

LI-YAU INEQUALITY AND LIOUVILLE PROPERTY TO A SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATION ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

HUAN-JIE CHEN¹, SHI-ZHONG DU² AND YUE-XIAO MA³

ABSTRACT. Entire solutions of the nonlinear equation

$$(0.1) \quad u_t - \Delta_g u = u^p, \quad x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

were studied, where M is a N dimensional complete Riemannian manifold equipped with the metric g and p is assumed to be greater than one. The first part of this paper is devoted to investigation of Liouville property of

$$(0.2) \quad u_t - \Delta_g u = f(u), \quad x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

on compact manifolds, which extends a result by Castorina-Mantegazza [3] for positive f . Secondly, we will turn to non-compact manifolds and prove a Liouville theorem of (0.1) under the assumptions of boundedness of the Ricci curvature from below, diffeomorphism of M with \mathbb{R}^N and sub-criticality of p defined below. Finally, we also present simplified proofs of Yau's theorem for harmonic function and Gidas-Spruck's theorem for elliptic semilinear equation. Our proofs are based on Li-Yau type estimation for nonlinear equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important models in partial differential equation is the Laplace equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_g u = 0,$$

on a Riemannian manifold M equipped with the metric g . The solutions of (1.1) are called to be harmonic functions as usually. A first celebrated Liouville theorem for nonnegative harmonic function was proven by Yau [28] in 1975, which asserts that for complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature, every nonnegative harmonic function must be a constant. A natural question is to ask whether all nonnegative solutions to linear heat equation

$$(1.2) \quad u_t - \Delta_g u = 0$$

are identical to constants? This may not be true in general without some additional assumptions. Actually, even for $M = \mathbb{R}^N$, there exist counter examples defined by

$$u(x, t) = e^{t+a \cdot x}, \quad a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N), \quad |a|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 = 1.$$

However, after imposing some natural growth bounds on solutions and using a local version of Li-Yau type estimation, Souplet-Zhang [25] have shown a similar Liouville theorem parallel to that for harmonic functions.

Date: May. 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K58 · 53B21 · 35K05.

Key words and phrases. Entire solution, Li-Yau estimation, Liouville theorem.

The authors are partially supported by NSFC (12171299), and GDNSF (2019A1515010605).

Turning to the nonlinear equation of the form

$$(1.3) \quad -\Delta_g u = u^p, \quad p > 1,$$

Gidas-Spruck have shown a Liouville theorem in their elegant paper [9] for Euclidean space $M = \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$1 < p < p_S(N),$$

where

$$p_S(N) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{N+2}{N-2}, & N \geq 3 \\ +\infty, & N = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

stands for the critical Sobolev exponent. The sharpness of the region of p is due to the non-trivial positive solution

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{N(N-2)}}{1+|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \quad x \in M \equiv \mathbb{R}^N$$

for $p = p_S(N)$ and dimensions $N \geq 3$. When considering the parabolic version

$$(1.4) \quad u_t - \Delta_g u = u^p, \quad p > 1,$$

a long standing conjecture asserts the validity of nonexistence of positive solutions for $1 < p < p_S(N)$. Even in the Euclidean case $M = \mathbb{R}^N$, this problem remained open for a long time. A first partial result was obtained by Bidaut-Véron [?] for

$$1 < p < p_B(N) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{N(N+2)}{(N-1)^2}, & N \geq 2, \\ +\infty, & N = 1. \end{cases}$$

Soon after, Quittner [22] solved completely the two dimensional conjecture in 2016. See also [20, 21] for issues of radial symmetric solutions. More recently, in a celebrating paper by Quittner [23], the full Liouville property of (1.4) was solved completely for Euclidean spaces and all subcritical Sobolev exponents $1 < p < p_S(N)$.

For the Riemannian manifold case, few bibliographies were known so far. Throughout this paper, letting T be a real number, we will call the solution to be an ancient solution if it is defined on $M \times (-\infty, T)$ and to be an eternal solution if its life region equals to $M \times (T, +\infty)$. Furthermore, if the solution is defined on whole space-time $M \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, we will call it to be an entire solution of (1.1). In the first part of this paper, we will consider the compact manifold case and extend results of Cartorina-Mantegazza [2, 3, 6] to a more complete situation as following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete compact manifold equipped with the metric g and consider u to be a solution (may change sign) of*

$$(1.5) \quad u_t - \Delta_g u = f(u), \quad x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(1) *If f a positive convex function on $(0, +\infty)$ satisfying*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{f(z)} < +\infty,$$

then (1.5) has no eternal positive solution.

(2) If f is a nonnegative function satisfying that $f(0) = 0, f(z) \neq 0, \forall z \neq 0$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \int_1^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{f(-z)} \right) dz < +\infty,$$

then any nonnegative entire solution u must be identical to zero.

(3) If $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ for some $p \in (1, p_S(N))$, then (1.5) admits non-trivial entire solution u .

It is remarkable that Part (2) in the above theorem has been obtained recently by Castorina-Mantegazza [3] for $f(z) = |z|^p, p > 1$. Moreover, Part (3) gives counter examples of Liouville property for change sign f . At the next stage, we will turn to the non-compact manifolds and prove the following Liouville theorem for nonlinear heat equation (1.4):

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold equipped with the metric g and u be a nonnegative entire solution of (1.4). Supposing that*

$$(1.8) \quad 1 < p < p_*(N)$$

for $p_*(N)$ defined by

$$(1.9) \quad p_*(N) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{N+2+\sqrt{N^2+8N}}{2(N-1)}, & N \geq 2, \\ 8, & N = 1, \end{cases}$$

and the Ricci curvature satisfying

$$\begin{cases} R_{ij} \geq 0, & M \text{ is an arbitrary manifold,} \\ R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}, & M \text{ is a suitable manifold} \end{cases}$$

for positive constant K , then u must be identical to zero.

More recently, Liouville property to nonnegative eternal solutions of (1.4) has been obtained by Castorina-Mantegazza-Sciunzi [6] for nonnegative Ricci curvature and exponents $1 < p \leq p_F \equiv 1 + \frac{2}{N}$. When consider entire solutions of (1.4) in [4], the authors also mentioned that the result of Bidaut-Véron [?] in Euclidean spaces could be generalized to Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature, using a same parabolic version of Gidas-Spruck type estimation. Our main result Theorem 1.2 enables negative Ricci curvature and relies a complete different argument. The third part of this paper is devoted to the simplified proofs of Yau's theorem for harmonic functions and Gidas-Spruck's theorem for nonlinear elliptic equation (1.3):

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold with the metric g . We have the following alternatives:*

- (1) under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, there is no positive solution to (1.3), and
- (2) assuming only $R_{ij} \geq 0$, every nonnegative solution to (1.1) must be a constant.

Our proofs of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 were based on the following differential Harnack inequalities of Li-Yau type:

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold equipped with the metric g . Assume that $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ holds for some nonnegative constant K , then*

(1) *for any positive entire solutions to (1.2) and any given $\gamma > 1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{N,\gamma}$ depending only on N, γ , such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \leq C_{N,\gamma}K.$$

(2) *for any positive entire solutions u to (1.4) and p satisfying the subcritical condition (1.8), there exist positive constants β, γ and C_0 depending only on N, p , such that*

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t + \beta u^{p-1} \leq C_0K.$$

A first related version of part (1) was obtained by Li-Yau in [14] for eternal positive solution to linear heat equation, which was called ‘‘Li-Yau’’ type inequality in the literatures therein. A similar form of part (2) was thereafter extended by (J.Y.) Li [12] to semilinear heat equation for $1 < p < \frac{N}{N-1}$. The readers may also refer to a paper [5] for a weaker form of Li-Yau type inequality on Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

The contents of this paper are organized as following: In Section 2, Theorem 1.1 will be proven for different f . Secondly, we show a basic gradient formula to solution of (1.3) using the Bochner type identity. With the help of a geometric lemma, we obtain a differential Harnack inequality of Li-Yau type in Section 3 and 4. As an application, we were able to prove the non-existence of positive entire solution for nonlinear parabolic equation in Section 5. Finally, simple proofs of Liouville theorems for harmonic functions of (1.1) and positive solutions of (1.3) will be presented in Section 6.

2. LIOUVILLE THEOREMS ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

At the beginning, we assume (M, g) to be a complete compact manifold equipped with the metric g . The proof of Part (1) of Theorem 1.1 was clear. Actually, integrating (1.5) over manifold, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M u = \int_M f(u) \geq f\left(\int_M u\right)$$

by Jensen’s inequality. As a result,

$$\int_{\int_M u(T_0)}^{\int_M u(T)} \frac{dz}{f(z)} \geq T - T_0$$

holds for any $T > T_0$. Sending $T \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (1.6), we reach a contradiction and thus complete the proof of Part (1) of Theorem 1.1. \square

Part (2) is consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi(t) \equiv \min_{x \in M} u(x, t) \equiv u(\xi(t), t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds for some $\xi(t) \in M, \forall t$.

Proof. Noting that $\varphi(t)$ is a Lipschitz function in t and

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dt} \geq f(\varphi), \quad \forall t$$

if $\varphi(t_0) > 0$ at some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, it is inferred from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\varphi(t_0)}^{\varphi(T)} \frac{d\varphi}{f(\varphi)} \geq T - t_0, \quad \forall T > t_0.$$

However, sending $T \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (1.7), we obtain that the L.H.S. in (2.3) is finite but the R.H.S. in (2.3) tends to infinite. Contradiction holds. On another hand, if $\varphi(t_0) < 0$ at some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $\phi(t) = \varphi(t_0 - t)$ and obtain that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d\phi}{dt} \leq -f(\phi), \quad \forall t.$$

As a result,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\varphi(t_0)}^{\varphi(t_0-T)} \frac{d\varphi}{f(\varphi)} = \int_{\phi(0)}^{\phi(T)} \frac{d\phi}{f(\phi)} \leq -T, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

Sending $T \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.5), we arrive at a contradiction again by (1.7). The proof of the lemma was done. \square

Using Lemma 2.1 and the strong maximum principle of heat equation, Part (2) of Theorem 1.1 follows. \square

We are now in position to show Part (3) of Theorem 1.1. Actually, we will show that there exists non-trivial steady state of (1.5) by variational method. Considering the variational problem which minimizes the functional

$$E(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u|^2$$

upon the family of functions defined by

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \left\{ u \in H^1(M) \left| \int_M |u|^{p+1} = 1, \quad \int_M |u|^{p-1} u = 0 \right. \right\}.$$

We shall need the following version of Sobolev embedding theorem on manifolds. (see Gilbarg-Trudinger [10])

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete compact Riemannian manifold equipped with the metric g . For each $p > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{p,M}$ depending only on p and the manifold M , such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\int_M |h|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \leq C_{p,M} \left(\int_M |\nabla h|^2 + \left(\int_M |h|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right)$$

holds for any functions $h \in H^1(M)$. Moreover, each bounded set of $H^1(M)$ is precompact in $L^q(M)$ for each $1 < q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Now, Part (3) of Theorem 1.1 is just a consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete compact Riemannian manifold equipped with the metric g . If $p \in (1, p_S(N))$, there exists a non-trivial smooth solution u of*

$$(2.7) \quad -\Delta_g u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad \text{in } M,$$

the Euler-Lagrange equation of the variational problem minimizing $E(\cdot)$ upon the family \mathcal{A} .

Proof. As usually, taking a minimizing sequence $u_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$ of $E(\cdot)$, we have u_j is bounded in $H^1(M)$. So, it is inferred from compact embedding Lemma 2.2 that there exists a limiting function $u_\infty \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} u_j \rightharpoonup u_\infty, & \text{weakly in } H^1(M), \\ u_j \rightarrow u_\infty, & \text{strongly in } L^q(M), \quad \forall q \in (1, p_S(N) + 1). \end{cases}$$

By lower semi-continuity of weak convergence, we also have

$$(2.9) \quad \inf_{h \in \mathcal{A}} E(h) \leq E(u_\infty) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} E(u_j) = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{A}} E(h).$$

Hence, u_∞ is the desired minimizer of $E(\cdot)$ upon the family \mathcal{A} , which satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(2.10) \quad -\Delta_g u_\infty = \lambda |u_\infty|^{p-1}u_\infty + \mu |u_\infty|^{p-1}$$

for some multipliers $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu = 0$. Actually, integrating (2.10) over M and using the fact

$$\int_M |u_\infty|^{p-1}u_\infty = 0,$$

we obtained that $\mu = 0$. Multiplying (2.10) by u_∞ and then integrating by parts, it is also inferred that $\lambda > 0$. Now, by multiplying a constant to u_∞ , we obtain a desired non-trivial solution of (2.7). The proposition was shown. \square

3. BOCHNER IDENTITY AND BASIC FORMULAS

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a complete metric g . Under local coordinates $x = (x^1, \dots, x^N)$ of M , the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator is defined by

$$\Delta_g \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right).$$

Hereafter, without particular indication, we drop the subscript g of Δ_g for simplicity. Given any function $U \in C^\infty(M)$, the Bochner identity gives

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta |\nabla U|^2 = 2|\nabla^2 U|^2 + 2\nabla U \nabla \Delta U + 2Ric(\nabla U, \nabla U),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Gamma_{tk}^t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^t} \Gamma_{ik}^t + \Gamma_{tk}^s \Gamma_{is}^t - \Gamma_{ik}^s \Gamma_{ts}^t, \\ \Gamma_{ij}^k &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} g_{jl} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} g_{il} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} g_{ij} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are the components of Ricci curvature tensor Ric and the Christoffel symbol of M respectively. Our main results were asserted for complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. If the Ricci curvature tensor is merely assumed to be bounded from below by a negative constant $-K$, we will use the assumption of the ‘‘suitability’’ of the manifold, which means that M is diffeomorphism to \mathbb{R}^N . The importance of ‘‘suitability’’ of manifold M is that the equation (1.4) adhere a one-parameter symmetric group

$$(x, t, u) \rightarrow (k^{-1}x, k^{-2}t, k^{\frac{2}{p-1}}u)$$

in its global coordinates $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Now, letting u be a positive solution to (1.4) and defining $v \equiv \log u$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad v_t - \Delta v = u^{p-1} + |\nabla v|^2.$$

Setting $w = |\nabla v|^2 = \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2$ and using Bochner identity (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)w &= 2\nabla v \nabla v_t - 2\nabla v \nabla \Delta v - 2|\nabla^2 v|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) \\ &= 2\nabla v \nabla (u^{p-1} + |\nabla v|^2) - 2|\nabla^2 v|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) \\ (3.3) \quad &= -2|\nabla^2 v|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2(p-1)u^{p-1}w + 2\nabla v \nabla w. \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivative in time, it is inferred from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta)(\log u)_t = (p-1)u^{p-1}(\log u)_t + 2\nabla v \nabla (\log u)_t.$$

So, a combination of (3.3) with (3.4) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) \left\{ \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \right\} &= -2|\nabla^2 \log u|^2 + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \left\{ \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \right\} \\ (3.5) \quad &- 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2(p-1)u^{p-1} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(p-1)u^{p-1}(\log u)_t \end{aligned}$$

for any constant γ . Substituting (3.2) into (3.5), we obtain that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) \left\{ \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \right\} &= -2|\nabla^2 \log u|^2 + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \left\{ \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \right\} \\ &\quad - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) - (\gamma - 2)(p - 1)u^{p-1} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma(p - 1)u^{p-1} \Delta \log u - \gamma(p - 1)u^{2p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

On another hand, since

$$(3.7) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta)u^{p-1} = (p - 1)u^{2p-2} - (p - 1)(p - 2)u^{p-1} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2,$$

if one sets $\rho \equiv \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t + \beta u^{p-1}$ for some constant β , there holds

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_t - \Delta \rho &= -2|\nabla^2 \log u|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \left\{ \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \right\} \\ &\quad - \gamma(p - 1)u^{p-1} \Delta \log u - (\gamma - \beta)(p - 1)u^{2p-2} \\ &\quad - (p - 1) \left\{ \gamma - 2 + \beta(p - 2) \right\} u^{p-1} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \\ &= -2|\nabla^2 \log u|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \rho - (\gamma - \beta)(p - 1)u^{2p-2} \\ &\quad - \gamma(p - 1)u^{p-1} \Delta \log u - (p - 1) \left\{ \gamma - 2 + \beta p \right\} u^{p-1} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that Ricci curvature satisfies that $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ for some nonnegative constant K . If*

$$(3.9) \quad 1 < p < p_*(N) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{N+2+\sqrt{N^2+8N}}{2(N-1)}, & N \geq 2, \\ 8, & N = 1, \end{cases}$$

there exist positive constants β, γ satisfying

$$(3.10) \quad \gamma > \max\{1, \beta, 2 - \beta p\}, \quad \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma - \beta}(p - 1) < \frac{8}{N}$$

and positive constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ depending only on N, p, β, γ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \rho_t - \Delta \rho \leq -\alpha_1 |\Delta \log u|^2 + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \rho - \alpha_2 \rho^2 - \alpha_3 \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4 + 2K \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2$$

holds for positive solution u of (1.4) and $\rho \equiv \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t + \beta u^{p-1}$ defined as above.

Proof. The proof is element and we will focus on the case $N \geq 2$. By Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$|\nabla^2 \log u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{N} |\Delta \log u|^2.$$

So, to show the validity of (3.11) under (3.9), we need only to choose β, γ satisfying (3.10) such that

$$(3.12) \quad -\frac{2}{N}|\Delta \log u|^2 - (\gamma - \beta)(p - 1)u^{2p-2} - \gamma(p - 1)u^{p-1}\Delta \log u < 0$$

holds everywhere. Actually, it can be inferred from (3.12) that there exist $\alpha \in (0, 2/N)$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\left(\frac{2}{N} - \alpha\right)|\Delta \log u|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma^2}\rho^2 - \left[\frac{\alpha(\gamma-\beta)^2}{\gamma^2} + (\gamma - \beta)(p - 1)\right]u^{2p-2} - \frac{\alpha(\gamma-1)^2}{\gamma^2}\left|\frac{\nabla u}{u}\right|^4 \\ & -\gamma(p - 1)u^{p-1}\Delta \log u - \left[(p - 1)(\gamma - 2 + \beta p) + \frac{2\alpha(\gamma-\beta)(\gamma-1)}{\gamma^2}\right]u^{p-1}\left|\frac{\nabla u}{u}\right|^2 \\ & -\frac{2\alpha(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma^2}u^{p-1}\rho - \frac{2\alpha(\gamma-1)}{\gamma^2}\rho\left|\frac{\nabla u}{u}\right|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth (3.11) holds true. The condition (3.12) can be summarized as

$$(3.14) \quad \gamma > \max\{1, \beta, 2 - \beta p\}, \quad \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma - \beta}(p - 1) < \frac{8}{N}.$$

Now, we divided (3.14) into three cases:

Case 1: If we take β closing sufficiently to 0, then for $1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}$, there exists $\gamma > 2$ such that

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma - \beta}(p - 1) < \frac{8}{N} \Leftrightarrow \gamma(p - 1) < \frac{8}{N},$$

and thus the lemma holds true for $1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}$.

Case 2: We can now assume $1 + \frac{4}{N} \leq p < 2$, and

$$(3.15) \quad \max\{1, \beta, 2 - \beta p\} = 2 - \beta p \Leftrightarrow \beta < 1/p$$

together with

$$(3.16) \quad 2 - \beta p > \gamma_0 \equiv 2\beta \Leftrightarrow \beta < \frac{2}{p + 2}.$$

Noting that the function $\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma - \beta}$ attains its minimum 4β on $(\beta, +\infty)$ at the point $\gamma = \gamma_0 \equiv 2\beta$, upon the assumptions (3.15) and (3.16), condition (3.14) can be transformed into

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{(2 - \beta p)^2}{2 - \beta p - \beta}(p - 1) < \frac{8}{N} \Leftrightarrow (p - 1)(2 - \beta p)^2 - \frac{8(p + 1)}{Np}(2 - \beta p) + \frac{16}{Np} < 0.$$

Thus, it is not hard to see that for

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{N + 2 + \sqrt{N^2 + 12N + 4}}{2N} \leq p < \min\left\{2, \frac{N + 2 + \sqrt{N^2 + 8N}}{2(N - 1)}\right\},$$

one can choose $\beta > 0$ fulfilling (3.15)-(3.17). Thus the lemma holds for (3.18).

Case 3: Assuming that $p \geq 2$, then the conditions (3.15) and (3.16) can be transformed into

$$(3.19) \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{p} \Leftrightarrow 1 < 2 - \beta p < 2.$$

Therefore, the existence of $\beta > 0$ satisfying (3.17) and (3.19) is equivalent to

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{cases} p < \frac{N+2+\sqrt{N^2+8N}}{2(N-1)}, \\ \frac{N+2+\sqrt{N^2+12N+4}}{2N} \leq p < \frac{N+4+\sqrt{N^2+24N+16}}{2N}. \end{cases}$$

Summation above, because of

$$\frac{N+2+\sqrt{N^2+12N+4}}{2N} < 1 + \frac{4}{N},$$

we conclude that the lemma holds for p satisfying (3.9). \square

4. DIFFERENTIAL HARNACK INEQUALITY OF LI-YAU TYPE

Li-Yau type gradient estimation was first introduced by Li-Yau [14] for linear heat equation. This technic displayed a strengthened power in the past years and was later developed rapidly by vast literatures therein to nonlinear equations. See for examples [1, 7, 11, 12, 15, 18, 19, 27]. In this section, we will prove the following differential Harnack inequality of Li-Yau type:

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold with the metric g , whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by $-Kg_{ij}$ for some nonnegative constant K . Assume that u is a positive entire solution to (1.4) and p be an exponent satisfying (3.9), if β, γ are parameters coming from Lemma 3.1, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \rho \equiv \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t + \beta u^{p-1} \leq C_0 K$$

holds for some positive constant C_0 depending only on N, p, β, γ .

To prove the proposition, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, if ρ is positive at some point $z_0 \equiv (x_0, t_0) \in M \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, then*

$$(4.2) \quad |\Delta \log u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \rho^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma - \beta}{\gamma} \right)^2 u^{2p-2} + \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \right)^2 \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4$$

holds at z_0 .

Proof. By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \log u|^2 &= \left| (\log u)_t - u^{p-1} - \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \right|^2 \\ &= \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} \rho - \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\gamma} u^{p-1} - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho(z_0) > 0$ and

$$\gamma > 1, \quad \gamma > \beta,$$

inequality (4.2) is clear true. \square

A second lemma to be used is the following Laplace comparison property in differential geometry, see for example [24]:

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold satisfying $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ for some non-negative constant K , then lying inside the cut-locus of a given point $o \in M$, the distance function $r(x) \equiv \text{dist}(x, o)$, $x \in M$ satisfying $|\nabla r|_g = 1$ and*

$$(4.3) \quad r \Delta_g r \leq (N - 1) \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{K}{N - 1}} r \right).$$

Remark. Utilizing an approximation argument as in [24] or [13, 16], we may assume that the distance function $r(x)$ from Lemma 4.2 is smooth.

Fixing a point $o \in M$, for any $R \geq 1$, let's take a standard cut-off function $\bar{\eta} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying that

$$0 \leq \bar{\eta} \leq 1, \quad \bar{\eta}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau \in [-R^2/2, R^2/2], \\ 0, & \tau \notin (-R^2, R^2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\bar{\eta}'| \leq \frac{C_0}{R^2}, \quad |\bar{\eta}''| \leq \frac{C_0}{R^4}$$

for some universal constant $C_0 > 0$. Letting κ be a large integer to be chosen later, we set $\eta(x, t) = \bar{\eta}^\kappa(r^2(x))\bar{\eta}^\kappa(t)$ for distant function $r(x)$ coming from Lemma 4.2. Multiplying (3.11) by η , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)(\rho\eta) &= \eta(\rho_t - \Delta\rho) + \rho(\eta_t - \Delta\eta) - 2\nabla\rho\nabla\eta \\ &\leq \eta \left\{ -\alpha_4 |\Delta \log u|^2 + 2\nabla \log u \nabla \rho - \alpha_5 \rho^2 - \alpha_6 \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4 + 2K \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \right\} \\ &\quad - 2\nabla(\rho\eta) \frac{\nabla\eta}{\eta} + \rho \left(\eta_t - \Delta\eta + 2 \frac{|\nabla\eta|^2}{\eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If ρ is non-positive everywhere, Proposition 4.1 is clear true. Otherwise, evaluating $\rho\eta$ at its positive maximum point $z_0 \equiv (x_0, t_0) \in B_{R^2}(o) \times (-R^2, R^2)$, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha_5 \rho^2 \eta + \alpha_6 \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4 \eta \leq 2K \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^2 \eta - 2\rho \nabla \log u \nabla \eta + \rho \left(\eta_t - \Delta \eta + 2 \frac{|\nabla \eta|^2}{\eta} \right)$$

at z_0 , where

$$0 = 2\nabla \log u \nabla (\rho\eta) = 2\eta \nabla \log u \nabla \rho + 2\rho \nabla \log u \nabla \eta$$

has been used at this point. By Lemma 4.2, there hold

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla \eta| &= 2\kappa \bar{\eta}^{\kappa-1}(r^2(x)) \bar{\eta}^\kappa(t) |\bar{\eta}'(r^2(x)) r \nabla r| \leq C \eta^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} R^{-1}, \\ |\eta_t| &= \kappa \bar{\eta}^\kappa(r^2(x)) \bar{\eta}^{\kappa-1}(t) |\bar{\eta}'(t)| \leq C \eta^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} R^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Delta \eta| &= 2\kappa \bar{\eta}^{\kappa-1}(r^2(x)) \bar{\eta}^\kappa(t) |\bar{\eta}'(r^2(x)) (r \Delta_g r + |\nabla r|^2) + 2\bar{\eta}''(r^2(x)) r^2 |\nabla r|^2| \\ &\quad + 4\kappa(\kappa-1) \bar{\eta}^{\kappa-2}(r^2(x)) \bar{\eta}^\kappa(t) |\bar{\eta}'(r^2(x)) r \nabla r|^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\eta^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} R^{-1} + \eta^{\frac{\kappa-2}{\kappa}} R^{-2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C . Substituting into (4.4) and using the Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$\alpha_5 \rho^2 \eta + \alpha_6 \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4 \eta \leq \varepsilon \left(\rho^2 + \left| \frac{\nabla u}{u} \right|^4 \right) \eta + C_{0\varepsilon} K^2 \eta + C_\varepsilon \left(\eta^{\frac{\kappa-4}{\kappa}} R^{-4} + \eta^{\frac{\kappa-2}{\kappa}} R^{-2} \right)$$

for any small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, where $C_{0\varepsilon}$ and C_ε are large constants independent and depending on K respectively. Taking ε small and then letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $\rho \leq C_0 K$ holds everywhere if $\kappa \geq 4$ and the proof was done. \square

5. NON-EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE ENTIRE SOLUTION FOR NONLINEAR EQUATION

In this section, we prove the following non-existence result of positive entire solution to (1.4):

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold equipped with the metric g and u be a nonnegative entire solution to (1.4). Suppose that the exponent p satisfies the subcritical condition (3.9) and the Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} R_{ij} \geq 0, & \text{for general manifold,} \\ R_{ij} \geq -K g_{ij}, & \text{for suitable manifold} \end{cases}$$

for some positive constant K , then u must be identical to zero.

The first case for nonnegative Ricci curvature is easy. In fact, if u is not identical to zero, by strong maximum principle, u must be positive everywhere. Applying Proposition 4.1 for $K = 0$, we have

$$u_t \geq \frac{\beta}{\gamma} u^p, \quad \forall (x, t) \in M \times (-\infty, +\infty).$$

Solving the above ordinary differential inequality, one can obtain that

$$u(x, t) \geq \left(u^{1-p}(x, 0) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma}(p-1)t \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

which is impossible since u will blow up in finite time.

To prove the second case $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ for some positive constant K , we need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold equipped with the metric g and p satisfying the subcritical condition (3.9). Suppose that $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ holds for some positive constant K , then every positive entire solution to (1.4) must be bounded from above by a positive constant*

$$C_{N,p,K} \equiv \left(\frac{2C_0K}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

where β is a constant coming from Lemma 3.1.

Proof. Applying Proposition 4.1 to positive K , one can obtain that

$$(5.1) \quad u_t \geq \frac{\beta}{\gamma} u^p - \frac{C_0K}{\gamma} u, \quad \forall (x, t) \in M \times (-\infty, +\infty).$$

If the conclusion is not true, then there exists some point $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, such that $u(x_0, t_0) > C_{N,p,K}$. Then, we claim that

$$(5.2) \quad u(x_0, t) > C_{N,p,K}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

In fact, whenever $u(x_0, t) > C_{N,p,K}$, we have

$$(5.3) \quad u_t(x_0, t) > \frac{\beta}{2\gamma} u^p(x_0, t) > 0.$$

So, (5.2) keeps to hold for all $t \geq t_0$. Thus, back to turn, (5.3) keeps to hold for all $t \geq t_0$. After solving the ordinary differential inequality (5.3), one can prove that

$$u(x_0, t) \geq \left(u^{1-p}(x_0, t_0) - \frac{\beta}{2\gamma}(p-1)(t-t_0) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

which is contradicting with u is a entire solution. The proof was done. \square

Now, one can complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. Noting that when M is a suitable manifold, the transformation

$$u_k(x, t) = k^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(kx, k^2t)$$

keeps the equation (1.4) invariant for any given $k > 0$. So, if there is a positive entire solution to (1.4), then the functions u_k defined in above form an unbounded sequence of entire solutions of (1.4), contradicting with Lemma 5.1. The conclusion of Theorem 5.1 was drawn. \square

6. SIMPLE PROOFS TO YAU'S THEOREM AND GIDAS-SPRUCK'S THEOREM

In this final section, we prove firstly a Li-Yau type inequality for linear heat equation (1.2). In fact, a similar computation as (3.2)-(3.4) shows that

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t - \Delta v &= |\nabla v|^2, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)w &= -2|\nabla^2 v|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2\nabla v \nabla w, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)(\log u)_t &= 2\nabla v \nabla(\log u)_t \end{aligned}$$

for positive solution u to (1.2), where $v \equiv \log u$, $w \equiv |\nabla v|^2$. Letting $\gamma > 1$ be a constant and setting $\rho \equiv w - \gamma(\log u)_t$, it is inferred from (6.1) that

$$(6.2) \quad \rho_t - \Delta \rho = -2|\nabla^2 v|^2 - 2Ric(\nabla v, \nabla v) + 2\nabla v \nabla \rho.$$

To show the desired differential Harnack inequality, we need Lemma 4.2 and the following variant version of Lemma 4.1:

Lemma 6.1. *Let u be a positive solution to (1.2) and v, w, ρ be defined as above. Then*

$$(6.3) \quad N|\nabla^2 v|^2 \geq |\Delta v|^2 \geq \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\rho + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)^2 w^2$$

holds at the place where ρ is positive.

Proof. The first inequality comes from Cauchy's inequality. The second inequality is also element since

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta v|^2 &= |(\log u)_t - w|^2 = \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma}\rho - \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}w \right|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\rho^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)^2 w^2, \end{aligned}$$

as long as ρ is positive. \square

Now, for any given $R > 1$, we take a cut-off function η as in Section 4. Applying (4.5) and evaluating $\rho\eta$ at its possible positive maximum point z_0 , one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (\partial_t - \Delta)(\rho\eta) = \eta(\rho_t - \Delta\rho) + \rho(\eta_t - \Delta\eta) - 2\nabla\rho\nabla\eta \\
&\leq \eta\left\{-\frac{2}{N\gamma^2}\rho^2 - \frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)^2 w^2 + 2Kw + 2\nabla v\nabla\rho\right\} + \rho\left(\eta_t - \Delta\eta + 2\frac{|\nabla\eta|^2}{\eta}\right) \\
&\leq -\frac{2}{N\gamma^2}\rho^2\eta - \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)^2 w^2\eta + CK^2\eta + 2\rho\nabla v\nabla\eta + \rho\left(\eta_t - \Delta\eta + 2\frac{|\nabla\eta|^2}{\eta}\right) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{N\gamma^2}\rho^2\eta - \frac{1}{2N}\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)^2 w^2\eta + CK^2\eta + C\left(\eta^{\frac{\kappa-4}{\kappa}}R^{-4} + \eta^{\frac{\kappa-2}{\kappa}}R^{-2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on N, γ and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -2\nabla(\rho\eta)\frac{\nabla\eta}{\eta} = -2\nabla\rho\nabla\eta - 2\rho\frac{|\nabla\eta|^2}{\eta}, \\
0 &= 2\nabla v\nabla(\rho\eta) = 2\eta\nabla v\nabla\rho + 2\rho\nabla v\nabla\eta
\end{aligned}$$

has been used. So, we obtain that

$$\rho^2\eta^2 \leq \rho^2\eta|_{z_0} \leq C(K^2 + R^{-4} + R^{-2}).$$

Sending $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we get the following differential Harnack inequality:

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold with the metric g . Suppose that the Ricci curvature satisfies $R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}$ for some nonnegative constant K , then every positive entire solution u of (1.2) satisfies that*

$$(6.4) \quad \left|\frac{\nabla u}{u}\right|^2 - \gamma(\log u)_t \leq C_{N,\gamma}K$$

for any $\gamma > 1$, where $C_{N,\gamma}$ is a positive constant depending only on N, γ .

Now, Yau's theorem and Gidas-Spruck's theorem are direct consequences of Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 4.1 respectively:

Theorem 6.2. *Let (M, g) be a N dimensional complete noncompact manifold with the metric g . We have the following alternatives:*

(1) *Suppose that $1 < p < p_*(N)$ and the Ricci curvature satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} R_{ij} \geq 0, & M \text{ is a general manifold,} \\ R_{ij} \geq -Kg_{ij}, & M \text{ is a suitable manifold} \end{cases}$$

for positive constant K . Then there is no positive entire solution to (1.3), and

(2) *assuming only $R_{ij} \geq 0$, every nonnegative entire solution to (1.1) must be a constant.*

Remark. Part (1) is only a special case of the theorem of Gidas-Spruck [9]. We present here using a different proof.

Proof. Part (1) is a corollary of Theorem 5.1 since every solution to (1.3) is a stationary solution to (1.4). Part (2) follows from (6.4). In fact, since $u_t \equiv 0$ and $K = 0$, it is inferred from (6.4) that

$$\nabla u(x) \equiv 0, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Thus the conclusion is drawn. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author (SZ) would like to express his deepest gratitude to Professors Xi-Ping Zhu, Kai-Seng Chou, Xu-Jia Wang and Neil Trudinger for their constant encouragements and warm-hearted helps. Special thanks were also owed to Professor Jun-Cheng Wei and Professor Ke-Lei Wang for valuable suggestions and helpful conversations. This paper was also dedicated to the memory of Professor Dong-Gao Deng.

REFERENCES

- [1] X.D. Cao, B.F. Ljungberg and B. Liu, *Differential Harnack estimates for a nonlinear heat equation*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **265** (2013), 2312-2330.
- [2] D. Castorina and C. Mantegazza, *Ancient solutions of semilinear heat equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., **28** (2017), 85-101.
- [3] D. Castorina and C. Mantegazza, *Ancient solutions of superlinear heat equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Commun. Contemp. Math., **23** (2021), 16pp.
- [4] D. Castorina, G. Catino and C. Mantegazza, *A triviality result for semilinear parabolic equations*, Math. Eng., **4** (2022), 15pp.
- [5] D. Castorina, G. Catino and C. Mantegazza, *Semilinear Li and Yau inequalities*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **202** (2023), 827-850.
- [6] D. Castorina, C. Mantegazza and B. Sciunzi, *A Liouville theorem for superlinear heat equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Milan J. Math., **87** (2019), 303-313.
- [7] H.T. Dung, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities for Yamabe-type parabolic equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **60** (2018), 39-48.

- [8] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **19**, American Mathematical Society.
- [9] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **34** (1981), 525-598.
- [10] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, 517 pp.
- [11] S.B. Hou and L. Zou, *Harnack estimate for a semilinear parabolic equation*, Sci. China Math., **60** (2007), 833-840.
- [12] J.Y. Li, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities for nonlinear parabolic and nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **100** (1991), 233-256.
- [13] A.M. Li and F. Jia, *A Bernstein property of some fourth order partial differential equations*, Results Math., **56** (2009), 109-139.
- [14] P. Li and S.T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrodinger operator*, Acta Math., **156** (1986), 153-201.
- [15] Y. Li and X.R. Zhu, *Harnack estimates for a heat-type equation under the Ricci flow*, Journal of Differential Equations, **260** (2016), 3270-3301.
- [16] J.A. McCoy, *A Bernstein property of solutions to a class of prescribed affine mean curvature equations*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **32** (2007), 147-165.
- [17] J.H. Michael and L.M. Simon, *Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., **XXVI** (1973), 361-379.
- [18] B.Q. Ma and F.Q. Zeng, *Hamilton-Souplet-Zhang's gradient estimates and Liouville theorems for a nonlinear parabolic equation*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **356** (2018), 550-557.
- [19] L. Ma, L. Zhao and X.F. Song, *Gradient estimate for the degenerate parabolic equation $u_t = \Delta F(u) + H(u)$ on manifolds*, Journal of Differential Equations, **244** (2008), 1157-1177.
- [20] P. Polacik and P. Quittner, *A Liouville-type theorem and the decay of radial solutions of a semilinear heat equation*, Nonlinear Anal., **64** (2006), 1679-1689.
- [21] P. Polacik and P. Quittner, *Ph: Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. Part II: parabolic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **56** (2007), 879-908.
- [22] P. Quittner, *Liouville theorems for scaling invariant superlinear parabolic problems with gradient structure*, Math. Ann., **364** (2016), 269-292.
- [23] P. Quittner, *Optimal Liouville theorems for superlinear parabolic problems*, Duke. Math. J., **170** (2021), 1113-1136.
- [24] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, International Press, Boston, MA, USA, 1994.

- [25] P. Souplet and Q.S. Zhang, *Sharp gradient estimate and Yau's Liouville theorem for the heat equation on noncompact manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **38** (2006), 1045-1053.
- [26] W. Walter, *On the strong maximum principle for parabolic differential equations*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, **29** (1986), 93-96.
- [27] Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities for diffusion equation on Riemannian manifolds*, Front. Math. China, **5** (2010), 727-746.
- [28] S.T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., **28** (1975), 201-228.

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, 515063, P. R. CHINA.
Email address: 19hjchen@stu.edu.cn

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, 515063, P. R. CHINA.
Email address: szdu@stu.edu.cn

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, 515063, P. R. CHINA.
Email address: 21yxma@stu.edu.cn