

Y-FRIEZE PATTERNS

ANTOINE DE ST. GERMAIN

ABSTRACT. Motivated by cluster ensembles, we introduce a new variant of frieze patterns associated to acyclic cluster algebras, which we call **Y**-frieze patterns. Using the mutation rules for **Y**-variables, we define a large class of **Y**-frieze patterns called *unitary Y-frieze patterns*, and show that the ensemble map induces a map from (unitary) frieze patterns to (unitary) **Y**-frieze patterns. In rank 2, we show that **Y**-frieze patterns are (associated to) friezes of generalised cluster algebras. In finite type (not necessarily rank 2), we show that **Y**-frieze patterns share the same symmetries as frieze patterns, and prove that their number is finite.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction and main results	1
2.	Y -frieze patterns and their properties	5
3.	The Y -cluster structure of Y -frieze patterns	13
4.	Y -frieze patterns in rank 2	16
5.	Y -frieze patterns in finite type	19
	References	23

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. **Introduction.** Fix a positive integer r , and consider an array

$$r + 2 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdots & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \cdots \\ & & a_1 & & a_2 & & a_3 & & a_4 & & a_5 & \\ \cdots & & b_1 & & b_2 & & b_3 & & b_4 & & b_5 & \cdots \\ & & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & & & \cdots & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \cdots \end{array} \right. \tag{1.1}$$

consisting of $r + 2$ staggered infinite rows of positive integers. Recall that such an array is called a *Coxeter frieze pattern of width r* if every local configuration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \\ W & & E \\ & S & \end{array}$$

satisfies the *diamond rule*

$$WE = 1 + NS.$$

In this article, we introduce a new variant of Coxeter's frieze patterns. We begin by considering an array

$$r + 2 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdots & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \cdots \\ & & y_1 & & y_2 & & y_3 & & y_4 & & y_5 & \\ \cdots & & & z_1 & & z_2 & & z_3 & & z_4 & & z_5 & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \cdots & & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \cdots \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

consisting of $r + 2$ staggered infinite rows, where the top and bottom rows consist entirely of 0's and the remaining rows consist of positive integers. We say that such an array is a **Y**-frieze pattern of width r if every local configuration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \\ W & & E \\ & S & \end{array}$$

satisfies the **Y**-diamond rule

$$WE = (1 + N)(1 + S).$$

Given a **Y**-frieze pattern as in (1.2), we call the first non-trivial row

$$\cdots \quad y_1 \quad y_2 \quad y_3 \quad y_4 \quad y_5 \quad \cdots$$

the **Y**-quiddity cycle. By the **Y**-diamond rule, a **Y**-frieze pattern is determined by its **Y**-quiddity cycle. The following theorem shows that **Y**-frieze patterns and Coxeter frieze patterns are intimately linked.

Theorem A. *Each Coxeter frieze pattern of width r as in (1.1) gives rise to a unique **Y**-frieze pattern of width r as in (1.2) by setting $y_i = b_i$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Recall that a *glide reflection* is a composition of a reflection and a translation. We denote by \mathbf{F}_r the unique glide reflection whose square amounts to a horizontal translation by $r + 3$. In [Cox71], Coxeter showed that every Coxeter frieze pattern of width r is \mathbf{F}_r -invariant, a property commonly referred to as the *glide symmetry* of Coxeter frieze patterns. Remarkably, **Y**-frieze patterns satisfy the same symmetry.

Theorem B. *Every **Y**-frieze pattern of width r is \mathbf{F}_r -invariant.*

It was recently shown in [Mul23] that the entries in a frieze pattern of width r admit (explicit) upper bounds, which in turn implies there are finitely many friezes of width r . Whilst this is not clear from the definition, similar statements hold for **Y**-frieze patterns.

Theorem C. *There are finitely many **Y**-frieze patterns of width r .*

In [CC73], Coxeter and Conway constructed a beautiful bijection between Coxeter frieze patterns of width r and triangulations of the regular $(r + 3)$ -gon. It is a well-known combinatorial fact that triangulations of the $(r + 3)$ -gon are counted by the Catalan number C_{r+1} , where

$$C_r = \frac{1}{r + 1} \binom{2r}{r}.$$

Conjecture. 1) Every \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern of width r arises from a Coxeter frieze pattern of width r as in Theorem A.

2) When r is even, there are C_{r+1} -many \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns of width r .

3) When r is odd, there are at most C_{r+1} -many \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns of width r .

The elementary nature of Coxeter’s frieze patterns conceals a rich algebraic and combinatorial theory related to cluster algebras \mathcal{A} (in the sense of Fomin and Zelevinsky) of type A_n . Unveiling this connection has led to the notion of frieze patterns [ARS10, KS11, MG15], and their algebraic counterparts called \mathcal{A} -friezes [GM22, dSGHL23], associated to any acyclic cluster algebra \mathcal{A} . Intuitively, we think of a frieze pattern as the *elementary shadow* of an \mathcal{A} -frieze.

Each (acyclic) cluster algebra \mathcal{A} naturally belongs to a so-called (acyclic) *cluster ensemble* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}, p)$, where \mathcal{X} is often called the *Poisson cluster algebra* and p the *ensemble map*. Our motivation for the notion of \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns was a search for (the *elementary shadow* of) the “correct” \mathcal{X} -analog of \mathcal{A} -friezes. The aim of this article is to define \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to an arbitrary acyclic cluster ensemble, expose their \mathcal{X} -nature and study their properties in the context of cluster algebras and cluster ensembles.

1.2. Main results. In §2.2, we define frieze patterns and \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to an arbitrary symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix A (Definition 2.3). Since their definitions are subtraction and division-free, we allow frieze patterns and \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns to take values in an arbitrary semi-ring S (whose definition is recalled in §2.1), thus unifying various notions of patterns appearing in the literature (Remark 2.5 and Remark 2.6).

Using the machinery of cluster algebra mutations, S. Morier-Genoud defined in [MG12] a large class of frieze patterns called *unitary frieze patterns*. After recalling some notions of mutation in §2.4, we define *unitary \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns* in §2.5. The following summarises the main results in §2.

Theorem. (Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.15) *Fix an arbitrary symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix A and a semi-ring S . Denote by $\text{Frieze}(A, S)$, resp. by $\text{YFrieze}(A, S)$, the set of S -valued frieze patterns, resp. \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns, associated to A .*

1) *One has a well-defined map*

$$p_{A,S} : \text{Frieze}(A, S) \longrightarrow \text{YFrieze}(A, S).$$

2) *If $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, S)$ is unitary, then $p_{A,S}(f) \in \text{YFrieze}(A, S)$ is unitary.*

In §3, we introduce the \mathbf{Y} -belt algebra (Definition 3.4), which we use to define the algebraic counterpart of \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns (see Definition 3.6). It follows rather easily from our setup that \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns and their algebraic counterparts are equivalent (Proposition 3.7). This formulation allows us to establish a precise connection between $p_{A,S}$ and the ensemble map p (Corollary 3.8).

In §4, we study \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to 2×2 symmetrisable Cartan matrices. The main observation here is that the \mathbf{Y} -belt algebra associated to a given A is naturally isomorphic to a *generalised cluster algebra*, and this isomorphism pulls back (the algebraic counterpart of)

Y-frieze patterns to friezes of generalised cluster algebras (Proposition 4.6). Using this, we determine the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -valued **Y**-frieze patterns in rank 2 (Theorem 4.8).

In §5, we turn to an investigation of **Y**-frieze patterns when the associated Cartan matrix A is of finite type. Under some mild condition on the semi-ring S (see (5.30)), we determine the symmetry of S -valued frieze patterns and S -valued **Y**-frieze patterns (Theorem 5.2), generalising the well-known *glide symmetry* of Coxeter frieze patterns. In §5.2, we study the problem of enumerating the set of S -valued **Y**-frieze patterns when S is the tropical semi-ring (Proposition 5.3) and the semi-ring of positive integers (Theorem 5.5).

In this article, **Y**-frieze patterns are associated to an arbitrary Cartan matrix A . One deduces Theorems A, B and C in §1.1 from Theorems 2.8, 5.2 and 5.5 respectively, by taking A of type A_n with the standard labelling (c.f. §1.4).

1.3. Acknowledgements. This article began during the author's stay at the MFO in Oberwolfach; we would like to thank the organisers for a wonderful working environment. Preliminary results concerning this work were discussed with S. Morier-Genoud and V. Ovsienko during the author's visit to the University of Champagne-Ardenne. We thank S. Morier-Genoud and V. Ovsienko for their warm welcome, helpful discussions and general enthusiasm for the work presented here. After a first version of this article appeared, we learnt of the proof of Theorem 5.5 from private communication with Greg Muller, whom we thank. We also thank J.-H. Lu for helpful comments on preliminary versions of this article. The author has been partially supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China (GRF 17307718).

1.4. Notation. For any integer r , we use $[1, r]$ to denote the set of all integers k from 1 to r . Elements in \mathbb{Z}^n for any integer $n \geq 1$ are regarded as column vectors unless otherwise specified. The standard basis vectors in \mathbb{Z}^n are denoted by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n .

For a real number a , let $[a]_+ = \max(a, 0)$. The transpose of M is denoted by M^T .

Suppose that A is an Abelian group. For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ and $L = (l_1, \dots, l_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we write $\mathbf{a}^L = a_1^{l_1} \dots a_n^{l_n} \in A$, and for L an $n \times m$ integral matrix with columns L_1, \dots, L_m , we write $\mathbf{a}^L = (\mathbf{a}^{L_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{L_m}) \in A^m$.

Given $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ with $v_i, w_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{w}$ to mean $v_i \leq w_i, i \in [1, n]$.

Terminology. By a *symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix* $A = (a_{i,j})$ we mean a symmetrisable integer matrix such that $a_{i,i} = 2$, $a_{i,j} \leq 0$ with $a_{i,j} = 0$ if and only if $a_{j,i} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. A Cartan matrix is of *finite type* if all its principal minors are positive, and of *infinite type* otherwise. By a Cartan matrix of finite type with the *standard labelling*, we mean any Cartan matrix of the form given in [Kac83, p.43].

A Cartan matrix is *decomposable* if there exists a non-empty proper subset $I \subset [1, r]$ such that $a_{i,j} = 0$ whenever $i \in I$ and $j \in [1, r] \setminus I$. A Cartan matrix which is not decomposable is called *indecomposable*.

2. Y-FRIEZE PATTERNS AND THEIR PROPERTIES

2.1. **Semi-rings and semi-fields.** Recall ([BFZ96, §2.1]) that a *semi-ring* $S = (S, \cdot, +)$ (with 1 and without 0) consists of a set S and two binary operations $\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S$ (called multiplication) and $+$: $S \times S \rightarrow S$ (called addition) such that

- 1) multiplication is associative and commutative, with identity element 1;
- 2) addition is associative and commutative, without 0;
- 3) distributivity: $(a + b)c = ac + bc$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.

Example 2.1. Our main examples of semi-rings are as follows.

1) The semi-ring $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = (\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \cdot, +)$ of positive integers with the usual addition and multiplication.

2) The *tropical semi-ring* $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\max} = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, +, \max)$ consisting of the set of non-negative integers with semi-ring multiplication the usual addition of integers and semi-ring addition the binary operation $(a, b) \mapsto \max(a, b)$. \diamond

Recall that a semi-ring $(S, \cdot, +)$ is called a *semi-field* if S forms a group under multiplication.

Example 2.2. Our main examples of semi-fields are as follows.

1) The semi-field $\mathbb{Q}_{>0} = (\mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \cdot, +)$ of positive rational numbers equipped with the usual addition and multiplication.

2) The *universal semi-field of rank r* , denoted $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}(z_1, \dots, z_r)$, which consists of the set of non-zero subtraction-free expressions in algebraically independent variables z_1, \dots, z_r equipped with the operations inherited from the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_r)$.

3) The *tropical semi-field* $\mathbb{Z}^{\max} = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \max)$. \diamond

2.2. **Frieze patterns and Y-frieze patterns.** We now introduce the main objects of study of this article. Fix a positive integer r .

Definition 2.3. Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be any $r \times r$ symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix, and let S be any semi-ring.

1) [GM22, Definition 6.2] An *S -valued frieze pattern* associated to A is a map $f : [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S$ such that

$$f(i, m) f(i, m + 1) = 1 + \prod_{j=i+1}^r f(j, m)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f(j, m + 1)^{-a_{j,i}}, \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3)$$

2) An *S -valued Y-frieze pattern* associated to A is a map $k : [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S$ such that

$$k(i, m) k(i, m + 1) = \prod_{j=i+1}^r (1 + k(j, m))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + k(j, m + 1))^{-a_{j,i}}, \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\text{Frieze}(A, S)$, resp. $\text{YFrieze}(A, S)$, be the set of all S -valued frieze patterns, resp. **Y**-frieze patterns, associated to A . S -valued frieze patterns and S -valued **Y**-frieze patterns are also said to *take values in S* . \diamond

Cartan type	Arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern								
A_2	\dots	2	1	3	1	2	2	\dots	
		\dots	1	2	2	1	3	1	\dots
G_2	\dots	3	3	3	3	3	3	\dots	
		\dots	8	8	8	8	8	8	\dots
$A_1^{(1)}$	\dots	169	4	1	25	1156	\dots		
		\dots	25	1	4	169	7921	\dots	
A_3		2	2	2	2	2	2		
	\dots	3	3	3	3	3	3	\dots	
			2	2	2	2	2		

FIGURE 1. Arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. In type A_r , one obtains a \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern of width r (c.f. 1.2) by adding a top and bottom row of 0's.

Notation 2.4. It is convenient to visualise $[1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$ as an infinite grid of r -many staggered rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & (1, -1) & & (1, 0) & & (1, 1) & & (1, 2) & \dots \\
 & \dots & (2, -1) & & (2, 0) & & (2, 1) & & (2, 2) & \dots \\
 & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & \dots \\
 & & & \dots & (r, -1) & & (r, 0) & & (r, 1) & & (r, 2) & \dots
 \end{array}$$

An S -valued frieze pattern f (resp. an S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern k) is then an assignment of an element $f(i, m) \in S$ (resp. of an element $k(i, m) \in S$) for each grid point (i, m) of $[1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$, such that (2.3) (resp. (2.4)) holds. \diamond

Remark 2.5. The study of frieze patterns taking values in certain semi-rings has a long history.

- Frieze patterns taking values in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are called *arithmetic frieze patterns* in [MG12], see also [ARS10, GM22, MG15]. In particular, when A is of type A_n with the standard labelling, arithmetic frieze patterns are the so-called Coxeter frieze patterns, introduced by Coxeter [Cox71] in the 1970s.
- Frieze patterns taking values in the tropical semi-field \mathbb{Z}^{\max} are called *tropical friezes* in [Guo13]. Tropical friezes were recently studied in the context of the Fock-Goncharov duality conjecture in [CdSGL23].
- Let S be the universal semi-field of rank r , with generators X_1, \dots, X_r . The S -valued frieze pattern F associated to A such that

$$F(i, 0) = X_i, \quad i \in [1, r],$$

is called the *generic frieze pattern* (*generalised frieze pattern* in [KS11]) associated to A .

The notion of S -valued frieze patterns given in 1) of Definition 2.3 places all the examples above under the same definition. \diamond

Remark 2.6. The notion of **Y**-frieze patterns taking values in an arbitrary semi-ring S appears to be new. **Y**-frieze patterns taking values in specific semi-rings have appeared in the literature, but have received far less attention than frieze patterns.

- **Y**-frieze patterns taking values in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are called *arithmetic Y-frieze patterns*. **Y**-frieze patterns of width r as defined in the Introduction are arithmetic **Y**-frieze patterns associated to a Cartan matrix of type A_r with the standard labelling. Examples of arithmetic **Y**-frieze patterns are given in Figure 1.
- \mathbb{Z}^{\max} -valued **Y**-frieze patterns are called *cluster-additive functions*. They were introduced by Ringel in [Rin12]. See [CdSGL23] for more.
- Let S be the universal semi-field of rank r , with generators Y_1, \dots, Y_r . The S -valued **Y**-frieze pattern G associated to A such that

$$G(i, 0) = Y_i, \quad i \in [1, r]$$

is called the *generic Y-frieze pattern* associated to A in [CdSGL23, §1]. Generic **Y**-frieze patterns are a generalisation of the Y -systems considered in [FZ07, §8] to study Zamolodchikov's periodicity conjecture. An example of a generic **Y**-frieze pattern is given in Figure 2.

◇

Consider the total order on $[1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$(i, m) < (j, n) \iff m < n \quad \text{or} \quad m = n \text{ and } i < j. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let A be any $r \times r$ symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix, S any semi-field. One has bijections*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Frieze}(A, S) &\longrightarrow S^r, & f &\mapsto (f(1, 0), \dots, f(r, 0)) \\ \text{YFrieze}(A, S) &\longrightarrow S^r, & k &\mapsto (k(1, 0), \dots, k(r, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Given $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in S^r$, the unique $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, S), k \in \text{YFrieze}(A, S)$ such that

$$(f(1, 0), \dots, f(r, 0)) = \mathbf{s} \quad \text{and} \quad (k(1, 0), \dots, k(r, 0)) = \mathbf{s},$$

are denoted $f = f_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $k = k_{\mathbf{s}}$ respectively. The procedure, recursive with respect to the total order (2.5), for computing the values of $f_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $k_{\mathbf{s}}$ from \mathbf{s} is called the *knitting algorithm* [Kel10].

Proof. Since S is a semi-field, each f is uniquely determined by $(f(1, 0), \dots, f(r, 0)) \in S^r$, by solving (2.4) recursively using the total order (2.5) on $[1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to check that two S -valued frieze patterns coincide if and only if their restriction to the points $(1, 0), \dots, (r, 0)$ coincide. The same argument holds for **Y**-frieze patterns. \square

When S is a semi-ring which is not a semi-field, the knitting algorithm fails to produce well-defined S -valued maps on $[1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$ in general, since solutions to (2.3) or (2.4) in S may not exist. As we shall see in §5, this leads to interesting enumeration problems which depend both on S and on A .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& Y_1 & \frac{Y_2+1}{Y_1} & & \frac{\Delta}{Y_2(1+Y_2)} & & \frac{Y_2+1}{Y_3} \\
\cdots & & Y_2 & \frac{(1+Y_1+Y_2)(1+Y_3)}{Y_1Y_2} & & \frac{(1+Y_1)(1+Y_2+Y_3)}{Y_2Y_3} & & Y_2 & \cdots \\
& & & Y_3 & & \frac{\Delta}{Y_1Y_2Y_3} & & Y_1 & & \frac{Y_2+1}{Y_1}
\end{array}$$

where $\Delta = Y_1Y_2 + Y_1Y_3 + Y_2Y_3 + Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + 1$.

FIGURE 2. The generic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern of type A_3 .

2.3. Constructing \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns from frieze patterns. In this subsection, we establish a close connection between frieze patterns and \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns.

Theorem 2.8. *Let A be any $r \times r$ symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix and S any semi-ring. One has the set-theoretic map*

$$p_{A,S} : \text{Frieze}(A, S) \longrightarrow \text{YFrieze}(A, S), \quad f \longmapsto p_{A,S}(f),$$

given by

$$p_{A,S}(f)(i, m) = \prod_{j=i+1}^r f(j, m)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f(j, m+1)^{-a_{j,i}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. We fix $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, S)$ and $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$L = p_{A,S}(f)(i, m) p_{A,S}(f)(i, m+1),$$

and

$$R = \prod_{j=i+1}^r (1 + p_{A,S}(f)(j, m))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + p_{A,S}(f)(j, m+1))^{-a_{j,i}}.$$

We need to show that $p_{A,S}(f)$ satisfies the relation (2.4), i.e. that $L = R$.

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \prod_{j=i+1}^r f(j, m)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f(j, m+1)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=i+1}^r f(j, m+1)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f(j, m+2)^{-a_{j,i}} \\
&= \prod_{j=i+1}^r (f(j, m)f(j, m+1))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (f(j, m+1)f(j, m+2))^{-a_{j,i}} \\
&= \prod_{j=i+1}^r (1 + p_{A,S}(f)(j, m))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + p_{A,S}(f)(j, m+1))^{-a_{j,i}} = R,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used (2.3). \square

In general, the map $p_{A,S}$ is neither injective nor surjective, as the following example shows.

Example 2.9. Let A be of type A_3 with the standard labelling, let $S = \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and set $\mathbf{s} = (1, 2, 3)$, $\mathbf{t} = (3, 2, 1)$, $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1, 1)$. Consider $f_{\mathbf{s}}, f_{\mathbf{t}} \in \text{Frieze}(A, S)$ and $k_{\mathbf{v}} \in \text{YFrieze}(A, S)$ (see Lemma 2.7 for the notation). It is readily checked that $p_{A,S}(f_{\mathbf{s}}) = p_{A,S}(f_{\mathbf{t}})$ and that $k_{\mathbf{v}} \notin \text{Image}(p_{A,S})$. \diamond

On the other hand, it is easy to find pairs (A, S) for which $p_{A,S}$ is i) bijective (see Corollary 5.4); ii) injective and not surjective (type C_2 with $S = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$); iii) surjective and not injective (type A_3 with $S = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). It would be interesting to study this further.

2.4. Background on matrix, A and Y-patterns. In this subsection, we recall various patterns which arise in the theory of cluster algebras, following [CdSGL23]. These will be used in §2.5 to define *unitary Y-frieze patterns* and study their relation to (ordinary) unitary frieze patterns.

A *mutation matrix* of size r is any $r \times r$ integer skew-symmetrizable matrix. For a given mutation matrix $B = (b_{i,j})$ of size r and any $k \in [1, r]$, the *mutation* of B in direction k is the integer matrix $\mu_k(B) = (b'_{i,j})$ where

$$b'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -b_{i,j} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ b_{i,j} + [b_{i,k}]_+ + [b_{k,j}]_+ - [-b_{i,k}]_+ - [-b_{k,j}]_+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Let \mathbb{T}_r be an r -regular tree with the r edges from each vertex labeled bijectively by the set $[1, r]$, and we write $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$ if the vertices t and t' in \mathbb{T}_r are joined by an edge labeled by $k \in [1, r]$. We also fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_r$ and call it *the root* of \mathbb{T}_r .

Definition 2.10. A *matrix pattern* of rank r is an assignment, denoted $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$, of a mutation matrix B_t of size r to every vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ such that $\mu_k(B_t) = B_{t'}$ whenever $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$. \diamond

Let \mathbb{F} be a field isomorphic to the field of rational functions over \mathbb{Q} in r independent variables. A *seed* in \mathbb{F} is a pair (\mathbf{z}, B) , where \mathbf{z} is an ordered set of free generators of \mathbb{F} over \mathbb{Q} and B is a mutation matrix of size r .

Given a seed (\mathbf{x}, B) in \mathbb{F} and $k \in [1, r]$, the **A-mutation** of (\mathbf{x}, B) in direction k is the seed $(\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x'_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r), \mu_k(B))$, where $\mu_k(B)$ is given in (2.7) and

$$x'_k = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{[b_{j,k}]_+} + \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{[-b_{j,k}]_+}}{x_k}. \quad (2.8)$$

Definition 2.11. 1) An **A-pattern** in \mathbb{F} is an assignment $\{(\mathbf{x}_t, B_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ of a seed (\mathbf{x}_t, B_t) in \mathbb{F} to each $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ such that $(\mathbf{x}_{t'}, B_{t'})$ is the **A-mutation** of (\mathbf{x}_t, B_t) in direction k whenever $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$.

2) The *positive space associated to an A-pattern* $\{(\mathbf{x}_t, B_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ with $B_{t_0} = B$ is the pair $\mathbb{A}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B), \{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r})$. We also call \mathbb{A}_B *the positive A-space associated to B*.

3) We call each \mathbf{x}_t a *cluster* of \mathbb{A}_B , each $x \in \mathbf{x}_t$ a *cluster variable* of \mathbb{A}_B , monomials of cluster variables in a single cluster *cluster monomials* of \mathbb{A}_B , and $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ the *matrix pattern* of \mathbb{A}_B . \diamond

Given a seed (\mathbf{y}, B) in \mathbb{F} and $k \in [1, r]$, the **Y-mutation** of (\mathbf{y}, B) in direction k is the seed $(\mathbf{y}', \mu_k(B))$, where $\mu_k(B)$ is given in (2.7) and $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_r)$ is given by

$$y'_j = \begin{cases} y_k^{-1}, & \text{if } j = k; \\ y_j y_k^{[b_{k,j}]_+} (1 + y_k)^{-b_{k,j}}, & \text{if } j \neq k. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.12. 1) A **Y-pattern** in \mathbb{F} is an assignment $\{(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ of a seed (\mathbf{y}_t, B_t) in \mathbb{F} to each $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ such that $(\mathbf{y}_{t'}, B_{t'})$ is the **Y-mutation** of (\mathbf{y}_t, B_t) in direction k whenever $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$.

2) The *positive space associated to a \mathbf{Y} -pattern* $\{(\mathbf{y}_t, B_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ with $B_{t_0} = B$ is the pair $\mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r})$. We also call \mathbb{Y}_B *the positive \mathbf{Y} -space associated to B* .

3) We call each \mathbf{y}_t a *\mathbf{Y} -cluster* of \mathbb{Y}_B , each $y \in \mathbf{y}_t$ a *\mathbf{Y} -variable* of \mathbb{Y}_B , and $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ the *matrix pattern* of \mathbb{Y}_B . \diamond

Let B be an $r \times r$ mutation matrix, and consider the positive spaces

$$\mathbb{A}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B), \{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}),$$

with $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$ the matrix pattern of both \mathbb{A}_B and \mathbb{Y}_B . The pair $(\mathbb{A}_B, \mathbb{Y}_B)$ is called a *Fock-Goncharov ensemble*. The sub-algebras

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{A}_B) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}_t^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}_t^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$$

are called the *upper cluster algebra* of \mathbb{A}_B and of \mathbb{Y}_B respectively. We set

$$\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{A}_B) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}_t^{\pm 1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}_t^{\pm 1}].$$

Finally, set $\mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{A}_B) = \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(\mathbf{x}_t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ and $\mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(\mathbf{y}_t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$. The semi-field homomorphism

$$p_B^* : \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{A}_B), \quad p_B^*(\mathbf{y}_{t_0}) = \mathbf{x}_{t_0}^B \quad (2.10)$$

is called the *ensemble map* of $(\mathbb{A}_B, \mathbb{Y}_B)$ in [FG09]. Note that $p_B^*(\mathbf{y}_t) = \mathbf{x}_t^{Bt}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$.

2.5. Unitary \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. For any $r \times r$ symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$, consider the acyclic mutation matrix

$$B_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \dots & a_{1,r} \\ -a_{2,1} & 0 & a_{2,3} & \dots & a_{2,r} \\ -a_{3,1} & -a_{3,2} & 0 & \dots & a_{3,r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -a_{r,1} & -a_{r,2} & -a_{r,3} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.11)$$

and along with the positive spaces

$$\mathbb{A}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B), \{\mathbf{x}_t = (x_{t;1}, \dots, x_{t;r})\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{t;1}, \dots, y_{t;r})\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r})$$

associated to B . Recall from [dSGHL23] the 2-regular sub-tree \mathbb{T}_r^\flat of \mathbb{T}_r given by

$$\dots \xrightarrow{r-1} t(r, -2) \xrightarrow{r} t(1, -1) \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{r-1} t(r, -1) \xrightarrow{r} t(1, 0) \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{r-1} t(r, 0) \xrightarrow{r} t(1, 1), \xrightarrow{1} \dots$$

where $t(1, 0) = t_0$. One checks directly that, for every $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have $B_{t(i,m)} = B_{t(i,0)}$ and that

$$B_{t(i,m)} e_i = (-a_{1,i}, \dots, -a_{i-1,i}, 0, -a_{i+1,i}, \dots, -a_{r,i})^T \geq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

where recall that e_i denotes the i^{th} standard basis (column) vector of \mathbb{Z}^r .

For each (i, m) , we write

$$x(i, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_{t(i,m);i} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{A}_B) \quad \text{and} \quad y(i, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{t(i,m);i} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Y}_B).$$

It is well known that for each (i, m) , $x(i, m) \in \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{A}_B)$.

Lemma 2.13. [CdSGL23, §5] *For each $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$x(i, m) x(i, m + 1) = 1 + \prod_{j=i+1}^r x(j, m)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} x(j, m + 1)^{-a_{j,i}} \quad (2.13)$$

$$y(i, m) y(i, m + 1) = \prod_{j=i+1}^r (1 + y(j, m))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + y(j, m + 1))^{-a_{j,i}}, \quad (2.14)$$

and moreover $y(i, m) \in \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{Y}_B)$.

Given a cluster $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of \mathbb{A}_B , we denote by $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ the unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(x_i) = 1$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. Similarly, given a \mathbf{Y} -cluster $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ of \mathbb{Y}_B , we denote by $\phi_{\mathbf{y}}$ the unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\phi_{\mathbf{y}}(y_i) = 1$ for all $i \in [1, r]$.

Lemma-Definition 2.14. *Let A be any symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix and let $B = B_A$ as in (2.11).*

1) [MG12] *Given a cluster \mathbf{x} of \mathbb{A}_B , there exists a unique arithmetic frieze pattern f associated to A such that*

$$f(i, m) = \psi_{\mathbf{x}}(x(i, m)), \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.15)$$

Arithmetic frieze patterns arising in this way are called unitary.

2) *Given a \mathbf{Y} -cluster \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{Y}_B , there exists a unique arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern k associated to A such that*

$$k(i, m) = \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(y(i, m)), \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.16)$$

Arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns obtained in this way are called unitary.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2.13. □

A subset $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$) is called an *unordered cluster of \mathbb{A}_B* (resp. an *unordered \mathbf{Y} -cluster of \mathbb{Y}_B*) if i) σ is a generating set of $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ (resp. of $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$) over \mathbb{Q} ; ii) there exists a cluster \mathbf{x} of \mathbb{A}_B (resp. a \mathbf{Y} -cluster \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{Y}_B) such that $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{x}$ (resp. $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{y}$) for all $i \in [1, r]$. By Lemma-Definition 2.14, we have well-defined assignments

$$\{\text{unordered clusters of } \mathbb{A}_B\} \longrightarrow \text{Frieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \quad (2.17)$$

$$\{\text{unordered } \mathbf{Y}\text{-clusters of } \mathbb{Y}_B\} \longrightarrow \text{YFrieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \quad (2.18)$$

It is by now well-known (see e.g. [GM22, Proposition 3.17]) that (2.17) is injective, but in general not surjective (e.g. in type G_2).

On the other hand, the assignment (2.18) is not injective in general. This can be seen already in type A_1 : there are exactly two \mathbf{Y} -clusters, both giving rise to the same arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern. Furthermore, (2.18) is in general not surjective either. This is the case in type G_2 , where there are 8 unordered \mathbf{Y} -clusters but 21 arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns (see Theorem 4.8).

Theorem 2.15. *Let A be any symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix and let $S = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, S)$ is unitary, then $p_{A,S}(f) \in \text{YFrieze}(A, S)$ is unitary.*

m	0	1	2	3	4
$y(1, m)$	y_1	$\frac{1+(1+y_1)y_2}{y_1}$	$\frac{1+(1+y_2)y_3}{y_2}$	$\frac{1}{y_3}$	$(1 + (1 + y_1)y_2)y_3$
$y(2, m)$	$(1 + y_1)y_2$	Δ	$\frac{1+y_3}{y_2y_3}$	$(1 + y_1)y_2$	Δ
$y(3, m)$	$(1 + (1 + y_1)y_2)y_3$	$\frac{1+(1+y_2)y_3}{y_1y_2y_3}$	y_1	$\frac{1+(1+y_1)y_2}{y_1}$	$\frac{1+(1+y_2)y_3}{y_2}$

$$\Delta = \frac{1+y_2+(1+(2+y_1)y_2+(1+y_1)y_2^2)y_3}{y_1y_2}.$$

FIGURE 3. Expression of $y(i, m)$'s as Laurent polynomials in the initial \mathbf{Y} -cluster in type A_3 .

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$, and suppose that f is unitary. By definition, there exists a cluster $\mathbf{x}_t = (x_{t,1}, \dots, x_{t,r})$, $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ of \mathbb{A}_B such that $f(i, m) = \psi_{\mathbf{x}_t}(x(i, m))$ for each $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$. Following [dSGHL23, Lemma 5.4], one can show that

$$x_{t(i,m)} = (x(1, m+1), \dots, x(i-1, m+1), x(i, m), x(i+1, m), \dots, x(r, m)). \quad (2.19)$$

Combining (2.19) with (2.12), we deduce that

$$p_{A,S}(f)(i, m) = \psi_{\mathbf{x}_t}(\mathbf{x}_{t(i,m)})^{B_{t(i,m)}e_i}. \quad (2.20)$$

Denote by $\Psi_t : \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{A}_B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $\Phi_t : \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ the semi-field homomorphisms determined by $\Psi_t(x_{t,i}) = 1$ and $\Phi_t(y_{t,i}) = 1$ respectively, for $i \in [1, r]$. Set $R_t = \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{A}_B) \cap \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}_t^{\pm 1}]$ and $R'_t = \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \cap \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}_t^{\pm 1}]$. Note that R_t, R'_t are closed under multiplication, $x(i, m) \in R_t$ by the Laurent phenomenon [FZ07], $y(i, m) \in R'_t$ by Lemma 2.13 and

$$\Psi_t|_{R_t} = \psi_{\mathbf{x}_t}|_{R_t}, \quad \Phi_t|_{R'_t} = \phi_{\mathbf{y}_t}|_{R'_t} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_t \circ p_B^* = \Phi_t. \quad (2.21)$$

By applying (2.21) to (2.20), we obtain

$$p_{A,S}(f)(i, m) = \Psi_t(\mathbf{x}_{t(i,m)}^{B_{t(i,m)}e_i}) = \Psi_t \circ p_B^*(y(i, m)) = \Phi_t(y(i, m)) = \phi_{\mathbf{y}_t}(y(i, m)).$$

□

We conclude this section with an extended example in type A_3 .

Example 2.16. Let A be of type A_3 with the standard labelling, set $B = B_A$ as in (2.11), and consider the positive space

$$\mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{t,1}, y_{t,2}, y_{t,3})\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_3}).$$

At $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_3$, we write $\mathbf{y}_{t_0} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$. Note that $y(i, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}, y_3^{\pm 1}]$ by Lemma 2.13; see Figure 3 for the Laurent expansion of a few $y(i, m)$'s (in fact, one can show that Figure 3 contains all the $y(i, m)$'s; see §5.1).

According to (2.18), the unordered \mathbf{Y} -cluster $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ gives rise to a well-defined arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern which we denote by k . The first few values of k , obtained by setting $y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 1$ in the Laurent expansion of each $y(i, m)$, are displayed below (c.f. Notation 2.4 for the layout).

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & \\
 \dots & & 2 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 8 & 2 & \dots \\
 & & & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1
 \end{array} \quad \diamond$$

3. THE Y-CLUSTER STRUCTURE OF Y-FRIEZE PATTERNS

3.1. **Friezes of positive spaces.** Let B be any $r \times r$ mutation matrix, not necessarily $B = B_A$ and consider the Fock-Goncharov ensemble

$$\mathbb{A}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A}_B), \{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}),$$

with common matrix pattern $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_r}$. Recall from [GHKK18, CdSGL23] that an element $x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ is called a *global monomial on \mathbb{A}_B* if there exist $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $x = \mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{m}}$. Similarly, an element $y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ is called a *global monomial on \mathbb{Y}_B* if there exist $t \in \mathbb{T}_r$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $y = \mathbf{y}_t^{\mathbf{m}}$. It is well-known that the cluster monomials of \mathbb{A}_B are precisely all global monomials on \mathbb{A}_B .

Definition 3.1. [GHKK18, Definition 0.1] Let $V = \mathbb{A}_B$ or \mathbb{Y}_B .

1) The *cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(V)$* of V is the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(V)$ generated by global monomials on V .

2) A *frieze of $\mathcal{A}(V)$* is a ring homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

taking positive integer values at every global monomial on V . \(\diamond\)

When $V = \mathbb{A}_B$, this definition agrees with [dSGHL23, Definition 3.1] and [GM22, Definition 3.2]. When $V = \mathbb{Y}_B$, this definition appears to be new. Examples of friezes of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ when B is of size 2 will be given in §4. For now, let us illustrate how friezes of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ and friezes of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ for the same B can exhibit very different behaviours.

Example 3.2. Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the mutation matrix associated to the Markov quiver. On the one hand, there are infinitely many friezes of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$. On the other hand, there is only *one* frieze of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$. Indeed, one can check that the matrix pattern $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_3}$ obtained by setting $B_{t_0} = B$ contains exactly two matrices, B and $-B$. Using [CdSGL23, Lemma 3.3], we see that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \cong \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$. The single frieze of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ is then given by

$$\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad T \longmapsto 1. \quad \diamond$$

Whilst $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ has been a central object in cluster theory since the theory’s inception in the early 2000s, relatively little is known about $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$. For this reason, we conclude this subsection by defining a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}(V)$ which we believe “approximates” $\mathcal{A}(V)$ well. Whilst this sub-algebra will not play an important role in this article, we believe it may prove useful in the future.

Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ the set of cluster variables of \mathbb{A}_B , and by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ the set of **Y**-variables of \mathbb{Y}_B . Let $V = \mathbb{A}_B$ or \mathbb{Y}_B . Recall [CdSGL23, §3.5] that elements in $\mathfrak{X}(V) \cap \mathcal{U}(V)$ are called *global*

variables on V . By the Laurent phenomenon, the cluster variables of \mathbb{A}_B are precisely *all* global variables on \mathbb{A}_B . On the other hand, not every \mathbf{Y} -variable of \mathbb{Y}_B is a global variable on \mathbb{Y}_B .

Definition 3.3. Let $V = \mathbb{A}_B$ or \mathbb{Y}_B . The *variable algebra* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(V)$ of V is the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(V)$ generated by the global variables on V . \diamond

For all mutation matrices, it is clear that $\mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{A}_B) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ and that

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B). \quad (3.22)$$

In the setup of Example 3.2, one can show that the variable algebra is \mathbb{Z} . In other words, there exist mutation matrices B such that the first inclusion in (3.22) is strict.

3.2. Friezes and \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. We now relate the algebraic notions of friezes defined in §3.1 to the elementary notions of frieze patterns and \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns of §2. Let us begin once again by fixing a symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ and setting $B = B_A$ as in (2.11). Recall the definition of $x(i, m)$ and $y(i, m)$ from §2.5.

Definition 3.4. We continue with the notation above.

- 1) The *belt algebra* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ is the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ generated by $\{x(i, m)\}_{(i,m) \in [1,r] \times \mathbb{Z}}$.
- 2) The *\mathbf{Y} -belt algebra* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ is the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ generated by $\{y(i, m)\}_{(i,m) \in [1,r] \times \mathbb{Z}}$.

By definition, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$. The following proposition shows that the two algebras coincide.

Proposition 3.5. *The set $\{x(i, 0), x(i, 1) : i \in [1, r]\}$ generates $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ as a ring. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{A}_B) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$.*

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \dots, z_{2r}]$ be the polynomial ring in $2r$ variables. The results in [dSGHL23, §6.3] imply that there exists a *surjective* ring homomorphism

$$\psi^{\text{BFZ}} : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B),$$

such that $\psi^{\text{BFZ}}(z_i) = x(i, 0)$ and $\psi^{\text{BFZ}}(z_{r+i}) = x(i, 1)$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. The claim then follows. \square

Suppose that g is a frieze of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$. Setting

$$f(i, m) = g(x(i, m)), \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z},$$

it is easy to see that $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ (see [dSGHL23, §5.3] for more). In this case we say that f is *obtained from g by evaluation*. Proposition 3.5 and [dSGHL23, Proposition 5.11] guarantee that the converse holds: *every $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ is obtained from a frieze of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ by evaluation*.

Turning now to the \mathbf{Y} -spaces, recall that we have the following chain of inclusions:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B). \quad (3.23)$$

When B has size 2, we show in Lemma 4.5, Corollary 4.7 that the inclusions in (3.23) are all equalities. When B is of finite type, it was shown in [CdSGL23, Theorem B] the set $\{y(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$ contains all global variables on \mathbb{Y}_B , and thus $\mathcal{A}^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ in this case. It would be interesting to investigate the “gaps” between the rings in (3.23), if they exist.

Definition 3.6. By a *frieze* of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ we mean a ring homomorphism

$$h : \mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

taking positive integer values on the set $\{y(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$. \diamond

Suppose that h is a frieze of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$. Setting

$$k(i, m) = h(y(i, m)), \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$$

and applying h to both sides of (2.14), we see that $k \in \text{YFrieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. In this case, we say that k is *obtained from h by evaluation*. The following proposition says that this procedure establishes a bijection between **Y**-frieze patterns associated to A and friezes of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Every arithmetic **Y**-frieze pattern associated to A is obtained from a (necessarily unique) frieze of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ by evaluation.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows from the fact that the set $\{y(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$ generates $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$. It remains to show that every arithmetic **Y**-frieze pattern associated to A arises in this way. Suppose that $f \in \text{YFrieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. Denote by $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ the **Y**-cluster of \mathbb{Y}_B at $t_0 = t(1, 0)$. By a direct computation (see e.g. [CdSGL23, proof of Theorem 5.2]), we know that

$$y_i = y(i, 0) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + y(j, 0))^{a_{j,i}}, \quad i \in [1, r].$$

Define

$$h : \mathbb{Z}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_r^{\pm 1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad y_i \longmapsto f(i, 0) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + f(j, 0))^{a_{j,i}}.$$

By Lemma 2.13, h restricts to a ring homomorphism, also denoted by h , from $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ to \mathbb{Q} which takes positive values on every $y(i, m)$. It is not hard to check that

$$h(y(i, 0)) = f(i, 0), \quad i \in [1, r].$$

By applying h to both sides of (2.14) and reasoning inductively with respect to the total order (2.5), we see that $h(y(i, m)) = f(i, m)$ for all (i, m) . Since f is $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -valued by assumption, $h(\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. h is a frieze of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ and we are done. \square

We conclude this section by establishing the connection between $p_{A,S}$ given in §2.3 and the (pullback of the) ensemble map p_B^* defined in §2.4. To do so, note that the semi-field homomorphism $p_B^* : \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{>0}(\mathbb{A}_B)$ defined in (2.10) uniquely determines a ring homomorphism $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{A}_B)$, which we also denote by p_B^* . It is straightforward to show that

$$p_B^*(y(i, m)) = \mathbf{x}_{t(i,m)}^{B_{t(i,m)} e_i} \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \hat{y}(i, m), \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$$

which by (2.12) and (2.19) is a monomial in the variables $\{x(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$. Thus, p_B^* restricts to a ring homomorphism

$$\tilde{p}_B^* \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} p_B^*|_{\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)} : \mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B).$$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $S = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $f \in \text{Frieze}(A, S)$. If f is obtained from the frieze $g : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{A}_B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by evaluation, then $p_{A,S}(f)$ is obtained from $g \circ \tilde{p}_B^* : \mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ via evaluation.*

4. Y-FRIEZE PATTERNS IN RANK 2

4.1. Background on generalised cluster algebras. In this subsection, we recall some facts about generalised cluster algebras of rank 2. For a more detailed discussion, see [Rup13].

Fix a, b two positive integers. Let n be a positive integer, t a formal variable and set $P_n(t) = (1+t)^n$. It is clear that P_n is *monic* and that $t^n P(t^{-1}) = P(t)$, i.e. P_n is *palindromic*. Let x_1, x_2 be two formal commuting variables, and define $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ recursively by the relations

$$x_k x_{k+2} = \begin{cases} P_c(x_{k+1}), & k \text{ odd,} \\ P_b(x_{k+1}), & k \text{ even.} \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{U}(P_b, P_c) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x_k^{\pm 1}, x_{k+1}^{\pm 1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^+(P_b, P_c) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_k^{\pm 1}, x_{k+1}^{\pm 1}]$$

and denote by $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ the sub-algebra of $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2)$ generated by $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ is a *generalised cluster algebra* in the sense of [Rup13]. Each x_k is called a *generalised cluster variable* and each pair (x_k, x_{k+1}) a *generalised cluster* of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. Monomials in variables in a generalised cluster are called *generalised cluster monomials* of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$.

Example 4.1. 1) Let $b = c = 1$. Then $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ is the (ordinary) cluster algebra of type A_2 .

2) Let $b = 2, c = 1$. Then $x_k = x_{k+6}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= \frac{x_2 + 1}{x_1}, & x_5 &= \frac{x_1^2 + 2x_1 + x_2 + 1}{x_1 x_2}, \\ x_4 &= \frac{(1 + x_1 + x_2)^2}{x_1^2 x_2}, & x_6 &= \frac{(1 + x_1)^2}{x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

3) Let $b = 3, c = 1$. Then $x_k = x_{k+8}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= \frac{1 + x_2}{x_1}, & x_5 &= \frac{(1 + x_1)^3 + 3x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + 2x_2}{x_1^2 x_2}, & x_7 &= \frac{(1 + x_1)^3 + x_2}{x_1 x_2}, \\ x_4 &= \frac{(1 + x_1 + x_2)^3}{x_1^3 x_2}, & x_6 &= \frac{(x_1^2 + 2x_1 + x_2 + 1)^3}{x_1^3 x_2^2}, & x_8 &= \frac{(1 + x_1)^3}{x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The following is a summary of results we will need.

Lemma 4.2. [Rup13, Theorems 1.1, 2.4, 2.5] *The following statements hold.*

- 1) $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c) = \mathcal{U}(P_b, P_c)$.
- 2) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, x_{k+3}\}$ is a generating set of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ as a ring.
- 3) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x_k \in \mathcal{U}^+(P_b, P_c)$.

We now introduce friezes of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$.

Definition 4.3. A *frieze* of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ is a ring homomorphism $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which takes positive integer values at all generalised cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. \diamond

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.8.

Lemma 4.4. *Given a generalised cluster $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$, the ring homomorphism*

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \psi_{\mathbf{x}}(x_i) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

restricts to a frieze of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. Moreover, distinct (as unordered sets) generalised clusters give rise to distinct friezes of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$.

Proof. The restriction of $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ to $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ is obviously a frieze of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$, thanks to 3) in Lemma 4.2. Now suppose that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2)$ are two distinct generalised clusters such that $\psi_{\mathbf{x}} = \psi_{\mathbf{z}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z_1 \notin \{x_1, x_2\}$. On the one hand, $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(z_1) = 1$, and on the other $z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$ by Lemma 4.2. This implies that z_1 is a Laurent monomial of x_1 and x_2 , say

$$z_1 = x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2}.$$

If $d_1, d_2 \geq 0$, i.e. $x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2}$ is a generalised cluster monomial, then $z_1 - x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} = 0$, which contradicts the linear independence of generalised cluster monomials proved in [Rup13, Theorem 1.1]. Thus we may assume that $d_1 < 0$ or $d_2 < 0$. Without loss of generality, say $d_1 < 0$. Let \mathbf{x}' denote the unique generalised cluster of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ containing x_2 but not x_1 . By a straightforward argument, one can show that z_1 is not a Laurent polynomial in the generalised cluster variables of \mathbf{x}' , contradicting Lemma 4.2. \square

4.2. Arithmetic Y-frieze patterns in rank 2. Let b, c be as in §4.1, and consider the 2×2 symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -b \\ -c & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.25)$$

Note that since $b, c \neq 0$ by assumption, the matrix A is indecomposable in the sense of §1.4. We set $B = B_A$ as in (2.11), and consider the positive space

$$\mathbb{Y}_B = (\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B), \{\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{t;1}, y_{t;2})\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}_2}).$$

Setting $t_0 = t(1, 0)$ as in §2.5, one sees that every $t \in \mathbb{T}_2$ is of the form $t(i, m)$ for a unique pair $(i, m) \in [1, 2] \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Recall that we have defined the rings $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ associated to \mathbb{Y}_B in §3.1 and Definition 3.4.

Lemma 4.5. *With the notation as above, we have*

$$\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B).$$

Proof. It follows easily from [CdSGL23, Lemma 3.3] that the set of global variables on \mathbb{Y}_B is precisely $\{y(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$, and thus $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{var}}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$, by definition. Moreover, the set of global monomials on \mathbb{Y}_B is readily seen to be

$$\{\mathbf{y}_{t(1,m)}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{y}_{t(2,m)}^{-\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{a} = (a_1, -a_2)^T, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (4.26)$$

Using (2.9) and the definition of $y(i, m)$ given in §2.5, we have

$$\mathbf{y}_{t(1,m)} = (y(1, m), y(2, m - 1)^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}_{t(2,m)} = (y(1, m)^{-1}, y(2, m)), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.27)$$

By combining (4.26) and (4.27), every global monomial on \mathbb{Y}_B is a monomial in $\{y(i, m) : (i, m) \in [1, 2] \times \mathbb{Z}\}$, and therefore $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \subset \mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$. The claim then follows from (3.22). \square

Proposition 4.6. *The field isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2), \quad y(1, 0) \mapsto x_1, \quad y(2, 0) \mapsto x_2, \quad (4.28)$$

restricts to an isomorphism of rings

$$\phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c).$$

Moreover, ϕ maps global monomials on \mathbb{Y}_B to generalised cluster monomials of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$, and global variables on \mathbb{Y}_B to generalised cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. In particular, friezes of $\mathcal{A}^b(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ are in bijection with friezes of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ via pull-back by ϕ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, it is enough to determine the image of the $y(i, m)$'s. We show by induction on the total order (2.5) that

$$\phi(y(i, m)) = x_{2m+i} \quad (4.29)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i = 1, 2$. By definition, $\phi(y(i, 0)) = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now fix $m \geq 0$, and assume that (4.29) holds for $i = 1, 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq m$. Then,

$$\phi(y(1, m+1)) = \phi\left(\frac{(1 + y(2, m))^c}{y(1, m)}\right) = \frac{(1 + x_{2m+2})^c}{x_{2m+1}} = x_{2(m+1)+1}.$$

Similarly, $\phi(y(2, m+1)) = x_{2(m+1)+2}$. Thus (4.29) holds for all $m \geq 0$. By a similar argument, one can show that (4.29) holds for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, ϕ maps $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. The remaining claims follow by combining (4.29) with (4.26). \square

Corollary 4.7. *With the notation as above, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$.*

Proof. Using (4.27), we see that

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}[y(1, m)^{\pm 1}, y(2, m-1)^{\pm 1}] \cap \mathbb{Z}[y(1, m)^{\pm 1}, y(2, m)^{\pm 1}]).$$

Moreover, (4.29) implies that the image of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Y}_B)$ under (4.28) is precisely $\mathcal{U}(P_b, P_c) = \mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ (this last equality is 1) of Lemma 4.2). The result then follows from Proposition 4.6. \square

For convenience, we write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. In analogy with the discussion in [GM22, §1.2], the *superunitary region* of \mathcal{A} , denoted $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$, is the set of all ring homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which take values in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ for all generalised cluster variables of \mathcal{A} . It is clear that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})^2, \quad f \longmapsto (f(x_1), f(x_2)),$$

is an embedding of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ into $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})^2 \subset (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$. Identifying $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ with its image in $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$, the superunitary region can be described explicitly by a (potentially infinite set) of polynomial inequalities, one for each generalised cluster variable of \mathcal{A} (expressed as a Laurent polynomial in x_1 and x_2). Using Example 4.1, we graph the superunitary region (embedded in \mathbb{R}^2) when $1 \leq bc \leq 3$ in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. The superunitary region (embedded in \mathbb{R}^2) in types A_2, C_2 and G_2 .

Theorem 4.8. *Let A be any 2×2 indecomposable generalised symmetrisable Cartan matrix. There are finitely many arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A if and only if A is of finite type. When A is of finite type, the count of arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns is given in the following table.*

A	A_2	C_2	G_2
# of arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns	5	10	21

Proof. Suppose that A is indecomposable of finite type, i.e. A is of the form (4.25) with $1 \leq bc \leq 3$. By computing the generalised cluster variables of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ explicitly as in Example 4.1, one sees that the superunitary region $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ of \mathcal{A} , embedded in \mathbb{R}^2 , is a compact set; see Figure 4. This implies that the set of friezes of \mathcal{A} , which is a closed and discrete subset of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$, is finite. By Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 3.7, the set of arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A is finite. The number of arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns can then be calculated using a computer program.

Now suppose that A is of infinite type, i.e. $bc \geq 4$. It is well-known [FZ03, CS14] that $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$ has infinitely many generalised clusters, and by Lemma 4.4 there are infinitely many friezes of $\mathcal{A}(P_b, P_c)$. The claim that there are infinitely many \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A then follows from the bijections in Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 3.7. \square

5. Y-FRIEZE PATTERNS IN FINITE TYPE

5.1. Symmetries of \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. Fix $A = (a_{i,j})$ an $r \times r$ indecomposable Cartan matrix of finite type, and let \mathbf{h} denote the Coxeter number associated to A . We recall some notation introduced in [dSGHL23, §7.5].

- 1) When the Cartan matrix A is of type $A_1, B, C, D_{2n}, n \geq 2, E_7, E_8, F_4$ or G_2 , set

$$i = i^* \quad \text{and} \quad m_i = \frac{\mathbf{h}}{2}, \quad i \in [1, r].$$

- 2) When A is of type $A_n, D_{2n+1}, n \geq 2$, or E_6 , define the quiver Q whose vertex set is $[1, r]$ and where, for each pair $i \neq j$ there is one arrow from i to j if and only if $i < j$ and $a_{i,j} = -1$. The map $i \mapsto i^*$ is the permutation of the vertex set $[1, r]$ induced by the unique graph automorphism

of the Dynkin diagram underlying Q . For $i \in [1, r]$, let

$$\pi(i, i^*) : i = i_0 \text{ --- } i_1 \text{ --- } i_2 \text{ --- } \cdots \text{ --- } i_{l-1} \text{ --- } i_l = i^*$$

be the *unique path in the Dynkin diagram connecting i and i^** . If $i = i^*$, we set $a_i = b_i = 0$. If $i \neq i^*$, let a_i be the number of arrows in Q , whose underlying edges lie in $\pi(i, i^*)$, that are pointing towards i^* , and let b_i be the number of arrows in Q , whose underlying edges lie in $\pi(i, i^*)$, that are pointing towards i . For $i \in [1, r]$, set

$$m_i = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{h} + a_i - b_i).$$

Define the bijection (see [dSGHL23, §7.5 and Remark 7.25])

$$\mathbf{F}_A : [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbf{F}_A(i, m) = (i^*, m + m_{i^*} + 1).$$

Recall from Remark 2.5 and Remark 2.6 the definitions of the generic frieze pattern and the generic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern associated to A .

Lemma 5.1. [CdSGL23, Proposition 6.2] *The generic frieze pattern and the generic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern associated to A are \mathbf{F}_A -invariant.*

Let S be a semi-ring, and consider the statement:

$$S \text{ is the sub-semiring of some semifield.} \tag{5.30}$$

All semi-rings and semi-fields encountered in Example 2.1 and Example 2.2 satisfy (5.30).

Theorem 5.2. *For any semi-ring S satisfying (5.30), S -valued frieze patterns and S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A are \mathbf{F}_A -invariant.*

Proof. We prove the claim for \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. To begin, assume that S is a semi-field. Recall from Lemma 2.7 that any S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern is of the form $k_{\mathbf{s}}$ for some $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in S^r$. Let $\phi_{\mathbf{s}} : \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$ denote the unique (see [BFZ96, Lemma 2.1.6]) semi-field homomorphism given by

$$\phi_{\mathbf{s}}(Y_i) = s_i, \quad i \in [1, r],$$

and let G denote the generic \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern. For all (i, m) , we have

$$k_{\mathbf{s}}(i, m) = \phi_{\mathbf{s}} \circ G(i, m).$$

The claim then follows from Lemma 5.1. Suppose now that S is a semi-ring satisfying (5.30), and let \bar{S} be a semi-field such that $S \subset \bar{S}$, as semi-rings. Since every S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern is in particular an \bar{S} -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern, the claim follows.

The claim for S -valued frieze patterns is obtained *mutatis mutandis*, and we omit it. \square

5.2. Enumerating \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns. When S is a semi-field, S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A are in bijection with elements in S^r (c.f. Lemma 2.7). Note in particular that this is independent of the choice of symmetrisable generalised Cartan matrix.

On the other hand, one does not expect such a parametrisation of S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns, when S is a semi-ring *which is not a semi-field* (as pointed out at the end of §2.2). In this case, determining the number of S -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns is in general rather delicate. In this

section, we study this problem when S is the tropical semi-ring (Proposition 5.3) or the semi-ring of positive integers (Theorem 5.5).

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be an indecomposable Cartan matrix of finite type. Then, there are no non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\max}$ -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A .*

Before providing a proof, we mention the following interesting consequence.

Corollary 5.4. *Let A be an indecomposable Cartan matrix of finite type, and let $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\max}$. Then $p_{A,S}$ is bijective.*

The proof of Proposition 5.3 is equivalent to the well-known statement that there are no non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued additive functions (c.f. [CdSGL23] and [Rin12, §1]) associated to A , when A is of finite type. Unfortunately, we were unable to find a proof in the literature which covered the non-simply laced case; we provide a proof for completeness. To do so, we need to introduce some notation coming from Lie theory. First, let G be the connected and simply connected complex simple Lie group with same Cartan-Killing type as A . Fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B containing T , and let \mathcal{P} be the character lattice of T . Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the set of simple roots determined by the pair (B, T) , and let $\Phi_+ \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the corresponding set of positive roots.

Then, the set $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee\}$ of co-roots in the co-character lattice of T are such that

$$a_{i,j} = (\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j), \quad \forall i, j \in [1, r]. \quad (5.31)$$

Since G is simply connected, $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee\}$ is a basis of the co-character lattice of T . Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$ be the basis of \mathcal{P} dual to $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee\}$, so that $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\omega}A$, where $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ and $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$. Let W be the Weyl group generated by the reflections $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in [1, r]$, and consider the Coxeter element $c = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$. Introduce $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, where

$$\beta_i = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{i-1}(\alpha_i) \in \Phi_+, \quad i \in [1, r]. \quad (5.32)$$

Then $\{\beta_i : i \in [1, r]\} = \{\beta \in \Phi_+ : c^{-1}\beta \in -\Phi_+\}$. Write $C = (-L_A U_A^{-1})^T$, and

$$L_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{2,1} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{r,1} & a_{r,2} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,r} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & a_{2,r} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.33)$$

It is straightforward to check that [YZ08, Lemma 2.1]

$$\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\beta} U_A, \quad c \underline{\alpha} = -\underline{\beta} L_A \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\beta} = (1 - c) \underline{\omega}. \quad (5.34)$$

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the root lattice. It follows from (5.34) that $\underline{\beta}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{Q} and that $1 - c : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is a lattice isomorphism. It also follows from (5.34) that

$$c \underline{\beta} = -\underline{\beta} C^T. \quad (5.35)$$

Since c has order \mathbf{h} and does not have an eigenvalue equal to 1, we deduce that

$$I_r + C + \cdots + C^{\mathbf{h}-1} = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

Proof of Proposition 5.3. By definition, a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern associated to A is an assignment $f : [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$f(i, m) + f(i, m + 1) = \sum_{j=i+1}^r (-a_{j,i})f(j, m) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-a_{j,i})f(j, m + 1), \quad (5.37)$$

for each $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, the map (called the *trivial map*)

$$(i, m) \mapsto 0, \quad \forall (i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern associated to A . Now, suppose that f is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued \mathbf{Y} -frieze pattern associated to A . Setting $f_m = (f(1, m), \dots, f(r, m))^T \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r$ and re-arranging (5.37), we have

$$L_A^T f_m = -U_A^T f_{m+1}.$$

Taking $(-U_A^T)^{-1}$ on both sides, we obtain $f_m = C^m f_0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, (5.36) implies that

$$0 = (I_r + C + C^2 + \dots + C^{\mathbf{h}-1})f_0 = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_{\mathbf{h}-1}.$$

Since f is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -valued, the equality holds if and only if all entries of f_0, f_1, \dots are zero, i.e. f is the trivial map. \square

We now turn to arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A . The proof of the following theorem is a simple adaptation of that in [Mul23].

Theorem 5.5. *Let A be an indecomposable Cartan matrix of finite type. The set of arithmetic \mathbf{Y} -frieze patterns associated to A is finite.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, there exists a positive integer (depending on A) such that, for all $k \in \mathbf{YFrieze}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ and all $(i, m) \in [1, r] \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$k(i, m) = k(i, m + p). \quad (5.38)$$

For each $i \in [1, r]$, set $K_i = \prod_{m=1}^p k(i, m)$. Since k is $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -valued, $K_i \geq 1$. We now show that K_i is bounded above. To do so, consider

$$K_i^2 = \prod_{m=1}^p k(i, m)^2 = \prod_{m=1}^p k(i, m)k(i, m + 1).$$

Substituting (2.4) in each term in the product on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$K_i^2 = \prod_{m=1}^p \prod_{j=i+1}^r (1 + k(j, m))^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + k(j, m + 1))^{-a_{j,i}}.$$

Write

$$M_i = \prod_{m=1}^p \prod_{j=i+1}^r k(j, m)^{-a_{j,i}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} k(j, m + 1)^{-a_{j,i}} = \prod_{j \neq i} K_j^{-a_{j,i}}.$$

Set $b_i = \prod_{j \neq i} 2^{-a_{j,i}}$. Using the identity $x < x + 1 \leq 2x$ which holds for all $x \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$M_i < K_i^2 \leq b_i^p M_i. \quad (5.39)$$

Set $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_i, \dots, K_r)$. By dividing out by M_i in (5.39) for each $i \in [1, r]$, we obtain (using the notation in §1.4) that $\mathbf{K}^A \leq (b_1^p, \dots, b_r^p)$. It is clear from (5.31) that A is invertible

and the entries of A^{-1} are all positive rationals (see e.g. [OV90, Table 2]). In particular, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{K} \leq (b_1^p, \dots, b_r^p)^{A^{-1}},$$

i.e. each K_i is bounded above. Since k is $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -valued, this implies that each $k(i, m)$ is bounded above, which concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [ARS10] I. Assem, C. Reutenauer, and D. Smith. Friezes. *Adv. Math.*, 225(6):3134–3165, 2010.
- [BFZ96] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky. Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices. *Adv. Math.*, 122(1):49–149, 1996.
- [CC73] J.H. Conway and H.S.M. Coxeter. Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns. *Math. Gaz.*, 57(400):87–94, 1973.
- [CdSGL23] P. Cao, A. de Saint Germain, and J.-H. Lu. Tropical patterns from the Fock-Goncharov pairing and Ringel’s conjectures. arXiv: 2311.17712, 2023.
- [Cox71] H.S.M. Coxeter. Frieze patterns. *Acta Arith.*, 18:297–310, 1971.
- [CS14] L. Chekhov and M. Shapiro. Teichmüller spaces of Riemann surfaces with orbifold points of arbitrary order and cluster variables. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):2746–2772, 2014.
- [dSGHL23] A. de St. Germain, M. Huang, and J.H. Lu. Friezes of cluster algebras of geometric type. arXiv: 2309.00906, 2023.
- [FG09] V. Fock and A. Goncharov. Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. *Ann. Sci. de l’ENS*, 42(6):865–930, 2009.
- [FZ03] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. *Invent. Math.*, 154(1):63–121, 2003.
- [FZ07] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients. *Compos. Math.*, 143(1):112–164, 2007.
- [GHKK18] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(2):497–608, 2018.
- [GM22] E. Gunawan and G. Muller. Superunitary regions of cluster algebras. arXiv: 2208.14521v1, 2022.
- [Guo13] L. Guo. On tropical friezes associated with Dynkin diagrams. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2013(18):4243–4284, 2013.
- [Kac83] V.G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. An introduction.*, volume 44 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Kel10] B. Keller. Cluster algebras, quiver representations and triangulated categories. In *Triangulated categories*, volume 375 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 76–160. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [KS11] B. Keller and S. Scherotzke. Linear recurrence relations for cluster variables of affine quivers. *Adv. Math.*, 228(3):1842–1862, 2011.
- [MG12] S. Morier-Genoud. Arithmetics of 2-friezes. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 36(4):515–539, 2012.
- [MG15] S. Morier-Genoud. Coxeter’s frieze patterns at the crossroads of algebra, geometry and combinatorics. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 47(6):895–938, 2015.
- [Mul23] G. Muller. A short proof of the finiteness of Dynkin friezes. arXiv:2312.11394, 2023.
- [OV90] A. L. Onishchik and È. B. Vinberg. *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Rin12] C. M. Ringel. Cluster-additive functions on stable translation quivers. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 36(3):475–500, 2012.
- [Rup13] D. Rupel. Greedy bases in rank 2 generalized cluster algebras. arXiv: 1309.2567, 2013.
- [YZ08] S.-W. Yang and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras of finite type via Coxeter elements and principal minors. *Transform. Groups*, 13(3-4):855–895, 2008.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG
 Email address: adsg96@hku.hk