

Independent domination stability in graphs

S. Alikhani¹, M. Mehraban¹, A. Zakharov^{2,3}, H.R. Golmohammadi^{2,3}

November 6, 2023

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

²Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova str. 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

³Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Ak. Koptyug av. 4, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

alikhani@yazd.ac.ir Mazharmehraban2020@gmail.com
a.zakharov3@g.nsu.ru h.golmohammadi@g.nsu.ru

Abstract

A non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of the simple graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is an independent dominating set of G if every vertex not in S is adjacent with some vertex in S and the vertices of S are pairwise non-adjacent. The independent domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_i(G)$, is the minimum size of all independent dominating sets of G . The independent domination stability, or simply *id*-stability of G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal changes the independent domination number of G . In this paper, we investigate properties of independent domination stability in graphs. In particular, we obtain several bounds and obtain the independent domination stability of some operations of two graphs.

Keywords: dominating set, independent domination number, stability, operation.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C05, 05C69.

1 Introduction

The various different domination concepts are well-studied now, however new concepts are introduced frequently and the interest is growing rapidly. We recommend two fundamental books [10, 11]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple of finite orders graph, i.e., graphs are undirected with no loops or parallel edges and with finite number of vertices. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$ and the closed neighborhood of v is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. The private neighborhood $pn(v, S)$ of $v \in S$ is defined by $pn(v, S) = N(v) - N(S - \{v\})$. Equivalently, $pn(v, S) = \{u \in V | N(u) \cap S = \{v\}\}$. Each vertex in $pn(v, S)$ is called a private neighbor of v . The degree of a vertex v is denoted by $\deg(v)$ and is equal to $|N(v)|$. A leaf of a tree is a

vertex of degree 1, while a support vertex is a vertex adjacent to a leaf. A double star is a tree that contains exactly two vertices that are not end-vertices; necessarily, these two vertices are adjacent. A non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set if $N[S] = V$, or equivalently, every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S and the minimum cardinality of all dominating sets of G is called the domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. A domination-critical vertex in a graph G is a vertex whose removal decreases the domination number. It is easy to observe that for any graph G we have $\gamma(G) - 1 \leq \gamma(G + e) \leq \gamma(G)$ for every edge $e \notin E(G)$. Sumner and Blich in [18] have defined domination critical graphs. A graph G is said to be domination critical, or γ -critical, if $\gamma(G + e) = \gamma(G) - 1$ for every edge e in the complement G^c of G . A graph is said to be domination stable, or γ -stable, if $\gamma(G) = \gamma(G + e)$ for every edge e in the complement G^c of G . For detailed information and results regarding the concept of domination critical graphs, we refer interested readers to the papers [7, 18, 19]. Bauer et al introduced the concept of domination stability in graphs in 1983 [4]. After then, was studied by Rad, Sharifi and Krzywkowski in [13]. Stability for different types of domination parameters has been investigated in the literature, for example, in [3, 8, 12, 15, 17]. This subject has considered and studied for another graph parameters. For example see [1, 2].

Recall that independent set in a graph G is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. A maximum independent set in G is a largest independent set and its size is called independence number of G and is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. An independent dominating set of G is a set that is both dominating and independent in G . Equivalently, an independent dominating set is a maximal independent set. The independent domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_i(G)$, is the minimum size of all independent dominating sets of G . An independent dominating set of cardinality $\gamma_i(G)$ is called a γ_i -set. A graph G is independent domination critical, or i -critical if $\gamma_i(G - v) \neq \gamma_i(G)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The independent domination and independent domination critical graphs have been reported in [5, 9, 16, 20]. This paper is organized as follows.

In the next section, we introduce the independent domination stability (*id*-stability) and present some of its properties. In Section 3, we obtain some bounds for the independent domination stability of graphs. In Section 4, we study the independent domination stability of some operations of two graphs.

2 Introduction to *id*-stability

In this section, we first introduce independent version of domination stability. The independent domination stability, or *id*-stability of a graph G is the minimum size of a set of vertices whose removal changes the independent domination number. To establish a standard notation, we denote the independent domination stability by $st_{id}(G)$. The i^- -stability of G , denoted by $st_{id}^-(G)$, is the minimum number of vertices whose removal decreases the independent domination number. Likewise, the i^+ -stability of G , denoted by $st_{id}^+(G)$, is the minimum number of vertices whose removal increases the independent domination number. Thus the independent domination stability of a

graph G is $st_{id}(G) = \min\{st_{id}^-(G), st_{id}^+(G)\}$. Note that considering the null graph K_0 , which has no vertices, as a graph, the independent domination stability of a non-trivial graph is always defined. For instance, $st_{id}(G) = |V(G)|$, occurs if and only if $G = K_n$.

Now we determine domination stability for some classes of graphs. To aid our discussion, we first state some straightforward observations as follows.

Observation 2.1 (i) If G is a star or a double star, then $st_{id}(G) = 1$.

(ii) If $K_{m,n}$ is a bipartite complete graph where $m \geq n \geq 2$, then $st_{id}(K_{m,n}) = 1$.

The friendship (or Dutch-Windmill) graph F_n is a graph that can be constructed by the coalescence of n copies of the cycle graph C_3 of length 3 with a common vertex. The Friendship Theorem of Paul Erdős, Alfred Rényi and Vera T. Sós [6], states that graphs with the property that every two vertices have exactly one neighbour in common are exactly the friendship graphs. Let n and $q \geq 3$ be any positive integer and $F_{q,n}$ be the *generalized friendship graph* formed by a collection of n cycles (all of order q), meeting at a common vertex. (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: The flowers F_n , $F_{4,n}$ and $F_{q,n}$, respectively.

The n -book graph ($n \geq 2$) (Figure 2) is defined as the Cartesian product $K_{1,n} \square P_2$. We call every C_4 in the book graph B_n , a page of B_n . All pages in B_n have a common side v_1v_2 . We shall compute the distinguishing stability number of B_n . The following observation gives the independent domination number of the friendship graph and the book graph.

Observation 2.2 (i) If F_n is a friendship graph, then $\gamma_i(F_n) = 1$.

(ii) $\gamma_i(F_{4,n}) = \gamma_i(F_{5,n}) = \gamma_i(F_{6,n}) = n + 1$




Figure 2: Book graph B_n .

(iii) If B_n is a book graph, then $\gamma_i(B_n) = n$.

Proposition 2.3 (i) If F_n is a friendship graph, then $st_{id}(F_n) = 1$.

(ii) For every $k \geq 3$, $st_{id}(F_{k,n}) = 1$.

(iii) $st_{id}(B_n) = 2$.

Proof.

(i) By removing the center vertex of F_n , we have nK_2 and obviously $\gamma_i(F_n) \neq \gamma_i(nK_2) = n$. So we have the result.

(ii) If v is the center vertex of $F_{k,n}$, then $\gamma_i(F_{k,n} - v) = \gamma_i(P_{k-1}) = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{3} \rfloor \neq n+1$, so we have the result.

(iii) By removing two non-central vertices u, v in the page of B_n we have $\gamma_i(B_n - \{u, v\}) = n - 1$. \square

Theorem 2.4 There exist graphs G and H with the same independent domination number such that $|st_{id}(G) - st_{id}(H)|$ is very large.

Proof. Let $G = K_n$ with $\gamma_i(G) = 1$ and $st_{id}(G) = n$. Let H denote the graph $K_{1,n-1}$ with $\gamma_i(H) = 1$ and $st_{id}(H) = 1$. We have $|st_{id}(G) - st_{id}(H)| = n - 1$ and so the result follows. \square

Before investigating the independent domination stability of paths and cycles, we state the following observation.

Observation 2.5 [9] For the path and cycle, $\gamma_i(P_n) = \gamma_i(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n+2}{3} \rfloor$.

The following result establishes an upper bound on the independent domination stability in terms of $\delta(G)$.

Observation 2.6 For any graph G , $st_{id}(G) \leq \delta(G) + 1$.

We first determine the i -stability of paths.

Proposition 2.7 If P_n is a path of order n , then $st_{id}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Proof. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose first that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We observe that $\gamma_i(P_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_n) + 1$, where v is a support vertex. So, $st_{id}(P_n) = 1$.

Case 2. Assume that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If v is a leaf, then $\gamma_i(P_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_n) - 1$, and it follows that $st_{id}(P_n) = 1$.

Case 3. Suppose that $n = 3k + 2$ for some integer k . By Observation 2.5, we have $\gamma(P_n) = k + 1$. We show that the removal of v does not change the independent domination number. For this purpose, we consider the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1. If v is a leaf, then, $\gamma_i(P_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_{n-1}) = k + 1 = \gamma_i(P_n)$.

Subcase 3.2. Let $\deg(v) = 2$ and $P_n - v$ consists of two connected components P_{n_1} and P_{n_2} such that $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$. Without loss of generality, we first assume that $n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and so

$$\gamma_i(P_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_{n_1}) + \gamma_i(P_{n_2}) = \lfloor \frac{n_1 + 2}{3} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n_2 + 2}{3} \rfloor = \frac{n_1}{3} + \frac{n_2 + 2}{3} = k + 1 = \gamma_i(P_n).$$

Analogously, we can obtain that $\gamma_i(P_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_n)$ when $n_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $n_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Now, by Subcases 3.1 and 3.2, we conclude that $st_i(P_n) \geq 2$. Moreover, recall that by Proposition 2.6, we have $st_{id}(P_n) \leq 2$. Consequently, $st_{id}(P_n) = 2$ and the result follows. \square

Next, we determine the i -stability of cycles.

Proposition 2.8 *If C_n is a cycle of order n , then $st_{id}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2 & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$.*

Proof. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. We assume that $n = 3k + 1$ for some integer k . Then for any vertex v we have $\gamma_i(C_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_{n-1}) = k = \gamma_i(C_n) - 1$, and so $st_{id}(C_n) = 1$.

Case 2. Suppose that $n = 3k + 2$ for some integer k . For any vertex v we have $\gamma_i(C_n - v) = \gamma_i(P_{n-1}) = k + 1 = \gamma_i(C_n)$, and it holds that $st_i(C_n) \geq 2$. Now we consider that u and v are two adjacent vertices. Then, $\gamma_i(C_n - u - v) = \gamma_i(P_{n-2}) = k = \gamma_i(C_n) - 1$, which implies $st_{id}(C_n) = 2$.

Case 3. Assume that $n = 3k$ for some integer k . It is easy to see that the removal of any vertex does not change the independent domination number. Since $C_n - v = P_{n-1}$, by Proposition 2.5 we have $st_i(P_{n-1}) = 2$, and so $st_i(C_n) = 3$. \square

3 Bounds on the independent domination stability

In this section, we establish some bounds for the independent domination stability of a graph.

Here, we study the relationship between the id -stabilities of graphs G and $G - v$, where $v \in V(G)$. Also we obtain upper bounds for $st_{id}(G)$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G , then*

$$st_{id}(G) \leq st_{id}(G - v) + 1.$$

Proof. If $\gamma_i(G) = \gamma_i(G - v)$, then $st_{id}(G) \leq st_{id}(G - v) + 1$. If $\gamma_i(G) \neq \gamma_i(G - v)$, then $st_{id}(G) = 1$, and so we have the result. \square

Using Proposition 3.1 and mathematical induction, we have

$$st_{id}(G) \leq st_{id}(G - v_1 - \cdots - v_s) + s,$$

where $1 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $n = |V(G)|$. Only using this formula we can get different upper bounds for $st_{id}(G)$ of graph G . We state some these upper bounds in the following theorem which for the proof of each case, it is sufficient to remove the vertices of G until the induced subgraph which stated in the hypothesis, appears. Next using $st_{id}(G) \leq st_{id}(G - v_1 - \cdots - v_s) + s$ and the value of $st_{id}(G - v_1 - \cdots - v_s)$, we can have the result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $G \neq K_n$ be a simple graph of order $n \geq 2$.*

(i) $st_{id}(G) \leq n - 1$.

(ii) *If graph G has the star graph $K_{1,t}$ as the induced subgraph with $t \geq 3$, then $st_{id}(G) \leq n - t$.*

(iii) *For any graph G , $st_{id}(G) \leq n - \Delta(G)$.*

Theorem 3.3 *If G is a graph of order n , then $st_{id}(G) \leq n + 1 - 2\gamma_i(G)$.*

Proof. Set $st_{id}(G) = k$. Thus for every i vertices of G ($1 \leq i \leq k - 1$), say v_1, \dots, v_i , we have $\gamma_{id}(G) = \gamma_{id}(G - v_1) = \cdots = \gamma_{id}(G - v_1 - \cdots - v_i)$. It is known that the independent domination number of a graph is at most equal to half of its order, so $\gamma_i(G) = \gamma_i(G - v_1 - \cdots - v_{k-1}) \leq \frac{n-k+1}{2}$. \square

Corollary 3.4 *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2$. If $st_{id}(G) = n - 1$, then $\gamma_i(G) = 1$.*

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.5 *For any graph G with $\gamma_i(G) \geq 2$ we have $st_{id}(G) \leq \frac{n}{\gamma_i(G)}$.*

Proof. Let I be an independent dominating set of G . We consider the following cases.

Case 1. We assume that u is a vertex of I which has no private neighbor in $V(G) - I$. Then the removal of u in $V(G) - I$ decreases the independent domination number, which holds that $st_i(G) \leq \frac{n}{\gamma_i(G)}$.

Case 2. Suppose that w is a vertex of I with minimum number of private neighbors in $V(G) - I$. Then the removal of w and its private neighbors in $V(G) - I$ decreases the independent domination number, which follows that $st_{id}(G) \leq \frac{n}{\gamma_i(G)}$. \square

Proposition 3.6 [9] *If G is an isolate-free graph of order n , then*

$$\gamma_i(G) \leq n + 2 - \gamma(G) - \lceil \frac{n}{\gamma(G)} \rceil.$$

Proposition 3.7 [10] *For every connected graph $G \neq K_1$ we have $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proposition 3.8 *If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_i(G) \geq k > 1$, then there is no isolate-free graph G of order n with $\gamma_i(G) \geq 2$ and $st_{id}(G) = n - k$.*

Proof. Assume that G is an isolate-free graph with $\gamma_i(G) \geq 2$ and $st_{id}(G) = n - k$. By Proposition 3.6, we have $n > \frac{nk}{\gamma_i(G)} \geq \frac{k(\gamma_i(G)-2+\gamma(G)+\frac{n}{\gamma(G)})}{\gamma_i(G)}$. Using Proposition 3.7, it can be seen that $n - k = st_{id}(G) > \frac{k\frac{n}{2}}{\gamma_i(G)}$. Since $k \geq 2$, then $n - k = st_{id}(G) > \frac{n}{\gamma_i(G)}$, contradicting Theorem 3.5. \square

Proposition 3.9 *For any graph G with $\gamma_i(G) \geq 2$, we have*

$$st_{id}(G) \leq \min\{\delta(G) + 1, n - \delta(G) - 1\}.$$

Proof. Let I be an independent dominating set. Suppose that there is a vertex $u \in I$ such that $epn(u, I) = \emptyset$, so $st_{id}(G) = 1$. Now, we assume that for each vertex $u \in I$ we have $epn(u, I) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $v \in I$, and let X be the set of private neighbors of v in $V(G) - I$. Therefore $\gamma_i([G(X \cup \{v\})]) = 1 < \gamma_i(G)$. By Observation 2.6, we have the result. \square

Here, we shall state Nordhaus–Gaddum type inequalities for the sum of the independent domination stability of a graph and its complement. Note that if $\gamma_i(G) = 1$, then $st_{id}(\overline{G}) = 1$, and thus we obtain the following result.

Observation 3.10 *If G is a graph of order n with $\gamma_i(G) = 1$ or $\gamma_i(\overline{G}) = 1$, then $st_{id}(G) + st_{id}(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$, and this bound is sharp for the complete graphs.*

Theorem 3.11 *If G is a graph with $\gamma_i(G) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_i(\overline{G}) \geq 2$, then*

$$st_{id}(G) + st_{id}(\overline{G}) \leq \begin{cases} n & n = 2k \\ n - 1 & n = 2k + 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k , then by Theorem 3.5 we have $st_{id}(G) + st_{id}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} = n - 1$. Now, we suppose that $n = 2k$. Using Proposition 3.9, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} st_{id}(G) + st_{id}(\overline{G}) &\leq \min\{\delta(G) + 1, n - \delta(G) - 1\} + \min\{\delta(\overline{G}) + 1, n - \delta(\overline{G}) - 1\} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} = n. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4 id -stability of some operations of two graphs

In this section, we study the independent domination stability of some operations of two graphs. First we consider the join of two graphs. The join $G_1 + G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2 .

Observation 4.1 *If G_1 and G_2 are nonempty graphs, then*

$$\gamma_i(G_1 + G_2) = \min\{\gamma_i(G_1), \gamma_i(G_2)\}.$$

Proof. By the definition, every γ_i -set D_1 of G_1 (or γ_i -set D_2 of G_2), is a γ_i -set of $G_1 + G_2$. So we have result. \square

By Observation 4.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2 *If G_1 and G_2 are nonempty graphs, then*

$$st_{id}(G_1 + G_2) = \min\{st_{id}(G_1), st_{id}(G_2)\}.$$

Here, we recall the definition of lexicographic product of two graphs. For two graphs G and H , let $G[H]$ be the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and such that vertex (a, x) is adjacent to vertex (b, y) if and only if a is adjacent to b (in G) or $a = b$ and x is adjacent to y (in H). The graph $G[H]$ is the lexicographic product (or composition) of G and H , and can be thought of as the graph arising from G and H by substituting a copy of H for every vertex of G ([14]).

The following theorem gives the independent domination number of $G[H]$.

Theorem 4.3 *If G and H are two graphs, then*

$$\gamma_i(G[H]) = \gamma_i(G)\gamma_i(H).$$

Proof. An independent dominating set in $G[H]$ of minimum cardinality, arises by choosing an independent dominating set in G of cardinality $\gamma_i(G)$, and then, within each copy of H in $G[H]$, choosing an independent dominating set in H with cardinality $\gamma_i(H)$. Thus, we have the result. \square

By Theorem 4.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.4 *If G and H are two graphs, then $st_{id}(G[H]) = \min\{st_{id}(G), st_{id}(H)\}$.*

Now, we obtain the id -stability of corona of two graphs. We first state and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5 *If G and H are two graphs, then $\gamma_i(G \circ H) = |V(G)|\gamma_i(H)$.*

Proof. An independent dominating set in $G \circ H$ of minimum cardinality, arises by choosing an independent dominating set with minimum cardinality in each copy of H in $G \circ H$. So we have the result. \square

By Theorem 4.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.6 *If G and H are two graphs, then $st_{id}(G \circ H) = 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, $\gamma_i(G \circ H) = |V(G)|\gamma_i(H)$, so by removing one vertex from G , the order of G and so $\gamma_i(G \circ H)$ will be changed. Therefore, we have the result.

Acknowledgement. The work of Hamidreza Golmohammadi and Alexey Zakharov is supported by the Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok, under agreement No. 075-15-2022-281 with the Ministry of Science and High Education of the Russian Federation.

References

- [1] S. Alikhani and M.R. Piri, On the edge chromatic vertex stability number of graphs, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, 20(1) (2023) 29-34.
- [2] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, Stabilizing the distinguishing number of a graph, *Commun. Algebra* 46 (12) (2018) 5460-5468.
- [3] G. Asemian, N. Jafari Rad, A. Tehranian, H. Rasouli: On the total Roman domination stability in graphs. *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* 18(3): 166-172 (2021)
- [4] D. Bauer, F. Harary, J. Nieminen and C. L. Suffel, Domination alteration sets in graphs, *Discrete Math.* 1983; 47(2-3): 153– 161.
- [5] M. Edward, A. Finbow, G. MacGillivray, S. Nasserassr, Independent domination bicritical graphs. *Australas. J. Comb.* 2018, 72, 446–471.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, & V. T. Sós, On a problem of graph theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1 (1966) 215–235.
- [7] J. Fulman, D. Hanson and G. MacGillivray, Vertex domination-critical graphs, *Networks* 25 (2) (1995), 41–43
- [8] A. Gorzkowska, M. A. Henning, M. Pilśniak, E. Tumidajewicz, Paired domination stability in graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 22 (2022) #P2.04; doi:10.26493/1855-3974.2522.eb3
- [9] W. Goddard, M.A. Henning, Independent domination in graphs: A survey and recent results. *Discrete Math.*, 313 (7) (2013), 839-854.
- [10] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1998).
- [11] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*. Marcel Dekker, New York (1998).
- [12] M. A. Henning and M. Krzywkowski, Total domination stability in graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 236 (2018), 246–255, doi:10.1016/j.dam.2017.07.022.

- [13] N. Jafari Rad, E. Sharifi and M. Krzywkowski, Domination stability in graphs, *Discrete Math.* 339 (2016), 1909-1914.
- [14] S. Jahari, S. Alikhani, On the independent domination polynomial of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 289 (2021) 416-426.
- [15] Z. Li, Z. Shao and S.-j. Xu, 2-rainbow domination stability of graphs, *J. Comb. Optim.* 38 (2019), 836–845, doi:10.1007/s10878-019-00414-0
- [16] K. Kuenzel and D. F. Rall, On independent domination in direct products, *Graphs Combin.* (2023) 39:7 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-022-02600-0>
- [17] M. Mehryar and S. Alikhani, Weakly connected domination stability in graphs, *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci.*, 16 (2) (2016) 79-87.
- [18] D.P. Sumner, P. Blitch, Domination critical graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 34 (1983), 65—76.
- [19] D.P. Sumner, Critical concepts in domination, *Discrete Math.* 86 (1990) 33–46.
- [20] P. Wu, H. Jiang, S. Nazari-Moghaddam, S.M. Sheikholeslami, Z. Shao, L. Volkmann, Independent domination stable trees and unicyclic graphs, *Mathematics* 7 (2019), no. 820, 17 pp.