

High-performance Power Allocation Strategies for Active IRS-aided Wireless Network

Yifan Zhao, Xuehui Wang, Yan Wang, Xianpeng Wang, Zhilin Chen, Feng Shu, Chunhua Pan,
and Jiangzhou Wang *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—Due to its intrinsic ability to combat the double-fading effect, the active intelligent reflective surface (IRS) becomes popular. The main feature of active IRS must be supplied by power, and the problem of how to allocate the total power between base station (BS) and IRS to fully explore the rate gain achieved by power allocation (PA) to remove the rate gap between existing PA strategies and optimal exhaustive search (ES) arises naturally. First, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) expression is derived to be a function of PA factor $\beta \in [0, 1]$. Then, to improve the rate performance of the conventional gradient ascent (GA), an equal-spacing-multiple-point-initialization GA (ESMPI-GA) method is proposed. Due to its slow linear convergence from iterative GA, the proposed ESPMI-GA is high-complexity. Eventually, to reduce this high complexity, a low-complexity closed-form PA method with third-order Taylor expansion (TTE) centered at point $\beta_0 = 0.5$ is proposed. Simulation results show that the proposed ESPMI-GA harvests about 0.5 bit gain over conventional GA and 1.2 and 0.8 bits gain over existing methods like equal PA and Taylor polynomial approximation (TPA) for small-scale IRS, and the proposed TTE performs much better than TPA and fixed PA strategies using an extremely low complexity.

Index Terms—Active intelligent reflective surface, power allocation, gradient ascent, equal-spacing-multiple-point-initialization, closed-form, rate performance

I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid growth of big data applications such as social media, video streaming, and online games, there is an increased demand for high-speed and stable data transmission. To support the proper operation of these applications, the broader coverage and lower latency should be provided in

wireless networks [1]. In recent years, there are still some difficult problems in the development of wireless communication, such as shadow fading, transmission path loss, energy efficiency, which have slowed down its progress. As a low-cost and low-power consumption reflecting device, intelligent reflective surface (IRS) has emerged in response to the needs of wireless communication [2].

By intelligently designing the phase shift of each reflecting unit, IRS can build a additionally benefit channel for the incident signal, so that the reflecting signal and the direct signal can be reconfigured [3]. Meanwhile, the performance loss caused by path loss and interference in the direct channel can be significantly improved [1]. So far, passive IRS has been extensively applied to various wireless communication scenarios. Aiming to improve the energy efficiency of cell-free (CF) network, an IRS-assisted CF network was considered in [4]. By utilizing an alternating descent algorithm, the transmit beamforming at the access point and the control matrix at the IRS could be jointly optimized for EE enhancement. An IRS-aided multiple-input single-output (MISO) system was proposed in [5], while the hardware impairments was taken into account. It was shown that compared to the case of ideal hardware, although the numbers of transmitter antennas and IRS elements went to large scale, the spectral efficiency was finite. Simultaneously, a analytical solution was derived to maximize EE.

However, there exists a double-fading challenge in the passive IRS-aided cascaded channel, which leads to a limited rate performance enhancement obtained by introducing passive IRS [6]. Given that, active IRS equipped with power amplifiers has appeared to overcome this problem. Because of the ability to amplify the power of reflecting signal, the performance loss caused by double fading can be compensated [7]. At present, researchers have begun to pay attention to active IRS. In [3], the authors demonstrated that the capacity gain of active IRS was substantial by analyzing its asymptotic performance. In addition, an active IRS-aided multi-user MISO (MU-MISO) network was proposed, where transmit beamforming and reflecting coefficient matrix were jointly optimized to maximize sum rate. An active IRS-assisted single-input single-output wireless network was considered in [8], where two methods, namely maximum ratio reflecting and selective ratio reflecting, were proposed, which were with closed-form solutions to reflecting coefficient matrix. To further improve the rate performance, an alternately iterative method was presented to solve the problem of maximizing reflected-signal-to-noise ratio.

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.U22A2002, and 62071234), the Hainan Province Science and Technology Special Fund (ZDKJ2021022), the Scientific Research Fund Project of Hainan University under Grant KYQD(ZR)-21008, and the Collaborative Innovation Center of Information Technology, Hainan University (XTCX2022XXC07). (Corresponding author: Feng Shu).

Yifan Zhao, Xuehui Wang, Yan Wang, Xianpeng Wang and Zhilin Chen are with the School of Information and Communication Engineering, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China (e-mail: zyl001@hainanu.edu.cn; wangxuehui0503@163.com; yanwang@hainanu.edu.cn; zhilin.chen@hainanu.edu.cn; wxpeng2016@hainanu.edu.cn)

Feng Shu is with the School of Information and Communication Engineering, and Collaborative Innovation Center of Information Technology, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China, and also with the School of Electronic and Optical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China (e-mail: shufeng0101@163.com).

Chunhua Pan is with National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, Nanjing 211111, China. (e-mail: cpan, xhyu@seu.edu.cn).

Jiangzhou Wang is with the School of Engineering, University of Kent, CT2 7NT Canterbury, U.K. (e-mail: j.z.wang@kent.ac.uk).

When the total transmit power is limited, the rate performance can be significantly improved via power allocation (PA) between transmit signals. PA is a key strategy, which has been well researched in different networks, such as secure spatial modulation (SM) networks [9], secure directional modulation (DM) networks [10], pilot contamination attack (PCA) scheme [11], secure multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) precoding systems [12] and passive IRS-assisted decode-forward (DF) relay networks [13]. Aiming at maximizing secrecy rate of a secure DM network [10], two PA methods, called general PA and null-space projection PA, were designed to allocate between confidential messages and artificial noise. For IRS-assisted DF relay systems in [13], to achieve a goal of maximizing sum rate, successive convex approximation method, maximizing determinant method and a method with rate constraint were respectively proposed to optimize the power factors of two users and DF relay for higher sum rate performance. In [14], the authors focused on the design of PA algorithms for active IRS assisted wireless networks. Given the fixed transmit beamforming vector at BS and IRS phase shift matrix, a Taylor polynomial approximation (TPA) method was proposed, where analytical solutions related to PA factor could be obtained through Taylor approximation. It is particularly noted that the approximate polynomial fit the original function well under the condition of weak direct link from BS to user.

However, the existing TPA method in [14] is not suitable for some practical scenarios. For example, in a scenario where the direct link between BS and user is strong compared with the reflecting link BS-IRS-User. Moreover, the rate performance gap between the TPA and exhaustive search (ES) is still large. In other words, the approximate function cannot fit the original function well. To reduce the rate performance gap, two high-performance efficient PA methods are proposed to further exploit the rate gain achieved by PA to remove this rate gap. The main contributions of this paper are as below:

- 1) Given the transmit beamforming vector at BS and the reflection coefficient matrix at IRS are designed well, the SNR expression has been derived to be a function of PA factor β . To improve the rate performance of conventional gradient ascent (GA), equal-spacing-multiple-point-initialization (ESMPI) is combined with GA to form an enhanced GA, called ESMPI-GA, which is closer to ES than existing methods. Its computational complexity is the order $\mathcal{O}\{KI\}$ float-pointed operation (FLOPs), where K is the number of equal-spacing initialization points (ESIPs) and I is the average number of iterations per initialization. According to simulation results, K is larger than or equal to 16, the proposed ESMPI-GA approaches ES in medium-scale or large-scale IRS.
- 2) Due to the fact that GA has the property of slow linear convergence, the proposed ESMPI-GA is still high-complexity. To reduce this high complexity, a closed-form PA method is proposed by using the third-order Taylor expansion (TTE) centered the pointed $\beta_0 = 0.5$, called TTE, which is symmetric in the interval $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and provide a better approximation than the TPA

method in [14]. Afterwards, the Ferrari's method can be utilized to find the roots of the first derivative of the TTE function of SNR, which forms a candidate set. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed TTE method harvests about 0.5 bit rate gain over TPA in small-scale IRS with an approximately same order low complexity as TPA and is closer to the rate performance of ES.

The remainder of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section II, an active IRS-assisted wireless network system is described and a PA problem is formulated. In Section III, two methods are proposed to solve PA problem. Simulation results are presented in Section IV, and conclusions are drawn in Section V.

Notations: Throughout this paper, we denote vectors by lowercase letters and matrices by uppercase letters, respectively. $(\cdot)^T$ and $(\cdot)^H$ stand for transpose and conjugate transpose, respectively. Euclidean paradigm, diagonal, expectation and real part operations are denoted as $\|\cdot\|$, $\text{diag}(\cdot)$, $E\{\cdot\}$ and $\Re\{\cdot\}$, respectively.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model




Fig. 1. System model of an active IRS-assisted wireless network with PA

Fig.1 sketches an active IRS-assisted wireless communication network with PA, where BS equipped with M antennas serves a single-antenna user with the aid of an active IRS consisting of N elements. The received signal at IRS is

$$\mathbf{y}_r = \sqrt{\beta P} \mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v} x + \mathbf{n}_I, \quad (1)$$

where x with $E\{x^H x\} = 1$ is the signal transmitted by BS, β is a PA parameter that allocates the power between BS and IRS, P is the total power being the power sum of BS (βP) and IRS $((1 - \beta)P)$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = 1$ is the transmit beamforming vector at BS, $\mathbf{H}_{si} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ denotes the channel from BS to active IRS, $\mathbf{n}_I \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with distribution $\mathbf{n}_I \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_I^2 \mathbf{I}_N)$. The transmit signal at IRS is

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{y}_r = \sqrt{\beta P} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v} x + \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{n}_I, \quad (2)$$

where matrix $\mathbf{\Theta} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1 e^{j\theta_1}, \dots, \alpha_N e^{j\theta_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ consists of the reflection coefficient of each active IRS element, α_n and θ_n respectively stand for the amplification factor and

phase shift of the n -th element. The received signal at user can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sqrt{\beta P}(\mathbf{g}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{si} + \mathbf{h}^H) \mathbf{v} x + \mathbf{g}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{n}_I + z \\ &= \sqrt{\beta P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} + \mathbf{h}^H) \mathbf{v} x + \boldsymbol{\theta}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{n}_I + z \\ &= \sqrt{\beta P}(\rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} + \mathbf{h}^H) \mathbf{v} x + \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{n}_I + z, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{h}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times M}$ and $\mathbf{g}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$ denote the channels from BS to user and IRS to user, respectively. $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = [\alpha_1 e^{j\theta_1}, \dots, \alpha_N e^{j\theta_N}]^H$, $\rho = \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2$, $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2 = 1$ and $\mathbf{G} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{g}^H)$. $z \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times 1}$ is the AWGN with distribution $z \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_n^2)$. The reflected average power at IRS is

$$\begin{aligned} P_I &= E\{\mathbf{y}_t^H \mathbf{y}_t\} = (1 - \beta)P \\ &= \beta P \rho^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \text{diag}(\mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v})\|_2^2 + \sigma_I^2 \rho^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which yields

$$\rho = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \beta)P}{\beta P \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \text{diag}(\mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v})\|_2^2 + \sigma_I^2}}. \quad (5)$$

The SNR at the user can be formulated as follows

$$\text{SNR} = \frac{\beta P \|\rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} + \mathbf{h}^H\|_2^2}{\sigma_I^2 \rho^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G}\|_2^2 + \sigma_n^2}. \quad (6)$$

B. Problem Formulation

Under the constraints of total transmit power P , the optimization problem of maximizing SNR can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\beta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{v}} \quad & f(\beta) = \text{SNR}(\beta) = \frac{\beta P \|\rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} + \mathbf{h}^H\|_2^2}{\sigma_I^2 \rho^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G}\|_2^2 + \sigma_n^2}, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2 = 1, \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Provided that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are designed well, the optimization problem with respect to β is cast as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\beta} \quad & f(\beta) = \frac{u\beta^2 + 2e\beta\sqrt{l_1\beta^2 + l\beta + f} + d\beta}{b\beta + a}, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} &= \text{diag}(\mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v}), \quad a = \sigma_I^2 P \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G}\|^2 + \sigma_I^2 \sigma_n^2, \\ b &= P(\sigma_n^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{M}\|^2 - \sigma_I^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G}\|^2), \\ d &= P^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v}\|^2 + P \sigma_I^2 \|\mathbf{h}^H \mathbf{v}\|^2, \\ e &= P \Re\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{h}\}, \quad f = P \sigma_I^2, \\ u &= P^2 (\|\mathbf{h}^H \mathbf{v}\|^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{M}\|^2 - \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}_{si} \mathbf{v}\|^2), \\ l &= P^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{M}\|^2 - \sigma_I^2 P, \quad l_1 = -P^2 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^H \mathbf{M}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Observing the objective function $f(\beta)$ in (8), it is clear that it is a nonlinear function. Maximizing the function is usually addressed by iterative methods like GA. In this paper, we will also design a closed-form solution to the maximization problem of function $f(\beta)$ over interval $[0,1]$.

III. PROPOSED TWO PA SCHEMES

To fully explore the rate gain of PA, two high-performance PA schemes, namely ESMPI-GA and TTE, are developed in this section. The former will enhance the rate performance of conventional GA by introducing ESMPI, while the latter offers an extremely low-complexity high-rate solution.

A. Proposed ESMPI-GA method

First, the original SNR function in (8) is uniformly sampled to the following set of initialization points

$$S_1 = \{\beta_{1,0}, \dots, \beta_{K,0}\}. \quad (10)$$

Subsequently, the GA is applied to each initialization point. For the i -th iteration of the k -th initialization point, the corresponding first derivative of $f(\beta_{k,i})$ with regard to $\beta_{k,i}$ is defined as follows

$$f'(\beta_{k,i}) = \frac{\partial f(\beta_{k,i})}{\partial \beta_{k,i}} = \frac{g_1(\beta_{k,i}) + g_2(\beta_{k,i})}{(b\beta_{k,i} + a)^2}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$g_1(\beta_{k,i}) = ub\beta_{k,i}^2 + \frac{eb\beta_{k,i}^2(2l_1\beta_{k,i} + l)}{\sqrt{l_1\beta_{k,i}^2 + l\beta_{k,i} + f}}, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\beta_{k,i}) &= ad + 2au\beta_{k,i} + 2ae\sqrt{l_1\beta_{k,i}^2 + l\beta_{k,i} + f} \\ &+ \frac{ea\beta_{k,i}(2l_1\beta_{k,i} + l)}{\sqrt{l_1\beta_{k,i}^2 + l\beta_{k,i} + f}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Afterwards, we can obtain $\beta_{k,i+1}$ through GA algorithm as shown below

$$\beta_{k,i+1} = \beta_{k,i} + pf'(\beta_{k,i}), \quad (14)$$

where p represents the step length. The above iteration process will continue until the termination condition $|\beta_{k,i+1} - \beta_{k,i}| \leq \eta$ is satisfied, where η is the accuracy. It is assumed that the iteration number is I_k . Considering the PA factor is limited to the interval $[0,1]$, we update the candidate solution by using the following equation

$$\tilde{\beta}_k = \begin{cases} \beta_{k,I_k} & 0 \leq \beta_{k,I_k} \leq 1, \\ 0 & \beta_{k,I_k} < 1, \beta_{k,I_k} > 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Finally, we find the optimal solution by maximizing the original SNR function as follows.

$$\beta_{opt} = \underset{\tilde{\beta}_k \in S_A}{\text{argmax}} \{f(\tilde{\beta}_k)\}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$S_A = \{\tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_K\}. \quad (17)$$

The details related to ESMPI-GA method is summarized in algorithm 1, which is displayed as follows. Meanwhile, its computational complexity is $\mathcal{O}\{\sum_{k=1}^K I_k\} = \mathcal{O}\{K\bar{I}\}$ FLOPs, where $\bar{I} = K^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^K I_k$.

Algorithm 1 The Proposed ESMPI-GA Method

- 1: Define candidate set $S_1 = \{\beta_{1,0}, \dots, \beta_{K,0}\}$, and the step length p and the accuracy η .
 - 2: Initialize $\beta_{k,i}$, $k = 1$, and $i = 0$.
 - 3: **repeat**
 - 4: Calculate the gradient $f'(\beta_{k,i})$ by (11).
 - 5: Update $\beta_{k,i+1}$ by (14).
 - 6: Set $i = i + 1$.
 - 7: **until** $|\beta_{k,i+1} - \beta_{k,i}| \leq \eta$ or $\beta_{k,i} \notin (0, 1)$.
 - 8: Obtain candidate set $S_A = \{0, 1, \tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_K\}$.
 - 9: Calculate the optimal solution of β by (16).
-

B. Proposed TTE solution

In the previous subsection, an enhanced GA is presented and its complexity is proportional to the product of K and $\bar{I}$. Due to a linear convergence speed, the proposed enhanced GA is high-complexity. Does there exist a low-complexity closed-form for β . Now, let us rewrite the function $f(\beta)$ as follows

$$f(\beta) = \frac{u\beta^2 + 2e\beta\sqrt{l_1\beta^2 + l\beta + f} + d\beta}{b\beta + a}, \quad (18)$$

which is a nonlinear function. Converting the square root in numerator into a low order polynomial will significantly simplify the function $f(\beta)$ to find its maximum value. Let us take our this term and define

$$q(\beta) = \sqrt{l_1\beta^2 + l\beta + f}. \quad (19)$$

The third-order Taylor polynomial in [15] is applied to approximate $q(\beta)$ at point $\beta_0 = 0.5$ as follows

$$q(\beta) \approx q(\beta_0) + q_1(\beta_0)(\beta - \beta_0) + \frac{1}{2}q_2(\beta_0)(\beta - \beta_0)^2 + \frac{1}{6}q_3(\beta_0)(\beta - \beta_0)^3, \quad (20)$$

with

$$q_1(\beta_0) = \frac{2l_1\beta_0 + l}{2\sqrt{l_1\beta_0^2 + l\beta_0 + f}}, \quad (21)$$

$$q_2(\beta_0) = \frac{l_1}{\sqrt{l_1\beta_0^2 + l\beta_0 + f}} - \frac{(2l_1\beta_0 + l)^2}{4(l_1\beta_0^2 + l\beta_0 + f)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

$$q_3(\beta_0) = -\frac{3l_1(2l_1\beta_0 + l)}{2(l_1\beta_0^2 + l\beta_0 + f)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3(2l_1\beta_0 + l)^3}{8(l_1\beta_0^2 + l\beta_0 + f)^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

Now, substituting the above final approximation (20) into equation (18) yields an approximation to the original objective function as follows

$$\tilde{f}(\beta) \approx \frac{u\beta^2 + 2e\beta q(\beta) + d\beta}{b\beta + a} \approx \frac{k_1\beta^4 + k_2\beta^3 + k_3\beta^2 + k_4\beta}{b\beta + a}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{1}{3}eq_3(\beta_0), \quad k_2 = eq_2(\beta_0) - e\beta_0q_3(\beta_0), \\ k_3 &= u + 2eq_1(\beta_0) - 2e\beta_0q_2(\beta_0) + e\beta_0^3q_3(\beta_0), \\ k_4 &= 2eq(\beta_0) - 2eq_1(\beta_0) + e\beta_0^2q_2(\beta_0) - \frac{1}{3}\beta_0^3q_3(\beta_0) + d. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

To obtain the optimal solution, the first derivative of $f(\beta)$ is set to equal zero

$$\tilde{f}'(\beta) = \frac{\beta^4 + A\beta^3 + B\beta^2 + C\beta + D}{(b\beta + a)^2} = 0, \quad (24)$$

where

$$K_1 = 3bk_1, \quad K_2 = 2bk_2 + 4ak_1, \quad (25)$$

$$K_3 = bk_3 + 3ak_2, \quad K_4 = 2ak_3, \quad K_5 = ak_4,$$

$$A = \frac{K_2}{K_1}, \quad B = \frac{K_3}{K_1}, \quad C = \frac{K_4}{K_1}, \quad D = \frac{K_5}{K_1}.$$

In accordance with the Ferrari's method in [16], we have the candidate solutions to (24) are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_1 &= -\frac{A}{4} + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\mu_1}{2}, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 = -\frac{A}{4} + \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{\mu_1}{2}, \\ \hat{\beta}_3 &= -\frac{A}{4} - \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2}, \quad \hat{\beta}_4 = -\frac{A}{4} - \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{3AC - 12D - B^2}{3}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{\frac{A}{4} - B + \gamma}, \quad (27)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{-2B^3 + 9ABC + 72BD - 27C^2 - 27A^2D}{27},$$

$$\mu_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}A^2 - \eta^2 - 2B + \frac{1}{4\eta}(4AB - 8C - A^3)},$$

$$\mu_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}A^2 - \eta^2 - 2B - \frac{1}{4\eta}(4AB - 8C - A^3)},$$

$$\gamma = \frac{B}{3} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha_1^3}{27}}} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\alpha_2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha_1^3}{27}}}.$$

Considering β is confined to the interval $[0, 1]$, the above four candidates have the following new form

$$\tilde{\beta} = \begin{cases} \beta & 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \\ 0 & \beta < 1, \beta > 0. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

In the end, the optimal solution is given as follows

$$\beta_{opt} = \underset{\beta \in S_B}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{f(\beta)\}, \quad (29)$$




where

$$S_B = \{0, 1, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3, \hat{\beta}_4\} \quad (30)$$

This completes our closed-form derivation for the approximate optimal value of β . The computational complexity of TTE method is $\mathcal{O}\{6\}$ FLOPs. Obviously, this complexity is far lower than those of ESMPI-GA and ES: $\mathcal{O}\{K\bar{I}\}$ and $\mathcal{O}\{K_{ES} \approx 10^4\}$, where K_{ES} is the total search points of ES. Thus, we have the complexity order: TTE $\ll$ ESMPI-GA $\ll$ ES.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we validate the achievable rate performance of the proposed ESMPI-GA method and TTE method. Simulation parameters are set as follows: the spatial locations of BS, IRS and user are $[0 \text{ m}, 0 \text{ m}, 0 \text{ m}]$, $[200 \text{ m}, 0 \text{ m}, 35 \text{ m}]$, $[100 \text{ m}, 50 \text{ m}, 0 \text{ m}]$, respectively. It is assumed all channels follow Rayleigh fading, and the fading factors of the links from BS

Fig. 2. Achievable rate versus PA factor β Fig. 3. Achievable rate versus the number K of ESIFig. 4. Achievable rate versus the number N of IRS elements

to IRS, from IRS to user, from BS to user are defined as 2.3, 2.3 and 2.5, respectively. Additionally, $\sigma_I^2 = \sigma_n^2 = 100$ dBm.

Fig. 2 plots the curves of original rate function and approximate rate functions based on distinct order Taylor expansions. From this figure, it is evident that when the polynomial order is larger than 2, the rate gaps between original rate function and approximate rate functions are trivial. Actually, third-order provides a good approximation to the original function curve. Moreover, the proposed TTE is much better than TPA in a large total power constraint.

Fig. 3 demonstrates the convergent curves of rate versus its number K of initialization points of ESMPI-GA for $N = 8$, $N = 64$ and $N = 512$. From Fig. 3, it can be seen that as K increases, the ESMPI-GA method converges to ES in terms of rate. In particular, when N goes to large-scale, the convergent speed of ESI-GA method become faster. Below, K is taken to be 32.

Fig. 4 illustrates the achievable rate versus the number N of IRS elements of the proposed TTE method and ESMPI-GA methods. As N grows, the rates obtained by TTE method and ESMPI-ACF method increase accordingly. Moreover, the ESMPI-GA performs better than TTE. As N goes to large scale, all methods approaches the ES. In the small-scale IRS, the proposed ESMPI-GA attains the rate gains over GA, TPA, and EPA as follows: 0.5, 0.8 and 1.2 bits while the proposed TTE makes the rate gains over GA, TPA, and EPA as follows: 0.1, 0.4 and 0.8 bits.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have focused on an investigation of PA strategies in an active IRS-aided wireless network. First, the expression of SNR has been derived to be a nonlinear function of PA factor β , and the corresponding maximization problem with respect to β has been formulated. Then, two high-performance PA strategies, ESMPI-GA and TTE, have been developed. The proposed two methods perform much better than existing GA, TPA, EPA and fixed PA ($\beta = 0.80$). And compared to TPA, TTE can harvest up to 0.4 bit gain. Specially, the proposed ESMPI-GA harvests about 1.2 bit gain over EPA for small-scale IRS. These results further confirm that PA plays a very important role of improving rate performance in an active IRS-aided wireless network.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Mu, T. Hou, J. Xu, M. Di Renzo, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: Principles and opportunities," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1546–1577, Aug. 2021.
- [2] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface enhanced wireless network: Joint active and passive beamforming design," in *2018 IEEE Global Commun. Confer. (GLOBECOM)*, Dec. 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [3] Z. Zhang, L. Dai, X. Chen, C. Liu, F. Yang, R. Schober, and H. V. Poor, "Active RIS vs. passive RIS: Which will prevail in 6G?" *IEEE Trans. on Commun.*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 1707–1725, March 2023.
- [4] Q. N. Le, V.-D. Nguyen, O. A. Dobre, and R. Zhao, "Energy efficiency maximization in RIS-aided cell-free network with limited backhaul," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1974–1978, June 2021.
- [5] S. Zhou, W. Xu, K. Wang, M. Di Renzo, and M.-S. Alouini, "Spectral and energy efficiency of IRS-assisted MISO communication with hardware impairments," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 1366–1369, Sep. 2020.
- [6] Q. Peng, Q. Wu, G. Chen, R. Liu, S. Ma, and W. Chen, "Hybrid active-passive IRS assisted energy-efficient wireless communication," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 2202–2206, Aug. 2023.
- [7] Y. Lin, F. Shu, R. Dong, R. Chen, S. Feng, W. Shi, J. Liu, and J. Wang, "Enhanced-rate iterative beamformers for active IRS-assisted wireless communications," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1538–1542, Sep. 2023.
- [8] F. Shu, J. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Liu, Z. Chen, X. Wang, R. Dong, and J. Wang, "Three high-rate beamforming methods for active IRS-aided wireless network," *IEEE Trans. on Veh. Technol.*, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [9] F. Shu, X. Liu, G. Xia, T. Xu, J. Li, and J. Wang, "High-performance power allocation strategies for secure spatial modulation," *IEEE Trans. on Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 5164–5168, May 2019.
- [10] S. Wan, F. Shu, J. Lu, G. Gui, J. Wang, G. Xia, Y. Zhang, J. Li, and J. Wang, "Power allocation strategy of maximizing secrecy rate for secure directional modulation networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 38 794–38 801, 2018.
- [11] K.-W. Huang and H.-M. Wang, "Intelligent reflecting surface aided pilot contamination attack and its countermeasure," *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 345–359, Jan. 2021.
- [12] S.-H. Tsai and H. V. Poor, "Power allocation for artificial-noise secure MIMO precoding systems," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, vol. 62, no. 13, pp. 3479–3493, July 2014.
- [13] X. Wang, P. Zhang, F. Shu, W. Shi, and J. Wang, "Power allocation for IRS-aided two-way decode-and-forward relay wireless network," *IEEE Trans. on Veh. Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 1337–1342, Jan. 2023.
- [14] Q. Cheng, R. Dong, W. Cai, R. Liu, F. Shu, and J. Wang, "Two Enhanced-rate Power Allocation Strategies for Active IRS-assisted Wireless Network," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2310.09721, Oct. 2023.
- [15] R. L. B. Richard L Burden, J Douglas Faires, "Numerical analysis," Aug. 2010.
- [16] S.-Y. Jung, J. Hong, and K. Nam, "Current minimizing torque control of the IPMSM using Ferrari's method," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 5603–5617, Dec. 2013.