Two New Integer Sequences Related to Crossroads and Catalan Numbers

Julien Rouyer and Alain Ninet CReSTIC and LMR Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne UFR Sciences Exactes et Naturelles Chemin des Rouliers 51100 Reims

France

julien.rouyer@univ-reims.fr

alain.ninet@univ-reims.fr

Second version Submitted to the Journal of Integer Sequences on February 12, 2024.

Abstract

The marriageable singles sequence represents the number of noncrossing partitions of the finite set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ in which some pair of singleton blocks can be joined while remaining noncrossing. The *lonely singles* sequence represents the number of all the other noncrossing partitions of the finite set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and is the difference between the Catalan numbers sequence and the marriageable singles sequence. The 14 first terms of these sequences are given, as well as some of their properties. These sequences appear when one wants to count the number of ways to cross simultaneously certain road intersections.

1 Introduction

The number of noncrossing partitions of the finite set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (with *n* any positive integer) is very well known to be the Catalan number C_n . See, for example, Stanley [2, entry 159, p. 43] and Roman [4, pp. 51–60] for a quick introduction to Catalan numbers and noncrossing partitions. Noncrossing partitions have been hugely studied, since at least Becker [7], where they are called *planar rhyme schemes* but their systematic study began with Kreweras [5] and Poupard [6]. Simion [8] presents a summary of related results available in 2000 and some further work can be found in McCammond [9], Callan [10] and Kim [11].

The study of combinatorial properties of crossroads led us to determine the number of noncrossing partitions such that no pair of singleton blocks $\{i\}$ and $\{j\}$ (with $i \neq j$) can

be merged into the pair $\{i, j\}$ while the partition remains noncrossing. These noncrossing partitions appear when one wants to determine the number of possible manners to cross simultaneously a road intersection in which entries and exits are alternated, with the constraint that U-turns are prohibited. For a quick introduction to road intersection crossing management for intelligent vehicles, see Rouyer et al. [1] and Bai et al. [3].

In what follows and the next section, we give definitions and quick examples. We then proove some properties of both marriageable singles and lonely singles sequences. At the end, we give the first values of those sequences and formulate several conjectures concerning them.

Definition 1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, [n] denotes the *n*-set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In particular, $[0] = \emptyset$.

Definitions 2. Let *n* be a non-negative integer and let $\pi = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ be a partition of [n] (i.e., $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i = [n]$ and $\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq k, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ and $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, A_i \neq \emptyset$), this partition π is said to be a

• crossing partition if

$$\exists 1 \leq i \leq k, \exists 1 \leq j \leq k, i \neq j, \exists a < b \in A_i, \exists c < d \in A_j, a < c < b < d,$$

• noncrossing partition if

$$\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq k, \forall a < b \in A_i, \forall c < d \in A_j, \qquad a < b < c < d, \\ \text{or } c < d < a < b, \\ \text{or } a < c < d < b, \\ \text{or } c < a < b < d. \end{cases}$$

Definitions 3. A noncrossing partition π of [n] is called *marriageable singles partition* if there exists a pair of singleton blocks $\{i\}$ and $\{j\}$ in π that can be joined while remaining noncrossing.

More precisely, let $\pi = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ be a noncrossing partition of [n] with at least two singleton blocks $A_1 = \{i\}$ and $A_2 = \{j\}$. Then π is a marriageable singles partition iff $\pi' = \{\{i, j\}, A_3, \ldots, A_k\}$ is a noncrossing partition of [n].

Conversely, a noncrossing partition of [n] is called *lonely singles partition* if it is not a marriageable singles partition.

Let M_n and L_n be the number of marriageable singles and lonely singles partitions of [n] respectively. The marriageable singles and lonely singles sequences are $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(L_n)_{n\geq 0}$ respectively.

Remark 4. A partition that contains at most one singleton block is clearly a lonely singles partition. In an equivalent way, a marriageable singles partition contains at least two singleton blocks.

Examples 5. The set [4] has 5 marriageable singles partitions which are

$\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{4\}\},\$	$\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3,4\}\},\$	$\{\{1\},\{2,3\},\{4\}\},\$
$\{\{1,4\},\{2\},\{3\}\},\$	$\{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\}\}.$	

For example, $\pi = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\} \}$ is a marriageable singles partition because the singleton blocks $\{3\}$ and $\{4\}$ can be joined to give the noncrossing partition $\pi' = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\} \}$.

The set [4] has 9 lonely singles partitions which are

$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}\},\$	$\{\{1,2,3\},\{4\}\},\$	$\{\{1, 2, 4\}, \{3\}\},\$
$\{\{1,3,4\},\{2\}\},\$	$\{\{1\},\{2,3,4\}\},\$	$\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\},\$
$\{\{1,4\},\{2,3\}\},\$	$\{\{1,3\},\{2\},\{4\}\},\$	$\{\{1\},\{2,4\},\{3\}\}.$

The first seven have no pair of singleton blocks and are clearly not marriageable singles partitions. The last two have only one pair of singleton blocks, but after merging it, they both give $\{\{1,3\},\{2,4\}\}$ which is a crossing partition.

Lemma 6. Let C_n (for $n \ge 0$) denote the number of noncrossing partitions of [n]. Then, we have $C_n = L_n + M_n$.

Proof. As the set of noncrossing partitions is the disjoint union of the sets of lonely singles and marriageable singles partitions, the result follows immediately. \Box

Remark 7. It is well known that $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}$ is the *n*th Catalan number. The sequence (C_n) is referenced as <u>A000108</u> in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [12].

Remark 8. The unique partition of $[0] = \emptyset$ is the empty partition \emptyset . This partition is noncrossing (the first Catalan number is $C_0 = 1$) and it is a lonely singles partition.

2 Standard road intersection

Noncrossing complete matchings of 2n points lying on a line and noncrossing complete set of chords are two of the many standard combinatorial objects counted by the Catalan numbers. Noncrossing complete matchings and noncrossing complete set of chords are in natural bijection with the noncrossing partitions, as explained by Stanley [2, entries 59 and 61, p. 28].

We introduce here some notions on road intersections corresponding to noncrossing sets of chords.

Definition 9. A road intersection with n entries and n exits alternated is called a *standard* road intersection of size n.

Let E_1, \ldots, E_n denote the entries and X_1, \ldots, X_n denote the exits of a standard road intersection of size n. These entries and exits are numbered clockwise (**Figure** 1 gives such a representation of a standard road intersection of size n = 4).



Figure 1: A Standard Road Intersection of size n = 4.

We represent graphically a way to cross simultaneously a standard road intersection by a bipartite graph (see **Figures** 2 and 3 for two examples with n = 4). In these graphs, black vertices represent entries and white vertices represent exits. Each edge represents the crossing of the intersection by a vehicle going from an entry to an exit.

Each entry can be connected to each exit, unless restrictions are indicated.

Definition 10. For a given standard road intersection, any edge starting from one entry E_i and ending to one exit X_j is a *lane*. $E_i X_j$ denotes such a lane.

Definition 11. For a given standard road intersection, any lane of the type $E_i X_i$, i.e., any edge starting from one entry E_i and ending to exit X_i is a *U*-turn.

Definition 12. A standard road intersection of size n where U-turns are forbidden is a *restricted standard road intersection*.

Definition 13. For a given standard road intersection, a *Maximal Set of Lanes*, abbreviated *MSL*, is a set of noncrossing lanes (i.e., each pair of lanes have no common point) such that any additional lane would cross at least one of them (i.e., would have a common point with at least one of them).

Remark 14. An MSL corresponds to a set of n nonintersecting chords (or a noncrossing complete matching on 2n vertices), see Stanley [2, entries 59 and 61, p. 28]. Three examples of MSL are given by **Figures** 2, 3 and 6.

Definition 15. For a given standard road intersection, an MSL is said to be *absolute* when it does not contain two U-turns E_iX_i and E_jX_j (with $i \neq j$) that can be changed into two lanes E_iX_j and E_jX_i to give another MSL.

Lemma 16. For a given standard road intersection of size n, the set of MSL is in one-toone correspondence with the set of noncrossing partitions of [n]. The number of MSL of a standard road intersection of size n is equal to the Catalan number C_n .



Figure 2: A bipartite graph associated with the intersection represented in Figure 1, with an example of absolute MSL corresponding to the noncrossing partition $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}\}.$



Figure 4: Simplified graph of Figure 2, showing explicitly the noncrossing lonely singles partition $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}\}$.



Figure 6: Modification of Figure 3 to obtain an absolute MSL corresponding to the noncrossing partition $\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}$.



Figure 3: A bipartite graph associated with the intersection represented in Figure 1, with an example of a nonabsolute MSL corresponding to the noncrossing partition $\{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\}\}.$



Figure 5: Simplified graph of Figure 3, showing explicitly the noncrossing marriageable singles partition $\{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\}\}\}.$



Figure 7: Simplified graph of Figure 6, showing explicitly the noncrossing lonely singles partition $\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}$.

Proof. As a MSL can be seen as a set of n nonintersecting chords joining 2n points, this result is very well known. See Stanley [2, entry 59, p. 28].

The following three corollaries are just reinterpretations of the lonely singles and marriageable singles definitions in the language of road intersections.

Corollary 17. M_n is the number of nonabsolute MSL of a standard road intersection of size n.

Corollary 18. L_n is the number of absolute MSL of a standard road intersection of size n.

Corollary 19. L_n is the number of MSL for a restricted standard road intersection of size n.

3 Properties

Proposition 20. Both sequences (L_n) and (M_n) are increasing: $L_n < L_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 2$ and $M_n < M_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 3$.

Proof. We build a simple injective map f_n from the set LS_n of the lonely singles partitions of [n] to the set LS_{n+1} of the lonely singles partitions of [n + 1] by merging the singleton $\{n + 1\}$ to the unique element A_1 of a partition π that contains the number 1 (one could equally prefer to use the number n instead of the number 1: the idea is to stick the number n + 1 to one of its two direct neighbours 1 or n):

$$LS_n \to LS_{n+1}$$

$$f_n \colon \pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \mapsto \{A_1 \cup \{n+1\}, \dots, A_k\}$$

where $1 \in A_1$ and $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_k = [n]$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. For example,

$$f_3 \left(\{ \{1,2\}, \{3\} \} \right) = \{ \{1,2,4\}, \{3\} \}$$
$$f_4 \left(\{ \{1\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\} \} \right) = \{ \{1,5\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\} \}$$

The map f_n is clearly injective and any lonely singles partition π gives a lonely singles partition $f_n(\pi)$. As L_n is the cardinality of LS_n and L_{n+1} is the cardinality of LS_{n+1} , we obtain $L_n \leq L_{n+1}$.

In a similar way, we build a simple injective map g_n from the set MS_n of the marriageable singles partitions of [n] to the set MS_{n+1} of the marriageable singles partitions of [n+1] by adding the singleton $\{n+1\}$ to any partition π :

$$MS_n \to MS_{n+1}$$
$$g_n \colon \pi \mapsto \pi \cup \{ \{n+1\} \}$$

For example

$$g_4(\{\{1\},\{2,3\},\{4\}\}) = \{\{1\},\{2,3\},\{4\},\{5\}\}$$

The map g_n is clearly injective and any pair of marriageable singletons $\{i\}$ and $\{j\}$ of $\pi \in MS_n$ remains marriageable as elements of $g_n(\pi) \in MS_{n+1}$. As M_n is the cardinality of MS_n and M_{n+1} is the cardinality of MS_{n+1} , we obtain $M_n \leq M_{n+1}$.

More precisely, we have $L_n < L_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 2$ and $M_n < M_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 3$: it is easy to build a lonely singles and a marriageable singles partitions of [n+1] that are not in the images of the maps f_n and g_n , e.g. respectively $\{ [n], \{n+1\} \}$ and $\{ \{1\}, \ldots, \{n-1\}, \{n, n+1\} \}$.

Corollary 21.

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} L_n = +\infty$$

Proof. It is an an immediate consequence of **Proposition 20**.

Proposition 22. For all $n \ge 0$, we have $C_n + 3M_n \le M_{n+2}$.

Proof. We build four simple injective maps h_n, i_n, j_n and k_n with disjoint images included in the set MS_{n+2} of the marriageable singles partitions of [n+2].

• The map h_n is defined on the set NC_n of all noncrossing partitions of [n] by adding both the singletons $\{n+1\}$ and $\{n+2\}$ to any noncrossing partition π :

$$NC_n \to MS_{n+2}$$

$$h_n \colon \pi \mapsto \pi \cup \{ \{n+1\}, \{n+2\} \}$$

The maps i_n, j_n and k_n are defined on the set MS_n of the marriageable singles partitions of [n].

• The map i_n adds the pair $\{n+1, n+2\}$ to a marriageable singles partition π :

$$MS_n \to MS_{n+2}$$

$$i_n \colon \pi \mapsto \pi \cup \{\{n+1, n+2\}\}$$

• The map j_n merges the singleton $\{n+2\}$ with the unique element A_1 of a marriageable singles partition π that contains the number 1 and adds the singleton $\{n+1\}$ to π :

$$MS_n \to MS_{n+2}$$

$$j_n \colon \pi = \{ A_1, \dots, A_k \} \mapsto \{ A_1 \cup \{n+2\}, A_2, \dots, A_k, \{n+1\} \}$$

where $1 \in A_1, A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_k = [n]$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$.

• the map k_n merges the singleton $\{n+1\}$ with the unique element A_1 of a marriageable singles partition π that contains the number n and adds the singleton $\{n+2\}$ to π :

$$MS_n \to MS_{n+2}$$

$$k_n : \pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \mapsto \{A_1 \cup \{n+1\}, A_2, \dots, A_k, \{n+2\}\}$$

where $n \in A_1, A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_k = [n]$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$.

For example,

$$h_4 \left(\{ \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\} \} \right) = \{ \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\} \}$$

$$i_4 \left(\{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\} \} \right) = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5, 6\} \}$$

$$j_4 \left(\{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\} \} \right) = \{ \{1, 2, 6\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\} \}$$

$$k_4 \left(\{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\} \} \right) = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6\} \}$$

We have the immediate following properties:

- $h_n(\pi)$ is a marriageable singles partition of [n+2] for all noncrossing partition π of [n],
- $i_n(\pi), j_n(\pi)$ and $k_n(\pi)$ are marriageable singles partitions of [n+2] for all marriageable singles partition π of [n] (the marriageable pairs can change depending on the map selected),
- h_n, i_n, j_n and k_n are injective maps; thus,
 - NC_n and $h_n(NC_n)$ have the same cardinality C_n ,
 - MS_n and $i_n(MS_n)$ and $j_n(MS_n)$ and $k_n(MS_n)$ have the same cardinality M_n ,
- the sets $h_n(NC_n)$, $i_n(MS_n)$, $j_n(MS_n)$ and $k_n(MS_n)$ are disjoint; thus,

$$h_n(NC_n) \sqcup i_n(MS_n) \sqcup j_n(MS_n) \sqcup k_n(MS_n) \subset MS_{n+2},$$

• as M_{n+2} is the cardinality of MS_{n+2} , we obtain $C_n + 3M_n \le M_{n+2}$.

Definition 23. For all nonnegative integers n, m and k, let $NC_{n,m,k}$ be the number of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with k singleton blocks.

Proposition 24. For all nonnegative integers n and m with $(n,m) \neq (0,0)$, the number of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with no singleton block is

$$NC_{n,m,0} = \frac{1}{n-m+1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m-1}{m-1}.$$

When $(n,m) \neq (1,1)$, the number of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with exactly one singleton block is

$$NC_{n,m,1} = \binom{n}{m-1}\binom{n-m-1}{m-2}.$$

Proof. Poupard [6] proved that, when $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$, the number of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with no singleton block is $NC_{n,m,0} = \frac{1}{n-m+1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m-1}{m-1}$, and $n \ge 2m$. When n = 0 and $m \ge 1$ or $n \ge 1$ and m = 0 or $m \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, this equality stands and gives a number of such partitions equal to 0.

When $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$, the set of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with exactly one singleton block is clearly in one-to-one correspondence with the set of couples (π, i) , where π is any noncrossing partition of [n - 1] in m - 1 classes with no singleton block and $1 \le i \le n$. Then, when $(n, m) \ne (1, 1)$, we obtain that the number of noncrossing partitions of [n] in m classes with exactly one singleton block is $NC_{n,m,1} = n \times NC_{n-1,m-1,0} = \frac{n}{n-m+1} \binom{n-1}{m-1} \binom{n-m-1}{m-2} = \binom{n}{m-1} \binom{n-m-1}{m-2}$.

Remark 25. Here are a few details on specific cases:

- The empty partition is the unique noncrossing partition of the empty set [0] in 0 class with no singleton block. Thus, $NC_{0,0,0} = 1$.
- The set [1] has a unique noncrossing partition. This partition has m = 1 class and it is a singleton block. Thus, $NC_{1,1,1} = 1$ and $NC_{1,m,k} = 0$ when $(m,k) \neq (1,1)$ and, in particular, $NC_{1,1,0} = 0$.
- For all positive integer n, [n] has no noncrossing partition in m = 0 class. Thus, for all nonnegative integer k, $NC_{n,0,k} = 0$ and in particular $NC_{n,0,0} = 0$.

Proposition 26. For all $n \ge 2$,

$$L_n \ge \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{n-m+1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m-1}{m-1} + \sum_{m=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{m-1} \binom{n-m-1}{m-2}.$$

Proof. As any noncrossing partition with at most one singleton block is a lonely singles partition, we have $L_n \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} NC_{n,m,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} NC_{n,m,1}$ and the result follows, using **Proposition 24**.

Proposition 27. For all $n \geq 3$,

$$M_{n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+i-j-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{n+i-j-m} \binom{n+i-j-1}{m} \binom{n+i-j-m-2}{m-1} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-i-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{j-i-m} \binom{j-i-1}{m} \binom{j-i-m-2}{m-1} \right)$$

Proof. Let π be a marriageable singles partition of [n] with exactly two singleton blocks $\{i\}$ and $\{j\}$ (with i < j). The set of such partitions π is clearly in one-to-one correspondence with the set of triplets $(\{i, j\}, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ where $1 \le i < j \le n$ and π_1 and π_2 are noncrossing

partitions of the sets [n + i - j - 1] and [j - i - 1] respectively, with no singleton blocks. Thus,

$$M_n \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+i-j-1}{2} \rfloor} NC_{n+i-j-1,m,0} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-i-1}{2} \rfloor} NC_{j-i-1,m,0} \right).$$

Remark 28. The previous inequality can be improved, considering three or more singleton blocks that can all be joined.

4 Known values and conjectures

Very few values of the sequences L_n and M_n are known for the moment. They are given in **Table 1**. L_n and M_n are respectively sequences <u>A363448</u> and <u>A363449</u> in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [12].

n	L_n	L_n/L_{n-1}	M_n	M_n/M_{n-1}	C_n	M_n/L_n	M_n/C_n	Computing
								time for M_n
0	1		0		1	0	0	0s
1	1	1	0		1	0	0	0s
2	1	1	1		2	1	0.5	0s
3	4	4	1	1	5	0.25	0.2	0s
4	9	2.25	5	5	14	0.56	0.36	$263 \mu s$
5	26	2.89	16	3.2	42	0.62	0.38	$657 \mu \mathrm{s}$
6	77	2.96	55	3.44	132	0.71	0.42	1.8ms
7	232	3.01	197	3.58	429	0.85	0.46	7.5ms
8	725	3.13	705	3.58	1430	0.97	0.49	24ms
9	2299	3.17	2563	3.64	4862	1.11	0.53	90ms
10	7401	3.22	9395	3.67	16796	1.27	0.56	$507 \mathrm{ms}$
11	22118	2.99	36668	3.90	58786	1.66	0.62	$3.7\mathrm{s}$
12	72766	3.29	135246	3.69	208012	1.86	0.65	39.4s
13	235124	3.23	507776	3.75	742900	2.16	0.68	15m, 36s
14	763783	3.25	1910657	3.76	2674440	2.50	0.71	6h, 2m, 40s

Table 1: Values of L_n , M_n , C_n , L_n/L_{n-1} , M_n/M_{n-1} , M_n/L_n and M_n/C_n (given with 2 digits), with computing time of M_n , for all $n \leq 14$.

The values of M_n have been obtained with the algorithms available in the attached files, provided in pdf and ipynb formats. The complexity of these algorithms is exponential: they need to be improved and to be run with a more powerful computer to obtain values of L_n and M_n for $n \ge 15$. Calculations were performed under Python 3.7.3 using Jupyter Notebook 5.7.6 with a 3.19 GHz i7-8700 processor and 32 GB RAM.

Conjectures 29. We conjecture the five following propositions:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 9 \Rightarrow M_n > L_n,\tag{1}$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{M_n}{L_n} = +\infty,\tag{2}$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{M_n}{C_n} = 1,\tag{3}$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{L_n}{C_n} = 0,\tag{4}$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{L_{n+1}}{L_n} = 4.$$
(5)

Remark 30. Obviously, conjecture (2) implies conjecture (1). Table 1 shows that $\frac{M_n}{L_n}$ grows slowly and conjecture 2 may stand. More clearly, Table 1 shows that $\frac{M_n}{C_n}$ seems to grow quite quickly and let think that conjecture 3 stands. As $C_n = M_n + L_n$, conjectures (2), (3) and (4) are clearly equivalent. It is well known and easy to prove that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n} = 4$. Table 1 shows that the similar limits given in conjecture (5) look very realistic as well.

5 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Frédéric Blanchard and Rupert Wei Tze Yu for their constant help. Our deepest gratitude goes to the anonymous referee who gave us directions to improve this paper. Thank you Jeffrey Shallit for your great patience during the reviewing process of this paper.

References

- J. Rouyer, A. Ninet, H. Fouchal and A. Keziou, A road intersection control in urban intelligent transportation systems, in *ICC 2022: Proc. IEEE International Conference* on Communications, Seoul, South Korea, May 2022, pp. 3562–3567.
- [2] S. Stanley, *Catalan Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [3] S. Bai and X. Bai, A general framework for intersection traffic control with backpressure routing, *IEEE Access* 9 (2021), 102125–102136.
- [4] S. Roman, An Introduction to Catalan Numbers, Birkhauser, 2015.
- [5] G. Kreweras, Sur les partitions non croisées d'un cycle, *Discrete Math.* 1 (1972), 333–350.

- [6] Y. Poupard, Étude et dénombrement parallèles des partitions non-croisées d'un cycle et des découpages d'un polygone convexe, *Discrete Math.* 2 (1972), 279–288.
- [7] H. W. Becker, Planar rhyme schemes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1952), 39.
- [8] R. Simion, Noncrossing partitions, Discrete Math. 217 (2000), 367–409.
- [9] J. McCammond, Noncrossing partitions in surprising locations, 2006. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601687.
- [10] D. Callan, Sets, lists and noncrossing partitions, J. Integer Seq. 11 (2008), Article 08.1.3.
- [11] J. S. Kim, New Interpretations for Noncrossing Partitions of Classical Types, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 118 (2011), 1168–1189.
- [12] N. J. A. Sloane et al., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2024. Available at https://oeis.org.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A18. Keywords: noncrossing partition.

(Concerned with sequences $\underline{A363448}$ and $\underline{A363449}$.)