

A short proof of Lagrange-Good formula using Dirac delta function

Minh-Toan Nguyen
GIPSA-lab, Grenoble Alpes University

Abstract

We give a half-page proof of the Lagrange-Good formula, using the Fourier representation of Dirac delta function.

1 Introduction

Lagrange-Good formula is the multivariate version of the classic Lagrange inversion theorem widely used in enumerative combinatorics. The formula has various proofs using complex analysis [4], umbral calculus [5], operator methods [7], quantum field theory [1] and symbolic integral [2]. There are also proofs based on combinatorial arguments [3] and on a determinant formula [6]. We give here a short proof of the formula using Dirac delta function.

The formula, originally proved for complex analytic functions in [4], holds more generally for formal power series [9]. This version of the formula is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider formal power series of n variables x_1, \dots, x_n , with coefficients in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Denote $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Consider n formal power series $f_i(x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $g_i(x)$ be the formal power series that solve the following system of equations*

$$g_i = x_i f_i(g_1, \dots, g_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

Then for any formal power series ϕ , the coefficient of $[x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}]$ in

$$\frac{\phi(g(x))}{\det(\delta_{ij} - x_i \partial_j f_i(g))} \quad (2)$$

is equal to the coefficient of $[x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}]$ in

$$\phi(x) f_1(x)^{k_1} \dots f_n(x)^{k_n}.$$

To prove results about formal power series, we will work with functions that are smooth, analytic in a neighborhood of zero and have a compact support. We denote this class of functions by \mathcal{C} . Algebraic formulas concerning the Taylor coefficients of these

function around zero are also valid for formal power series. This is because the regularity imposed on functions in \mathcal{C} has no impact on the algebraic aspect of the result.

Notation. $\text{Diag}(x)$ is the diagonal matrix with the diagonal x . $[m]f$ is the coefficient of the monomial m in the series f . $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the class of smooth functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} with compact support.

2 Dirac delta function

Let us now recall some basic facts about the Dirac delta function, which is defined as the generalized function [8] such that

$$\int \delta(x)\phi(x)dx = \phi(0) \quad (3)$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. First, we have

$$\delta(f(x)) = \sum_{x_i: f(x_i)=0} \frac{\delta(x - x_i)}{|\det J_f(x_i)|} \quad (4)$$

where $J_f(x)$ is the Jacobian matrix of f at x ,

$$J_f(x) = [\partial_j f_i(x)]_{i,j=1}^n$$

The Dirac delta function has the following Fourier representation

$$\delta(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle \xi, u \rangle} d\xi \quad (5)$$

which can be written compactly as

$$\delta(u) = \int \hat{d}v e^{-\langle v, u \rangle} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\int \hat{d}v = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} dv$$

For any function $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$h(x) = \int du \delta(u - x)h(u)$$

By (6), we have

$$h(x) = \int \hat{d}v du e^{-\langle v, u-x \rangle} h(u)$$

Applying on both sides the operator $g(\partial)$ where g is some polynomial, we obtain the formula

$$g(\partial)h(x)|_{x=0} = \int \hat{d}v du e^{-\langle v, u \rangle} g(v)h(u) \quad (7)$$

which relates operator calculus with complex integrals.

3 The proof

Let f be a function in \mathcal{C} . If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is small enough such that the mapping $u \rightarrow \text{Diag}(x)f(u)$ is a contraction, then the equation $u - \text{Diag}(x)f(u)$ has unique solution. So there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $x \in B(0, \epsilon)$, the equation $u - \text{Diag}(x)f(u)$ has unique solution, denoted by $g(x)$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$I(x) = \int du \phi(u) \delta[u - \text{Diag}(x)f(u)], \quad x \in B(0, \epsilon).$$

From (4), we have

$$I(x) = \frac{\phi(g(x))}{\det(\delta_{ij} - x_i \partial_j f_i(g))}.$$

From the Fourier representation of Dirac delta function, we have

$$I(x) = \int \hat{d}v du \phi(u) e^{-\langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, \text{Diag}(x)f(u) \rangle}.$$

Applying $\frac{\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{k_n}}{k_1! \dots k_n!}$ at $x = 0$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}] I(x) &= \int \hat{d}v du \phi(u) e^{-\langle v, u \rangle} \frac{(v_1 f_1(u))^{k_1} \dots (v_n f_n(u))^{k_n}}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \\ &= \frac{\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{k_n}}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \phi(x) f_1(x)^{k_1} \dots f_n(x)^{k_n} \Big|_{x=0} \quad (\text{by (7)}) \\ &= [x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}] \phi(x) f_1(x)^{k_1} \dots f_n(x)^{k_n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the Lagrange-Good formula.

References

- [1] A. ABDESSELAM, *A physicist's proof of the Lagrange-Good multivariable inversion formula*, arXiv preprint math/0208174, (2002).
- [2] ———, *Feynman diagrams in algebraic combinatorics*, arXiv preprint math/0212121, (2002).
- [3] I. M. GESSEL, *A combinatorial proof of the multivariable Lagrange inversion formula*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 45 (1987), pp. 178–195.
- [4] I. J. GOOD, *Generalizations to several variables of Lagrange's expansion, with applications to stochastic processes*, in Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 56, Cambridge University Press, 1960, pp. 367–380.
- [5] J. HOFBAUER, *A short proof of the Lagrange-Good formula*, Discrete Mathematics, 25 (1979), pp. 135–139.

- [6] J. HUANG AND X. MA, *A determinant identity implying the Lagrange-Good inversion formula*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 60 (2017), pp. 165–176.
- [7] C. KRATTENTHALER, *Operator methods and Lagrange inversion: a unified approach to Lagrange formulas*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 305 (1988), pp. 431–465.
- [8] R. S. STRICHARTZ, *A guide to distribution theory and Fourier transforms*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2003.
- [9] W. TUTTE, *On elementary calculus and the Good formula*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 18 (1975), pp. 97–137.