

A Decision Support System for Liver Diseases Prediction: Integrating Batch Processing, Rule-Based Event Detection and SPARQL Query

Ritesh Chandra, Sadhana Tiwari, Satyam Rastogi, and Sonali Agarwal*

Indian Institute of Information Technology Allahabad, India

rsi2022001@iiita.ac.in, rsi2018507@iiita.ac.in satyamrastogi302@gmail.com, sonali@iiita.ac.in,

Abstract

Liver diseases pose a significant global health burden, impacting a substantial number of individuals and exerting substantial economic and social consequences. Rising liver problems are considered a fatal disease in many countries, such as Egypt, Molda, etc. The objective of this study is to construct a predictive model for liver illness using Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) and detection rules derived from a decision tree algorithm. Based on these rules, events are detected through batch processing using the Apache Jena framework. Based on the event detected, queries can be directly processed using SPARQL. To make the ontology operational, these Decision Tree (DT) rules are converted into Semantic Web Rule Language (SWRL). Using this SWRL in the ontology for predicting different types of liver disease with the help of the Pellet and Drool inference engines in Protege Tools, a total of 615 records are taken from different liver diseases. After inferring the rules, the result can be generated for the patient according to the DT rules, and other patient-related details along with different precautionary suggestions can be obtained based on these results. Combining query results of batch processing and ontology-generated results can give more accurate suggestions for disease prevention and detection. This work aims to provide a comprehensive approach that is applicable for liver disease prediction, rich knowledge graph representation, and smart querying capabilities. The results show that combining RDF data, SWRL rules, and SPARQL queries for analysing and predicting liver disease can help medical professionals to learn more about liver diseases and make a Decision Support System (DSS) for health care.

Keywords: SWRL, DSS, RDF, SPARQL, DT, Liver Diseases.

1. Introduction:

Liver disease accounts for approximately 2 million deaths per year worldwide, 1 million due to complications of cirrhosis, and 1 million due to viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma.

Cirrhosis is the 11th top cause of death in the world, and liver cancer is the 16th. Together, they are responsible for 3.5% of all deaths in the world [1]. It affects millions of lives and has a huge economic and social impact. An appropriate diagnosis and effective management of liver diseases require in-depth knowledge of the underlying causes, signs and symptoms, risk factors, and appropriate medications. The combination and evaluation of numerous sources of information have become essential for comprehending complex illnesses because of the rapid increase in biological data. In the present time, ontology has emerged as a formidable framework for the representation and organisation of massive amounts of both structured and semi-structured data. Ontology successfully uses a graph-based representation of knowledge to capture the links between various entities and their features simply and clearly. Knowledge graphs facilitate efficient searching, reasoning, and the discovery of new knowledge by displaying information in a graph-like structure [2].

Ontology facilitates the establishment of a universally accessible and standardised framework for information exchange between humans and machines. By eliminating ambiguities in terminology, it enables the efficient utilisation of domain knowledge across various contexts. The phrase is initially described as a precise and formal specification of the terms within a certain field and the connections between them [3]. The foundational principle of the semantic web has evolved to a more advanced stage, leading to the development of many ontologies. Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) [4] stands out among many categories and classes as the sole ontology that not only adheres to the generic Open World Semantics but also facilitates reasoning, which helps in decision-making for liver disease.

A Decision Support System (DSS) is an information system that utilises computer technology to integrate models and data in order to address unstructured or semi-structured problems. It facilitates various user interactions through a user-friendly interface. The proposed Decision Support Systems (DSS) can be significantly utilised by both healthcare providers and patients alike. It not only aids healthcare professionals in the process of diagnosing and treating medical conditions, but also enhances the provision of healthcare services from a distance, hence impacting the overall well-being and quality life of patients[5]. Application of the semantic web

presents a viable solution for the dissemination and depiction of knowledge, hence enhancing an individual's proficiency. Ontology is considered a fundamental component of the semantic web. The term "adopted" refers to the usage of a technology for knowledge representation within the context of its definition. The tool operates as a specialised lexicon, providing definitions for entities, attributes, and their interconnections [6].

This work basically focuses on the prediction of liver-related diseases like cirrhosis, hepatitis C, etc. The DT rules developed and converted the data into RDF using RDFlib. Then Apache Jena is used for batch processing RDF data, and events are detected with the help of DT rules. Also, BFO is developed for liver disease by using certified medical guidelines related to liver disease and RDF data. To make this BFO functional, comply with the SWRL rules, which are made according to the DT rules, and SPARQL queries are also utilised for batch processing and the design of the BFO to make the complete DSS system for predicting different types of liver diseases. The main findings of this study are illuminated by the following points:

The collected data of different liver diseases is transformed into RDF triples to increase their quality and reusability for future usage.

- Conceptualising the available knowledge of liver diseases via BFO (Basic Formal Ontology) ontology for better understanding of liver diseases, precautions, and treatment and creating a knowledge graph.
- To develop a rule-based diagnosis system for liver patients, a decision tree is used, in which a set of rules can be defined with the help of classes, properties, and persons using Semantic Web Rule Language (SWRL).
- RDF data batch processing-based event detection using DT rules

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: The work that is currently available in the domain is covered in section II. Section III reports the complete methodology in detail, while section IV examines the outcomes. Draw conclusions and suggest areas for future study in section V.

2. Related Work

Numerous researches have been conducted in the areas of liver disease detection, prevention, and treatment. These investigations have brought attention to the possible gaps in research and the interest in diagnosing diseases related to the liver.

Messaoudi et al. [7] presented an ontological approach for liver cancer diagnosis. The method he suggested is based on how medical images are described and can be used right away. It shows an ontology model that was made to find liver cancer, and especially HCC. The most important part of its method is using imaging to find HCC. It came up with the LI-RADS method to help with the process of finding cancer, the HCC staging based on the most commonly used classification systems in clinical practice, and the HCC treatment. Banihashem et al. [8] developed a knowledge-based system for finding fatty livers. It uses an ontology and rules for finding fatty livers that come from a decision tree method. It found people with fatty livers by using 43 SWRL rules and the Drool inference engine in ontology. 70% of the data in the training set is clean, and it has 580 electronic medical records from people with liver diseases. AL-Marzoqi et al. [9] proposed an innovative web-based methodology employing ontologies for the study of liver viruses. Undoubtedly, viral hepatitis has been found to induce significant difficulties within the human body. This study facilitates medical professionals and medical students in effectively distinguishing and diagnosing this particular condition. The evaluation phase involved the formulation of queries designed to extract information related to prevalent diseases, symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings from the developed ontology. Chan et al. [10] proposes an approach that utilises electronic health records (EHRs) to facilitate the analysis of medical data, namely clinical reports. The system is designed to not only provide patient information, but also to offer clinical decision support by accurately differentiating HCC disorders from other reports. The system retrieves phrases that are associated with liver cancer and arranges them based on the utilisation of SNOMED (Systematised Nomenclature of Medicine) ontology principles. Mar An ontology-based methodology is proposed for the modelling of patient cases, utilising patients diagnosed with liver disease. In order to achieve this objective, a unique ontology called LICO is presented, which incorporates established medical criteria for the representation of liver patient situations. The efficacy of the methodology is exemplified through the application of semantic queries and reasoning techniques, utilising authentic patient data for analysis. The initial findings show promise in terms of the potential of utilising ontology-based medical case representation for the development of case-based search and retrieval systems. This progress is significant as it lays the foundation for the creation of a clinical experience sharing platform that can be used for comparative diagnosis, research, and educational purposes [11]. A set of ontologies has been developed to help diagnose and treat illnesses that are spread by vectors. This ontology is built with the help of electronic health records from the Indian Health Records website, text data from medical mobile apps made by the Indian government, and scribbled notes from doctors about their patients. After preprocessing, this data is turned into correct writing with the help of Optical Character Recognition (OCR) and a spelling checker. With the help of the Patient Clinical Data (PCD) model, Natural Language Processing (NLP) is used to pull entities out of text data in order to make medical data for the RDF. Then, Basic Formal Ontology (BFO), National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme (NVBDCP) guidelines, and RDF medical data are combined to create

ontologies for vector-borne diseases (VBDs), along with SWRL rules used for diagnosis and treatment. The ontology that was made helps the NVBDCP build DSS to handle these diseases. Moawad et al. [13] developed the OBR framework that is used to build a Viral Hepatitis Ontology for the A, B, C, and D viruses, which are the most common ones to spread between men and women. The Web Ontology Language (OWL), which has recently become the standard language for the semantic web, is used to describe this ontology. By making the Viral Hepatitis Ontology, both intelligent systems and doctors will be able to share, think about, and use this information in different ways [14].

In India, most people are facing many health issues, especially in rural areas, and they don't know much about them. Focusing on this aspect, Panigrahi et al. [15] developed the Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) and used an expert system-based CDSS for predicting and diagnosing hepatitis B. The expert system is designed with an information base that has 59 rules. The planned system is built with ES-builder, an Expert System Shell (ESS) that runs on the web. Another work proposes a novel methodology for multi-label classification using a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) framework. The proposed strategy incorporates a parallel preprocessing algorithm to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of the classification process. The technique presented in the work represents a further development of the prior research. The objective of this methodology is to enhance the identification of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) lesions and to extract more details about the identified tumour, including its stage, location, size, and type, through the utilisation of ontologies. Furthermore, the incorporation of said information has enhanced the process of detection [16].

For the categorization of hepatitis-related diseases, an ontology is developed that offers a structure for searching and retrieving information with a focus on semantics. It employs query expansion to look for related terms in a search. Some other studies in the field utilise medical imaging techniques, such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) or Computed Tomography (CT) scans, to aid in the diagnostic process and provide an objective and comprehensive semantic depiction of the medical context [18, 19]. One study used a decision tree to figure out what factors were important and identify NAFLD. BMI, waist-hip ratio (WHR), TG, GLU-AC, systolic blood pressure (SP), and SGPT were all very important for NAFLD. The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of the estimate were 75%, 73%, and 77%, respectively [20]. To make the prediction, multinomial logistic regression was used. It showed that having grade 2–3 AFLD was linked to being older, male, having a higher body mass index (BMI), serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (SGPT), TG, and total cholesterol (TC) [21]. The test was 61.4% correct, 85.2% specific, and 70.8% sensitive. Al-Marzoqi et al. [22] look at the present research on ontological approaches for diseases of the hepatobiliary system. This method focuses on organs that are part of a system, like the liver, gallbladder, bile duct, and pancreas. It gives a system for reviewing things that are based on the liver and shows how they are made.

Table 1 Related Work

Author	Objectives	Use of Ontology	Simulation	Tools and Languages
Yim et al. [23]	The application of reference resolution approach in the representation of semantically liver cancer tumours.	The process of identifying and analysing the characteristics of liver cancer through textual reporting.	Precision and Recall measures.	WordNet, MetaMap and UMLS.
Kaur et al. [24]	The ontological approach is a method used in the detection of liver cancer.	Providing a semantic representation for the disease known as liver cancer.	The utilisation of SPARQL as a means of extracting information pertaining to the disease of liver cancer.	SPARQL, OWL, and OntoGraph.
Gibaud et al. [25]	The OntoVIP framework is a method utilised for the annotation of medical images.	The utilisation of pre-existing ontologies for the purpose of achieving semantic representation.	The establishment of a shared lexicon.	RadLex and SPARQL.
Levy et al. [26]	The application of semantic reasoning in conjunction with image annotations for the purpose of tumour evaluation.	Engaging in logical analysis of the resultant image and providing annotations for the evaluation of tumour lesions.	Converting AIM Data into OWL Format.	OWL, XML, SQWRL, and SWRL.

While conducting an extensive examination of several scholarly articles in the existing relevant literature, it was observed that no one could discuss the proper upper label ontology for the development of Liver disease ontology. In this work, BFO is used for the development of ontologies and to extract SWRL rules based on DT rules. Also, all medical guidelines related to

liver disease are included in this ontology. All previous work does not discuss complete knowledge on one platform. The proposed work defines not only rules-based prediction of disease but also generates precautionary suggestions and events that can be detected based on DT rules on batch processing using the Apache Jena framework. Based on these events, queries can be processed easily through it using SPARQL. Till now, no one has discussed the event-based model for batch processing-based event detection for liver disease, which reflects the uniqueness of the proposed work.

3. Methodology:

3.1 Dataset Description:

The data is obtained from the UCI Machine Learning Repository¹, which is a CSV dataset that contains 615 entries and 14 attributes, including Patient ID, Sex, Age, and Category in which the patient falls, like healthy, a hepatitis C patient, a fibrosis patient, a cirrhosis patient, or a patient who does not have any diseases but just shows symptoms. It also has laboratory data like ALB, ALP, ALT, AST, BIL, CHE, CHOL, CREA, GGT, and PROT.

3.2 Architecture of the suggested model

Figure 1 represents the model architecture of the proposed work which includes many phases such as data pre-processing, conversion of processed data into RDF format, extracting rules from decision tree, batch processing through Apache Jena, query processing, complex event detection and ontology development.




Fig. 1: Structure of the proposed model

¹ <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/HCV+data>

3.3 Dataset Preprocessing:

The dataset needs to be preprocessed, as it is quite different from what is required. Initially, missing values in the dataset are filled with the mean of the corresponding column using the 'fillna' function. Non-numeric values were then mapped to numeric values for specific columns. For example, the 'Category' column is mapped using a dictionary that assigns numeric values to different categories, i.e., 0=blood donor, to 0 meaning healthy; 0s=suspect blood donor, to 1 which means a person having symptoms of liver disease but not having a liver disease; 1=hepatitis, to 2 meaning a hepatitis patient; 2=fibrosis, to 3 which means a fibrosis patient; and 3=cirrhosis, to 4 which means a cirrhosis patient. Similarly, the 'Sex' column is mapped using a dictionary that assigns numeric values to different genders (0 for females and 1 for males). One more column is added that would hold UIDs for patients. After preprocessing the dataset in this manner, the categorical and non-numeric values were transformed into numeric representations, allowing for further analysis and processing of the data.

3.4 RDF Conversion:

RDF, which provides a standard for defining online resources and data transmission, was created and standardised in collaboration with the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). It is a technique of representing data that defines connections between data elements. RDF allows users to successfully integrate data from different sources by decoupling data from its format. This enables numerous schemas to be used, integrated, queried as a single entity, and updated without altering the data instances. In this work, the used CSV dataset is converted into RDF using Python by applying the following steps:

1. To convert the dataset into RDF data format, the relevant libraries for CSV parsing and RDF manipulation, such as 'csv' and 'rdflib', must be imported.
2. Create RDF namespaces using 'Namespace' from 'rdflib' to represent the RDF. Namespaces provide unique and globally recognised identifiers for terms used in RDF data.
3. Initialised a RDF graph object using 'Graph' from 'rdflib' to store the RDF data.
4. Open the CSV file that contained the dataset and use 'csv.DictReader' to read the CSV file, treating each row as a dictionary with column names as keys.
5. Iterate over each row in the CSV data, and for each row, the values for different columns are extracted and assigned to variables.

6. RDF triples are created in which the subject is chosen as the UID of patients; this is done via 'URIRef' from 'rdflib'. The type of subject is also added using the RDF "type" property; this is treated as MedicalRecord.
7. Adding RDF triples for each attribute in the row using the 'graph.add' method and defined predicates and object values via 'URIRef' from the 'schema.org' namespace and 'Literal' from 'rdflib'. Specify the appropriate data type (e.g., XSD.integer, XSD.float) for each literal value using the 'datatype' parameter.
8. Serialised the RDF graph data using the 'serialise' method of the graph object and specified the output format as 'xml'.

3.5 Rule Extraction using Decision Tree:

A decision tree is a flowchart-like tree data structure where each internal node represents the features of the dataset, branches represent the decision rules, and each leaf node represents the outcome. Firstly, import all the required libraries for working with decision trees, then select the relevant features (independent variables) from the data frame that would be used for training the decision tree. The attribute selection procedure is carried out in order to minimise the dimensions of the data, remove irrelevant attributes, and increase performance. In this case, the features that are included are 'AST', 'GGT', 'ALT', 'ALB', 'ALP', and 'BIL'. As in Figure 2, this is done via a Random Forest Classifier, and 'criterion' is chosen as 'gini' because it is giving better results than 'entropy'. Here, cross-validation is used as a performance metric. Extract the target variable (dependent variable) from the data frame, which is the 'Category' column in this case. Create an instance of the DecisionTreeClassifier class. Fit the model to the training data by calling the 'fit()' method on the model object, providing the selected features ('X') and the target variable ('y') as arguments. Then, finally, extract rules from the decision tree model by utilising the 'export_text()' function from the 'tree' module as in figure 3.




Fig. 2: Importance Features




Fig. 3: Decision Tree

Table 2. represents the DT rules which are extracted from the dataset .

Serial Number	If Clause	Then Statement
1	If AST <= 53.05 and ALP > 52.3 and ALT <= 9.65 and ALP <= 98.6	then Patient is Healthy
2	If AST <= 53.05 and ALT > 9.65 and ALB <= 25.55	then Patient shows some potential signs of liver abnormalities or diseases(though they don't meet the criteria for a specific condition)
3	If AST <= 53.05 and ALP <= 52.3 and BIL <= 11.0 and ALT <= 9.25	then Patient has Hepatitis C
4	If AST <= 53.05 and ALT > 9.65 and ALB > 25.55 and ALP > 28.2 and AST <= 38.05 and AST > 33.05 and AST > 33.2 and GGT > 83.3 and GGT <= 88.35	then Patient has Fibrosis
5	If AST <= 53.05 and ALT <= 9.65 and ALP > 52.3 and ALP > 98.6	then Patient has Cirrhosis
7	If AST <= 53.05 and ALB > 25.55 and ALT > 9.65 and ALP > 28.2 and AST <= 38.05 and AST <= 33.05	then Patient is Healthy
8	If AST <= 53.05 and ALB > 25.55 and ALT > 9.65 and ALP <= 28.2 and ALB <= 43.8	then Patient is Healthy

3.6 Batch Processing using Apache Jena:

Batch processing is a technique that processes the data in a sequential manner rather than processing the entire dataset at once. It involves dividing the data into smaller portions, chunks, or batches and processing them sequentially, which is useful when dealing with large or continuously streaming datasets. For the purpose of batch processing, Apache Jena, an open-source Java framework, is used. It provides comprehensive tools and libraries for working with RDF data, performing SPARQL queries, and building knowledge graphs. Apache Jena enables tasks such as parsing, storing, querying, and reasoning over RDF data. It supports various RDF syntaxes, provides APIs for RDF manipulation, and offers functionalities for batch streaming, ontology modelling, and RDF dataset management. The parameters for batch streaming, such as the batch size (`batchSize`) and the delay between batches in seconds ('delaySeconds'), have been set. and an empty Jena model to hold the RDF data [27] is created. The RDF file needs to be read into the model using the file path and a base URI for resolving relative URIs. To store values

for specific properties, a list is initialised, and for tracking the count of triples processed, a 'count' variable is defined.

Table 3. Algorithm

Algorithm for the Batch Processing for RDF
<p>Iterate over the triples and process each triple based on its predicate and object value:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Print the triple for observation or further processing.● Check if the predicate corresponds to the laboratory properties (e.g., ALT, AST, GGT, etc.) and add their respective values to the appropriate lists.● Increment the count.● Check if the batch size is reached (<code>count % batchSize == 0</code>).<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ If the batch size is reached:<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Perform batch processing if all lists have the same size (<code>processBatch()</code>).■ Clear the lists to release memory.■ Sleep for the specified delay between batches. <p>Process any remaining triples that do not form a complete batch.</p>

3.6.1 Event detection based Decision Tree Rules:

On the batch-processed data, by applying some rules, conditions, or filters, an event can be detected. Event detection is the process of discovering and recognising certain occurrences or patterns of interest within a stream of data [28]. On the data that satisfies the rules, apply SPARQL queries to get the relevant information. Create a SPARQL query string to select the required variables (e.g., SNo, ALT, AST, GGT) and apply any desired filtering or conditions. The `QueryExecutionFactory.create()` method is used to create a query execution object with the query string and the Jena model. Execute the query using `execSelect()` to obtain the result set, iterate over the result set, and retrieve the values for each variable that is needed according to the query (for example, SNo, ALT, AST, GGT, PROT, BIL, ALP, etc.), and then process and print the query results. Figure 4. show the batch processing and rules injected on batches.




Fig. 4: Batch processing and event detection based on DT rules

3.7 Ontology development using BFO:




Fig. 5: Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) Hierarchy (Version 2.0)

Using the RDF data, a knowledge graph has been constructed following the concepts and principles provided by the Basic Formal Ontology (BFO). The BFO is an upper-level ontology that is designed for use in supporting information retrieval, analysis, and integration in scientific and other domains. It is a top-level ontology and a foundational framework for organising and representing knowledge. A knowledge graph is a structured representation of knowledge and

data. This knowledge is represented via classes, attributes, properties, and individuals. Entities could be events, situations, or concepts, and they are specified with formal semantics that allow them to be processed by both humans and machines. It is often maintained and visualised as a graph structure in a graph database. BFO divides an entity into two types: continuant classes and occurrent classes. Continuants are entities that persist through time and are characterised by maintaining their identity despite changes in their properties or attributes. Continuants are then subdivided into three classes, which are: independent Continuants, genetically dependent Continuants, and specifically dependent Continuants. whereas occurrences are entities that occur, happen, or develop over time. They are also subdivided into four classes: process, process boundary, temporal region, and spatiotemporal region which is shown in figure 5. This ontology or knowledge graph is developed using the Protégé 5.5 tool.

3.7.1 Classes

Classes represent categories or types of entities in a knowledge graph. They define the common characteristics that instances within a class share. In BFO, an entity is divided into either Continuant classes or Occurrent classes. Continuant classes are further subdivided into three classes which is shown in figure 6.




Fig. 6: Class Hierarchy and Generically Dependent Continuant

- Independent Continuant: It is an entity that exists and possesses its own identity independent of other entities.

- Generically Dependent Continuant: These are those entities that are dependent on other entities, but in a generic or general sense.
- Specifically Dependent Continuant: (A specifically dependent continuant is a dependent continuant that exists only as a part of a particular independent continuant, meaning it is a dependent continuant that is a part of a particular independent continuant.) **OR** (Specifically dependent entities are entities whose existence or identity depends on the existence of other entities.) They rely on or depend on other entities for their own status.

Generically Dependent Continuant has 3 subclasses, which are shown in figure 6:

- Symptoms: Symptoms of liver diseases are generically dependent continuants as they depend on the presence of specific diseases or medical conditions to be evident.
- Sex: Sex is a generically dependent continuant as it relies on the presence of individuals (in our case, patients) to have specific sex attributes.
- Precautions: Precautions for Diseases are preventive measures that are typically generically dependent continuants. They depend on the presence of specific diseases but can be applicable to multiple instances of those diseases.

Independent Continuant has five subclasses, which are shown in figures 7 and 8 namely:

- Healthcare Providers: This class contains different types of people who are involved in patient care, such as pharmacists, nurses, and doctors, which have different types of doctors that assess or operate on liver diseases. Healthcare Providers are individual entities with their own identities and do not depend on other entities for their existence. Thus, they belong to the Independent Continuant.
- Hospitals: Hospitals are independent entities with their own identity and do not rely on other entities. Hence, they are also Independent Continuants.
- Pathology Labs: This contains different types of diagnostic tests. Pathology labs are standalone entities that do not depend on other entities and are also Independent Continuants.
- Risk Factors: Risk factors can exist independently, such as genetic predispositions or environmental factors, making them independent continuants.
- Liver Diseases: Liver diseases, as disease entities, are independent continuants with their own identities.




Fig. 7: Independent Continuant




Fig. 8: Classes under Healthcare_Providers using OWLVIZ

Specifically, Dependent Continuant 4 subclasses, which are shown in figure 9.

- Allergies: Allergies depend on specific individuals (patients) for their existence.
- Treatments: Treatment for diseases is a specifically dependent continuant. It depends on the existence of individual diseases, and different treatments are specific to particular diseases.
- Category: The category of disease can be considered specifically dependent as it relies on individual diseases to be classified into specific categories.
- Patient: This contains subclasses for Patients like Personal Details and Medical History. It is specifically dependent because each patient is a unique individual with their own medical history, attributes, and records.




Fig. 9: Specifically Dependent Continuant and Occurrent classes

Occurrent classes, like Continuant classes, are also further subdivided. They are subdivided into four classes, which are Process, Process Boundary, Spatiotemporal Region and Temporal Region. The Process subclass represents an ongoing or continuous series of actions or activities. In the present case, the Process subclass has one subclass, which is ‘Diagnostic Procedure’, which contains Radiological Scans like X-Ray, CT Scans, and MRI Scans and their Interpretations. Spatiotemporal region refers to an area or space in which events, processes, or occurrences take place over a specific period of time; therefore, it has a subclass called ‘MedicalObservation’ which would contain Medical Observation of the Patient over time.

3.7.2 Properties

Properties in a knowledge graph are used to describe relationships between entities (individuals), and it also provides some additional information about those entities. There are two main properties of a knowledge graph:

- 1.) Object Properties: It establishes relationships between entities in the graph, i.e., it links one entity to another entity or to a class, indicating a connection between them which is shown in table 4.
- 2.) Data Properties: It used to assign specific data values to entities which are shown in table 5.

Table 4: Object Properties

Properties	Explanation
has_Symptom	This Property denotes the symptoms that the Patient is experiencing.
is_SymptomOf	This Property denotes the correlation between the symptoms and their corresponding disease.
hasHealthInsurance	This Property denotes whether a Patient has Health Insurance.
hasPrecautions	This Property correlates the Precautions to the Liver_Diseases
is_Alcoholic	This denotes whether Patient is Alcoholic or not.

Table 5: Data Properties

Properties	Explanation
hasValueALB	This Denotes the Value of Albumin Enzymes in IU/L.
hasValueALP	This Denotes the Value of Alkaline Phosphatase Enzymes in IU/L.
hasValueALT	This Denotes the Value of Alanine Transaminase Enzymes in IU/L.
isCirrhosisPatient	This Denotes whether Patient has Cirrhosis or not.
isHealthy	This Denotes whether Patient is Healthy or not.
Sex	This Property denotes the gender of the Patient.

3.8 Conversion of Decision Tree Rules to SWRL Rules:

An SWRL language is used for introducing inference rules for extracting implicit knowledge from ontology. The knowledge models are expressed through Web Ontology Language (OWL). The structure of Knowledge Representation Language (SWRL) is recognised as a prominent framework for the expression of knowledge in the form of rules. The rules establish a logical relationship between a preceding condition (body) and a resulting condition (head). A total of 36 rules are developed from DT, and 21 are developed through medical guidelines, which are

certified by government authorities². Some converted DT rules to SWRL rules are shown in table 6.

Table 6: Some Decision Tree Rules with their corresponding SWRL Rules

S No.	DT Rules	SWRL Rules
1	If AST ≤ 53.05 and ALP ≤ 52.3 and BIL ≤ 11.0 and ALT ≤ 9.25 then Patient has Hepatitis C	Patient(?x) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?ast, "53.05"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alp, "52.3"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueBIL(?x, ?bil) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?bil, "11.0"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alt, "9.25"^^xsd:float) -> isHepatitisCpatient(?x, true)
2	If AST ≤ 53.05 and ALT ≤ 9.65 and BIL ≤ 11.0 and ALT > 9.25 and ALP ≤ 52.3 then the patient shows some potential signs of liver abnormalities or diseases (though they don't meet the criteria for a specific condition).	Patient(?x) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?ast, "53.05"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alt, "9.65"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueBIL(?x, ?bil) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?bil, "11.0"^^xsd:float) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?alt, "9.25"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alp, "52.3"^^xsd:float) -> isShowingSigns(?x, true)
3	If AST ≤ 53.05 and ALP ≤ 52.3 and BIL > 11.0 and AST ≤ 33.9 and AST ≤ 31.2 and ALT ≤ 9.65 then the patient has Cirrhosis	Patient(?x) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alp, "52.3"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueBIL(?x, ?bil) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?bil, "11.0"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?ast, "31.2"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?alt, "9.65"^^xsd:float) -> isCirrhosisPatient(?x, true)
4	If AST ≤ 53.05 and ALT ≤ 9.65 and ALP ≤ 52.3 and AST > 33.9 and BIL > 11.0 then the patient	Patient(?x) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?ast, "53.05"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^

	has Fibrosis	swrlb:lessThanOrEqual(?alt, "9.65"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqual(?alp, "52.3"^^xsd:float) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?ast, "33.9"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueBIL(?x, ?bil) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?bil, "11.0"^^xsd:float) -> isFibrosisPatient(?x, true)
5	If AST <= 53.05 and ALP > 52.3 and ALT <= 9.65 and ALP <= 98.6 then the patient is Healthy	Patient(?x) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqual(?ast, "53.05"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?alp, "52.3"^^xsd:float) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqual(?alp, "98.6"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqual(?alt, "9.65"^^xsd:float) -> isHealthy(?x, true)

3.9 SPARQL query results:

SPARQL is a query language that is used for querying and manipulating data stored in RDF (Resource Description Framework) format. It enables querying and searching for specific patterns, relationships, and information within RDF graphs, making it a fundamental tool for the semantic web. It provides a standardised way to retrieve and manipulate RDF data by using a syntax similar to SQL (Structured Query Language). SPARQL allows users to make complicated searches using subject-predicate-object triples, and it supports a variety of query forms, including SELECT for result sets, CONSTRUCT for generating new RDF graphs, ASK for boolean results, and DESCRIBE for extracting metadata details. The syntax of SPARQL is adaptable, and it can manage remote and diverse data sources, allowing for easy integration and reasoning over linked data.

3.9.1 Real Time Query using Apache Jena

Apache Jena is a Java framework that is open-source and designed for the development of applications related to the semantic web and linked data. This software framework offers a comprehensive set of tools and libraries designed to facilitate the manipulation and analysis of semantic data, namely in the domains of RDF, OWL, and SPARQL [30]. In this work, the first step is to process the RDF data in batches. Figure 10 shows that batch number 140 has been processed in 9 ms, and then the SPARQL query is applied to these batches, and then the output is shown that matches the filters.

```

http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/Category "0"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/Sex "0"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/CHE "8.16"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @rdf:type http://schema.org/MedicalRecord
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/CHOL "4.37"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/AST "29.8"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/BIL "6.3"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/GGT "4.5"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/CREA "60.0"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/ALB "39.9"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/SNo "319"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/ALT "22.0"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
http://example.org/base/8d955960-212a-422e-ae74-0a3fa32c55f9 @http://schema.org/ALP "35.2"^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
Batch 140 processed in 9 milliseconds
Processed a batch of size: 10

```

Fig. 10: Batch Processing using Apache jena

In figure 11, the result of the SPARQL query can be seen (in Table 7), and according to the rules extracted via the decision tree, it is also working as it shows Patient Category is 3, which denotes that the patient is suffering from fibrosis.

```

private static void queryStreamedData(Model model)
{
    String queryString = ""
    PREFIX ns1: <http://schema.org/>
    PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>

    PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
    SELECT ?SNo ?ALT ?AST ?GGT ?ALB ?ALP ?BIL ?Category
    WHERE {
        ?record rdf:type ns1:MedicalRecord ;
            ns1:SNo ?SNo ;
            ns1:ALT ?ALT ;
            ns1:AST ?AST ;
            ns1:GGT ?GGT ;
            ns1:ALB ?ALB ;
            ns1:ALP ?ALP ;
            ns1:Sex ?Sex ;
            ns1:BIL ?BIL ;
            ns1:Category ?Category.

        FILTER (?AST <= 53.05)
        FILTER (?ALT <= 9.65)
        FILTER (?ALP <= 52.3)
        FILTER (?AST > 33.9)
        FILTER (?BIL > 11.0)
    }"";
}

```

```

Processed Batch 02 of size: 5
Batch 02 Processing Time: 8 ms
Performing final batch processing...
SNo: 576^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
ALT: 7.1^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
AST: 41.3^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
GGT: 53.0^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALB: 38.0^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALP: 35.7^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
Category: 3^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
-----
SNo: 581^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
ALT: 5.0^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
AST: 42.1^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
GGT: 11.9^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALB: 43.0^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALP: 22.9^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
Category: 3^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
-----
SNo: 580^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
ALT: 8.3^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
AST: 35.8^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
GGT: 27.9^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALB: 43.0^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
ALP: 52.1^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#float
Category: 3^^http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer
-----

```

Fig. 11: SPARQL Query and its Results based on batch processing using Apache Jena

SWRL is a strong OWL extension that is applicable for the development of rules inside a knowledge graph. SWRL may be used to make logical inferences and deductions based on existing RDF data and ontology axioms. SWRL rules are expressed in the form of logical expressions, which are evaluated against RDF data to produce new information. The rules consist of two parts: an antecedent (also known as the "if" part) and a consequent (also known as the "then" part). The antecedent specifies the conditions that must be met for the rule to be applied, while the consequent specifies the actions to be taken if the rule is applied. Figure 14 shows the framework view of the SWRL rules and their results, with an explanation.




Fig. 14: SWRL rules working process in Protege

The Knowledge Graph also gets validated for inconsistencies when Pellet Reasoner is started.

In figure 14, the details of patient 1208 can be visualised in step 1. After running the Pellet Reasoner in step 2, the output of the SWRL Rule is obtained in yellow in step 3, from which the explanation can be seen in step 4. The satisfied SWRL rule gives the result that Patient 1208 is Healthy. The rules that are used for this are shown in table 9.

Table 9: Rule that Patient 1208 satisfies is healthy

```
Patient(?x) ^ hasValueAST(?x, ?ast) ^ swrlb:lessThanOrEqualTo(?ast, "53.05"^^xsd:float) ^
hasValueALB(?x, ?alb) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?alb, "25.55"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALT(?x, ?alt) ^
swrlb:greaterThan(?alt, "9.65"^^xsd:float) ^ hasValueALP(?x, ?alp) ^ swrlb:greaterThan(?alp,
"28.2"^^xsd:float) -> isHealthy(?x, true)
```

4. Results and Discussion:

In this work, the conversion of raw data into RDF has been performed after preprocessing, and then this RDF data is transformed into batch processed data through Apache Jena. Using decision trees, rules are developed according to the dataset, and these DT rules are applied for event detection in batch processing mode. Also, an ontology is developed using BFO and this RDF data. To make the ontology operational, convert DT rules into SWRL rules and add them to the ontology through the protege tool. Apart from this, some SWRL rules are taken from government medical guidelines. Based on event detection, queries can be performed through batch processing and ontology using SPARQL queries. Based on the results obtained through queries, an outcome about any patient has been generated, such as whether the patient has a healthy liver or any type of liver disease. The obtained result is accurate because these queries apply to both ontology and batch processing and produce the same result. It has been identified that the proposed model works well on batch streaming data as well as static data.

While comparing this work with other work, another work done by Banihashem et al. [8] only discusses fatty liver identification based on SWRL rules and ontology, but there is no query discussion or evaluation of other parameters of the model. In this model, many diseases related to the liver are covered and include SWRL rules; some rules are based on government medical guidelines, and some are based on DT rules. Also, SPARQL queries are utilised to make it more efficient based on static and real-time batch-processable data. For evaluation purposes, we used many parameters, which are shown in the below section.

4.1 Performance of Event Processing Systems

In this part, we talk about the performance of event processing time. When rules are injected into each batch, the times differ for each batch in passing each event in batches. Because some rules state the first condition, then go for the next condition, check the same rules, then time varies. Which is shown in Table 10.

Table 10: Performance of event processing time

Batch No.	Batch Size	No. of Rules that are parsed for event detection	Time in milliseconds
Batch 1	10	3	8
Batch 2	10	3	2

Batch 3	10	5	14
Batch 4	10	3	9
Batch 5	10	3	3

4.2 Rule Deployment Time

In this study, the time needed to deploy the rule is measured in the Apache Jena processing engine by keeping track of changes that are made to rules while they are running. These changes include adding, updating, and removing rules. The evaluation has been undertaken for two distinct scenarios: In the absence of processing, specifically in this scenario, the processing engine remains inactive or experiences a lack of events. In this case, the processing involves handling a range of events, specifically from 0 to 500 events per second. In order to determine the average deployment time (in seconds) required for rules, a total of 20 tests were conducted for each case. These tests involved incrementally increasing the number of updated rules with each iteration. The results of the experiments done for two rules of various events are presented in table 11 and the graph is shown in figure 15. It may be inferred that there is a positive correlation between the number of events and the time required for deployment, as evidenced by findings of this work.

Table 11: Rule Deployment times on different events.

Rules /Events	0 (Idle)	100	200	300	400	500
R1	3.64	4.72	5.66	6.54	7.71	8.46
R2	2.45	3.64	4.52	5.23	6.51	7.63



Fig. 15: Graph of Rule Deployment times on different events.

4.3 Calculation of Precision, Recall and F1 Score

In the context of decision trees, accuracy, F-score, precision, and recall are used as assessment metrics to analyse the performance of the model in classification tasks.

Accuracy: Accuracy refers to the fraction of properly identified occurrences (both true positives and true negatives) in the dataset. A higher accuracy suggests that the decision tree predicts more correctly.

$$Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) \quad (1)$$

Precision: It represents the ratio of true positive predictions to the total number of positive predictions (true positives and false positives). High precision means that the decision tree makes fewer false-positive errors.

$$Precision = TP / (TP + FP) \quad (2)$$

Recall: Recall evaluates the model's ability to properly identify positive cases out of all the real positive examples in the dataset. It is the proportion of genuine positive predictions to total positive

cases (true positives and false negatives). With a high recall, the decision tree captures the majority of the positive cases in the dataset.

$$Recall = TP / (TP + FN) \tag{3}$$

F-Measure: In decision trees, the F-Score is the harmonic mean of accuracy and recall. It gives a balanced assessment that takes both false positives and false negatives into account.

$$F - measure = 2 * (Precision * Recall) / (Precision + Recall) \tag{4}$$

For the decision tree model, either entropy or Gini can be chosen as the criterion, as there is not that big of a difference between them, but for this work we chose Gini as the criterion.

Table 12: Decision Tree Metrics

Metrics	Gini Criterion	Entropy Criterion
Average Accuracy	93.31 %	93.02 %
Average Precision	92.73 %	92.35 %
Average Recall	93.31 %	93.02 %
Average F-Measure	91.72 %	91.76 %




Fig. 16: Graph of Decision Tree metrics

4.4 SPARQL query performance time based on different RDF data

A collection of five distinct queries has been employed on the different RDF data, and the duration of query execution is influenced by both the magnitude of the data and the intricacy of the query. Each of the five questions exhibits varying levels of complexity, indicating that the

query differs based on the specific occurrence. Query 4 exhibits a high level of complexity, indicating that it requires a longer duration to be executed. The table presented in Table 13 displays the performance of the query in terms of time.

Table 13. Shows the duration of query execution in seconds across various RDF datasets.

Query	RDF Data 1	RDF Data 2	RDF Data 3	RDF Data 4
Q1	5	7	14	26
Q2	7	11	16	30
Q3	6	15	24	33
Q4*	12	30	21	63
Q5	7	15	21	43

4.5 Metrics based Evaluation

Designing of ontology must be undergone through assessment that is determined by the number of available metrics, as indicated in Table 14. Based on many parameters like Attribute Richness, Class Richness, Average Population and Relationship Richness evaluation is predicted how our ontology is best [31] shown in table 15.

Table 14. Metrics count of our design ontology

Metrics	Count
Axiom	8263
Logical axiom count	2983
Declaration axioms count	1252
Class count	125
Object property count	27
Data property count	28
Individual count	615
Annotation Property count	21
SubClassOf	12

DisjointClasses	96
SubObjectPropertyOf	221
ObjectPropertyDomain	156

Table 15. Different parameters based metrics evaluation

Ontology Metrics	Results
Attribute Richness	0.58
Class Richness	0.56
Average Population	2.67
Relationship Richness	0.7

5. Conclusion and Future Work

A knowledge-based liver disease ontology is developed using the upper-level ontology BFO in the presented work, which covers much information related to liver disease that is taken from government medical guidelines. Based on these guidelines, SWRL rules are developed, and some rules are taken from DT rules, which help in decision-making related to liver disease. This method uses SPARQL queries on this ontology, then batch processing, which provides more information about people. Apache Jena is also used to stream the data in a batch processing manner using the developed rules of event detection on this batch processing data and queries based on the event. By achieving many benefits, these developed models could successfully work in real-time scenarios. In future work, the proposed solution can cover more diseases related to the liver and develop more rules combining this to make it a more heavy-weight ontology. The efficiency of the model can be further enhanced by integrating big data technologies with artificial intelligence.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by “Extra Mural Research (EMR) Government of India Fund by Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR)”, Sanction letter no. – 60(0120)/19/EMR-II.

References :-

- [1] Asrani, S. K., Devarbhavi, H., Eaton, J., & Kamath, P. S. (2019). Burden of liver diseases in the world. *Journal of hepatology*, 70(1), 151-171.
- [2] Chandra, R., Agarwal, S., & Singh, N. (2022). Semantic sensor network ontology based decision support system for forest fire management. *Ecological Informatics*, 72, 101821.
- [3] Gruber, T. R. (1993). A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. *Knowledge acquisition*, 5(2), 199-220.
- [4] Arp, R., Smith, B., & Spear, A. D. (2015). *Building ontologies with basic formal ontology*. Mit Press.
- [5] Sherimon, P. C., & Krishnan, R. (2016). OntoDiabetic: an ontology-based clinical decision support system for diabetic patients. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 41, 1145-1160.
- [6] Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001). The semantic web. *Scientific American*, 284(5), 34-43.
- [7] Messaoudi, R., Jaziri, F., Mtibaa, A., Grand-Brochier, M., Ali, H. M., Amouri, A., ... & Vacavant, A. (2019). Ontology-based approach for liver cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Journal of digital imaging*, 32, 116-130.
- [8] Banihashem, S. Y., & Shishehchi, S. (2023). Ontology-Based decision tree model for prediction of fatty liver diseases. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 26(6), 639-649.
- [9] Al-Marzoqi G, Moawad IF, Abdel-Badeeh MS: Web Service Based Approach for Viral Hepatitis Ontology Sharing and Diagnosing. *AMLTA* 257-266, 2012
- [10] Chan, L. W., Wong, S. C., Chiau, C. C., Chan, T. M., Tao, L., Feng, J., & Chiu, K. W. (2017). Association patterns of ontological features signify electronic health records in liver cancer. *Journal of healthcare engineering*, 2017.
- [11] del Mar Roldán-García, M., Uskudarli, S., Marvasti, N. B., Acar, B., & Aldana-Montes, J. F. (2018). Towards an ontology-driven clinical experience sharing ecosystem: Demonstration with liver cases. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 101, 176-195.
- [12] Chandra, R., Tiwari, S., Agarwal, S., & Singh, N. (2023). Semantic web-based diagnosis and treatment of vector-borne diseases using SWRL rules. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 274, 110645.
- [13] Moawad, I. F., Marzoqi, G. A., & Salem, A. B. M. (2012). Building OBR-based OWL ontology for viral hepatitis. *Egyptian Computer Science Journal, ECS*, 36(1), 89-98.
- [14] Anwaar, Z., Khan, F. U., & Naz, M. (2022, December). Owl Ontology for Liver Diseases Using Protégé & WEB-V-OWL. In *2022 16th International Conference on Open Source Systems and Technologies (ICOSST)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- [15] Panigrahi, N., Ayus, I., & Jena, O. P. (2021). An expert system-based clinical decision support system for Hepatitis-B prediction & diagnosis. *Machine Learning for Healthcare Applications*, 57-75.

- [16] Messaoudi, R., Jaziri, F., Mtibaa, A., Gargouri, F., & Vacavant, A. (2021). Ontology-Driven Approach for Liver MRI Classification and HCC Detection. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 35(12), 2160007.
- [17] Yunzhi, C., Huijuan, L., Shapiro, L., Travillian, R. S., & Lanjuan, L. (2016). An approach to semantic query expansion system based on Hepatitis ontology. *Journal of Biological Research-Thessaloniki*, 23, 11-22.
- [18] Gibaud, B., Forestier, G., Benoit-Cattin, H., Cervenansky, F., Clarysse, P., Friboulet, D., ... & Glatard, T. (2014). OntoVIP: An ontology for the annotation of object models used for medical image simulation. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 52, 279-292.
- [19] Levy, M. A., O'Connor, M. J., & Rubin, D. L. (2009). Semantic reasoning with image annotations for tumor assessment. In *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings* (Vol. 2009, p. 359). American Medical Informatics Association.
- [20] Birjandi, M., Ayatollahi, S. M. T., Pourahmad, S., & Safarpour, A. R. (2016). Prediction and diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and identification of its associated factors using the classification tree method. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, 18(11).
- [21] Lin YC, Chou SC, Huang PT, Chiou HY. 2016. Risk factors and predictors of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Taiwan. *Ann Hepa*
- [22] Al-Marzoqi, G., Alfonse, M., Moawad, F. I., & Roushdy, M. (2015). A survey on applying ontological engineering: approach for hepatobiliary system diseases. In *The 7th International Conference on Information Technology* (pp. 370-375).
- [23] Yim, W. W., Kwan, S. W., & Yetisgen, M. (2016). Tumor reference resolution and characteristic extraction in radiology reports for liver cancer stage prediction. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 64, 179-191.
- [24] Kaur, P., & Khamparia, A. (2015). Diagnosis of liver cancer ontology using SPARQL. *international journal of applied engineering research*, 10(69), 15-18.
- [25] Gibaud, B., Forestier, G., Benoit-Cattin, H., Cervenansky, F., Clarysse, P., Friboulet, D., ... & Glatard, T. (2014). OntoVIP: An ontology for the annotation of object models used for medical image simulation. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 52, 279-292.
- [26] Levy, M. A., O'Connor, M. J., & Rubin, D. L. (2009). Semantic reasoning with image annotations for tumor assessment. In *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings* (Vol. 2009, p. 359). American Medical Informatics Association.
- [27] Hechler, E., Weihrauch, M., & Wu, Y. (2023). Data Fabric and Data Mesh Research Areas. In *Data Fabric and Data Mesh Approaches with AI: A Guide to AI-based Data Cataloging, Governance, Integration, Orchestration, and Consumption* (pp. 375-392). Berkeley, CA: Apress.

- [29] De Brouwer, M., Steenwinckel, B., Fang, Z., Stojchevska, M., Bonte, P., De Turck, F., ... & Ongenae, F. (2023). Context-aware query derivation for IoT data streams with DIVIDE enabling privacy by design. *Semantic Web*, (Preprint), 1-49.
- [30] Wang, X., Hu, X., Ren, Z., Tian, T., & Wan, J. (2023). Knowledge-Graph Based Multi-Domain Model Integration Method for Digital-Twin Workshops.
- [31] Chandra, R., Shukla, A., Tiwari, S., Agarwal, S., Svafrullah, M., & Adiyarta, K. (2022, October). Natural language Processing and Ontology based Decision Support System for Diabetic Patients. In 2022 9th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI) (pp. 13-18). IEEE.