

# COLOCALIZING SUBCATEGORIES OF SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

CHARALAMPOS VERASDANIS

ABSTRACT. Utilizing previously established results concerning costratification in relative tensor-triangular geometry, we classify the colocalizing subcategories of the singularity category of a locally hypersurface ring and then we generalize this classification to singularity categories of schemes with hypersurface singularities.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring. The *singularity category*  $S(R) = K_{\text{ac}}(\text{Inj } R)$ , i.e., the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of injective  $R$ -modules, was introduced and studied in [Kra05] (wherein it was called the *stable derived category*), where it was shown that  $S(R)$  is a compactly generated triangulated category and that there is a *stabilization functor*  $I_{\lambda}Q_{\rho}: D(R) \rightarrow S(R)$  that can be used to describe the compact objects of  $S(R)$ . A classic problem regarding such categories is the classification of the localizing and colocalizing subcategories, e.g., for the derived category  $D(R)$ , see [Nee92, Nee11]. The modern approach is via the machinery of tensor-triangular geometry [Bal05, BF11] and the theory of stratification and costratification [BCHS23, BHS23, BIK11a, BIK11b, BIK12b, Ver23a, Ver23b], provided that the given category is tensor-triangulated. However,  $S(R)$  is not tensor-triangulated (at least not in any obvious way) and so a different approach is adopted. In [Ste13], Stevenson developed a theory of actions of rigidly-compactly generated tensor-triangulated categories on compactly generated triangulated categories and in [Ste14], he utilized the action of  $D(R)$  on  $S(R)$  to classify the localizing subcategories of  $S(R)$ , for a locally hypersurface ring  $R$  and then generalized this classification result to the singularity category  $S(X)$  of a noetherian separated scheme  $X$  with hypersurface singularities.

In this paper we apply the theory of costratification developed in [Ver23a] in order to classify the colocalizing subcategories of  $S(R)$ , for a locally hypersurface ring  $R$  and then we generalize our result to the singularity category of a scheme with hypersurface singularities. Specifically, for the case of rings, using the action of  $D(R)$  on  $S(R)$ , we obtain a notion of cosupport for the objects of  $S(R)$ . The cosupport of an object  $A \in S(R)$  is

$$\text{Cosupp}(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{p}}, A) \neq 0\} \subseteq \text{Sing } R,$$

where  $g_{\mathfrak{p}} = K_{\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the Balmer–Favi idempotent associated with  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  and  $\text{Sing } R$  is the singular locus of  $R$ . The assignment of cosupport allows us to

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18F99, 18G80, 13D09.

*Key words and phrases.* Singularity category, colocalizing subcategory, costratification, cosupport, relative tensor-triangular geometry.

define the maps

$$\mathrm{Coloc}(\mathcal{S}(R)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \\ \xleftarrow{\tau} \end{array} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sing} R),$$

where  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Cosupp}(A)$  and  $\tau(W) = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(R) \mid \mathrm{Cosupp}(A) \subseteq W\}$ . If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are bijections inverse to each other, then we say that  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is *costratified*. If  $X$  is a noetherian separated scheme and  $\mathrm{D}(X)$  is the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $X$ , one can use the action of  $\mathrm{D}(X)$  on  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  in a similar fashion to obtain the notions of cosupport and costratification.

**Theorem (6.9, 7.1).** *Let  $R$  be a locally hypersurface ring. Then  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is costratified. Let  $X$  be a noetherian separated scheme with hypersurface singularities. Then  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  is costratified.*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about the tensor-triangular geometry of the derived category of a ring and its action on the singularity category. Then we study the relative internal-hom functor on the singularity category. In Section 3, we present a few notions concerning Gorenstein rings and Gorenstein-injective modules, with the key point being that, over a Gorenstein ring, the stable category of Gorenstein-injective modules is equivalent to the singularity category. In Section 4, using the concept of endofiniteness, we prove that the images of the residue fields under the stabilization functor are pure-injective and in Section 5 we obtain cogenerators for certain subcategories of the singularity category. Finally, in Section 6, we prove Theorem 6.9 and in Section 7, we prove Theorem 7.1.

*Acknowledgements.* I thank Greg Stevenson for all the helpful discussions, Jan Št'ovíček for bringing to my attention a useful reference and Paul Balmer and Josh Pollitz for their interest and suggestions.

## 2. SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

*Convention.* Throughout,  $R$  will always denote a commutative noetherian ring.

Let  $f$  be an element of  $R$ . The *stable Koszul complex* associated with  $f$  is  $K_\infty(f) = R \rightarrow R_f$ , where  $R$  sits in degree 0 and  $R_f$ , the localization of  $R$  at  $f$ , sits in degree 1 and the map  $R \rightarrow R_f$  is the localization homomorphism. Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  be an ideal of  $R$  and set  $K_\infty(I) := K_\infty(f_1) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R K_\infty(f_n)$ . Up to quasi-isomorphism,  $K_\infty(I)$  does not depend on the choice of generators for the ideal  $I$ . Since  $K_\infty(I)$  is a bounded complex of flat  $R$ -modules,  $K_\infty(I)$  is *K-flat*, which means that tensoring with  $K_\infty(I)$  preserves quasi-isomorphisms.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $I, J$  be ideals of  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$ . Then  $K_\infty(I/J) \cong R/J \otimes_R K_\infty(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $I/J = (\overline{f_1}, \dots, \overline{f_n})$ . Since

$$K_\infty(\overline{f_i}) = (R/J \rightarrow (R/J)_{\overline{f_i}}) \cong (R/J \otimes_R (R \rightarrow R_{f_i})) = (R/J \otimes_R K_\infty(f_i)),$$

we have  $K_\infty(I/J) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K_\infty(\overline{f_i}) \cong (\bigotimes_{i=1}^n R/J) \otimes_R K_\infty(I)$ . Since  $R/J \otimes_R R/J \cong (R/J)/(JR/J) \cong R/J$ , we conclude that  $K_\infty(I/J) \cong R/J \otimes_R K_\infty(I)$ .  $\square$

*Recollection 2.2.* The derived category  $\mathrm{D}(R)$  is a rigidly-compactly generated tensor triangulated category, in the sense of [BF11], whose subcategory of compact objects is  $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$  the subcategory of perfect complexes, i.e., bounded complexes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules up to quasi-isomorphism. There is a

homeomorphism  $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(R)) \cong \mathrm{Spec} R$  between the Balmer spectrum of  $\mathrm{D}(R)$  and  $\mathrm{Spec} R$  [Nee92]. Treating this map as an identification, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ , then the associated Balmer–Favi idempotent is  $g_{\mathfrak{p}} = K_{\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ , where  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat. The Balmer–Favi support of an object  $X \in \mathrm{D}(R)$  is  $\mathrm{Supp}(X) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R \mid g_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R X \neq 0\}$ .

*Recollection 2.3.* The *singularity category* of  $R$  is  $\mathrm{S}(R) := \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(\mathrm{Inj} R)$  the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of injective  $R$ -modules. By [Kra05],  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  is a compactly generated triangulated category and there is a recollement

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xleftarrow{I_{\lambda}} & & \xleftarrow{Q_{\lambda}} \\ \mathrm{S}(R) \xrightarrow{-I} & \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{Inj} R) & \xrightarrow{-Q} \mathrm{D}(R), \\ \xleftarrow{I_{\rho}} & & \xleftarrow{Q_{\rho}} \end{array}$$

where  $I$  is the inclusion and  $Q$  is the composite  $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{Inj} R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{K}(R) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{D}(R)$ . The functor  $I_{\lambda}Q_{\rho}: \mathrm{D}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$ , called the *stabilization functor*, induces an equivalence of triangulated categories between the idempotent completion of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}(R) = \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{mod} R)/\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$  and the subcategory of compact objects of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . When there are multiple rings involved, we will use the notation  $I_{\lambda}Q_{\rho}^R$ .

*Recollection 2.4.* The ring  $R$  is called a *regular ring* if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a *regular local ring*, i.e.,  $\mathrm{gldim} R_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$ , for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$  (this is just one of the many equivalent definitions of a regular local ring). It is a fact that  $R$  is regular if and only if  $\mathrm{S}(R) = 0$ . The *singular locus* of  $R$  is  $\mathrm{Sing} R = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R \mid \mathrm{gldim} R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \infty\}$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{Sing} R$  consists of those prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not a regular local ring. Clearly,  $R$  is a regular ring if and only if  $\mathrm{Sing} R = \emptyset$ .

A commutative noetherian local ring  $S$  is called a *hypersurface ring* if the completion of  $S$  at its unique maximal ideal is isomorphic to the quotient of a regular ring by a regular element. It holds that a hypersurface ring is Gorenstein. We say that  $R$  is a *locally hypersurface ring* if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a hypersurface ring, for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ . It holds that a locally hypersurface ring is Gorenstein; see Definition 3.1.

*Recollection 2.5.* By [Ste14], there is an *action*  $- * - : \mathrm{D}(R) \times \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$ , i.e., a coproduct-preserving triangulated bifunctor such that  $X * (Y * A) \cong (X \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} Y) * A$  and  $R * A \cong A$ ,  $\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathrm{S}(R)$  defined as follows: If  $X \in \mathrm{D}(R)$  and  $A \in \mathrm{S}(R)$ , then  $X * A = \tilde{X} \otimes_R A$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is a  *$\mathrm{K}$ -flat resolution* of  $X$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{X}$  is a  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat complex quasi-isomorphic to  $X$ .

*Remark 2.6.* The action of  $\mathrm{D}(R)$  on  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat resolutions, in the sense that different  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat resolutions of the same object yield naturally isomorphic functors. Moreover, any complex of  $R$ -modules admits a  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat resolution that consists of flat  $R$ -modules; see [Mur07, Corollary 3.22] for a more general version of this result concerning schemes. Hence, when we consider the action of  $\mathrm{D}(R)$  on  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ , we can assume that all  $\mathrm{K}$ -flat resolutions involved consist of flat  $R$ -modules.

If  $X \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ , then the functor  $X * - : \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$  is a coproduct-preserving triangulated functor. Since  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  is compactly generated, Brown representability implies that  $X * -$  has a right adjoint  $[X, -]$ ; see [Nee96, Theorem 4.1]. As in [Ver23a], we call  $[X, -]$  the *relative internal-hom*. Note that  $[R, -] \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{S}(R)}$ , since  $[R, -]$  is the right adjoint of  $R * - \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{S}(R)}$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a K-flat resolution of  $X$ . We have an adjunction

$$\mathrm{K}(R) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{X} \otimes_R -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_R(\tilde{X}, -)} \end{array} \mathrm{K}(R) \quad (2.7)$$

that restricts to an adjunction on  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . We will show this next, using a result of Emmanouil [Emm23] which was based on work of Št'ovíček [Sto14].

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $F$  be a K-flat complex of flat  $R$ -modules and let  $A$  be an acyclic complex of injective  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, A)$  is an acyclic complex of injective  $R$ -modules. In particular, the adjunction (2.7) restricts to an adjunction on  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is an acyclic complex that consists of injective  $R$ -modules, hence of pure-injective  $R$ -modules, it holds that  $\Sigma^n A$  is an acyclic complex of pure-injective  $R$ -modules, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . According to [Emm23, Proposition 3.1], it follows that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(R)}(F, \Sigma^n A) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, A)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(R)}(F, \Sigma^n A) = 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In other words,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, A)$  is acyclic. Each term of the complex  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, A)$  is a product of  $R$ -modules of the form  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ , where  $M$  is a flat  $R$ -module and  $N$  is an injective  $R$ -module. So, the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N))$ , which is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R -, N)$ , is exact. Equivalently,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is an injective  $R$ -module. Since injective  $R$ -modules are closed under products,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, A)$  consists of injective  $R$ -modules.

By what we just proved, we conclude that the restrictions of the functors involved in (2.7) on  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  take values in  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . Hence, we obtain the adjunction

$$\mathrm{S}(R) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{X} \otimes_R -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_R(\tilde{X}, -)} \end{array} \mathrm{S}(R). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $X \in \mathrm{D}(R)$  and let  $\tilde{X}$  be a K-flat resolution of  $X$  that consists of flat  $R$ -modules. Then  $[X, -] = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\tilde{X}, -): \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* The claim follows immediately from Proposition 2.8 due to the fact that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\tilde{X}, -): \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$  is right adjoint to  $X * - = \tilde{X} \otimes_R -: \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

Every colocalizing subcategory of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  is a hom-*submodule* over  $\mathrm{D}(R)$ , in the sense of the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a colocalizing subcategory of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . Then it holds that  $[X, A] \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\forall X \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and set  $\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \mathrm{D}(R) \mid [X, A] \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathrm{D}(R)$ , taking into account Corollary 2.9 and [Ver23a, Remark 6.1]. Moreover,  $[R, A] \cong A \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $R \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\mathrm{D}(R) = \mathrm{loc}(R)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{X} = \mathrm{D}(R)$ , which proves the statement.  $\square$

### 3. GORENSTEIN RINGS

In this section, we recall some facts about Gorenstein rings, Gorenstein-injective and Gorenstein-projective modules and the stable category of Gorenstein-injective modules.

*Definition 3.1.* Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

- (a) The ring  $R$  is called *Gorenstein* if  $R$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.
- (b) The  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *Gorenstein-injective* if there exists an acyclic complex  $C$  that consists of injective  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(I, C)$  is acyclic, for all injective  $R$ -modules  $I$  and  $M = Z^0C$  the kernel of the zeroth differential of  $C$ . Such a complex  $C$  is called a *complete injective resolution* of  $M$ .
- (c) The  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *Gorenstein-projective* if there exists an acyclic complex  $C$  that consists of projective  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, P)$  is acyclic, for all projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and  $M = Z^0C$  the kernel of the zeroth differential of  $C$ . Such a complex is called a *complete projective resolution* of  $M$ .
- (d) The *Gorenstein-injective envelope* of  $M$  is (if it exists) a Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -module  $G_R(M)$  together with a morphism  $f: M \rightarrow G_R(M)$  such that the following two conditions hold: First, for all Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -modules  $G$  and morphisms  $g: M \rightarrow G$ , there exists a morphism  $h: G_R(M) \rightarrow G$  such that  $g = h \circ f$ . Second, if  $h: G_R(M) \rightarrow G_R(M)$  is a morphism such that  $h \circ f = f$ , then  $h$  is an isomorphism.
- (e) The *Gorenstein-projective cover* of  $M$  is (if it exists) a Gorenstein-projective  $R$ -module  $G^R(M)$  together with a morphism  $f: G^R(M) \rightarrow M$  such that the following two conditions hold: First, for all Gorenstein-projective  $R$ -modules  $G$  and morphisms  $g: G \rightarrow M$ , there exists a morphism  $h: G \rightarrow G^R(M)$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ . Second, if  $h: G^R(M) \rightarrow G^R(M)$  is a morphism such that  $f = f \circ h$ , then  $h$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.2** ([EJ00, Theorem 11.3.2, Theorem 11.6.9]). *If  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring, then any  $R$ -module admits a Gorenstein-injective envelope. If, moreover,  $R$  is local, then any finitely generated  $R$ -module admits a finitely generated Gorenstein-projective cover.*

*Recollection 3.3.* The category  $\mathrm{GInj} R$  of Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -modules is an exact subcategory of  $\mathrm{Mod} R$  with exact sequences those short exact sequences of Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -modules. In fact,  $\mathrm{GInj} R$  is a Frobenius exact category, i.e.,  $\mathrm{GInj} R$  has enough projectives and enough injectives and its projective and injective objects coincide: they are precisely the injective  $R$ -modules. So,  $\underline{\mathrm{GInj}} R$  the stable category of Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -modules is a triangulated category. According to [Kra05, Proposition 7.13], if  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories  $\mathrm{S}(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\mathrm{GInj}} R$  given by mapping  $A \in \mathrm{S}(R)$  to  $Z^0A$  with inverse given by sending a Gorenstein-injective  $R$ -module  $M$  to a complete injective resolution  $C(M)$ . Furthermore, by [Ste14, Corollary 4.8], the functors  $G_R, Z^0I_\lambda Q_\rho: \mathrm{Mod} R \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GInj}} R$  are naturally isomorphic.

#### 4. ENDOFINITENESS, PURE-INJECTIVITY AND RESIDUE FIELDS

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. The category  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$  of additive functors  $\{\mathcal{T}^c\}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  is a (Grothendieck) abelian category and there is a functor  $\widehat{(-)}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$  that sends  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  to  $\widehat{X} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{T}^c} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$  called the *restricted Yoneda functor*. A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *pure monomorphism* if  $\widehat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$  is a monomorphism in  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$ . An object  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  is called *pure-injective* if every pure-monomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  splits (meaning that there exists a morphism  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $g \circ f = 1_X$ ). By [Kra00, Theorem 1.8], an object  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  is pure-injective if and only if  $\widehat{X}$  is an injective object of

$\text{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$ . (In fact, an object  $E \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c)$  is injective if and only if there exists a pure-injective object  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $E \cong \widehat{X}$ ; see [Kra00, Corollary 1.9].)

*Remark 4.1.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be compactly generated triangulated categories and let  $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be a coproduct-preserving triangulated functor. Then  $F$  has a right adjoint  $G: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ; see [Nee96, Theorem 4.1]. If  $G$  preserves coproducts, then  $G$  preserves pure-injective objects: There is an induced adjunction

$$\text{Mod}(\mathcal{T}^c) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\overline{F}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{G}} \end{array} \text{Mod}(\mathcal{U}^c),$$

where  $\overline{F}$  is an exact functor and  $\overline{F}$  and  $\overline{G}$  commute with the restricted Yoneda functors. Since  $\overline{G}$  is the right adjoint of an exact functor,  $\overline{G}$  preserves injective objects. By the characterization of pure-injective objects as precisely those objects whose image under the restricted Yoneda functor is injective, it follows that  $\overline{G}$  preserves pure-injective objects; see [Kra00, Proposition 2.6].

*Remark 4.2.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R -: \text{Mod } R \rightarrow \text{Mod } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an exact functor with right adjoint  $\text{res}: \text{Mod } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } R$ , it follows that  $\text{res}$  preserves injective modules. Moreover, since  $R$  is noetherian, localization preserves injective modules, so  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R -$  preserves injective modules. Consequently, we have an adjunction

$$\text{S}(R) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{res}} \end{array} \text{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since the categories  $\text{S}(R)$  and  $\text{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  are compactly generated and  $\text{res}$  preserves coproducts, by Remark 4.1, it follows that  $\text{res}: \text{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{S}(R)$  preserves pure-injective objects.

An object  $X$  of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is called *endofinite* if, for all compact objects  $C$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , it holds that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(C, X)$  is a finite length module over  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(X)$ . By [Kra99, Theorem 1.2] (see also [KR00, Proposition 3.3] and [Kra23, Proposition 5.6]) endofinite objects are pure-injective.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local Gorenstein ring. Then  $I_{\lambda}Q_{\rho}(k)$  is an endofinite (hence a pure-injective) object of  $\text{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, it follows that  $k$  is an object of  $\text{D}_{\text{Sg}}(R)$ . As we have already discussed in Recollection 2.3, the subcategory of compact objects of  $\text{S}(R)$  is equivalent to the closure under summands of the image of  $\text{D}_{\text{Sg}}(R)$  under  $I_{\lambda}Q_{\rho}$ . Further, by [Orl04, Lemma 1.11], every object of  $\text{D}_{\text{Sg}}(R)$  is of the form  $\Sigma^i M$ , where  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Consequently, it suffices to show that  $\text{Hom}_{\text{D}_{\text{Sg}}(R)}(\Sigma^i M, k)$  is a module of finite length over  $\text{End}_{\text{D}_{\text{Sg}}(R)}(k) \cong \text{End}_R(k) \cong k$ , i.e., a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Since  $R$  is a local Gorenstein ring and  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, it follows that  $M$  has a finitely generated Gorenstein-projective cover  $G^R(M)$ ; see Proposition 3.2. Let  $c(M)$  be a complete projective resolution of  $G^R(M)$  that consists of finitely

generated projective  $R$ -modules. Now we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}(R)}(\Sigma^i M, k) &\cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(M, \Sigma^{-i} G_R(k)) \\ &\cong \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_R^{-i}(M, k) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{-i}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(c(M), k)) \end{aligned}$$

with the second isomorphism by [Kra05, Proposition 7.7] and the subsequent equality by definition. Since  $c(M)$  is a complex of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, it follows that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(c(M), k)$  is a complex of finite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces. Hence,  $\mathrm{H}^{-i}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(c(M), k))$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Consequently,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}(R)}(\Sigma^i M, k)$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. In conclusion,  $k$  is an endofinite object of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}(R)$  and so  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k)$  is an endofinite (hence a pure-injective) object of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein ring. Then  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p}))$  is a pure-injective object of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring, it follows that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local Gorenstein ring. Hence, by Proposition 4.3,  $I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}))$  is a pure-injective object of  $\mathrm{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . We have  $I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k(\mathfrak{p})) = I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(\mathrm{res} k(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{res} I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}))$ . According to Remark 4.2,  $\mathrm{res}: \mathrm{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$  preserves pure-injective objects. Hence,  $I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k(\mathfrak{p}))$  is pure-injective.  $\square$

## 5. COGENERATION

Our goal here is to prove that if  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a hypersurface ring, then the image of the functor  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, -]: \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$  is cogenerated by  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k)$ . The key results we will need are Proposition 4.4 and the following:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local Gorenstein ring. Then, in  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ ,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p}))) \cong \begin{cases} I_\lambda Q_\rho(k), & \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}, \\ 0, & \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* We will first prove (5.2) for the case  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ , by induction on the Krull dimension of  $R$ . In the case  $\dim R = 0$ , we have  $\mathrm{Spec} R = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{m}$  consists of nilpotent elements. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then each  $f_i$  is nilpotent. Therefore,  $R_{f_i} = 0$  and so  $K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K_\infty(f_i) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n R \cong R$ . We have  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho(k)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, I_\lambda Q_\rho(k)) \cong I_\lambda Q_\rho(k)$ .

Now let  $d > 0$  and assume that (5.2) (for  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ ) holds for all local Gorenstein rings of dimension strictly less than  $d$  and suppose that  $\dim R = d$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  be a regular element (such an element exists by the prime avoidance lemma and our assumption that  $\dim R = d > 0$ ). Then  $R/(x)$  is a local Gorenstein ring with residue field  $(R/(x))/(\mathfrak{m}/(x)) \cong R/\mathfrak{m} = k$  and  $\dim R/(x) = d - 1$ . By Lemma 2.1,

$K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}/(x)) \cong R/(x) \otimes_R K_\infty(\mathfrak{m})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), \mathrm{res} I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{res} \mathrm{Hom}_{R/(x)}(R/(x) \otimes_R K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{res} \mathrm{Hom}_{R/(x)}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}/(x)), I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{res} I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k) \\ &\cong I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k). \end{aligned}$$

The first and last isomorphisms hold because the singularity category of a Gorenstein ring is equivalent to the stable category of Gorenstein-injective modules and  $Z^0 I_\lambda Q_\rho = G(-)$  on modules (see Recollection 3.3) and by [Ste14, Remark 6.11], taking Gorenstein-injective envelopes commutes with restriction. The second isomorphism follows from the internal-hom version of the adjunction

$$\mathrm{K}(R) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R/(x) \otimes_R -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{res}} \end{array} \mathrm{K}(R/(x)),$$

which asserts that the functors

$$\mathrm{res} \mathrm{Hom}_{R/(x)}(R/(x) \otimes_R -, -), \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathrm{res}(-)): \mathrm{K}(R)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathrm{K}(R/(x)) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(R)$$

are naturally isomorphic. The fourth isomorphism holds by the inductive hypothesis. This completes the proof of (5.2) for the case  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then necessarily  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$  since  $\mathfrak{m}$  contains all prime ideals. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k(\mathfrak{p}))) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), \mathrm{res} I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{res} \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}), I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first two isomorphisms are justified in the same way as in the calculation in the previous paragraph, replacing  $R/(x)$  with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$ , it follows that  $\mathrm{loc}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Ker}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R -)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are the smashing subcategories of  $\mathrm{D}(R)$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ , respectively. Hence,  $K_\infty(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This explains the last equality, completing the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is well-known and easy to prove. We present it for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $G: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be a product-preserving triangulated functor between triangulated categories with products and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a collection of objects of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $G \mathrm{coloc}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{coloc}(G\mathcal{X})$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathcal{L} = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid GX \in \mathrm{coloc}(G\mathcal{X})\}$  is a colocalizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  that contains  $\mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $\mathrm{coloc}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , which proves the statement.  $\square$

*Recollection 5.4.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a compactly generated triangulated category. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a cogenerating set of objects of  $\mathcal{T}$  (in the sense that  ${}^\perp \mathcal{X} = 0$ ) that consists of pure-injective objects, then  $\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{coloc}(\mathcal{X})$ , which means that the smallest colocalizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  that contains  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ . This holds because the pure-injective objects of  $\mathcal{T}$  form a perfect cogenerating set in the sense of [Kra02]; see [Ver23a, Section 3]



for some explanations. Also, [BCHS23, Section 9] provides a detailed account on the concept of perfect (co)generation.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $R$  be a locally hypersurface ring. Then*

$$\mathrm{S}(R) = \mathrm{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} = {}^\perp\{\Sigma^n I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  that does not contain any  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p}))$ , for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R$ . Indeed, if  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{S}(R)}(I_\lambda Q_\rho k(\mathfrak{p}), I_\lambda Q_\rho k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$  and this implies that  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ , which is false when  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R$ . Consequently, by [Ste14, Theorem 6.13], we have  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\{\Sigma^n I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R\}$  is a cogenerating set for  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . Since, by Proposition 4.4, the objects  $I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p}))$  are pure-injective, it follows that  $\mathrm{S}(R) = \mathrm{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p})) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R)$ ; see Recollection 5.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a hypersurface ring. Then  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathrm{S}(R)] = \mathrm{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho(k))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, -] = \mathrm{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}}, -): \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(R)$  is a product-preserving triangulated functor, it follows by Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.5 that  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathrm{S}(R)] = \mathrm{coloc}([g_{\mathfrak{m}}, I_\lambda Q_\rho(k(\mathfrak{p}))] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Sing} R) = \mathrm{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho(k))$ , with the last equality due to Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. LOCALLY HYPERSURFACE RINGS

In this section, we prove Theorem 6.9, which classifies the colocalizing subcategories of the singularity category  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  of a locally hypersurface ring  $R$  in terms of the singular locus  $\mathrm{Sing} R$ .

**6.A. Cosupport and costratification.** Let  $A$  be an object of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . The *cosupport* of  $A$  is  $\mathrm{Cosupp}(A) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R \mid \mathrm{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{p}}, A) \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *The assignment  $\mathrm{Cosupp}: \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Spec} R)$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  $\mathrm{Cosupp}(0) = \emptyset$ .
- (b)  $\mathrm{Cosupp}(\prod A_i) = \bigcup \mathrm{Cosupp}(A_i)$ .
- (c)  $\mathrm{Cosupp}(\Sigma A) = \mathrm{Cosupp}(A)$ .
- (d)  $\mathrm{Cosupp}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Cosupp}(B) \cup \mathrm{Cosupp}(C)$ , for all triangles  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ .
- (e)  $\mathrm{Cosupp}([X, A]) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(X) \cap \mathrm{Cosupp}(A)$ .

*Proof.* See [Ver23a, Lemma 3.12].  $\square$

*Remark 6.2.* Recall that by Lemma 2.10, all colocalizing subcategories of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$  are hom-submodules, in the sense of [Ver23a], and so all results concerning colocalizing hom-submodules apply to all colocalizing subcategories of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ .

We denote by  $I$  the product of the Brown–Comenetz duals of the compact objects of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ . Then  $I$  is a pure-injective cogenerator of  $\mathrm{S}(R)$ ; see Recollection 5.4.

We define the maps

$$\mathrm{Coloc}(\mathrm{S}(R)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \\ \xleftarrow{\tau} \end{array} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Spec} R),$$

where  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Cosupp}(A)$  and  $\tau(W) = \{A \in \mathrm{S}(R) \mid \mathrm{Cosupp}(A) \subseteq W\}$ . The maps  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are inclusion-preserving. By [Ver23a, Section 3.B] and [Ste14, Proposition 5.7], it follows that  $\sigma(\mathrm{S}(R)) = \mathrm{Cosupp}(I) = \mathrm{Sing} R$ . Hence,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq$

$\sigma(\mathcal{S}(R)) = \text{Sing } R$ . This shows that  $\sigma: \text{Coloc}(\mathcal{S}(R)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Sing } R)$  is well-defined. From now on, we will consider the codomain of  $\sigma$  and the domain of  $\tau$  to be  $\mathcal{P}(\text{Sing } R)$ . It holds that  $\sigma \circ \tau = \text{Id}$ ; see [Ver23a, Lemma 3.21].

*Definition 6.3* ([Ver23a, Definition 3.13]). If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are bijections inverse to each other (which holds when  $\tau \circ \sigma = \text{Id}$ ) then we say that  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is *costratified*.

Our goal is to prove that if  $R$  is a locally hypersurface ring, then  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is costratified. For that we will use an equivalent characterization of costratification in terms of certain colocalizing subcategories.

*Definition 6.4* ([Ver23a, Definition 3.16]).

(a)  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  satisfies the *colocal-to-global principle* if

$$\text{coloc}(A) = \text{coloc}(\text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{p}}, A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Sing } R), \forall A \in \mathcal{S}(R).$$

(b)  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  satisfies *cominimality* if  $\text{coloc}(\text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{p}}, I))$  is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{S}(R)$ ,  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Sing } R$ .

According to [Ver23a, Theorem 3.22],  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is costratified if and only if  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  satisfies the colocal-to-global principle and cominimality. This will allow us to prove that if  $R$  is a hypersurface ring, then  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  is costratified. Proving costratification of  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  when  $R$  is a locally hypersurface ring amounts to reducing costratification to certain smashing localizations, as described in [Ver23a, Theorem 5.4].

## 6.B. Colocalizing subcategories of $\mathcal{S}(R)$ , for a locally hypersurface ring $R$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local Gorenstein ring and let  $x \in R$  be a regular element. Let  $G$  be a non-zero object of  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}(R)]$  and set  $\widetilde{M} = \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G)$  viewed as a complex of  $R/(x)$ -modules. Then  $\widetilde{M} \in [g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \mathcal{S}(R/(x))]$  and  $\widetilde{M} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g_{\mathfrak{m}} = K_{\infty}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a left idempotent, it holds that  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{S}(R)] = \text{Im}[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, -]$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}}, G) = [g_{\mathfrak{m}}, G] \cong G$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}[g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \widetilde{M}] &= \text{res Hom}_{R/(x)}(g_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R R/(x), \widetilde{M}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{res } \widetilde{M}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_R R/(x), G) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), \text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{m}}, G)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G) \\ &= \text{res } \widetilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \widetilde{M}] \cong \widetilde{M}$ . This shows that  $\widetilde{M} \in \text{Im}[g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, -] = [g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \mathcal{S}(R/(x))]$ . By the equivalence between  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  and  $\underline{\text{GInj}} R$ , as described in Recollection 3.3, if  $G'$  is the object of  $\underline{\text{GInj}} R$  corresponding to  $G$ , then  $\text{pd}_R \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G') = \text{id}_R \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G') = \infty$ ; see [Ste14, Lemma 6.6]. Thus,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G') \neq 0$  and so  $\text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G) \neq 0$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G)$  is the restriction of  $\widetilde{M}$ , we conclude that  $\widetilde{M} \neq 0$ .  $\square$

A compactly generated triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is called *pure-semisimple* if every object of  $\mathcal{T}$  is pure-injective.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a pure-semisimple triangulated category such that the only localizing subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$  are 0 and  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then the only colocalizing subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$  are 0 and  $\mathcal{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a non-zero object of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  ${}^\perp \text{coloc}(X)$  is either 0 or  $\mathcal{T}$ . The latter is false, since in that case  $X$  would have to be 0. So,  ${}^\perp \{\Sigma^n X \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = {}^\perp \text{coloc}(X) = 0$ . This means that the set of suspensions of  $X$  is a cogenerating set of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is pure-semisimple,  $X$  is pure-injective. Consequently, by Recollection 5.4,  $\mathcal{T} = \text{coloc}(X)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{T}$  is cogenerated by any of its non-zero objects. As a result, the only colocalizing subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$  are 0 and  $\mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $R$  be an artinian hypersurface ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \underline{\text{GInj}} R]$  (resp.  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)]$ ) is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\underline{\text{GInj}} R$  (resp.  $\text{S}(R)$ ).*

*Proof.* Every  $R$ -module is Gorenstein-injective, i.e.,  $\underline{\text{Mod}} R = \underline{\text{GInj}} R$  and further,  $\underline{\text{Mod}} R$  is a pure-semisimple compactly generated triangulated category; see the explanations in the proof of [Ste14, Lemma 6.8]. It follows by Lemma 6.6 that the only colocalizing subcategories of  $\underline{\text{Mod}} R$  are 0 and  $\underline{\text{Mod}} R$ . Hence,  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \underline{\text{GInj}} R] = \underline{\text{Mod}} R = \underline{\text{GInj}} R$  is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\underline{\text{GInj}} R$ . By the equivalence  $\text{S}(R) \simeq \underline{\text{GInj}} R$ , it also holds that  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)] = \text{S}(R)$  is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\text{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a hypersurface ring. Then  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)]$  is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\text{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\dim R = 0$ , then  $R$  is an artinian hypersurface and the claim holds by Proposition 6.7. Now suppose that  $\dim R = n > 0$  and that the claim holds for all hypersurface rings of dimension strictly less than  $n$ . There exists a regular element  $x \in R$  such that  $R/(x)$  is a hypersurface and  $\dim R/(x) = n - 1$ ; see the explanations in the proof of [Ste14, Theorem 6.12]. Let  $G$  be a non-zero object of  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)]$  and set  $M = \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), G)$  and denote by  $\widetilde{M}$  the complex  $M$  viewed as a complex of  $R/(x)$ -modules. By Lemma 6.5, it holds that  $\widetilde{M} \in [g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \text{S}(R/(x))]$ . Further,  $\text{res } \widetilde{M} = M \in \text{coloc}(G)$ . The last assertion holds because  $\text{coloc}(G)$  is a hom-submodule; see Lemma 2.10 and Recollection 3.3. By the inductive hypothesis,  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \text{S}(R/(x))]$  is minimal and by Lemma 6.5,  $\widetilde{M} \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\text{coloc}(\widetilde{M}) = [g_{\mathfrak{m}/(x)}, \text{S}(R/(x))] = \text{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k))$ , with the last equality by Proposition 5.6. Since  $\text{res}$  is a product-preserving triangulated functor, it follows by Lemma 5.3 that  $\text{res } \text{coloc}(\widetilde{M}) \subseteq \text{coloc}(\text{res } \widetilde{M}) = \text{coloc}(M)$ . The latter is contained in  $\text{coloc}(G)$ . Consequently,  $\text{res } \text{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k)) \subseteq \text{coloc}(G)$  and so  $I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k) = \text{res } I_\lambda Q_\rho^{R/(x)}(k) \in \text{coloc}(G)$ . So,  $\text{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k)) \subseteq \text{coloc}(G)$ . We infer that  $\text{coloc}(G) = \text{coloc}(I_\lambda Q_\rho^R(k)) = [g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)]$  (with the last equality by Proposition 5.6) and so  $[g_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{S}(R)]$  is a minimal colocalizing subcategory of  $\text{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.9.** *Let  $R$  be a locally hypersurface ring. Then  $\text{S}(R)$  is costratified.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{D}(R)$  satisfies the local-to-global principle,  $\text{S}(R)$  satisfies the colocal-to-global principle; see [Ver23a, Proposition 3.27]. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Sing}(R)$  and set  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Ker}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R - : \text{S}(R) \rightarrow \text{S}(R))$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a smashing subcategory of  $\text{S}(R)$  and  $\text{S}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq \text{S}(R)/\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\perp = \text{Im}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R -) = \text{Im}[R_{\mathfrak{p}}, -]$ . So,  $[g_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{\text{S}(R)}] =$

$[K_\infty(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{S(R)}] \cong [R_{\mathfrak{p}}, [K_\infty(\mathfrak{p}), I_{S(R)}]] \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\perp$ . Since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a hypersurface, by Proposition 6.8,  $S(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  satisfies cominimality at the unique closed point of  $\text{Spec } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By [Ver23a, Proposition 5.3],  $S(R)$  satisfies cominimality at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Hence,  $S(R)$  is costratified; see [Ver23a, Theorem 3.22].  $\square$

A proper colocalizing subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S(R)$  is called *hom-prime* if, for all  $X \in D(R)$  and  $A \in S(R)$ , if  $[X, A] \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $[X, I_{S(R)}] \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 6.10.** *Let  $R$  be a locally hypersurface ring. Then there is a bijective correspondence between points of  $\text{Sing } R$  and hom-prime colocalizing subcategories of  $S(R)$ . A point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Sing } R$  is associated with  $\text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{p}}, -): S(R) \rightarrow S(R))$ , with the latter being equal to  $\text{coloc}(\text{Hom}_R(g_{\mathfrak{q}}, I_{S(R)}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p})$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 6.9,  $S(R)$  is costratified. By Lemma 2.10, every colocalizing subcategory of  $S(R)$  is a hom-submodule. Further,  $\text{Sing } R = \text{Cosupp}(I_{S(R)})$ . The claim now follows by applying [Ver23a, Theorem 4.10].  $\square$

*Example 6.11.* Let  $k$  be a field. The ring  $R = k[x]/(x^2)$  is a commutative noetherian local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (x)/(x^2)$  and residue field  $k$ . In fact,  $\text{Spec } R = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ , so  $\dim R = 0$ . The sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  is a minimal projective resolution of  $k$  and so  $\text{pd}_R k = \infty$ , implying that  $\text{gldim } R = \infty$ . Hence,  $R$  is not a regular ring. It follows that  $\text{Sing } R = \text{Spec } R$ . Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  to the above projective resolution (after deleting  $k$ ) results in the sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \cdots$ , which is exact. Therefore,  $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, R) = 0, \forall n \geq 1$ . Since  $R$  is a commutative noetherian local ring of finite Krull dimension (equal to 0), by [Mat89, Theorem 18.1], it follows that  $\text{id}_R R = 0$ . Hence,  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring. The ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is nilpotent ( $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ ) and so the completion of  $R$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to  $R$ , i.e.,  $R$  is a complete ring. Since  $k[x]$  is regular and  $x^2$  is a regular element of  $k[x]$ , it follows that  $R$  is a hypersurface ring. By Theorem 6.9, it follows that  $S(R)$  is costratified and the colocalizing subcategories of  $S(R)$  stand in bijection with  $\mathcal{P}(\text{Sing } R) = \{\emptyset, \text{Sing } R\}$  and so  $\text{Coloc}(S(R)) = \{0, S(R)\}$ . One could of course obtain this conclusion by invoking Lemma 6.6, since  $R$  is a hypersurface ring of Krull dimension zero, so  $S(R)$  is pure-semisimple and the only localizing subcategories of  $S(R)$  are 0 and  $S(R)$ . The unique hom-prime colocalizing subcategory of  $S(R)$  is 0 and corresponds to the unique point  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\text{Spec } R$ .

## 7. SCHEMES WITH HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES

In this section, we generalize Theorem 6.9 to schemes with hypersurface singularities by applying [Ver23a, Theorem 5.9].

Let  $X$  be a noetherian separated scheme with structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . We denote by  $\text{QCoh } X$  the abelian category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and by  $D(X)$  the derived category of  $\text{QCoh } X$ . The derived category  $D(X)$  is a rigidly-compactly generated tensor-triangulated category with tensor product the left derived tensor product of complexes of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and unit  $\mathcal{O}_X$  concentrated in degree zero. The subcategory of compact objects of  $D(X)$  is  $D^{\text{perf}}(X)$  the subcategory of bounded complexes of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules up to quasi-isomorphism. There is a homeomorphism  $\text{Spc}(D^{\text{perf}}(X)) \cong X$  and we will treat this as an equality. The *singularity category* of  $X$  is  $S(X) = \text{K}_{\text{ac}}(\text{Inj } X)$  the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of

injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, which is a compactly generated triangulated category by [Kra05].

The results in the following discussion can be found in [Ste14, Section 7]. Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  and let  $Z = X \setminus U$ . Let  $D(X)_Z$  be the localizing subcategory of  $D(X)$  generated by those compact objects supported on  $Z$ . We denote by  $D(X)(U)$  the category  $D(X)/D(X)_Z$ . Then there is an equivalence  $D(X)(U) \simeq D(U)$ . There is an action of  $D(X)$  on  $S(X)$  that induces a support theory for objects of  $S(X)$  (and a cosupport theory; see [Ver23a]). We denote by  $S(X)(U)$  the localizing subcategory of  $S(X)$  generated by those compact objects supported on  $Z$ . The category  $S(X)(U)$  is equivalent to  $S(U)$  and the action of  $D(X)$  on  $S(X)$  gives rise to an action of  $D(U)$  on  $S(U)$ . If  $\{U_i \cong \text{Spec } R_i\}$  is an open affine cover of  $X$ , then the singular locus of  $X$  is  $\text{Sing } X = \bigcup \text{Sing } R_i$ .

A colocalizing subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S(X)$  is called a *colocalizing hom-submodule* if  $[X, A] \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\forall X \in D(X)$ ,  $\forall A \in S(X)$ , where  $[X, -]: S(X) \rightarrow S(X)$  is the right adjoint of the action  $X * -: S(X) \rightarrow S(X)$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian separated scheme with hypersurface singularities. Then  $S(X)$  is costratified, i.e., there is a bijective correspondence between  $\text{Sing } X$  and the collection of colocalizing hom-submodules of  $S(X)$  given by mapping a colocalizing hom-submodule of  $S(X)$  to its cosupport.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \bigcup U_i$  be an open affine cover of  $X$ . Then each  $U_i$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec } R_i$  for a commutative noetherian ring  $R_i$  that is locally a hypersurface. By the above discussion, we have an action of  $D(U_i) = D(R_i)$  on  $S(U_i) = S(R_i)$  and since  $R_i$  is locally a hypersurface,  $S(R_i)$  is costratified by Theorem 6.9. A direct application of [Ver23a, Theorem 5.9] implies that  $S(X)$  is costratified.  $\square$

A colocalizing hom-submodule  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S(X)$  is called *hom-prime* if, for all  $E \in D(X)$  and  $A \in S(X)$ , if  $[E, A] \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $[E, I_{S(X)}] \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian separated scheme with hypersurface singularities. Then there is a bijective correspondence between points of  $\text{Sing } X$  and hom-prime colocalizing submodules of  $S(X)$ . A point  $x \in \text{Sing } X$  is associated with  $\text{Ker}([g_x, -]: S(X) \rightarrow S(X))$ , with the latter being equal to  $\text{coloc}([g_y, I_{S(X)}] \mid y \neq x)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.1,  $S(X)$  is costratified. The result now follows immediately from [Ver23a, Theorem 4.10].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bal05] Paul Balmer. The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 588:149–168, 2005.
- [BCHS23] Tobias Barthel, Natalia Castellana, Drew Heard, Beren Sanders. Cosupport in tensor-triangular geometry. Preprint arXiv:2303.13480, 2023.
- [BF11] Paul Balmer and Giordano Favi. Generalized tensor idempotents and the telescope conjecture. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 102(6):1161–1185, 2011.
- [BHS23] Tobias Barthel, Drew Heard, and Beren Sanders. Stratification in tensor triangular geometry with applications to spectral Mackey functors. *Camb. J. Math.*, 11(4):829–915, 2023.
- [BIK11a] David J. Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Stratifying triangulated categories. *J. Topol.*, 4(3):641–666, 2011.
- [BIK11b] David J. Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Stratifying modular representations of finite groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1643–1684, 2011.
- [BIK12b] David J. Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Henning Krause. Colocalizing subcategories and cosupport. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 673:161–207, 2012.

- [EJ00] Edgar E. Enochs, Overtoun M. G. Jenda. Relative homological algebra, volume 30 of de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
- [Emm23] Ioannis Emmanouil. K-flatness and orthogonality in homotopy categories. *Israel J. Math.*, 255:201–230, 2023.
- [Kra00] Henning Krause. Smashing subcategories and the telescope conjecture – an algebraic approach. *Invent. Math.*, 139:99–133, 2000.
- [Kra02] Henning Krause. A Brown representability theorem via coherent functors. *Topology*, 41(4):853–861, 2002.
- [Kra05] Henning Krause. The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme. *Compos. Math.*, 141(5):1128–1162, 2005.
- [Kra23] Henning Krause. Completions of triangulated categories. Preprint arXiv:2309.01260, 2023.
- [Kra99] Henning Krause. Decomposing thick subcategories of the stable module category. *Math. Ann.*, 313:95–108, 1999.
- [KR00] Henning Krause and Ulrike Reichenbach. Endofiniteness in stable homotopy theory. *Transactions of the AMS*, 353(1):157–173, 2000.
- [Mat89] Hideyuki Matsumura. Commutative ring theory. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [Mur07] Daniel Murfet. The mock homotopy category of projectives and Grothendieck duality. Ph.D. thesis, 2007.
- [Nee11] Amnon Neeman. Colocalizing subcategories of  $D(R)$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 653:221–243, 2011.
- [Nee92] Amnon Neeman. The chromatic tower for  $D(R)$ . *Topology*, 31:519–532, 1992.
- [Nee96] Amnon Neeman. The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield’s techniques and Brown representability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):205–236, 1996.
- [Orl04] Dmitri Orlov. Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau–Ginzburg models. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 246(3):227–248.
- [Ste13] Greg Stevenson. Support theory via actions of tensor triangulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 681:219–254, 2013.
- [Ste14] Greg Stevenson. Subcategories of singularity categories via tensor actions. *Compos. Math.*, 150:229–272, 2014.
- [Sto14] Jan Št’ovíček. On purity and applications to coderived and singularity categories. Preprint arXiv:1412.1615, 2014.
- [Ver23a] Charalampos Verasdanis. Costratification and actions of tensor-triangulated categories. Preprint arXiv:2211.04139, 2023.
- [Ver23b] Charalampos Verasdanis. Stratification and the smashing spectrum. *Math. Zeit.*, 305:54, 2023.

CHARALAMPOS VERASDANIS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW  
Email address: c.verasdanis.1@research.gla.ac.uk