

# SHARP GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS AND SCATTERING OF THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION

XUWEN CHEN, SHUNLIN SHEN, AND ZHIFEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the 3D Boltzmann equation for the Maxwellian particle and soft potential with an angular cutoff. We prove sharp global well-posedness with initial data small in the scaling-critical space. The solution also remains in  $L^1$  if the initial datum is in  $L^1$ , even at such low regularity. The key to existence, uniqueness and regularity criteria is the new bilinear spacetime estimates for the gain term, the proof of which is based on novel techniques from nonlinear dispersive PDEs including the atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces, multi-linear frequency analysis, dispersive estimates, etc. To our knowledge, this is the first 3D sharp global result for the Boltzmann equation.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Outline of Proof	5
2. The Gain-term-only Boltzmann Equation	7
2.1. Atomic $U$ - $V$ Spaces	7
2.2. Scaling-invariant Bilinear Estimate for the Gain Term	9
2.3. Global Well-posedness for the Gain-term-only Boltzmann	16
3. Global Existence of the Boltzmann Equation	20
4. Uniqueness of the Boltzmann Equation	22
5. Strong Solution and the Blow-up Criterion	26
6. Persistence of Regularity	27
Appendix A. Sobolev-type and Strichartz Estimates	38
References	39

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Boltzmann equation is a basic mathematical model in the collisional kinetic theory which describes the statistical evolution of a dilute gas. The Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation is of crucial importance for the physical interpretation and practical application, and is thus one of the fundamental problems in kinetic theory. So far, a

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 76P05, 35Q20, 35A01; Secondary 35B65, 82C40.

*Key words and phrases.* Boltzmann equation, Global well-posedness, Atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces, Soft potential, Maxwellian particles.

large quantity of mathematical theories have been developed by using various methods for constructing solutions in different settings, see for example [2–6, 8, 9, 11, 14–17, 28, 32, 35–40, 42–44, 46, 47, 50, 52, 53]. Despite the significant progress, it remains an open problem to characterize the optimal regularity of initial data for the well-posedness including the global existence, uniqueness, continuity of the solution map, scattering, conservation laws, and etc. This is not only of mathematical and physical interests for perfection, but also an actual need for many related problems, such as the derivation of the Boltzmann equation from the classical particle systems or quantum many-body dynamics, its hydrodynamic limit to fluid equations, and many others. In the paper, we investigate the sharp global well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation.

The general 3D Boltzmann equation takes the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f(t, x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(v^*)f(u^*) - f(v)f(u)] B(u - v, \omega) dud\omega, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \end{cases}$$

where  $f(t, x, v)$  denotes the distribution function for the particles at time  $t \geq 0$ , position  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . The variables  $u, v$  can be regarded as pre-collision velocities for a pair of particles,  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$  is a parameter for the deflection angle in the collision process, and the after-collision velocities  $u^*, v^*$  are given by

$$u^* = u + \omega \cdot (v - u)\omega, \quad v^* = v - \omega \cdot (v - u)\omega.$$

We adopt the usual shorthand  $Q(f, g)$  to denote the nonlinear collision term of (1.1), which is conventionally split into a gain term and a loss term:

$$(1.2) \quad Q(f, g) = Q^+(f, g) - Q^-(f, g),$$

$$(1.3) \quad (\text{gain term}) \quad Q^+(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v^*)g(u^*)B(u - v, \omega) dud\omega,$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\text{loss term}) \quad Q^-(f, g) = fA[g], \quad A[g] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(u)B(u - v, \omega) dud\omega.$$

Due to physical considerations of collision, the Boltzmann collision kernel function  $B(u - v, \omega)$  is a non-negative function depending only on the relative velocity  $|u - v|$  and the deflection angle  $\theta$  through  $\cos \theta := \frac{u-v}{|u-v|} \cdot \omega$ . Throughout the paper, we consider

$$(1.5) \quad B(u - v, \omega) = |u - v|^\gamma \mathbf{b}(\cos \theta)$$

under the Grad's angular cutoff assumption

$$0 \leq \mathbf{b}(\cos \theta) \leq C|\cos \theta|.$$

The collision kernel (1.5) originates from the physical model of inverse-power law potentials and the different ranges  $\gamma < 0$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  correspond to soft potentials, Maxwellian molecules, and hard potentials, respectively. See also [12, 13, 65] for a more detailed physics background.

There have been many advancements of well-posedness theories requiring as less regularity as possible on the initial data. However, it is highly nontrivial to find the critical

regularity of initial data for well-posedness. On the one hand, the critical regularity for the Boltzmann equation is sometimes believed at  $s = \frac{3}{2}$ , the continuity threshold, see for example [6, 36–38] for a more discussion. On the other hand, from the scaling point of view, the Boltzmann equation (1.1) is invariant under the scaling

$$(1.6) \quad f_\lambda(t, x, v) = \lambda^{\alpha+(2+\gamma)\beta} f(\lambda^{\alpha-\beta}t, \lambda^\alpha x, \lambda^\beta v),$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then in the  $L^2$  setting, it holds that

$$\| |\nabla_x|^s |v|^r f_\lambda \|_{L_{x,v}^2} = \lambda^{\alpha+(2+\gamma)\beta} \lambda^{\alpha s - \beta r} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\beta} \| |\nabla_x|^s |v|^r f \|_{L_{x,v}^2},$$

which gives the scaling-critical index

$$(1.7) \quad s = \frac{1}{2}, \quad r = s + \gamma.$$

That is, in term of scaling, a guiding principle, one expects that the well/ill-posedness threshold in  $H^s$  Sobolev space is  $s_c = \frac{1}{2}$  with  $r \geq 0$ .

In a recent series of paper [15–17], by adopting dispersive techniques on the study of the quantum many-body hierarchy dynamics, especially space-time collapsing/multi-linear estimates techniques (see for instance [18–26, 30, 48, 49, 55, 56, 64]), T. Chen, Denlinger, and Pavlović provided an alternate dispersive PDE based route for proving well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation and hierarchy. With the introduction of dispersive techniques, the regularity index for local well-posedness, which is usually at least the continuity threshold  $s > \frac{3}{2}$ , has been improved to  $s > 1$  for both 3D Maxwellian molecules and hard potentials with cutoff in [15]. Unexpectedly in the scaling point of view, for the 3D constant kernel case, X. Chen and Holmer in [28] found the well/ill-posedness threshold in  $H^s$  Sobolev space was exactly at regularity  $s = 1$ , and thus pointed out the actual optimal regularity for the global well-posedness problem. Subsequently, in our work [32], we moved forward from the special constant kernel case to investigate the general kernel with soft potentials, and proved that the well/ill-posedness threshold was also  $s = 1$ .

With the finding of this critical regularity for well-posedness, just like many other physically important equations [33, 57, 58, 62, 63, 66], a challenging problem for the Boltzmann equation is whether or not one could prove the sharp global well-posedness even for small initial data. Our main result provides an affirmative answer.

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem). *Let  $s > 1$  and  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ . There exists  $\eta > 0$ , such that for all non-negative initial data  $f_0$  satisfying the regularity condition that*

$$\|f_0\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s} := \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0 \|_{L_{x,v}^2} < \infty,$$

and the scaling-critical smallness condition that

$$(1.8) \quad \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} f_0 \|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq \eta,$$

the Boltzmann equation (1.1) is global well-posed in  $C([0, \infty); L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s)$  and the solution scatters. Furthermore, if  $f_0 \in L_{x,v}^1$ , then for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  we have

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^1} \leq \|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^1}.$$

**Remark 1.2.** Theorem 1.1 is sharp, as we have proven that for  $s < 1$ , the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation is ill-posed in [32]. The range  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$  is the endpoint of our method. On the one hand, the scaling analysis (1.7) and the scaling-critical norm (1.8) imply that  $\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \geq 0$ . If not, the well-definiteness of the Boltzmann equation is a problem. On the other hand, our proof depends on a scaling-invariant estimate which does not work well if the Sobolev index is negative.

Theorem 1.1 is actually contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ . There exists  $\eta > 0$ , such that for all non-negative initial data  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$  satisfying*

$$(1.9) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq \eta,$$

we have:

(1) (*Existence*) *There exists a non-negative  $C([0, \infty); L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s)$  solution  $f(t)$  satisfying*

$$(1.10) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f\|_{L_t^\infty(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} < \infty,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^p)} \leq C(p, T),$$

for all  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$  and all  $T \in (0, \infty)$ .

(2) (*Uniqueness*) *The solution  $f(t)$  is unique in a larger class of all  $C([0, T]; L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2)$  solutions satisfying the integrability bounds (1.10)–(1.13) on  $[0, T]$  with  $p = 6$ .*

(3) (*Scattering*) *The solution  $f(t)$  scatters in  $L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^p$  for all  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$ . That is, there exists a function  $f_{+\infty} \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^p$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|f(t) - S(t)f_{+\infty}\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^p} = 0,$$

where  $S(t) = e^{-tv \cdot \nabla_x}$ .

(4) (*Lipschitz continuity of the solution map*) *The solution map*

$$f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s \mapsto f \in C([0, T]; L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s)$$

is Lipschitz<sup>1</sup> continuous.

(5) (*Persistence of regularity*) *Further suppose that  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^{s+\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , then we have that  $f(t) \in C([0, \infty); L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^{s+\alpha})$  and*

$$\|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s+\alpha} \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma+\beta} Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} < \infty,$$

for all  $T \in [0, \infty)$ .

(6) (*Finite mass density*) *Moreover, if  $f_0 \in L_{x,v}^1$ , then for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  we have*

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^1} \leq \|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^1}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The solution map is actually analytic continuous as it comes from an argument of contraction map in our proof.

Using the global well-posedness and persistence of regularity, we immediately have the following corollary for smooth initial data with respect to the spatial variable.

**Corollary 1.4.** Smooth datum subject to the smallness (1.8) generates a global smooth solution which scatters. That is, if  $f_0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha>0} L_v^{2,r} H_x^\alpha$  with  $r > 1 + \gamma$ , then

$$f(t) \in \bigcap_{\alpha>0} C([0, \infty); L_v^{2,r} H_x^\alpha).$$

Continuing a great deal of efforts such as [6, 8, 11, 15–17, 28, 32, 35, 37, 38, 42–44, 46, 47, 50, 53] devoted to the well-posedness theory of the Boltzmann equation with an angular cutoff<sup>2</sup>, Theorems 1.1–1.3 establish a sharp global well-posedness with small initial data in the scaling-critical space for both Maxwellian molecules and soft potential cases.<sup>3</sup> To the best of our knowledge, this is the first 3D sharp global result.

**1.1. Outline of Proof.** In [32], we have proved a sharp local well-posedness in the  $L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$  space for  $s > 1$  and hence provided a blow-up criterion that

$$(1.14) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T(f_0)} \|f_{loc}(t)\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s} = \infty,$$

where  $T(f_0)$  is the lifespan. To obtain a global result, it suffices to establish a priori regularity bound  $L_t^\infty(0, T(f_0); L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s)$  on the local strong solution  $f_{loc}(t)$ . The strategy we take is divided into the following three steps.

- Step 1.** Construct a global solution  $f(t)$  to the Boltzmann equation. In the step, the regularity bound is not required but some good decay and integrability properties are needed for a subsequent analysis.
- Step 2.** Establish a uniqueness theory to prove that the global solution  $f(t)$  coincides with the strong local solution  $f_{loc}(t)$ . Therefore, the global solution  $f(t)$  recovers the regularity at least for a short time.
- Step 3.** Provide a regularity criterion to prove the persistence of regularity for the global solution. Once the regularity bound of  $f(t)$  is set up, by the blow-up criterion and uniqueness theorem, we conclude that  $T(f_0) = \infty$  and hence obtain the global well-posedness.

Due to the complexity of the collision kernel, it is quite hard to solve the Boltzmann equation at critical regularity. Though the gain term and the loss term scale the same way, they have totally different structures and hence cannot share the same critical estimates. To beat it, we make use of a classical technique, the Kaniel–Shinbrot iteration [53], the main point of which is to solve the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation. This method has been successful in many work such as [17, 47, 50]. Especially in [17], with a novel application of this iteration scheme, the global well-posedness of 2D Boltzmann equation with a constant

<sup>2</sup>The non-cutoff case is of equally importance and many nice developments have been achieved, see for example [2–5, 14, 36, 39, 40, 52].

<sup>3</sup>The hard potential case is also interesting and the global well/ill-posedness results remain open. However, it needs a different working space even for the local well-posedness, see [15]. Hence, it requires new ideas to deal with these problems which we put for further work.

collision kernel is solved for small  $L_{x,v}^2$ -critical initial data and the result is actually sharp with the ill-posedness results in [28, 32] and some other tools in this paper. For the physical 3D problem, to obtain the global well-posedness of the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation, we prove a completely new 3D scaling-invariant bilinear estimate for the gain term. As it requires derivatives to be scaling-invariant, the related harmonic analysis nitty-gritty technicalities come in and the proof highly relies on the latest dispersive techniques.<sup>4</sup>

In Section 2.1, we introduce an updated dispersive technique, the atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces, which was first developed by Koch and Tataru [59, 60] and played a key role in solving critical problems. Apart from this, one important observation is that the energy conservation provides a lower bound estimate for after-collision velocities, which enables the application of the Littlewood-Paley theory and multi-linear frequency analysis techniques. In Section 2.2, we give a subtle frequency analysis on the gain term and set up the scaling-invariant bilinear estimate with the help of a convolution type estimate and Strichartz estimates. Finally in Section 2.3, we complete the proof of the global well-posedness of the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation with small initial data in the scaling-critical space. To our knowledge, this seems to be the 1st application of the atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces techniques on the study of the well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation, and we believe that the approach would be helpful in many related problems in different settings, as these spaces have made the estimates more unified and the format cleaner.

In Section 3, we prove the global existence and scattering of the Boltzmann equation by using the Kaniel-Shinbrot iterative method. The idea is to put the solution of the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation as an upper bound of the beginning condition in the iteration scheme. However, a key point of this method, the uniqueness of the limiting equation, cannot be easily obtained. As the limiting point only enjoys integrability bounds instead of regularity bounds, the uniqueness must hold in some integrable class. In Section 4, we establish the uniqueness theorem. As we work in the integrable class, an  $L_t^1 L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2$  bilinear estimate which carries no regularity, plays an important role.

In Section 5, we state the strong local well-posedness in the setting of atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces and give the blow-up criterion. In Section 6, to propagate the regularity for the Boltzmann equation, we provide the regularity criteria based on the integrability bounds, one novel application of which is to solve [17, Conjecture 1.1].<sup>5</sup> The key is a new  $L_t^1 L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$  bilinear estimate for the gain term, the proof of which also highly relies on the frequency analysis techniques like in Section 2.2.

Putting together all the results in Sections 3–6, we finish the proof of Theorems 1.1–1.3.

---

<sup>4</sup>As shown in [13, p.138], it has been proved in [10, 51] that the  $L^1$  norm for the 3D gain-term-only Boltzmann equation might blow up and hence hinders the application of the Kaniel-Shinbrot iteration for general data. That is not the case here. Our low regularity solution for (1.1) stays in  $L^1$  provided that the initial datum is in  $L^1$  as a consequence of well-posedness in a Strichartz-type space, though the solution to the gain-term-only equation may not stay in  $L^1$ . This also addresses the question raised in [17, Remark 1.5].

<sup>5</sup>See Remark 6.2 for details.

## 2. THE GAIN-TERM-ONLY BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In the section, we will take dispersive techniques to deal with the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f(t, x, v) = Q^+(f, f), \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \end{cases}$$

To draw a connection between the analysis of (2.1) and the theory of nonlinear dispersive PDEs, we take the inverse  $v$ -variable Fourier transform on both side of (2.1) to get

$$(2.2) \quad i\partial_t \tilde{f} + \nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{f} = i\mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1} [Q^+(f, f)],$$

where  $\tilde{f}(t, x, \xi) = \mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1}(f)$ . The linear part of (2.1) changes into the symmetric hyperbolic Schrödinger equation and hence gives Strichartz estimates (see the Appendix A) that

$$(2.3) \quad \|e^{it\nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x} \tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^q L_{x\xi}^p} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^2}, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{6}{p} = 3, \quad q \geq 2.$$

For the nonlinear part of (2.1), by the well-known Bony identity for a general case, see for example [1, 34], it holds that (up to an unimportant constant)

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1} [Q^+(f, g)](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \frac{\tilde{f}(\xi^+ + \eta) \tilde{g}(\xi^- - \eta)}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} \mathbf{b}\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \omega\right) d\eta d\omega,$$

where  $\xi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\xi + |\xi|\omega)$  and  $\xi^- = \frac{1}{2}(\xi - |\xi|\omega)$ . For convenience, we take the notation that  $\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) = \mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1} [Q^+(f, g)]$ .

In Section 2.1, we introduce the atomic  $U$ - $V$  spaces techniques into the analysis of the Boltzmann equation. In Section 2.2, we establish a scaling-invariant bilinear estimate for the gain term, which is the key to the global well-posedness of the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation (2.1). Finally in Section 2.3, we conclude the well-posedness of (2.1) with small initial data in the scaling-critical space.

**2.1. Atomic  $U$ - $V$  Spaces.** We give a brief introduction to the atomic  $U$  spaces introduced by Koch and Tataru [59, 60] and the  $V$  spaces of bounded  $p$ -variation of Wiener [67]. Their properties have been further elaborated in [45, 61].

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the set of finite partitions  $-\infty < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_K \leq +\infty$  of the real line. If  $t_K = +\infty$ , we use the convention that  $u(t_K) := 0$  for all functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \mapsto H$ , where  $H$  is a Hilbert space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For  $\{t_k\}_{k=0}^K \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{K-1} \subset H$  with  $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \|\phi_k\|_H^p = 1$  we call the step function

$$(2.5) \quad a = \sum_{k=1}^K \chi_{[t_{k-1}, t_k)} \phi_{k-1}$$

a  $U^p$ -atom and define the atomic space  $U^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$  of all functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \mapsto H$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j, \quad \text{for } U^p\text{-atoms } a_j, \{\lambda_j\} \in l^1,$$

with norm

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{U^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| : u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, a_j \text{ } U^p\text{-atom} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Define  $V^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$  as the space of all functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \mapsto H$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{V^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \sup_{\{t_k\}_{k=0}^K \in \mathcal{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \|u(t_{k+1}) - u(t_k)\|_H^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is finite.

We will work exclusively with the variants  $U_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ ,  $V_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$  defined as the norms  $U_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ ,  $V_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ , respectively, after pulling-back by a linear flow  $U(t)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $U_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ ,  $V_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$  be the space of all functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \mapsto H$  such that  $t \mapsto U(-t)u(t)$  is in  $U^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ ,  $V^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$  respectively, with norms

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_{U_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \|U(-t)u\|_{U^p(\mathbb{R}; H)}, \quad \|u\|_{V_L^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \|U(-t)u\|_{V^p(\mathbb{R}; H)}.$$

In our setting, we take  $H = L_{x, \xi}^2$ ,  $U(t) = e^{it\nabla_{\xi} \cdot \nabla_x}$ . We provide the Strichartz estimates which will be used to establish the scaling-invariant bilinear estimate for the gain term.

**Proposition 2.4** (Strichartz estimate). Let  $(q, p)$  be a pair satisfying

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{6}{p} = 3, \quad q \geq 2.$$

Then we have the Strichartz estimates

$$(2.10) \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_t^q L_{x, \xi}^p} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{U_L^q L_{x, \xi}^2},$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \left\| \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[\tilde{f}](\tau) d\tau \right\|_{V_L^{q'}(0, \infty; L_{x, \xi}^2)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}[\tilde{f}]\|_{L_t^{q'}(0, \infty; L_{x, \xi}^{p'})},$$

where  $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$  and  $p = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Especially, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \left\| \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[\tilde{f}](\tau) d\tau \right\|_{U_L^2(0, \infty; L_{x, \xi}^2)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}[\tilde{f}]\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_{x, \xi}^2)}.$$

*Proof.* The Strichartz estimates are well-known in the dispersive literature. The estimates (2.10)–(2.12) follow from the linear Strichartz estimate (A.6) in the Appendix A and the definition of the  $U$ – $V$  spaces. See [61, Chapter 4.10] for more details.  $\square$

**2.2. Scaling-invariant Bilinear Estimate for the Gain Term.** Before getting into the analysis of the scaling invariant-bilinear estimate, we provide a convolution type inequality as follows.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

$$(2.13) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{f}(\xi^+ + \eta) \tilde{g}(\xi^- - \eta)}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} \mathbf{b}\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \omega\right) d\eta d\omega \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_{\frac{6p}{6-p\gamma}}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_{\frac{6q}{6-q\gamma}}}.$$

*In particular, we have*

$$(2.14) \quad \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{3}{1-\gamma}}},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{3}{1-\gamma}}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2},$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}},$$

$$(2.17) \quad \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3}.$$

*Proof.* For the case of Maxwellian molecules, it has been established in [7, Theorem 1] that

$$(2.18) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \tilde{f}(\xi^+) \tilde{g}(\xi^-) \mathbf{b}\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \omega\right) d\omega \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^p} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^q}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.18), we then have

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{f}(\xi^+ + \eta) \tilde{g}(\xi^- - \eta)}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} \mathbf{b}\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \omega\right) d\eta d\omega \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{f}(\xi^+ + \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{g}(\xi^- - \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{b}\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \omega\right) d\omega \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{f}(\xi + \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^p} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{g}(\xi - \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ & = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{f}(\xi + \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\tilde{g}(\xi - \eta)|^2}{|\eta|^{3+\gamma}} d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{q}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{L_{\frac{6p}{6-p\gamma}}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L_{\frac{6q}{6-q\gamma}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$\|u * |\cdot|^{-3-\gamma}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{p}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_{\frac{3p}{6-p\gamma}}}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of (2.13). Then we obtain estimates (2.14)–(2.15) by taking

$$(p, q) = \left(\frac{6}{3+\gamma}, -\frac{6}{\gamma}\right), \quad (p, q) = \left(-\frac{6}{\gamma}, \frac{6}{3+\gamma}\right),$$

and obtain estimates (2.16)–(2.17) by taking

$$(p, q) = (3, 6), \quad (p, q) = (6, 3).$$

□

To prove the scaling-invariant bilinear estimate for the gain term, we need a detailed frequency analysis from Littlewood-Paley theory.<sup>6</sup> Let  $\chi(x)$  be a cutoff function and satisfy  $\chi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\chi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ . Let  $N$  be a dyadic number and define the Littlewood-Paley projector

$$(2.20) \quad \widehat{P_N u}(y) = \varphi_N(y) \widehat{u}(y), \quad \varphi_N(y) = \chi\left(\frac{y}{2N}\right) - \chi\left(\frac{y}{N}\right).$$

We denote by  $P_N^x$  and  $P_M^\xi$  the projector of the  $x$ -variable and  $\xi$ -variable respectively.

**Lemma 2.6** (Scaling-invariant bilinear estimate). *Let  $s_c = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Then we have*

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \\ & \leq C \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \widetilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \widetilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , the constant  $C$  can also be independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* We divide the parameters into two cases as follows:

- (1)  $s_c + \gamma + \beta = 0$ .
- (2)  $s_c + \gamma + \beta > 0$ .

For the case (1) that  $\gamma = -s_c = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = 0$ , by triangle inequality, we have

$$(2.22) \quad \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2} \lesssim \| \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2} + \| |\varepsilon \nabla_x|^\alpha \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2}.$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (2.22), we use the fractional Leibniz rule in Lemma A.1, and estimates (2.16)–(2.17) in Lemma 2.5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \\ & \lesssim \| \widetilde{Q}^+ \left( \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{f} \|_{L_x^3}, \| \widetilde{g} \|_{L_x^6} \right) \|_{L_t^1 L_\xi^2} + \| \widetilde{Q}^+ \left( \| \widetilde{f} \|_{L_x^6}, \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{g} \|_{L_x^3} \right) \|_{L_t^1 L_\xi^2} \\ & \lesssim \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{f} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^3} \| \widetilde{g} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^6} + \| \widetilde{f} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^6} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{g} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^3}. \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev inequality that  $W^{s_c, 6} \hookrightarrow L^3$ , and Strichartz estimate (2.10), we have

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{Q}^+(f, \widetilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} & \lesssim \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{f} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^3} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{g} \|_{L_t^2 L_\xi^3 L_x^3} \\ & \lesssim \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \widetilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>6</sup>See [25, 27, 31] for some examples sharing similar critical flavor but carrying completely different structures.

In the same way, for the second term on the right hand side of (2.22), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.24) \quad & \| |\varepsilon \nabla_x|^\alpha \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^\alpha \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c + \alpha} \tilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \tilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} + \varepsilon^\alpha \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \tilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \| \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c + \alpha} \tilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \\
& \lesssim \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \tilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2} \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \tilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} L_\xi^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together estimates (2.22), (2.23), and (2.24), we complete the proof of (2.21) for the case (1).

Next we deal with the case (2). By triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.25) \quad & \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \\
& \lesssim \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} + \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^\beta \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to handle the second term on the right hand of (2.25) and prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.26) \quad & \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^\beta \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \|_{L_t^1 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \\
& \lesssim \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}},
\end{aligned}$$

since the first term on the right hand of (2.25) can be estimated in the same way as (2.22) if  $s_c + \gamma = 0$ , or (2.26) with  $\beta = 0$  if  $s_c + \gamma > 0$ . To prove (2.26), by duality it is equivalent to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.27) \quad & \int \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) h dx d\xi dt \\
& \lesssim \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{f} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{g} \|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c + \gamma}} \\
& \quad \| \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h \|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{-s_c} H_\xi^{-s_c - \gamma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $I$  the integral in (2.27), and insert a Littlewood-Paley decomposition so that

$$I = \sum_{\substack{M, M_1, M_2 \\ N, N_1, N_2}} I_{M, M_1, M_2, N, N_1, N_2}$$

where

$$I_{M, M_1, M_2, N, N_1, N_2} = \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt.$$

Noticing that  $\tilde{Q}^+$  commutes with  $P_N^x$ , we have the constraint that  $N \lesssim \max(N_1, N_2)$  due to that

$$(2.28) \quad P_N^x (P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f} P_{N_2}^x \tilde{g}) = 0, \quad \text{if } N \geq 10 \max(N_1, N_2).$$

One key observation is that the property (2.28) also holds for the  $\xi$ -variable, that is,

$$(2.29) \quad P_M^\xi \tilde{Q}^+(P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) = 0, \quad \text{if } M \geq 10 \max(M_1, M_2).$$

Indeed, note that

(2.30)

$$\mathcal{F}_\xi \left( P_M^\xi \tilde{Q}^+ (P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) \right) = \varphi_M(v) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi_{M_1} f)(v^*) (\varphi_{M_2} g)(u^*) B(u-v, \omega) dud\omega.$$

By the energy conservation that  $|v|^2 + |u|^2 = |v^*|^2 + |u^*|^2$ , we have  $|v| \leq |v^*| + |u^*|$  for all  $(u, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ . Together with  $M \geq 10 \max(M_1, M_2)$ , this lower bound implies that

$$(2.31) \quad \varphi_M(v) \varphi_{M_1}(v^*) \varphi_{M_2}(u^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } (u, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Thus, we arrive at (2.29) and the constraint that  $M \lesssim \max(M_1, M_2)$ .

Now, we divide the sum into four cases as follows:

Case A.  $M_1 \geq M_2, N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Case B.  $M_1 \leq M_2, N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Case C.  $M_1 \geq M_2, N_1 \leq N_2$ .

Case D.  $M_1 \leq M_2, N_1 \leq N_2$ .

We only need to treat Cases A and B, as Cases C and D follow similarly.

**Case A.**  $M_1 \geq M_2, N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Let  $I_A$  denote the integral restricted to the Case A.

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &= \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M_2, M_1 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \geq N_2, N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+ (P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+ (P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have done the sum in  $M_2$  and  $N_2$ . Using Hölder inequality and estimate (2.16) in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\leq \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|\tilde{Q}^+ (P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein inequality and Sobolev inequality that  $W^{s_c+\gamma, 3} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\leq \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \frac{M^{s_c+\gamma} |\varepsilon M|^\beta}{M_1^{s_c+\gamma} |\varepsilon M_1|^\beta} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

With  $s_c + \gamma + \beta > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $M$  and  $M_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}}{M_1^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}}{M_1^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $x$ -variable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^3 l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski inequality, Sobolev inequality that  $W^{s_c, 3} \hookrightarrow L^6$ , and Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt \\ &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \frac{N^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon N \rangle^\alpha}{N_1^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon N_1 \rangle^\alpha} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

With  $s_c = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $N$  and  $N_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $t$ -variable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_t^2 l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We use Strichartz estimate (2.10) to obtain

$$I_A \lesssim \|\tilde{g}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \\ \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2}.$$

By Minkowski inequality for the atomic  $U$  space (see [61, Lemma 4.25]), we have

$$\|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \leq \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2 l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \\ \lesssim \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}}.$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$I_A \lesssim \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{g}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \\ \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2},$$

which completes the proof of (2.27) for Case A.

**Case B.**  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Let  $I_B$  denote the integral restricted to the Case B.

$$I_B = \sum_{\substack{M_2 \geq M_1, M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \geq N_2, N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \\ = \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt$$

where we have done the sum in  $M_1$  and  $N_2$ . By using Hölder inequality and then estimate (2.17) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$I_B \lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|\tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt \\ \lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.$$

By Bernstein inequality and Sobolev inequality that  $W^{s_c+\gamma,3} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}$ ,

$$I_B \lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \frac{M^{s_c+\gamma} |\varepsilon M|^\beta}{M_2^{s_c+\gamma} |\varepsilon M_2|^\beta} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \\ \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.$$

With  $s_c + \gamma + \beta > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $M$  and  $M_2$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \left( \sum_{M_2 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}}{M_2^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{M_2 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}}{M_2^{s_c + \gamma + \beta}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{l_{M_2}^2 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $x$ -variable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^3 l_{M_2}^2 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski inequality, Sobolev inequality that  $W^{s_c, 3} \hookrightarrow L^6$ , and Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{l_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt \\ &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \frac{N^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon N \rangle^\alpha}{N_1^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon N_1 \rangle^\alpha} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{l_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

With  $s_c = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $N$  and  $N_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $t$ -variable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2 l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c - \gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Strichartz estimate (2.10) and Minkowski inequality for the atomic  $U$  space, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
I_B &\lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_2}^2 U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \\
&\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \|\langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^\beta \tilde{g}\|_{U_L^2 H_x^{s_c} H_\xi^{s_c+\gamma}} \\
&\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^\alpha \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s_c-\gamma} |\varepsilon \nabla_\xi|^{-\beta} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 L_\xi^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.27) for Case B. Therefore, we have done the proof of (2.21).

During the entire proof, the constant  $C$  in (2.21) is also independent of the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  if they are restricted to the finite interval that  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Global Well-posedness for the Gain-term-only Boltzmann.** In this section, for small initial data in the scaling-critical space, we prove the global well-posedness for the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) f^+(t, x, v) = Q^+(f^+, f^+), \\ f^+(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ . There exists  $\eta > 0$ , such that for all non-negative initial data  $f_0 \in L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s$  satisfying

$$(2.33) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq \eta,$$

there exists a unique non-negative global solution  $f^+$  to the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation (2.32) satisfying

$$f^+ \in C([0, \infty); L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s), \quad Q^+(f^+, f^+) \in L_{t,loc}^1(0, \infty; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s).$$

Moreover, for this solution, it holds that

$$(2.34) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f^+\|_{L_t^\infty(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^2)} < \infty,$$

$$(2.35) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(f^+, f^+)\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^2)} < \infty.$$

In particular, for  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$ , we also have the integrability bounds

$$(2.36) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f^+\|_{L_t^\infty(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(2.37) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(f^+, f^+)\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(2.38) \quad \|A[f^+]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} < \infty,$$

$$(2.39) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(f^+, f^+)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^p)} \leq CT, \quad \text{for } T \in [0, \infty).$$

*Proof.* By Plancherel identity, it is equivalent to prove the well-posedness for the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation on the  $(x, \xi)$  side, that is,

$$(2.40) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{f}^+ - i \nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{f}^+ = \tilde{Q}(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+), \\ \tilde{f}^+(0, x, \xi) = \tilde{f}_0(x, \xi). \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we take the notation

$$\|\tilde{f}^+\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} =: \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_x \rangle^{s-s_c} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} \langle \varepsilon \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s-s_c} \tilde{f}\|_{L_{x,v}^2},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is to be determined. Our goal is to prove the well-posedness in the  $X^{s,\varepsilon}$  space. Let

$$B = \left\{ \tilde{f}^+ : \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \leq 2 \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} \right\}$$

and define the nonlinear map

$$(2.41) \quad \Phi(\tilde{f}^+) = U(t) \tilde{f}_0 + \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+)(\tau) d\tau.$$

By the contraction mapping principle, to prove the existence of a unique solution in  $B$ , we need to prove that  $\Phi : B \mapsto B$  and the map  $\Phi$  is a contraction.

First, by the definition of the atomic  $U_L^2$  space, we have

$$(2.42) \quad \|\Phi(\tilde{f}^+)\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \leq \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} + \left\| \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}}.$$

By estimate (2.12) in Proposition 2.4 and the scaling-invariant estimate (2.21) in Lemma 2.6, we get

$$(2.43) \quad \left\| \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \lesssim \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+)\|_{L_t^1 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}}.$$

Combining estimates (2.42) and (2.43), we reach

$$\|\Phi(\tilde{f}^+)\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \leq \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} + C \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}}^2,$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $s$ . By Plancherel identity, we have that

$$\|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} = \|\langle y \rangle^{s_c} \langle \varepsilon y \rangle^{s-s_c} \langle v \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} \langle \varepsilon v \rangle^{s-s_c} \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow y}(f_0)\|_{L_{y,v}^2}.$$

Since  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$ , by the dominated convergence theorem, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small to obtain

$$(2.44) \quad \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}} \leq 2 \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle v \rangle^{s_c+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq 2\eta.$$

Then we choose  $\eta$  (only depending on the constant  $C$ ) small such that  $2C\eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  maps the set  $B$  into itself.

To prove  $\Phi$  is a contraction, for  $\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{g}^+ \in B$ , we have

$$\Phi(\tilde{f}^+) - \Phi(\tilde{g}^+) = \int_0^t U(t-\tau) \left[ \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+, \tilde{f}^+)(\tau) + \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{g}^+, \tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+)(\tau) \right] d\tau,$$

and hence get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.45) \quad \|\Phi(\tilde{f}^+) - \Phi(\tilde{g}^+)\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} &\lesssim \|Q^+(\tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+, \tilde{f}^+)\|_{L_t^1 X^{s,\varepsilon}} + \|Q^+(\tilde{g}^+, \tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+)\|_{L_t^1 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \\
&\lesssim \|\tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \left( \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} + \|\tilde{g}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \right) \\
&\leq 4\|\tilde{f}^+ - \tilde{g}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{X^{s,\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.44) again, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is a contraction map and there exists a unique global solution  $\tilde{f}^+$  to the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation (2.40) in the set  $B$ . For the non-negativity of the solution, due to that  $f_0(x, v) \geq 0$ , the iteration sequences on the  $(x, v)$  side are non-negative, that is,

$$f_{n+1}^+(t) = S(t)f_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)Q^+(f_n^+, f_n^+)d\tau \geq 0, \quad S(t) = e^{-tv \cdot \nabla_x},$$

which implies that the solution  $f^+(t)$  is non-negative for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

By the scaling-invariant estimate (2.21) in Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(2.46) \quad \|\tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}^+, \tilde{f}^+)\|_{L_t^1 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}} \|\tilde{f}^+\|_{U_L^2 X^{s,\varepsilon}}.$$

Then using Plancherel identity, we arrive at the regularity bounds (2.34)–(2.35).

Next, we get into the analysis of integrability bounds (2.36)–(2.39). By the Sobolev inequality that  $H^s \hookrightarrow L^p$  with  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$ , we immediately obtain estimates (2.36)–(2.37) by using the regularity bounds (2.34)–(2.35).

For (2.38), by Lemma 2.8 which we postpone to the end of the section, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A[f^+]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} \mathcal{N}[f^+]\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_x^2 L_v^2)} \\
&\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(f^+, f^+)\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_x^2 L_v^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the regularity bound (2.35) for  $Q^+(f^+, f^+)$ , we complete the proof of (2.38).

For the integrability bound (2.39), due to that  $Q^-(f^+, f^+) = f^+ A[f^+]$ , we use Hölder inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(f^+, f^+)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^p)} \\
&\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f^+\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^p)} \|A[f^+]\|_{L_t^2(0, T; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} \lesssim C_T,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the bounds (2.36) and (2.38).  $\square$

In the end, we give the proof of the estimate on  $A[f]$ , which has been used in the analysis of the integrability bounds in Proposition 2.7.

**Lemma 2.8.** *For  $s > 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-1, 0]$ , we have*

$$(2.47) \quad \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} \mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_v^2},$$

where  $f(t)$  satisfies the Duhamel formula that

$$f(t) = S(t)f_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\mathcal{N}[f](\tau)d\tau, \quad S(t) = e^{-tv \cdot \nabla_x}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty L_v^\infty} &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} \mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From the Duhamel formula that

$$(2.49) \quad A[f] = A \left[ S(t)f_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[f](\tau) d\tau \right],$$

it is sufficient to prove

$$(2.50) \quad \|A[S(t)f_0]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}.$$

Indeed, for the nonlinear term, by using (2.50) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| A \left[ \int_0^t S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[f](\tau) d\tau \right] \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} &\leq \int_0^\infty \|A[S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[f](\tau)]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} S(-\tau) \mathcal{N}[f](\tau)\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} \mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (2.50), noting that

$$A[f] = \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(u)}{|u-v|^{-\gamma}} du,$$

we use the inequality that  $\|f\|_{L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1}(f)\|_{L_\xi^1}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A[S(t)f_0]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_{v \rightarrow \xi}^{-1}(A[S(t)f_0])\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^1} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{(U(t)\tilde{f}_0)(x, \xi)}{|\xi|^{3+\gamma}} \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^1} \\ &\lesssim \|U(t)\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^{-\frac{3}{\gamma+\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|U(t)\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^{-\frac{3}{\gamma-\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the endpoint Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$\int \frac{|u(\xi)|}{|\xi|^{3+\gamma}} d\xi \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{-\frac{3}{-\gamma+\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{-\frac{3}{-\gamma-\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

With  $s > 1$ , the Sobolev inequality  $W^{s+\gamma, 3} \hookrightarrow L^{-\frac{3}{-\gamma\pm\delta}}$  holds for small  $\delta$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\|A[S(t)f_0]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3}.$$

Then by Strichartz estimate (A.6), we arrive at

$$\|A[S(t)f_0]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{f}_0\|_{L_{x,\xi}^2} = \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

For estimate (2.48), by the Sobolev inequality  $W^{s,3} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$  with  $s > 1$ , we get

$$\|A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_v^\infty L_x^3} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty}.$$

Then using (2.47), we complete the proof of (2.48).  $\square$

### 3. GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In the section, we establish the global existence and scattering of the Boltzmann equation by making use of the iterative method of Kaniel–Shinbrot [53].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  and  $\gamma \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ . There exists  $\eta > 0$ , such that for all non-negative initial data  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$  with

$$\|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s_c} \langle v \rangle^{s_c + \gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \leq \eta,$$

there exists a non-negative global solution  $f \in C([0, \infty); L_x^2 L_v^{2,s+\gamma})$  to the Boltzmann equation satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f\|_{L_t^\infty(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, \infty; L_v^2 L_x^p)} < \infty,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} < \infty,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^p)} \leq C(p, T),$$

for all  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$  and  $T \in (0, \infty)$ . Moreover,

- (1) The solution  $f(t)$  is unique in the class of  $C([0, T]; L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2)$  solutions satisfying the integrability bounds (3.1)–(3.4) on  $[0, T]$  with  $p = 6$ .
- (2) The solution  $f(t)$  scatters in  $L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}$ . That is, there exists a function  $f_{+\infty} \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|f(t) - S(t)f_{+\infty}\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* The Kaniel–Shinbrot iteration in [53] is as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t g_{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x g_{n+1} + g_{n+1} A[h_n] = Q^+(g_n, g_n), \\ \partial_t h_{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x h_{n+1} + h_{n+1} A[g_n] = Q^+(h_n, h_n), \\ g_{n+1}(0) = h_{n+1}(0) = f_0. \end{cases}$$

With the beginning condition that

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq h_1 \leq h_2 \leq g_2 \leq g_1,$$

this iteration will generate a monotone sequence

$$0 \leq h_1 \leq h_n \leq h_{n+1} \leq g_{n+1} \leq g_n \leq g_1.$$

To verify (3.6), we choose

$$h_1 = 0, \quad g_1 = f^+,$$

where  $f^+$ , constructed in Proposition 2.7, is the unique non-negative solution to the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation with initial data  $f_0$ . Then by (3.5), we compute  $h_2$  and  $g_2$  to get

$$(3.7) \quad h_2(t) = [S(t)f_0] \exp \left[ - \int_0^t S(t-\tau)A[g_1](\tau)d\tau \right],$$

$$(3.8) \quad g_2(t) = S(t)f_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)Q^+(g_1, g_1)(\tau)d\tau = g_1(t).$$

By the non-negativity of  $g_1$ , the monotonicity condition (3.6) is satisfied for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since all the sequences  $g_n, h_n$  are bounded by  $g_1 = f^+$ , the dominated convergence theorem implies their pointwise limits

$$(3.9) \quad g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n, \quad h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n,$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g + v \cdot \nabla_x g + gA[h] &= Q^+(g, g), \\ \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + hA[g] &= Q^+(h, h), \\ g(0) &= h(0) = f_0, \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of distributions. Noting that  $0 \leq h \leq g \leq f^+$ , by the integrability bounds (2.36)–(2.39) on  $f^+$  in Proposition 2.7, for  $p \in [2, \frac{6}{3-2s}]$  we have

$$(3.10) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty L_v^2 L_x^p} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g\|_{L_t^\infty L_v^2 L_x^p} < \infty,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|A[h]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} \leq \|A[g]\|_{L_t^2(0, \infty; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} < \infty,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(h, h)\|_{L_t^1 L_v^2 L_x^p} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(g, g)\|_{L_t^1 L_v^2 L_x^p} < \infty,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(h, h)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^p)} \leq \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^-(g, g)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^p)} \leq C_T.$$

We are left to prove that  $h = g$ . Let  $w = g - h \geq 0$ . We write out the equation for the difference

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t w + v \cdot \nabla_x w = Q^+(g, w) + Q^+(w, h) + hA[w] - wA[h], \\ w(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With these integrability bounds (3.10)–(3.13), we infer that  $w(t) \equiv 0$  by using the uniqueness Lemma 4.2, the proof of which is postponed to Section 4 for smoothness of presentation.

Thus, with  $h = g$ , we conclude the global existence of the non-negative solution for the Boltzmann equation. The uniqueness of the solution also follows from Lemma 4.2 in Section 4.

For the scattering result, by the Duhamel formula that

$$(3.15) \quad f(t) = S(t) \left[ f_0 + \int_0^t S(-\tau)Q^+(f, f)(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^t S(-\tau)Q^-(f, f)(\tau)d\tau \right],$$

it is enough to prove that the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t S(-\tau) Q^\pm(f, f)(\tau) d\tau$$

exists in  $L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}$ . Due to the non-negativity of  $f$ , we have

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^t S(-\tau) Q^-(f(\tau), f(\tau)) d\tau \leq f_0 + \int_0^t S(-\tau) Q^+(f(\tau), f(\tau)) d\tau,$$

which, together with the integrability bound (3.2) on the gain term, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(-\tau) Q^-(f, f)(\tau)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^p L_\tau^1} \\ & \leq \|f_0\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^p} + \|S(-\tau) Q^+(f, f)(\tau)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^p L_\tau^1} \\ & \leq \|f_0\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^p} + \|S(-\tau) Q^+(f, f)(\tau)\|_{L_\tau^1 L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the monotone convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$f_{+\infty} =: f_0 + \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t S(-\tau) Q(f, f)(\tau) d\tau$$

exists in  $L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}$ , and thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|S(-t) f(t) - f_{+\infty}\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2 \cap L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}} = 0.$$

□

#### 4. UNIQUENESS OF THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In the section, we present the proof of the uniqueness of the Boltzmann equation in an integrable class, which also works the same for the limiting equation (3.14) from the Kaniel–Shinbrot iteration. In the following Lemma 4.1, we provide an  $L_t^1 L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2$  bilinear estimate, which carries no regularity and is the key to the uniqueness in the integrable class.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $s > 1$  and  $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ . We have*

$$(4.1) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(S(t)f_0, S(t)g_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^+(S(t)f_0, S(t)g_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

*Proof.* By Plancherel identity, it suffices to prove that

$$(4.3) \quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{Q}^+(U(t)\tilde{f}_0, U(t)\tilde{g}_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,\xi}^2)} \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{Q}^+(U(t)\tilde{f}_0, U(t)\tilde{g}_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,\xi}^2)} \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

We only handle (4.3), as (4.4) follows similarly. By duality, (4.3) is equivalent to

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^T \int \tilde{Q}^+(U(t)\tilde{f}_0, U(t)\tilde{g}_0) h dx d\xi dt \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6}.$$

We denote by  $I$  the integral in (4.5). Inserting a Littlewood-Paley decomposition gives that

$$I = \sum_{M, M_1, M_2} I_{M, M_1, M_2}$$

where

$$I_{M, M_1, M_2} = \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt$$

with  $\tilde{f}(t) = U(t)\tilde{f}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}(t) = U(t)\tilde{g}_0$ . In the same way as the frequency analysis of (2.29), it gives a constraint that  $M \lesssim \max(M_1, M_2)$ .

We divide the sum into two cases, that is, Case A:  $M_1 \geq M_2$  and Case B:  $M_1 \leq M_2$ . We only deal with Case A, as Case B can be treated in a similar way.

Let  $I_A$  denote the integral restricted to the Case A.

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &= \sum_{M_1 \geq M_2, M_1 \gtrsim M} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \\ &= \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \int_0^T \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt. \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have done the sum in  $M_2$ . By using Hölder inequality and estimate (2.16) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\leq \int \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \|\tilde{Q}^+(P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \|P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \|P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein inequality and Sobolev inequality that  $W^{1+\gamma, 2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}$ ,

$$I_A \lesssim \int \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s+\gamma}}{M_1^{s+\gamma}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.$$

With  $s + \gamma > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $M$  and  $M_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\leq \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s+\gamma}}{M_1^{s+\gamma}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^{s+\gamma}}{M_1^{s+\gamma}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $x$ -variable and the  $t$ -variable, we use Minkowski inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_t^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting in  $\tilde{f}(t) = U(t)\tilde{f}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}(t) = U(t)\tilde{g}_0$ , we use Strichartz estimate (A.6) and to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{g}_0\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}_0\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} S(t)g_0\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-s-\gamma} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that

$$\|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} S(t)g_0\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_v^2)} \leq |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} S(t)g_0\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_v^6 L_x^6)} \leq |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6}.$$

Therefore, we have completed the proof of (4.5).  $\square$

With the  $L_t^1 L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2$  bilinear estimate, we are able to prove the uniqueness theorem.

**Lemma 4.2** (Uniqueness). *Let  $s > 1$  and  $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ . There is at most one solution  $f(t) \in C([0, T]; L_v^{2,s+\gamma} L_x^2)$  to the Boltzmann equation (1.1) on  $[0, T]$  satisfying*

$$(4.6) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} f\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_v^2 L_x^6)} \leq C_T,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} \leq C_T,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0,T;L_v^2 L_x^6)} \leq C_T.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f, g$  be two solutions of the Boltzmann equation on  $[0, T]$  satisfying the bounds (4.6)–(4.8). We consider the difference  $w = f - g$ , which satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad w(t) = \int_0^t S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[w](\tau) d\tau,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}[w] = Q^+(w, f) + Q^+(g, w) - Q^-(w, f) - Q^-(g, w)$ . Define the quantity

$$(4.10) \quad W(t_0) = \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} + \|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)}.$$

It suffices to prove that  $W(t_0) = 0$  for a sufficiently small  $t_0$ , as the global uniqueness follows from a standard continuity argument. To do this, we provide a closed estimate for  $W(t_0)$ . Noticing that

$$\|w\|_{L_t^\infty(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \leq \|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)},$$

we are left to deal with the nonlinear term and divide it into four parts

$$\|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \|Q^+(w, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)}, \\ I_2 &= \|Q^+(g, w)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)}, \\ I_3 &= \|Q^-(w, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)}, \\ I_4 &= \|Q^-(g, w)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \|Q^+(w, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|Q^+(w, S(t)f(0))\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \\ &\quad + \left\| Q^+ \left( w, \int_0^t S(t-\sigma) \mathcal{N}[f](\sigma) d\sigma \right) \right\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \\ &=: I_{11} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Duhamel formula (4.9) of  $w(t)$  and Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &= \left\| \int_0^t Q^+(S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[w](\tau), S(t)f(0)) d\tau \right\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \|Q^+(S(t-\tau) \mathcal{N}[w](\tau), S(t)f(0))\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the  $L_t^1 L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2$  bilinear estimate (4.1) in Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\lesssim \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{t_0} \|S(-\tau) \mathcal{N}[w](\tau)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2} \|f(0)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^6} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} \|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1(0, t_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^2)} \|f(0)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} L_x^6} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, for  $I_{12}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^t Q^+ \left( S(t-\tau)\mathcal{N}[w](\tau), \int_0^t S(t-\sigma)\mathcal{N}[f](\sigma) \right) d\sigma d\tau \right\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \\
&\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} \|Q^+(S(t-\tau)\mathcal{N}[w](\tau), S(t-\sigma)\mathcal{N}[f](\sigma))\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} d\tau d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{t_0} \|S(-\tau)\mathcal{N}[w](\tau)\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2} \|S(-\sigma)\mathcal{N}[f](\sigma)\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2} d\tau d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} \|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \|\mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with estimates for  $I_{11}$  and  $I_{12}$ , we get

$$(4.11) \quad I_1 \lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).$$

Since the term  $I_2$  can be estimated in the same way as  $I_1$ , we also have

$$(4.12) \quad I_2 \lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).$$

Next, we deal with the term  $I_3$ . By Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad I_3 &= \|Q^-(w, f)\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{t_0} \|w\|_{L_t^\infty(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty L_v^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_4$ , by using Hölder inequality and then estimate (2.47) in Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad I_4 &= \|Q^-(g, w)\|_{L_t^1(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{t_0} \|g\|_{L_t^\infty(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^6)} \|A[w]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^3 L_v^\infty} \\
&\leq \sqrt{t_0} \|g\|_{L_t^\infty(0,t_0;L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^6)} \left( \|w(0)\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma}L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{N}[w]\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 L_v^{2,s+\gamma}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting estimates (4.11), (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14) together, we arrive at

$$W(t_0) \lesssim \sqrt{t_0} C_T W(t_0).$$

By choosing  $t_0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that  $W(t_0) = 0$ . One can then extend this vanishing to the entire time interval  $[0, T]$  by a standard continuity argument.  $\square$

## 5. STRONG SOLUTION AND THE BLOW-UP CRITERION

In the section, we prove the strong local well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation and give the blow-up criterion. We call it strong as the local solution carries the regularity.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $T \leq 1$ ,  $s > 1$ ,  $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ . We have*

$$(5.1) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{Q}^-(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_t^2(0, T; L_{x\xi}^2)} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2(0, T; H_x^s H_\xi^{s+\gamma})} \|\tilde{g}\|_{U_L^2(0, T; H_x^s H_\xi^{s+\gamma})},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{s+\gamma} \tilde{Q}^+(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\|_{L_t^2(0, T; L_{x\xi}^2)} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{U_L^2(0, T; H_x^s H_\xi^{s+\gamma})} \|\tilde{g}\|_{U_L^2(0, T; H_x^s H_\xi^{s+\gamma})}.$$

*Proof.* The estimates (5.1)–(5.2) have been established in [32, Section 2] in the Fourier restriction space. In the same way, we can extend them to the atomic  $U_L^2$  space and we omit the proof for simplicity.  $\square$

By the bilinear estimates (5.1)–(5.2), we conclude the local well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $s > 1$ ,  $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ . The Boltzmann equation (1.1) is locally well-posed in  $L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s$ .*

*More precisely, for each  $f_0 \in L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s$ , there exists a time  $T_0 > 0$  such that there exists a unique  $C([0, T_0]; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s)$  solution  $f(t)$  to the Boltzmann equation satisfying*

$$(5.3) \quad \|f\|_{U_L(0, T_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s)} < \infty.$$

*Moreover, we have:*

(1) *The solution  $f(t)$  satisfies*

$$(5.4) \quad \|Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T_0; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s)} < \infty, \quad \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(0, T_0; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} < \infty.$$

(2) *The solution map  $f_0 \in L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s \mapsto f \in C([0, T_0]; L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s)$  is Lipschitz continuous.*

(3) *The lifespan  $T(f_0)$  is bounded from below,*

$$T(f_0) \geq C \|f_0\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s}^{-2},$$

*which gives a blow-up criterion that*

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T(f_0)} \|f(t)\|_{L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* We omit the proof, as it follows from the standard contraction mapping principle. See for example [32, Section 2.3]. The bounds (5.4) follow from the bilinear estimates (5.1)–(5.2) and estimate (2.48) in Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

## 6. PERSISTENCE OF REGULARITY

In the current section, we provide the regularity criteria for the Boltzmann equation and then recover the regularity of the global solution  $f(t)$  constructed in Proposition 3.1 by using the uniqueness lemma and the strong local well-posedness established in Sections 4–5.

For simplicity, we define the integrability bound

$$(6.1) \quad M_r(0, T) =: \|\langle v \rangle^r f\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^6)} + \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(0, T; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} + \|\langle v \rangle^r Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_v^2 L_x^6)},$$

the regularity bound

$$(6.2) \quad E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t) := \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t; L_{x,v}^2)} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t; L_{x,v}^2)},$$

and the maximal time for the regularity bound

$$(6.3) \quad T_{s,r}^* = \sup_T \{T \geq 0 : E_{s,r}(0, T) < \infty\}.$$

We first give the regularity criteria in the following Lemma 6.1, and then use it to prove the persistence of regularity and the property of finite mass density in Proposition 6.3. Subsequently, we complete the proof of Lemma 6.1 with the help of an  $L_t^1 L_v^{2,r} H_x^s$  bilinear estimate in Lemma 6.4, the proof of which we postpone to the end of this section.

**Lemma 6.1** (Regularity Criteria). *Let  $s > 1$ ,  $r > 1 + \gamma > 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ .*

- (1) *If  $T_{s,r}^* > 0$  and  $M_r(0, T) < \infty$  for some  $T \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $T_{s,r}^* > T$ .*
- (2) *If  $T_{s,r+\beta}^* > 0$  and  $E_{s,r}(0, T) < \infty$  for some  $T \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $T_{s,r+\beta}^* > T$ .*

**Remark 6.2.** Lemma 6.1 actually holds for  $d \geq 2$  provided that  $s > 1$ ,  $r > 1 + \gamma$ , and  $L_x^6$  in (6.1) are replaced by  $s > \frac{d-1}{2}$ ,  $r > \frac{d-1}{2} + \gamma$ , and  $L_x^{2d}$  respectively. We only deal with the  $d = 3$  case here and other cases follow similarly. One novel application of the 2D version of Lemma 6.1 is to solve the [17, Conjecture 1.1].

As shown in [17, Theorem 1.2], the 2D local solution  $f^{(2D)}(t)$  exists up to time  $T_0(s)$  which depends on the lower regularity norm of initial data, i.e.  $\|\langle v \rangle^s \langle \nabla_x \rangle^s f_0^{(2D)}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}$  for  $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . It was conjectured that the solution carries the  $\frac{1}{2}+$  regularity of the initial data up to time  $T_0(s)$ , that is,

$$(6.4) \quad \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} f^{(2D)}(t)\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} < \infty, \quad \text{for any } T \in (0, T_0(s)).$$

Certainly, it is expected that the regularity is propagated for a short time depending on  $\|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \nabla_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} f_0^{(2D)}\|_{L_{x,v}^2}$ . The point of the conjecture is that the  $\frac{1}{2}+$  regularity persists for a time depending solely on a lower regularity norm of initial data.

The local solution  $f^{(2D)}(t)$  is constructed by the Kaniel-Shinbrot iteration and thus satisfies the 2D integrability bounds. Using the 2D version of regularity criterion (1) in Lemma 6.1, we deduce (6.4) and hence solve the conjecture.

Now, we get back to our 3D global regularity problem.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $f(t)$  be the global solution to the Boltzmann equation constructed in Proposition 3.1. Then we have

$$(6.5) \quad f(t) \in C([0, \infty); L_v^{2, s+\gamma} H_x^s),$$

$$(6.6) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma} Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } T \in [0, \infty).$$

Furthermore, the solution satisfies the properties of the persistence of regularity and finite mass as follows.

(1) If  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^{s+\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{cases} f(t) \in C([0, \infty); L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^{s+\alpha}), \\ \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{s+\alpha} \langle v \rangle^{s+\gamma+\beta} Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } T \in [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(2) If  $f_0 \in L_{x,v}^1$ , then  $\|f(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^1} \leq \|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^1}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 6.3.** Since  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s$ , by the strong local well-posedness in Theorem 5.2, there exists a local strong solution  $f_{loc}(t)$ . By the uniqueness Lemma 4.2, we have that  $f(t) = f_{loc}(t)$  before the lifespan  $T(f_0)$ , which implies that  $T_{s,s+\gamma}^* > 0$ . On the other hand, by the a priori bound (3.1) in Proposition 3.1, we also have  $M_{s+\gamma}(0, T) < \infty$  for all  $T \in [0, \infty)$ . Therefore, using the regularity criterion (1) in Lemma 6.1, we conclude that  $T_{s,s+\gamma}^* = \infty$  and  $T(f_0) = \infty$ .

Furthermore, if  $f_0 \in L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^{s+\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , the same argument shows that  $T_{s+\alpha,s+\gamma}^* = \infty$ . Then using the regularity criterion (2) in Lemma 6.1, we conclude that  $T_{s+\alpha,s+\gamma+\beta}^* = \infty$ .

Next, we follow the approximation scheme in [29] to prove the property of finite mass. If  $f_0 \in L_{x,v}^1$ , we construct the initial approximation data  $f_0^N(x, v) = \chi(v/N) f_0(x, v)$  where  $\chi(v)$  is the cutoff function. Then by the global existence in Proposition 3.1 and the property (1) of persistence of regularity, the global solution  $f^N(t)$  satisfies that

$$\|f^N(t)\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_v^{2,s+\gamma+\beta} H_x^s)} < \infty,$$

for all  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $T > 0$ . Next, we prove the  $L_{x,v}^1$  conservation law for this solution  $f^N(t)$ . From the Duhamel formula,

$$(6.7) \quad f^N(t) = S(t) f_0^N + \int_0^t S(t-\tau) Q(f^N, f^N)(\tau) d\tau,$$

thanks to that  $f_0^N \in L_{x,v}^1$ , we only need to prove the  $L_{x,v}^1$  integrability for the nonlinear term. By Minkowski and Hölder inequalities, for  $t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-\tau) Q^\pm(f^N, f^N)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_{x,v}^1} &\leq \int_0^t \|Q^\pm(f^N, f^N)(\tau)\|_{L_{x,v}^1} d\tau \\ &\leq T \|f^N\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^1)} \|A[f^N]\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

The weighted estimate gives that

$$\|f^N\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^1)} \lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} f^N\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2 L_v^2)} < \infty.$$

For  $A[f^N]$ , using the endpoint Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$(6.8) \quad \int |x-y|^\gamma |u(y)| dy \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{3+\gamma+\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{3+\gamma-\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have

$$(6.9) \quad \|A[f^N]\|_{L_x^2 L_v^\infty} \lesssim \|f^N\|_{L_x^2 L_v^{\frac{3}{3+\gamma-\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f^N\|_{L_x^2 L_v^{\frac{3}{3+\gamma+\delta}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} f^N\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} < \infty,$$

where in the last inequality we have used the weighted estimate. Therefore, we have obtained the  $L_{x,v}^1$  integrability of  $f^N(t)$ . Moreover, taking the  $L_{x,v}^1$  integration on both side of the Duhamel formula (6.7), we arrive at

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int f^N(t, x, v) dx dv &= \int S(t) f_0^N dx dv + \int \int_0^t S(t-\tau) Q(f^N, f^N)(\tau) d\tau dx dv \\ &= \int f_0^N(x, v) dx dv, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the  $L_{x,v}^1$  conservation law of the flow map  $S(t)$  and the cancellation property between the gain and loss terms. Notice that the Lipschitz continuous of the solution map gives that

$$\|f^N(t) - f(t)\|_{C([0,T]; L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s)} \lesssim \|f_0^N - f\|_{L_v^{2,s+\gamma} H_x^s} \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies the pointwise convergence (up to a subsequence). By the non-negativity of  $f^N(t)$ , Fatou's lemma, and the  $L_{x,v}^1$  uniform estimate (6.10), we have

$$\int f(t, x, v) dx dv \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int f^N(t, x, v) dx dv \leq \int f_0(x, v) dx dv,$$

which completes the proof of the finite mass density.  $\square$

We devote the following to proving Lemma 6.1, which plays a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 6.3.

**Proof of Lemma 6.1.** To obtain the regularity criteria (1) and (2), it suffices to prove the following two local estimates respectively.

(1) If  $0 < T_{s,r}^* < \infty$  and  $M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) < \infty$ , there exists  $T_1 > 0$  (depending on  $M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*)$ ) such that

$$(6.11) \quad E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) \leq 2E_{s,r}(0, t_0)$$

for all  $t_0 \in [0, T_{s,r}^*)$ ,  $t_1 \in [0, T_1]$  satisfying  $t_0 + t_1 < T_{s,r}^*$ .

(2) If  $0 < T_{s,r+\beta}^* < \infty$  and  $E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*) < \infty$ , there exists  $T_1 > 0$  (depending on  $E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*)$ ) such that

$$(6.12) \quad E_{s,r+\beta}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) \leq 2E_{s,r+\beta}(0, t_0)$$

for all  $t_0 \in [0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*)$ ,  $t_1 \in [0, T_1]$  satisfying  $t_0 + t_1 < T_{s,r+\beta}^*$ .

Indeed, for the regularity criterion (1) in Lemma 6.1, by a contradiction argument we might as well assume  $0 < T_{s,r}^* \leq T < \infty$ , which implies that  $M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) \leq M_r(0, T) < \infty$ . Then by taking  $t_0 = T_{s,r}^* - T_1$  and  $t_1 \in [0, T_1]$ , we use the local estimate (6.11) to get an upper bound that

$$E_{s,r}(0, t_0 + t_1) \leq E_{s,r}(0, t_0) + E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) \leq 3E_{s,r}(0, t_0) < \infty,$$

which implies

$$(6.13) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T_{s,r}^*} E_{s,r}(0, t) \leq 3E_{s,r}(0, t_0) < \infty.$$

Together with the local well-posedness and the blow-up criterion in Theorem 5.2, the estimate (6.13) gives a contradiction to the definition (6.3) of  $T_{s,r}^*$ . In the same argument, we also have the regularity criterion (2) by using (6.12).

Next, we are left to prove the local estimates (6.11)–(6.12). For (6.11), using the Duhamel formula that

$$(6.14) \quad f(t_0 + t) = S(t)f(t_0) + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau)] d\tau,$$

we have

$$(6.15) \quad E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) \leq E_{s,r}(0, t_0) + 2\|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)}.$$

Therefore, we are left to estimate the term  $\|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)}$ .

We first handle the loss term  $Q^-$ . By the fractional Leibniz rule in Lemma A.1 and Hölder inequality,

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^-(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\ & \quad + \sqrt{t_1} \|\langle v \rangle^r f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_v^2 L_x^6)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \\ & \leq \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) \left( E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

With  $r > 1 + \gamma$ , we use estimate (2.47) in Lemma 2.8 to get

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \\ & \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f(t_0)\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r \mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^2 L_v^2)} \\ & \lesssim E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining estimates (6.16) and (6.17), we obtain

$$(6.18) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^-(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1).$$

We then deal with the gain term  $Q^+$ . By the Duhamel formula (6.14), we rewrite

$$(6.19) \quad Q^+(f, f)(t_0 + t) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$(6.20) \quad I_1 = Q^+(S(t)f(t_0), S(t)f(t_0)),$$

$$(6.21) \quad I_2 = \int_0^t Q^+(S(t - \tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_1)], S(t)f(t_0)) d\tau_1,$$

$$(6.22) \quad I_3 = \int_0^t Q^+(S(t)f(t_0), S(t - \tau_2)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau)]) d\tau_2,$$

$$(6.23) \quad I_4 = \int_0^t \int_0^t Q^+(S(t - \tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_1)], S(t - \tau_2)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_2)]) d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

To control these terms  $I_1$ – $I_4$ , we need an  $L_t^1 L_v^{2,r} H_x^s$  bilinear estimate as follows:

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^+(S(t)f_0, S(t)g_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} + \sqrt{t_1} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

the proof of which relies on the frequency analysis techniques like in Section 2.2, and is thus postponed to the end. For convenience, we take the notations

$$(6.25) \quad \|f\|_X = \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}, \quad \|f\|_Y = \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6}.$$

For  $I_1$ , by the bilinear estimate (6.24), with  $r \geq 1 + \gamma$  we have

$$(6.26) \quad \|I_1\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|f(t_0)\|_Y \|f(t_0)\|_X \leq \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + s).$$

For  $I_2$ , by Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} & \leq \left\| \int_0^t \|Q^+(S(t-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)], S(t)f(t_0))\|_X d\tau_1 \right\|_{L_t^1(0, t_1)} \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} \|Q^+(S(t-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)], S(t)f(t_0))\|_{L_t^1(0, t_1; X)} d\tau_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the bilinear estimate (6.24), we obtain

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|I_2\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{t_1} \|S(-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)]\|_X \|f(t_0)\|_Y d\tau_1 \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{t_1} \|S(-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)]\|_Y \|f(t_0)\|_X d\tau_1 \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \|f(t_0)\|_Y + \sqrt{t_1} \|Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; Y)} \|f(t_0)\|_X \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since the term  $I_3$  can be estimated in the same way as  $I_2$ , we also obtain

$$(6.28) \quad \|I_3\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1).$$

For  $I_4$ , by Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_4\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^t \|Q^+(S(t-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)], S(t-\tau_2)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_2)])\|_X d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right\|_{L_t^1(0, t_1)} \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \|Q^+(S(t-\tau_1)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_1)], S(t-\tau_2)\mathcal{N}[f(t_0+\tau_2)])\|_{L_t^1(0, t_1; X)} d\tau_1 d\tau_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the bilinear estimate (6.24) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.29) \quad & \|I_4\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{t_1} \|S(-\tau_1) \mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_1)]\|_X \|S(-\tau_2) \mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_2)]\|_Y d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{t_1} \|S(-\tau_1) \mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_1)]\|_Y \|S(-\tau_2) \mathcal{N}[f(t_0 + \tau_2)]\|_X d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; X)} \|Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; Y)} \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting estimates (6.26)–(6.29) together, we arrive at

$$(6.30) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^+(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1),$$

which, together with (6.15) and the loss term estimate (6.18), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) & \leq E_{s,r}(0, t_0) + 2\|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^\pm(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\
& \leq E_{s,r}(0, t_0) + \sqrt{t_1} C M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*) E_{s,r}(t_0, t_0 + t_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\sqrt{t_1} \leq (2C M_r(0, T_{s,r}^*))^{-1}$ , we complete the proof of (6.11).

For (6.12), we first deal with the loss term. By the fractional Leibniz rule in Lemma A.1 and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.31) \quad & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{r+\beta} Q^-(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^\infty L_v^\infty)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{r+\beta} f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\
& \quad + \sqrt{t_1} \|\langle v \rangle^{r+\beta} f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^2 L_v^2)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{r+\beta} f\|_{L_t^\infty(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{t_1} E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*) E_{s,r+\beta}(t_0, t_0 + t_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used estimate (2.47) in Lemma 2.8 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s A[f]\|_{L_t^2(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^3 L_v^\infty)} \\
& \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f(t_0)\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} + \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r \mathcal{N}[f]\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_x^2 L_v^2)} \\
& \lesssim E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

For the gain term, repeating the proof of (6.30), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.32) \quad & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^{r+\beta} Q^+(f, f)\|_{L_t^1(t_0, t_0+t_1; L_{x,v}^2)} \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} M_r(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*) E_{s,r+\beta}(t_0, t_0 + t_1) \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{t_1} E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*) E_{s,r+\beta}(t_0, t_0 + t_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining estimates (6.31) and (6.32), by choosing  $\sqrt{t_1} \leq (2C E_{s,r}(0, T_{s,r+\beta}^*))^{-1}$ , we complete the proof of (6.12).  $\square$

In the following, we present the proof of the bilinear estimate (6.24), which is essential for the proof of Lemma 6.1.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $s > 1$ ,  $r \geq 1 + \gamma > 0$ . It holds that*

$$(6.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r Q^+(S(t)f_0, S(t)g_0)\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,v}^2)} \\ & \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} + |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Plancherel identity, it suffices to prove that

$$(6.34) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r \tilde{Q}^+(U(t)\tilde{f}(t_0), U(t)\tilde{g}(t_0))\|_{L_t^1(0, T; L_{x,\xi}^2)} \\ & \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} + |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By duality, (6.34) is equivalent to

$$(6.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \tilde{Q}^+(U(t)\tilde{f}_0, U(t)\tilde{g}_0) h dx d\xi dt \\ & \lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_{x,\xi}^2)} \\ & \quad + |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0, T; L_{x,\xi}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $I$  the integral in (6.35) and insert a Littlewood-Paley decomposition such that

$$I = \sum_{\substack{M, M_1, M_2 \\ N, N_1, N_2}} I_{M, M_1, M_2, N, N_1, N_2}$$

where

$$I_{M, M_1, M_2, N, N_1, N_2} = \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt,$$

with  $\tilde{f}(t) = U(t)\tilde{f}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}(t) = U(t)\tilde{g}_0$ . In the same way as the frequency analysis of (2.28)–(2.29), we have the constraints that  $N \lesssim \max(N_1, N_2)$  and  $M \lesssim \max(M_1, M_2)$ .

We divide the sum into four cases as follows

Case A.  $M_1 \geq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Case B.  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Case C.  $M_1 \geq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \leq N_2$ .

Case D.  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \leq N_2$ .

We only handle Cases A and B, as Cases C and D can be dealt with in a similar way.

**Case A.**  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Let  $I_A$  denote the integral restricted to the Case A.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_A &= \sum_{\substack{M_1 \geq M_2, M_1 \geq M \\ N_1 \geq N_2, N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \\
&= \sum_{\substack{M_1 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have done the sum in  $M_2$  and  $N_2$ . By using Hölder inequality and then estimate (2.16) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_A &\leq \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|\tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt \\
&\lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{\leq M_1}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein inequality and Sobolev inequality that  $W^{1+\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}$ ,

$$I_A \leq \int \sum_{\substack{M_1 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \frac{M^r}{M_1^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.$$

With  $r > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $M$  and  $M_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_A &\leq \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^r}{M_1^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^3}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \left( \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^r}{M_1^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dt \\
&\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \\
&\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $x$ -variable,

$$I_A \lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^3 l_{M_1}^2 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt.$$

By Minkowski inequality and Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt \\ &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \frac{N^s}{N_1^s} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_{\leq N_1}^x) * \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_{\leq N_1}^x) * \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^2}\|_{L_x^6} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz in  $N$  and  $N_1$ ,

$$I_A \lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt.$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $t$ -variable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3)} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting in  $\tilde{f}(t) = U(t)\tilde{f}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}(t) = U(t)\tilde{g}_0$ , we use Strichartz estimate (A.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{g}_0\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}_0\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_1}^2 H_x^s H_\xi^r} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{g}_0\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\tilde{f}_0\|_{H_x^s H_\xi^r} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that

$$\|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r U(t)\tilde{g}_0\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} = \|\langle v \rangle^r S(t)g_0\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^6 L_v^2)} \lesssim \|\langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6}.$$

Therefore, we have completed the proof of (6.35) for Case A.

**Case B.**  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $N_1 \geq N_2$ .

Let  $I_B$  denote the integral restricted to the Case B.

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &= \sum_{\substack{M_2 \geq M_1, M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \geq N_2, N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{M_1}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{N_2}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \int \tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}) P_N^x P_M^\xi h dx d\xi dt \end{aligned}$$

where we have done the sum in  $M_1$  and  $N_2$ . By using Hölder inequality and then estimate (2.17) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\leq \int \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|\tilde{Q}^+(P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}, P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g})\|_{L_\xi^2} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{\substack{M_2 \gtrsim M \\ N_1 \gtrsim N}} \|P_{N_1}^x P_{\leq M_2}^\xi \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}} \|P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \|P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein inequality and Sobolev inequality that  $W^{1+\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6}{1-2\gamma}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\leq \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \sum_{M_2 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^r}{M_2^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

With  $r > 0$ , we use Cauchy-Schwarz in  $M$  and  $M_2$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\leq \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \left( \sum_{M_2 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^r}{M_2^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_\xi^3}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{M_2 \gtrsim M} \frac{M^r}{M_2^r} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x P_M^\xi h\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} P_{N_1}^x \tilde{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_{M_2}^2 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_\xi^2} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $x$ -variable,

$$I_B \lesssim \int \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{\leq N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_x^3 L_{M_2}^2 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt.$$

By using that  $\|P_{N_1}^x \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2}$ , Minkowski inequality, and Bernstein inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \frac{N^s}{N_1^s} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} P_N^x h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz in  $N$  and  $N_1$ ,

$$I_B \lesssim \int \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_x^6 L_\xi^2} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_{N_1}^2 L_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^2} dt.$$

By Hölder inequality in the  $t$ -variable,

$$I_B \lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} \tilde{f}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}\|_{L_t^2(0,T;l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_2}^2 L_x^3 L_\xi^3)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}.$$

Inserting in  $\tilde{f}(t) = U(t)\tilde{f}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}(t) = U(t)\tilde{g}_0$ , we use Strichartz estimate (A.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_B &\lesssim \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^2(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^r P_{N_1}^x P_{M_2}^\xi \tilde{g}_0\|_{l_{N_1}^2 l_{M_2}^2 L_x^2 L_\xi^2} \\ &\quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{1+\gamma} U(t)\tilde{f}_0\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^6 L_\xi^2)} \|\tilde{g}_0\|_{H_x^s H_\xi^r} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)} \\ &\lesssim |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma} f_0\|_{L_v^2 L_x^6} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s \langle v \rangle^r g_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^{-s} \langle \nabla_\xi \rangle^{-r} h\|_{L_t^\infty(0,T;L_x^2 L_\xi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of (6.35) for Case B. □

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank Yan Guo for many encouragements and delightful discussions regarding to this work. X. Chen was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-2005469 and a Simons fellowship numbered 916862, S. Shen was supported in part by the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China under Grant 2022M720263, and Z. Zhang was supported in part by NSF of China under Grant 12171010 and 12288101.

#### APPENDIX A. SOBOLEV-TYPE AND STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES

**Lemma A.1** (Fractional Leibniz rule, [41]). *Suppose  $1 < r < \infty$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1}$  with  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $1 < q_1 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < p_2 \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$(A.1) \quad \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s (fg)\|_{L^r} \leq C \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|\langle \nabla_x \rangle^s g\|_{L^{q_2}}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on all of the parameters but not on  $f$  and  $g$ .

Recall the abstract Strichartz estimates.

**Theorem A.2** ([54, Theorem 1.2]). *Suppose that for each time  $t$  we have an operator  $U(t)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)f\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2}, \\ \|U(t)(U(s))^* f\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim |t-s|^{-\sigma} \|f\|_{L_x^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it holds that

$$(A.2) \quad \|U(t)f\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2},$$

for all sharp  $\sigma$ -admissible exponent pair that

$$(A.3) \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2\sigma}{p} = \sigma, \quad q \geq 2, \quad \sigma > 1.$$

The symmetric hyperbolic Schrödinger equation is

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \phi + \nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x \phi = 0, \\ \phi(0) = \phi_0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the linear propagator  $U(t) = e^{it\nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x}$  satisfies the energy and dispersive estimates

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{it\nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x} \phi_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^2} &\lesssim \|\phi_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^2}, \\ \|e^{it\nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x} \phi_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^\infty} &\lesssim t^{-3} \|\phi_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem A.2, this gives the Strichartz estimate that

$$(A.6) \quad \|e^{it\nabla_\xi \cdot \nabla_x} \phi_0\|_{L_t^q L_{x\xi}^p} \lesssim \|\phi_0\|_{L_{x\xi}^2}, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{6}{p} = 3, \quad q \geq 2.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, and B. Wennberg. Entropy dissipation and long-range interactions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 152(4):327–355, 2000.
- [2] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: II, Global existence for hard potential. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 9(2):113–134, 2011.
- [3] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: qualitative properties of solutions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 202(2):599–661, 2011.
- [4] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. Global existence and full regularity of the Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 304(2):513–581, 2011.
- [5] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 262(3):915–1010, 2012.
- [6] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. Local existence with mild regularity for the Boltzmann equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 6(4):1011–1041, 2013.
- [7] R. J. Alonso and E. Carneiro. Estimates for the Boltzmann collision operator via radial symmetry and Fourier transform. *Adv. Math.*, 223(2):511–528, 2010.
- [8] R. J. Alonso and I. M. Gamba. Distributional and classical solutions to the Cauchy Boltzmann problem for soft potentials with integrable angular cross section. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 137(5-6):1147–1165, 2009.
- [9] I. Ampatzoglou, I. M. Gamba, N. Pavlović, and M. Tasković. Global well-posedness of a binary-ternary Boltzmann equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 39(2):327–369, 2022.
- [10] H. Andréasson, S. Calogero, and R. Illner. On blowup for gain-term-only classical and relativistic Boltzmann equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 27(18):2231–2240, 2004.
- [11] D. Arsénio. On the global existence of mild solutions to the Boltzmann equation for small data in  $L^D$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 302(2):453–476, 2011.
- [12] C. Cercignani. *The Boltzmann equation and its applications*, volume 67 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [13] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, and M. Pulvirenti. *The mathematical theory of dilute gases*, volume 106 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [14] S. Chaturvedi. Stability of vacuum for the Boltzmann equation with moderately soft potentials. *Ann. PDE*, 7(2):Paper No. 15, 104, 2021.
- [15] T. Chen, R. Denlinger, and N. Pavlović. Local well-posedness for Boltzmann’s equation and the Boltzmann hierarchy via Wigner transform. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 368(1):427–465, 2019.
- [16] T. Chen, R. Denlinger, and N. Pavlović. Moments and regularity for a Boltzmann equation via Wigner transform. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 39(9):4979–5015, 2019.

- [17] T. Chen, R. Denlinger, and N. Pavlović. Small data global well-posedness for a Boltzmann equation via bilinear spacetime estimates. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 240(1):327–381, 2021.
- [18] T. Chen, C. Hainzl, N. Pavlović, and R. Seiringer. Unconditional uniqueness for the cubic Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy via quantum de Finetti. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(10):1845–1884, 2015.
- [19] T. Chen and N. Pavlović. Derivation of the cubic NLS and Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy from manybody dynamics in  $d = 3$  based on spacetime norms. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 15(3):543–588, 2014.
- [20] X. Chen. Collapsing estimates and the rigorous derivation of the 2d cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with anisotropic switchable quadratic traps. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 98(4):450–478, 2012.
- [21] X. Chen. On the rigorous derivation of the 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a quadratic trap. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 210(2):365–408, 2013.
- [22] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Correlation structures, many-body scattering processes, and the derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2016(10):3051–3110, 2016.
- [23] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Focusing quantum many-body dynamics: the rigorous derivation of the 1D focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 221(2):631–676, 2016.
- [24] X. Chen and J. Holmer. On the Klainerman-Machedon conjecture for the quantum BBGKY hierarchy with self-interaction. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 18(6):1161–1200, 2016.
- [25] X. Chen and J. Holmer. The derivation of the  $\mathbb{T}^3$  energy-critical NLS from quantum many-body dynamics. *Invent. Math.*, 217(2):433–547, 2019.
- [26] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Quantitative derivation and scattering of the 3D cubic NLS in the energy space. *Ann. PDE*, 8(2):Paper No. 11, 39, 2022.
- [27] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Unconditional uniqueness for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation on  $\mathbb{T}^4$ . *Forum Math. Pi*, 10:Paper No. e3, 49, 2022.
- [28] X. Chen and J. Holmer. Well/Ill-posedness bifurcation for the Boltzmann equation with constant collision kernel. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.11931*, 2022.
- [29] X. Chen and J. Holmer. The derivation of the Boltzmann equation from quantum many-body dynamics. *In preparation*, 2023.
- [30] X. Chen, S. Shen, J. Wu, and Z. Zhang. The derivation of the compressible Euler equation from quantum many-body dynamics. *Peking Math. J.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42543-023-00066-4>, 2023.
- [31] X. Chen, S. Shen, and Z. Zhang. The unconditional uniqueness for the energy-supercritical NLS. *Ann. PDE*, 8(2):Paper No. 14, 82, 2022.
- [32] X. Chen, S. Shen, and Z. Zhang. Well/Ill-posedness of the Boltzmann equation with soft potential. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05042*, 2023.
- [33] R. Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 141(3):579–614, 2000.
- [34] L. Desvillettes. About the use of the Fourier transform for the Boltzmann equation. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7)*, 2\*:1–99, 2003.
- [35] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(2):321–366, 1989.
- [36] R. Duan, S. Liu, S. Sakamoto, and R. M. Strain. Global mild solutions of the Landau and non-cutoff Boltzmann equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(5):932–1020, 2021.
- [37] R. Duan, S. Liu, and J. Xu. Global well-posedness in spatially critical Besov space for the Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 220(2):711–745, 2016.
- [38] R. Duan and S. Sakamoto. Solution to the Boltzmann equation in velocity-weighted Chemin-Lerner type spaces. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 11(6):1301–1331, 2018.
- [39] P. T. Gressman and R. M. Strain. Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(3):771–847, 2011.
- [40] P. T. Gressman and R. M. Strain. Sharp anisotropic estimates for the Boltzmann collision operator and its entropy production. *Adv. Math.*, 227(6):2349–2384, 2011.
- [41] A. Gulisashvili and M. A. Kon. Exact smoothing properties of Schrödinger semigroups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118(6):1215–1248, 1996.

- [42] Y. Guo. Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 169(4):305–353, 2003.
- [43] Y. Guo. The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Invent. Math.*, 153(3):593–630, 2003.
- [44] Y. Guo. The Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53(4):1081–1094, 2004.
- [45] M. Hadac, S. Herr, and H. Koch. Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(3):917–941, 2009.
- [46] L. He and J. Jiang. Well-posedness and scattering for the Boltzmann equations: soft potential with cut-off. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 168(2):470–481, 2017.
- [47] L. He and J. Jiang. On the Cauchy problem for the cutoff Boltzmann equation with small initial data. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 190(3):Paper No. 52, 25, 2023.
- [48] S. Herr and V. Sohinger. The Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy on general rectangular tori. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 220(3):1119–1158, 2016.
- [49] S. Herr and V. Sohinger. Unconditional uniqueness results for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 21(7):1850058, 33, 2019.
- [50] R. Illner and M. Shinbrot. The Boltzmann equation: global existence for a rare gas in an infinite vacuum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 95(2):217–226, 1984.
- [51] R. Illner and M. Shinbrot. Blow-up of solutions of the gain-term-only Boltzmann equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 9(2):251–259, 1987.
- [52] C. Imbert and L. E. Silvestre. Global regularity estimates for the Boltzmann equation without cut-off. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35(3):625–703, 2022.
- [53] S. Kaniel and M. Shinbrot. The Boltzmann equation. I. Uniqueness and local existence. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 58(1):65–84, 1978.
- [54] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [55] K. Kirkpatrick, B. Schlein, and G. Staffilani. Derivation of the two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation from many body quantum dynamics. *Amer. J. Math.*, 133(1):91–130, 2011.
- [56] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon. On the uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 279(1):169–185, 2008.
- [57] S. Klainerman and I. Rodnianski. Improved local well-posedness for quasilinear wave equations in dimension three. *Duke Math. J.*, 117(1):1–124, 2003.
- [58] H. Koch and D. Tataru. Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.*, 157(1):22–35, 2001.
- [59] H. Koch and D. Tataru. Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(2):217–284, 2005.
- [60] H. Koch and D. Tataru. A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):Art. ID rnm053, 36, 2007.
- [61] H. Koch, D. Tataru, and M. Vişan. *Dispersive equations and nonlinear waves*, volume 45 of *Oberwolfach Seminars*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2014. Generalized Korteweg-de Vries, nonlinear Schrödinger, wave and Schrödinger maps.
- [62] J. Krieger and D. Tataru. Global well-posedness for the Yang-Mills equation in  $4+1$  dimensions. Small energy. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(3):831–893, 2017.
- [63] H. F. Smith and D. Tataru. Sharp local well-posedness results for the nonlinear wave equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(1):291–366, 2005.
- [64] V. Sohinger. A rigorous derivation of the defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  from the dynamics of many-body quantum systems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 32(6):1337–1365, 2015.
- [65] C. Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*, pages 71–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [66] Q. Wang. Rough solutions of the 3-D compressible Euler equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 195(2):509–654, 2022.

- [67] N. Wiener. The quadratic variation of a function and its fourier coefficients. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 3(2):72–94, 1924.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627, USA  
*Email address:* `xuwenmath@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA  
*Email address:* `slshen@pku.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA  
*Email address:* `zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn`