objects  $\Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathbb{R}^d$  and the morphisms to be tree-like equivalence classes (Definition 2.4),

$$\Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d) / \sim_{tl}.$$

Composition is defined by (1.4) on paths, which descends to an associative operation on tree-like equivalence classes. The identities (constant paths) and inverses (time reversal) are the same as the smooth thin groupoid, and the groupoid axioms hold under tree-like equivalence. Then, for two composable paths  $x, y \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , path holonomy is functorial due to Chen's identity and the inversion property of the signature, then using (B.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} F^\alpha(x \star y) &= \alpha(S(x \star y)) = \alpha(S(x) \otimes S(y)) = F^\alpha(x) \cdot F^\alpha(y) \\ F^\alpha(x^{-1}) &= \alpha(S(x^{-1})) = \alpha((S(x))^{-1}) = (F^\alpha(x))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Point Separating.** Appending the parametrization of a path is injective from  $C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $\Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ . Then, [24, Theorem 4.8] shows that we can separate points in  $\Pi_1^{p\text{-var}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  by path holonomies with respect to connections  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{sp}^n)$ , where

$$\mathfrak{sp}^n := \{u \in \text{Mat}_{2n, 2n}(\mathbb{C}) : u^s + u = 0\},$$

is the real Lie algebra of the compact symplectic group, with  $\cdot^s$  denoting the symplectic involution. Then,  $\mathfrak{sp}^n$  can be embedded into  $\mathfrak{gl}^{4n}$  by representing complex numbers as  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

**Characteristic.** We prove this by showing that the characteristic functions of these real-valued random variables are distinct, in particular, there exists  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle)] \neq \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu}[\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{y}) \rangle)].$$

By Theorem 9.4 and Theorem 9.2, we just need to show that the span of  $\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle)$  is dense in  $C_b(C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1], \mathbb{R}^d))$  equipped with the strict topology (Definition 9.3). It remains to show that the span of  $\exp(i\langle \ell, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle)$  is an algebra. Indeed, given two connections  $\alpha_1 \in L(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{gl}^{n_1})$  and  $\alpha_2 \in L(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{gl}^{n_2})$ , we have

$$\exp(i\langle \ell_1, F^{\alpha_1}(\bar{x}) \rangle) \cdot \exp(i\langle \ell_2, F^{\alpha_2}(\bar{x}) \rangle) = \exp(i\langle \ell_1 \oplus \ell_2, F^\alpha(\bar{x}) \rangle),$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Metric.** This is a slight variation of the metric defined in [62]. The proof of this statement is exactly the same as the proof for surfaces provided in Section 9.2.  $\square$

### Appendix C. Further Details on Matrix Double Groups

In this section, we provide further background on matrix double groups, beginning with a discussion of 2-vector spaces and crossed modules. We then study the  $*$ -exponential  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  and provide an explicit expression in terms of its constituent blocks. Next, we consider direct sums and tensor products of 2-vector spaces, along with a discussion on how this fails to provide an algebra structure for linear functionals of surface holonomy.

**C.1. From Internal Categories to Matrix Crossed Modules.** One method to construct higher categorical objects is through *internalization*. A category  $C$  internal [8, Definition 1] to another category  $D$  is defined by setting the objects and morphisms of  $C$  to be objects in  $D$ ; in other words,  $C_0, C_1 \in D_0$ . Furthermore, the source and target maps  $\partial_s, \partial_t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ , the identity  $1 : C_0 \rightarrow C_1$ , and composition  $\star : C_1 \times_{C_0} C_1 \rightarrow C_1$  are all morphisms in  $D$ .

**C.1.1. 2-Vector Spaces.** A Baez-Crans 2-vector space  $V$  is a category internal to the category of vector spaces  $\text{Vect}$  [8, Definition 5]. In particular, a 2-vector space consists of a vector space  $V_0$  of objects and a vector space  $V_1$  of morphisms. Using the linear structure of  $V_1$ , we can decompose a morphism  $(f : a \rightarrow b) \in V_1$ , into  $f = 1_a + \tilde{f}$ , where  $a = \partial_s(f) \in V_0$  is the source of the morphism, and  $(\tilde{f} : 0 \rightarrow b - a) \in \ker(\partial_s) \subset V_1$  is the *arrow part* of the morphism. In fact, we can decompose the entire vector space of morphisms in this way,

$$V_1 = V_0 \oplus \tilde{V}_1,$$

where  $\tilde{V}_1 = \ker(\partial_s)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{V}_1$  contains all the morphisms in  $V_1$  translated to the origin.

This leads to Definition 4.4 of a 2-vector space as a 2-term chain complex used in the main text. Given a 2-vector space  $V$  (using the current internal definition), we obtain a 2-vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  (using Definition 4.4) by

$$(V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} V_0) = (\tilde{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_t} V_0),$$

where  $V_1 = \ker(\partial_s)$ ,  $V_0 = V_0$ , and  $\phi = \partial_t$ . Furthermore, the notions of 1-morphism and 2-morphism in the internal definition (functors and natural transformations) coincide with those in Definition 4.4 (chain maps and chain homotopies). Thus, the two definitions are equivalent

(as 2-categories) [8, Theorem 12]. In the following, we use Definition 4.4 in terms of 2-term chain complexes.

**1-Morphisms.** Let  $\mathcal{V} = V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_V} V_0$ ,  $\mathcal{W} = W_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_W} W_0$  be two 2-vector spaces. The 1-morphisms consist of chain maps  $(F : V_0 \rightarrow W_0, G : V_1 \rightarrow W_1)$ , which satisfy

$$\phi_W \circ F = G \circ \phi_V; \quad (\text{C.1})$$

in other words, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_V} & V_0 \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow G \\ W_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_W} & W_0. \end{array}$$

**2-Morphisms.** Suppose we have two chain maps  $(F, G)$  and  $(F', G')$ . The 2-morphisms between  $(F, G)$  and  $(F', G')$  consist of chain homotopies  $H : V_1 \rightarrow W_0$ , which satisfy

$$H \circ \phi_V = F - F' \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_W \circ H = G - G'; \quad (\text{C.2})$$

in other words, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_V} & V_0 \\ F-F' \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} H \nearrow \\ \phi_W \searrow \end{array} & \downarrow G-G' \\ W_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_W} & W_0. \end{array}$$

**Matrix Representation.** One of the goals in this article is to consider explicit computations in terms of matrices. Given a 2-vector space  $\mathcal{V} = V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} V_0$ , this requires a choice of basis for  $V_1$  and  $V_0$ . We note that we can always choose a set of bases for  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  such that  $V_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ,  $V_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ , and  $\phi$  has the form of the block matrix

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

**C.1.2. Crossed Modules.** Next, we consider the higher generalization of a group by applying the same procedure. In particular, we define a *2-group* to be a category internal to the category of groups  $\text{Grp}$ . The major distinction between a 2-group and a double group is that 2-morphisms of the former are modelled as 2-globes, while those of the latter are modelled as squares (see the discussion and figure at the beginning of Section 3).

However, we can obtain yet another notion of a higher group by applying the same arguments as the previous section on 2-vector spaces. In particular, let  $G$  be a 2-group, where the objects  $(G_0, \cdot_0, e_0)$  and morphisms  $(G_1, \cdot_1, e_1)$  are both groups. Using the group structure of  $G_1$ , we can decompose a morphism  $(f : a \rightarrow b) \in G_1$  into  $f = \tilde{f} \cdot_1 1_a$ , where  $a = \partial_s(f) \in G_0$  is the source of the morphism and  $(\tilde{f} : e_0 \rightarrow b \cdot_0 a^{-1}) \in G_1$  is the *arrow part* of the morphism. In this case, we can decompose the morphisms  $G_1$  into

$$G_1 = \tilde{G}_1 \rtimes G_0,$$

where the multiplication is given by the conjugation action  $\triangleright : G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{G}_1)$ ,

$$(\tilde{f}, a) \cdot (\tilde{f}', a') = (\tilde{f} \cdot_1 (a \triangleright \tilde{f}'), a \cdot_0 a'), \quad a \triangleright \tilde{f}' := 1_a \cdot_1 \tilde{f}' \cdot_1 1_a^{-1}.$$

Once again,  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_1$  contains all the morphisms in  $\mathbf{G}_1$  translated to the origin. We can isolate these morphisms from the identity by defining a crossed module of groups  $\mathbf{G}$  (Definition 4.1) by

$$(\mathbf{G}_2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{G}_1, \triangleright) = (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_t} \mathbf{G}_0, \triangleright),$$

where<sup>6</sup>  $\mathbf{G}_2 = \ker(\partial_s)$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_1 = \mathbf{G}_0$ ,  $\delta = \partial_t$ , and the crossed module action  $\triangleright$  is the conjugation action above. It is shown in [17, Section 2.7] that the categories of 2-groups and crossed modules of groups are equivalent. The further equivalence between crossed modules of groups and double groups follows from [17, Section 6.6].

Finally, this motivates the definition of the matrix crossed modules in Section 4.2. In particular,  $\mathbf{GL}^{n,m,p}$  is defined to be the crossed module corresponding to the automorphism 2-group of the 2-vector space  $\mathcal{V}^{n,m,p}$ .

- $\mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  consists of the 1-automorphisms of  $\mathcal{V}^{n,m,p}$  (invertible chain maps).
- $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  consists of the 2-automorphisms of  $\mathcal{V}^{n,m,p}$  in the kernel of the source map  $\partial_s$  (chain homotopies from the identity map).

**C.2. The \*-Exponential.** The exponential  $\exp : \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_1^{n,m,p}$  is the usual matrix exponential since this Lie group and Lie algebra are simply the sub Lie group and sub Lie algebra of standard general linear groups. However, the exponential  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is non-standard and we focus on that here.

**The Non-Distributivity of the \*-Product.** The Lie group  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  are both contained in the vector space of matrices  $\text{Mat}_{n+p,n+m}$ . The main reason this exponential  $\exp_*$  is more complicated is due to the fact that  $\text{Mat}_{n+p,n+m}$  equipped with the \*-product is *not* an algebra. In particular, it is not distributive. Indeed, consider  $a, b, c \in \text{Mat}_{n+p,n+m}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) * c &= a + b + c + a\phi c + a\phi b \\ a * b + a * c &= 2a + b + c + a\phi c + a\phi b. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that we cannot naively define the exponential  $\exp_*$  in terms of a power series with respect to the \*-product.

**Reduced \*-Products and the \*-Exponential.** In order to define the exponential, we use the definition of  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  in terms of 1-parameter subgroups. In particular,  $\exp_*(Z) := \gamma_Z(1)$ , where  $\gamma_Z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is the unique 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  where  $\gamma'(0) = Z$ . In order to do this, we define the *reduced \*-product* to be

$$a\bar{*}b = a\phi b. \tag{C.3}$$

Note that using this notation, we have

$$a * b = a + b + a\bar{*}b.$$

In contrast with the \*-product, the reduced \*-product satisfies distributivity. Indeed, given  $a, b, c \in \text{Mat}_{n+p,n+m}$ , we have

$$(a + b)\bar{*}c = a\phi c + b\phi c = a\bar{*}c + b\bar{*}c \quad \text{and} \quad a\bar{*}(b + c) = a\phi b + a\phi c = a\bar{*}b + a\bar{*}c.$$

Furthermore, it is also bilinear; suppose  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(\lambda_1 a)\bar{*}(\lambda_2 b) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 a\bar{*}b.$$

<sup>6</sup>We have shifted the subscripts of the crossed modules throughout the paper to coincide with the corresponding indices for double groups.

It is clear that there does not exist a  $\bar{*}$  unit, and there are also no  $\bar{*}$  inverses.

**Proposition C.1.** *The Lie exponential  $\exp_* : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is defined by*

$$\exp_*(Z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Z^{\bar{*}k}}{k!}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

**PROOF.** Given  $Z \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$ , we must show that  $\gamma_Z(t) = \exp_*(tZ)$  is a 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  such that  $\gamma'_Z(0) = Z$ . First, we show that it is a subgroup. Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\exp_*(sZ) * \exp_*(tZ) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(sZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(tZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(sZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} \right) \bar{*} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(tZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} \right).$$

The  $Z^{\bar{*}k}$  component of the right hand side is

$$\frac{(sZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} + \frac{(tZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{(sZ)^{\bar{*}m} \bar{*} (tZ)^{\bar{*}(k-m)}}{m!(m-k)!} = \frac{(sZ + tZ)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!},$$

where we are using the fact that  $sZ$  and  $tZ$  commute:  $sZ \bar{*} tZ = st(Z \bar{*} Z) = tZ \bar{*} sZ$ . Therefore,

$$\exp_*(sZ) * \exp_*(tZ) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{((s+t)Z)^{\bar{*}k}}{k!} = \exp_*((s+t)Z),$$

so  $\gamma_Z$  is a 1-parameter subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Furthermore, by explicitly computing the derivative, we have  $\gamma'_Z(0) = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_*(tZ)|_{t=0} = Z$ .  $\square$

**The  $\bar{*}$ -Exponential in Block Matrix Form.** Now that we have the definition of the  $\bar{*}$ -exponential, we can write it out in block matrix form.

**Lemma C.2.** *Suppose  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Then,*

$$Z^{\bar{*}k} = \begin{pmatrix} R^k & R^{k-1}S \\ TR^{k-1} & TR^{k-2}S \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.$$

**PROOF.** We prove this by induction. For the base case of  $k = 2$ , we have

$$Z^{\bar{*}2} = Z \phi Z = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R^2 & RS \\ TR & TS \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for the induction step, we have

$$Z^{\bar{*}(k+1)} = Z^{\bar{*}k} \phi Z = \begin{pmatrix} R^k & R^{k-1}S \\ TR^{k-1} & TR^{k-2}S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{k+1} & R^k S \\ TR^k & TR^{k-1} S \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary C.3.** *Suppose  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Then,*

$$\exp_*(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp_m(R) - I & \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{R^{k-1}}{k!} \right) S \\ T \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{R^{k-1}}{k!} \right) & U + T \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{R^{k-2}}{k!} \right) S \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

If we consider a brief sanity check, we note that since  $R \in \mathfrak{gl}^n$ , then  $\exp_m(R) \in \mathbf{GL}^n$ , and therefore the top left block has the correct form, as given in (4.11).

**C.3. Direct Sums and Inclusions.** First, we consider direct sums of two matrix 2-connections  $\omega_1 = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \in \mathcal{M}^{n_1, m_1, p_1}$  and  $\omega_2 = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{M}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$ . The *direct sum* of these two 2-connections is a 2-connection  $\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2 := \omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2, p_1+p_2}$  up to a reordering of the basis. Due to simpler notation, we use  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$ , which is viewed as the automorphisms of the 2-vector space<sup>7</sup>

$$\mathcal{V}^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{n_2, m_2, p_2} := \mathbb{R}^{n_1+p_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2+p_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{R}^{n_1+m_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2+m_2}, \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the linear maps defining the 2-vector spaces  $\mathcal{V}^{n_1, m_1, p_1}$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$  respectively. We define the 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  by

$$\alpha^i := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^i & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^i := \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^i & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{i,j} := \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1^{i,j} & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2^{i,j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, given a path  $\mathbf{x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , the path holonomy of the direct sum connection is given by

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G^\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma C.4.** *Given a surface  $X : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , the surface holonomy of the direct sum connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  as defined above is*

$$H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) & 0 \\ 0 & H^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

PROOF. Writing out the definition of  $Z^\omega(\mathbf{X})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\omega(\mathbf{X}) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \begin{pmatrix} F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) & 0 \\ 0 & F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \begin{pmatrix} F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Z^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) & 0 \\ 0 & Z^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can verify that (C.6) satisfies the surface holonomy equation

$$\partial_t H_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t H_{s,t}^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_t H_{s,t}^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix} = (I + H_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})\phi)\partial_t Z_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}).$$

□

Next, we consider inclusions  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$  for  $(n_1, m_1, p_1) \leq (n_2, m_2, p_2)$ , along with the corresponding crossed module of Lie groups  $\mathbf{GL}^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}^{n_2, m_2, p_2}$ . In particular, given

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_0^{n_1, m_1, p_1}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n_1, m_1, p_1} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} A' & 0 \\ B' & C' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A' & D' \\ 0 & E' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_0^{n_2, m_2, p_2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} R' & S' \\ T' & U' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_1^{n_2, m_2, p_2}, \quad (\text{C.8})$$

<sup>7</sup>Note that the  $\phi$  defined here does *not* follow the convention from the rest of the paper that it has the form  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . However, this form provides a simple description of the corresponding surface holonomy, and one can apply a change of basis to return to the conventional form.



where  $A' = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{n_2, n_2}$ , and similarly for all other blocks. Thus, in order to reduce the number of parameters, we will work with 2-connections in  $\mathcal{M}^N := \mathcal{M}^{N, N, N}$ , where  $N \geq n, m, p$ . Such connections contain all of the information from connections in  $\mathcal{M}^{n, m, p}$  for  $n, m, p \leq N$ .

**Lemma C.5.** *Let  $n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n, m, p}$ , and  $\ell \in \text{Mat}_{n+p, n+m}$  be a 2-connection valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n, m, p}$ . Then, for  $N \geq n, m, p$ , there exists a matrix 2-connection  $\omega' \in \mathcal{M}^N$  and  $\ell' \in \text{Mat}_{2N, 2N}$  such that*

$$\langle \ell, H^\omega(\cdot) \rangle = \langle \ell', H^{\omega'}(\cdot) \rangle.$$

**PROOF.** We define  $\omega' \in \mathcal{M}^N$  and  $\ell' \in \text{Mat}_{2N, 2N}$  by the embedding  $\mathfrak{gl}^{n, m, p} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}^{N, N, N}$  as described in (C.7) and (C.8). Then, the two surface holonomies will have the form

$$H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ T & U \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{\omega'}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} R' & S' \\ T' & U' \end{pmatrix},$$

where each block has the form  $R' = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Because  $\ell'$  has the same form, we obtain the result.  $\square$

**C.4. Tensor Product of Surface Holonomy.** In this section, we consider the tensor product in the category of 2-vector spaces, and discuss how this tensor product fails to provide an algebra structure for surface holonomy functors, as opposed to the 1-dimensional setting.

**C.4.1. Tensor Product of 2-Vector Spaces.** The natural way to define the tensor product in a category is to consider the left adjoint of the internal hom functor. We view  $\mathbf{2Vect}$  as a subcategory of the category of chain complexes  $\text{Ch}$ , where we understand how the internal hom and tensor products work. In particular, given

$$\mathcal{U} = U_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_U} U_0, \quad \mathcal{V} = V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_V} V_0, \quad \mathcal{W} = W_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_W} W_0,$$

the internal hom  $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}] \in \mathbf{2Vect}$  is defined by

$$[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}]_0 := L(V_0, W_0) \oplus L(V_1, W_1), \quad [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}]_1 := L(V_0, W_1).$$

Then, since the ordinary tensor product of chain complexes is left adjoint to the internal hom in  $\text{Ch}$ , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}}(\mathcal{U}, [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}}(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

However, in  $\text{Ch}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V} = U_1 \otimes V_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} I \otimes \phi_V \\ \phi_U \otimes I \end{pmatrix}} U_1 \otimes V_0 \oplus U_0 \otimes V_1 \xrightarrow{(\phi_U \otimes I \quad I \otimes \phi_V)} U_0 \otimes V_0,$$

which is a length 3 chain complex. Thus, we need to define a new *truncated tensor product*  $\tilde{\otimes}$  between length 2 chain complexes, which results in a length 2 chain complex and also satisfies

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}}(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}}(\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Thus we define the truncated tensor product  $\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V})_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_{\tilde{\otimes}}} (\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V})_0$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V})_1 &:= \text{coker} \left( U_1 \otimes V_1 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -I \otimes \phi_V \\ \phi_U \otimes I \end{pmatrix}} U_1 \otimes V_0 \oplus U_0 \otimes V_1 \right) \\ (\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V})_0 &:= U_0 \otimes V_0 \\ \phi_{\tilde{\otimes}} &:= (\phi_U \otimes I \quad I \otimes \phi_V) \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

**1-Morphisms.** Now, suppose  $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $F' : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  are chain maps. It is straightforward to check that the ordinary tensor product of chain maps in  $\text{Ch}$  is still well-defined with respect to the truncated tensor product. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F \tilde{\otimes} F')_0 &:= F_0 \otimes F'_0 : (\mathcal{T} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{U})_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{W})_0 \\ (F \tilde{\otimes} F')_1 &:= \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \otimes F'_0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_0 \otimes F'_1 \end{pmatrix} : (\mathcal{T} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{U})_1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{W})_1. \end{aligned}$$

**2-Morphisms.** Now, suppose that  $F, G : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $F', G' : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  are chain maps where  $F$  is homotopic to  $G$  with chain homotopy  $H : T_0 \rightarrow U_1$ , and  $F'$  is homotopic to  $G'$  with chain homotopy  $H' : V_0 \rightarrow W_1$ . Once again, the standard tensor product of chain homotopies in  $\text{Ch}$  is well-defined with respect to the truncated tensor product, and we obtain

$$(H \tilde{\otimes} H') := \begin{pmatrix} H \otimes F'_0 \\ G_0 \otimes H' \end{pmatrix} : (\mathcal{T} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{U})_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{W})_1. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

To conclude, what we have shown is the following.

**Theorem C.6.** *The 2-category of 2-vector spaces  $\mathbf{2Vect}$  is a closed monoidal category, where the monoidal structure is given in (C.9). The fact that this is a closed monoidal category means that the  $-\tilde{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$  is the left adjoint to the internal hom  $[\mathcal{V}, -]$ , so*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{2Vect}}(\mathcal{U}, [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{2Vect}}(\mathcal{U} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

**C.4.2. Tensor Product of Surface Holonomy.** We begin by recalling the tensor product for path holonomy. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces and suppose  $\alpha_V \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}(V))$  and  $\alpha_W \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}(W))$  are two 1-connections. The tensor product of these is a connection  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}(V \otimes W))$  defined by

$$\alpha := \alpha_V \otimes I + I \otimes \alpha_W.$$

Let  $F^{\alpha_V} : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  and  $F^{\alpha_W} : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$  be the respective path holonomy functors, and the path holonomy of  $\alpha$  is the tensor product

$$F^\alpha = F^{\alpha_V} \otimes F^{\alpha_W} : \Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes W).$$

Indeed, given some  $\mathbf{x} \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}))}{ds} &= \frac{dF_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x})}{ds} \otimes F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}) + F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \frac{dF_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x})}{ds} \\ &= (F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \alpha_V(\mathbf{x})) \otimes F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}) + F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes (F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \alpha_W(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= (F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot (\alpha_V(\mathbf{x}) \otimes I + I \otimes \alpha_W(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= (F_s^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes F_s^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \alpha(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the tensor product of path holonomy functors is given by the tensor product of the corresponding linear automorphisms (1-morphisms) of the vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ . This leads to a convenient algebra structure on linear functionals of path holonomy. Indeed, suppose  $\ell_V$  and  $\ell_W$  are linear functionals of  $\text{GL}(V)$  and  $\text{GL}(W)$  respectively. Then,

$$\langle \ell_V, F^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \cdot \langle \ell_W, F^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle \ell_V \otimes \ell_W, F^{\alpha_V}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes F^{\alpha_W}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle \ell_V \otimes \ell_W, F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \rangle,$$

provides an algebra structure on the collection of linear functionals of path holonomy on matrix groups. This leads to variants of the characteristic function on path space which does not require the exponential [24, 29], though it requires additional moment conditions.

Unfortunately, due to the structure of tensor products of 2-vector spaces in the previous subsection, such a property does not extend to surface holonomy. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be two 2-vector

spaces, and  $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{W}}$  be two 2-connections valued in  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{W})$  respectively. Let  $H^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}} : \Pi_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $H^{\omega_{\mathcal{W}}} : \Pi_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{W})$  denote their respective surface holonomies. From (C.10), the tensor product of these surface holonomies evaluated on  $\mathbf{X} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} H^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes I \\ F^{\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}}(\partial\mathbf{X}) \otimes H^{\omega_{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix} : (\mathcal{V} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{W})_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{W})_1,$$

where we use the fact that elements of  $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathcal{V})$  contain chain homotopies starting from the identity. Besides the quotient involved from taking the cokernel in the truncated tensor product in (C.9), there is also the issue that we do not have a

$$H^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes H^{\omega_{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathbf{X}) : \mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \mathcal{W}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}_1$$

component. Indeed, the lack of this term is due to degree issues: since  $\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}_1$  is of total degree 2, the map  $H^{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes H^{\omega_{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathbf{X})$  is of degree 2, while chain homotopies are degree 1 by definition.

#### Appendix D. Special Case of Surface Holonomy of a Linear Map

Here, we provide an exact computation (bypassing the final integration step of Section 6.1) of surface holonomy for a linear map, by assuming the 2-connection satisfies additional conditions. In particular, we denote the components of the 2-connection  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  in block notation as

$$\alpha^i := \begin{pmatrix} A_\omega^i & B_\omega^i \\ 0 & C_\omega^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^i := \begin{pmatrix} A_\omega^i & 0 \\ D_\omega^i & E_\omega^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^{i,j} := \begin{pmatrix} R_\omega^{i,j} & S_\omega^{i,j} \\ T_\omega^{i,j} & U_\omega^{i,j} \end{pmatrix},$$

and we assume that the  $A$  block of this 2-connection is flat, meaning

$$R_\omega^{i,j} = [A_\omega^i, A_\omega^j] = 0. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

In this case, we can provide an exact formula for matrix holonomy by using the Magnus expansion. We will use the notation introduced in Section 6.1.

**Proposition D.1.** *Suppose  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is a 2-connection which satisfies (D.1). Then, the solution to the linear surface holonomy equation in (6.7) is*

$$H_t = \exp_* \left( \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_2} [Q_{t_1}, Q_{t_2}]_* dt_1 dt_2 \right). \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$= \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_2} [Q_{t_1}, Q_{t_2}]_* dt_1 dt_2 + \left( \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 \right)^{\bar{*}2}. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

**PROOF.** We note that (6.7) is a first order ODE on the Lie group  $\mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$ . Thus, the goal is to apply the Magnus expansion [53] and show that it terminates. We begin by showing that for any  $s, t, u \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$Q_s \bar{*} Q_t \bar{*} Q_u = 0.$$

Indeed, this can be shown by examining the block structure. We will use  $\bullet$  to denote a possibly nonzero block. The block structure of

$$Q_t = \exp(t\alpha_b) \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \exp(s\alpha_a) \cdot \gamma_{a,b} \cdot \exp(-s\beta_a) ds \right) \cdot \exp(-t\beta_b)$$

is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the block structure of  $Q_s \bar{*} Q_t \bar{*} Q_u = Q_s \phi Q_t \phi Q_u$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $[Q_s, [Q_t, Q_u]_*]_* = 0$ , and similarly for higher brackets. This implies that the Magnus expansion terminates after the second term and we obtain (D.2). Then, applying the formula for the  $*$ -exponential from Corollary C.3, and similarly examining the block structure of the integrals, we obtain (D.3).  $\square$

Now, we will show that (D.3) can be expressed in terms of matrix exponentials. First, applying (6.4), we find that

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_b & J \\ 0 & \beta_b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \exp(-\alpha_b t) \int_0^1 Q_t dt \\ 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore by definition,

$$\left( \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 \right)^{\bar{*}2} = \left( \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 \right) \phi \left( \int_0^t Q_{t_1} dt_1 \right),$$

so the first and third term of (D.3) can be computed using standard matrix operations. Now, we consider the computation of the second term. Expanding the commutator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_{t_1}, Q_{t_2}]_* &= \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) \cdot \phi \cdot \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_2 \beta_b) \\ &\quad - \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_2 \beta_b) \cdot \phi \cdot \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the chain map conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \cdot \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) &= \exp(t_2 \beta_b) \cdot \phi \\ \exp(-t_2 \beta_b) \cdot \phi &= \phi \cdot \exp(-t_2 \alpha_b) \end{aligned}$$

so that we can write the double integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta^2} [Q_{t_1}, Q_{t_2}]_* dt_1 dt_2 &= \int_0^t \left( \int_0^{t_2} \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) dt_1 \cdot \exp(t_2 \beta_b) \right) \cdot \phi \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_2 \beta_b) dt_2 \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \phi \cdot \left( \exp(-t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot \int_0^{t_2} \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) dt_1 \right) dt_2 \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let's begin by considering the second term

$$\mathcal{I}_2 := \int_0^t \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \phi \cdot \left( \exp(-t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot \int_0^{t_2} \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) dt_1 \right) dt_2.$$

Using (6.4), the inner integral is exactly

$$\exp(-t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot \int_0^{t_2} \exp(t_1 \alpha_b) \cdot J \cdot \exp(-t_1 \beta_b) dt_1 = \exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_b & J \\ 0 & -\beta_b \end{pmatrix} t_2 \right).$$

Therefore, the integral  $\mathcal{I}_2$  can be expressed as

$$\left( \bullet \ \mathcal{I}_2 \right) = \int_0^t \exp(t_2 \alpha_b) \cdot (J \cdot \phi \ 0) \cdot \exp \left( - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_b & -J \\ 0 & \beta_b \end{pmatrix} t_2 \right) dt_2.$$

Applying (6.4) again, we see that we can compute  $\mathcal{I}_2$  by

$$\exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_b & J\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_b & J \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta_b \end{pmatrix} t \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \exp(-t\alpha_b)\mathcal{I}_2 \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix}.$$

The same computations can be performed for  $\mathcal{I}_1$ , except we use (6.5) instead of (6.4), to obtain

$$\exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} \beta_b & 0 & 0 \\ \phi J & \beta_b & 0 \\ 0 & J & \alpha_b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & 0 \\ \mathcal{I}_1 \exp(t\beta_b) & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}.$$

This concludes the computations and shows that the surface holonomy equation in (6.7) with the condition from (D.1) can be solved entirely in terms of ordinary matrix exponentials.

## Appendix E. Technical Details of Young Surface Holonomy

In this appendix, we prove Theorem 7.4 and Theorem 7.5 on the regularity and continuity of surface holonomy in the Young regime.

**Remark E.1.** In order to simplify the notation in the proofs of this section, we will use the symbol  $A \lesssim_{p,q} B$  to denote  $A \leq C_{p,q}B$ , where  $C_{p,q} > 0$  is a constant which depends on some parameters  $p$  and  $q$ . We will explicitly state the parameters in the statement of a result, and we will simply use  $\lesssim$  in proofs, which implicitly depend on the parameters in the statement.

**Remark E.2.** In this section, we will also use subscripts to denote 1D increments. For a path  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $s < s' \in [0, 1]$ , we define  $x_{s,s'} := x_{s'} - x_s$ . Furthermore, given a grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ , and a rectangle  $R_{i,j} \in \pi$  where  $R_{i,j} = [s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ , we will simply use  $\square_{i,j}^\pi := \square_{R_{i,j}}$  to denote the 2D increment.

**E.1. Preliminaries on Young Integration.** Here, we begin by recalling some facts about Young integration and provide some preliminary lemmas which will be required in the following sections. We will use  $|\cdot|$  to denote the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|\cdot|_\infty$  to denote the  $\infty$ -norm for functions.

### E.1.1. 1D Young Integration.

**Definition E.3.** A *control function* is a continuous map  $\omega : \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\Delta^2 := \{0 \leq s \leq s' \leq 1\}$  such that  $\omega(s, s) = 0$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$  and it is superadditive: for all  $s \leq s' \leq s'' \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\omega(s, s') + \omega(s', s'') \leq \omega(s, s'').$$

For  $x \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of  $p$ -variation  $\omega(s, s') := |x|_{p;[s,s']}^p$  is a control function. For sufficiently regular paths, we can define a Riemann-Stieltjes type integral, called the *Young integral*, which satisfies the following inequality.

**Proposition E.4.** Let  $x \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $y \in C^{q\text{-var}}([0, 1], L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  with  $1/p + 1/q > 1$ . Then the indefinite Young integral has finite  $p$ -variation, given by

$$\left| \int_0^\cdot y dx \right|_{p;[s,s']} \leq C_Y \|y\|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |x|_{p;[s,s']}, \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where  $C_Y = C_Y(p, q) > 0$ .

We can use Young integration to solve controlled differential equations (CDEs) of the form

$$d\mathbf{y}_t = V(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x}^i, \quad (\text{E.2})$$

where  $V = (V_1, \dots, V_d) : \mathbb{R}^e \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e)$ , so each  $V_i : \mathbb{R}^e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e$ , and with initial condition  $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^e$ . Here, we equip  $L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e)$  with the operator norm, so

$$\|V(\mathbf{y})\|_{\text{op}} := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|V(\mathbf{y})(a)|}{|a|}. \quad (\text{E.3})$$

We say that a vector field  $V$  is of *linear growth* if there exists some  $C_{\text{lin}} > 0$  such that

$$\|V(\mathbf{y})\|_{\text{op}} \leq C_{\text{lin}}(1 + |\mathbf{y}|) \quad (\text{E.4})$$

for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^e$ . Furthermore, we will equip the vector field  $V$  itself with the Lipschitz norm,

$$\|V\|_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^e} \frac{\|V(y) - V(x)\|_{\text{op}}}{|y - x|}. \quad (\text{E.5})$$

When we work with Lipschitz vector fields of linear growth, it is convenient to consider the maximum

$$|V|_{\text{lin}} := \max\{\|V\|_{\text{Lip}}, C_{\text{lin}}\}, \quad (\text{E.6})$$

where  $C_{\text{lin}} > 0$  is the smallest constant which satisfies (E.4).

In order to study the regularity and stability of these CDEs, we will need a Gronwall-type inequality for paths in the Young regime. As stated, this is slightly different from [28], but the same proof holds.

**Lemma E.5.** [28, Lemma 3.3] *Let  $1 \leq p \leq q$  such that  $1/p + 1/q > 1$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{x} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in C^{q\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^e)$  satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{y}_{s'} - \mathbf{y}_s| \leq A_{s, s'}^{1/q} + a|\mathbf{x}|_{p; [s, s']} \cdot (|\mathbf{y}_s| + |\mathbf{y}|_{q; [s, s]}), \quad (\text{E.7})$$

where  $A : \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary control function on  $[0, 1]$  and  $a \geq 0$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(p, q, a)$  such that for all  $s < s'$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{y}|_{q; [s, s']} \leq (|\mathbf{y}_s| + A_{0,1}^{1/q}) \cdot \exp\left(C|\mathbf{x}|_{p; [s, s']}^p\right). \quad (\text{E.8})$$

**Proposition E.6.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  where  $p < 2$ , and  $V : \mathbb{R}^e \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e)$  be a Lipschitz vector field of linear growth with  $v > |V|_{\text{lin}}$  ((E.6)). Then, there exists a unique solution to (E.2) and*

$$|\mathbf{y}|_{p; [s, s]} \leq (|\mathbf{y}_s| + C|\mathbf{x}|_p) \exp\left(C|\mathbf{x}|_{p; [s, s]}^p\right), \quad (\text{E.9})$$

where  $C = C(p, v)$ .

PROOF. First, we suppose that  $\mathbf{y}$  is a bounded  $p$ -variation solution to (E.2), then for any  $s < s' \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{y}_{s'} - \mathbf{y}_s| &\leq \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_{s'}) d\mathbf{x}_{s'} \right| \\ &\leq (\|V(\mathbf{y}_s)\|_{\text{op}} + |V(\mathbf{y})|_{p;[s,s']}) |\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\leq (v(1 + |\mathbf{y}_s|) + v|\mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,s']}) |\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\leq v|\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']} + v^2(|\mathbf{y}_s| + |\mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,s']}). \end{aligned}$$

In the third line, we use the linear growth condition to bound  $|V(\mathbf{y}_s)|$ , and the Lipschitz condition to bound  $|V(\mathbf{y})|_{p;[s,s']}$ . Then, applying Lemma E.5, we have

$$|\mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,s']} \leq (|\mathbf{y}_s| + v|\mathbf{x}|_p) \cdot \exp\left(C|\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']}^p\right),$$

where  $C = C(p, v)$ . In particular, the solution does not explode at finite time, so we can modify the vector field  $V$  to be bounded outside a ball which encloses  $|\mathbf{y}|$ ; then existence and uniqueness is given by [64, Theorem 1.28].  $\square$

The solution of the differential equation (E.2) is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the initial conditions, driving signal, and vector fields. This is similar to standard results in rough paths theory [41, Theorem 10.38].

**Proposition E.7.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  where  $p < 2$  and  $V, V'$  be Lipschitz vector fields of linear growth. Suppose  $|\mathbf{x}|_p, |\mathbf{x}'|_p < \ell$  and  $|V|_{\text{lin}}, |V'|_{\text{lin}} < v$ , then the solutions  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{y}'$  of (E.2) driven by  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}'$  with vector fields  $V$  and  $V'$  and with initial conditions  $\mathbf{y}_0$  and  $\mathbf{y}'_0$  respectively, satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} |\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{y}'_0| + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p;[0,1]} + \|V - V'\|_{\infty;B(0,C)} \quad (\text{E.10})$$

where  $C = C(p, v, \ell)$ .

PROOF. First, we note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{y}_{s,s'} - \mathbf{y}'_{s,s'}| &= \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_r) d\mathbf{x}_r - \int_s^{s'} V'(\mathbf{y}'_r) d\mathbf{x}'_r \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_r) d(\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{x}'_r) \right| + \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_r) - V(\mathbf{y}'_r) d\mathbf{x}'_r \right| + \left| \int_s^{s'} (V - V')(\mathbf{y}'_r) d\mathbf{x}'_r \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, there exists some  $C = C(p, v, \ell) > 0$  such that  $|\mathbf{y}_r|, |\mathbf{y}'_r| < C$  for all  $r \in [0, 1]$  by Proposition E.6. Then, we define

$$M_{V,\ell} := \sup_{|a| \leq C} |V(a)|,$$

in order to obtain

$$\left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_r) d(\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{x}'_r) \right| \leq M_{V,\ell} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p;[s,s']}.$$

For the second term, we use Young's inequality Proposition E.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}_r) - V(\mathbf{y}'_r) d\mathbf{x}'_r \right| &\leq C_Y (\|V(\mathbf{y}_s) - V(\mathbf{y}'_s)\|_{\text{op}} + |V(\mathbf{y}) - V(\mathbf{y}')|_{p;[s,s']}) |\mathbf{x}'|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\leq C_Y v (|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{y}'_s| + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'|_{p;[s,s']}) |\mathbf{x}'|_{p;[s,s']}. \end{aligned}$$



For the third term, we use Young inequality again to obtain

$$\left| \int_s^{s'} V(\mathbf{y}'_r) - V'(\mathbf{y}'_r) d\mathbf{x}'_r \right| \leq C_Y \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)} |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']}$$

Then, we have

$$|\mathbf{y}_{s, s'} - \mathbf{y}'_{s, s'}| \lesssim |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']} + \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)} |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']} + (|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{y}'_s| + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'|_{p; [s, s']}) |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s]},$$

so that  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'$  satisfies the condition in (E.7) with the control function being

$$\begin{aligned} A_{s, s'} &:= 2^{p-1} \left( |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']}^p + \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)}^p |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']}^p \right) \\ &\geq (M_{V, \ell} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']} + \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)} |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']})^p \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Lemma E.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'|_{p; [0, 1]} &\lesssim \left( |\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{y}'_0| + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p; [s, s']}^p + \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)}^p |\mathbf{x}'|_{p; [0, 1]}^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \exp(C' \ell^p) \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{y}'_0| + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|_{p; [0, 1]} + \|V - V'\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C' = C'(p, v, \ell)$  in the first line.  $\square$

**Example E.8.** One example of 1D controlled differential equations are path holonomy equations, and Young integration allows us to consider path holonomies of lower regularity paths. Suppose  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  is a 1-connection and let  $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ ; then the path holonomy equation is

$$F_t^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = I + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t F_{t'}^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \alpha^i d\mathbf{x}^i.$$

Then, we can define a vector field  $V : \mathfrak{gl}^n \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{gl}^n$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$V(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{y} \cdot \alpha^i x^i.$$

Then, in this notation, we have

$$F_t^\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = I + \int_0^t V(F_{t'}^\alpha(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}_{t'}$$

The vector field induced by surface holonomy is a linear vector field,  $V \in L(\mathfrak{gl}^n, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n))$ , and thus Proposition E.6 and Proposition E.7 apply to path holonomies to show the regularity and stability of solutions.

**E.1.2. 2D Young Integration.** We begin with the notion of a 2D control function and restating the relationship between  $p$ -variation and controlled  $p$ -variation from [39].

**Definition E.9.** [39, Definition 2] A 2D control function is a continuous map  $\omega : \Delta^2 \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that  $\omega(s, s, t, t') = \omega(s, s', t, t) = 0$  for all  $s < s'$  and  $t < t'$  and it is superadditive: for any rectangle  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$  and any partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ ,

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} \omega(r) \leq \omega(R).$$

**Theorem E.10.** [39, Theorem 1]

- (1) For any  $\mathbf{X} \in C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $|\mathbf{X}|_{1; R} = V_{1; R}(\mathbf{X})$ .

- (2) Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a constant  $C = C(p, \epsilon) \geq 1$  such that for any  $\mathbf{X} \in C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and any rectangle  $R \subset [0, 1]^2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{C} |\mathbf{X}|_{p+\epsilon; R} \leq V_{p; R}(\mathbf{X}) \leq |\mathbf{X}|_{p; R}. \quad (\text{E.11})$$

- (3) If  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $R \mapsto |\mathbf{X}|_{p; R}^p$  is a 2D control function; in particular, it is super-additive in both coordinates.

Young integration in 2D only requires bounded  $p$ -variation and in general, it is easier to show bounded  $p$ -variation due to the use of grid-like partitions. However,  $p$ -variation fails to be a 2D control [39, Example 2], and thus we will require *controlled*  $p$ -variation for bounding arguments. We use controlled  $p$ -variation to define the *horizontal* and *vertical*  $p$ -variation of a surface

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [s, s']} := \left( |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [0, 1]}^p + |\mathbf{X}_{s, 0}|_{p; [s, s']}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (\text{E.12})$$

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{(t), p; [t, t']} := \left( |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [0, 1] \times [t, t']}^p + |\mathbf{X}_{0, t}|_{p; [t, t']}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (\text{E.13})$$

We note that the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of both the horizontal and vertical  $p$ -variation of a surface,  $|\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [s, s']}^p$  and  $|\mathbf{X}|_{(t), p; [t, t']}^p$ , are 1D control functions. Similar to the case of paths, we can define Young integrals for sufficiently regular surfaces [81].

**Proposition E.11.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} \in C_0^{q\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  with  $1/p + 1/q > 1$ . Then, the indefinite 2D Young integral has finite  $p$ -variation, given by

$$\left| \int_0^{\cdot} \int_0^{\cdot} \mathbf{Y}_{s, t} d\mathbf{X}_{s, t} \right|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']} \leq C V_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot V_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}(\mathbf{Y}),$$

for all  $s < s'$  and  $t < t'$ , where  $C = C(p, q)$ .

We note that for a smooth surface  $\mathbf{X} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a continuous surface  $\mathbf{Y} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Young integral is

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbf{Y}_{s', t'} d\mathbf{X}_{s', t'} = \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbf{Y}_{s', t'} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{X}_{s', t'}}{\partial s \partial t} ds' dt'. \quad (\text{E.14})$$

We will often work with horizontal and vertical paths through a surface  $\mathbf{X}$ . Here, we provide two basic lemmas relating the 1D  $p$ -variation of such paths, and the 2D  $p$ -variation of the surface. Given a surface  $\mathbf{X}$  and fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we will often use  $\mathbf{x}_s^t : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  to denote the horizontal path  $\mathbf{x}_s^t := \mathbf{X}_{s, t}$ .

**Lemma E.12.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']}^p \leq 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [s, s']}^p \quad (\text{E.15})$$

$$|\mathbf{x}^s|_{p; [t, t']}^p \leq 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(t), p; [t, t']}^p \quad (\text{E.16})$$

In particular, we have

$$|\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{X}\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p; [t, t']} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{X}\|_p \quad (\text{E.17})$$

PROOF. By definition of 1D  $p$ -variation, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}^p &= \sup_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{x}_{s_i}^t - \mathbf{x}_{s_{i-1}}^t|^p \\ &= \sup_{\pi} 2^{p-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\square_{s_{i-1},s_i;0,t}[\mathbf{X}]|^p + |\mathbf{X}_{s_i,0} - \mathbf{X}_{s_{i-1},0}|^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \left( |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [0,1]}^p + |\mathbf{X}_{s,0}|_{p;[s,s']}^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the definition of  $\|\mathbf{X}\|_p$  and continuing for one more step, we have

$$|\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} \leq 2^p \|\mathbf{X}\|_{p;[s,s'] \times [0,1]}^p.$$

□

**Remark E.13.** The above lemma shows that for a  $p$ -variation surface  $\mathbf{X}$ , all of the horizontal paths  $\mathbf{x}_s^t$  are also bounded  $p$ -variation, and can be controlled by  $|\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p;[s,s']}$  uniformly across all  $t \in [0,1]$ . The same also holds for vertical paths.

**Lemma E.14.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . For any  $s < s'$  and  $t < t'$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} \leq |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{x}^{s'} - \mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']} \leq |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}. \quad (\text{E.18})$$

PROOF. By definition, we have,

$$|\mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{x}_{s_i}^{t'} - \mathbf{x}_{s_{i-1}}^{t'} - \mathbf{x}_{s_i}^t + \mathbf{x}_{s_{i-1}}^t|^p = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n |\square_{s_{i-1},s_i;t,t'}[\mathbf{X}]|^p \leq |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}.$$

□

**E.2. Regularity of Young Surface Holonomy.** Here, we will prove Theorem 7.4 on the regularity of surface holonomy in the Young regime.

**E.2.1. Regularity of Area Process.** We begin with a preliminary lemma on the modulus of continuity for the first and second level path signatures.

**Lemma E.15.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  are bounded  $p$ -variation paths with  $\ell \geq \max\{|\mathbf{x}|_p, |\mathbf{y}|_p\}$ . Then for all  $s < t$  and  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$|S_k(\mathbf{x})_{s,t} - S_k(\mathbf{y})_{s,t}| \lesssim_p \ell^{k-1} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,t]}. \quad (\text{E.19})$$

PROOF. The proof largely follows [41, Proposition 7.63]. First, we note that (E.19) is true for  $k = 1$  by the standard Young integral bound (Proposition E.11). Next, we note that

$$S_2(\mathbf{x})_{s,t} - S_2(\mathbf{y})_{s,t} = \int_s^t (S_1(\mathbf{x})_{s,r} - S_1(\mathbf{y})_{s,r}) \otimes d\mathbf{x}_r + \int_s^t S_1(\mathbf{x})_{s,r} \otimes d(\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{y}_r).$$

For the first integral, we have

$$\left| \int_s^t (S_1(\mathbf{x})_{s,r} - S_1(\mathbf{y})_{s,r}) \otimes d\mathbf{x}_r \right| \lesssim \ell |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,t]}.$$

Then, for the second integral, we also have

$$\left| \int_s^t S_1(\mathbf{x})_{s,r} \otimes d(\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{y}_r) \right| \lesssim \ell |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|_{p;[s,t]}$$

Combining the two inequalities gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition E.16.** *Let  $X \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The area surface  $A(X) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$ , defined by*


$$A_{s,t}(X) = \int_{\Delta^2} d\mathbf{x}_{u_1}^{0,s;0,t} \otimes d\mathbf{x}_{u_2}^{0,s;0,t}$$

*has bounded  $p$ -variation; in particular, we have*

$$V_p(A(X)) \lesssim_p \|X\|_p^2.$$

PROOF. For a rectangle  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$ , we note that the 2D increment of  $A$  is exactly the signed area, or the second level path signature, of the loop  $\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\square_R[A(X)] = \int_{\Delta^2} d\mathbf{x}_{u_1}^{s,s';t,t'} \otimes d\mathbf{x}_{u_2}^{s,s';t,t'} = S_2(\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}).$$



To simplify the notation, we will use  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \in \prod_{k=0}^2 (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k}$  to denote the 2-truncated signature of the four boundaries of the path. We will use subscripts to denote level of the signature, so for instance  $\mathbf{u}_1 = S_1(\mathbf{x}_{[s,s']}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 = S_2(\mathbf{x}_{[s,s']}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes 2}$ . By Chen's identity, we have

$$S_2(\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}) = (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{z}^{-1})_2.$$

Expanding this out, we get

$$S_2(\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}) = (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{-1})_2 + (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{z}^{-1})_2 + \mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_1 \quad (\text{E.20})$$

Now, note that we have

$$|\mathbf{u}_1| \leq |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}, \quad |\mathbf{v}_1| \leq |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[t,t']}, \quad |\mathbf{w}_1| \leq |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}, \quad |\mathbf{z}_1| \leq |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_1| \\ & \leq |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[t,t']} \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.21})$$

It remains to bound  $(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{-1})_2$  and  $(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{z}^{-1})_2$ . By expanding the tensor product, we have

$$|(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{-1})_2| = |(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{w}_1) \otimes \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} + (\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2)| \leq |\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{w}_1| |\mathbf{w}_1^{-1}| + |\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2|. \quad (\text{E.22})$$

First, by Lemma E.12, we have

$$|\mathbf{w}_1^{-1}| \lesssim |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \lesssim \|X\|_p. \quad (\text{E.23})$$

Next, from Lemma E.15, we have

$$|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{w}_1| \lesssim |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}, \quad |\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2| \lesssim \ell |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}, \quad (\text{E.24})$$

where  $\ell \geq |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}, |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}$ . By Lemma E.12, we can set  $\ell = 2\|X\|_p$ . Next, by Lemma E.14,

$$|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \leq \|X\|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}. \quad (\text{E.25})$$

Finally, substituting (E.23) – (E.25) into (E.22), we have

$$|(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{-1})_2| \lesssim \|\mathbf{X}\|_p |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}.$$

By the same arguments, we also have

$$|(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{z}^{-1})_2| \lesssim \|\mathbf{X}\|_p |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}.$$

By using  $|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i|^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p$ , and putting all of the above together,

$$\begin{aligned} |\square_R[A(\mathbf{X})]|^p &\lesssim \|\mathbf{X}\|_p |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}^p + |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{s'}|_{p;[t,t']}^p + |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']}^p \\ &\quad + |\mathbf{x}^{s'}|_{p;[t,t']}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}^p + |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^s|_{p;[t,t']}^p \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.26})$$

Equipped with a bound on the 2D increments of  $A(\mathbf{X})$ , we now consider a bound on the 2D  $p$ -variation. Consider a grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ . We begin by summing over the  $|\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{s'}|_{p;[t,t']}^p$  term above, and using Lemma E.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbf{x}^{t_{j-1}}|_{p;[s_{i-1}, s_i]}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{s_i}|_{p;[t_{j-1}, t_j]}^p &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [s_{i-1}, s_i]}^p \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbf{x}^{s_i}|_{p; [t_{j-1}, t_j]}^p \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [s_{i-1}, s_i]}^p \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{s_i}|_{p; [0,1]}^p \\ &\leq 4^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s), p; [0,1]}^p \cdot |\mathbf{X}|_{(t), p; [0,1]}^p \\ &\leq 4^p \|\mathbf{X}\|_p^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument holds for the last four terms in (E.26), and using the fact that the first term  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}^p$  is a 2D control function, we find that

$$V_p(A(\mathbf{X})) \lesssim \|\mathbf{X}\|_p^2 \quad (\text{E.27})$$

□

**E.2.2. 2D Regularity of Path Holonomy.** We now consider the regularity of the integrand (7.11). Given a surface  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we start by consider the 2D regularity of path holonomies driven by the horizontal paths in  $\mathbf{X}$ .

**Proposition E.17.** *Let  $V$  be a linear vector field such that  $|V|_{Lip} \leq v$  and  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_s^t : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the horizontal path defined by  $\mathbf{x}_s^t := \mathbf{X}_{s,t}$ . Furthermore let*

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} = \mathbf{z}_t + \int_0^s V(\mathbf{Y}_{r,t}) d\mathbf{x}_r^t \quad (\text{E.28})$$

for some initial condition  $\mathbf{z} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1], \mathbb{R}^e)$  and  $\mathbf{y}_s^t := \mathbf{Y}_s^t$  be its horizontal paths. Then,

$$V_p(\mathbf{Y}) \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \mathbf{1},$$

and  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^e)$ .

**PROOF.** Consider a grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ . Then, for a rectangle  $R_{i,j} = [s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ , the 2D increment is

$$|\square_{i,j}^\pi[\mathbf{Y}]| = |\mathbf{y}_{s_{i-1}, s_i}^{t_j} - \mathbf{y}_{s_{i-1}, s_i}^{t_{j-1}}|.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\square_{i,j}^\pi[\mathbf{Y}]|^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{y}_{s_{i-1},s_i}^{t_j} - \mathbf{y}_{s_{i-1},s_i}^{t_{j-1}}|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\mathbf{y}^{t_j} - \mathbf{y}^{t_{j-1}}|_{p,[s,s']}^p \leq |\mathbf{y}^{t_j} - \mathbf{y}^{t_{j-1}}|_{p,[0,1]}^p.$$

Then, from Proposition E.7 and Lemma E.12, we obtain

$$|\mathbf{y}^{t_j} - \mathbf{y}^{t_{j-1}}|_{p,[0,1]}^p \lesssim |\mathbf{x}^{t_j} - \mathbf{x}^{t_{j-1}}|_{p,[0,1]} \lesssim |\mathbf{X}|_{p,[0,1] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}. \quad (\text{E.29})$$

Now, take a partition  $\pi$  of  $[0, 1]^2$ , and we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\square_{i,j}^\pi[\mathbf{Y}]|^p \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbf{X}|_{p,[0,1] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}^p \lesssim |\mathbf{X}|_p^p.$$

Therefore, we have

$$V_p(\mathbf{Y}) \lesssim |\mathbf{X}|_p.$$

The vertical axis path has bounded  $p$ -variation by definition, while  $|\mathbf{Y}_{s,0}|_p < \infty$  since it is the solution of a 1D CDE driven by the bounded  $p$ -variation path  $\mathbf{X}_{s,0}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

This result shows that the path holonomies along the tail paths of  $\mathbf{X}$  are bounded  $p$ -variation.

**Corollary E.18.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}^n)$  is a 1-connection and  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, let*

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} = F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^{s,t}$  is the tail path of  $\mathbf{X}$  from (5.8). Then  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \text{GL}^n)$  and

$$\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} 1.$$

**PROOF.** Here, we will show that  $\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$  has the form of (E.28). First, from Example E.8, the path holonomy equation

$$\mathbf{z}_t = I + \int_0^t F^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_{t'}) d\mathbf{X}_{0,t'},$$

is a 1D CDE. Then, since  $\mathbf{X}_{0,t} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have  $\mathbf{z} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \text{GL}^n)$  and  $|\mathbf{z}|_p \lesssim 1$  by Proposition E.6. Then, by using the composability of path holonomies, we have

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} = F^\alpha(\mathbf{X}_{0,[0,t]}) \cdot F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{[0,s]}^t).$$

Rewriting this in terms of the differential equation, we have

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} = \mathbf{z}_t + \int_0^s F^\alpha(\mathbf{Y}_{s',t}) d\mathbf{x}_{s'}^t.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  by Proposition E.17. Furthermore, since we have both  $|\mathbf{Y}|_p \lesssim 1$  and  $|\mathbf{z}|_p \lesssim 1$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{V_p} \lesssim 1$ .  $\square$

Next, we show that the product of bounded  $p$ -variation surfaces is still bounded  $p$  variation.

**Lemma E.19.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then,  $\mathbf{Z}_{s,t} := \mathbf{X}_{s,t} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$  is bounded  $p$ -variation with*

$$\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{V_p} \lesssim_p \|\mathbf{X}\|_{V_p} \cdot \|\mathbf{Y}\|_{V_p}.$$

**PROOF.** It suffices to consider the case of  $d = 1$ . Here, we consider the 2D increments

$$\square_R[\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{X}_{s,t} \mathbf{Y}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t} \mathbf{Y}_{s',t} - \mathbf{X}_{s,t'} \mathbf{Y}_{s,t'} + \mathbf{X}_{s',t'} \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'}.$$

for a rectangle  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$ . By discretizing the product rule for  $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{Z}}{\partial s \partial t}$ ,

$$\square_R[\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{X}_{s,t} \cdot \square_R[\mathbf{Y}] + (\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}_{s,t'} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'}) + (\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'}) + \square_R[\mathbf{X}] \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'}.$$

Then, by Lemma E.12, we can bound this by

$$\begin{aligned} |\square_R[\mathbf{Z}]|^p &\leq 4^{p-1} \left( |\mathbf{X}|_\infty^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}^p + 4^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(t),p;[t,t']}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']}^p + |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_\infty^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Computing the sums of these increments for an arbitrary grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^\boxplus$  and using the fact that each of the four summands above is a 2D control (see Remark E.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\square_{i,j}^\pi[\mathbf{Z}]|^p &\leq 4^{2p-2} \left( |\mathbf{X}|_\infty^p |\mathbf{Y}|_p^p + |\mathbf{X}|_{(t),p}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p}^p + |\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p}^p + |\mathbf{X}|_p^p |\mathbf{Y}|_\infty^p \right) \\ &\leq 4^{2p-1} \|\mathbf{X}\|_p^p \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $|\mathbf{Z}|_p \leq 2C^{2/p} \|\mathbf{X}\|_p \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$ . The axis paths of  $\mathbf{Z}$  can be shown to be bounded  $p$ -variation by similar arguments in 1D, and thus  $\mathbf{Z} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

Now, we can prove that the integrand  $T$  from (7.11) yields a  $p$ -variation surface.

**Proposition E.20.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|\mathbf{X}\|_p \leq \ell$ , and suppose  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  is a matrix 2-connection with  $\|\omega\|_F < v$ . Then,  $T^\omega(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$  defined by*

$$T^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma \cdot (G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1}$$

*is a bounded  $p$ -variation surface. Furthermore, let  $L > 0$  be a constant such that  $L < \det(G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))$ , then  $\|T^\omega(\mathbf{X})\|_p \lesssim_{p,v,\ell,L} 1$ .*

**PROOF.** By Corollary E.18, we have

$$F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \text{GL}^{n+m}) \quad \text{and} \quad G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \text{GL}^{n+p}).$$

Next, we note that  $\det(G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded from below by  $L$ . In the region

$$U_L := \{A \in \text{GL}^{n+p} : \det(A) > L\},$$

matrix inversion is Lipschitz with constant  $2/L^2$ . Thus  $(G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \text{GL}^{n+p})$ . Finally, recall that  $\gamma^{i,j} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$ , and thus the  $(i, j)$  component of  $T^\omega(\mathbf{X})$ ,

$$T_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})^{i,j} := F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma^{i,j} \cdot (G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1}$$

is given by products and sums of coordinates in  $F^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^{s,t})$  and  $(G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1}$ . Because the product of bounded  $p$ -variation surfaces is still bounded  $p$ -variation (Lemma E.19), we have  $T_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})^{i,j} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$  and  $T^\omega(\mathbf{X}) \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ . Finally, using the boundedness result from Corollary E.18 and the fact that  $L < \det(G^\beta(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))$ , we have  $\|T^\omega(\mathbf{X})\|_p \lesssim_{p,v,\ell,L} 1$ .  $\square$

### E.2.3. Surface Holonomy for Young Surfaces.

**Proposition E.21.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  be a matrix 2-connection. Define  $Z^\omega(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  by*

$$Z_{s,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := \int_0^t \int_0^s T_{s',t'}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) dA_{s',t'}(\mathbf{X}).$$

*Then,  $Z^\omega(\mathbf{X}) \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$ .*



PROOF. Using the fact that both  $T^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  and  $A(\mathbf{X})$  are both bounded  $p$ -variation by Proposition E.20 and Proposition E.16 respectively,  $Z^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  is bounded  $p$ -variation by Proposition E.11.  $\square$

**Theorem E.22.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  be a matrix 2-connection. The solution  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  of the equation*

$$H_{1,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^t (I + H_{1,t'}(\mathbf{X})\phi) \partial_t Z_{1,t'}^\omega(\mathbf{X}), \quad (\text{E.30})$$

is bounded 1D  $p$ -variation; in particular,  $H^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := H_{1,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  is well-defined.

PROOF. By Proposition E.21,  $Z^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  has bounded  $p$ -variation. In particular, by Lemma E.12, the path  $t \mapsto Z_{1,t}^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  has bounded 1D  $p$ -variation. Then, defining a vector field

$$V : \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$$

for  $P, Q \in \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p}$  by

$$V(P)(Q) = (I + P\phi)Q,$$

this differential equation has the form of a 1D CDE,

$$H_t(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^1 V(H_{t'}(\mathbf{X})) \partial_t Z_{1,t'}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Then, since  $V$  is a Lipschitz vector field of linear growth, there exists a unique solution of bounded  $p$ -variation by Proposition E.6.  $\square$

Using the same arguments, we can also show that the alternate definition of surface holonomy from (7.14) exists.

**Theorem E.23.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  be a matrix 2-connection. The solution  $\widehat{H}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  of the equation*

$$\widehat{H}_{s,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^s \partial_t Z_{s',1}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) \cdot (I + \phi \widehat{H}_{s',1}(\mathbf{X})), \quad (\text{E.31})$$

is bounded 1D  $p$ -variation; in particular,  $\widehat{H}^\omega(\mathbf{X}) := \widehat{H}_{1,1}^\omega(\mathbf{X})$  is well-defined.

**E.3. Continuity of Surface Holonomy.** Now we will prove Theorem 7.5 on the continuity of surface holonomy with respect to both the surface and the 2-connection. Due to the stability of 1D CDEs with respect to the driving signal in Proposition E.7, it suffices to show the surface  $Z(\mathbf{X})$  is continuous. As in the previous section, this reduces to showing that both the area process  $A$ , and the path holonomies of tail paths, are continuous.

### E.3.1. Continuity of Area Process.

**Proposition E.24.** *The area process  $A : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous, and for  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p < \ell$ , we have*

$$\|A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p.$$

PROOF. Here, we will use the same notation as the proof of Proposition E.16. The increment of  $A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})$  with respect to  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$  is the difference between second level signatures of the loops  $\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^{s,s';t,t'}$ .

$$\square_R[A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})] = S_2(\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}) - S_2(\mathbf{y}^{s,s';t,t'})$$

We denote the 2-truncated second level signature of the four boundary paths of  $X$  and  $Y$  using

$$\mathbf{u}^X, \mathbf{v}^X, \mathbf{w}^X, \mathbf{z}^X \in \prod_{k=0}^2 (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}^Y, \mathbf{v}^Y, \mathbf{w}^Y, \mathbf{z}^Y \in \prod_{k=0}^2 (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k}.$$

Once again, we use subscripts to denote the level of the signatures. Then following (E.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & S_2(\mathbf{x}^{s,s';t,t'}) - S_2(\mathbf{y}^{s,s';t,t'}) \\ &= (\mathbf{u}^X \otimes (\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_2 + (\mathbf{v}^X \otimes (\mathbf{z}^X)^{-1})_2 + \mathbf{u}_1^X \otimes \mathbf{v}_1^X - \mathbf{u}_1^X \otimes \mathbf{z}_1^X - \mathbf{v}_1^X \otimes \mathbf{w}_1^X + \mathbf{w}_1^X \otimes \mathbf{z}_1^X \\ & \quad - \left( (\mathbf{u}^Y \otimes (\mathbf{w}^Y)^{-1})_2 + (\mathbf{v}^Y \otimes (\mathbf{z}^Y)^{-1})_2 + \mathbf{u}_1^Y \otimes \mathbf{v}_1^Y - \mathbf{u}_1^Y \otimes \mathbf{z}_1^Y - \mathbf{v}_1^Y \otimes \mathbf{w}_1^Y + \mathbf{w}_1^Y \otimes \mathbf{z}_1^Y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.32})$$

We will bound this by pairing the corresponding terms for  $X$  and  $Y$ . We begin with the the tensor products of level 1 terms. We begin with

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{u}_1^X \otimes \mathbf{v}_1^X - \mathbf{u}_1^Y \otimes \mathbf{v}_1^Y \right| &\lesssim \left| \mathbf{u}_1^X - \mathbf{u}_1^Y \right| \cdot |\mathbf{v}_1^X| + |\mathbf{u}_1^Y| \cdot \left| \mathbf{v}_1^X - \mathbf{v}_1^Y \right| \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{y}^t|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^{s'}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{y}^t|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^{s'} - \mathbf{y}^{s'}|_{p;[t,t']} \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{X}|_{(t),p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t]}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.33})$$

and the other such terms can be similarly bounded. Next, we consider the degree 2 term  $(\mathbf{u}^X \otimes (\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_2$ , and we use the decomposition,

$$(\mathbf{u}^X \otimes (\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_2 = (\mathbf{u}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^X) \otimes ((\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_1 + (\mathbf{u}_2^X - \mathbf{w}_2^X).$$

Note that for a degree 1 signature term, we we have  $(\mathbf{w}^{-1})_1 = -\mathbf{w}_1$ . Then, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\mathbf{u}^X \otimes (\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_2 - (\mathbf{u}^Y \otimes (\mathbf{w}^Y)^{-1})_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim \left| (\mathbf{u}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^X) \otimes ((\mathbf{w}^X)^{-1})_1 + (\mathbf{u}_2^X - \mathbf{w}_2^X) - (\mathbf{u}_1^Y - \mathbf{w}_1^Y) \otimes ((\mathbf{w}^Y)^{-1})_1 - (\mathbf{u}_2^Y - \mathbf{w}_2^Y) \right| \\ & \lesssim \left| (\mathbf{u}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^X) - (\mathbf{u}_1^Y - \mathbf{w}_1^Y) \right| \cdot |\mathbf{w}_1^X| + \left| \mathbf{u}_1^Y - \mathbf{w}_1^Y \right| \cdot \left| \mathbf{w}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^Y \right| + \left| (\mathbf{u}_2^X - \mathbf{w}_2^X) - (\mathbf{u}_2^Y - \mathbf{w}_2^Y) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.34})$$

We bound each of these terms individually. For the first term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathbf{u}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^X) - (\mathbf{u}_1^Y - \mathbf{w}_1^Y) \right| \cdot |\mathbf{w}_1^X| &\lesssim \left| (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^t - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{t'} \right|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} \cdot |\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']} \cdot |\mathbf{X}|_{(s),p;[s,s]}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.35})$$

where we use Lemma E.12 and Lemma E.14 in the second line. For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{u}_1^Y - \mathbf{w}_1^Y \right| \cdot \left| \mathbf{w}_1^X - \mathbf{w}_1^Y \right| &\lesssim \left| \mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}^{t'} \right|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot \left| \mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'} \right|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']} |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.36})$$

by similar arguments. For the third term, the argument is a bit more subtle, and we return to the definition of the signature and apply Lemma E.25 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (u_2^X - w_2^X) - (u_2^Y - w_2^Y) \right| \tag{E.37} \\
& \lesssim \left| \int_{\Delta^2(s,s')} dx^t \otimes dx^t - dx^{t'} \otimes dx^{t'} - dy^t \otimes dy^t + dy^{t'} \otimes dy^{t'} \right| \\
& \lesssim \left| \int_{\Delta^2(s,s')} dx^t \otimes d(x^t - x^{t'} - y^t + y^{t'}) + (d(x^t - x^{t'} - y^t + y^{t'})) \otimes dy^t \right. \\
& \quad \left. + d(x^t - x^{t'}) \otimes d(x^{t'} - y^{t'}) + d(x^{t'} - y^{t'}) \otimes d(y^t - y^{t'}) \right| \\
& \lesssim |x^t|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |(x - y)^t - (x - y)^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + |(x - y)^t - (x - y)^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |y^t|_{p;[s,s']} \\
& \quad + |x^t - x^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |(x - y)^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + |(x - y)^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \cdot |y^t - y^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \\
& \lesssim |X|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \cdot |X - Y|_{(t),p;[t,t']} + |X - Y|_{(t),p;[t,t']} \cdot |Y|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \\
& \quad + |X|_{(t),p;[t,t']} \cdot |X - Y|_{(s),p;[s,s']} + |X - Y|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \cdot |Y|_{(t),p;[t,t']}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the same arguments, as (E.33) – (E.37), we obtain similar bounds for

$$|(\mathbf{v}^X \otimes (\mathbf{z}^X)^{-1})_2 - (\mathbf{v}^Y \otimes (\mathbf{z}^Y)^{-1})_2|.$$

There are two key properties behind the bounds in (E.33) – (E.37).

- (1) The  $p$  powers of each summand of each bound, are products of a 1D control in the  $s$ -direction and a 1D control in the  $t$ -direction. Thus, they are all 2D controls.
- (2) Each summand has some  $X - Y$  factor.

In particular, combining all of the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\square_R[A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})]|^p & \lesssim |X - Y|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p \cdot \left( |X|_{(t),p;[t,t']}^p + |Y|_{(t),p;[t,t']}^p \right) \\
& \quad + \left( |X|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p + |Y|_{(s),p;[s,s']}^p \right) \cdot |X - Y|_{(t),p;[t,t']}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over a grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^\boxplus$ , and using the fact that this is a 2D control, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_p(A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y}))^p & \lesssim |X - Y|_{(s),p}^p \cdot \left( |X|_{(t),p}^p + |Y|_{(t),p}^p \right) + \left( |X|_{(s),p}^p + |Y|_{(s),p}^p \right) \cdot |X - Y|_{(t),p}^p \\
& \lesssim \|X - Y\|_p^p \cdot (\|X\|_p^p + \|Y\|_p^p).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the area process  $A$  is continuous and since the axis paths of  $A(\mathbf{X})$  and  $A(\mathbf{Y})$  are trivial, we have  $\|A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,\ell} \|X - Y\|_p$ .  $\square$

**E.3.2. 2D Continuity of Path Holonomy.** In this section, we prove the continuity of surfaces defined by path holonomies along tail paths with linear vector fields in  $L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  equipped with the Lipschitz norm. The bounds required for these continuity proofs will largely follow the type of bounds required to show the regularity of these surfaces from the previous section. For the continuity bounds, we will require a generalization of the identity

$$a_0 \cdot b_0 - a_1 \cdot b_1 = (a_0 - a_1) \cdot b_0 + a_1 \cdot (b_0 - b_1)$$

which involves four terms and uses 2D increments.

**Lemma E.25.** *Suppose  $(A, +, \cdot)$  is a (possibly noncommutative) algebra, and let  $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \in A$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . We define  $\square[a] = a_{0,0} - a_{1,0} - a_{0,1} + a_{1,1}$ . Then,*

$$\square[a \cdot b] = a_{0,0} \cdot \square[b] + \square[a] \cdot b_{0,1} + (a_{0,0} - a_{1,0}) \cdot (b_{1,0} - b_{1,1}) + (a_{1,0} - a_{1,1}) \cdot (b_{0,1} - b_{1,1}). \quad (\text{E.38})$$

**PROOF.** This is shown by direct computation. Expanding the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{0,0} \cdot (b_{0,0} - b_{1,0} - b_{0,1} + b_{1,1}) + (a_{0,0} - a_{1,0} - a_{0,1} + a_{1,1}) \cdot b_{0,1} \\ & + (a_{0,0} - a_{1,0}) \cdot (b_{1,0} - b_{1,1}) + (a_{1,0} - a_{1,1}) \cdot (b_{0,1} - b_{1,1}) \\ = & a_{0,0} \cdot (b_{0,0} - b_{0,1}) + (a_{0,0} - a_{0,1}) \cdot b_{0,1} - a_{1,0} \cdot (b_{1,0} - b_{1,1}) - (a_{1,0} - a_{1,1}) \cdot b_{1,1} \\ = & a_{0,0} \cdot b_{0,0} - a_{0,1} \cdot b_{0,1} - a_{1,0} \cdot b_{1,0} + a_{1,1} \cdot b_{1,1} \\ = & \square[a \cdot b]. \end{aligned}$$

□

We define the map

$$P : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e)) \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^e)$$

for  $V \in L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  and  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{X}) = \int_0^t V(P_{0,r}^V(\mathbf{X})) d\mathbf{X}_{0,r} + \int_0^s V(P_{r,t}^V(\mathbf{X})) dx_r^t. \quad (\text{E.39})$$

In particular, this is the surface obtained by the solution of the 1D CDE with vector field  $V$ , driven by the  $(s, t)$ -tail path. Our aim is to show continuity with respect to both the driving surface and the vector field. We begin with two preliminary lemmas, generalizing Proposition E.7, which isolate these two cases.

**Lemma E.26.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$ , and  $V \in L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  with  $v > \|V\|_{Lip}$ . Denote*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{Y}).$$

Then, we have

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}|_p \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_{p;[0,1] \times [t,t']} + |(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']}) \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p. \quad (\text{E.40})$$

**PROOF.** Recall that  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p;[s,s']} := |\mathbf{x}_s| + |\mathbf{x}|_{p;[s,s']}$ . Applying Lemma E.25, and then the standard Young integral bounds from Proposition E.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'})_{s,s'}| &= \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r^t) d(\mathbf{x}_r^t - \mathbf{y}_r^t - \mathbf{x}_r^{t'} + \mathbf{y}_r^{t'}) + (V(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_r^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r^{t'} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_r^{t'})) dx_r^{t'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (V(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_r^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_r^t)) d(\mathbf{y}_r^t - \mathbf{y}_r^{t'}) + (V(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_r^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_r^{t'})) d(\mathbf{x}_r^{t'} - \mathbf{y}_r^{t'}) \right| \\ &\leq v \left( \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \right) \quad (\text{E.41}) \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Proposition E.6,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t\|_{p;[s,s']} \lesssim 1 \quad (\text{E.42})$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t\|_{p;[s,s']} \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t\|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim 1 \quad (\text{E.43})$$

Furthermore, by Proposition E.7, we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_p \lesssim |\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^{t'}| + |\mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_p \leq |\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']}. \quad (\text{E.44})$$

Next, using  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}$  to simplify notation, and substituting (E.42) – (E.44) into (E.41), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s,s'}| &\lesssim (|\mathbf{q}|_{p;[s,s']} + |\mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']})|\mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}) \\ &\quad + (|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_s| + |\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p;[s,s']})|\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, define the 1D control function

$$A_{s,s'} = |\mathbf{q}|_{p;[s,s']}^p + |\mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}^p + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']})|\mathbf{x}^{t'} - \mathbf{y}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}^p$$

in order to obtain

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s,s'}| \lesssim A_{s,s'}^{1/p} + (|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_s| + |\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p;[s,s']})|\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}. \quad (\text{E.45})$$

Then, by Lemma E.5, we have

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim (|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0| + A_{0,1}^{1/p}) \cdot \exp(C|\mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[0,1]}^p) \leq (|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0| + A_{0,1}^{1/p}) \cdot \exp(C\|\mathbf{X}\|^p), \quad (\text{E.46})$$

where  $C = C(p, v, \ell)$ . Next, by applying Lemma E.12 and Lemma E.14, and using the definition of  $\mathbf{q}$ , we can bound the control as

$$A_{0,1} \leq |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{p;[0,1] \times [t,t']}^p + |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;[0,1] \times [t,t']}^p + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']})|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p. \quad (\text{E.47})$$

Then, by definition of  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ , we have

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0| = |\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{t'} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^{t'}| \leq |(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']}.$$

Finally, substituting this into (E.46), we obtain

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{p;[0,1] \times [t,t']} + |(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']})|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p. \quad (\text{E.48})$$

□

**Lemma E.27.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p-\text{cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p$ , and let  $V, W \in L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  be two linear vector fields with  $v > \|V\|_{\text{Lip}}, \|W\|_{\text{Lip}}$ . Using the notation from (E.39), we denote

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^W(\mathbf{X}).$$

Then,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[0,1]} \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} |(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y})_{0,t}|_{p;[t,t']} + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[0,1] \times [t,t']}. \quad (\text{E.49})$$

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}$ . Applying Lemma E.25, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s,s'}| &\leq \left| \int_s^{s'} V(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_r) d\mathbf{x}^t + (V - W)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'}) d(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}) + (V - W)(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}) d\mathbf{x}^t + W(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}) d(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}) \right| \\ &\leq \|V\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']} \\ &\quad + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} + \|W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.50})$$

$$+ \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p;[s,s']} + \|W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p;[s,s']}. \quad (\text{E.51})$$

Then, we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} \lesssim 1 \quad (\text{E.52})$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p;[s,s']} \lesssim \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} \quad (\text{E.53})$$

where the first inequality is due to Proposition E.6, and the second is due to Proposition E.7, where we note that the entire driving signal (including the vertical part of the tail path) is the same for  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}$ . Furthermore, in the second inequality, we use

$$\|V - W\|_{\infty; B(0, C_\ell)} \leq \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} C_\ell,$$

since  $V$  and  $W$  are both linear. Next, using Proposition E.7 again, we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}\|_{p; [s, s']} \lesssim |\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_0^{t'}| + |\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t'}|_{p; [s, s']} \leq |\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']} + |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}. \quad (\text{E.54})$$

Thus, substituting (E.52) – (E.54) into (E.50), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s, s'}| &\lesssim \|V\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}\|_{p; [s, s']} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']} + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']} \\ &\quad + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']} + |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}) |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']} + \|W\|_{\text{Lip}} \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define a control function by

$$A_{s, s'} := \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}^p \left( |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}^p + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']}^p + |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [s, s'] \times [t, t']}^p) |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']}^p \right)$$

so that we have

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s, s'}| \lesssim A_{s, s'}^{1/p} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}\|_{p; [s, s']} |\mathbf{x}^t|_{p; [s, s']}.$$

Now, applying Lemma E.5, we obtain

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p; [0, 1]} \lesssim (|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0| + A_{0, 1}^{1/p}) \exp(C |\mathbf{x}^t|_p^p),$$

where  $C = C(p, v, \ell)$ . Expanding the definition of  $A_{0, 1}^{1/p}$  and  $|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_0|$ , we obtain

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}|_{p; [0, 1]} \lesssim |(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y})_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']} + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}} |\mathbf{X}|_{p; [0, 1] \times [t, t']}.$$

□

**Proposition E.28.** *The map  $P : C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e)) \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^e)$  defined in (E.39) is continuous. In particular, for  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $V, W \in L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$  and  $v > \|V\|_{\text{Lip}}, \|W\|_{\text{Lip}}$ , we have*

$$\|P^V(\mathbf{X}) - P^W(\mathbf{Y})\|_{v_p} \lesssim_{p, v, \ell} (\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}).$$

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $V, W \in L(\mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e))$ . We will denote

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{X}), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^W(\mathbf{Y}), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{s,t} := P_{s,t}^V(\mathbf{Y}).$$

Consider the rectangles  $R_i = [s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t, t']$  for  $\{0 = s_0 < \dots < s_n = 1\}$ . We obtain

$$|\square_{R_i}[\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}]|^p \leq 2^{p-1} (|\square_{R_i}[\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}]|^p + |\square_{R_i}[\tilde{\mathbf{Z}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}]|^p).$$

Then, summing over this partition of  $[0, 1] \times [t, t']$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\square_{R_i}[\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}]|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( |(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'})_{s_{i-1}, s_i}|^p + |(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'})_{s_{i-1}, s_i}|^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( |\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'}|_{p; [s_{i-1}, s_i]}^p + |\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}|_{p; [s_{i-1}, s_i]}^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \left( |\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'}|_{p; [0, 1]}^p + |\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{t'} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{t'}|_{p; [0, 1]}^p \right) \\ &\lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_{p; [0, 1] \times [t, t']}^p + |(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']}^p + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']}^p + |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t), p; [t, t']}^p) |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p \\ &\quad + |(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_{p; [t, t']}^p + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_{p; [0, 1] \times [t, t']}^p \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma E.26 and Lemma E.27 in the last line. Then, taking a grid-like partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ , and since each term in the final line above is a control function, we obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\square_{i,j}^{\pi}[\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}]|^p \\ & \lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p + |(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{0,t}|_p^p + (|\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}|_p^p + \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p^p) |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p + |(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_p^p + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}^p |\mathbf{Y}|_p \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition E.7, we have

$$|(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{0,t}|_p^p \lesssim |(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y})_{0,t}|_p.$$

Next, from Proposition E.6, we have

$$(|\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}|_p^p + \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p^p) \lesssim 1.$$

Then, using Proposition E.7 again, we have

$$|(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{0,t}|_p^p \lesssim \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}.$$

Finally, putting this all together, we obtain

$$V_p(P(\mathbf{X}) - P(\mathbf{Y})) = |\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}|_p \lesssim |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p + \|V - W\|_{\text{Lip}}.$$

The horizontal axis path of  $P^V(\mathbf{X}) - P^W(\mathbf{Y})$  is trivial and the vertical axis path is the difference between 1D CDEs driven by  $\mathbf{X}_{0,t}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_{0,t}$  and the vector fields  $V$  and  $W$  respectively, which is continuous by Proposition E.7; thus  $P$  is continuous with the desired bound.  $\square$

Next, we consider  $p$ -variation continuity of the product.

**Lemma E.29.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}' \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p, \|\mathbf{X}'\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}'\|_p$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z}_{s,t} := \mathbf{X}_{s,t} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}'_{s,t} := \mathbf{X}'_{s,t} \cdot \mathbf{Y}'_{s,t}$ . Then,*

$$\|\mathbf{Z}\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'\|_{V_p} + \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'\|_{V_p}. \quad (\text{E.55})$$

**PROOF.** Following the argument for the 2D increment from Lemma E.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}'] \\ & = \mathbf{X}_{s,t} \cdot \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Y}] + (\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t}) + (\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t}) + \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{X}] \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'} \\ & \quad - (\mathbf{X}'_{s,t} \cdot \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Y}'] + (\mathbf{X}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}'_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t}) + (\mathbf{X}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}'_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}'_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t}) + \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{X}'] \cdot \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t'}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \cdot \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Y}] - \mathbf{X}'_{s,t} \cdot \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Y}']| \leq |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|_{\infty} |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} + |\mathbf{X}'|_p |\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} \\ & |\square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{X}] \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'} - \square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{X}'] \cdot \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t'}| \leq |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} \cdot |\mathbf{Y}|_{\infty} + |\mathbf{X}'|_{p;[s,s'] \times [t,t']} |\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'|_p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t}) - (\mathbf{X}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}'_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t})| \\ & \quad \leq |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|_{(t),p;[t,t']} |\mathbf{Y}|_{(s),p;[s,s']} + |\mathbf{X}'|_{(t),p;[t,t']} |\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'|_{(s),p;[s,s']} \\ & |(\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}'_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t}) - (\mathbf{X}'_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}'_{s',t})(\mathbf{Y}'_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}'_{s',t})| \\ & \quad \leq |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|_{(s),p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{Y}|_{(t),p;[t,t']} + |\mathbf{X}'|_{(s),p;[s,s']} |\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'|_{(t),p;[t,t']} \end{aligned}$$



Now, we make the same observations about these bounds as the end of the proof of Proposition E.24; that is, the  $p$ -power of each term of each bound is a 2D control; and each term has either a  $\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'\|_p$  or  $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'\|_p$  term. Now, summing over an arbitrary partition  $\pi$ , we can conclude in the same way as Proposition E.24, and we get

$$\|\mathbf{Z}\|_p \leq C(\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'\|_p + \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'\|_p) \cdot (\|\mathbf{X}\| + \|\mathbf{Y}\| + \|\mathbf{X}'\| + \|\mathbf{Y}'\|).$$

The corresponding result for the axis paths hold by standard 1D arguments.  $\square$

We will now use these results to show that the integrand (7.11) in the surface holonomy equation is continuous. Recall that we use the Frobenius norm for the 2-connections

$$\|\omega\|_F^2 := \sum_{i=1}^d \|\alpha^i\|_F^2 + \|\beta^i\|_F^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \|\gamma^{i,j}\|_F^2.$$

**Proposition E.30.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  such that  $v > \|\omega_1\|_F, \|\omega_2\|_F$ . The map  $T$  is continuous with*

$$\|T^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - T^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F.$$

PROOF. From the proof of Proposition E.20, the path holonomy with respect to the tail path is

$$F^{\alpha_1} = P^{\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \quad G^{\beta_1} = P^{\beta_1}.$$

We begin by bounding  $\|F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_1 - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_2\|_{V_p}$ . By expanding, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_1 - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_2\|_{V_p} &\leq \|(F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})) \cdot \gamma_1\|_{V_p} + \|F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}) \cdot (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\|_{V_p} \\ &\leq \|F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})\|_{V_p} \cdot \|\gamma_1\|_F + \|F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})\|_{V_p} \cdot \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_F \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_F + \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_F, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.56})$$

where we use Proposition E.28 to bound  $\|F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})\|_{V_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{\text{Lip}}$  and further using  $\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_F$  and Corollary E.18 to bound  $\|F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})\|_p \lesssim 1$ .

Next, since matrix inversion is locally Lipschitz, we have

$$\|(G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1} - (G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}))^{-1}\|_{V_p} \leq C\|G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) - G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t})\|_{V_p}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - T^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} &\leq \|F^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_1 - F^{\alpha_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}) \cdot \gamma_2\|_{V_p} + \|(G^{\beta_1}(\mathbf{x}^{s,t}))^{-1} - (G^{\beta_2}(\mathbf{y}^{s,t}))^{-1}\|_{V_p} \\ &\lesssim (\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_F + \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_F) + (\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_F) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma E.29 in the first line, and (E.56) and Proposition E.28 in the second line.  $\square$

### E.3.3. Continuity of Surface Holonomy.

**Proposition E.31.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  such that  $v > \|\omega_1\|_F, \|\omega_2\|_F$ . The map*

$$Z : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathfrak{gl}_2^{n,m,p})$$

is continuous and satisfies

$$\|Z^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - Z^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_p(Z^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - Z^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})) &= V_p\left(\int_0^\cdot T_{s,t}^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X})dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) - T_{s,t}^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{Y})\right) \\
&\leq V_p\left(\int_0^\cdot (T_{s,t}^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - T_{s,t}^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y}))dA_{s,t}(\mathbf{X})\right) \\
&\quad + V_p\left(\int_0^\cdot T_{s,t}^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})d(A_{s,t}(\mathbf{X}) - A_{s,t}(\mathbf{Y}))\right) \\
&\leq \|T^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - T^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} |A(\mathbf{X})|_p + \|T^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_{V_p} |A(\mathbf{X}) - A(\mathbf{Y})|_p \\
&\lesssim \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Proposition E.30 and Proposition E.24 for continuity of the area process and the integrand, as well as Proposition E.20 and Proposition E.16 for boundedness of the area and integrand. Both axis paths are 0 by definition, and thus we have the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem E.32.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  such that  $v > \|\omega_1\|_F, \|\omega_2\|_F$ . The surface holonomy map  $H : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  is continuous and satisfies*

$$\|H^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - H^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_F \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F. \quad (\text{E.57})$$

PROOF. From the proof of Theorem E.22, the surface holonomy equation is a 1D CDE defined by a Lipschitz vector field of linear growth. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{p,[0,1]}(H_t^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - H_t^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})) &\lesssim V_p(Z^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - Z^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})) \\
&\lesssim \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F,
\end{aligned}$$

where the first line is due to Proposition E.7, and the second line is due to Proposition E.31. Finally, since  $\|H^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - H^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_F \leq V_p(H_t^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - H_t^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y}))$ , we have our desired result.  $\square$

Once again using the same argument, the alternate definition of surface holonomy from (7.14) is also continuous.

**Theorem E.33.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\ell > \|\mathbf{X}\|_p, \|\mathbf{Y}\|_p$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p}$  such that  $v > \|\omega_1\|_F, \|\omega_2\|_F$ . The alternate surface holonomy map  $\hat{H} : C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{M}^{n,m,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_2^{n,m,p}$  from (7.14) is continuous and satisfies*

$$\|\hat{H}^{\omega_1}(\mathbf{X}) - \hat{H}^{\omega_2}(\mathbf{Y})\|_F \lesssim_{p,v,\ell} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p + \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_F. \quad (\text{E.58})$$

## Appendix F. Piecewise Linear Approximations

In this section, we consider piecewise linear approximations to bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces. We begin with two measures of size for a rectangle  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$ ,

$$|R| := \min\{|s' - s|, |t' - t|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|R\| := \max\{|s' - s|, |t' - t|\}, \quad (\text{F.1})$$

and extend these definitions to partitions  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}$  by

$$|\pi| := \max_{R \in \pi} |R| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\pi\| := \max_{R \in \pi} \|R\|.$$

Furthermore, for  $\delta > 0$ , we denote two subclasses of partitions,

$$\mathcal{D}_{|\delta|} := \{\pi \in \mathcal{D} : |\pi| \leq \delta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\|\delta\|} := \{\pi \in \mathcal{D} : \|\pi\| \leq \delta\} \quad (\text{F.2})$$

**Definition F.1.** Let  $X \in C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $R = [u, u'] \times [v, v']$ . Define  $X^R : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\mathbf{X}_{s,t}^R := \begin{cases} (X_{u',v'} - X_{u,v'})s + (X_{u,v'} - X_{u,v})t + X_{u,v} & : s \leq t \\ (X_{u',v} - X_{u,v})s + (X_{u',v'} - X_{u',v})t + X_{u,v} & : s > t. \end{cases} \quad (\text{F.3})$$


Furthermore, define  $\psi^R : R \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  by

$$\psi_{s,t}^R = \left( \frac{s-u}{|u'-u|}, \frac{t-v}{|v'-v|} \right).$$

For  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ , define the  $\pi$ -piecewise linear approximation to  $X$ , denoted  $X^\pi \in C^{1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\mathbf{X}_{s,t}^\pi := \mathbf{X}^R \circ \psi_{s,t}^R \quad \text{for } (s, t) \in R$$

for all  $R \in \pi$ .




**Proposition F.2.** Let  $X \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\boxplus}$ . Then,

$$|\mathbf{X}^\pi|_p^p \leq 9^{p-1} |\mathbf{X}|_p^p.$$

Furthermore,  $X^\pi \rightarrow X$  uniformly as  $\|\pi\| \rightarrow 0$ .

**PROOF.** This proof mainly follows [41, Proposition 5.60]. The aim is to define a 2D control function  $\omega_\pi : \Delta^2 \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\square_R[X^\pi]|^p \leq 9^{p-1} \omega_\pi(R)$  for all rectangles  $R \subset [0, 1]^2$ . Because  $\pi$  is a grid-like partition, we denote the 1D partitions induced by  $\pi$  to be  $\pi_s = \{0 = s_0 < \dots < s_n = 1\}$  and  $\pi_t = \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_m = 1\}$ .

First, we define  $\omega_\pi$  for rectangles  $r \subset R$ , for some  $R \in \pi$  as follows. If  $r$  intersects with the diagonal of  $R$ , then let  $I_R(r)$  denote the length of the intersection (and  $I_R(r) = 0$  otherwise). For a rectangle  $R = [s, s'] \times [t, t']$ , we let  $D(R) = ((s - s')^2 + (t - t')^2)^{1/2}$  denote the length of the diagonal.




Then,

$$\omega_\pi(r) = \frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;R}^p.$$

Furthermore, suppose that the intersection between  $r$  and the diagonal of  $R$  is the line segment from  $(u, u)$  to  $(v, v)$  with  $u < v$ . Let  $\hat{r} = [u, v] \times [u, v] \subset r$ . Then, since the function  $\mathbf{X}^R$  is linear in the complement of  $\hat{r}$  in  $r$ , we have

$$|\square_r[\mathbf{X}^\pi]| = |\square_{\hat{r}}[\mathbf{X}^\pi]| = \frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} |\square_R[\mathbf{X}^\pi]| = \frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} |\square_R[\mathbf{X}]|.$$



Thus, we obtain

$$|\square_r[\mathbf{X}^\pi]|^p = \left( \frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} \right)^p |\square_R[\mathbf{X}]|^p \leq \frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;R}^p = \omega_\pi(r),$$

since  $\frac{I_R(r)}{D(R)} \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Second for vertical strips of the form  $r = [s, s'] \times [t_{q_1}, t_{q_2}]$  where  $[s, s'] \subset [s_p, s_{p+1}]$ , we define

$$\omega_\pi(r) := \frac{s' - s}{|s_p - s_{p+1}|} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s_p, s_{p+1}] \times [t_{q_1}, t_{q_2}]}^p.$$

Similarly, for horizontal strips of the form  $r = [s_{p_1}, s_{p_2}] \times [t, t']$  where  $[t, t'] \subset [t_q, t_{q+1}]$ , we set

$$\omega_\pi(r) := \frac{t' - t}{|t_q - t_{q+1}|} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;[s_{p_1}, s_{p_2}] \times [t_q, t_{q+1}]}^p.$$

Next, for a rectangle with endpoints on the grid,  $r = [s_{p_1}, s_{p_2}] \times [t_{q_1}, t_{q_2}]$ , we define

$$\omega_\pi(r) := |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p.$$

In each of these cases, we can show that  $|\square_r[\mathbf{X}^\pi]|^p \leq \omega_\pi(r)$ , similar to the first case. Finally, for an arbitrary rectangle  $r \subset [0, 1]^2$ , we note that it can be uniquely decomposed into at most 9 rectangles  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^9$  of the form above. In this case, we simply define

$$\omega_\pi(r) := \sum_{i=1}^9 \omega_\pi(r_i).$$

The fact that  $\omega_\pi$  is super-additive can be shown by using the super-additivity of  $|\mathbf{X}|_p^p$ . It is also clear from all of these cases that  $\omega_\pi(r)$  is continuous in the 4 parameters of the rectangle  $r$ . Now, returning to the arbitrary rectangle  $r \subset [0, 1]^2$  above, we have

$$|\square_r[\mathbf{X}^\pi]|^p = \left| \sum_{i=1}^9 \square_{r_i}[\mathbf{X}^\pi] \right|^p \leq 9^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^9 |\square_{r_i}[\mathbf{X}^\pi]|^p \leq 9^{p-1} \omega_\pi(r).$$

Then, since  $\omega_\pi([0, 1]^2) = |\mathbf{X}|_p^p$ , we obtain the desired bound. Finally,  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$  converges uniformly to  $\mathbf{X}$  as  $\|\pi\| \rightarrow 0$  since  $\mathbf{X}$  is uniformly continuous.  $\square$

### Appendix G. Closure of Smooth Surfaces in Controlled $p$ -Variation Norm

In this section, we will consider the closure of smooth surfaces in the controlled  $p$ -variation norm. Recall the notation from (F.1) and (F.2) on the size of rectangles and partitions with a bounded mesh size.

**Lemma G.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{|\delta|}} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r^\pi[\mathbf{X}]|^q = 0$$

**PROOF.** For  $\delta > 0$ , denote the modulus of continuity

$$\text{osc}(\mathbf{X}, \delta) := \sup\{|\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t'}| : |(s,t) - (s',t')| \leq \delta\}.$$

Now, for any  $r = [s, s'] \times [t, t'] \in \pi$  such that  $|t - t'| < \delta$ , we have

$$|\square_r[\mathbf{X}]| \leq |\mathbf{X}_{s,t} - \mathbf{X}_{s,t'}| + |\mathbf{X}_{s',t} - \mathbf{X}_{s',t'}| \leq 2\text{osc}(\mathbf{X}, \delta).$$

We make the same argument when  $|s - s'| < \delta$ . Then, for any partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^q \leq \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p 2\text{osc}(\mathbf{X}, \delta)^{q-p} \leq 2|\mathbf{X}|_p^p \text{osc}(\mathbf{X}, \delta)^{q-p}.$$

By uniform continuity of  $\mathbf{X}$ , we have  $\text{osc}(\mathbf{X}, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , and we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma G.2.** *Let  $\Omega = C^{1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and suppose  $\Omega^{0,p\text{-cvar}}$  is the closure of  $\Omega$  under the controlled  $p$ -variation metric. Then,*

$$\Omega^{0,p\text{-cvar}} = C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

**PROOF.** First, we have  $C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset \Omega^{0,p\text{-cvar}}$  since

$$C^\infty \subset C^{0,1\text{-cvar}} \subset \Omega^{0,1\text{-cvar}} \subset \Omega^{0,p\text{-cvar}}.$$

In the converse direction, we note that  $C^{1\text{-cvar}} \subset C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}$  since any  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{1\text{-cvar}}$  can be approximated by  $\mathbf{X}^n \in C^\infty$  in uniform norm such that  $|\mathbf{X}^n|_1 \leq |\mathbf{X}|_1$  [41, Proposition 5.64], and noting that  $C^{1\text{-var}} = C^{1\text{-cvar}}$  by Theorem E.10. By interpolation,

$$|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^n|_p^p \leq 2|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^n|_1 |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^n|_\infty^{p-1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then, since  $\Omega \subset C^{1\text{-cvar}} \subset C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}$ , we have  $\Omega^{0,p\text{-cvar}} \subset C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition G.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $p > 1$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{0,p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p = 0$ .
- (3)  $\lim_{\|\pi\| \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^\pi\|_p = 0$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p^p \leq \epsilon 2^{-p}$ . Given a partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{Y}]|^p + 2^{p-1} |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|_p^p.$$

Because  $\mathbf{Y}$  is smooth, it is also Lipschitz, and let  $L > 0$  be its Lipschitz constant. Suppose  $r = [s, s'] \times [t, t'] \in \pi$  such that  $|t - t'| < \delta$ , then

$$|\square_{s,s';t,t'}[\mathbf{Y}]| \leq |\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s,t'}| + |\mathbf{Y}_{s',t} - \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'}| \leq 2L\delta.$$

Thus, there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all partitions  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{Y}]|^p \leq \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{Y}]|^1 (2L\delta)^{p-1} \leq \epsilon 2^{-p},$$

Thus, for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p \leq \epsilon.$$

(2)  $\implies$  (3). First, we note that the condition in (2) is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p = 0.$$

Indeed, consider the function (the proof of [39, Theorem 1 (iv)] shows that this is a 2D control),

$$\omega_{\mathbf{X}, \delta, p}(R) := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta(R)} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^p.$$

Now, for  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ , we note that

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p \leq \sum_{r \in \pi} \omega_{\mathbf{X}, \delta, p}(r),$$

since any partition of  $r$  must be in  $\mathcal{D}_\delta(r)$ .

Now fix  $\delta$ , and for any two surfaces  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ , for any partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}]|^p &\leq \sum_{r \in \pi, |r| \leq \delta} |\square_r[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}]|^p + \sum_{r \in \pi, \|r\| > \delta} |\square_r[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}]|^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \left( \sum_{r \in \pi, |r| \leq \delta} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p + \sum_{r \in \pi, |r| \leq \delta} |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;r}^p \right) + \frac{2}{\delta^2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the supremum over all partitions, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left( \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p + \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{Y}|_{p;r}^p \right) + \frac{2}{\delta^2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|_\infty.$$

Now, taking  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and its piecewise linear approximation  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^\pi$  with respect to  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\|\delta\|}^{\boxplus}$  from Definition F.1, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^\pi\|_p^p = C \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p + \frac{2}{\delta^2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^\pi\|_\infty.$$

First, we fix  $\delta > 0$  such that  $C \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\mathbf{X}|_{p;r}^p < \epsilon/2$ , then since  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$  converges in the uniform topology, there exists some  $\delta' < \delta$  such that for  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\|\delta'\|}^{\boxplus}$ , we have

$$\frac{2}{\delta^2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^\pi\|_\infty < \epsilon/2.$$

Now, we note that the  $p$ -variation of the two axis paths will also converge by the standard 1D results in [41, Theorem 5.31].

(3)  $\implies$  (1). This holds by Lemma G.2. □

Now, we are ready to prove that the controlled  $q$ -variation closure of smooth surfaces contain all bounded controlled  $p$ -variation surfaces for  $p < q$ .

**Proposition 7.6.** *For  $p > 1$ , we have*

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq p < q} C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^{0,q\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (7.15)$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, by Lemma G.1, we have

$$\lim_{|\delta| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\delta} \sum_{r \in \pi} |\square_r[\mathbf{X}]|^q = 0.$$

Then, by Proposition G.3, we have  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{0,q\text{-cvar}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

## Appendix H. Characterization of Surfaces using Polynomial Integrals

In this section, we show that given a surface  $\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $|\mathbf{X}|_p > 0$ , one the 2D Young integrals

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} s^a t^b d\mathbf{X}^i, \quad (\text{H.1})$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in [d]$ , is nontrivial. This will be done in two steps.

- (1) For a nontrivial  $\mathbf{X}$ , find a sufficiently regular bump function  $f(s, t)$  such that  $\int f(s, t) d\mathbf{X}^i$  is nontrivial for some  $i$ .
- (2) Approximate the bump function using a polynomial  $p(s, t)$ , and show that  $\int p(s, t) d\mathbf{X}^i$  is still nontrivial.

In order to do this, we will use bump functions which are in the closure of smooth surfaces under the 1-variation metric,  $C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Lemma H.1.** *The map  $\hat{\iota} : L^1([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by*

$$\hat{\iota}(\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}) := \left( (s, t) \mapsto \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'} ds' dt' \right)$$

*is a Banach space isomorphism.*

**PROOF.** The proof is essentially the same as the 1D case in [41, Proposition 1.31]. For any smooth  $\mathbf{Y} \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , let  $\mathbf{X} = \iota(\mathbf{Y})$ . Then, we have

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{1\text{-var};[0,1]^2} = |\mathbf{Y}|_{L^1}.$$

Thus, the map

$$\iota : (C^\infty([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d), |\cdot|_{L^1}) \rightarrow (C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d), |\cdot|_{1\text{-var}})$$

is an isometry. We extend  $\iota$  to the closure of the two respective spaces to obtain the result.  $\square$

In particular, this shows that we can explicitly characterize the closure as

$$C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mathbf{X}_{s,t} := \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbf{Y}_{s',t'} ds' dt' : \mathbf{Y} \in L^1([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

Following the 1D case, we call such surfaces *absolutely continuous functions* (the vanishing corresponds to the condition that  $\mathbf{X}_{0,t} = \mathbf{X}_{s,0} = 0$ ). Furthermore, we find that polynomials are dense in  $C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with respect to the 1-variation metric.

**Lemma H.2.** *Polynomials in  $s^a t^b$  with  $a, b \geq 1$  are dense in  $C_{\square}^{0,1\text{-var}}([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{R})$  equipped with the 1-variation topology.*



PROOF. Let  $F \in C_{\perp}^{0,1-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f \in L^1([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $F_{s,t} = \int_0^t \int_0^s f(s', t') ds' dt'$ . Note that we have

$$|F|_{1-\text{var};[0,1]^2} = |f|_{L^1}.$$

Because polynomials are dense in  $L^1([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists a sequence of polynomials  $p_n$  such that  $p_n \xrightarrow{L^1} f$ . Now, we define

$$P_n(s, t) = \int_0^t \int_0^s p_n(s', t') ds' dt',$$

which is a polynomial in  $s^a t^b$  with  $a, b \geq 1$ , and we have  $P_n \xrightarrow{1-\text{var}} F$ .  $\square$

Now, we will prove that integrals of the form of (H.1) characterize surfaces.

**Proposition H.3.** *For  $\mathbf{X} \in C_{\perp}^{p-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $|\mathbf{X}|_p > 0$ , there exist some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in [d]$  such that*

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} s^a t^b d\mathbf{X}_i^j \neq 0.$$

PROOF. We begin by simplifying the problem.

- (1) It suffices to consider one dimension at a time, so without loss of generality, we consider 1-dimensional surfaces  $\mathbf{X} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) Given  $B \in C_{\perp}^{0,1-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists a sequence of polynomials  $p^n(s, t)$  which converge to  $B(s, t)$  in the 1-variation topology by Lemma H.2. Then, we can use the 2D Young estimate from Proposition E.11 to get

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(s, t) - p^n(s, t)) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \right| \leq C |\mathbf{X}|_{p-\text{var};[0,1]^2} |f - p^n|_{1-\text{var};[0,1]^2}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that there exists an  $B \in C_{\perp}^{0,1-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} B(s, t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \neq 0.$$

Now, we build the desired function  $B$ . First, there exists some  $0 < u < u' < 1$  and  $0 < v < v' < 1$  such that  $\square_{u,u';v,v'}[\mathbf{X}] \neq 0$ . Next, for  $s < s'$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we define 1D bump functions  $\beta_{s,s',\delta} : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  by

$$\beta_{s,s',\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & : t \notin [s - \delta, s' + \delta] \\ \frac{t - (s - \delta)}{\delta} & : t \in [s - \delta, s] \\ 1 & : t \in [s, s'] \\ \frac{t - s'}{\delta} & : t \in [s', s' + \delta] \end{cases} \quad (\text{H.2})$$


We construct a 2D bump function  $B_{\delta}(s, t) : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  by taking the product of two 1D bump functions

$$B_{\delta}(s, t) := \beta_{u,u',\delta}(s) \beta_{v,v',\delta}(t).$$

Note that  $B_{\delta}(s, t)$  is piecewise smooth, so it is contained in  $C^{0,1-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R})$ . Given sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we also have  $B_{\delta} \in C_{\perp}^{0,1-\text{var}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R})$ . Now consider the following decomposition of the support of  $B_{\delta}$ .

The integral can thus be written as

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} B_{\delta}(s, t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} = \int_{[u,u'] \times [v,v']} d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} + \sum_{i=1}^4 \int_{R_i \cup T_i} B_{\delta}(s, t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t}$$



The first integral evaluates to  $\int_{[u,u'] \times [v,v']} d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} = \square_{u,u';v,v'}[\mathbf{X}] \neq 0$  by assumption, and let  $\epsilon = |\square_{u,u';v,v'}[\mathbf{X}]|$ . We note that the shaded red regions are the only regions where the 1-variation of  $B_\delta$  is nonzero. Then, by the 2D Young integral estimate (Proposition E.11), we have

$$\left| \int_{R_1 \cup T_1} B_\delta(s,t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \right| \leq C |B_\delta|_{1\text{-var}; R_1 \cup T_1} |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}; R_1 \cup T_1}.$$

On  $R_1$ , we have  $B_\delta(s,t) = \frac{st}{\delta^2} + C_1s + C_2t + C_3$ , for some constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$ . Thus  $\frac{\partial^2 B_\delta}{\partial s \partial t} = \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ , and

$$|B_\delta|_{1\text{-var}; R_1} = \int_{R_1} \frac{1}{\delta^2} ds dt = 1.$$

On  $T_1$ , we have  $B_\delta(s,t) = \frac{t}{\delta} + C_4$ , for some constant  $C_4$ , so  $|B_\delta|_{1\text{-var}; T_1} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$|B_\delta|_{1\text{-var}; R_1 \cup T_1} = 1.$$

Furthermore, we note that  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}; R_1 \cup T_1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . The same bounds can be done for the other  $R_i \cup T_i$ , though we need to rotate the functions to properly apply the 2D Young integral estimate with the correct boundary conditions. Finally, we choose some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \left| \int_{R_i \cup T_i} B_\delta(s,t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

and thus, we get

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]^2} B_\delta(s,t) d\mathbf{X}_{s,t} \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

□

## Appendix I. Towards Brownian Sheets

While we can immediately compute surface holonomy for fractional Brownian sheets in the Young regime (see Section 9.3), with  $h \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , surface holonomy is not yet well defined for  $C^{p\text{-cvar}}([0,1]^2, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $p \geq 2$ . This is due to the lack of an integration theory for such nonsmooth surfaces. Here, we consider the special case of the Brownian sheet with  $h = \frac{1}{2}$ , and provide a preliminary step towards the definition of surface holonomy via multiparameter stochastic integration.

Suppose  $\mathbf{B} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a multidimensional Brownian sheet (Definition 9.12) with independent components. Our goal here is to show that the area process  $A_{s,t}(\mathbf{B})$  is a martingale with respect to each parameter.

**Theorem I.1.** *For every fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$ , the process  $(A_{s,t}(\mathbf{B}))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous,  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$ -valued martingale in the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined as<sup>8</sup>  $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma((\mathbf{B}_{s,u})_{u \leq t}, (\mathbf{B}_{v,t})_{v \leq s})$ .*

Let us first sketch the key idea of the proof of Theorem I.1; for brevity, we write  $A_t$  instead of  $A_{s,t}(\mathbf{B})$  given a fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$ . We need to show that for every  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{t_2} | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = A_{t_1}. \quad (\text{I.1})$$

Because the Brownian sheet vanishes along the boundaries  $s = 0$  and  $t = 0$ , we can write  $A_t$  as the sum of two area contributions, one comes from running along the right vertical line and the other comes from running along the top vertical line

$$A_t = \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet}, [0, t]) - \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t}, [0, s]). \quad (\text{I.2})$$

The reason for the negative sign in front of the second term, is that we run along the top vertical in reverse which in turn reverses the sign of the signed area. We claim that both of these terms are martingales with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . For the first term, this is fairly straightforward since it this is the contribution from running along an axis parallel slice of the Brownian sheet which is a martingale (in fact, a scaled Brownian motion). However, the second area term is of a different nature and more interesting; instead of measuring *how the area changes in  $t$* , it measures *how the area changes as the underlying process changes in  $t$*  by translating a slice of the Brownian sheet. We prepare the proof of Theorem I.1 with a lemma about slices of the Brownian sheet.

**Lemma I.2.** *For every  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  there exist two independent,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motions  $\mathbf{w}^1 = (\mathbf{w}^1_{\bullet})_{\bullet \in [0,s]}$  and  $\mathbf{w}^2 = (\mathbf{w}^2_{\bullet})_{\bullet \in [0,s]}$  such that*

$$\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_1} = \sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}^1_{\bullet} \text{ and } \mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_2} = \sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}^1_{\bullet} + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \mathbf{w}^2_{\bullet} \quad (\text{I.3})$$

where equality holds almost sure for the processes<sup>9</sup> indexed by  $\bullet$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{w}^2$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ .

PROOF. We write

$$[0, s] \times [0, t_2] = [0, s] \times [0, t_1] \cup [0, s] \times [t_1, t_2]$$

and use the white noise representation of the Brownian sheet [30] to write

$$\mathbf{B}_{s,t_2} = \mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [0, t_2]) = \mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [0, t_1]) + \mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [t_1, t_2]),$$

where the two random variables on the right hand side are independent by properties of Gaussian noise. Let  $\epsilon = t_2 - t_1$ . To show that the two processes

$$s \mapsto \beta^1 := \mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [0, t_1]) \quad (\text{resp. } s \mapsto \beta^2 := \mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [t_1, t_2]))$$

are  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motions scaled by  $\sqrt{t}$  (resp.  $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ ) we note that both are Gaussian with mean zero. Without loss of generality, we take  $s < s'$ . Their covariances are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\beta_s^1 \beta_{s'}^1] &= \mathbb{E}[\beta_s^1 (\beta_s^1 + \beta_{s'}^1 - \beta_s^1)] = \mathbb{E}[\beta_s^1 \beta_s^1] + \mathbb{E}[\beta_s^1 (\beta_{s'}^1 - \beta_s^1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{B}([0, s] \times [0, t_1])^2] + 0 = t_1 \cdot (s \wedge s') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\beta_s^2 \beta_{s'}^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{B}_{s,t_2} - \mathbf{B}_{s,t_1})(\mathbf{B}_{s',t_2} - \mathbf{B}_{s',t_1})] \\ &= st_2 - st_1 - st_1 + st_1 = \epsilon s = (t_2 - t_1)(s \wedge s') \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Hence, the claim follows.  $\square$

<sup>8</sup> $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $\mathbf{B}_{s,u}$  is measurable for every  $u \in [0, t]$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{v,t}$  is measurable for every  $v \in [0, s]$ .

<sup>9</sup>The events  $\{\mathbf{B}_{u,t} = \sqrt{t} \mathbf{w}_u^1, \forall u \in [0, s]\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{B}_{u,t_2} = \sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}_u^1 + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \mathbf{w}_u^2, \forall u \in [0, s]\}$  have both probability 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM I.1. We use the decomposition of (I.2), and show that both terms on the right hand side in

$$A_t = \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet}, [0, t]) - \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t}, [0, s]) \quad (\text{I.4})$$

are continuous  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  martingales in  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$ , where we note that the area is anti-symmetric, and is thus valued in  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$ . For the first term, the contribution from the vertical line, this follows immediately from well-known properties of the Brownian sheet: linearity of conditional expectation gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet}, [0, t_2]) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \text{Alt} \left( \int_0^{t_2} d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \otimes d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] \quad (\text{I.5})$$

$$= \text{Alt} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t_2} d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \otimes d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] \right), \quad (\text{I.6})$$

where  $\text{Alt} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d$  is the antisymmetrization operator  $\text{Alt}(v \otimes w) := \frac{1}{2}(v \otimes w - w \otimes v)$ . Then, by definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t_2} d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \otimes d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] = \int_0^{t_1} d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \otimes d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \otimes d\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right]. \quad (\text{I.7})$$

From the Markov property of the Brownian sheet, it follows that the process  $\bullet \mapsto \mathbf{B}_{s,t_1+\bullet}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ . Therefore, the last term on the right hand side of (I.7) equals 0. This shows that  $(\text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{s,\bullet}, [0, t]))_{t \geq 0}$  is indeed a continuous  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  martingale.

We now show that second term in the sum (I.4), which is the contribution of the top horizontal line, is also a  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -martingale. That is we need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_2}, [0, s]) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_1}, [0, s]). \quad (\text{I.8})$$

We use the decomposition of Lemma I.2 to write

$$\text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_2}, [0, s]) - \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_1}, [0, s]) = \text{Area}(\sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^2, [0, s]) - \text{Area}(\sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1, [0, s]).$$

By linearity,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area}(\sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^2, [0, s]) &= t_1 \text{Area}(\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1, [0, s]) \\ &\quad + (t_2 - t_1) \text{Area}(\mathbf{w}_\bullet^2, [0, s]) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t_1(t_2 - t_1)} \text{Alt} \left( \int_0^s \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 \otimes d\mathbf{w}_\bullet^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t_1(t_2 - t_1)} \text{Alt} \left( \int_0^s \mathbf{w}_\bullet^2 \otimes d\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}]$  gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(\sqrt{t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \mathbf{w}_\bullet^2, [0, s]) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = t_1 \text{Area}(\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1, [0, s]) \quad (\text{I.9})$$

$$+ (t_2 - t_1) \mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(\mathbf{w}_\bullet^2, [0, s]) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \quad (\text{I.10})$$

$$+ \sqrt{t_1(t_2 - t_1)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \text{Alt} \left( \int_0^s \mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 \otimes d\mathbf{w}_\bullet^2 \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] \quad (\text{I.11})$$

$$+ \sqrt{t_1(t_2 - t_1)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \text{Alt} \left( \int_0^s \mathbf{w}_\bullet^2 \otimes d\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1 \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right], \quad (\text{I.12})$$

where we used the fact that  $\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ -measurable by definition of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$  for the first term. Further, by definition of  $\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1$  we have  $t_1 \text{Area}(\mathbf{w}_\bullet^1, [0, s]) = \text{Area}(\mathbf{B}_{\bullet,t_1}, [0, s])$ . Hence, to finish the proof we

need to show that all the other conditional expectations are 0. For the second term in the above expression, we have that  $w^2$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$  by Lemma I.2, and therefore the conditional expectation

$$(t_2 - t_1)\mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(w_{\bullet}^2, [0, s]) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = (t_2 - t_1)\mathbb{E}[\text{Area}(w_{\bullet}^2, [0, s])] = 0 \quad (\text{I.13})$$

is an expectation of a martingale starting at 0, hence it indeed equals 0. For the third term, (I.11), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^s w_{\bullet}^1 \otimes dw_{\bullet}^2 \Big| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] = \int_0^s w_{\bullet}^1 \otimes d\mathbb{E}[w_{\bullet}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] = \int_0^s w_{\bullet}^1 \otimes d\mathbb{E}[w_{\bullet}^2] = 0$$

since by Lemma I.2 the process  $w_{\bullet}^2$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ , and that  $w_{\bullet}^1$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$  measurable for every  $\bullet \in [0, s]$  which follows directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ . The linearity of Alt and Area then implies that (I.11) equals 0. Finally, for the last term, (I.12), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^s w_{\bullet}^2 \otimes dw_{\bullet}^1 \Big| \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \right] = \int_0^s \mathbb{E}[w_{\bullet}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \otimes dw_{\bullet}^1 = \int_0^s \mathbb{E}[w_{\bullet}^2] \otimes dw_{\bullet}^1 = 0$$

where we used again the measurability (resp. independence) of  $w^1$  (resp.  $w^2$ ) with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ .  $\square$

In fact, one can use the same argument to prove the analogous statement of Theorem I.1 that for fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the process  $A_{s,t}(\mathbf{B})$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_s := \sigma((\mathbf{B}_{s,u})_{u \leq t}, (\mathbf{B}_{v,t})_{v \leq s})$  in the  $s$ -coordinate. This shows that  $A_{s,t}(\mathbf{B})$  is an orthomartingale in the sense of [20, 43]. At this point, we can consider the application of multivariate stochastic integration methods [19, 83] or recent stochastic estimates [12] to define the integral  $Z$  (7.12). Once this integral is well defined, one may solve the 1D CDE, such as by using rough path methods. We leave this extension for future work.

## References

- [1] Camilo Arias Abad and Sebastián Vélez Vásquez. The higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and principal 2-bundles. *São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 15(2):637–660, December 2021.
- [2] A. A. Agrachev and R. V. Gamkrelidze. Chronological algebras and nonstationary vector fields. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 17(1):1650–1675, September 1981.
- [3] Giovanni Alberti, Eugene Stepanov, and Dario Trevisan. Integration of nonsmooth 2-forms: From Young to Itô and Stratonovich. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, page 110212, October 2023.
- [4] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer. A theorem on holonomy. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 75(3):428–443, 1953.
- [5] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schätz. The  $A_{\infty}$  de Rham Theorem and Integration of Representations up to Homotopy. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013(16):3790–3855, 2013.
- [6] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schätz. Higher holonomies: Comparing two constructions. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 40:14–42, June 2015.
- [7] John Baez and Urs Schreiber. Higher Gauge Theory: 2-Connections on 2-Bundles. *arXiv:hep-th/0412325*, December 2004.
- [8] John C. Baez and Alissa S. Crans. Higher-dimensional algebra. VI: Lie 2-algebras. *Theory and Applications of Categories [electronic only]*, 12:492–538, 2004.
- [9] John C. Baez and Urs Schreiber. Higher Gauge Theory. *arXiv:math/0511710*, June 2006.
- [10] J. W. Barrett. Holonomy and path structures in general relativity and Yang-Mills theory. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 30(9):1171–1215, September 1991.
- [11] Toby Bartels. Higher gauge theory I: 2-Bundles, June 2006.
- [12] Florian Bechtold, Fabian A. Harang, and Hannes Kern. A multiparameter Stochastic Sewing lemma and the regularity of local times associated to Gaussian sheets, July 2023.

- [13] Jonathan Block and Aaron M. Smith. The higher Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. *Advances in Mathematics*, 252:382–405, February 2014.
- [14] Horatio Boedihardjo, Xi Geng, Terry Lyons, and Danyu Yang. The signature of a rough path: Uniqueness. *Adv. Math.*, 293:720–737, April 2016.
- [15] V.I. Bogachev. *Measure Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [16] Lawrence Breen and William Messing. Differential geometry of gerbes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 198(2):732–846, December 2005.
- [17] R. Brown, P.J. Higgins, and R. Sivera. *Nonabelian Algebraic Topology: Filtered Spaces, Crossed Complexes, Cubical Homotopy Groupoids*. EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [18] A. Caetano and R. F. Picken. An axiomatic definition of holonomy. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 05(06):835–848, December 1994.
- [19] R. Cairoli and John B. Walsh. Stochastic integrals in the plane. *Acta Mathematica*, 134(none):111–183, January 1975.
- [20] Renzo Cairoli. Une inégalité pour martingales à indices multiples et ses applications. *Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg*, 4:1–27, 1970.
- [21] Kuo-Tsai Chen. Integration of paths – a faithful representation of paths by noncommutative formal power series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89(2):395–407, 1958.
- [22] Kuo-Tsai Chen. Iterated path integrals. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(5):831–879, 1977.
- [23] Ilya Chevyrev and Andrey Kormilitzin. A primer on the signature method in machine learning. *arXiv:1603.03788 [cs, stat]*, 2016.
- [24] Ilya Chevyrev and Terry Lyons. Characteristic functions of measures on geometric rough paths. *The Annals of Probability*, 44(6):4049–4082, November 2016.
- [25] Ilya Chevyrev and Harald Oberhauser. Signature Moments to Characterize Laws of Stochastic Processes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(176):1–42, 2022.
- [26] K. Chouk and M. Gubinelli. Rough sheets. *arXiv:1406.7748 [math]*, 2014.
- [27] Khalil Chouk and Samy Tindel. Skorohod and Stratonovich integration in the plane. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 20, 2015.
- [28] Nguyen Dinh Cong, Luu Hoang Duc, and Phan Thanh Hong. Nonautonomous Young Differential Equations Revisited. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 30(4):1921–1943, 2018.
- [29] Christa Cuchiero, Philipp Schmock, and Josef Teichmann. Global universal approximation of functional input maps on weighted spaces, September 2023.
- [30] Robert C. Dalang. Level Sets and Excursions of the Brownian Sheet. In *Topics in Spatial Stochastic Processes*, volume 1802 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [31] Matías del Hoyo and Davide Stefani. The general linear 2-groupoid. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 298(1):33–57, February 2019.
- [32] Joscha Diehl and Leonard Schmitz. Two-parameter sums signatures and corresponding quasisymmetric functions. *arXiv:2210.14247 [math]*, October 2022.
- [33] Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard and Frédéric Patras. From Iterated Integrals and Chronological Calculus to Hopf and Rota–Baxter Algebras. In *Algebra and Applications 2*, chapter 2, pages 55–118. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2021.
- [34] B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton. Group-like structures in general categories I multiplications and comultiplications. *Mathematische Annalen*, 145(3):227–255, June 1962.
- [35] João Faria Martins. Crossed modules of Hopf algebras and of associative algebras and two-dimensional holonomy. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 99:68–110, January 2016.
- [36] João Faria Martins and Roger Picken. The fundamental Gray 3-groupoid of a smooth manifold and local 3-dimensional holonomy based on a 2-crossed module. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 29(2):179–206, March 2011.
- [37] Michel Fliess. Fonctionnelles causales non linéaires et indéterminées non commutatives. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 109:3–40, 1981.
- [38] Magnus Forrester-Barker. *Representations of Crossed Modules and  $Cat^1$ -Groups*. PhD thesis, University of Wales, Bangor,, 2003.
- [39] Peter Friz and Nicolas Victoir. A Note on Higher Dimensional p-Variation. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 16(none):1880–1899, January 2011.
- [40] Peter K. Friz and Martin Hairer. *A Course on Rough Paths: With an Introduction to Regularity Structures*. Universitext. Springer International Publishing, 2 edition, 2020.

- [41] Peter K. Friz and Nicolas B. Victoir. *Multidimensional Stochastic Processes as Rough Paths: Theory and Applications*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [42] Robin Giles. A generalization of the strict topology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 161:467–474, 1971.
- [43] Davide Giraud. Invariance principle via orthomartingale approximation. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 18(06):1850043, December 2018.
- [44] Florian Girelli and Hendryk Pfeiffer. Higher gauge theory—differential versus integral formulation. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 45(10):3949–3971, October 2004.
- [45] Chad Giusti, Darrick Lee, Vidit Nanda, and Harald Oberhauser. A Topological Approach to Mapping Space Signatures. *arXiv:2202.00491 [math]*, February 2022.
- [46] Ben Hambly and Terry Lyons. Some notes on trees and paths. *arXiv:0809.1365 [math]*, September 2008.
- [47] Ben Hambly and Terry Lyons. Uniqueness for the signature of a path of bounded variation and the reduced path group. *Ann. of Math.*, 171(1):109–167, 2010.
- [48] John Franklin Hamilton. *Multiplicative Riemann Integration and Logarithmic Differentiation in Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*. PhD thesis, Indiana University, United States – Indiana, 1973.
- [49] Benjamín A. Hereida and Josep Elgueta. On the representation of 2-groups in Baez-Crans 2-vector spaces. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 31(32):907–927, 2016.
- [50] Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1963.
- [51] Yaozhong Hu and Khoa Le. A multiparameter Garsia–Rodemich–Rumsey inequality and some applications. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 123(9):3359–3377, September 2013.
- [52] Kiyoshi Igusa. Iterated integrals of superconnections. *arXiv:0912.0249 [math]*, December 2009.
- [53] A. Iserles and S.p. Nørsett. On the solution of linear differential equations in Lie groups. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 357(1754):983–1019, April 1999.
- [54] Mikhail Kapranov. Membranes and higher groupoids. *arXiv:1502.06166 [math]*, February 2015.
- [55] Robert L. Karp, Freydoon Mansouri, and Jung S. Rno. Product integral formalism and non-Abelian Stokes theorem. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 40(11):6033–6043, November 1999.
- [56] Franz J. Kiraly and Harald Oberhauser. Kernels for sequentially ordered data. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 20(31):1–45, 2019.
- [57] D. Kreimer. Chen’s iterated integral represents the operator product expansion. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 3(3):627–670, January 1999.
- [58] Shigeo Kusuoka. Approximation of expectation of diffusion processes based on Lie algebra and Malliavin calculus. In Shigeo Kusuoka and Toru Maruyama, editors, *Advances in Mathematical Economics*, Advances in Mathematical Economics, pages 69–83. Springer Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [59] Darrick Lee and Harald Oberhauser. The Signature Kernel, May 2023.
- [60] Maud Lemercier, Cristopher Salvi, Theodoros Damoulas, Edwin Bonilla, and Terry Lyons. Distribution Regression for Sequential Data. In *Proceedings of The 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 3754–3762. PMLR, March 2021.
- [61] Hang Lou, Siran Li, and Hao Ni. Path Development Network with Finite-dimensional Lie Group Representation, April 2022.
- [62] Hang Lou, Siran Li, and Hao Ni. PCF-GAN: Generating sequential data via the characteristic function of measures on the path space, May 2023.
- [63] Terry Lyons. Differential equations driven by rough signals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 14(2):215–310, 1998.
- [64] Terry Lyons, Michael Caruana, and Thierry Lévy. *Differential Equations Driven by Rough Paths*. Éc. Été Probab. St.-Flour. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [65] Terry Lyons and Nicolas Victoir. Cubature on Wiener Space. *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 460(2041):169–198, 2004.
- [66] João Martins and Aleksandar Miković. Lie crossed modules and gauge-invariant actions for 2-BF theories. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 15(4):1059–1084, August 2011.
- [67] João Faria Martins and Roger Picken. On two-dimensional holonomy. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(11):5657–5695, 2010.
- [68] João Faria Martins and Roger Picken. Surface holonomy for non-abelian 2-bundles via double groupoids. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226(4):3309–3366, March 2011.



- [69] P. R. Masani. Multiplicative Riemann Integration in Normed Rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 61(1):147–192, 1947.
- [70] Claudio Meneses. Thin homotopy and the holonomy approach to gauge theories. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 775:233–253, 2021.
- [71] Anastasia Papavasiliou and Christophe Ladroue. Parameter estimation for rough differential equations. *Annals of Statistics*, 39(4):2047–2073, 2011.
- [72] Arthur J. Parzygnat. Two-dimensional algebra in lattice gauge theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 60(4):043506, April 2019.
- [73] Ludwig Schlesinger. Parallelverschiebung und Krümmungstensor. *Mathematische Annalen*, 99(1):413–434, December 1928.
- [74] Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf. Parallel Transport and Functors. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, 4(1):187–244, 2009.
- [75] Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf. Smooth functors vs. differential forms. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 13(1):143–203, January 2011.
- [76] Carl-Johann Simon-Gabriel and Bernhard Schölkopf. Kernel distribution embeddings: Universal kernels, characteristic kernels and kernel metrics on distributions. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 19(44):1–29, 2018.
- [77] Antonín Slavík. *Product Integration. Its History and Applications*. Matfyzpress, Praha, 2007.
- [78] Eugene Stepanov and Dario Trevisan. Towards Geometric Integration of Rough Differential Forms. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 31(3):2766–2828, March 2021.
- [79] W. H. Summers. The general complex bounded case of the strict weighted approximation problem. *Mathematische Annalen*, 192(2):90–98, June 1971.
- [80] Tamer Tlas. On the holonomic equivalence of two curves. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 27(06):1650055, 2016.
- [81] Nasser Towghi. Multidimensional extension of L. C. Young’s inequality. *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 3(2), 2002.
- [82] A. C. M. van Rooij. Tight functionals and the strict topology. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 7(2):41–43, October 1967.
- [83] Eugene Wong and Moshe Zakai. Multiparameter martingale differential forms. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 74(3):429–453, September 1987.
- [84] Amnon Yekutieli. *Nonabelian Multiplicative Integration on Surfaces*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, September 2015.
- [85] Sheng Zhang, Guang Lin, and Samy Tindel. Two-dimensional signature of images and texture classification. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 478(2266):20220346, October 2022.