PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JINST

Topical Workshop on Electronics for Particle Physics 2 – 6 October 2023 Geremeas, Sardinia, Italy

# From 3D to 5D tracking: SMX ASIC-based Double-Sided Micro-Strip detectors for comprehensive space, time, and energy measurements

M. Teklishyn,<sup>*a*,1</sup> A. Rodríguez Rodríguez,<sup>*a*</sup> K. Agarwal,<sup>*c*</sup> M. Bajdel,<sup>*a*,*b*</sup> L. M. Collazo Sánchez,<sup>*b*,*a*</sup> U. Frankenfeld,<sup>*a*</sup> J. M. Heuser,<sup>*a*</sup> J. Lehnert,<sup>*a*</sup> S. Mehta,<sup>*a*,*c*</sup> D. Rodríguez Garcés,<sup>*a*,*b*</sup> D. A. Ramírez Zaldívar,<sup>*a*,*b*</sup> C. J. Schmidt,<sup>*a*</sup> H. R. Schmidt,<sup>*a*,*c*</sup> A. Toia<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>b</sup>Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Germany

*E-mail:* m.teklishyn@gsi.de

ABSTRACT: We present the recent development of a lightweight detector capable of accurate spatial, timing, and amplitude resolution of charged particles. The technology is based on double-sided double-metal p+-n-n+ micro-strip silicon sensors, ultra-light long aluminum-polyimide micro-cables for the analogue signal transfer, and a custom-developed SMX read-out ASIC capable of measurement of the time ( $\Delta t \leq 5$  ns) and amplitude. Dense detector integration enables a material budget > 0.3 % X<sub>0</sub>. A sophisticated powering and grounding scheme keeps the noise under control.

In addition to its primary application in Silicon Tracking System of the future CBM experiment in Darmstadt, our detector will be utilized in other research applications.

KEYWORDS: Si microstrip and pad detectors, Timing detectors, Particle tracking detectors, dE/dx detectors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

# 1 Introduction: Silicon Tracking System of the CBM experiment

The Silicon Tracking System (STS) of the Compressed Baryonic Mater (CBM) experiment is the core application and main motivation for the detector technology described in this paper.

CBM is a fixed-target heavy-ion experiment of the future Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) complex in Darmstadt, Germany; it is dedicated to study the strongly interacting matter under extreme conditions [1].

The CBM detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer capable of collecting data in a freestreaming mode to process the unprecedented beam-target interaction rates of up to 10 MHz. The detector will utilise the heavy-ion and proton beams from the SIS-100 accelerator at energies of up to 11 AGeV and 29 GeV [2].

STS is the core tracking detector of CBM. Its 8 tracking stations (876 detector modules, total silicon area about 4 m<sup>2</sup>) will be placed 30 – 100 cm downstream of the target in the aperture of the 1 T·m superconductive dipole. The primary goals of the STS are tracking of charged particles ( $\leq 700$  tracks in the Au+Au central collision), momentum determination with  $\delta p/p \leq 1.5\%$  and secondary vertex reconstruction. For these tasks STS requires a position resolution better than 30  $\mu$ m in the bending plane, a good time resolution (in the order of 5 – 10 ns) and a material budget within  $0.3\% - 1.4\% X_0$  per tracking station [3–6].

#### 2 Micro-strip Silicon module: the fundamental functioning block of STS

A key component of the STS detector module is the custom STS-MUCH-XYTER (SMX) Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) developed for the front-end electronics of the STS and Muon Chamber (MUCH) detectors of CBM. It features 128 channels each capable of simultaneous measurement of the signal amplitude and time with 5-bit ADC (dynamic range < 15 fC for the STS and < 100 fC for MUCH modes) and 14-bit TDC ( $\Delta T_{LSB} = 3.125$  ns) in a free-streaming mode [7].



**Figure 1**. Picture of the partially assembled E16-104 module with  $62 \times 62 \text{ mm}^2$  sensor and 121 mm micro-cable. Micro-cable shielding, aluminium cooling fin and return path circuit wire are missing.

An STS detector module consists of a  $320 \,\mu$ m thick,  $62 \,\text{mm}$  wide p+-n-n+ double-sided double-metal (DSDM) silicon microstrip sensor of four versions:  $22 \,\text{mm}$ ,  $42 \,\text{mm}$ ,  $62 \,\text{mm}$  and  $124 \,\text{mm}$  long. The sensors are manufactured by Hamamatsu Photonics [8] using high-resistance silicon wafers: typical dark current is  $I_d < 40 \,\text{nA/cm}^2$  at  $20^{\circ}$ C [9–11]. All sensors underwent an automatised optical inspection [12]. There are 1024 strips with a pitch of 58  $\mu$ m on each side; the p-side strips are inclined with respect to the side edge at an angle of 7.5°. The AC readout pads are

located in two rows on the top and bottom of the sensor. Each sensor is connected to two front-end boards (FEBs), each containing eight SMX ASICs, via 32 custom ultra-lightweight aluminium-polyimide microcables up to 500 mm long with the contribution to the material budget  $\leq 0.3\% X_0$  per module [13]. A picture of the module during its assembling at GSI Detector Laboratory is shown in figure 1. More details concerning the module structure, construction, calibration and operation can be found in ref. [14].

Several versions of the detector Front-End Boards (FEB) were used during the setup developments: from the single-ASIC FEB-C (visible as a part of the prototype module in figure 2, left) to the present date FEB8 (part of the E16 STS module in figure 1). An alternative implementation of the SMX-based silicon micro-strip detector with few alternative FEB form-factors was done for the STRASSE tracker [15].

To achieve the expected noise level during operation, the STS module implements a sophisticated ground and powering scheme. This is an important feature addressed in ref. [16]. The HV and ground stability issues are also studied in ref. [17].

The Data Acquisition (DAQ) system of the STS detector together with other CBM subsystems feature radiation hard GBTx and Versatile Link based readout: details on the system architecture, protocol and particular implementations are provided in refs. [18] and [19]. An alternative DAQ chain with commercial off-the-shelf components based on the GBTx-EMU is described in ref. [20]

# **3** Present and future detector applications

A few dozens of prototype and pre-series modules has been assembled since 2018 [14]. They were involved in multiple laboratory studies and beam tests, primarily with protons at COSY synchrotron (see dedicated chapters of refs. [21, 22]) and heavy-ion collisions in mCBM at SIS18 [23].



**Figure 2**. Left to right: an early prototype module with two FEB-C prepared for the beam tests at COSY in 2018; mSTS detector during the upgrade in 2021; E16 STS at J-PARC in 2022 (picture from ref. [24]).

The mSTS detector is a fully-integrated functional STS prototype featuring eleven DSDM silicon strip modules of different sensor sizes (see figure 2, center); it is a part of the mCBM test setup [25]. The mSTS detector was first proposed in 2017 as a part of the installation of the FAIR Phase 0 mCBM experiment which aim to prove the free-streaming DAQ and online reconstruction

concept of CBM using high rate nucleus-nucleus collisions at SIS18 synchrotron. Since that time the mSTS setup went through two successful beam campaigns in 2018–2019 and 2020–2022 [26].

The E16 experiment at J-PARC aims to study in-medium modification of the vector mesons  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\phi$ , decaying via  $e^+e^-$  channel, with a high-intensity 30 GeV proton beam interacting with a C target and Cu targets at rates up to 40 MHz. It went through three commissioning runs in 2020–2021. Recently, the silicon strip detector was upgraded by replacing older silicon sensors with new ten STS modules built with  $62 \times 62 \text{ mm}^2$  sensors (see figure 2, right) [27]; it features the GBTx-EMU based DAQ chain [28].

# 4 Spatial resolution

High spacial resolution and detection efficiency are prime objectives of the tracking detectors. According to simulations performed in ref. [29],  $\Delta x \leq 15 \,\mu$ m and single-hit efficiency  $\epsilon_{hit} \approx 97\%$  were obtained. Preliminary analysis of the mSTS data supports these estimates.

#### **5** Timing and rate capabilities

Timing performance of the STS detector modules was measured in several beam tests with relativistic particles. Time resolution of  $\Delta t = 6.9$  ns was obtained with a proton beam of 1.7 GeV at COSY synchrotron (see figure 3, left) [22]. Comparable results were obtained with the mCBM data using the prototype Time-of-Flight detector (TOF) as a reference (see figure 3, right) [23].



Figure 3. Measured detector time resolution in the mSTS setup with the time-walk correction applied [23].

Several independent studies were conducted to establish the signal rate capabilities of the STS modules: beam tests with mSTS so far showed rate capabilities up to  $(128 \times 40)$  kHz/uplink = 5.1 MHz/uplink (figure 4, left); meantime, E16 team showed stable hit transmission up to 9 MHz/uplink with the digitally periodically generated SMX hits (figure 4, right).

# 6 Energy measurements

Energy loss by a relativistic charge particle penetrating a thin detector follows Landau-Vavilov distribution [30, 31]. The width factors  $\xi$  and  $\sqrt{\delta_2}$ , and most probable value  $\Delta_p$  are usually used to describe the width and the position of Landau-Vavilov distribution; they both depend on the thickness



**Figure 4.** Typical hit rate per SMX channel during the mCBM beam test from ref. [6], corrected (left). Detection efficiency per chip measured with ASIC hit generator with E16 STS module; the upper data transfer rate limit of 9.41 MHz for one uplink (corresponding to one chip) is indicated by the vertical line (right) [28].

and material of the detector [32]. With the mean energy loss of a minimum ionizing particle (MIP) being  $\langle \Delta \rangle = 388 \text{ eV}/\mu \text{m}$  we estimate  $\Delta_p \approx 84 \text{ keV}$  [32],  $\xi = 5.7 \text{ keV}$  [33], and  $\sqrt{\delta_2} = 7.6 \text{ keV}$  [34] for MIP in 320  $\mu$ m silicon. With the mean energy for electron-hole pair production in silicon  $\epsilon_{\text{Si}} = 3.64 \text{ eV}$  [33], the most-probable charge deposition is  $Q_p = \frac{\Delta_p e}{\epsilon_{\text{Si}}} = 23.1 \times 10^3 \text{ e} = 3.7 \text{ fC}.$ 

Taking into account the Poisson distribution for the electron-hole creation and a Fano factor for silicon F = 0.11 [35], we can finally estimate a typical variation of the charge deposit in the detector:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm int} \simeq \frac{e}{\epsilon_{\rm Si}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \delta_2 + F^2 \epsilon_{\rm Si}^2 Q_p / e}}{Q_p} = \frac{0.25 \,\text{fC} \oplus 0.33 \,\text{fC} \oplus 0.003 \,\text{fC}}{3.7 \,\text{fC}} = 11.1\%. \tag{6.1}$$

The SMX chip allows us to measure the charge deposited in the micro-strip silicon sensor with its slow channel and 5-bit flash ADC: thus, assuming only perpendicular tracks resulting in uniquely one-strip clusters and dynamic range of [0.8, 14.0] fC the charge uncertainty resulting from the amplitude discretization is about:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{ADC}} \simeq \frac{\sigma_{\text{ADC}}}{Q_p} = \frac{1}{3.7 \,\text{fC}} \times \frac{14.0 \,\text{fC} - 0.8 \,\text{fC}}{(2^5 - 1)\sqrt{12}} = \frac{0.12 \,\text{fC}}{3.7 \,\text{fC}} = 3.3\%,$$
(6.2)

which is smaller than uncertainty resulting from the conservative estimate of the effective noise charge (ENC) of  $\sigma_{\text{ENC}} = 1200 \text{ e} \approx 0.2 \text{ fC}$  from ref. [14]:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm ENC} \simeq \frac{\sigma_{\rm ENC}}{Q_p} = \frac{0.2 \,\mathrm{fC}}{3.7 \,\mathrm{fC}} = 5.4\%.$$
 (6.3)

Comparing equations 6.2 and 6.3 to equation 6.1 one can see that the measurement precision is dominated by the nature of the interaction rather than by the read-out electronics.

Particle Identification (PID) using the charged particle energy deposition per unit length of detector material (specific energy loss) is a widely used approach in particle and nuclear physics. Heavy-ion experiments typically use information about the continuous energy loss in their gaseous trackers [36, 37]. To some extent, this approach is also applicable to silicon tracking detectors, as has been shown with data from the CMS Tracker [38] and ALICE ITS (see figure 5, left) [37, 39].



**Figure 5**. Performance studies of the particle identification in the ALICE ITS with Pb+Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV (left) [37]. PID in CBM STS with separately simulated thermalized reaction products from Au+Au at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4.3$  GeV (right) [40].

Independent measurements of the energy deposit in multiple tracking stations require averaging. As the arithmetic mean does not reduce the uncertainty, CMS Tracker team uses  $\langle E \rangle = (\Sigma_i 1/E_i^2)^{-1/2}$ , while ALICE ITC team uses a truncated mean. We obtained the best results using the median energy value; the bands of the median energy deposits for various particles are shown in figure 5, right.

Applying a simple threshold of  $\Delta E / \Delta x > 80 \times 10^3 \text{e}/300 \mu \text{m}$  on the median charge deposited in STS detectors results in almost complete separation of double-charged particles from singlecharged particles in the STS [41]. According to CBM simulations, in the case of hyper tritium decay  ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} \pi^{-}$  it helped to increase the signal purity by a factor of 50, as shown in Fig.6: the main contamination in this channel are misidentified protons and deuterons, which are overlapped with helium isotopes in TOF detector data [40].



**Figure 6**. Reconstruction of the  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow {}^{3}He \pi^{-}$  decay with TOF only (left) and with an additional PID from STS (right). Simulation with  $5 \times 10^{6}$  central Au+Au collisions at CBM beam energy 10 AGeV [40].

# Acknowledgments

This research would not be possible without the contribution made by the engineers and technical staff of the GSI Detector Laboratory, Module Assembling Team: C. Simons, R. Visinka, O. Bertini, K. Schuenemann and O. Suddia. We are grateful to our colleagues at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, who have contributed to the production of the modules: T. Blank, H. Herzenstiel, B. Leyrer. Finally, we thank R. Kappel, I. Keshelashvili, and F. Nickels for their valuable inputs.

# References

- [1] K. Agarwal, The compressed baryonic matter (CBM) experiment at FAIR physics, status and prospects, Physica Scripta (2023).
- [2] M. Durante, P. Indelicato, B. Jonson, V. Koch, K. Langanke, U.-G. Meißner et al., All the fun of the FAIR: fundamental physics at the facility for antiproton and ion research, Physica Scripta 94 (2019) 033001.
- [3] A. Lymanets, *The silicon tracking system of the CBM experiment at FAIR*, *Ukrainian Journal of Physics* **64** (2019) 607.
- [4] E. Lavrik, The silicon tracking system of the CBM experiment at FAIR, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1390 (2019) 012114.
- [5] H. Schmidt, The silicon tracking system of the CBM experiment at FAIR, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 936 (2019) 630.
- [6] A. Rodriguez Rodriguez, The silicon tracking system of CBM: towards tests with heavy ion collisions, in 2019 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference (NSS/MIC), IEEE, oct, 2019, DOI.
- [7] K. Kasinski, A. Rodriguez-Rodriguez, J. Lehnert, W. Zubrzycka, R. Szczygiel, P. Otfinowski et al., Characterization of the STS/MUCH-XYTER2, a 128-channel time and amplitude measurement IC for gas and silicon microstrip sensors, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 908 (2018) 225.
- [8] "Hamamatsu Photonics https://www.hamamatsu.com/."
- [9] I. Momot, M. Teklishyn, A. Lymanets, O. Bertini and J. Heuser, *Investigation into the charge collection efficiency of prototype microstrip sensors for the CBM silicon tracking system*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1024 (2018) 012004.
- [10] I. Momot, *Characterization and radiation hardness studies of the silicon microstrip sensors for the CBM experiment*, Ph.D. thesis, Goethe U., Frankfurt (main), 2019.
- [11] I. Panasenko, Development of Electrical Quality Assurance Procedures and Methods for the Silicon Tracking System of the CBM Experiment, Ph.D. thesis, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, 2022.
- [12] E. Lavrik, M. Shiroya, H. Schmidt, A. Toia and J. Heuser, Optical inspection of the silicon micro-strip sensors for the CBM experiment employing artificial intelligence, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1021 (2022) 165932.
- [13] M. Protsenko, *The technology for the production of radiation detector modules*, Ph.D. thesis, Kharkiv National University of Radioelectronics, 2017.
- [14] A.R. Rodríguez, O.M. Rodríguez, J. Lehnert, A. Toia, M. Teklishyn, A. Lymanets et al., Functional characterization of modules for the silicon tracking system of the CBM experiment, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1058 (2024) 168813.
- [15] A. Frotscher, *The (p,3p) two-proton removal from neutron-rich nuclei and the development of the STRASSE tracker*, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, November, 2021. https://doi.org/10.26083/tuprints-00019806.

- [16] P. Koczon, C.J. Schmidt, U. Frankenfeld, R. Kapell, J. Lehnert, A. Lymanets et al., *The powering concept of the Silicon Tracking System for CBM@FAIR*, *PoS* 370 (2020) 063.
- [17] O. Kshyvanskyi, *Evaluation of the prototypes of the detector modules for theCBM Silicon Tracking System*, Master's thesis, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 2022.
- [18] J. Lehnert, A. Byszuk, D. Emschermann, K. Kasinski, W. Müller, C. Schmidt et al., GBT based readout in the CBM experiment, Journal of Instrumentation 12 (2017) C02061.
- [19] CBM Collaboration, Technical Design Report for the CBM Online Systems Part I, DAQ and FLES Entry Stage, GSI Helmholtzzentrum fuer Schwerionenforschung, GSI, Darmstadt (2023), 10.15120/GSI-2023-00739.
- [20] W. Zabołotny, D. Emschermann, M. Gumiński, M. Kruszewski, J. Lehnert, P. Miedzik et al., SMX and front-end board tester for CBM readout chain, Journal of Instrumentation 17 (2022) C04030.
- [21] A.R. Rodriguez, *The CBM Silicon Tracking System front-end electronics: from bare ASIC to detector characterization, commissioning and performance*, doctoralthesis, Goethe U., Frankfurt (main), 2020.
- [22] P. Pfistner, Development of Electrical Quality Assurance Procedures and Methods for the Silicon Tracking System of the CBM Experiment, Ph.D. thesis, Karlsruher Instituts für Technologie, 2021.
- [23] O. Maragoto Rodriguez, *Characterization and commissioning of the front-end electronics for the Silicon Tracking System of the CBM experiment*, Ph.D. thesis, Goethe U., Frankfurt (main), 2023.
- [24] "J-PARC E16 experiment https://ribf.riken.jp/~yokkaich/E16/E16-index.html."
- [25] CBM Collaboration, mCBM@SIS18, Darmstadt : CBM Collaboration (2017) GSI 58 S. (2017).
- [26] GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt and FAIR GmbH, Darmstadt, ed., GSI-FAIR Scientific Report 2022, vol. GSI Report 2023-1, GSI Helmholtzzentrum fuer Schwerionenforschung, GSI, Darmstadt (2023), 10.15120/GSI-2023-00462.
- [27] K. Aoki, D. Arimizu, S. Ashikaga, W.-C. Chang, T. Chujo, K. Ebata et al., Experimental Study of In-medium Spectral Change of Vector Mesons at J-PARC, Few-Body Systems 64 (2023).
- [28] K. Yamaguchi, Development of Readout Circuit for Silicon Strip Detector for Track Detection in J-PARC E16 Experiment, Master's thesis, Kyoto University, 2022.
- [29] H. Malygina and V. Friese, A precision device needs precise simulation: Software description of the CBM Silicon Tracking System, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 898 (2017) 042022.
- [30] L.D. Landau, On the energy loss of fast particles by ionization, J. Phys. 8 (1944) 201.
- [31] P.V. Vavilov, Ionization losses of high-energy heavy particles, Sov. Phys. JETP 5 (1957) 749.
- [32] R.L. Workman, V.D. Burkert, V. Crede, E. Klempt, U. Thoma, L. Tiator et al., *Review of particle physics, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2022** (2022).
- [33] S. Meroli, D. Passeri and L. Servoli, *Energy loss measurement for charged particles in very thin silicon layers*, *Journal of Instrumentation* 6 (2011) P06013.
- [34] O. Blunck and S. Leisegang, Zum energieverlust schneller elektronen in dünnen schichten, Zeitschrift für Physik **128** (1950) 500.
- [35] D. Rodrigues, K. Andersson, M. Cababie, A. Donadon, A. Botti, G. Cancelo et al., Absolute measurement of the fano factor using a skipper-CCD, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 1010 (2021) 165511.

- [36] M. Shao, O. Barannikova, X. Dong, Y. Fisyak, L. Ruan, P. Sorensen et al., *Extensive particle identification with TPC and TOF at the STAR experiment, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 558 (2006) 419.
- [37] M. Ivanov, *Identified charged hadron production measured with ALICE at the LHC, Nuclear Physics* A 904-905 (2013) 162c.
- [38] L. Quertenmont, Particle identification with ionization energy loss in the CMS silicon strip tracker, Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements **215** (2011) 95.
- [39] L. Milano, *Measurement of identified charged hadron spectra with the ALICE experiment at the LHC*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **316** (2011) 012019.
- [40] GSI Helmholtzzentrum Für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, ed., GSI-FAIR Scientific Report 2017, Darmstadt : GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung 453 p. (2018). doi:10.15120/GSI-2017-01856 (2018).
- [41] O. Derenovskaya, V. Ivanov, I. Vassiliev and Y. Russov, *Heavy fragments identification using energy loss method in the STS detector of the CBM experiment*, *EPJ Web of Conferences* 226 (2020) 03005.