

MINIMAL TRIANGULATIONS OF CIRCLE BUNDLES

GAIANE PANINA, MAKSIM TUREVSKII

ABSTRACT. A triangulation of a circle bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ is a triangulation of the total space E and the base B such that the projection π is a simplicial map.

In the paper we address the following questions: *Which circle bundles can be triangulated over a given triangulation of the base? What are the minimal triangulations of a bundle?*

A complete solution for semisimplicial triangulations was given by N. Mnëv. Our results deal with classical triangulations, that is, simplicial complexes. We give an exact answer for an infinite family of triangulated spheres (including the boundary of the 3-simplex, the boundary of the octahedron, the suspension over an n -gon, the icosahedron). For the general case we present a sufficient criterion for existence of a triangulation. Some minimality results follow straightforwardly.

1. INTRODUCTION

Any smooth map of constant rank between two closed smooth manifolds can be *triangulated*, that is, the manifolds can be triangulated in such a way that the map becomes simplicial. Thus the difficult question of finding minimal triangulations makes sense not only for individual manifolds, but also for fiber bundles.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a *circle bundle*, that is, a principal S^1 -bundle, or, equivalently, an oriented locally trivial fiber bundle whose fibers are circles S^1 . A (*classical*) *triangulation* of the bundle is a representation of B and E as (supports of) finite simplicial complexes such that π is a *simplicial map*.

In the paper we address the following question:

Which circle bundles can be classically triangulated over a given triangulation of the base? What is the minimal triangulation (that is, a triangulation with the minimal number of vertices in E) of a given bundle?

We will make use also of *semi-simplicial triangulations*, known also as Δ -sets, see [2]. Semi-simplicial triangulations are much less restrictive than classical ones (for instance, they allow a simplex glue to itself), and therefore, they leave more freedom for triangulating.

What is known.

- A minimal (classical) triangulation of the Hopf bundle over the boundary of the tetrahedron is constructed in [4]. Its total space has $4 \cdot 9$ three-dimensional simplices and 12 vertices.
- Only bundles with Euler number $\mathcal{E} = 0$ or ± 1 can be classically triangulated over the boundary of the tetrahedron (see [6] and [1]).
- The local combinatorial formula (see Section 2 and [7]) implies that if a bundle over a triangulated 2-dimensional orientable surfaces B can be classically triangulated, then the base B has more than $2|\mathcal{E}|$ triangles, where \mathcal{E} is the Euler number of the bundle (see [6] and a short reminder below.).
- For semi-simplicial triangulations N. Mnëv proved:

Theorem 1. [5] *A circle bundle can be semi-simplicially triangulated over a finite semi-simplicial base B iff its integer Euler class can be represented by a simplicial cocycle having values 0 and 1 on 2-simplices of the base. For classical simplicial triangulations the condition is necessary but not sufficient.*

Main results of the paper. We start with 2-dimensional orientable surfaces as the base. In this case oriented circle bundles are classified by their integer Euler classes, or *Euler numbers*, that is, elements of $H^2(B, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2. *Let B be a triangulated two-dimensional closed oriented surface with $f(B)$ triangles and $v(B)$ vertices.*

If $f(B) \geq 4|\mathcal{E}|$, then the circle bundle with Euler number $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be classically triangulated over the given triangulation of B with $3v(B)$ vertices and $9f(B)$ three-dimensional simplices in the total space E . We present an explicit construction of such a triangulation.

A smaller number of 3-dimensional simplices in E is not possible for the given triangulation of B .

For very many simplicial 2-spheres, the condition $f(B) \geq 4|\mathcal{E}|$ in Theorem 2 is not only sufficient, but also necessary. For a precise statement we need the following:

Coloring game

The input of the game is an (uncolored) simplicial 2-sphere B .

- (1) Pick either one or two vertices of B , and color them red.
- (2) Pick an edge with two currently not colored vertices that forms a face with an already colored vertex. Color the edge and its vertices red, and color the face green, see Fig. 1.

Repeat (2) until it is possible.

We say that the game has a *winning strategy* if eventually the number of green faces is greater or equal than $f(B)/4$.




FIGURE 1. Two steps of a coloring game

Theorem 3. *Assume a triangulated sphere B has a winning strategy for the coloring game. Then the circle bundle with Euler number $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be classically triangulated over B iff*

$$f(B) \geq 4|\mathcal{E}|.$$

Lemma 1. *All triangulated spheres with at most 12 triangles have a winning strategy.*

Corollary 1. *A minimal triangulation of a circle bundle with Euler number $|\mathcal{E}| \leq 3$ over the two-dimensional sphere has $6 + 6|\mathcal{E}|$ vertices and $36|\mathcal{E}|$ three-dimensional simplices in the total space E . It can be constructed by choosing an arbitrary triangulation of the sphere with $4|\mathcal{E}|$ triangles, and then following the instruction of Section 4.*

Non-example However, there exist triangulated spheres with no winning strategy: take a bipyramid, that is, a triangulation of the sphere with 6 faces. Next, take the stellar subdivision of all the 2-faces. That is, we add a vertex in the center of each of the 6 triangles, and connect each new vertex to the vertices of the triangle it belongs to. Altogether we have 5 *old* vertices (initial vertices of the bipyramid), and the 6 *new* vertices.

Each edge necessarily contains an old vertex, and by an easy case analysis one concludes that the number of red edges is at most 4, which does not suffice, since $f(B) = 18$.

Now we turn to the general case, the base B is no longer a surface.

Theorem 4. *The trivial circle bundle over any triangulated base B can be triangulated with $3v(B)$ vertices in the triangulation of the total space E .*

To generalize Theorem 2 in the spirit of Theorem 1 for arbitrary finite simplicial complex B , we need some preparation. Define a function

$$\mathcal{F} : \{\pm 1, \pm 3\} \rightarrow \{\pm 1/4\}$$

by

$$\mathcal{F}(3) = \mathcal{F}(-1) = 1/4, \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(-3) = \mathcal{F}(1) = -1/4.$$

Consider a simplicial 1-cochain $a \in C^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ attaining the values ± 1 only. Its coboundary da (here and in the sequel, d is the coboundary operator) is a 2-cochain attaining the values from the set $\{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$ only. Set

$$\mathcal{G}_a = \mathcal{F}(da) - \frac{3 \cdot da}{4} \in C^2(B, \mathbb{Z}).$$

One easily checks that \mathcal{G}_a is an integer 2-cochain admitting the values ± 1 and ± 2 only.

Theorem 5. *Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a circle bundle over a simplicial complex B . Assume that the group $H^2(B, \mathbb{Z})$ has no elements of order two.*

Assume there exists a simplicial cocycle e attaining values ± 1 and ± 2 on 2-simplices of the base such that two conditions hold:

- (1) *e represents the integer Euler class of the bundle.*
- (2) *There exists a simplicial 1-chain $a \in C^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ admitting the values ± 1 only such that $e = \mathcal{G}_a$.*

Then the circle bundle can be classically triangulated over the given triangulation of B .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we first present a convenient way to describe a circle bundle by a collection of necklaces and morphisms. Next, in the language of necklaces, we present the local combinatorial formula for the rational Euler class. In the section we follow (not literally) the papers [5] and [7].

2.1. Necklaces. *A necklace is a cyclic word with letters from an alphabet.*

Each triangulated circle bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ yields a collection of necklaces, a necklace per each simplex of the base, and a collection of morphisms.

Here how it goes, see Fig. 2:

- (1) **A necklace over a simplex σ^k from the base.**

The restriction of a circle bundle to a k -dimensional simplex $\sigma^k \in B$ is a triangulation of $\sigma^k \times S^1$. Its combinatorics is described in terms of a necklace $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^k)$, whose beads are labeled (= *colored*) by the vertices of the simplex σ^k .

Let a simplex $\rho^{k+1} \in \pi^{-1}(\sigma^k)$. There is exactly one vertex in $Vert(\sigma)$ which has an edge of ρ^{k+1} in the preimage. Let us label ρ^{k+1} by this vertex. Now take an inner point x of σ^k . Its preimage $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a circle intersecting all the $k+1$ -dimensional simplices in $\pi^{-1}(\sigma^k)$. Their labels form the necklace $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^k)$.

- (2) **Morphisms.** If $\sigma^i \subset \sigma^k$ then the necklace $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^i)$ is obtained from $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^k)$ by deleting of all the beads that are labeled by the vertices from $\sigma^k \setminus \sigma^i$. In other words, there is an (injective) morphism

$$\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{O}(\sigma^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\sigma^j),$$

which maps the beads keeping their labels and the cyclic order.

Morphisms are *consistent*, that is, satisfy the natural properties: whenever $\sigma^i \subset \sigma^j \subset \sigma^k$, we have $\phi_{ik} = \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}$, and $\phi_{ii} = id$.

An example of a collection of necklaces associated with two adjacent triangles and their common edge is presented in Fig. 3.




FIGURE 2. A necklace over a one-dimensional simplex. We depict the cylinder $\pi^{-1}([01])$ as a triangulated quadrilateral, assuming that the upper and the bottom sides are glued.




FIGURE 3. This picture describes a triangulated fiber bundle over the union of the two triangles.

We record necklaces as non-cyclic words, assuming that a local numbering $0, 1, \dots, k$ for the vertices of the simplex σ^k is fixed. We write e.g., $O(\sigma^3) = 021013$, keeping in mind that $021013 = 210130 = 101302 = 013021$.

It is proven in [5] (also easy to see) that given a triangulated base B , each consistent collection of necklaces and morphisms defines a semisimplicially triangulated circle bundle. Indeed, an individual necklace restores the combinatorics of the preimages of a simplices, and the morphisms give a way of patching together all these trivial pieces.

There is a simple condition of classical simplicial triangulations:

Lemma 2. [5] *A consistent collection of necklaces and morphisms yields a classically simplicially triangulated circle bundle iff*

- (1) *For each of the necklaces there are no less than 3 beads of each color, and*
- (2) *for all the two-colored necklaces, the colors are mixed, that is, the beads do not come in two monochromatic blocks.*

E.g., in the necklace 010111 the colors are mixed, whereas in 000111 they are not.

2.2. Local combinatorial formula (LCF). The local combinatorial formula for the Euler class of a triangulated oriented circle bundle appeared independently in [7] and [3]. It reads as follows.

Given a triangulated circle bundle, define the following 2-cochain ε :

Pick $\sigma^2 \in B$. We may assume that its vertices are numbered by 0, 1, 2, and the numbering agrees with the orientation of the simplex. According to our construction, the circle bundle yields a necklace in these three letters. Each *multicolored triple of beads*, that is a triple of beads colored by 0, 1, and 2, are positioned on the necklace either with *positive* or with *negative orientation*, that is, either 012 or 021 respectively. By definition, the cochain ε assigns to σ^2 the rational number

$$\varepsilon(\sigma^2) = \frac{\sharp(\text{neg}) - \sharp(\text{pos})}{2 \cdot \sharp(0) \cdot \sharp(1) \cdot \sharp(2)}.$$

Here $\sharp(\text{neg})$ is the number of negatively oriented multicolored triples in the necklace $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$, $\sharp(\text{pos})$ is the number of positively oriented multicolored triples, $\sharp(i)$ is the number of beads of color i .

Theorem 6. *The cochain ε is a cocycle representing the rational Euler class of the bundle.*

Remark: Since $\sharp(0) \cdot \sharp(1) \cdot \sharp(2) = \sharp(\text{neg}) + \sharp(\text{pos})$ is the number of all multicolored triples, the absolute value of the cochain ε on a simplex does not exceed $1/2$ (for semisimplicial triangulations) and is strictly smaller than $1/2$ (for classical simplicial triangulations).

If the base B is an oriented closed 2-dimensional surface, the Euler number equals the sum of the values of the cochain over all the triangles in B :

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\sigma^2 \in B} \varepsilon(\sigma^2).$$

Since each of the summands does not exceed $1/2$, we conclude that $f(B) \geq 2\mathcal{E}$.

3. AUXILIARY CONSTRUCTION: SMALL NECKLACES AND SMALL SEMISIMPLICIAL TRIANGULATIONS. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let B be an orientable triangulated closed surface. We assume that all its 2-simplices are oriented consistently with the global orientation of the surface. We shall build a consistent collection of necklaces with morphisms.

3.1. Small necklaces. A necklace which is centrally symmetric and contains exactly two beads of each color is called a *small symmetric necklace* or a *small necklace* for short. Such necklaces will be our building blocks.

Example:

- (1) There exists only one type (up to an isomorphism) of two-colored small necklaces, that is, 0101.
- (2) There exist two types of three-colored small necklaces, 012012 and 021021. According to the LCF, they contribute the values of the cochain $-1/4$ and $1/4$ respectively.

Example: There are two morphisms from 0101 to 012012. To record one of them, one chooses a bead and makes it bold. We assume that a morphism maps a bold bead to a bold one. So the two (uniquely defined by bold beads) morphisms are:

- (1) **0**101 to 01**2**012, and
- (2) **0**101 to **0**12012.

This example motivates the following

Definition 1. A *framed small necklace* is a small necklace with one bold bead for each color.

A (semisimplicial) triangulation of a circle bundle is called *small* if all the associated necklaces are small ones.

To build a small triangulated circle bundle, we create a consistent collection of framed small necklace, one necklace per a simplex of the base. *Consistency* means that when passing to a face τ of a simplex σ , the bold beads are preserved.

Take a triangle in the base and label its vertices by the numbers 0, 1, 2 such that the orientation agrees with the orientation of B . Let us list all possible small framed necklaces for the triangle and compute their contribution to LCF.

Lemma 3. *Small necklaces contributing $-1/4$ to LCF are: **012012**, **012012**, **012012**, **012012**,*

*Small necklaces contributing $1/4$ to LCF are: **021021**, **021021**, **021021**, **021021**.*

A framing defines an orientation on the edges of the triangle: the edge ij of the triangle is oriented as \overrightarrow{ij} iff moving along the necklace $\mathcal{O}(ij)$ from a bold

bead i in the positive (counterclockwise) direction, one first meets the bold bead j .

Examples are given in Fig. 4.




FIGURE 4. A framing defines an orientation on the edges.

For a small triangulation of a bundle over B we say that an edge of a triangle $\sigma^2 \in B$ is *positive in σ^2* if its orientation agrees with the orientation of the triangle (which in turn agrees with the global orientation of the base), and *negative in σ^2* otherwise.

One immediately checks that a framed small necklace contributes $1/4$ iff the number of its positive edges is odd.

Denote by $Or(B)$ the set of all possible orientations of the edges of B . Alternatively $Or(B)$ can be viewed as the set of all 1-cochains admitting values ± 1 only.

Lemma 4. (1) *Small framed (semisimplicial) triangulations of circle bundles with a given triangulated orientable two-dimensional base B are in a natural bijection with $Or(B)$.*

(2) *For $a \in Or(B)$, the 2-cochain $\mathcal{F}(da)$ is a representative of the Euler class of the circle bundle associated with the orientation a . Here d is the coboundary operator, \mathcal{F} is defined in Section 1.*

Proof. (1) We have defined above a way to associate an element of $Or(B)$ with a small framed triangulation.

Conversely, if an orientation is fixed, assign to each of the triangles the corresponding small framed symmetric necklace. The necklaces are consistent, and therefore, taken together, they define a semisimplicial triangulation of some bundle.

(2) This follows from Lemma 3 and LCF. \square

Now we can prove Theorem 4.

Enumerate the vertices of B in an arbitrary way by $0, \dots, N$. Thus B can be viewed as a subcomplex of the simplex Δ^N . Associate the necklace

$$\mathcal{N} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \dots, N, N, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \dots, \mathbf{N})$$

to the simplex Δ^N . Associate to each face of Δ^N the necklaces obtained from \mathcal{N} by eliminating all the beads that do not correspond to the face. For an example, the necklace $(0, 0, 2, 2, 3, 3, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$ is associated with the triangle 023. The triangulated fiber bundle which comes from this collection of necklaces is trivial since the base is contractible. Therefore the restriction of the bundle to B is also trivial.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

A small semisimplicial triangulation with a prescribed \mathcal{E} . Let B be a triangulated two-dimensional closed oriented surface with $f(B) \geq 4|\mathcal{E}|$. Let us construct a small semisimplicially triangulated circle bundle with Euler number $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Fix one of the simplices $\sigma_0^2 \in B$. Assuming that each 2-simplex of B is oriented consistently with the global orientation of B , fix any 2-cochain b which assigns $\pm 1/4$ to each of the 2-simplices such that the sum of the values equals \mathcal{E} .

According to Lemma 4, we need to find $a \in Or(B)$ such that $b = \mathcal{F}(da)$. We arrive at a collection of $f(B) - 1$ linear equations over the field \mathbb{Z}_2 : we have one equation for each of the 2-simplices except for σ_0^2 , the unknowns are the orientations of the edges.

In simple words, assume that $b(\sigma_i^2) = 1/4$. The corresponding equation rephrases as "the number of edges of σ_i^2 whose orientations agree with the orientation of σ_i^2 is odd."

Lefthand sides of the equations are linearly independent, so there exists a solution a which gives the prescribed number $\pm 1/4$ on each of the faces except, may be, for the face σ_0^2 . By Lemma 4, a yields some triangulated circle bundle. Applying the LCF, we get a cochain which (1) represents the Euler class of the bundle, and (2) is identical with b except, may be, the unique simplex σ_0 . Since we deal with integer numbers, we have $b(\sigma_i^2) = \mathcal{F}(da)(\sigma_i^2)$ for all the simplices.

Upgrade to a classical simplicial triangulation. Each necklace of the semisimplicial triangulation satisfies the mixed colores property from Lemma 2, so it remains to increase the number of the beads of each color by one.

There is an operation of *doubling of a bead* in the necklaces. One chooses a bead over a vertex of the base, and replaces the bead and all its images under morphisms by a doubled bead. The doubling operation corresponds to a refinement of triangulation of the bundle, see Fig. 5. This operation is inverse to *spindle contraction* from [5]. This operation doesn't change the Euler class. So one doubles one bead over each of the vertices of the base, and also consistently beads are added to all the necklaces. Eventually each of the necklaces over a 2-simplex has 9 beads, three of each color, which is the smallest possible for a classical simplicial triangulation.




FIGURE 5. Doubling operation. Left: initial triangulation and initial necklaces. Right: after doubling of a bead.

5. PROOF OF LEMMA 1 AND COROLLARY 1

We have at most $f = 12$ triangles in the triangulation of B . Since the number of vertices v satisfies $v = (f + 4)/2$, we have at most 8 vertices. Since for the number of edges e we have $e = 3v - 6$, we always have a vertex with a degree at least $6 - 12/v$.

Let $v = 4$, that is, we have a tetrahedron. Let's pick two of its vertices, color them red, and then pick the remaining two vertices and win.

If $v = 5$ or $v = 6$, there is a vertex V with degree at least 4. Color the vertex red, and after that, pick two disjoint edges connecting the neighbours of the vertex. In the case $v = 6$, there remains an uncolored vertex; In the case $v = 6$, there remains an uncolored vertex; we may color it in the beginning..

If $v = 7$ or $v = 8$, there is a vertex V with degree at least 5. Color V red. If V has degree at least 6, there are three disjoint edges connecting neighbours of V . One colors them one by one and eventually wins. Otherwise V has a neighbour V' which is connected with a vertex V'' sharing no edge with V . Let us color red two disjoint edges connecting the neighbors of V , but not containing V' . After that one colors the edge $V'V''$. (In the case $v = 8$ there remains one uncolored vertex; we may color it in the beginning.)

Now Corollary 1 is straightforward, since the number of 2-simplices in the base cannot be smaller than $\mathcal{E}/4$, and the preimage of each of them contains at least nine 3-simplices by Lemma 2.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Proof. We need to prove the following: if the base B has a winning strategy for the coloring game, and

$$f(B) < 4|\mathcal{E}|,$$

then a circle bundle with Euler number $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{Z}$ can not be (classically) simplicially triangulated over B .

Assume the contrary, that is, for a triangulated circle bundle $f(B) < 4|\mathcal{E}|$. Without loss of generality we may also assume that $\mathcal{E} > 0$.

We make use of an operation of *doubling* the bead in the necklace (see Section 4) which doesn't change the isomorphism class of the circle bundle.

For a vertex in B we choose one bead and double it many (tending to ∞) times, so we get a *huge bead*. We plan to create one huge bead above each of the vertices of the base. Application of the LCF for a face σ^2 with three huge beads (one huge bead over each of the vertices of σ^2) gives the value $\varepsilon(\sigma^2)$ which is arbitrarily close to $\pm 1/2$. Let us say that the value is *almost* $\pm 1/2$. We shall show that it is possible to create huge beads such that the value of the Euler number of the bundle will be at most $f(B)/4$.

We follow our coloring game:

Firstly, we pick one or two vertices, and select some huge beads for them. Next, we take the adjacent green face. It has one more vertex which becomes colored on this step. Chose a bead over the new vertex of the green face such that the value of the LCF for the new green face gives a value $\varepsilon(\sigma^2)$ which almost equals $-1/2$. Proceed in the same way adding a vertex and a green face at a time until all the vertices of the base are colored. According to construction, the value of the cochain on each of the green faces is $-1/2$. On the other faces the value cannot exceed $1/2$. The number of green faces is at least $k = \lfloor (v-1)/2 \rfloor$. Therefore the Euler number is at most $(f-k)/2 - k/2 = f/2 - k$. The Euler formula $v - e + f = 2$ together with $2e = 3f$ imply $v = f/2 + 2$, so Euler class is at most $f/2 - \lfloor ((f/2 + 2 - 1)/2) \rfloor = \lfloor f/4 \rfloor$. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

The main ingredient of the proof is the fact that the (isomorphism class of) a circle bundle is uniquely determined by its integer Euler class. So it suffices to construct a triangulated circle bundle with a prescribed Euler class. This will be done in three steps:

- (1) We first construct a triangulated circle bundle over the 2-skeleton of the base. Here we again use small symmetric necklaces combined with doubling of some beads.
- (2) Next, we show that this collection of necklaces extends to a collection of necklaces over the 3-skeleton. This is possible by cocycle property of e .
- (3) Finally, we show that this collection of necklaces (unconditionally) extends to a collection of necklaces over the entire base B .

Cochains $a \in C^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ admitting the values ± 1 only are in a natural bijection with orientations on the edges of B . The value of $\mathcal{F}(da)(\sigma^2)$ at a simplex σ^2 equals $1/4$ iff the number of edges of the simplex σ^2 whose orientation agrees with the orientation of σ^2 is even. Otherwise, $\mathcal{F}(da)(\sigma^2) = -1/4$

Assume the integer Euler class of a circle bundle is represented by a simplicial 2-cocycle $e = \mathcal{G}_a$ for some $a \in C^1(B, \mathbb{Z})$ admitting the values ± 1 only. Then

the cocycle $\mathcal{F}(da) = \mathcal{G}_a + \frac{3 \cdot da}{4}$ also represents the same cohomology class (since the difference of the two cocycles is a coboundary).

Create a small symmetric circle bundle over the 2-skeleton of the base B similarly as it was done in Section 4. This gives a triangulated bundle over the 2-skeleton of B such that the LCF gives exactly the cochain $\mathcal{F}(da)$.

Lemma 5. *Since $\mathcal{F}(da)$ is a cocycle, the small symmetric triangulated circle bundle (over the 2-skeleton of B) extends uniquely to a small symmetric triangulated circle bundle over the 3-skeleton.*

Proof. The lemma is proven by a small case analysis. Take a simplex σ^3 in the base. It is easy to see that there exist (up to symmetries) only two scenarios for the cochain a . One scenario gives a 2-cochain attaining the values $1/4$ on each of the faces. This cochain is not a cocycle, and induces the Hopf bundle over $\partial\sigma^3$. The other scenario gives a cochain which takes the values $1/4$ on two faces of σ^3 , and the values $-1/4$ on the other two faces. This amounts to necklaces **123**123, **124**124, **234**234, and **134**134, which can be incorporated to a necklace **1234**1234. □

Lemma 6. *Any small symmetric triangulated circle bundle over the 3-skeleton of B extends uniquely to a small symmetric triangulation over B .*

Proof. In a slight disguise, a version of the lemma is proven in [5], Prop. 7. However, we give here a proof for completeness.

Let us rephrase the lemma: for $k \geq 5$, any collection of necklaces together with morphisms associated to the faces of a $k-1$ -simplex originates from some necklace with k types of beads.

Assume that $k = 5$, and let the vertices of the simplex be $0, 1, \dots, 4$. Fix one bead colored by 0 in the necklace over the vertex 0, and mark all its images in the other necklaces associated to faces of the simplex containing the vertex 0. Choice of this bead defines a linear order on the beads in these necklaces (we treat this bead as the "first" one). Indeed, every two beads a, b appear in a necklace with colors a, b and 0, and thus define either $a < b$ or $b < a$. If $a < b$ and $b < c$, then we can look at the necklace with the colors of beads a, b, c and 0 and conclude that $a < c$. So we can assemble all the necklaces containing 0 in a unique a k -colored necklace. Each triple of beads has one and the same orientation which does not depend on the ambient necklace. Using this, it is easy to see that the k -colored necklace agrees with the necklaces from the collection that do not contain 0. □

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Gangopadhyay, *Counting triangles formula for the first Chern class of a circle bundle*, ArXiv e-prints, December 2017, 1712.03024.
- [2] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [3] K. Igusa, *Combinatorial Miller-Morita-Mumford classes and Witten cycles*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4, 2004, 473–520.

- [4] K. V. Madahar and K. S. Sarkaria, *A minimal triangulation of the Hopf map and its application*, *Geom. Dedicata*, 82(1-3), 2000, 105–114.
- [5] N. Mnëv, *Minimal triangulations of circle bundles, circular permutations, and the binary Chern cocycle*, *Zap. Nauch. Semin. POMI*, 481, 2019, 87–107.
- [6] N. Mnëv, *Which circle bundles can be triangulated over $\partial\Delta^3$?*, *Zap. Nauch. Semin. POMI*, 468, 2018, 75–81.
- [7] N. Mnëv, G. Sharygin, *On local combinatorial formulas for Chern classes of a triangulated circle bundle*, *Zap. Nauch. Semin. POMI*, 448, 2016, 201–235.
- [8] S. Morita, *Geometry of differential forms*, AMS, 2001.

G. PANINA: ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE;
ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY; GAIANE-PANINA@RAMBLER.RU; M.TUREVSKII:ST.
PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY; TURMAX20052005@GMAIL.COM