

Tensor categories

Alexei Davydov

November 13, 2023

Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA
davydov@ohio.edu

Abstract

We present here definitions and constructions basic for the theory of monoidal and tensor categories. We provide references to the original sources, whenever possible. Group-theoretical categories are used as examples.

Keywords/Objectives: monoidal categories and functors, braided and symmetric monoidal categories, rigid and spherical monoidal categories, dimension, algebras and modules in monoidal categories, linear and abelian monoidal categories, tensor and fusion categories.

Contents

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Monoidal categories | 2 |
| 3 | Braided and symmetric monoidal categories | 4 |
| 4 | Rigid monoidal categories | 4 |
| 5 | Module categories | 5 |
| 6 | Internal algebra | 6 |
| 7 | Linear and abelian monoidal categories | 7 |
| 8 | Finite tensor and fusion categories | 7 |
| 9 | Example: pointed categories | 8 |
| 10 | Conclusions | 9 |

1 Introduction

Monoidal categories were defined in [Bénabou (1963)] and further studied in [1] and [Kelly (1964)]. The notion turned out to be a fundamental one. From philosophical point of view it is the result of applying the so-called *categorification* (in the sense of e.g. [Crane and Frenkel (1994), Baez and Dolan (1998)]) to the notion of a monoid, i.e. the process of replacing sets with categories, functions with functors, and equations between functions by natural isomorphisms between functors, which in turn should satisfy certain equations of their own, called “coherence laws”. The pseudo-monoid nature of monoidal categories explains their appearance in low dimensional topology, through (extended) topological field theories [Turaev (1994)]. Other (somewhat related) applications of monoidal categories include mathematical logic and theoretical computer science (see [Baez and Stay (2010)]).

A special kind of monoidal categories is formed by linear (hom-sets are vector spaces, composition and tensor product of morphisms are bilinear maps) and abelian categories. The natural source of such categories is the representation theory of groups and other related structures (Lie algebras, Hopf algebras, etc.). An abelian monoidal (or *tensor*) category can thus be seen as the category of all possible linear realisations of a certain symmetry. This point of view is beneficial in such areas as the theory of motives or Langlands correspondence and was driving the development of (symmetric) tensor categories [Deligne and Milne (1982), Deligne (2002)].

The concept of *fusion* (finite semi-simple tensor) categories originated in high energy physics [Moore and Seiberg (1990)]. Braided fusion categories appear as representation categories of chiral algebras in 2d conformal field theory or as values on the circle of corresponding 3d topological field theories. More general fusion categories are formed by boundary data of such theories. Currently the largest consumer of fusion categories is condensed matter physics (topological states of matter) [Kitaev (2006)].

For definitions and basic facts of category theory, such as adjoint functors, limits, ends see [Mac Lane (1971)]. We follow Mac Lane’s notation denoting the set of morphisms between objects X and Y of a category \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$. For a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ we denote by $\mathcal{I}m_f(F)$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} with objects of the form $F(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *full image* of F) and by $\mathcal{I}m(F)$ is the subcategory of \mathcal{D} with objects of the form $F(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms of the form $F(f)$ for f a morphism in \mathcal{C} (the *proper image* of F). Thus F factors as $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}m(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}m_f(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where the first functor is full, the second is faithful, and the last is fully faithful (an *embedding*).

2 Monoidal categories

A category \mathcal{C} is *semi-groupal* if it comes equipped with a functor (the *tensor product*)

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad (X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes Y$$

together with a collection of isomorphisms (the *associativity constraint*) $a_{X,Y,Z} : X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \rightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ natural in $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (X \otimes Y) \otimes (Z \otimes W) & \\
 a_{X,Y,Z \otimes W} \nearrow & & \searrow a_{X \otimes Y,Z,W} \\
 X \otimes (Y \otimes (Z \otimes W)) & & ((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \otimes W \\
 1 \otimes a_{Y,Z,W} \searrow & & \nearrow a_{X,Y,Z \otimes 1} \\
 X \otimes ((Y \otimes Z) \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{a_{X,Y \otimes Z,W}} & (X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)) \otimes W
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

commutes for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{C}$.

A semi-groupal category is *strict* if $a_{X,Y,Z} = 1$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

Example 2.1. For a category \mathcal{M} denote by $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{M})$ the category of endofunctors $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. This is a strict semi-groupal category with composition of functors as the tensor product.

A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between semi-groupal categories is *semi-groupal* if it comes equipped with a collection of isomorphisms (the *semi-groupal constraint*) $F_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \rightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$ natural in $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(X) \otimes (F(Y) \otimes F(Z)) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes F_{Y,Z}} & F(X) \otimes F(Y \otimes Z) & \xrightarrow{F_{X,Y \otimes Z}} & F(X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)) \\
\downarrow a_{F(X), F(Y), F(Z)} & & & & \downarrow F(a_{X,Y,Z}) \\
(F(X) \otimes F(Y)) \otimes F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_{X,Y} \otimes 1} & F(X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_{X \otimes Y, Z}} & F((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z)
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

commutes for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

A natural transformation $c : F \rightarrow G$ between semi-groupal functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *semi-groupal* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(X) \otimes F(Y) & \xrightarrow{F_{X,Y}} & F(X \otimes Y) \\
c_X \otimes c_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow c_{X \otimes Y} \\
G(X) \otimes G(Y) & \xrightarrow{G_{X,Y}} & G(X \otimes Y)
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

commutes for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Clearly composites of semi-groupal functors and natural transformations are semi-groupal.

A semi-groupal category \mathcal{C} is *monoidal* if it has an object $I \in \mathcal{C}$ (the *monoidal unit*) together with collections of isomorphisms $l_X : I \otimes X \rightarrow X$, $r_X : X \otimes I \rightarrow X$ natural in $X \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \otimes (I \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{a_{X,I,Y}} & (X \otimes I) \otimes Y \\
\searrow 1 \otimes l_Y & & \swarrow r_X \otimes 1 \\
& X \otimes Y &
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

commutes for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 2.2. The monoidal unit object is unique up to an isomorphism, i.e. being monoidal is a property of a semi-groupal category.

In a strict monoidal category one also has $l = r = 1$.

A semi-groupal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *monoidal* if it comes equipped with an isomorphism $\iota : I \rightarrow F(I)$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(I) \otimes F(X) & \xrightarrow{F_{I,X}} & F(I \otimes X) \\
\uparrow \iota \otimes 1 & & \downarrow F(l_X) \\
I \otimes F(X) & \xrightarrow{l_{F(X)}} & F(X)
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(X) \otimes F(I) & \xrightarrow{F_{X,I}} & F(X \otimes I) \\
\uparrow 1 \otimes \iota & & \downarrow F(r_X) \\
F(X) \otimes I & \xrightarrow{r_{F(X)}} & F(X)
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

commute for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

The *scalars* of a monoidal \mathcal{C} form the submonoid $\mathcal{C}_s(I, I) = \{c \in \mathcal{C}(I, I) \mid l_X(c \otimes 1_X) = r_X(1_X \otimes c) \forall X \in \mathcal{C}\}$ of the monoid of endomorphisms $\mathcal{C}(I, I)$. By the Eckman-Hilton argument the monoid $\mathcal{C}(I, I)$ is commutative.

For a monoidal \mathcal{C} the *opposite category* \mathcal{C}^{op} (the category with morphism sets $\mathcal{C}^{op}(X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$) is monoidal with $a_{X,Y,Z}^{op} = a_{X,Y,Z}^{-1}$, $l_X^{op} = l_X^{-1}$, $r_X^{op} = r_X^{-1}$. The *reverse* \mathcal{C}^{rev} of a monoidal \mathcal{C} is the category \mathcal{C} with a new tensor product $X \otimes^{rev} Y = Y \otimes X$ and $a_{X,Y,Z}^{rev} = a_{Z,Y,X}^{-1}$, $l_X^{rev} = r_X$, $r_X^{rev} = l_X$. We also write: $\mathcal{C}^{oprev} = (\mathcal{C}^{rev})^{op}$.

3 Braided and symmetric monoidal categories

A monoidal category \mathcal{C} is *braided* if it comes equipped with a collection of isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ (*braidings*) natural in $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z & \xrightarrow{c_{X \otimes Y, Z}} & Z \otimes (X \otimes Y) \\
 \nearrow a_{X, Y, Z} & & \searrow a_{Z, X, Y} \\
 X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) & & (Z \otimes X) \otimes Y \\
 \searrow 1_{c_{Y, Z}} & & \nearrow c_{X, Z, 1} \\
 X \otimes (Z \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{a_{X, Z, Y}^{-1}} & (X \otimes Z) \otimes Y
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) & \xrightarrow{c_{X, Y \otimes Z}} & (Y \otimes Z) \otimes X \\
 \nearrow a_{X, Y, Z}^{-1} & & \searrow a_{Y, Z, X}^{-1} \\
 (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z & & Y \otimes (Z \otimes X) \\
 \searrow c_{X, Y, 1} & & \nearrow 1_{c_{X, Z}} \\
 (Y \otimes X) \otimes Z & \xrightarrow{a_{Y, X, Z}^{-1}} & Y \otimes (X \otimes Z)
 \end{array}
 \tag{6}$$

commute for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$. It is also assumed that $c_{I, Y} = l_Y^{-1} r_Y$ and $c_{X, I} = r_X^{-1} l_X$.

A monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between braided monoidal categories is *braided* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) \otimes F(Y) & \xrightarrow{F_{X, Y}} & F(X \otimes Y) \\
 \downarrow c_{F(X), F(Y)} & & \downarrow F(c_{X, Y}) \\
 G(X) \otimes G(Y) & \xrightarrow{G_{X, Y}} & G(X \otimes Y)
 \end{array}
 \tag{7}$$

commutes for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ [Joyal and Street (1993)].

The *conjugate* $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ of a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} is the monoidal category \mathcal{C} with the braiding $\bar{c}_{X, Y} = c_{Y, X}^{-1}$.

Example 3.1. For a monoidal category \mathcal{C} define $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ as the category of pairs (Z, z) , where $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ together with a collection of isomorphisms $z_X : X \otimes Z \rightarrow Z \otimes X$ natural in $X \in \mathcal{C}$ (*half-braiding*) and such that the diagram obtained by replacing c with z in the first diagram of (6) commutes. The category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal with the tensor product $(Z, z) \otimes (W, w) = (Z \otimes W, z|w)$, where $(z|w)_X : X \otimes (Z \otimes W) \rightarrow (Z \otimes W) \otimes X$ is defined by a diagram similar to the second diagram of (6). The monoidal category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is braided (with the braiding $c_{(Z, z), (W, w)} = z_W$) and is known as the *monoidal* (or *Drinfeld*) *centre* of \mathcal{C} [Joyal and Street (1991b), Majid (1991)].

A braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} is *symmetric* if $c_{Y, X} c_{X, Y} = 1$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. The full subcategory $\mathcal{Z}_{sym}(\mathcal{C}) = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid c_{Y, X} c_{X, Y} = 1 \ \forall Y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is symmetric (the *symmetric* or *Mueger's* centre of \mathcal{C}).

A braiding of \mathcal{C} can be thought of as the structure of a monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev}$ on the identity functor $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$. For a symmetric \mathcal{C} the composite $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ coincides with $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ as a monoidal functor.

More generally, a *balancing* of a braided monoidal \mathcal{C} is a monoidal isomorphism between the composite $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ [Joyal and Street (1993)]. The group $Aut_{\otimes}(Id_{\mathcal{C}})$ of monoidal automorphisms of the identity functor acts freely on the set of possible balancings of a balanced category.

Not all structures of a monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev}$ on the identity functor $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ come from braidings of \mathcal{C} . A monoidal \mathcal{C} together with a structure of monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev}$ on the identity functor $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that the composite $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{rev} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ coincides with $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ as a monoidal functor was called *coboundary* in [Drinfeld (1989)].

4 Rigid monoidal categories

The (*left*) *internal hom* $[X, Y]$ of objects X, Y of a semi-groupal category \mathcal{C} is the terminal object $([X, Y], ev)$ in the category of pairs (T, τ) where T is an object and $\tau : T \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} correspondingly. Equivalently, $[X, -]$ is the right adjoint

to the functor $-\otimes X$, i.e. there is a collection of isomorphisms $\mathcal{C}(T, [X, Y]) \simeq \mathcal{C}(T \otimes X, Y)$ natural in $X, Y, T \in \mathcal{C}$. The universal property of the internal hom gives rise to morphisms

$$[Y, Z] \otimes [X, Y] \longrightarrow [X, Z], \quad X \otimes [Y, Z] \longrightarrow [Y, X \otimes Z], \quad [X, [Y, Z]] \xrightarrow{\sim} [X \otimes Y, Z]$$

natural in $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$. A semi-groupal category \mathcal{C} is *(left) closed* if $[X, Y]$ exists for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ [Eilenberg and Kelly (1966)].

Remark 4.1. The existence of $[X, -]$, as a right adjoint, can e.g. be deduced from the adjoint functor theorems (see [1]). If $[X, -]$ exists the functor $-\otimes X$ is a left adjoint and hence preserves colimits. Thus the tensor product of a closed category preserves colimits (in both arguments).

In a monoidal category \mathcal{C} one has $[I, X] \simeq X$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. A monoidal category \mathcal{C} is *(left) rigid* or *(left) autonomous* if it is (left) closed and $[I, Y] \otimes [X, I] \longrightarrow [X, Y]$ is an isomorphism for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. In this case $X^* = [X, I]$ is called the *(left) dual* of X . The (left) dual X^* of X is characterised by the existence of morphisms $ev : X^* \otimes X \rightarrow I, coev : I \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$ such that $(coev \otimes 1)(1 \otimes ev) = 1_X$ and $(1 \otimes coev)(ev \otimes 1) = 1_{X^*}$ (here we suppress associators). Such morphisms are unique up to an automorphism of I (see [Saavedra Rivano (1972)]).

Example 4.2. The (left) dual to an endofunctor F as an object of the monoidal category $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{M})$ is its left adjoint. Thus a left rigid $F \in \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{M})$ preserves limits and a right rigid endofunctor preserves colimits in \mathcal{M} .

Remark 4.3. A monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves duals $F(X^*) = F(X)^*$. Thus for a rigid \mathcal{C} the proper and full images $\mathcal{I}m(F)$ and $\mathcal{I}m_f(F)$ of a monoidal functor are rigid.

Remark 4.4. The monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{C})$ sending X to the endofunctor $Y \mapsto X \otimes Y$ sends rigid object to functors preserving limits and colimits. Thus the tensor product of a rigid category preserves limits and colimits (in both arguments).

For rigid \mathcal{C} the assignment $X \mapsto X^*$ extends to morphisms and defines a monoidal equivalence $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{oprev}$. A *pivotal structure* on a rigid monoidal \mathcal{C} is a monoidal isomorphism τ between $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ and the composite $(-)^{**} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{oprev} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ [Freyd and Yetter(1989)]. The group $Aut_{\otimes}(Id_{\mathcal{C}})$ acts freely on the set of pivotal structures of a pivotal category.

For an endomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a pivotal category \mathcal{C} the composite $tr(f) = ev_{X^*}(\tau_X f \otimes 1)coev_X$ is an endomorphism of I called the *trace* of f (here we suppress associators). A pivotal category \mathcal{C} is *spherical* if $tr(f^*) = tr(f)$ for any endomorphism f in \mathcal{C} [Barrett and Westbury (1999)].

5 Module categories

A category \mathcal{M} is a *(left) module category* over a monoidal category \mathcal{C} if it comes equipped with a monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{M})$. Equivalently, a \mathcal{C} -module structure of \mathcal{M} is encoded in a functor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $(X, M) \mapsto X * M$. The monoidal constraint of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{M})$ corresponds to a collection of isomorphisms (the *action associativity constraint*) $a_{X, Y, M} : X * (Y * M) \rightarrow (X \otimes Y) * M$ natural in $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, such that the diagrams similar to (1),(4) commute. A functor $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between \mathcal{C} -module categories is *\mathcal{C} -module functor* if it comes equipped with a collection of isomorphisms (the *module constraint*) $F_{X, M} : F(X) * F(M) \rightarrow F(X * M)$ natural in $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, such that the diagrams similar to (2),(5) commute. A natural transformation $c : F \rightarrow G$ between \mathcal{C} -module functors $F, G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is *\mathcal{C} -module* if the diagram similar to (3) commutes.

Clearly composites of \mathcal{C} -module functors and natural transformations are \mathcal{C} -module. In particular, \mathcal{C} -module endofunctors of a \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} together with \mathcal{C} -module natural transformations form a strict monoidal category $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$.

Example 5.1. The tensor product can be seen as an action of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} on itself (the *regular left \mathcal{C} -module category*). The assignment $F \mapsto F(I)$ is a monoidal equivalence $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Thus any monoidal category is equivalent to a strict one (Mac Lane's coherence theorem).

Similarly one defines right \mathcal{C} -module and \mathcal{C} -bimodule categories. For a \mathcal{C} -bimodule category \mathcal{M} denote by $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ the strict monoidal category of \mathcal{C} -bimodule endofunctors.

Example 5.2. The tensor product turns a monoidal category \mathcal{C} into a bimodule over itself. The assignment $F \mapsto F(I)$ extends to a monoidal equivalence $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}\text{-}\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ with the \mathcal{C} -bimodule structure of F corresponding to the half braiding on $F(I)$.

The notion of internal hom extends to module categories. The *internal action hom* $[M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}$ of objects M, N of a (left) \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} is the terminal object $([M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}, ev)$ in the category of pairs (T, τ) where $T \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tau : T * M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in \mathcal{M} . Equivalently, $[M, -]_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the right adjoint to the functor $- * M$, i.e. there is a collection of isomorphisms $\mathcal{C}(T, [M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(T * M, N)$ natural in $M, N \in \mathcal{M}, T \in \mathcal{C}$ [Ostrik (2003)]. The universal property of the internal hom gives rise to morphisms

$$[M, N]_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes [L, M]_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow [L, N]_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad X \otimes [M, N]_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow [M, X * N]_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad [X, [M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}] \xrightarrow{\sim} [X * M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}$$

natural in $L, M, N \in \mathcal{M}, X \in \mathcal{C}$. For rigid \mathcal{C} the second morphism is an isomorphism.

6 Internal algebra

The language of algebras and their modules internal to a monoidal category is a useful tool for defining and computing with module categories and for constructing braided monoidal categories.

An *associative unital algebra* in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a triple (A, μ, ι) consisting of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ together with a *multiplication* $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and a *unit* map $\iota : I \rightarrow A$, satisfying the *associativity* $\mu(\mu \otimes 1_A) = \mu(1_A \otimes \mu)$, and the *unit* $\mu(\iota \otimes 1_A) = 1_A = \mu(1_A \otimes \iota)$ axioms.

A *right module* over an algebra A is a pair (M, ν) , where M is an object of \mathcal{C} and $\nu : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ is a morphism (*action map*), such that $\nu(\nu \otimes 1_A) = \nu(1_M \otimes \mu)$. A *homomorphism* of right A -modules $M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} such that $\nu_N(f \otimes 1_A) = f\nu_M$.

Right modules over an algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ together with module homomorphisms form a category \mathcal{C}_A . The forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint, which sends an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ into the *free A -module* $X \otimes A$, with $1_X \otimes \mu$ as the A -module structure. More generally, for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ the tensor product $X \otimes M$ is a right A -module under $1_X \otimes \nu_M$. This categorical pairing is a left \mathcal{C} -module action on \mathcal{C}_A . The free A -module functor $-\otimes A : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are \mathcal{C} -module functors.

Conversely, let M be an object of a \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} such that internal action homs $[M, -]_{\mathcal{M}}$ exist. Then $[M, M]_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an algebra and $[M, N]_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a right $[M, M]_{\mathcal{M}}$ -module in \mathcal{C} . For rigid \mathcal{C} the functor $[M, -]_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{[M, M]_{\mathcal{M}}}$ is \mathcal{C} -module and the free $[M, M]_{\mathcal{M}}$ -module functor factorises

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_{[M, M]_{\mathcal{M}}} \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle - * M & \nearrow \scriptstyle [M, -]_{\mathcal{M}} \\ & \mathcal{M} & \end{array}$$

An algebra A in a braided monoidal category is *commutative* if $\mu_{\mathcal{C}_A, A} = \mu$. For $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_A$ denote by $M \otimes_A N$ the coequaliser of $\nu_M 1, (1 \nu_N)(1_{\mathcal{C}_A, N}) : M \otimes A \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$. When these coequalisers exist for all $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_A$ the category \mathcal{C}_A becomes monoidal with the tensor product \otimes_A [Pareigis (1995)]. The free-module functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is monoidal and *central*, i.e. lifts to a braided monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_A)$.

Conversely for a central functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ with the right adjoint $R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the object $R(I) \in \mathcal{C}$ has a structure of commutative algebra. The functor F induces a monoidal functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{C}_{R(I)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $F = \tilde{F} \circ (- \otimes R(I))$ [Davydov et al (2013)]. Note that the algebra $R(I)$ coincides with the internal action hom $[I, I]$ for the \mathcal{C} -action on \mathcal{D} defined by the functor F .

Example 6.1. For a \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} there is defined a central monoidal functor $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ (called *α -induction*). The corresponding internal action hom $[Id_{\mathcal{M}}, Id_{\mathcal{M}}]$ is a commutative algebra $Z(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ called the *full centre* of \mathcal{M} . For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}_A$ the full centre $Z(A) = Z(\mathcal{C}_A)$ is the terminal object of the category of the pairs (Z, ζ) , where $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\zeta : Z \rightarrow A$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} such that $\mu(\zeta \otimes 1_A) = \mu(1_A \otimes \zeta)z_A$ (where z_A is the half-braiding of Z) [Fröhlich et al (2006), Davydov (2010)].

More generally for a commutative algebra $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ the coequaliser $M \otimes_A N$ (with $c_{A,N}$ replaced by the half braiding of A) is a tensor product on \mathcal{C}_A [Schauenburg (2001)].

For closed \mathcal{C} the category of A -modules \mathcal{C}_A is also closed with the internal hom $[M, N]_A$ being by the equaliser of $[1, \tilde{\nu}_N], [\nu_M, 1] : [M, N] \rightarrow [M, [A, N]]$, where $\tilde{\nu}_N : N \rightarrow [A, N]$ is induced by the module structure $\nu_N : N \otimes A \rightarrow N$ [Pareigis (1995)]. For a rigid \mathcal{C} the category \mathcal{C}_A is also rigid if the algebra A is *separable*, i.e. an algebra A such that the *canonical (trace) pairing* $ev_{AzA^*}(\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes coev_A)\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is non-degenerate [Fröhlich et al (2006)].

For a commutative algebra A in a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} the monoidal category \mathcal{C}_A is not braided in general. However it contains a distinguished full braided subcategory \mathcal{C}_A^{loc} formed by so-called local modules. An A -module (M, ν) is *local* if $\nu_{c_{A,M}c_{M,A}} = \nu$ [Pareigis (1995), Fröhlich et al (2006)]. Note that the full embedding $\mathcal{C}_A^{loc} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is a central monoidal functor.

7 Linear and abelian monoidal categories

It is convenient when dealing with additive and linear categories to use the language of categories *enriched* in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{V} , or \mathcal{V} -category. In a \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{A} homs $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ are not sets but rather objects of \mathcal{V} and composition is a morphism $\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, Z)$ (see [Kelly (1982)] for all details). For \mathcal{V} being the category of sets *Set*, \mathcal{V} -category is just a category. When \mathcal{V} is the category of abelian groups, \mathcal{V} -category is an additive category ($\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is an abelian group and composition is bilinear). When \mathcal{V} is the category *Vect* of vector spaces over a fixed field k , \mathcal{V} -category is a linear category ($\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is a vector space and composition is bilinear).

A \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{C} is *monoidal* if it comes equipped with a \mathcal{V} -functor $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with an associativity constraint and the unit object. Here $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B}$ is the \mathcal{V} -category with objects $Ob(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B}) = Ob(\mathcal{A}) \times Ob(\mathcal{B})$ and homs $(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B})(X, Z), (Y, W) = \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B}(Z, W)$. For example in a monoidal linear category the tensor product on morphisms is given by bilinear maps $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes_k \mathcal{C}(Z, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X \otimes Z, Y \otimes W)$. The addition operation on morphisms can be quite useful. For an additive functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the proper image $Im(F)$ can be described as the quotient $\mathcal{C}/Ker(F)$ by the *ideal* $Ker(F)$ of all morphisms mapped by F to zero. When the functor F is monoidal the ideal $Ker(F)$ is also *monoidal*, i.e. $Ker(F)$ is closed not just under compositions but also under tensor products with arbitrary morphisms.

Example 7.1. In a spherical linear category negligible morphisms form a monoidal ideal N . A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *negligible* if $tr(fg) = 0$ for any $g : Y \rightarrow X$ [Turaev (1994), Barrett and Westbury (1999)].

A *pre-abelian* category is an additive category with finite limits and colimits (*finitely bicomplete*). A pre-abelian category is *abelian* if any monomorphism is a kernel and any epimorphism is a cokernel.

For (co)complete \mathcal{V} (with (co)continuous tensor product) the category $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{Funct}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{V})$ of \mathcal{V} -functors (the *\mathcal{V} -presheaf category*) is also (co)complete. The *Yoneda embedding* $Y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ sending X to the functor $\mathcal{A}(X, -)$ is fully faithful.

For a monoidal \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{C} the presheaf category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is monoidal with respect to the *Day convolution* defined as the coend $(U \otimes V)(X) = \int^{Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(X, Y \otimes Z) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} U(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} V(Z)$ [Day (1970)]. If \mathcal{V} is closed the monoidal presheaf category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is also closed with the internal hom given by the end $[U, V](X) = \int_{Y \in \mathcal{C}} [U(Y), V(X \otimes Y)]_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Example 7.2. For a linear category \mathcal{A} the presheaf category $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is abelian.

An abelian linear monoidal category \mathcal{C} is *tensor* if it is rigid and the identity object I is simple and $\mathcal{C}(I, I) = k$. Here an object is *simple* if all morphisms out of it are monomorphisms and morphism in it are epimorphisms.

8 Finite tensor and fusion categories

A tensor category is *finite* if all hom-spaces are finite dimensional and any object has a finite length (i.e. has a finite filtration with simple factors). As an abelian category a finite tensor category is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional modules over a finite dimensional algebra. As the result a finite tensor category is finitely complete and cocomplete, and a tensor functor between finite tensor categories has left and right adjoints. In particular, internal action homs for a finite module category exist.

The *categorical dimension* $\dim(\mathcal{C})$ of a finite tensor category is the dimension $d(Z(I))$ of the full centre of the trivial algebra $I \in \mathcal{C}$. The categorical dimension has the following properties: $\dim(Z(\mathcal{C})) = \dim(\mathcal{C})^2$, $\dim(\mathcal{C}_A)d(A) = \dim(\mathcal{C})$ and $\dim(\mathcal{C}_A^{loc})d(A)^2 = \dim(\mathcal{C})$ for a separable commutative algebra $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathcal{C}(I, A) = k$ [Fröhlich et al (2006), Etingof et al (2015)].

A finite tensor category \mathcal{C} is *incompressible* if any tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ into a tensor category is fully faithful (see [Coulembier et al (2023)] and references therein). For an incompressible finite tensor category \mathcal{C} there should be no non-trivial separable commutative algebras A in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ with $\mathcal{C}(I, A) = k$.

Example 8.1. An incompressible symmetric tensor category in zero characteristic is either the category of vector spaces \mathcal{Vect} or its super-analog $s\mathcal{Vect}$ [Deligne (2002)]. A symmetric tensor category \mathcal{C} is *Tannakian* if there is a faithful symmetric tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Vect}$ and *super-Tannakian* if there is a faithful symmetric tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{Vect}$.

The structure of incompressible symmetric tensor categories in finite characteristic is much richer (see [Coulembier et al (2023)] and references therein).

For an incompressible braided finite tensor category \mathcal{C} there should be no non-trivial separable commutative algebras A in \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{C}(I, A) = k$ (*complete anisotropy* of \mathcal{C} , see [Davydov et al (2013)]).

Example 8.2. A braided tensor category \mathcal{C} is *non-degenerate* if $\mathcal{Z}_{sym}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{Vect}$ and is *slightly-degenerate* if $\mathcal{Z}_{sym}(\mathcal{C}) = s\mathcal{Vect}$. Completely anisotropic braided finite tensor categories are either non-degenerate or slightly-degenerate.

A finite tensor category is *fusion* if it is semi-simple, i.e. any object is a direct sum of simple objects.

Example 8.3. Equivalence classes of completely anisotropic non-degenerate braided fusion categories form a group, the so-called *Witt group* [Davydov et al (2013)]. The same is true for slightly-degenerate braided fusion categories.

9 Example: pointed categories

Let G be a semi-group. Denote by $\mathcal{V}(G)$ the category of vector spaces (over a field k) graded by G with grading preserving linear maps as morphisms. Note that it is a semi-simple category (any object is a direct product of simple objects) with simple objects being one dimensional vector space $I(g) = k$ concentrated in the a single degree $g \in G$. It is also a monoidal category with the tensor product of vector spaces graded by $(U \otimes_k V)_f = \bigoplus_{gh=f} U_g \otimes_k V_h$. The unit object I is the one dimensional vector space concentrated in the trivial degree $I = I(e)$. It follows from the naturality that the general form of an associativity constraint on homogeneous $u \in U_f, v \in V_g, w \in W_h$ is $a_{U,V,W}(u \otimes (v \otimes w)) = \alpha(f, g, h)(u \otimes v) \otimes w$, where $\alpha(f, g, h) \in k^\times$ are non-zero scalars. The coherence (1) is equivalent to the function $\alpha : G^{\times 3} \rightarrow k^\times$ being a 3-cocycle of G with coefficients in the trivial G -module k^\times . Denote by $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ the category of G -vector spaces with the associator corresponding to a 3-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^3(G, k^\times)$.

The category $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ is closed with the left internal hom $[U, V] = Hom_k(U, V)$ being the space of linear maps with the grading $[U, V]_f = \{l \mid l(U_g) \subset V_{fg}\}$ (the right internal hom $\{U, V\} = Hom_k(U, V)$ is graded by $\{U, V\}_f = \{l \mid l(U_g) \subset V_{gf}\}$). A graded vector space U is dualisable if and only if U is finite dimensional and supported on invertible elements of G . For a (finite) group G the subcategory $\mathcal{V}_{fd}(G, \alpha)$ of finite dimensional graded vector spaces is rigid and fusion (a so-called *pointed fusion category*). A monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V}(G, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(Q, \beta)$ corresponds to a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow Q$ (the effect of F on simple objects) and a 2-cochain $\phi \in C^2(G, k^\times)$ (defining the monoidal constraint). Explicitly, $F(U)_q = \bigoplus_{\varphi(g)=q} U_g$ with the monoidal constraint $F_{U,V}(u \otimes v) = \phi(f, g)u \otimes v$ on $u \in U_f, v \in V_g$. The coherence (2) is equivalent to the coboundary condition $d(\phi) = \alpha^{-1}\varphi^*(\beta)$ in $C^*(G, k^\times)$.

Let X be a G -set with the action $G \times X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \mapsto gx$. The category $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of X -graded vector spaces is a module category over $\mathcal{V}(G)$ with the categorical action $U * M = U \otimes_k M$ graded by $(U * M)_x = \bigoplus_{g.y=x} U_g \otimes_k M_y$ and the identity associativity constraint. To make the category of X -graded vector spaces a module category over $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ one needs a coboundary $\xi \in C^2(G, Map(X, k^\times))$ for α considered an element of $Z^3(G, k^\times) \subset Z^3(G, Map(X, k^\times))$. Here $Map(X, k^\times)$ is the multiplicative group of maps $X \rightarrow k^\times$ with the G -action coming from the G -set structure. Such ξ defines an action associativity constraint $U * (V * M) \rightarrow (U \otimes V) * M, u \otimes (v \otimes m) \mapsto \xi(f, g, x)(u \otimes v) \otimes m$ with $u \in U_f, v \in V_g, m \in M_x$. The action coherence is equivalent to the equation $d(\xi) = \alpha$. The $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ -module category $\mathcal{M}(X, \xi)$ is action closed with the action internal hom

$[M, N] = \bigoplus_g [M, N]_g$ where $[M, N]_g = \{l \in \text{Hom}_k(M, N) \mid l(U_x) \subset V_{g.x}\}$. Note that any semi-simple module category over $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ is (equivalent to) such $\mathcal{M}(X, \xi)$ for some (X, ξ) (with X being the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects). Indecomposable semi-simple $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ -module categories correspond to transitive G -sets and have the form $\mathcal{M}(G/H, \xi)$ for a subgroup $H \subset G$. Shapiro's lemma allows one to replace $\xi \in C^2(G, \text{Map}(G/H, k^\times))$ by $\tilde{\xi} \in C^2(H, k^\times)$ with $d(\tilde{\xi}) = \alpha|_H$. Categories of module endofunctors $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)}(\mathcal{M}(G/H, \xi))$ are called *group-theoretical* (see [Etingof et al (2015)] and references therein). For example, $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{V}(G, 1)}(\mathcal{M}(G/G, 1))$ is the category $\text{Rep}(G)$ of representations of G .

An associative algebra in $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ is a G -graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_g A_g$ with a multiplication, which is *graded* (or α -) *associative*, i.e. $a(bc) = \alpha(f, g, h)(ab)c$ for any $a \in A_f, b \in A_g, c \in A_h$. The subalgebra $A_e = \mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)(I, A)$ is an associative algebra in Vect . For example, the action internal end $[I(x), I(x)]$ for a simple object $I(x) \in \mathcal{M}(X, \xi)$ is supported on the stabiliser $H = \text{St}_G(x)$ and coincides with the twisted group algebra $k[H, \tilde{\xi}]$. The category of modules $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)_{k[H, \tilde{\xi}]}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(G/H, \xi)$ as a $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ -module category.

The monoidal centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}(G, 1))$ is equivalent to the category with objects, G -graded vector spaces $Z = \bigoplus_g Z_g$ with a linear G -action compatible via $f(Z_g) = Z_{fgf^{-1}}$. The tensor product is the standard one. The half-braiding of Z with a G -graded vector space V is given by $z_U(u \otimes z) = f.z \otimes u$ for $u \in U_f$. The monoidal centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha))$ has a similar model (see e.g. [Davydov and Simmons (2013)] for details).

The category $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha)$ affords a braiding only if the group G is abelian. The naturality restricts the general form of a braiding to $c_{U, V}(u \otimes v) = \gamma(f, g)v \otimes u$ for $u \in U_f, v \in V_g$, with some $\gamma(f, g) \in k^\times$. The coherence (6) is equivalent to (α, γ) being an *abelian 3-cocycle* (a 3-cocycle of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane cohomology complex $C^*(G, 2, k^\times)$). The coherence (7) for the monoidal constraint of a braided monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V}(G, \alpha, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(Q, \beta, \xi)$ is equivalent to the coboundary condition $d(\phi) = (\alpha, \gamma)^{-1} \phi^*(\beta, \xi)$ in $C^*(G, 2, k^\times)$. In particular, up to a braided equivalence $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha, \gamma)$ depends only on the cohomology class $[(\alpha, \gamma)] \in H^3(G, 2, k^\times)$. Eilenberg and Mac Lane proved that the assignment $(\alpha, \gamma) \mapsto q(g) = \gamma(g, g)$ gives an isomorphism between $H^3(G, 2, k^\times)$ and the group $Q(G, k^\times)$ of *quadratic functions*, i.e. functions $q : G \rightarrow k^\times$ such that $q(g^{-1}) = q(g)$ and $q(f)q(g)q(h)q(fgh) = q(fg)q(fh)q(gh)$ (see e.g. [Joyal and Street (1991b), Davydov et al (2013)] for details and references). It is convenient to denote (the braided equivalence class of) $\mathcal{V}(G, \alpha, \gamma)$ by $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$. For example, the conjugate $\overline{\mathcal{C}(G, q)}$ is $\mathcal{C}(G, q^{-1})$ and the symmetric centre $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{sym}}(\mathcal{C}(G, q)) = \mathcal{C}(\text{Ker}(q), 1)$, where $\text{Ker}(q) = \{g \in G \mid q(fg) = q(f) \forall f \in G\}$ is the *kernel* of the quadratic function q . The braided linear category $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ is non-degenerate whenever the quadratic function q is.

The twisted group algebra $k[H, \tilde{\xi}]$ in $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ is commutative if and only if H is an *isotropic* subgroup, i.e. $q|_H = 1$. Since $\tilde{\xi}$ is uniquely determined by H we denote the commutative algebra $k[H, \tilde{\xi}]$ by $A(H)$. The category $\mathcal{C}(G, q)_{A(H)}^{\text{loc}}$ of local $A(H)$ -modules is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(H^\perp/H, q)$, where $H^\perp = \{g \in G \mid q(fg) = q(f) \forall f \in H\}$ is the *orthogonal complement* of H . The braided linear category $\mathcal{C}(G, q)$ is completely anisotropic whenever the pair G, q is, i.e. whenever G does not have proper isotropic subgroups. The subgroup of the Witt group generated by braided pointed fusion categories is the classical Witt group of quadratic functions studied by C.T.C. Wall see [Davydov et al (2013)] for details).

10 Conclusions

The development of the theory of tensor categories was driven by the applications in representation theory (resulting in a good understanding of Tannakian categories [Deligne and Milne (1982)]), high energy and condensed matter physics (bringing fusion categories to the centre of attention). Concepts crucial for the emergent theory of tensor categories came from or play an important role in these applications: non-degenerate braided fusion categories (representation categories of chiral algebras), module categories (categories of boundary data), full centres (full state spaces of conformal field theories, modular invariants), Witt equivalence (boson condensation, defects in 2d conformal field theories).

Interest to non-rational conformal field theories in two dimensions stimulates the study of more general (finite) tensor categories. Higher categorical analogues of tensor categories (fusion 2-categories) play an important role in 4d topological field theories. Progress in their study is impossible without better understanding of their partial decategorifications. Thus it is natural to expect further developments in the area of (finite set-theoretical) monoidal categories.

Due to the space limitation many important references are not listed here.

References

- [Bénabou (1963)] Bénabou J. (1963), *Catégories avec multiplication*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 256, 1887–1890.
- [Baez and Dolan (1998)] Baez J., Dolan J. (1998), *Categorification*, in *Higher Category Theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 230, Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, pp. 1–36,
- [Baez and Stay (2010)] Baez J. , Stay M. (2010), *Physics, Topology, Logic and Computation: A Rosetta Stone*. Lecture Notes in Physics, pp. 95-172.
- [Barrett and Westbury (1999)] Barrett J., Westbury B. (1999). *Spherical Categories*. *Advances in Mathematics*. 143 (2): 357-375.
- [Coulembier et al (2023)] Coulembier K., Etingof P., Ostrik P. (2023), *Incompressible tensor categories*, arxiv-2306.09745.
- [Crane and Frenkel (1994)] Crane L. , Frenkel I. (1994), *Four-dimensional Topological Quantum Field Theory, Hopf Categories, and the Canonical Bases*, *J. Math. Phys.* 35 (10), 5136-5145.
- [Davydov (2010)] Davydov A. (2010), *Centre of an algebra*, *Advances in Mathematics*, 225, 319-348, arXiv:0908.1250
- [Davydov et al (2013)] Davydov A., Müger M., Nikshych D., Ostrik V. (2013), “Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories”. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 677, pp. 135-177.
- [Davydov and Simmons (2013)] Davydov A., Simmons D., *On Lagrangian algebras in group-theoretical braided fusion categories*, *J. Algebra*, 471, 1 (2017), 149-175.
- [Day (1970)] Day B. (1970), *On closed categories of functors*, Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar IV, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 137. Springer-Verlag, pp 1-38.
- [Deligne (2002)] Deligne P. (2002), *Catégories Tensorielles*, *Moscow Math. Journal* 2, no. 2, 227-248.
- [Deligne and Milne (1982)] Deligne P. , Milne J. (1982), *Tannakian categories*, in: *Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 101-228.
- [Drinfeld (1989)] Drinfeld V. (1989), *Quasi-Hopf algebras*. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(6):114–148.
- [Eilenberg and Kelly (1966)] Eilenberg S., Kelly M. (1966), *Closed Categories*, in: *Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra - La Jolla 1965*, Springer, 421-562
- [Etingof et al (2015)] Etingof P., Gelaki S., Nikshych D., Ostrik V. (2015), *Tensor categories*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Volume 205, American Mathematical Society.
- [Fröhlich et al (2006)] Fröhlich J., Fuchs J., Runkel I., Schweigert C. (2006), *Correspondences of ribbon categories*. *Adv. Math.* 199 , no. 1, 192-329.
- [Freyd and Yetter(1989)] Freyd P., Yetter D. (1989), *Braided compact closed categories with applications to low dimensional topology*, *Adv. in Math.* 77 , 156-182.
- [Joyal and Street (1991a)] Joyal A., Street R. (1991), *Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 71 (1): 43-51.
- [Joyal and Street (1991b)] Joyal A., Street R. (1991), *The geometry of tensor calculus I*, *Adv. Math.* 88, no. 1, 55-112.
- [Joyal and Street (1993)] Joyal A., Street R. (1993), *Braided tensor categories*, *Adv. Math.* 102, 20-78.
- [Kelly (1964)] Kelly M. (1964), *On MacLane’s Conditions for Coherence of Natural Associativities, Commutativities, etc. ,* *Journal of Algebra* 1, pp.397-402.
- [Kelly (1982)] Kelly M. (1982), *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, *London Math. Soc. Lec. Note Series* 64, Cambridge Univ. Press, Reprints in *Theory and Applications of Categories* 10 (2005) 1-136
- [Kitaev (2006)] Kitaev A. (2006), *Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond*, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 321, 2.
- [1] S. Mac Lane, *Natural associativity and commutativity*, *Rice University Studies* 49 (1963) 28–46.
- [Mac Lane (1971)] Mac Lane S. (1971), *Categories For The Working Mathematician*, Springer, Berlin.
- [Majid (1991)] Majid S. (1991), *Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. Serie II. Supplemento. No. 26*, 197-206.
- [Moore and Seiberg (1990)] Moore G. , Seiberg N. (1990), *Lectures on RCFT. Superstrings 89 (Trieste, 1989)*, 1129, World Sci. Publ.
- [Pareigis (1995)] Pareigis B. (1995), *On braiding and dyslexia*, *J. Algebra* 171, 413-425.
- [Ostrik (2003)] Ostrik V. (2003), *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, *Transform Groups* 8, 177-206.
- [Saavedra Rivano (1972)] Saavedra Rivano N. (1972), *Catégories Tannakiennes*, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* 100: 417-430.
- [Schauenburg (2001)] Schauenburg P. (2001), *The monoidal center construction and bimodules*. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 158(2-3), 325-346.
- [Turaev (1994)] Turaev V. (1994), *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 18 Walter de Gruyter, Berlin.