

Inverse of the Squared Distance Matrix of a Complete Multipartite Graph

Joyentanuj Das* and Sumit Mohanty†

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and d_{ij} be the length of the shortest path between vertices i and j in G . We set $d_{ii} = 0$ for every vertex i in G . The squared distance matrix $\Delta(G)$ of G is the $n \times n$ matrix with $(i, j)^{th}$ entry equal to 0 if $i = j$ and equal to d_{ij}^2 if $i \neq j$. For a given complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices, under some condition we find the inverse $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})^{-1}$ as a rank-one perturbation of a symmetric Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = n - 1$. We also investigate the inertia of \mathcal{L} .

Keywords: Squared distance matrix, Complete t -partite graphs, Laplacian-like matrix, Inverse, Inertia.
MSC: 05C12, 05C50

1 Introduction and Motivation

Let G be a connected graph on vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$, and $d(i, j)$ be the length of the shortest path between vertices i and j . We set $d(i, i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The distance matrix of a graph G on n vertices is an $n \times n$ matrix $D(G) = [d_{ij}]$, where $d_{ij} = d(i, j)$. In the literature, the Hadamard product $D(G) \circ D(G)$ is called the squared distance matrix of G and is defined as $\Delta(G) = [d_{ij}^2]$. Thus, $\Delta(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, and the eigenvalues of $\Delta(G)$ are real.

Before proceeding further, we now introduce a few notations. Let I_n and $\mathbb{1}_n$ denote the identity matrix and the column vector of all ones, respectively. We use $\mathbf{0}_{m \times n}$ to represent zero matrix of order $m \times n$. We simply write $\mathbb{1}$, I and $\mathbf{0}$ if there is no scope of confusion with respect to the order. Further, $J_{m \times n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ matrix of all ones, and if $m = n$, we use the notation J_m . Given a matrix A , we write A^t to denote the transpose of the matrix A . For a symmetric matrix A , the inertia of A , denoted by $\text{In}(A)$ is the triplet $(\mathbf{n}_+(A), \mathbf{n}_0(A), \mathbf{n}_-(A))$, where $\mathbf{n}_+(A)$, $\mathbf{n}_0(A)$ and $\mathbf{n}_-(A)$ denote the number of positive eigenvalues of A , the multiplicity of 0 is an eigenvalue of A and the number of negative eigenvalues of A , respectively.

A well-known results due to Graham and Pollak [9], if T is a tree with n vertices, then determinant of the distance matrix $D(T)$ is given by $\det D(T) = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1) 2^{n-2}$. Thus, the determinant does not depend on the structure of the tree but only on the number of vertices. Later in [10], the inverse of $D(T)$ is obtained by Graham and Lovász as a rank one perturbation of the Laplacian matrix of T . These two results invoke significant interest, and several extensions and generalizations have been obtained (for example see [2, 6, 15, 18]). Given a graph G , the primary objective of these results is to define a matrix \mathcal{L} called Laplacian-like matrix satisfying $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{1} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbb{1}^t \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{0}$ and find the inverse of the $D(G)$ as a rank one perturbation of \mathcal{L} .

In [3, 4], Bapat and Sivasubramanian first studied the squared distance matrix of a tree. To be specific, Bapat and Sivasubramanian proved a formula for the determinant of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(T)$

*School of Informatics, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9AB, Scotland, U.K. Email: joyentanuj@gmail.com, joyentanuj@ed.ac.uk

†Indian Institute of Management Ranchi, Prabandhan Nagar, Vill-Mudma, Nayasarai Road, Ranchi, Jharkhand-835303, India. Email: sumitmath@gmail.com, sumit.mohanty@iimranchi.ac.in

of a tree T in [3]. Later, in [4], they obtained the inverse $\Delta(T)^{-1}$ (whenever it exists) as a rank one perturbation of a matrix and also computed the inertia of $\Delta(T)$. Bapat in [4], studied the determinant and the inverse of the squared distance matrix of a weighted tree. In [7], Das and Mohanty studied the squared distance matrix of a complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} . To be precise, in [7], authors first computed the inertia, energy of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$. Next, for fixed values of n and t , they discussed the existence and the uniqueness of graphs for which the spectral radius and the energy of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ attained its maximum and minimum value. In [13], Mahato and Kannan obtained the determinant and the inverse of the squared distance matrix of a tree with matrix weights. In [12], Howell, Kempton, Sandall and Sinkovic gave an alternative proof to obtain the inertia of the squared distance matrix of a tree due to Bapat and Sivasubramanian in [4] and also studied the inertia for a unicyclic graph. In the literature, the spectral properties and the inverse of complete multipartite graphs has been studied with respect to the distance matrix and the adjacency matrix (for example see [6, 8, 14, 16]).

In this article, we obtain a Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = n - 1$ for a given complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} , and prove that the inverse of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ (whenever it exists) is a rank one perturbation of \mathcal{L} . In this process, we find a few interesting recurrence type relations involving n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t . We also observe a few properties of \mathcal{L} , compute the $\text{In}(\mathcal{L})$ if $\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) \neq 0$ and give a conjecture about the $\text{In}(\mathcal{L})$ if $\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = 0$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove a few preliminary results that are necessary for the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we compute the inverse of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ (whenever it exists). Finally, in Section 4, we obtain a few properties of \mathcal{L} and investigate the inertia $\text{In}(\mathcal{L})$.

2 Some Preliminary Results

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let $A(i | j)$ be the submatrix obtained by deleting the i^{th} row and the j^{th} column and for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the cofactor $c(i, j)$ is defined as $(-1)^{i+j} \det A(i | j)$. We use the notation $\text{cof } A$ to denote the sum of all cofactors of A and state the following result.

Lemma 2.1. [1] *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let M be the matrix obtained from A by subtracting the first row from all other rows and then subtracting the first column from all other columns. Then*

$$\text{cof } A = \det M(1|1).$$

The following is a standard result on computing the determinant of block matrices.

Proposition 2.2. [17] *Let A_{11} and A_{22} be square matrices. Then*

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & | & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline A_{21} & | & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \det A_{11} \det A_{22}.$$

We will prove a lemma, which will help us to compute the $\text{cof } \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let C_m be an $m \times m$ matrix of the following form*

$$C_m = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & -4(n_1 - 1) & -4(n_1 - 1) & \cdots & -4(n_1 - 1) \\ n_2 & 2(n_2 - 2) & -n_2 & \cdots & -n_2 \\ n_3 & -n_3 & 2(n_3 - 2) & \cdots & -n_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n_m & -n_m & -n_m & \cdots & 2(n_m - 2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of the above matrix is given by

$$\det C_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m (3n_j - 4) \right).$$

Proof. Adding the first column to all the remaining columns of C_m yields the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_1 & -3n_1 + 4 & -3n_1 + 4 & \cdots & -3n_1 + 4 \\ n_2 & 3n_2 - 4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ n_3 & 0 & 3n_3 - 4 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n_m & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 3n_m - 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, expanding along the first row, we get

$$\det C_m = n_1 \prod_{j=2}^m (3n_j - 4) + (3n_1 - 4) \sum_{i=2}^m \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^m (3n_j - 4) \right),$$

and the desired result follows. \square

Let $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ be the squared distance matrix of the complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} . Then $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ can be expressed in the following block form

$$\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = \begin{bmatrix} 4(J_{n_1} - I_{n_1}) & J_{n_1 \times n_2} & \cdots & J_{n_1 \times n_t} \\ J_{n_2 \times n_1} & 4(J_{n_2} - I_{n_2}) & \cdots & J_{n_2 \times n_t} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J_{n_t \times n_1} & J_{n_t \times n_2} & \cdots & 4(J_{n_t} - I_{n_t}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Throughout this article we assume that the vertices of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ are indexed as in Eqn. (2.1).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ be the squared distance matrix of the complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices. Then, the sum of the cofactors of the squared distance matrix is given by*

$$\text{cof } \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = (-4)^{n-t} \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) \right].$$

Proof. For complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} with $n_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, we have $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} = K_t$. Then, $\Delta(K_t) = D(K_t) = J_n - I_n$ and the result is true as $\text{cof } \Delta(K_t) = \text{cof } D(K_t) = (-1)^{t-1} t$. For other cases, without loss of generality, let $n_1 > 1$ and M be the matrix obtained from $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ by subtracting the first row from all other rows and then subtracting the first column from all other columns. Then the block form of the matrix $M(1|1)$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} -4(J_{n_1-1} + I_{n_1-1}) & -4J_{(n_1-1) \times n_2} & \cdots & -4J_{(n_1-1) \times n_t} \\ -4J_{n_2 \times (n_1-1)} & 2J_{n_2} - 4I_{n_2} & \cdots & -J_{n_2 \times n_t} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -4J_{n_t \times (n_1-1)} & -J_{n_t \times n_2} & \cdots & 2J_{n_t} - 4I_{n_t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

First, for each partition of $M(1|1)$, we subtract the first column from all other columns, then add all the rows to the first row. Further, we shift the first column of each of the t -partitions to the first t columns and repeat the same operation for the rows. Then, the resulting matrix is of the following block form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{C}_t & \mathbf{0} \\ * & -4I_{n-(t+1)} \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_t = \begin{bmatrix} -4n_1 & -4(n_1 - 1) & -4(n_1 - 1) & \cdots & -4(n_1 - 1) \\ -4n_2 & 2(n_2 - 2) & -n_2 & \cdots & -n_2 \\ -4n_3 & -n_3 & 2(n_3 - 2) & \cdots & -n_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -4n_t & -n_t & -n_t & \cdots & 2(n_t - 2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, the result follows. \square

We now recall a result that gives a formula to obtain the determinant of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$.

Theorem 2.5. [7, Corollary 3.5] Let $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ be the squared distance matrix of complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices. Then, the determinant of the squared distance matrix is given by

$$\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = (-4)^{n-t} \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) + \prod_{i=1}^t (3n_i - 4) \right].$$

The following result discusses the cases in which the determinant and the sum of the cofactors of the squared distance matrix of K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} are zero.

Theorem 2.6. Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices and $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G . If $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$, then the following results hold.

(i) $\det \Delta(G) = 0$ only if $\frac{t}{4} + \frac{3}{4} < h \leq \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, let $t = s + h$ such that $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$. Then, $\det \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $h - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$.

(ii) $\text{cof} \Delta(G) = 0$ only if $\frac{t}{4} < h \leq \frac{t}{2}$. Furthermore, let $t = s + h$ such that $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$. Then, $\text{cof} \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $h = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$.

Proof. By Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, it is easy to see that $\det \Delta(G)$ and $\text{cof} \Delta(G)$ are non-zero if $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Thus, $\det \Delta(G)$ and $\text{cof} \Delta(G)$ are zero only if some of the n_i 's are one. Let $t = s + h$ and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t be positive integers such that $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$.

Now substituting $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$ in Theorem 2.5, the determinant of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(G)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \det \Delta(G) &= (-4)^{n-t} \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) + \prod_{i=1}^t (3n_i - 4) \right] \\ &= (-4)^{n-t} \left[(-1)^h \sum_{i=1}^s \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (3n_j - 4) \right) + (-1)^{h-1} h \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4) + (-1)^h \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4) \right] \\ &= (-4)^{n-t} (-1)^{h-1} \left[(h-1) \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4) - \sum_{i=1}^s \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (3n_j - 4) \right) \right] \\ &= (-4)^{n-t} (-1)^{h-1} \left[(h-1) - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4} \right] \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, so $\prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4) > 0$. Thus $\det \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $h - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$.

Further, $h - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4} = \frac{s}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4} = \frac{t-h}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4}$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4} = h - \frac{t+3}{4}$. Using $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, we have $0 < \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4} \leq \frac{s}{2} = \frac{t-h}{2}$. Therefore, $0 < h - \frac{t+3}{4} \leq \frac{t-h}{2}$ and hence $\frac{t}{4} + \frac{3}{4} < h \leq \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. This proves part (i).

Next, substituting $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$ in Theorem 2.4, the sum of the cofactors of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(G)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cof } \Delta(G) &= (-4)^{n-t} \left[(-1)^h \sum_{i=1}^s \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (3n_j - 4) \right) + (-1)^{h-1} h \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4) \right] \\ &= (-4)^{n-t} (-1)^{h-1} \left[h - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4} \right] \prod_{i=1}^s (3n_i - 4). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $\text{cof } \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $h = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$. Moreover, arguing similar

to part (i), $h = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$ yields that $\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4} = h - \frac{t}{4}$. Using $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, we have $0 < \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{3n_i - 4} \leq \frac{s}{2} = \frac{t-h}{2}$ implies that $0 < h - \frac{t}{4} \leq \frac{t-h}{2}$ and hence $\frac{t}{4} < h \leq \frac{t}{2}$. This completes the proof. \square

3 Inverse of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$

In this section, we first find the inverse of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ as a rank one perturbation of a Laplacian-like matrix subject to the condition that $\text{cof } \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) \neq 0$. Notice that by [6, Lemma 4.13], it is known that $\text{cof } \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) \neq 0$ is a necessary condition to present the inverse of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ (whenever it exists) as a rank one perturbation of a matrix. Next, whenever it exists, we provide a formula for the inverse of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$. Before proceeding further, we introduce a few notations useful for the subsequent results. Let $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t \geq 2$, let us denote

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \prod_{i=1}^t (3n_i - 4), \\ \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} &= \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_t} = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t (3n_k - 4), \\ \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j} &= \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_t} = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t (3n_k - 4), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \sum_{i=1}^t \left(n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right), \\ \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} &= \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_t} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^t (3n_l - 4) \right), \\ \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j} &= \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_t} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i, j}}^t (3n_l - 4) \right), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} + \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}, \\ \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} &= \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we state a few identities based on the notations from Eqns. (3.1) - (3.3) and are useful for our subsequent calculations. The proofs of these identities are mostly computational and hence omitted (for details of the proofs see Appendix A).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t \geq 2$, we have the following identities.*

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \sum_{k=1}^t n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n}_k}. \\
(b) \quad \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= (3n_i - 4)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}. \\
(c) \quad \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= (3n_i - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}. \\
(d) \quad \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n_i, n_k}} = (3n_j - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n_i, n_j}} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n_i, n_j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices such that the vertex V is partitioned into t subsets V_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $|V_i| = n_i$. Let $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G . Using notations in Eqns. (3.1) - (3.3), by Theorem 2.5, we have $\det \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} = 0$ and by Theorem 2.4, we have $\text{cof } \Delta(G) = 0$ if and only if $\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} = 0$. With the above notations and observations, we define a few parameters useful to find the inverse of $\Delta(G)$. If $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$, then we define the constant and an n -dimensional column vector ν as follows:

$$\lambda = \frac{\det \Delta(G)}{\text{cof } \Delta(G)} = \frac{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\nu(v) = \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{v \in V_i} \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right), \text{ where } v \in V. \quad (3.5)$$

Next, we define the Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} satisfying $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}^t \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{0}$ with respect to the squared distance matrix $\Delta(G)$ with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$ as follows: Let $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}_{uv}]_{u, v \in V}$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{uv} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{n_i - 1}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + (n_i - 3) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] = a_i & \text{if } u = v \text{ and } u, v \in V_i, \\ -\frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] = b_i & \text{if } u \neq v \text{ and } u, v \in V_i, \\ \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \Phi_{\widehat{n_i, n_j}} = c_{ij} & \text{if } u \neq v, u \in V_i \text{ and } v \in V_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

In view of Eqns. (2.1) and (3.6), the product of matrices \mathcal{L} and $\Delta(G)$ is given by $\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) = [(\mathcal{L}\Delta(G))_{uv}]_{u, v \in V}$, where

$$(\mathcal{L}\Delta(G))_{uv} = \begin{cases} 4(n_i - 1)b_i + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k c_{ik} & \text{if } u = v \text{ and } u, v \in V_i, \\ 4a_i + 4(n_i - 2)b_i + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k c_{ik} & \text{if } u \neq v \text{ and } u, v \in V_i, \\ a_i + (n_i - 1)b_i + 4(n_j - 1)c_{ij} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t n_k c_{ik} & \text{if } u \neq v, u \in V_i \text{ and } v \in V_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} . Let $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G and $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$. Then $\Delta(G)\nu = \lambda\mathbf{1}$, where λ and ν is as defined in Eqns. (3.4) and (3.5), respectively.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be the complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices such that the vertex V is partitioned into t subsets V_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $|V_i| = n_i$. Let $\eta = \Delta(G)\nu$. We will show $\eta(v) = \lambda$ for all $v \in V$. For $v \in V_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(v) &= 4(n_i - 1) \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_k}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[(3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \sum_{k=1}^t n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n}_k} \right] \\ &= \frac{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} . Let $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G and $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$. If \mathcal{L} is the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6), then $\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I = \nu\mathbf{1}^t$, where ν is as defined in Eqn. (3.5).

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be the complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices such that the vertex set V is partitioned into t subsets V_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $|V_i| = n_i$. We will use Eqns. (3.1) - (3.3), identities of Lemma 3.1, Eqn. (3.7), and consider the following cases to complete the proof.

Case 1: Let $u = v$, where $u, v \in V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I)_{uv} &= 1 + 4(n_i - 1)b_i + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k c_{ik} \\ &= 1 - \frac{n_i - 1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + 2\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}] + \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(n_i - 1)[3\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}] + \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{[(3n_i - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_t \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}] - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \nu(u). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $u \neq v$, where $u, v \in V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I)_{uv} &= 4a_i + 4(n_i - 2)b_i + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k c_{ik} \\ &= \frac{(n_i - 1)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + 2(n_i - 3)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{(n_i - 2)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + 2(n_i - 2)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= \frac{\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + [2(n_i - 3) - 2(n_i - 2) + 1]\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\ &= \frac{\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} - \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \nu(u). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: Let $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ with $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I)_{uv} &= a_i + (n_i - 1)b_i + 4(n_j - 1)c_{ij} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t n_k c_{ik} \\
&= \frac{(n_i - 1)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + 2(n_i - 3)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{4\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{(n_i - 1)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + 2(n_i - 1)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{4\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\
&\quad + \frac{4(n_j - 1)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{(3n_j - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\
&= -\frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{4(n_j - 1)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{(3n_j - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\
&= -\frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{\left[(3n_j - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j} + n_j\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j} \right] + (3n_j - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \\
&= -\frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} = \nu(u).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We now show that if $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ is invertible, then we find the inverse of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$ as a rank one perturbation of a Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} subject to the condition that $\text{cof } \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be the complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} and $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G . If $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$ and $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$, then*

$$\Delta(G)^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\nu\nu^t,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6), λ and ν is as defined in Eqns. (3.4) and (3.5), respectively.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.2, we have $\nu^t\Delta(G) = \lambda\mathbf{1}^t$, which implies that $\nu\nu^t\Delta(G) = \lambda\nu\mathbf{1}^t$. By Eqn. (3.4), $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$, and hence using Lemma 3.3, we get $\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I = \nu\mathbf{1}^t = \frac{1}{\lambda}\nu\nu^t\Delta(G)$.

Therefore, $\Delta(G)^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\nu\nu^t$. \square

We conclude this section with the result that gives a block matrix form for the inverse of $\Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t})$, whenever it exists.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} and $\Delta(G)$ be the squared distance matrix of G . If $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$, then the inverse in $t \times t$ block form is given by $\Delta(G)^{-1} = [X_{ij}]$, where*

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right) J_{n_i} - \frac{1}{4}I_{n_i} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_j} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Let $\Delta(G) = [\Delta_{ij}]$ be the $t \times t$ block form of the squared distance matrix of G in Eqn. (2.1). and $X = [X_{ij}]$ be a $t \times t$ block matrix, where X_{ij} defined in Eqn. (3.8). Consider the block matrix $Y = \Delta(G)X = [Y_{ij}]$, where $Y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^t \Delta_{ik}X_{kj}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq t$. We will use Eqns. (3.1) - (3.3) and

identities of Lemma 3.1 to show that $Y = I$ to complete the proof.

Case 1: For $i = j$; $1 \leq i \leq t$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{ii} &= (J_{n_i} - I_{n_i}) \left[\left(\frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right) J_{n_i} - I_{n_i} \right] - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_k \widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_k} J_{n_k \times n_i} \\
&= \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \times n_i J_{n_i} - J_{n_i} - \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \times J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_k \widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \times n_k J_{n_i} \\
&= \left[\frac{3n_i \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - 1 \right] J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \frac{n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n}_k \widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i} \\
&= \left[\frac{3n_i \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - 1 \right] J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} - \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i} \\
&= \left[\frac{3n_i \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - 1 \right] J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} - \frac{\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i} \\
&= \left[\frac{3n_i \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - 1 \right] J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} \\
&= \left[\frac{(3n_i - 4)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} - \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right] J_{n_i} + I_{n_i} = I_{n_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: For $i \neq j$; $1 \leq i, j \leq t$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{ij} &= 4(J_{n_i} - I_{n_i}) \left[-\frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_j} \right] + J_{n_i \times n_j} \left[\left(\frac{3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right) J_{n_j} - \frac{1}{4} I_{n_j} \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t \frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_k, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_k} J_{n_k \times n_j} \\
&= \left[-\frac{4n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{4\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{n_j(3\Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j})}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{1}{4} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t \frac{n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n}_k, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_j} \\
&= \left[-\frac{3n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{4\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{3n_j \Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{1}{4} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^t \frac{n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n}_k, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_j} \\
&= \left[-\frac{(3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{3n_j \Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{1}{4} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} - \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} J_{n_i \times n_j} \\
&= \left[-\frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{3n_j \Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j} - \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{\Psi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} \\
&= \left[-\frac{\Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} + \frac{3n_j \Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j} - \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} - \frac{\Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j}}{\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} \\
&= \left[\frac{(3n_j - 4)\Theta_{\widehat{n}_j} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n}_j} - \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}}{4\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \right] J_{n_i \times n_j} = \mathbf{0}_{n_i \times n_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the next section, we will discuss a few properties of the Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} defined in Eqn. (3.6) and also investigate the inertia $\text{In}(\mathcal{L})$.

4 A Few Properties of the Laplacian-like Matrix

For a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices, it is known that 0 is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} defined in Eqn. (3.6). In the next result, we prove that 0 is a simple eigenvalue and also compute the cofactor of any two elements of \mathcal{L} if $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$. If \mathcal{L} is the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6), then $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = n - 1$. Furthermore, if $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$, then the cofactors of any two elements of \mathcal{L} are equal to $\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\text{cof } \Delta(G)}$.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$. By Eqn. (3.6), we have that Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} is a symmetric matrix and $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}^t \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} and $\mathbf{1}$ is a corresponding eigenvector. Furthermore, using \mathcal{L} and $\Delta(G)$ are symmetric matrices, $\mathbf{1}$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}\Delta(G)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Let \mathbf{x} be an eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}\Delta(G)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{x}^t \mathcal{L}\Delta(G) = 0$. Assume that \mathbf{x} is not in the span of $\{\mathbf{1}\}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\mathbf{x}^t(\mathcal{L}\Delta(G) + I) = \mathbf{x}^t \nu \mathbf{1}^t$ and hence using $\mathbf{x}^t \mathcal{L}\Delta(G) = 0$, we get $\mathbf{x}^t = \mathbf{x}^t \nu \mathbf{1}^t$. Which is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = n - 1$.

Next, let $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 3.4 we get $\Delta(G)^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu \nu^t$. Thus, using the determinant property $\det(A + uv^t) = \det(A) + v^t \text{adj}(A)u$, we have

$$\det(\Delta(G)^{-1}) = \det(-\mathcal{L}) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu^t \text{adj}(-\mathcal{L})\nu.$$

Using $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}) = n - 1$, we have $\det(\Delta(G)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu^t \text{adj}(-\mathcal{L})\nu = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\lambda} \nu^t \text{adj}(\mathcal{L})\nu$. Since \mathcal{L} is a symmetric matrix and $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{1} = 0$, using [1, Lemma 4.2] the cofactors of any two elements of \mathcal{L} are equal, say c . Then,

$$\det(\Delta(G)^{-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\lambda} \nu^t (cJ)\nu = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} c}{\lambda} \nu^t J\nu = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} c}{\lambda} \left(\sum_{v \in V} \nu(v) \right)^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Using Eqn. (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in V} \nu(v) &= \sum_{v \in V} \left[\frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{v \in V_i} \Phi_{\hat{n}_i} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \Phi_{\hat{n}_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Substituting Eqns. (3.4) and (4.2) in Eqn. (4.1), we get $c = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\text{cof } \Delta(G)}$. This completes the proof. \square

We now calculate a few eigenvalues of the Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$ and \mathcal{L} be the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6). Then, the following holds.*

(i) $\frac{1}{4}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity at least $n - t$.

(ii) If $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$ and $h \geq 2$, then 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity at least $h - 1$.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices such that the vertex V is partitioned into t subsets V_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $|V_i| = n_i$. Suppose $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$ and $t = s + h$ such that $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$.

Let $\mathbf{e}(p, q)$ be an n -dimensional column vector whose p^{th} entry is 1, q^{th} entry is -1 and 0 otherwise. Suppose the vertices of G are indexed as in Eqn. (2.1). Consider the set of column vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = & \{\mathbf{e}(1, j) \mid j = 2, \dots, n_1\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}(n_1 + 1, n_1 + j) \mid j = 2, \dots, n_2\} \\ & \cup \dots \cup \left\{ \mathbf{e} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s-1} n_k + 1, \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} n_k + j \right) \mid j = 2, \dots, n_s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\mathbf{e}(1, j) \mid j = 2, \dots, n_1\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_i = \left\{ \mathbf{e} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k + 1, \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k + j \right) \mid j = 2, \dots, n_i \right\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, s$. Then $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{E}_i$. Using the definition of \mathcal{L} as in Eqn. (3.6), it is easy to see

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x} = (a_i - b_i)\mathbf{x} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_i; 1 \leq i \leq s. \quad (4.3)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i - b_i &= \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{n_i - 1}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + (n_i - 3) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] + \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{n_i}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + (n_i - 2) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{n_i}{2} \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \frac{n_i}{2} \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + (n_i - 2) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} + (3n_i - 4) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i}] = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Eqn. (4.3), we get $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}$, and hence $\frac{1}{4}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity at least $|\mathcal{E}| = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i - s$. Since $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$, $n - t = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i - t = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i - s$. This proves part (i).

To prove part (ii), let us assume $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$ and $h \geq 2$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \{\mathbf{e}(s + i, t) : i = 1, 2, \dots, h - 1\}$. For $s + 1 \leq i < t = s + h$, using the definition of \mathcal{L} as in Eqn. (3.6), we have

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x} = (a_i - c_{it})\mathbf{x} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Since $n_t = 1$, $\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i} = (3n_t - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_t} = -\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_t}$. Thus, for $s + 1 \leq i < t = s + h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i - c_{it} &= \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \left[\frac{n_i - 1}{2} \Theta_{\widehat{n}_i} + (n_i - 3) \Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} \right] - \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [-2\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} - 2\Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_t}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [-\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} - \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i, n_t}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [-\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}} [(3n_i - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n}_i} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n}_i}] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and this completes the proof. \square

Given a real symmetric matrix M of order $n \times n$, we use the following convention where the eigenvalues of M are in decreasing order:

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda_2(M) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(M) \geq \lambda_n(M). \quad (4.4)$$

We now state the Weyl's inequality that gives interlacing inequalities of a rank one perturbation to a real symmetric matrix.

Theorem 4.3. [11, Corollary 4.3.9] *Let A and B be real symmetric matrices of order $n \times n$ with eigenvalues ordered as in Eqn. (4.4) such that $B = A + \alpha\alpha^t$, where α is a column vector. Then,*

$$\lambda_1(B) \geq \lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(B) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(B) \geq \lambda_n(A).$$

In the following theorem, we compute the inertia of the Laplacian-like matrix \mathcal{L} subject to the condition $\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$ and \mathcal{L} be the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6). Then, the following holds.*

(i) *If $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $\text{In}(\mathcal{L}) = (n - t, 1, t - 1)$.*

(ii) *Let $t = s + h$ and $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$. If $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq s + h$, then*

$$\text{In}(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} (n - s - 1, 1, s) & \text{if } h - 1 > \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}, \\ (n - s, 1, s - 1) & \text{if } h - 1 < \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ vertices and $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. From the proof of [7, Theorem 4.1], it is known that -4 is the only negative eigenvalue of the squared distance matrix $\Delta(G)$ with multiplicity of $n - t$ and $\text{In}(\Delta(G)) = (t, 0, n - t)$. Thus, $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$, $-\frac{1}{4}$ is the only negative eigenvalue of $\Delta(G)^{-1}$ with multiplicity of $n - t$, and $\text{In}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) = (t, 0, n - t)$. Furthermore, under the assumption $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$ and $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$, using Theorem 3.4, the inverse of $\Delta(G)$ can be written as

$$\Delta(G)^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu \nu^t.$$

Therefore, using the fact that 0 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} due to Theorems 4.1 and 4.3, we get

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \lambda_{t-1}(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_t(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_t(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_{t+1}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_{t+1}(-\mathcal{L}), \\ (+\text{ve}) & & (+\text{ve}) & & (0) & & (-\text{ve}) & & (-\text{ve}) \end{array}$$

i.e., $\lambda_t(\Delta(G)^{-1}) > 0$ and $\lambda_{t+1}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{4} < 0$ implies that $\lambda_i(-\mathcal{L}) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, $\lambda_t(-\mathcal{L}) = 0$ and $\lambda_i(-\mathcal{L}) = -\frac{1}{4}$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\text{In}(-\mathcal{L}) = (t - 1, 1, n - t)$ and this proves part (i).

Let $t = s + h$ and $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$ with $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq s + h$. From part (i) of Theorem 2.6, $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $h - 1 \neq \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$. Similar to part (i), we prove

part (ii) using 0 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} and the form $\Delta(G)^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu \nu^t$.

Let $h - 1 > \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$. From [7, Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5], $\lambda_i(\Delta(G)) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s + 1$, $\lambda_i(\Delta(G)) < 0$ for $s + 2 \leq i \leq n$, and $\text{In}(\Delta(G)) = (s + 1, 0, n - s - 1)$. Using 0 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} and Theorem 4.3, we get

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \lambda_s(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+1}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+1}(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+2}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+2}(-\mathcal{L}), \\ (+\text{ve}) & & (+\text{ve}) & & (0) & & (-\text{ve}) & & (-\text{ve}) \end{array}$$

which implies that $\text{In}(-\mathcal{L}) = (s, 1, n - s - 1)$. Hence $\text{In}(\mathcal{L}) = (n - s - 1, 1, s)$.

Next, let $h - 1 < \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$. From [7, Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5], $\lambda_i(\Delta(G)) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $\lambda_i(\Delta(G)) < 0$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\text{In}(\Delta(G)) = (s, 0, n - s)$. Using Theorem 4.3, we get

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \lambda_{s-1}(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_s(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_s(-\mathcal{L}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+1}(\Delta(G)^{-1}) & \geq & \lambda_{s+1}(-\mathcal{L}). \\ (+\text{ve}) & & (+\text{ve}) & & (0) & & (-\text{ve}) & & (-\text{ve}) \end{array}$$

Hence $\text{In}(\mathcal{L}) = (n - s, 1, s - 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i$ vertices with $\text{cof } \Delta(G) \neq 0$ and \mathcal{L} be the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6). If $\det \Delta(G) \neq 0$, then the following holds.*

(i) $\frac{1}{4}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity $n - t$.

(ii) If $h = |\{i : n_i = 1\}|$ and $h \geq 2$, then 1 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity $h - 1$.

Proof. Let G be a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} on $n = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i$ vertices. We complete the proof by considering the following cases.

Let $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. From the proof of part (i) of Theorem 4.4, it follows that $\frac{1}{4}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity at most $n - t$. Thus, the result follows from Proposition 4.2.

Next, let $t = s + h$ with $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$. From part (iii) of [7, Lemma 4.4], -4 is an eigenvalue of $\Delta(G)$ with multiplicity $n - t$ and -1 is an eigenvalue of $\Delta(G)$ with multiplicity $h - 1$. Therefore, the result follows from the interlacing of eigenvalues of $\Delta(G)^{-1}$ and $-\mathcal{L}$ arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.4. \square

We conclude the article with a conjecture. Given a complete t -partite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} , by part (i) of the Theorem 2.6 $\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = 0$ if and only if $h - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{n_i}{3n_i - 4}$, where $t = s + h$ with $n_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $n_i = 1$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t = s + h$. Based on the examples encountered during the preparation of this manuscript, we believe the following holds true. If $\det \Delta(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}) = 0$ and \mathcal{L} be the Laplacian-like matrix defined in Eqn. (3.6) then

(i) $\frac{1}{4}$ and 1 are the only positive eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} with multiplicity $n - t$ and $h - 1$, respectively.

(ii) The inertia $\text{In}(\mathcal{L}) = (n - s - 1, 1, s)$.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the anonymous referees for a careful reading of the manuscript and for various suggestions. The first author Joyentanuj Das is partially supported by the National Science and Technology Council in Taiwan (Grant ID: NSTC-111-2628-M-110-002) and EPSRC Early Career Fellowship (EP/T00729X/1) by U.K. Research and Innovation in the U.K.

Statements and Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References

- [1] R.B. Bapat, Graphs and matrices, Second Edition, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, (2014).

- [2] R.B. Bapat and S. Sivasubramanian, Inverse of the distance matrix of a block graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 59(12) (2011) 1393-1397.
- [3] R.B. Bapat and S. Sivasubramanian, Product distance matrix of a graph and squared distance matrix of a tree, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 7(2) (2013) 285–301.
- [4] R.B. Bapat and S. Sivasubramanian, Squared distance matrix of a tree: Inverse and inertia, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 491 (2016) 328-342.
- [5] R.B. Bapat, Squared distance matrix of a weighted tree, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.*, 7(2) (2019) 301–313.
- [6] J. Das and S. Mohanty, Distance matrix of a multi-block graph: determinant and inverse, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 70(19) (2022) 3994-4022.
- [7] J. Das and S. Mohanty, On squared distance matrix of complete multipartite graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-023-00386-2>.
- [8] C. Delorme, Eigenvalues of complete multipartite graphs. *Discrete Math.* 312(17) (2012), 2532-2535.
- [9] R.L. Graham and H.O. Pollak, On the addressing problem for loop switching. *Bell System Tech. J.*, 50 (1971) 2495-2519.
- [10] R.L. Graham and L. Lovász, Distance matrix polynomials of trees, *Adv. in Math.*, 29(1)(1978) 60-88.
- [11] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis* (Corrected reprint of the 1985 original). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).
- [12] C. Howell, M. Kemton, K. Sandall and J. Sinkovic, Unicyclic graphs and the inertia of the squared distance matrix, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 39 (2023), 491–515.
- [13] I. Mahato and M. R. Kannan, Squared distance matrices of trees with matrix weights, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, 20 (2) (2023), 168–176.
- [14] M.R. Oboudi. Distance spectral radius of complete multipartite graphs and majorization, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 583 (2019) 134-145.
- [15] Y. Hou, A. Fang and Y. Sun, Inverse of the distance matrix of a cycle-clique graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 485 (2015) 33-46.
- [16] D. Stevanović, I. Gutman and M.U. Rehman, On spectral radius and energy of complete multipartite graphs. *Ars Math. Contemp.* 9(1) (2015) 109-113.
- [17] F. Zhang, *Matrix theory, Basic results and Techniques*, Universitext, Springer Verlag, New York (1999).
- [18] H. Zhou, The inverse of the distance matrix of a distance well-defined graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 517 (2017)11-29.

Appendices

A Proof of the Lemma 3.1

Let $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t \geq 2$. We complete the proof with repeated application of Eqns. (3.1) - (3.3). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} + \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} \\
 &= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + \sum_{k=1}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) \\
 &= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + \sum_{k=1}^t n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n_k}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves part (a) of the lemma. Similarly, to prove part (b),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \Phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} + \Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} \\
&= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + \sum_{k=1}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) \\
&= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + (3n_i - 4) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i, k}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) + n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \\
&= (3n_i - 4)\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + (3n_i - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n_i}} + n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \\
&= (3n_i - 4)(\Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} + \Psi_{\widehat{n_i}}) + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n_i}} \\
&= (3n_i - 4)\Theta_{\widehat{n_i}} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t} &= \sum_{k=1}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) \\
&= (3n_i - 4) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i, k}}^t (3n_j - 4) \right) + n_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^t (3n_j - 4) \\
&= (3n_i - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n_i}} + n_i \Phi_{\widehat{n_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This establish the part (c). Finally, to prove part (d),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\widehat{n_i}} &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^t n_k \Phi_{\widehat{n_i, n_k}} \\
&= (3n_j - 4) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^t \left(n_k \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i, j, k}}^t (3n_l - 4) \right) + n_i \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i, j}}^t (3n_l - 4) \\
&= (3n_j - 4)\Psi_{\widehat{n_i, n_j}} + n_j \Phi_{\widehat{n_i, n_j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □