

# An optimal chromatic bound for the class of $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs

Athmakoori Prashant<sup>1,\*</sup> and S. Francis Raj<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry-605014, India.

<sup>1</sup>: 11994prashant@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>: francisraj\_s@pondiuni.ac.in

## Abstract

In 1987, A. Gyárfás in his paper “Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs” posed the problem of determining the smallest  $\chi$ -binding function for  $\mathcal{G}(F, \overline{F})$ , when  $\mathcal{G}(F)$  is  $\chi$ -bounded. So far the problem has been attempted for only forest  $F$  with four or five vertices. In this paper, we address the case when  $F = P_3 \cup 2K_1$  and show that if  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \neq 3$ , then it admits  $\omega(G) + 1$  as a  $\chi$ -binding function. Moreover, we also construct examples to show that this bound is tight for all values of  $\omega \neq 3$ .

**Key Words:** Chromatic number,  $\chi$ -binding function and  $\chi$ -bounded.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 05C15, 05C75

## 1 Introduction and Motivation

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and without loops or parallel edges. For notations and terminology not mentioned here we refer to [2]. For any positive integer  $k$ , a proper coloring using  $k$ -colors is a mapping  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $f(u) \neq f(v)$ , whenever  $uv \in E(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -colorable if there exists a proper coloring using  $k$ -colors. The smallest  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable is known as the *chromatic number* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\chi(G)$ . For any graph  $G$ , we write  $G' \sqsubseteq G$  if  $G'$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . We say that a graph  $G$  is *perfect* if  $\chi(G') = \omega(G')$  for every  $G' \sqsubseteq G$ .

### Gyárfás’s Question

Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , a graph  $G$  is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if it contains no induced subgraph which is isomorphic to a graph in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$  denote the family of  $\mathcal{F}$ -free graphs. A family of graphs

---

\*The author’s research was supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India, File No: 09/559(0133)/2019-EMR-I.

F	$\chi$ -bound for $\{F, \overline{F}\}$ -free graphs	
$K_2 \cup 2K_1$	$\max\{3, \omega\}$ [8]	optimal
$2K_2$	$\omega + 1$ [8]	optimal
$P_3 \cup K_1$	$\max\{3, \omega\}$ [8]	optimal
$K_1 + 3K_1$	$\lfloor \frac{3\omega(G)}{2} \rfloor$ [8]	optimal
$P_4$	$\omega$ [8]	optimal
$P_5$	$\binom{\omega+1}{2}$ [6]	not optimal
$P_4 \cup K_1$	$\lceil \frac{5\omega(G)}{4} \rceil$ [9]	optimal
<i>fork</i>	$2\omega$ [4]	asymptotically tight
$P_3 \cup P_2$	$\max\{\omega(G) + 3, \lfloor \frac{3\omega(G)}{2} \rfloor - 1\}$ [3]	optimal

Table 1: The table denotes the  $\chi$ -binding functions for the class of  $(F, \overline{F})$ -free graphs.

$\mathcal{G}$  is said to be  $\chi$ -bounded with a  $\chi$ -binding function  $f$ , if  $\chi(G') \leq f(\omega(G'))$  holds whenever  $G'$  is an induced subgraph of  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . A. Gyarfas in his seminal paper [8], introduced the concept of  $\chi$ -bound and  $\chi$ -binding function to relax the concept of perfection. In [8], he also conjectured that if  $F$  is a forest then  $\mathcal{G}(F)$  is  $\chi$ -bounded and proved the conjecture for  $P_k$ -free graph. S. Gravier et al. in [7], slightly improved the bound given by A. Gyarfas and showed that if  $G$  is a  $P_k$ -free graph then  $\chi(G) \leq (k - 2)^{\omega(G)-1}$ . Since  $(P_3 \cup 2K_1) \sqsubseteq P_7$ , it follows from the preceding result that every  $(P_3 \cup 2K_1)$ -free graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi(G) \leq 5^{\omega(G)-1}$ , an exponential bound. A. Gyarfas has also proposed a number of problems and conjectures that are still open and has received wide attention since its introduction. See for instance, [13–15]. In this paper, we shall study one of these problems which is mentioned below.

**Question 1.1** [8] *For a fixed forest  $F$ , what is the optimal  $\chi$ -binding function for  $\mathcal{G}(F, \overline{F})$ , when  $\mathcal{G}(F)$  is  $\chi$ -bounded.*

Question 1.1 is still open and seems to be hard even when  $F$  is a simple type of forest. So far the optimal  $\chi$ -binding function for the class of  $\{F, \overline{F}\}$ -free graphs has been found for forest  $F$  on four or five vertices. The details of the known bounds are listed in Table 1. One can see that when  $F$  is a forest on four vertices,  $4K_1$  is the only graph for which optimal  $\chi$ -bound has to be determined and for five vertices,  $K_1 + 4K_1$ ,  $(K_1 + 3K_1) \cup K_1$ ,  $P_3 \cup 2K_1$ ,  $2K_2 \cup K_1$ ,  $P_2 \cup 3K_1$ ,  $5K_1$  are the graphs for which the optimal  $\chi$ -bound is yet to be determined.

Our goal in this paper is to find the smallest  $\chi$ -binding function for the class of  $(F, \overline{F})$ -free graphs, when  $F = P_3 \cup 2K_1$ . In particular, we shall show that if  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \neq 3$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq \omega + 1$ . When  $\omega = 3$  we have shown that  $\chi(G) \leq 7$ . Also, we have constructed examples to establish that this bound is tight for every  $\omega \neq 3$  and give an example of a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) = 3$  and  $\chi(G) = 6$ . The results in this paper use a partition of the vertex set which was initially defined by S. Wagon in [16] and later modified by A. P. Bharathi and S. A. Choudum in [1]. This has been given in Section 2.

Some of the graphs that are considered as forbidden induced subgraphs in this paper are given in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Some special graphs

## 2 Notations and Preliminaries

The vertex set, edge set, complement, *clique number* and the *independence number* of a graph  $G$  are denoted by  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $\overline{G}$ ,  $\omega(G)$  and  $\alpha(G)$  respectively. When there is no ambiguity,  $\omega(G)$  will be denoted by  $\omega$ . For  $T, S \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $N_S(T) = N(T) \cap S$  (where  $N(T)$  denotes the set of all neighbors of  $T$  in  $G$ ), let  $\langle T \rangle$  denote the subgraph induced by  $T$  in  $G$  and let  $[T, S]$  denote the set of all edges in  $G$  with one end in  $T$  and the other end in  $S$ . If every vertex in  $T$  is adjacent with every vertex in  $S$ , then  $[T, S]$  is said to be *complete*. For any positive integer  $k$ , let  $[k]$  denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . The *lexicographic ordering* on the set  $L = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq \omega\}$  is defined in the following way. For two distinct elements  $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \in L$ , we say that  $(i_1, j_1)$  precedes  $(i_2, j_2)$ , denoted by  $(i_1, j_1) <_L (i_2, j_2)$  if either  $i_1 < i_2$  or  $i_1 = i_2$  and  $j_1 < j_2$ . We define the following sets to partition our vertex set  $V(G)$ .

(1)  $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\omega\}$ , a maximum clique of  $G$ ,

(2) For any  $k \in [\omega]$ ,

$$I_k = \{v \in V(G) \setminus A : v \in N(v_i), \text{ for every } i \in [\omega] \setminus \{k\}\} \\ = \{v \in V(G) \setminus A : v \notin N(v_i), \text{ only for } i = k\}, \text{ and}$$

(3)  $C_{i,j} = \{v \in V(G) \setminus A : v \notin N(v_i) \text{ and } v \notin N(v_j)\} \setminus \left\{ \bigcup_{(i',j') <_L (i,j)} C_{i',j'} \right\} \\ = \{v \in V(G) \setminus A : i \ \& \ j \text{ are the least distinct integers such that } v \notin N(v_i) \text{ and } v \notin N(v_j)\}$

Without much difficulty, one can observe the following.

(i) For every  $k, l \in [\omega]$ ,  $I_k$  is an independent set and  $I_k \cap I_l = \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $C_{i,j} \cap C_{i',j'} = \emptyset$  whenever  $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$ .

(iii)  $I_k \cap C_{i,j} = \emptyset$ , for any  $(i, j) \in L$  and  $k \in [\omega]$

(iv) For every  $(i, j) \in L$ , if a vertex  $a \in C_{i,j}$ , then  $N_A(a) \supseteq \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\} \setminus \{v_i, v_j\}$ .

(v)  $V(G) = A \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} I_k \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{(i,j) \in L} C_{i,j} \right)$ .

We can also write  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \omega} (\{v_k\} \cup I_k) = U_k$  (say) and  $V_2 = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in L} C_{i,j}$ . We can further partition  $V_2$  using the same partitioning method as defined above and

write  $V_2 = A' \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{|A'|} I'_k \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{(i,j) \in L'} C'_{i,j} \right)$ , where  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) = |A'|$ ,  $A' = \{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_{|A'|}\}$  and  $L' = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq |A'|\}$ . The other properties and definitions for  $I'_k$ 's,  $C'_{i,j}$ 's and  $L'$

are same as that of  $I_k$ 's,  $C_{i,j}$ 's and  $L$  respectively. Let  $X_1 = V_1$ ,  $X_2 = A' \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{|A'|} I'_k \right)$  and  $X_3 = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in L'} C'_{i,j}$ . Throughout this paper, we shall use the above mentioned partitions  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$  and  $V(G) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ .

### 3 $\chi$ -binding function for $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs

We begin this section by recalling some of the results in [5, 10–12]. Note that when  $p = 2$ ,  $(K_1 \cup K_2) + K_p \cong \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** [10] *Let  $G$  be a  $(\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1})$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ . Then  $G$  satisfies the following.*

- (i) *For  $k, \ell \in [\omega]$ ,  $[I_k, I_\ell]$  is complete. Thus  $\langle V_1 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph with  $U_t$ 's as its partitions.*
- (ii) *For  $j \geq 4$  and  $1 \leq i < j$ ,  $C_{i,j} = \emptyset$ . As a consequence,  $V_2 = C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup C_{2,3}$ .*
- (iii) *For any  $x \in V_2$ ,  $x$  has neighbors in at most one  $U_\ell$ , where  $\ell \in [\omega]$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** [5] *A graph  $G$  is perfect if and only if it does not contain  $C_{2n+1}$  and  $\overline{C_{2n+1}}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) as induced subgraphs.*

**Theorem 3.3** [11] *If  $G$  is a  $\{\text{chair}, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph, then  $\chi(G) \leq \omega + 1$ .*

**Theorem 3.4** [12] *If  $G$  is a triangle-free and  $H$ -free graph, then  $\chi(G) \leq 3$ .*

For establishing an optimal  $\chi$ -binding function for  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs with  $\omega \geq 4$ , we begin by observing Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$  and let  $X$  be an independent subset of  $V_2$  such that  $|X| \geq 2$ . Then we have the following.*

- (i)  $N_{V_1}(X) \subseteq U_p \cup U_q$ , for some  $p, q \in [\omega]$ .
- (ii) If  $N(X) \cap U_p \neq \emptyset$  and  $N(X) \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$ , for distinct  $p, q \in [\omega]$ , then  $|X| = 2$ .
- (iii) There exist integers  $r, s \in [\omega]$  such that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} I_k \subseteq I_r \cup I_s$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$  and let  $X$  be an independent subset of  $V_2$  such that  $|X| \geq 2$ .

- (i) Suppose  $N_{V_1}(X) \not\subseteq U_p \cup U_q$ , for any  $p, q \in [\omega(G)]$ , then by (iii) of Proposition 3.1, there exist three vertices, say  $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq X$  such that each of them have neighbors in unique  $U_\ell$ 's,

say  $\{U_a, U_b, U_c\}$  respectively. Since  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ , by (i) and (iii) of Proposition 3.1, there exists a vertex  $v_s \in A \setminus \{v_a, v_b, v_c\}$  such that  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{a, U_a, v_s, b, c\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.

- (ii) If  $N(X) \cap U_p \neq \emptyset$  and  $N(X) \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$ , for distinct  $p, q \in [\omega]$ , then by (iii) of Proposition 3.1, there exist distinct vertices  $a, b \in X$  such that  $N(a) \cap U_p \neq \emptyset$  and  $N(b) \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$ . If  $|X| \geq 3$ , say  $c \in X \setminus \{a, b\}$ , then for the same reason either  $N(c) \cap U_p = \emptyset$  or  $N(c) \cap U_q = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $N(c) \cap U_p = \emptyset$ . Then again by (i) and (iii) of Proposition 3.1, there exists a vertex  $v_s \in A$  such that  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{a, U_p, v_s, b, c\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.
- (iii) For the sake of contradiction, let  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [\omega]$  such that  $I_{t_1} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $I_{t_2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $I_{t_3} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in X$ . By (iii) of Proposition 3.1,  $[\{a, b\}, U_{t_i}] = \emptyset$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (say,  $i = 1$ ) and there exists a vertex  $v_s \in A \setminus \{v_{t_1}\}$  such that  $[\{a, b\}, v_s] = \emptyset$ . Hence, by (i) of Proposition 3.1,  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{v_s, U_{t_1}, a, b\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ . Then*

- (i)  $\langle V_2 \rangle$  is  $(P_3 \cup K_1)$ -free.
- (ii)  $\langle X_3 = (C'_{1,2} \cup C'_{1,3} \cup C'_{2,3}) \rangle$  is  $P_3$ -free, a union of cliques.
- (iii) If  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 4$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique  $t \in [|A'|]$  such that  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \subseteq I'_t$ .
- (iv) If  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$  and  $[X_3, X_2] \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is a clique of size  $\omega(G)$ .
- (v) If  $\omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) = \omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$ , then  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph and any vertex in  $X_i$  is adjacent to exactly 0, 1 or  $\omega - 1$  partitions in  $X_j$ , whenever  $i < j$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ .

- (i) For the sake of contradiction, let us assume that there exists a  $(P_3 \cup K_1) \sqsubseteq \langle V_2 \rangle$ . By (iii) of Proposition 3.1 and (i) of Lemma 3.5, there exists a vertex  $v_s \in V_1$  such that  $\langle V(P_3 \cup K_1) \cup v_s \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction.
- (ii) Suppose  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  contains a  $P_3$  say  $P$ , then  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 2$ . If  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) = 2$ , then  $X_3 = C'_{1,2}$  and  $\langle \{V(P), v'_1\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , a contradiction to (i) of Lemma 3.6. Hence, let us assume that  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 3$ . We see that  $\langle C'_{i,j} \rangle$  is  $P_3$ -free, for every  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$ . We shall now show that  $[C'_{i,j}, C'_{k,l}]$  is complete for any distinct pair  $(i, j), (k, l) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$ . On the contrary, let us assume that there exists a distinct pair  $(i, j)$  and  $(k, l)$ , say  $(i, j) <_L (k, l)$  and two vertices  $a \in C'_{i,j}$  and  $b \in C'_{k,l}$  such that  $ab \notin E(G)$ . Since  $(i, j), (k, l) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$ , one can see that  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} \neq \emptyset$  and as a result  $i = k$  or  $j = k$  or  $j = l$ . In any case,  $\langle \{b, v'_j, v'_i, a\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , a contradiction to (i) of Lemma 3.6.

Hence  $V(P) \subseteq (C'_{i,j} \cup C'_{k,l})$ , for a distinct pair  $(i, j), (k, l) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$  such that  $(i, j) <_{L'} (k, l)$ . Here also either  $i = k$  or  $j = k$  or  $j = l$ , and hence  $P_3 \cup K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{V(P), v'_i, v'_j\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.

- (iii) Let  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 4$  and  $X_3 \neq \emptyset$ , say  $a \in X_3$ . Suppose  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \not\subseteq I'_t$ , then there exist two integers  $t_1, t_2 \in [|A'|]$  such that  $I'_{t_1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $I'_{t_2} \neq \emptyset$ . By using (iii) of Proposition 3.1, we see that there exists a vertex  $v'_s \in A' \setminus \{v'_{t_1}, v'_{t_2}\}$  such that  $av'_s \notin E(G)$  and  $[a, U'_k] = \emptyset$  for at least one  $k \in \{t_1, t_2\}$ , say  $t_1$ . Clearly by (i) of Proposition 3.1,  $P_3 \cup K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{U'_{t_1}, v'_s, a\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.
- (iv) Let  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$  and  $[X_3, X_2] \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is not a clique, then by (ii) of Lemma 3.6,  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  will be a union of cliques. Let  $H \subseteq X_3$  such that  $\langle H \rangle$  is a clique of size  $\omega$ . If  $[\{X_3 \setminus H\}, X_2] \neq \emptyset$  then there exists  $a \in X_3 \setminus H$  and  $b \in X_2$  such that  $ab \in E(G)$ . Since  $\omega(\langle H \rangle) = \omega(G)$ , there exists a vertex, say  $h_1 \in H$  such that  $bh_1 \notin E(G)$ . By (i) and (iii) of Proposition 3.1, there exists a vertex  $v'_p \in A'$  such that  $\langle \{a, b, v'_p, h_1\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $[\{X_3 \setminus H\}, X_2] = \emptyset$ . Since  $[X_3, X_2] \neq \emptyset$ ,  $[H, X_2] \neq \emptyset$  and hence there exist  $h_2 \in H$  and  $b \in X_2$  such that  $h_2b \in E(G)$ . Since  $H$  is a clique of size  $\omega$ , there exists a vertex  $h_3 \in H$  such that  $bh_3 \notin E(G)$ . Therefore for any  $a \in X_3 \setminus H$ ,  $\langle \{h_3, h_2, b, a\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , again a contradiction.
- (v) Let  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) = \omega(G)$ . If  $[X_3, X_2] \neq \emptyset$ , then by (iv) of Lemma 3.6,  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is a clique and the proof is straightforward from the fact that  $G$  is  $(\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1})$ -free. Hence let us assume that  $[X_3, X_2] = \emptyset$ . By (ii) of Lemma 3.6,  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is a union of cliques and can be partitioned into  $\omega$ -independent sets. Let  $T \subseteq V_2$  such that  $\langle T \rangle$  is a clique of size  $\omega$ . Since  $[X_3, X_2] = \emptyset$ , let us assume that there exists a vertex  $a \in X_1$  such that it is adjacent to more than 1 and less than  $\omega - 1$  partitions in  $X_j$ . If  $j = 2$ , then by (i) of Proposition 3.1,  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle X_2 \cup a \rangle$ , a contradiction. Hence let us assume  $j = 3$ . It is not difficult to see that, since  $a$  is adjacent to more than 1 and less than  $\omega - 1$  partitions in  $X_3$ ,  $[a, T]$  is not complete and it is adjacent to a vertex in  $X_3 \setminus T$ . Let  $b \in X_3 \setminus T$ ,  $t_i \in T$  and  $v'_q \in X_2$  such that  $ab \in E(G)$  and  $at_i, bt_i, av'_q \notin E(G)$ . By (i) and (iii) of Proposition 3.1, we can find a vertex  $v'_s \in A$  such that  $\langle \{b, a, v'_s, t_i, v'_q\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

A simple consequence of (i) of Proposition 3.1 and (ii) of Lemma 3.6 is Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 3.7** *If  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ , then  $V(G) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  where  $\langle X_1 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph,  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  is a multipartite graph (complete multipartite if  $\omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) \geq 4$ ) and  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is a union of cliques.*

Let us recall that, by using (i) of Proposition 3.1,  $\langle X_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  (if  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 4$ ) are complete multipartite graphs and by using (iii) of Proposition 3.1, each vertex in  $X_3$  will have neighbors in

at most one  $U'_i$  in  $X_2$  if  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \geq 4$  and at most one  $U_j$  in  $X_1$ . For the same reason, each vertex in  $X_2$  will have neighbors in at most one  $U_k$  in  $X_1$ . To avoid repetition, we shall be using these facts without mentioning the reason.

**Lemma 3.8** *Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ ,  $\omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) = \omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$ ,  $[X_2, X_3] \neq \emptyset$  and for every  $a \in X_3$ ,  $[a, X_2] \neq \emptyset \neq [a, X_1]$ . Here  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \subseteq I'_t$ , for some  $t \in [|A'|]$  and if  $I'_t \neq \emptyset$ , then*

- (i)  $|U'_t| = 2$ , say  $U'_t = \{z_1, z_2\}$  such that  $|N_{X_3}(z_1)| = \omega - 1$ ,  $|N_{X_3}(z_2)| = 1$ ,  $(N_{X_3}(z_1) \cup N_{X_3}(z_2)) = X_3$  and  $(N_{X_3}(z_1) \cap N_{X_3}(z_2)) = \emptyset$ . As a result,  $[X_3, X_2 \setminus U'_t] = \emptyset$ .
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} I_k \subseteq I_p$ , for some  $p \in [\omega]$ . Furthermore, if  $X \subseteq U_p$  and  $|X| \geq 2$ , then  $[X, U'_t] \neq \emptyset$ .

Further, if  $V_2 \subseteq N(U_p)$ , then the following holds.

- (iii) If there exists a vertex  $y \in U_p$  such that  $[y, U'_t]$  is complete, then  $N_{X_3}(y) \subseteq N_{X_3}(z_2)$ . Furthermore, if  $[y, X_2 \setminus \{a\}]$  is complete, where  $a \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$ , then  $N_{X_3}(y) = \emptyset$ .
- (iv) There exists a unique vertex  $y_1 \in U_p$  such that  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus U'_t]$  is complete and  $N_{X_2}(U_p \setminus \{y_1\}) \subseteq U'_t$  such that every vertex in  $U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$  has at least one neighbor in  $U'_t$ . In addition,  $N_{X_3}(y_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (v)  $|U_p| \leq 3$ . If  $|U_p| = 3$  and  $U_p = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  then  $N_{X_3}(y_2) = N_{X_3}(z_1)$ ,  $N_{X_3}(y_1) = N_{X_3}(y_3) = N_{X_3}(z_2)$ ,  $z_1 y_3, z_2 y_2 \in E(G)$  and  $z_2 y_2 \notin E(G)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ ,  $\omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) = \omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$ ,  $[X_2, X_3] \neq \emptyset$  and for every  $a \in X_3$ ,  $[a, X_2] \neq \emptyset \neq [a, X_1]$ . By (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 3.6,  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \subseteq I'_t$ , for some  $t \in [|A'|]$  and  $X_3$  is a clique, say  $X_3 = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_\omega\}$ . In addition, let us assume that  $I'_t \neq \emptyset$ .

- (i) We shall first observe that  $N_{X_2}(X_3) \subseteq U'_t$ . Suppose there exists a vertex  $a \in X_3$  with  $[a, U'_t] = \emptyset$ , then we can find a vertex  $v'_r \in A'$  such that  $P_3 \cup K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{U'_t, v'_r, a\} \rangle$ , a contradiction. Next, we can also observe that there exists a unique vertex  $z_1 \in U'_t$  such that  $[[z_1, X_3]] = \omega - 1$ . On the contrary, if  $[[y, X_3]] \leq \omega - 2$  for every vertex  $y \in U'_t$ , then by (v) of Lemma 3.6,  $[[y, X_3]] \leq 1$ , for every  $y \in U'_t$ . Since every vertex in  $X_3$  has a neighbor in  $X_2$  and more precisely in  $U'_t$ , there exist vertices  $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in X_3$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in U'_t$  such that  $y_1 h_1, y_2 h_2 \in E(G)$  and  $[h_3, \{y_1, y_2\}] = \emptyset$ . Here also, we can find a vertex  $v'_r \in A' \setminus \{v'_t\}$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v'_r, y_2, h_3\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , a contradiction. Hence there exists a vertex  $z_1 \in U'_t$  such that  $[[z_1, X_3]] = \omega - 1$ . Let  $N_{X_3}(z_1) = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{\omega-1}\}$ . For the uniqueness, suppose there exists another vertex  $y_0 \in U'_t \setminus \{z_1\}$  such that  $[[y_0, X_3]] = \omega - 1$ , then we can see that when  $N_{X_3}(z_1) \neq N_{X_3}(y_0)$ ,  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle \{y_0, N_{X_3}(z_1), z_1\} \rangle$  and when  $N_{X_3}(z_1) = N_{X_3}(y_0)$ ,

then we can find a vertex  $v'_r \in A \setminus \{v'_t\}$  such that  $\langle \{z_1, v'_r, y_0, h_\omega\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $z_1$  is the unique vertex in  $U'_t$  such that  $|[z_1, X_3]| = \omega - 1$ . Similarly, one can also see that  $N_{X_3}(U'_t \setminus \{z_1\}) \cap N_{X_3}(z_1) = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $N_{X_3}(U'_t \setminus \{z_1\}) \subseteq \{h_\omega\}$ . As a consequence, we can see that  $|U'_t| = 2$ , say  $U'_t = \{z_1, z_2\}$  and  $z_2 h_\omega \in E(G)$ . Hence  $N_{X_2}(X_3) = U'_t$  and  $[X_3, X_2 \setminus U'_t] = \emptyset$ .

(ii) By (iii) of Lemma 3.5, we know that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} I_k \subseteq I_p \cup I_q$ , for some  $p, q \in [\omega]$ . Suppose,  $I_p \neq \emptyset$  and  $I_q \neq \emptyset$ , it is not difficult to see that  $[U'_t, U_p] \neq \emptyset$  and  $[U'_t, U_q] \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $z_1 \in N(U_p)$  and  $z_2 \in N(U_q)$ . Since  $[z_1, h_\omega] = \emptyset$  and  $[z_2, \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r] = \emptyset$ , we see that  $N_{X_1}(h_\omega) \subseteq U_q$  and  $N_{X_1}(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r) \subseteq U_p$  respectively. Hence every vertex  $y \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$  has neighbors in both  $U_p$  and  $U_q$  (otherwise, we can find a vertex in  $v_r \in V_1 \setminus \{U_p, U_q\}$ , such that  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \{U_p \cup U_q, v_r, y, X_3\}$ ), a contradiction. Furthermore, if  $X \subseteq U_p$  and  $|X| \geq 2$ , then it is not difficult to see that  $[X, U'_t] \neq \emptyset$ .

(iii) For the sake of contradiction, let us assume that there exists a vertex  $y \in U_p$  such that  $[y, U'_t]$  is complete but  $N_{X_3}(y) \not\subseteq N_{X_3}(z_2)$ . Let us first consider the case when  $|N_{X_3}(y)| = \omega - 1$ . If  $N_{X_3}(y) = N_{X_3}(z_1)$ , then  $\langle \{h_\omega, h_1, h_2, y, z_1\} \rangle \cong \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ , a contradiction and if  $N_{X_3}(y) \neq N_{X_3}(z_1)$ , then  $h_\omega, h_i, h_j \in N_{X_3}(y)$ , for some  $i, j \in [\omega - 1]$  and thereby  $\langle \{z_2, y, h_\omega, h_i, h_j\} \rangle \cong \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ , again a contradiction. Hence  $|N_{X_3}(y)| \leq 1$ . Clearly, if  $N_{X_3}(y) \cap N_{X_3}(z_1) \neq \emptyset$ , say  $h_1 \in N_{X_3}(y) \cap N_{X_3}(z_1)$ , then  $\langle \{y, z_1, h_1, h_2, h_3\} \rangle \cong \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $N_{X_3}(y) \subseteq N_{X_3}(z_2)$ . Furthermore, if  $[y, X_2 \setminus \{a\}]$  is complete, then  $N_{X_3}(y) \neq \emptyset$  will yield  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle \{h_\omega, y, z_2, X_2 \setminus U'_t\} \rangle$ , a contradiction.

(iv) We begin by showing that there exists a vertex  $y \in U_p$  such that  $|[y, X_2]| \geq \omega - 1$ . On the contrary, let us assume that  $|[y, X_2]| \leq \omega - 2$ , for every  $y \in U_p$ . Then by (v) of Lemma 3.6, every  $y \in U_p$ ,  $y$  has neighbors in at most one partition in  $X_2$ . In addition, since  $V_2 \subset N(U_p)$ , we can find vertices  $x_1, x_2 \in U_p$  such that  $[\{x_1, x_2\}, U'_t] = \emptyset$ , a contradiction to (ii) of Lemma 3.8. Hence there exists a vertex say  $y_1 \in U_p$  such that  $|[y_1, X_2]| \geq \omega - 1$ . If  $|[y_1, X_2]| \geq \omega - 1$  and  $[y_1, U'_t]$  is neither  $\emptyset$  nor complete, then one can observe that  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle \{y_1 \cup X_2\} \rangle$ , a contradiction. Thus if  $|[y_1, U_p]| \geq \omega - 1$ , then either  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus U'_t]$  is complete or  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus \{a\}]$  is complete, for some  $a \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . Let us next show that such a vertex  $y_1$  is unique. Suppose  $y_1$  is not unique and there exists another vertex  $y_0 \in U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$  such that  $|[y_0, X_2]| \geq \omega - 1$ . If  $N_{X_2}(y_1) \neq N_{X_2}(y_0)$ , then  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle \{y_1, N_{X_2}(y_0), y_0\} \rangle$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N_{X_2}(y_1) = N_{X_2}(y_0)$ . If  $N_{X_2}(y) = N_{X_2}(y_0) = X_2 \setminus U'_t$ , then  $[\{y_1, y_0\}, U'_t] = \emptyset$ , a contradiction to (ii) of Lemma 3.8 and if  $N_{X_2}(y_1) = N_{X_2}(y_0) = X_2 \setminus \{a\}$ , for some  $a \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$ , then by (i) and (iii) of Lemma 3.8, we can find a vertex  $v_s \in A \setminus \{v_p\}$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v_s, y_0, h_1, a\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , again a contradiction. Thus  $y_1$  is the only vertex in  $U_p$  such that  $|[y_1, X_2]| \geq \omega - 1$  and as a result either  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus U'_t]$  is complete or  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus \{a\}]$  is complete, where  $a \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . We



shall now show that  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus U'_t]$  being complete is the only possibility. Suppose  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus \{a\}]$  is complete, then by (iii) of Lemma 3.8,  $N_{X_3}(y_1) = \emptyset$ . Since  $X_3 \subseteq N(U_p)$ ,  $|U_p| \geq 3$ . Let  $y_2, y_3 \in U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$ . We shall show that  $y_2a, y_3a \in E(G)$ . On the contrary, let us assume that  $y_2a \notin E(G)$ , then there exist vertices  $v_s \in V_1$  and  $h_\ell \in X_3$  (since  $N_{X_3}(y_1) = \emptyset$  and  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| \leq \omega - 1$ ), for some  $\ell, s \in [\omega]$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v_s, y_2, h_\ell, a\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction. Similarly, one can show that  $y_3a \in E(G)$  and hence  $[y_2, y_3, U'_t] = \emptyset$ , again a contradiction to (ii) of Lemma 3.8. Hence  $[y_1, X_2 \setminus U'_t]$  being complete is the only possibility. For similar reasons  $N_{X_2}(U_p \setminus \{y_1\}) \subseteq U'_t$  and every vertex in  $U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$  has at least one neighbor in  $U'_t$ . Finally, let us show that  $N_{X_3}(y_1) \neq \emptyset$ . For the sake of contradiction, let us assume that  $N_{X_3}(y_1) = \emptyset$ . Since  $X_3 \subseteq N(U_p)$ ,  $|U_p| \geq 3$ . Let us consider  $y_2, y_3 \in U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$  such that  $U'_t \subseteq N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)$  (since  $X_2 \subseteq N(U_p)$ ). If  $X_3 \not\subseteq \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$ , then by (i) of Lemma 3.8 and the fact that  $N_{X_2}(U_p \setminus \{y_1\}) \subseteq U'_t$ , we can find vertices  $v'_r \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$ ,  $v_s \in V_1$  and  $h_i \in X_3 \setminus \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$  such that  $\langle \{y_2, v_s, y_3, v'_r, h_i\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $(X_3 \cup U'_t) \subseteq \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$ . Clearly, by (v) of Lemma 3.6, one of the vertices in  $\{y_2, y_3\}$  has  $\omega - 1$  neighbors in  $X_3$ , say  $y_2$  and by (iii) of Lemma 3.8,  $[y_2, U'_t]$  is not complete. If  $z_1y_2 \in E(G)$  and  $z_2y_2 \notin E(G)$ , then it is not difficult to see that  $N_{X_3}(z_1) \neq N_{X_3}(y_2)$  (if  $N_{X_3}(z_1) = N_{X_3}(y_2)$ , then  $\omega(\langle \{z_1, y_2, \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r \rangle \rangle) = \omega + 1$ , a contradiction). Hence let us assume that  $N_{X_3}(y_2) = \bigcup_{r=2}^{\omega} h_r$ . Since  $(X_3 \cup U'_t) \subseteq \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$ ,  $y_3z_2, y_3h_1 \in E(G)$ . As a result, by (iii) of Lemma 3.8,  $y_3z_1 \notin E(G)$  and thus  $\langle \{z_2, y_3, h_1, y_1, y_2\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction. Now, let us consider the case when  $z_2y_2 \in E(G)$  and  $z_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ . Since  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = \omega - 1$ , one can observe that  $N_{X_3}(y_2) = N_{X_3}(z_1)$  (else, we get an induced  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ ). Hence we can find a vertex  $v_s \in X_1$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v_s, y_2, z_1, h_\omega\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $N_{X_3}(y_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

- (v) Let us start by showing that  $|U_p| \leq 3$ . By (iv) of Lemma 3.8, there exists a  $y_1 \in U_p$  such that  $X_2 \setminus U'_t \subset N(y_1)$  and  $[X_2 \setminus U'_t, U_p \setminus \{y_1\}] = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $|U_p| \geq 4$ , then there exists at least three vertices, say,  $y_2, y_3, y_4 \in U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$ . By similar arguments as in (iv) of Lemma 3.8, one can observe that  $X_3$  is dominated by any two distinct vertices in  $\{y_2, y_3, y_4\}$  and the number of neighbors of any. Let us first consider the case when  $X_3$  is dominated by  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . As  $X_3 \subset \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$ , one can see that  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \neq N_{X_3}(y_3)$  and if  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = |N_{X_3}(y_3)| = \omega - 1$  then we get an induced  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ , a contradiction. Hence without loss of generality, let us assume that  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = \omega - 1$ ,  $|N_{X_3}(y_3)| = 1$  and  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \cap N_{X_3}(y_3) = \emptyset$ . Next, let us consider  $y_2, y_4 \in U_p \setminus \{y_1\}$ . Since  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = \omega - 1$ , again by using similar arguments, one can see that  $|N_{X_3}(y_4)| = 1$  such that  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \cap N_{X_3}(y_3) = \emptyset$  and as a consequence, we get that  $X_3 \not\subseteq N(\{y_3, y_4\})$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|U_p| \leq 3$ . Let us now consider the case when  $|U_p| = 3$ , say,  $U_p = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ , where  $y_1$  is as defined in (iv) of Lemma 3.8. For the same reason as mentioned earlier, without loss of generality, let us assume that  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = \omega - 1$ ,

$|N_{X_3}(y_3)| = 1$  and  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \cap N_{X_3}(y_3) = \emptyset$ . Also by (iv) of Lemma 3.8, we know that  $N_{X_3}(y_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence by (v) of Lemma 3.6,  $|N_{X_3}(y_1)| = \omega - 1$  or 1. Let us divide the proof depending on  $|N_{X_3}(y_1)|$ .

**Case 1**  $|N_{X_3}(y_1)| = \omega - 1$

One can see that since  $z_1y_1 \notin E(G)$ ,  $N_{X_3}(y_1) = N_{X_3}(z_1) = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r$  (otherwise  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1} \sqsubseteq \langle \{y_1, X_3, z_1\} \rangle$ , a contradiction). Similarly, since  $|N_{X_3}(y_2)| = \omega - 1$  and  $y_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ , we get that  $N_{X_3}(z_1) = N_{X_3}(y_1) = N_{X_3}(y_2) \implies y_2z_1 \notin E(G)$  (else,  $\omega(\langle \{z_1, y_2, \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r \rangle \rangle) = \omega + 1$ ) and as a consequence we see that  $y_2z_2, y_3z_1, y_3h_\omega \in E(G)$  (by (iv) of Lemma 3.8 and the fact that  $(X_3 \cup U'_t) \subset \{N(y_2) \cup N(y_3)\}$ ). Thus we can find a  $v_s \in A \setminus \{v_p\}$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v_s, y_2, z_1, h_\omega\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction and hence case 1 is not possible.

**Case 2**  $|N_{X_3}(y_1)| = 1$  and  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \neq N_{X_3}(z_1)$ .

Since  $N_{X_3}(y_2) \neq N_{X_3}(z_1)$ ,  $z_1y_2 \in E(G)$  (otherwise we will get an induced  $\overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}$ ). Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $y_2h_1 \notin E(G)$ . Since  $X_3 \subset N(U_p)$ , one can see that  $y_3h_1 \in E(G)$ . By using (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 3.8,  $z_2y_3 \in E(G)$  and  $z_2y_2, z_1y_3 \notin E(G)$ . Here if  $y_1h_1 \notin E(G)$ , then  $\langle \{h_1, y_3, z_2, y_1, y_2\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$  and if  $y_1h_1 \in E(G)$ , then we can find a vertex  $v_s \in A \setminus \{v_p\}$  such that  $\langle \{y_1, v_s, y_3, z_1, h_\omega\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , in any case a contradiction and hence case 2 is also not possible.

**Case 3**  $|N_{X_3}(y_1)| = 1$  and  $N_{X_3}(y_2) = N_{X_3}(z_1)$ .

Here  $N_{X_3}(y_2) = N_{X_3}(z_1) = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r$  and  $N_{X_3}(y_3) = N_{X_3}(z_2) = \{h_\omega\}$ . By using similar arguments as in Case 1 and Case 2, one can observe that  $y_2z_1, y_2h_\omega \notin E(G)$  and as a consequence  $y_2z_2, y_3z_1, y_3h_\omega \in E(G)$  which in turn implies that  $y_1h_\omega \in E(G)$ .  $\square$

Let us now determine an optimal  $\chi$ -binding function for  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 3.9** *If  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \geq 4$ . We know that  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ . By using (ii) of Proposition 3.1, one can see that  $V_2 = C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup C_{2,3}$  and  $X_3 = C'_{1,2} \cup C'_{1,3} \cup C'_{2,3}$ .

Let  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega(G) + 1\}$  be the set of colors. Throughout the proof, when we say that ‘we assign a color to a set’ or ‘there is a color available for a set’, it means that we will assign the same color to all the vertices in that set. To establish a  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring for  $G$ , we begin by assigning the color  $k$ , to the vertices in  $U_k$ , for each  $k \in [\omega]$ . We shall divide the proof into cases depending upon  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle)$ .

**Case 1**  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) \leq \omega - 1$ .

By (i) of Lemma 3.6,  $\langle V_2 \rangle$  is  $\{P_3 \cup K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free, and hence by Theorem 3.3, we can partition  $V_2$  into  $\omega$  independent sets say,  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_\omega$ . By (i) of Lemma 3.5, we see that each  $S_\ell$ ,  $\ell \in [\omega - 1]$  can be assigned a unique color from  $[\omega + 1]$ . Finally in  $S_\omega$ , each vertex is adjacent to at most  $(\omega - 1)$  colors in  $V_2$  and one color in  $V_1$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

**Case 2**  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) = \omega \geq 4$  and  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \not\subseteq I'_t$ , for any  $t \in [|A'|]$ .

Since  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) = \omega \geq 4$ ,  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph and since  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \not\subseteq I'_t$ , for any  $t \in [|A'|]$ , by (iii) of Lemma 3.6,  $X_3 = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\langle V_2 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph with  $\omega$ -partites and as in Case 1,  $G$  will be  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

**Case 3**  $\omega(\langle V_2 \rangle) = \omega \geq 4$  and  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \subseteq I'_t$ , for some  $t \in [|A'|]$ .

Here also,  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  is a complete multipartite graph. Let us further subdivide this depending on  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle)$ .

**Case 3.1**  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) \leq \omega - 1$

Let us assign the color  $(\omega + 1)$  to  $U'_t$  and assign a distinct color from  $[\omega]$  to each of the vertex in  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . Since  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) \leq \omega - 1$ , by (iii) of Proposition 3.1, for every vertex in  $X_3$ , there exists a proper color from  $[\omega + 1]$  and hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

**Case 3.2**  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega$

If  $[X_3, X_2] = \emptyset$ , then we can color  $X_2$  as in Case 3.1 and since each vertex in  $X_3$  is adjacent to at most  $\omega - 1$  colors in  $X_3$ , at most one color in  $X_1$  and no vertex in  $X_2$ , each vertex in  $X_3$  has an available color in  $[\omega + 1]$  and thus  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable. Hence let us assume that  $[X_3, X_2] \neq \emptyset$ . Then by (iv) of Lemma 3.6,  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  is a clique. If there exists a vertex  $a \in X_3$  such that  $[a, X_2] = \emptyset$  or  $[a, X_1] = \emptyset$ , then without much difficulty, we can give a  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring to  $G$ , by coloring the vertices in  $(X_2 \cup X_3)$  in such a way that  $a$  is the last vertex that is colored. Likewise, if  $I'_t = \emptyset$ , then  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_2 \rangle$  are cliques of size  $\omega$  and hence as in Case 1, we can show that  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

Hence the remaining case to be considered has  $\omega(\langle X_2 \rangle) = \omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega(G)$ ,  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{|A'|} I'_r \subseteq I'_t$ , for some  $t \in [|A'|]$  with  $I'_t \neq \emptyset$ ,  $[X_2, X_3] \neq \emptyset$  and for every  $a \in X_3$ ,  $[a, X_2] \neq \emptyset \neq [a, X_1]$ .

Here,  $\langle X_3 \rangle$  will be a clique. Let  $X_3 = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_\omega\}$ . By (i) of Lemma 3.8,  $|U'_t| = 2$ , say  $U'_t = \{z_1, z_2\}$ , where  $N_{X_3}(z_1) = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r$  and  $N_{X_3}(z_2) = h_\omega$ . As a result,  $\alpha(\langle V_2 \rangle) = 2$  and  $|V_2| = 2\omega + 1$ . Hence by (i) of Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.3,  $\chi(\langle V_2 \rangle) = \omega(G) + 1$ . Next, by (ii) of Lemma 3.8,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\omega} I_k \subseteq I_p$ , for some  $p \in [\omega]$ . Let us further divide this case into two more cases namely,  $V_2 \not\subseteq N(U_p)$  or  $V_2 \subseteq N(U_p)$ .

**Case 3.2.1**  $V_2 \not\subseteq N(U_p)$

Let us begin by considering the case when  $X_3 \not\subseteq N(U_p)$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $h_i \notin N(U_p)$ ,  $i \in [\omega]$ . Since  $[a, X_1] \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $a \in X_3$  and  $\omega(\langle X_3 \rangle) = \omega$ , there exist vertices  $v_s \in V_1$  and  $h_j \in X_3$ ,  $j \in [\omega] \setminus \{i\}$  such that  $h_i v_s \in E(G)$  and  $h_j v_s \notin E(G)$ . To establish an  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring, we color  $X_2$  as done in Case 3.1. By (i) of Lemma 3.8,  $[X_3, X_2 \setminus U'_t] = \emptyset$

and hence we can assign the color  $s$  to  $h_j$  and we can properly color the vertices in  $X_3 \setminus \{h_i, h_j\}$ . Finally for  $h_i$ , it is adjacent to the color  $\omega + 1$  (in  $X_2$ ), the color  $s$  in  $X_1$  and  $\omega - 1$  colors in  $X_3$  which includes the color  $s$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable. Next let us assume that  $X_3 \subseteq N(U_p)$ . Then  $X_2 \not\subseteq N(U_p)$  and  $|U_p| \geq 2$  (by (v) of Lemma 3.6). From (ii) of Lemma 3.8, we see that  $[U_p, U'_t] \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, let  $z_2 \in N(U_p)$ . Let us complete the coloring depending upon where the non-neighbors of  $U_p$  are in  $X_2$ .

**Case 3.2.1.1**  $X_2 \setminus U'_t \not\subseteq N(U_p)$

Let  $a \in X_2 \setminus U'_t$  such that  $a \notin N(U_p)$ . Define  $S_1 = \{z_1, h_\omega\}$ ,  $S_\omega = \{a\}$  and  $S_{\omega+1} = \{z_2, h_1\}$ . Clearly  $S_1, S_\omega, S_{\omega+1}$  are independents. Since  $[X_3, X_2 \setminus U'_t] = \emptyset$ , we can partition the remaining vertices of  $X_2 \cup X_3$  into  $\omega - 2$  independent sets say  $S_2, S_3, \dots, S_{\omega-1}$ . Since  $X_3 \subseteq N(U_p)$ , each  $S_\ell$ ,  $\ell \in [\omega - 1]$  is adjacent to at most one color from  $[\omega + 1] \setminus \{p\}$  in  $X_1$ . Hence we can assign a unique color from  $[\omega + 1] \setminus \{p\}$  to each  $S_\ell$ ,  $\ell \in [\omega - 1]$ . Next we can assign the color  $p$  to  $S_\omega$ . Finally, for  $S_{\omega+1}$ , since  $S_{\omega+1} \subseteq N(U_p)$  and the vertices in  $S_{\omega+1}$  are adjacent to at most  $\omega - 1$  colors (other than  $p$ ) in  $V_2$ , there is a color available from  $[\omega + 1]$  for  $S_{\omega+1}$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

**Case 3.2.1.2**  $X_2 \setminus U'_t \subseteq N(U_p)$

Since  $X_2 \setminus U'_t \subseteq N(U_p)$ ,  $z_2 \in N(U_p)$  and  $X_2 \not\subseteq U_p$ , we get that  $z_1 \notin N(U_p)$ . Let us begin the  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring by coloring  $z_1$  with  $p$  and  $\{z_2, h_1\}$  with color  $\omega + 1$ . Since each vertex of  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$  is adjacent only to color  $p$  in  $X_1$  and  $\omega$  colors in  $X_2$  which includes  $p$ , we can properly color the vertices in  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . Finally for the vertices in  $X_3$ , since each vertex in  $X_3$  is adjacent to one color in  $X_1$ , one color in  $X_2$  and at most  $\omega - 1$  colors in  $X_3$  and the color of  $h_1$  and  $z_2$  are same, we can get a proper coloring for the vertices in  $X_3$  by finally coloring the vertex  $h_\omega$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.

**Case 3.2.2**  $V_2 \subseteq N(U_p)$

As mentioned earlier,  $\chi(\langle V_2 \rangle) = \omega + 1$ . Since  $V_2 \subset N(U_p)$ , we have only  $\omega$ -colors remaining in  $[\omega + 1] \setminus \{p\}$  to color the vertices of  $X_2 \cup X_3$ . Hence we have to reassign the colors of some vertices in  $U_p$  with  $\omega + 1$ . Let us reassign the vertex  $y_1$  with color  $\omega + 1$ , where  $y_1$  is as defined in (iv) of Lemma 3.8. By (v) of Lemma 3.8, we know that  $|U_p| \leq 3$ . Hence to establish an  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring of  $G$ , we consider the cases  $|U_p| = 3$  and  $|U_p| = 2$  independently. Let us start by considering  $|U_p| = 3$ , where  $U_p = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  and its properties are as defined in (v) of Lemma 3.8. We begin by assigning the color  $\omega + 1$  to  $z_2$  and the color  $s$  to  $z_1$ , where  $s \in [\omega + 1] \setminus \{\omega + 1, p\}$ . By using (iv) of Lemma 3.8, each vertex in  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$  is adjacent to only the colors  $s$  and  $\omega + 1$  in  $(U'_t \cup X_1)$  and as a result there is a color available from  $[\omega + 1]$  for all the vertices in  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . Finally for  $X_3$ , we first assign the color  $s$  to  $h_\omega$ . Since each vertex in  $X_3 \setminus \{h_\omega\}$  is adjacent to the color  $s$  in  $X_2$ , the color  $p$  in  $X_1$  and at most  $\omega - 1$  colors in  $X_3$  (including the color  $s$ ), there is a color available from  $[\omega + 1]$  for all the vertices in  $X_3 \setminus \{h_\omega\}$ . Next, let us consider the case when  $|U_p| = 2$  and let  $U_p = \{y_1, y_2\}$ . Since  $V_2 \subset N(U_p)$ , we get that  $[y_2, U'_t]$  is complete and hence by (iii) of Lemma 3.8,  $y_2 h_\omega \in E(G)$  and  $[y_1, \bigcup_{r=1}^{\omega-1} h_r]$  is complete. To establish a  $(\omega + 1)$ -coloring for  $G$ , let us begin

by assigning the color  $\omega + 1$  to  $\{z_1, z_2\}$ . One can see that there is a color available for each vertex in  $X_2 \setminus U'_t$ . Since the vertices in  $X_3 \setminus \{h_\omega\}$  are adjacent only to the color  $\omega + 1$  in  $X_2$  and  $X_1$ , we can get a proper coloring for the vertices in  $X_3$  by first coloring the vertex  $h_\omega$  and then by coloring the vertices in  $X_3 \setminus \{h_\omega\}$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(\omega + 1)$ -colorable.  $\square$

Since  $(P_3 \cup 2K_1)$  is an induced subgraph of the  $H$  graph (see Figure 1), by Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.9, we get Corollary 3.10.

**Corollary 3.10** *If  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) \neq 3$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq \omega + 1$ .*

To show that the bound in Corollary 3.10 is tight, we can consider the following examples. For  $\omega = 2$ , one can see that  $C_5$  is an example and for  $\omega \geq 4$ , we can consider the graph induced by  $V_2$  in Case 3.2.1 of Theorem 3.9. Let us call it  $G^*$ , where  $V(G^*) = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_\omega\} \cup \{z_1, z_2, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\omega-1}\}$ , with  $\omega \geq 4$  and  $E(G) = \{\{h_i h_j\} \cup \{y_r y_s\} \cup \{z_1 y_r\} \cup \{z_2 y_r\} \cup \{z_1 h_s\} \cup \{z_2 h_\omega\}$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq \omega$  and  $1 \leq r, s \leq \omega - 1\}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $G^*$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph, with  $|V(G^*)| = 2\omega + 1$ ,  $\alpha(G^*) = 2$ ,  $\omega(G^*) = \omega$  and hence  $\chi(G^*) = \omega(G^*) + 1$ .

Finally, let us consider  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs with  $\omega = 3$ . Here we can give an example to show that if  $f$  is a  $\chi$ -binding function for the class of  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs then  $f(3) \geq 6$ . For instance, consider  $G^{**}$  to be a circulant graph on 17 vertices such that all 17 vertices form a cycle with all chords of length 1, 2, 4 and 8 (see, <http://www.cut-the-knot.org/arithmetic/combinatorics/Ramsey44.shtml>). Note that  $G^{**}$  is a 8-regular graph with  $\omega(G^{**}) = \alpha(G^{**}) = 3$  and  $\chi(G^{**}) = 6$  (see, <https://houseofgraphs.org/graphs/30395>). Since  $\omega(G^{**}) = \alpha(G^{**}) = 3$ ,  $G^{**}$  is  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free with  $\chi(G^{**}) = 6$ . In theorem 3.11, we shall show that the optimal  $\chi$ -binding function  $f^*$  for the class of  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graphs satisfies  $f^*(3) \leq 7$ .

**Theorem 3.11** *If  $G$  is a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) = 3$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq 7$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a  $\{P_3 \cup 2K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free graph with  $\omega(G) = 3$  and  $V(G) = A \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 \cup C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup C_{2,3}$ . Let  $\{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$  be the set of colors. We begin by observing the fact that  $\langle C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$  are  $(P_3 \cup K_1)$ -free (otherwise the  $(P_3 \cup K_1) \sqsubseteq \langle C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1 \rangle$  (or  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$ ) together with  $v_1$  (or  $v_2$ ) forms a  $P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction). One can also see that  $\omega(\langle C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1 \rangle) \leq 3$  and  $\omega(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) \leq 2$  and hence by Theorem 3.3,  $\chi(\langle C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1 \rangle) \leq 4$  and  $\chi(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) \leq 3$ . To color the vertices in  $V(G)$ , we start by assigning the color  $i$  to  $v_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . If  $\chi(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) \leq 2$ , then we can show that  $G$  is 7-colorable by coloring the vertices in  $I_3$ ,  $(C_{2,3} \cup I_2)$  and  $(C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1)$  with colors 3,  $\{2, 4\}$  and  $\{1, 5, 6, 7\}$  respectively. Hence let us consider the case when  $\chi(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) = 3$ . Since  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$  is  $\{P_3 \cup K_1, \overline{P_3 \cup 2K_1}\}$ -free with  $\omega(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) = 2$  and  $\chi(\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle) = 3$ , by Theorem 3.2, there exists a  $C_5 \sqsubseteq \langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$ .

To show that  $G$  is 7-colorable it is enough to show that  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle \cong C_5$  and that there exists a vertex, say  $a \in (C_{2,3} \cup I_2)$  such that  $[a, U_3] = \emptyset$  (Here one can attain a 7-coloring for  $G$  by coloring the vertices in  $(a \cup I_3)$ ,  $(C_{2,3} \cup I_2) \setminus \{a\}$  and  $(C_{1,2} \cup C_{1,3} \cup I_1)$  with colors 3,  $\{2, 4\}$  and  $\{1, 5, 6, 7\}$  respectively). Let us begin by showing that  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle \cong C_5$ . As mentioned earlier, there exists a  $C_5 \sqsubseteq \langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$ , let  $V(C_5) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_5\}$ . Suppose there exists a vertex  $b \in (C_{2,3} \cup I_2) \setminus V(C_5)$ . Since  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle$  is  $(P_3 \cup K_1)$ -free, it is not difficult to see that  $|[b, V(C_5)]| \geq 2$ . If  $b$  is adjacent to a pair of adjacent vertices in  $C_5$  then  $\omega(\langle v_1 \cup b \cup V(C_5) \rangle) = 4$ , a contradiction. Therefore, without loss of generality, let us assume that  $bz_1, bz_3 \in E(G)$ , then  $\langle \{b, z_1, z_2, z_4\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup K_1$ , again a contradiction. Hence  $\langle C_{2,3} \cup I_2 \rangle \cong C_5$ . Next, let us show that there exists a vertex in  $(C_{2,3} \cup I_2)$  that has no neighbors in  $U_3$ . On the contrary, let us assume that  $V(C_5) \subseteq N(U_3)$ . Again, by using similar arguments one can see that each vertex in  $U_3$  is adjacent to at most a non-adjacent pair of vertices in  $C_5$ . Hence as a result we get that  $|U_3| \geq 3$ . Let  $y_1, y_2 \in I_3$ . Note that  $|V(C_5) \cap I_2| \leq 2$  (since  $I_2$  is an independent set). If  $|V(C_5) \cap I_2| \leq 1$ , then there exists a  $P_4 \in \langle C_{2,3} \cap V(C_5) \rangle$  such that  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{v_3, v_2, y_1, y_2, V(P_4)\} \rangle$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\langle V(C_5) \cap I_2 \rangle \cong 2K_1$  and as result  $\langle V(C_5) \cap C_{2,3} \rangle \cong K_2 \cup K_1$ . Let us assume that  $(V(C_5) \cap I_2) = \{z_2, z_5\}$  and  $(V(C_5) \cap C_{2,3}) = \{z_1, z_3, z_4\}$ . One can see that  $[v_3, \{z_1, z_3, z_4\}] = \emptyset$   $|[y_k, \{z_3, z_4\}]| \leq 1$ , for  $k = 1, 2$ . Thus  $z_1y_1, z_1y_2 \in E(G)$  (if say,  $z_1y_1 \notin E(G)$ , then  $P_3 \cup 2K_1 \sqsubseteq \langle \{y_1, v_2, v_3, z_1, z_3, z_4\} \rangle$ , a contradiction. We get a similar contradiction if  $z_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ ). As a result,  $[\{z_2, z_5\}, \{y_1, y_2\}] = \emptyset$  and  $\langle \{z_2, v_3, z_5, y_1, y_2\} \rangle \cong P_3 \cup 2K_1$ , a contradiction. Thus there exists a  $z_\ell \in V(C_5)$  such that  $[z_\ell, U_3] = \emptyset$  and hence  $G$  is 7-colorable.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. P. Bharathi and S. A. Choudum, *Colouring of  $(P_3 \cup P_2)$ -free graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **34** (2018), no. 1, 97–107.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Springer, 2008.
- [3] A. Char and T. Karthick, *Optimal chromatic bound for  $(P_2 + P_3, \overline{P_2 + P_3})$ -free graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07447 (2022), 1–23.
- [4] M. Chudnovsky, L. Cook, and P. Seymour, *Excluding the fork and antifork*, Discrete Mathematics **343** (2020), no. 5, 111786.
- [5] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, *The strong perfect graph theorem*, Annals of Mathematics (2006), 51–229.
- [6] J. L. Fouquet, V. Giakoumakis, F. Maire, and H. Thuillier, *On graphs without  $P_5$  and  $\overline{P_5}$* , Discrete Mathematics **146** (1995), no. 1-3, 33–44.

- [7] S. Gravier, C. T. Hoang, and F. Maffray, *Coloring the hypergraph of maximal cliques of a graph with no long path*, *Discrete mathematics* **272** (2003), 285–290.
- [8] A. Gyárfás, *Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs*, *Zastosowania Matematyki Applicationes Mathematicae* **19** (1987), no. 3-4, 413–441.
- [9] T. Karthick and F. Maffray, *Coloring (gem, co-gem)-free graphs*, *Journal of Graph Theory* **89** (2018), no. 3, 288–303.
- [10] A. Prashant, P. Francis, and S. Francis Raj, *Chromatic bounds for some subclasses of  $(P_3 \cup P_2)$ -free graphs*, *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics (CALDAM) 2022, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 13179, Springer, (2022), pp. 15–21.
- [11] B. Randerath, *The vizing bound for the chromatic number based on forbidden pairs*, Ph.D. thesis, RWTH Aachen, Shaker Verlag, (1998).
- [12] B. Randerath, *3-Colorability and forbidden subgraphs. I: Characterizing pairs*, *Discrete Mathematics* **276** (2004), no. 1-3, 313–325.
- [13] B. Randerath and I. Schiermeyer, *Vertex colouring and forbidden subgraphs—A survey*, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **20** (2004), no. 1, 1–40.
- [14] I. Schiermeyer and B. Randerath, *Polynomial  $\chi$ -binding functions and forbidden induced subgraphs: A survey*, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **35** (2019), no. 1, 1–31.
- [15] A. Scott and P. Seymour, *A survey of  $\chi$ -boundedness*, *Journal of Graph Theory* **95** (2020), no. 3, 473–504.
- [16] S. Wagon, *A bound on the chromatic number of graphs without certain induced subgraphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **29** (1980), no. 3, 345–346.