A robust version of the multipartite Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem

Jie Han^{*}, Jie Hu[†], Donglei Yang[‡]

3rd November 2023

Abstract

In this note we show the following strengthening of a multipartite version of the Hajnal– Szemerédi theorem. For an integer $r \geq 3$ and $\gamma > 0$, there exists a constant C such that if $p \geq Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$ and G is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n and $\delta^*(G) \geq (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$, then with high probability the random subgraph G(p) of Gcontains a K_r -factor. We also use it to derive corresponding transversal versions.

1 Introduction

Determining the minimum degree condition for the existence of spanning structures is a central theme in extremal graph theory, which is called the *Dirac-type* problem because of the cornerstone result of Dirac [11] on the existence of Hamilton cycles. One of the most frequently studied spanning structures is the *factor*. Given graphs H and G, an H-tiling of G is a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of H in G. An H-factor of G is an H-tiling which covers all vertices of G. The classic theorem of Corrádi and Hajnal [10] states the minimum degree condition for the existence of a triangle factor. More generally, Hajnal and Szemerédi [14] determined the minimum degree for the existence of a K_r -factor.

Theorem 1.1 ([10] for the r = 3 case, [14]). Let G be an n-vertex graph with $n \in r\mathbb{N}$. If $\delta(G) \ge (1 - 1/r) n$, then G contains a K_r -factor.

Let G be an r-partite graph with vertex classes V_1, \ldots, V_r . We say that G is balanced if $|V_i| = |V_j|$ for any $1 \le i < j \le r$. Write $G[V_i, V_j]$ for the induced bipartite subgraph on vertex classes V_i and V_j . Define $\delta^*(G)$ to be $\min_{1\le i < j \le r} \delta(G[V_i, V_j])$. The following multipartite version of the Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem was proved independently and simultaneously by Keevash and Mycroft [19], and by Lo and Markström [24].

Theorem 1.2 ([19, 24]). For every $\gamma > 0$ and integer r, there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(r, \gamma)$ such that if G is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size $n \ge n_0$ and $\delta^*(G) \ge (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$, then G contains a K_r -factor.

1.1 Robustness.

The study of the *robustness* of graph properties has received considerable attention recently, aiming to enhance classic results in extremal graph theory and probabilistic combinatorics. We refer the reader to a comprehensive survey of Sudakov [35] which collect numerous results in this direction. There are several different measures of robustness mentioned in [35], such as random subgraphs, Maker-Breaker games, incompatibility systems and so on. In this paper the

^{*}School of Mathematics and Statistics and Center for Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China. Email: han.jie@bit.edu.cn.

[†]Center for Combinatorics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. Email: hujie@nankai.edu.cn.

[‡]School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China. Email: dlyang@sdu.edu.cn.

measure of robustness we are interested in is random subgraphs. Let $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and G(n, p) be the binomial random graph. Given an *n*-vertex graph G, we call $G(p) := G \cap G(n, p)$ the random subgraph (or random sparsification) of G. We say that G(p) has a graph property \mathcal{P} with high probability, or whp for brevity, if the probability that G(p) has \mathcal{P} tends to 1 as n goes to infinity. In particular, G(p) = G(n, p) when G is the complete graph K_n .

The first result on robustness of graph properties with respect to random subgraphs is a robust version of Dirac's theorem, obtained by Krivelevich, Lee and Sudakov [22]. More precisely, they proved that there exists a constant C such that for $p \ge C(\log n)/n$ and an *n*-vertex graph G with $\delta(G) \ge n/2$, whp G(p) contains a Hamilton cycle. Recently, Allen, Böttcher, Corsten, Davies, Jenssen, Morris and Roberts [3] proved a robust version of the r = 3 case of Theorem 1.1. Later, Pham, Sah, Sawhney and Simkin [33] derived a robust version of Theorem 1.1 with spread techniques.

Theorem 1.3 ([3] for the r = 3 case, [33]). For any integer $r \ge 3$, there exists a constant C = C(r) such that for any $n \in r\mathbb{N}$ and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$ the following holds. If G is an *n*-vertex graph with $\delta(G) \ge (1 - 1/r) n$, then whp G(p) contains a K_r -factor.

For more results on robustness of Dirac-type theorems, we refer the reader to [18, 20, 33]. In this paper we prove a robust version of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. Let $r \ge 3$ be an integer and let $\gamma > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(r, \gamma)$ such that for any sufficiently large n and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$ the following holds. If G is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n and $\delta^*(G) \ge (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$, then whp G(p) contains a K_r -factor.

1.2 Transversal (robust) versions.

Recently, there has been much research on the study of transversal versions of Dirac-type results [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 17, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31]. Given a family of graphs $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_m\}$ defined on the same vertex set V, an *m*-edge graph H defined on V is transversal if $|E(H) \cap E(G_i)| = 1$ for each $i \in [m]$. In particular, Cheng, Han, Wang and Wang [7] proved transversal versions of an asymptotic analogue of the Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem and Theorem 1.2. It is worth to mention that for general F-factor, Montgomery, Müyesser and Pehova [31] derived a transversal version of an asymptotic analogue of the Kühn–Osthus theorem [23].

Theorem 1.5 ([7]). For every $\gamma > 0$ and integer r, there exists n_0 such that the following holds for any $n \ge n_0$ and $n \in r\mathbb{N}$. If G_i is an n-vertex graph on the vertex set V with $\delta(G_i) \ge (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}]$, then $\{G_1, \ldots, G_{\frac{n}{r}} {r \choose r}\}$ contains a transversal K_r -factor.

Theorem 1.6 ([7]). For every $\gamma > 0$ and integer r, there exists n_0 such that the following holds for any $n \ge n_0$. Let G_i be a balanced r-partite graph with vertex classes V_1, \ldots, V_r and $|V_j| = n$ for any $i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]$ and $j \in [r]$. If $\delta^*(G_i) \ge (1-1/r+\gamma)n$ for any $i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]$, then $\{G_1, \ldots, G_{n\binom{r}{2}}\}$ contains a transversal K_r -factor.

In this paper, we further consider *transversal robust* versions of Dirac-type theorems, aiming to simultaneously generalize the above results. Recently, Ferber, Han and Mao [12] studied a transversal robust version of Dirac's theorem and derived Theorem 1.7 (in fact, they proved a transversal version of resilience result on Hamiltonicity which implies Theorem 1.7). Very recently, Anastos and Chakraborti [4] improved this result by removing the error term in the minimum degree condition with spread techniques.

Theorem 1.7 ([12]). Let $\gamma > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(\gamma)$ such that for any sufficiently large n and $p \ge C \log n/n$ the following holds. If G_i is an n-vertex graph on the vertex set V with $\delta(G_i) \ge (1/2 + \gamma)n$ and $H_i \sim G_i(p)$ for any $i \in [n]$, then whp $\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$ contains a transversal Hamilton cycle. The proof of Theorem 1.7 is based on the local resilience result on Hamiltonicity in random directed graphs by Montgomery [30]. However, there is no resilience result on K_r -factors for $r \geq 3$. Instead of applying the resilience result, we make use of Theorem 1.4 and obtain transversal robust versions of the (multipartite) Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem.

Theorem 1.8. Let $r \ge 3$ be an integer and let $\gamma > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(r, \gamma)$ such that for any sufficiently large $n \in r\mathbb{N}$ and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$ the following holds. If G_i is an n-vertex graph on the vertex set V with $\delta(G_i) \ge (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$ and $H_i \sim G_i(p)$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r}\binom{r}{2}]$, then whp $\{H_1, \ldots, H_{\frac{n}{r}\binom{r}{2}}\}$ contains a transversal K_r -factor.

Theorem 1.9. Let $r \geq 3$ be an integer and let $\gamma > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(r, \gamma)$ such that for any sufficiently large n and $p \geq Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$ the following holds. Let G_i be a balanced r-partite graph with vertex classes V_1, \ldots, V_r and $|V_j| = n$ for any $i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]$ and $j \in [r]$. If $\delta^*(G_i) \geq (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$ and $H_i \sim G_i(p)$ for any $i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]$, then whp $\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\binom{r}{2}\}$ contains a transversal K_r -factor.

2 Notation and preliminaries

For positive integers a, b with a < b, let $[a] = \{1, 2, ..., a\}$ and $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, ..., b\}$. For constants $x, y, z, x = y \pm z$ means that $y - z \leq x \leq y + z$, and $x \ll y$ means that for any y > 0there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that for any $x < x_0$ the subsequent statement holds. For a graph G, we use e(G) to denote the number of edges in G. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and a vertex subset A, let $N_A(v) = N_G(v) \cap A$ and $d_A(v) = |N_G(v) \cap A|$. Define $K_r(G)$ (resp. $K_r(G, v)$) to be the family of all copies of K_r (resp. copies of K_r containing v) in G. The size of a K_r -tiling in G is the number of vertex-disjoint copies of K_r it contains. The *r*-clique complex of a graph G is an *r*-uniform hypergraph whose edges are the *r*-sets of vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ each inducing a copy of K_r in G. For a vertex subset $A \subseteq V(G)$, let G[A](p) be the random subgraph of the induced subgraph G[A]. Given a set S of size m, let Sym(S) be the set of all m! permutations of S.

2.1 Concentration inequalities

Lemma 2.1 (Chernoff's inequality, [16]). Let X be a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables and $\lambda = \mathbb{E}(X)$. Then for any 0 < a < 3/2, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge (1+a)\lambda] \le e^{-a^2\lambda/3} \quad and \quad \mathbb{P}[X \le (1-a)\lambda] \le e^{-a^2\lambda/2}.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Janson's inequality, [16]). Let G be a graph and $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{E(G)}$ be a collection of subgraphs of G and $p \in [0, 1]$. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let I_F be the indicator variable which is 1 if F is present in G(p) and 0 otherwise. Let $X = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} I_F$, $\lambda = \mathbb{E}(X)$ and

$$\bar{\Delta} = \sum_{(F,F')\in\mathcal{F}^2:F\cap F'\neq\emptyset} \mathbb{E}(I_F I_{F'}).$$

Then for any 0 < a < 1, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X \le (1-a)\lambda] \le \exp\left(-\frac{a^2\lambda^2}{2\bar{\Delta}}\right).$$

Lemma 2.3 (Talagrand-type inequality, [29]). Let $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ be a family of finite non-empty sets and $\Omega = \prod_i Sym(B_i)$. Let $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\}$ be a family of independent permutations, such that for each $i \in [k], \pi_i \in Sym(B_i)$ is chosen uniformly at random. Let c and r be constants and let h be a nonnegative real-valued function on Ω . Suppose that h satisfies the following conditions for each $\pi \in \Omega$.

- If we swap any two elements in any π_i , the value of h can change by at most 2c.
- If $h(\pi) = \ell$, then there exists a set $\pi_{proof} \subseteq \pi$ of size at most $r\ell$, such that $h(\pi') \ge \ell$ for any $\pi' \in \Omega$ where $\pi' \supseteq \pi_{proof}$.

Let M be the median of $h(\pi)$. Then for each $a \ge 0$, we have

$$P[h(\pi) \le M - a] \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{16rc^2M}\right).$$

2.2 Regularity

Given a graph G and disjoint vertex subsets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, the *density* of the pair (X, Y) is defined as

$$d(X,Y) := \frac{e(X,Y)}{|X||Y|},$$

where e(X, Y) := e(G[X, Y]). For $\varepsilon > 0$, the pair (X, Y) is ε -regular if for any $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ with $|A| \ge \varepsilon |X|, |B| \ge \varepsilon |Y|$, we have

$$|d(A,B) - d(X,Y)| < \varepsilon.$$

In addition, (X, Y) is (ε, d) -regular if $d(X, Y) \ge d$ for some d > 0. An r-tuple (X_1, \ldots, X_r) of pairwise disjoint subsets of V(G) is (ε, d) -regular if each pair (X_i, X_j) with $1 \le i < j \le r$ is (ε, d) -regular.

We say that (X, Y) is (ε, d, δ) -super-regular if (X, Y) is (ε, d) -regular and $d_X(v) \ge \delta |X|$ for any $v \in Y$ and $d_Y(u) \ge \delta |Y|$ for any $u \in X$; (X, Y) is (ε, d) -super-regular if (X, Y) is $(\varepsilon, d, d - \varepsilon)$ -super-regular. The corresponding definitions for regular tuples are analogous. By the above definitions, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and (X, Y) be a pair of vertex sets such that $d(x, Y) \ge (1 - \varepsilon)|Y|$ and $d(y, X) \ge (1 - \varepsilon)|X|$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then (X, Y) is $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}, 1 - \varepsilon)$ -super-regular.

Fact 2.5. Let (X, Y) be an (ε, d) -regular pair, and $B \subseteq Y$ with $|B| \ge \varepsilon |Y|$. Then all but $\varepsilon |X|$ vertices $v \in X$ have $d_B(v) \ge (d - \varepsilon)|B|$.

Lemma 2.6 (Slicing Lemma, [21]). Let (X, Y) be an (ε, d) -regular pair, and for some $\eta > \varepsilon$, let $X' \subseteq X, Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|X'| \ge \eta |X|, |Y'| \ge \eta |Y|$. Then (X', Y') is an ε' -regular pair with $\varepsilon' = \max\{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}, 2\varepsilon\}$, and for its density d' we have $d' > d - \varepsilon$.

By Fact 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.7. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < d \le 1$ with $\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2r}$. If (V_1, \ldots, V_r) is an (ε, d) -regular tuple with $|V_i| = n$ for every $i \in [r]$, then there are subsets $V'_i \subseteq V_i$ with $|V'_i| = (1 - (r - 1)\varepsilon)n$ for every $i \in [r]$ such that the r-tuple (V'_1, \ldots, V'_r) is $(2\varepsilon, d - \varepsilon, d - r\varepsilon)$ -super-regular.

Lemma 2.8 (Counting Lemma, [21]). Given $d > \varepsilon > 0$, $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an r-vertex graph H, let G be a graph obtained by replacing every vertex v_i of H with an independent set V_i of size m and every edge $v_i v_j$ of H with an (ε, d) -regular pair (V_i, V_j) . If $\varepsilon \leq \frac{d^r}{(2+r)2^r} =: d_0$, then there are at least $(d_0m)^r$ copies of H in G so that each v_i is embedded into the set V_i .

Lemma 2.9 (Degree form of the Regularity Lemma, [21]). Let G be a graph with vertex set V. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $M = M(\varepsilon)$ such that if $d \in [0, 1]$ is any real number, then there is a partition $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k$ and a spanning subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ with the following properties:

- 1. $1/\varepsilon \leq k \leq M$,
- 2. $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon |V|$ and $|V_i| = m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $m \leq \varepsilon |V|$,

- 3. $d_{G'}(v) > d_G(v) (d + \varepsilon)|V|$ for all $v \in V$,
- 4. $e(G'[V_i]) = 0 \text{ for all } i \ge 1$,
- 5. all pairs (V_i, V_j) $(1 \le i < j \le k)$ are ε -regular in G' with density 0 or at least d.

Moreover, V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_k are often referred to as *clusters*.

Definition 2.10 (Reduced graph). Given a graph G with vertex set V, a partition $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k$, and two parameters $\varepsilon, d > 0$, the *reduced graph* $R = R(\varepsilon, d)$ of G is defined as follows:

- $V(R) = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\},\$
- $V_i V_j \in E(R)$ if and only if (V_i, V_j) is (ε, d) -regular in G.

When applying Lemma 2.9, we usually begin with a graph G = (V, E) and parameters $\varepsilon, d > 0$, and then obtain a partition $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k$ and a spanning subgraph G' with above-mentioned properties. Then we drop the cluster V_0 and study the properties of the reduced graph $R = R(\varepsilon, d)$ of $G'[V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k]$. By Lemma 2.9,

$$\delta(R) \ge \frac{\delta(G) - (d + \varepsilon)|V| - |V_0|}{m} \ge \frac{\delta(G) - (d + 2\varepsilon)|V|}{m}.$$

In particular, if $\delta(G) \ge c|V|$ for some constant c, then $\delta(R) \ge (c - d - 2\varepsilon)k$.

2.3 Random sparsification

The following result will be used to prove Lemma 2.12, which is stated in [32] and a more general form of Riordan and Heckel's result [15, 34].

Lemma 2.11 ([32]). Let $r \ge 3$. There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r) > 0$ such that for any $p \le n^{-2/r+\varepsilon}$ the following holds. Let G be an n-vertex graph and H be the r-clique complex of G. For some $p_0 \sim p^{\binom{r}{2}}$, there is a joint distribution λ of a graph G' and an r-uniform hypergraph H' defined on the same vertex set [n] such that the following holds. The marginal of G' is the same as a random subgraph G(p) of G and the marginal of H' is the same as a random r-uniform subhypergraph $H(p_0)$ of H. Furthermore, whp for every hyperedge of H', there is a copy of K_r in G' with the same vertex set.

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 8.3 in [3].

Lemma 2.12 (Rooted embedding lemma). For any $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{100r}$, there exists a constant C such that the following holds for any sufficiently large n and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. Let G be an n-vertex graph and v_1, \ldots, v_ℓ be distinct vertices in G with $\ell \le \mu^2 n$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_t \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_\ell\}$ be disjoint sets for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. If $|K_r(G, v_i)| \ge \mu n^{r-1}$ for each $1 \le i \le \ell$, then whp there is a K_r -tiling $\{K^1, \ldots, K^\ell\}$ in G(p) such that $K^i \in K_r(G, v_i)$ for each $i \in [\ell]$ and $|X_s \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell V(K^i)| \le 4r\mu |X_s| + r - 2$ for each $s \in [t]$.

Proof of Lemma 2.12. Set $C_0 = 100\mu^{-1}$. Let H be the r-clique complex of G and $p_0 = C_0 n^{1-r} \log n$. For each $i \in [\ell]$, define $E(H, v_i)$ to be the set of hyperedges in H containing v_i . Then $|E(H, v_i)| = |K_r(G, v_i)| \ge \mu n^{r-1}$. By Lemma 2.11, it suffices to prove that whp there is a matching $\{K^1, \ldots, K^\ell\}$ in $H(p_0)$ such that $K^i \in E(H, v_i)$ for each $i \in [\ell]$ and $|X_s \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell V(K^i)| \le 4r\mu |X_s| + r - 2$ for each $s \in [t]$.

We will reveal hyperedges of H step by step and choose hyperedges K^1, \ldots, K^{ℓ} one at a time. A hyperedge $e \in E(H)$ is *active* if it has not been revealed yet. Given $s \in [t]$ and $i \in [\ell]$, X_s is *saturated* at time i if $|X_s \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(K^j)| \ge 4r\mu |X_s|$. Let Y_i be the union of the saturated sets X_s at time i. Since X_s 's are disjoint, we have $|Y_i| \le \sum_{s \in [t]} \frac{|X_s \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(K^j)|}{4r\mu} \le \frac{|\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} V(K^j)|}{4r\mu} = \frac{\ell}{4r\mu} = \frac{\ell}{4\mu}$. For each *i*, we choose an arbitrary set $E'(H, v_i) \subseteq E(H, v_i)$ of size exactly μn^{r-1} . In step *i*, we reveal all *candidate* hyperedges in $E'(H, v_i)$, which are active but not containing any vertex in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(K^j) \cup \{v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_\ell\} \cup Y_i$. We claim that in the beginning of step *i* whp the number of candidate hyperedges in $E'(H, v_i)$ is at least $\frac{\mu}{2}n^{r-1}$. Indeed, the number of hyperedges that have been revealed before step *i* is at most $2\mu n^{r-1}p_0(i-1) \leq 2\mu n^{r-1}p_0\ell \leq 2\mu^3 C_0 n \log n \leq \frac{\mu}{8}n^{r-1}$ with probability at least $1-(i-1)n^{-2\mu C_0/3}$ by the Chernoff's inequality and a union bound. Moreover, the number of edges both containing v_i and some vertex in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(K^j) \cup \{v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_\ell\} \cup Y_i$ is at most $\left(r\ell + \frac{\ell}{4\mu}\right) \cdot n^{r-2} \leq \left(r\mu^2 n + \frac{\mu n}{4}\right) \cdot n^{r-2} \leq \frac{3\mu}{8}n^{r-1}$.

Now we reveal all candidate hyperedges in $E'(H, v_i)$. Since these candidates are pairwise independent, by the Chernoff's inequality, there exist at least $\frac{\mu}{4}n^{r-1}p_0 = \frac{1}{4}\mu C_0 \log n \ (\geq 1)$ hyperedges in $E(H(p_0)) \cap E'(H, v_i)$ with probability at least $1 - n^{-\mu C_0/16}$. We arbitrarily take one of them as K^i . Then move on to the next step i + 1. Finally, by a union bound, whp there is a desired matching $\{K^1, \ldots, K^\ell\}$ in $H(p_0)$ with $K^i \in E(H, v_i)$.

Proposition 2.13. Let $r \geq 3$. For any $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant C such that the following holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \geq Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. Let G be an n-vertex graph and \mathcal{F} be a family of copies of K_r in G. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(p)$ the family of copies of K_r in \mathcal{F} that are present in G(p). If $|\mathcal{F}| = \alpha n^r$, then with probability at least $1 - n^{-\alpha C\binom{r}{2}n/16}$, $|\mathcal{F}(p)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha C\binom{r}{2}n \log n$.

Proof of Proposition 2.13. Assume that $p = Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let I_F be the indicator random variable for the event $F \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ and $X = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} I_F$. So $|\mathcal{F}(p)| = X$. We have $\mathbb{E}(X) = |\mathcal{F}| \cdot p^{\binom{r}{2}} = \alpha C^{\binom{r}{2}} n \log n$. To calculate $\overline{\Delta} = \sum_{(F,F') \in \mathcal{F}^2: F \cap F' \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{E}(I_F I_{F'})$, first note that for $2 \leq s \leq r-1$, we have

$$n^{s-1}p^{\binom{s}{2}} \ge n^{s-1-\frac{2}{r}\binom{s}{2}} = n^{(s-1)(1-\frac{s}{r})} \ge n^{1-2/r}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Delta} - \mathbb{E}(X) &\leq \sum_{s=2}^{r-1} |\mathcal{F}| \cdot \binom{r}{s} n^{r-s} p^{2\binom{r}{2} - \binom{s}{2}} \\ &\leq r^{r-1} \sum_{s=2}^{r-1} \alpha n^{2r-s} p^{2\binom{r}{2} - \binom{s}{2}} \\ &= r^{r-1} \alpha \sum_{s=2}^{r-1} n^{2r-1} p^{2\binom{r}{2}} \cdot (n^{s-1} p^{\binom{s}{2}})^{-1} \\ &\leq r^r \alpha C^{r^2 - r} (\log n)^2 n^{2/r} \\ &= o(\mathbb{E}(X)). \end{split}$$

By Janson's inequality, with probability at least $1 - n^{-\alpha C^{\binom{r}{2}}n/16}$, $X \ge \mathbb{E}(X)/2$, that is, $|\mathcal{F}(p)| \ge \frac{1}{2}\alpha C^{\binom{r}{2}}n \log n$.

The next lemma generalizes Lemma 8.1 (ii) in [3].

Lemma 2.14. For any $\mu > 0$, there exists a constant C such that the following holds for any $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 \ge n_2$ and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. Let $G = G(V_1, \ldots, V_r, E)$ be an n-vertex r-partite graph with $|V_i| \ge n_1$ for each $i \in [r]$. If for any $X_i \subseteq V_i$ with $|X_i| \ge n_2$, $G[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ contains at least μn^r copies of K_r , then whp G(p) contains at least $n_1 - n_2$ vertex-disjoint copies of K_r .

Proof of Lemma 2.14. It suffices to prove that whp there exists a copy of K_r , even after deleting any set U of size $r(n_1 - n_2 - 1)$ with $|U \cap V_i| = n_1 - n_2 - 1$ for each $i \in [r]$. Fix one such U. Let $X_i = V_i \setminus U$ for each $i \in [r]$. Then $|X_i| \ge n_2 + 1$ for all i and so $G[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ contains at least μn^r copies of K_r . We arbitrarily choose a family of μn^r copies of K_r from $G[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$, denoted by \mathcal{F} . We apply Proposition 2.13 on \mathcal{F} with $\alpha = \mu$ and conclude that with probability at least $1 - n^{-\mu C\binom{r}{2}n/16}$, $|\mathcal{F}(p)| \ge \frac{1}{2}\mu C\binom{r}{2}n\log n > 0$.

By a union bound, the probability that there exists a set U of size $r(n_1 - n_2 - 1)$ and $|U \cap V_i| = n_1 - n_2 - 1$ for each $i \in [r]$ such that $|\mathcal{F}(p)| = 0$ is at most

$$2^n \cdot n^{-\mu C^{\binom{r}{2}}n/16} = o(1).$$

Hence whp there exists a copy of K_r in G(p), even after deleting any set U of size $r(n_1 - n_2 - 1)$ with $|U \cap V_i| = n_1 - n_2 - 1$ for each $i \in [r]$. Therefore, whp G(p) contains at least $n_1 - n_2$ vertex-disjoint copies of K_r .

The following lemma can be obtained by Theorem 1.9 in [33], Theorem 1.6 in [13] and Lemma 2.11, following the proof strategy of Theorem 1.6 in [33], and its proof will be included in Appendix A for completeness.

Lemma 2.15. Let $r \ge 3$ and d > 0. There exist constants $\varepsilon, C > 0$ such that the following holds for any sufficiently large n and $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. Let $G = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r, E)$ be a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n. If (V_i, V_j) is (ε, d_{ij}) -super regular with $d_{ij} \ge d$ for all $i \ne j$, then whp G(p) contains a K_r -factor.

The next lemma gives a relation between weight functions on the vertex set of a graph G and the family of all copies of K_r in G, and the proof idea follows Corollary 7.3 in [3].

Lemma 2.16. Let $r \ge 2$ be an integer and let $\gamma > 0$. There exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(r, \gamma)$ such that the following holds for any $n \ge n_0$. Let $G = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r, E)$ be a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n and $\delta^*(G) \ge (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$. Let $\lambda : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}_+$ be a weight function such that $\sum_{v \in V_i} \lambda(v) = \sum_{u \in V_j} \lambda(u)$ for any $1 \le i < j \le r$ and $\lambda(v) = (1 \pm \frac{\gamma}{4}) \frac{\sum_{u \in V_i} \lambda(u)}{n}$ for any $v \in V_i$ and $i \in [r]$. Then there exists a weight function $\omega : K_r(G) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\sum_{K \in K_r(G,v)} \omega(K) = \lambda(v)$ for any $v \in V(G)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.16. Given an integer $r \ge 2$ and $\gamma > 0$, we choose

$$\frac{1}{n} \ll \gamma, \frac{1}{r}.$$

We first define an auxiliary graph H by replacing every vertex $v \in V(G)$ with an independent set I_v of size $\lambda(v)$ and every edge $uv \in E(G)$ is replaced by a complete bipartite graph with two parts I_u, I_v . Since $\sum_{v \in V_i} \lambda(v) = \sum_{u \in V_j} \lambda(u)$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, H is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size $\sum_{v \in V_i} \lambda(v)$. Let $N = \sum_{v \in V_i} \lambda(v)$. Then $\delta^*(H) \geq$ $(1 - 1/r + \gamma)n \cdot (1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}) \frac{\sum_{u \in V_i} \lambda(u)}{n} = (1 - 1/r + \gamma) (1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}) N > (1 - 1/r + \gamma/2)N$. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a weight function $\omega_H : K_r(H) \to \{0, 1\}$ such that $\sum_{K \in K_r(H,v)} \omega(K) = 1$ for any $v \in V(H)$. Now we define $\omega : K_r(G) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by $\omega(K) = \sum_{K' \in K_r(H[K])} \omega_H(K')$, where H[K]is the subgraph of H induced by $\bigcup_{v \in V(K)} I_v$. Hence for every $v \in V(G)$,

$$\sum_{K \in K_r(G,v)} \omega(K) = \sum_{K \in K_r(G,v)} \sum_{K' \in K_r(H[K])} \omega_H(K')$$
$$= \sum_{v' \in I_v} \sum_{K' \in K_r(H,v')} \omega_H(K')$$
$$= \sum_{v' \in I_v} 1 = |I_v| = \lambda(v).$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We follow the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [3] for the case no large sparse set. Given an integer $r \ge 2$ and $\gamma > 0$, we choose

$$\frac{1}{n} \ll \frac{1}{C} \ll \varepsilon \ll d, \alpha \ll \gamma, \frac{1}{r}.$$

Recall that G is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n. Let V_1, \ldots, V_r be the r vertex classes of G. By applying Lemma 2.9 on G with constants ε and d, we obtain a partition $V_0 \cup \bigcup_{i \in [r], j \in [k_i]} V_{ij}$ such that $V_{ij} \subseteq V_i$ and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \leq M$, which refines the partition $V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$. We may assume that $k_1 = \cdots = k_r =: k$ by placing clusters into V_0 and updating V_0 if necessary. Then $|V_0| \leq r \cdot \varepsilon |G| = \varepsilon r^2 n$ and $|V_{ij}| \geq \frac{n - |V_0|}{k} \geq \frac{n - \varepsilon r^2 n}{k}$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$. Let $R := R(\varepsilon, d)$ be the reduced graph for this partition. Then R is a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size k. By the choice $\varepsilon \ll d \ll \gamma$ and the fact that $\delta^*(G) \geq (1 - 1/r + \gamma)n$, we have $\delta^*(R) \geq (1 - 1/r + \gamma/2)k$. By Theorem 1.2, R contains a K_r -factor $\{K^1, K^2, \ldots, K^k\}$. Without loss of generality, let $V(K^j) = \{V_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ for each $j \in [k]$. Then the r-tuple $(V_{1j}, V_{2j}, \ldots, V_{rj})$ is (ε, d) -regular for each $j \in [k]$. By Lemma 2.7, there are subsets $V'_{ij} \subseteq V_{ij}$ with $|V'_{ij}| = (1 - (r - 1)\varepsilon)|V_{ij}|$ for all $i \in [r]$ so that the r-tuple $(V'_{1j}, V'_{2j}, \ldots, V'_{rj})$ is $(2\varepsilon, d - \varepsilon, d - r\varepsilon)$ -super-regular for each $j \in [k]$. Let $B = V_0 \cup \bigcup_{i \in [r], j \in [k]} (V_{ij} \setminus V'_{ij})$. Then $|B| \leq r \cdot \varepsilon |G| + \sum_{i \in [r], j \in [k]} (r - 1)\varepsilon |V_{ij}| \leq 2\varepsilon r^2 n$.

Recall that $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. Let p' be such that $1 - p = (1 - p')^3$. We will sprinkle edges of G(p) in three rounds. We first find a K_r -tiling covering vertices in B by Lemma 2.12. Then we remove some vertex-disjoint copies of K_r to balance the super-regular r-tuples by Lemma 2.16. Finally we apply Lemma 2.15 on the balanced super-regular r-tuples.

Cover vertices in B.

Note that $V(G) \setminus B = \bigcup_{i \in [r], j \in [k]} V'_{ij}$. By the choice $\alpha \ll \gamma$ and choosing each vertex of $V(G) \setminus B$ independently with probability 1/2, we can find a set $W \subset V(G) \setminus B$ such that

(1)
$$|W \cap V'_{ij}| = \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \alpha\right) \frac{n}{k}$$
 for any $i \in [r], j \in [k];$

(2) For any $i \in [r]$, $d_G(v, W \cap V_i) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{4}\right) |W \cap V_i|$ for each $v \in V(G) \setminus V_i$;

(3) $d_G(v, V'_{ij} \cap W) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{4}\right) d_G(v, V'_{ij})$ for each $v \in V(G)$ with $d_G(v, V'_{ij}) \ge \varepsilon |V'_{ij}|$.

When covering vertices in B, we only use vertices in W to preserve the super-regularity of the *r*-tuples $(V'_{1j}, V'_{2j}, \ldots, V'_{rj})$.

Claim 3.1. When there is a K_r -tiling $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq G[B \cup W]$ in G(p') such that $B \subseteq V(\mathcal{K}_1)$ and $|V(\mathcal{K}_1) \cap V'_{ij}| \leq 8r^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} |V'_{ij}|$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$.

Proof of Claim 3.1. Since $|B| \leq 2\varepsilon r^2 n$ and $|W| \geq rn/4$, we have $|B| \leq 8\varepsilon r|B \cup W|$. For each $v \in B$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(v)$ the family of copies of K_r containing v and at most one vertex of $W \cap V_i$ for each $i \in [r]$. By (2) above, given $i \in [r]$, any r-1 vertices of $V(G) \setminus V_i$ have at least $(r-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{4}\right)|W \cap V_i| - (r-2)|W \cap V_i| \geq \left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma(r-1)}{4}\right)\frac{n}{4}$ common neighbours in $W \cap V_i$. Hence $|\mathcal{F}(v)| \geq \left(\frac{n}{4r}\right)^{r-1}$ for each $v \in B$. By applying Lemma 2.12 on B with $\mu = 2\sqrt{2\varepsilon r}$ and $X_s = V'_{ij}$, the claim follows.

Balance super-regular *r*-tuples.

Let $V_{ij}'' = V_{ij} \setminus V(\mathcal{K}_1)$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$. Now for each $j \in [k]$, the super-regular *r*-tuple $(V_{1j}'', V_{2j}'', \dots, V_{rj}'')$ may not be balanced. We will balance them by the following claim.

Claim 3.2. Whp there is a K_r -tiling $\mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq G[W \setminus V(\mathcal{K}_1)]$ in G(p') such that $|V''_{ij} \setminus V(\mathcal{K}_2)| = \lfloor \frac{9n}{10k} \rfloor$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$.

Proof of Claim 3.2. We define a weight function $\lambda : V(R) \to \mathbb{N}_+$ by $\lambda(V_{ij}) = |V_{ij}''| - \lfloor \frac{9n}{10k} \rfloor$. Then by Claim 3.1, $(\frac{1}{10} - 10r^2\sqrt{\varepsilon})\frac{n}{k} \leq \lambda(V_{ij}) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{10k} \rceil$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda(V_{ij}) = n - |\mathcal{K}_1| - k \lfloor \frac{9n}{10k} \rfloor$ for each $i \in [r]$. Recall that $\delta^*(R) \geq (1 - 1/r + \gamma/2)k$. By applying Lemma 2.16 on R and λ , there exists a weight function $\omega : K_r(R) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\sum_{K \in K_r(R, V_{ij})} \omega(K) = \lambda(V_{ij})$ for any $V_{ij} \in V(R)$.

For every $K \in K_r(R)$, we shall find a family \mathcal{F}_K of $\omega(K)$ vertex-disjoint copies of K_r in $G[W \cap \bigcup_{V_{ij} \in V(K)} V_{ij}''](p')$ such that all \mathcal{F}_K 's are pairwise vertex-disjoint, and then let $\mathcal{K}_2 = \bigcup_{K \in K_r(R)} \mathcal{F}_K$. We shall iteratively build \mathcal{F}_K by going through every $K \in K_r(R)$ in order. Observe that at the end of each step, the set L_{ij} of uncovered vertices in $V_{ij}'' \cap W$ has order at least $|V_{ij}'' \cap W| - \lambda(V_{ij}) = |V_{ij}' \cap W| - |V(\mathcal{K}_1) \cap V_{ij}'| - \lambda(V_{ij}) \ge \frac{3n}{10k}$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$. We claim that we can find such families \mathcal{F}_K for every $K \in K_r(R)$. In fact, for each fixed K, without loss of generality, let $V(K) = \{V_{11}, V_{21}, \ldots, V_{r1}\}$. By Lemma 2.6, for any $X_i \subseteq V_{i1}'' \cap W, X_j \subseteq V_{j1}'' \cap W$ with $|X_i|, |X_j| \ge d \cdot \frac{n}{k}$, we have (X_i, X_j) is $\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}, d - \varepsilon\right)$ -regular for all $i \ne j \in [r]$. Then by Lemma 2.8, $|K_r(G[X_1, \ldots, X_r])| \ge \left(\frac{(d-\varepsilon)^r}{(2+r)2^r} \cdot \frac{dn}{k}\right)^r$. Since $|L_{i1}| \ge \frac{3n}{10k}$ for any $i \in [r]$, by applying Lemma 2.14 with $n_1 = \frac{3n}{10k}$ and $n_2 = \frac{dn}{k}$, where $G[\bigcup_{i \in [r]} L_{i1}](p')$ contains at least $\left(\frac{3}{10} - d\right) \frac{n}{k} > \frac{n}{5k} = 2 \cdot \frac{n}{10k}$ vertex-disjoint copies of K_r . Recall that $\omega(K) \le \lambda(V_{i1}) \le \left\lceil \frac{n}{10k} \right\rceil$ for any $i \in [r]$. Hence we can find the desired family \mathcal{F}_K for K. Recall that $\mathcal{K}_2 = \bigcup_{K \in K_r(R)} \mathcal{F}_K$. Then $|V_{ij}'' \setminus V(\mathcal{K}_2)| = \lfloor \frac{9n}{10k} \rfloor$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$.

Apply Lemma 2.15 on the balanced super-regular r-tuples.

Let $U_{ij} = V_{ij}'' \setminus V(\mathcal{K}_2)$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$. Then $|U_{ij}| = \lfloor \frac{9n}{10k} \rfloor$ for all $i \in [r], j \in [k]$. [k]. Recall that $(V_{1j}', V_{2j}', \ldots, V_{rj}')$ is $(2\varepsilon, d - \varepsilon, d - r\varepsilon)$ -super-regular for every $j \in [k]$. By (3) above, for all $i_1, i_2 \in [r], j \in [k]$ and $v \in U_{i_1j}$, we have $d_G(v, U_{i_2j}) \ge d_G(v, V_{i_2j}' \setminus W) \ge \frac{1}{4}d_G(v, V_{i_2j}') \ge \frac{d - r\varepsilon}{4}|V_{i_2j}'| \ge \frac{d}{8}|V_{i_2j}'| \ge \frac{d}{8}|U_{i_2j}|$. By Lemma 2.6, $(U_{1j}, U_{2j}, \ldots, U_{rj})$ is $(\frac{20\varepsilon}{9}, \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{8})$ super-regular for each $j \in [k]$, which is also $(\frac{20\varepsilon}{9}, \frac{d}{8})$ -super-regular. By applying Lemma 2.15, whp $G[U_{1j}, U_{2j}, \ldots, U_{rj}](p')$ contains a K_r -factor for every $j \in [k]$, and they form a K_r -factor of $G[\cup_{i \in [r], j \in [k]} U_{ij}]$, denoted by \mathcal{K}_3 .

In summary, $\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2 \cup \mathcal{K}_3$ is a K_r -factor in G(p) as desired.

4 Proofs of Theorems 1.8 and 1.9

Proof of Theorem 1.8 from Theorem 1.9. We first claim that there is a balanced partition $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$ such that $d_{G_i}(v, V_j) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{n}{r}$ for any $v \in V$, $i \in \left[\frac{n}{r}\binom{r}{2}\right]$ and $j \in [r]$. Indeed, choose a balanced partition $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$ uniformly at random. For fixed $v \in V$, $i \in \left[\frac{n}{r}\binom{r}{2}\right]$ and $j \in [r]$, $\mathbb{E}[d_{G_i}(v, V_j)] = \frac{d_{G_i}(v)}{r}$. By Chernoff's inequality, $\mathbb{P}[d_{G_i}(v, V_j) \le \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{d_{G_i}(v)}{r}] \le \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{8}\frac{d_{G_i}(v)}{r}\right) < \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{16r}n\right)$. By a union bound, whp $d_{G_i}(v, V_j) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{n}{r}$ for any $v \in V$, $i \in \left[\frac{n}{r}\binom{r}{2}\right]$ and $j \in [r]$.

Recall that $H_i \sim G_i(p)$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}]$. Let $G'_i = G_i[V_1, \ldots, V_r]$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}]$. Then $\delta^*(G'_i) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}) \frac{n}{r}$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}]$. By Theorem 1.9, if $H'_i \sim G'_i(p)$ for any $i \in [\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}]$, then whp $\{H'_1, \ldots, H'_{\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}}\}$ contains a transversal K_r -factor. So does $\{H_1, \ldots, H_{\frac{n}{r} {r \choose 2}}\}$ as $H'_i \subseteq H_i$ for every i.

Before the proof of Theorem 1.9 starts, we first define an auxiliary *r*-partite graph and the idea comes from [12]. Recall that G_i is a balanced *r*-partite graph with vertex classes V_1, \ldots, V_r and $|V_j| = n$ for any $i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]$ and $j \in [r]$. Let $L := \{(i, j) : i, j \in [r] \text{ and } i < j\}$. We divide $\{G_i : i \in [n\binom{r}{2}]\}$ into $\binom{r}{2}$ subfamilies $\{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)\in L}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \{G_{c_{i,j}+1}, \ldots, G_{c_{i,j}+n}\}$, where $c_{i,j} = \sum_{(s,t)\prec(i,j)} |\mathcal{F}_{s,t}|$ and \prec is the lexicographic ordering on L. Without loss of generality, let $V_i = [(i-1)n+1, in]$ for each $i \in [r]$. Let π_i be a uniformly random permutation of V_i for each $i \in [r]$. Now we define auxiliary bipartite graphs $B_{\pi_i}[V_i, V_j]$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$: For

any $s \in V_i$ and $t \in V_j$, we connect s and t if and only if $st \in E(G_{c_{i,j}+\pi_i(s)-(i-1)n})$. Note that $G_{c_{i,j}+\pi_i(s)-(i-1)n} \in \mathcal{F}_{i,j}$. Let $\pi := \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r\}$ and $B_\pi := \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le r} B_{\pi_i}[V_i, V_j]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let B_{π} be the *r*-partite graph defined as above. Note that a K_r -factor in B_{π} (resp. $B_{\pi}(p)$) corresponds to a transversal K_r -factor in $\{G_1, \ldots, G_{n\binom{r}{2}}\}$ (resp. $\{H_1, \ldots, H_{n\binom{r}{2}}\}$). Hence, if $\delta^*(B_{\pi}) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n$, then by Theorem 1.4, whp $B_{\pi}(p)$ contains a K_r -factor and Theorem 1.9 follows. The rest of the proof is to show that whp $\delta^*(B_{\pi}) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n$.

Claim 4.1 ([12], Lemma 2.14). Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Let π_1 be a uniformly random permutation on V_1 and $\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}[d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1,V_2]}(t)]$ for every $t \in V_2$. If $\delta(G_i[V_1,V_2]) \ge 200/\alpha^2$ for all $i \in [n]$, then we have

$$M_t := M(d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t)) = (1 \pm \alpha)\lambda_t.$$

Claim 4.2. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and a uniformly random permutation π_i of V_i , whp $\delta(B_{\pi_i}[V_i, V_j]) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) n$. Furthermore, whp $\delta^*(B_{\pi}) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) n$.

Proof of Claim 4.2. By symmetry, we only show that for a uniformly random permutation π_1 of V_1 , whp $\delta(B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]) \ge (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2})n$, and then apply a union bound on all $\binom{r}{2}$ bipartite subgraphs. Since $\delta(G_{\pi_1(s)}[V_1, V_2]) \ge (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \gamma)n$, we have $d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(s) \ge (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \gamma)n$ for any $s \in V_1$. For a fixed vertex $t \in V_2$, let $\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}[d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t)]$. Then $\lambda_t \ge (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \gamma)n$. We will show that whp $d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t) \ge (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2})n$ by applying Lemma 2.3 with k = 1, $B_1 = V_1$ and $h(\pi_1) = d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t)$. Note that if we swap any two elements in π_1 , the value of $h(\pi_1)$ can change by at most 2. Additionally, if $h(\pi_1) = d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t) = \ell$, then we choose π_{proof} as the ℓ indices reflected in $N_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1, V_2]}(t)$. Hence, $h(\pi_1)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3 with c = 1and r = 1.

Now let $\alpha = \gamma/100$. Then by Claim 4.1, the medium M_t of $d_{B_{\pi_1}[V_1,V_2]}(t)$ is at least $(1-\alpha)\lambda_t$. Hence $\left(1-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n \leq \left(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\lambda_t \leq \left(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{M_t}{1-\alpha} \leq \left(1-\frac{\gamma}{4}\right)M_t$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[h(\pi_1) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n\right]$$

$$\le \mathbb{P}\left[h(\pi_1) \le \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}\right)M_t\right]$$

$$\le 2\exp\left\{-\frac{(\gamma M_t/4)^2}{16M_t}\right\}$$

$$\le 2\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\gamma}{32}\right)^2n\right\}.$$

Then by a union bound over all vertices in V_2 and all choices $\{i, j\}$ with $1 \le i < j \le r$, we have $\delta^*(B_\pi) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)n$ with probability at least $1 - 2n\binom{r}{2} \exp\{-(\gamma/32)^2n\}$.

References

- [1] R. Aharoni, M. DeVos, S. González Hermosillo de la Maza, A. Montejano, and R. Šámal. A rainbow version of Mantel's theorem. *Adv. Comb.*, pages Paper No. 2, 12pp, 2020.
- [2] R. Aharoni and D. Howard. A rainbow r-partite version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. Combin. Probab. Comput., 26(3):321–337, 2017.
- [3] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, J. Corsten, E. Davies, M. Jenssen, P. Morris, and B. Roberts. A robust Corrádi–Hajnal Theorem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.01116, 2022.

- M. Anastos and D. Chakraborti. Robust Hamiltonicity in families of Dirac graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12607, 2023.
- [5] P. Bradshaw. Transversals and bipancyclicity in bipartite graph families. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(4):Paper No. 4.25, 20pp, 2021.
- [6] D. Chakraborti, S. Im, J. Kim, and H. Liu. A bandwidth theorem for graph transversals. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09637, 2023.
- [7] Y. Cheng, J. Han, B. Wang, and G. Wang. Rainbow spanning structures in graph and hypergraph systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10219*, 2021.
- [8] Y. Cheng, J. Han, B. Wang, G. Wang, and D. Yang. Rainbow Hamilton cycle in hypergraph systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.07079, 2021.
- [9] Y. Cheng, G. Wang, and Y. Zhao. Rainbow pancyclicity in graph systems. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(3):Paper No. 3.24, 9pp, 2021.
- [10] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal. On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 14:423–439, 1963.
- G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1):69–81, 1952.
- [12] A. Ferber, J. Han, and D. Mao. Dirac-type problem of rainbow matchings and hamilton cycles in random graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05477, 2022.
- [13] K. Frankston, J. Kahn, B. Narayanan, and J. Park. Thresholds versus fractional expectation thresholds. Ann. of Math., 194(2):475–495, 2021.
- [14] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi. Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős. Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications, 2:601–623, 1970.
- [15] A. Heckel. Random triangles in random graphs. Random Structures Algorithms, 59(4):616– 621, 2021.
- [16] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Rucinski. *Random graphs*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- [17] F. Joos and J. Kim. On a rainbow version of Dirac's theorem. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 52(3):498–504, 2020.
- [18] D. Y. Kang, T. Kelly, D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and V. Pfenninger. Perfect matchings in random sparsifications of dirac hypergraphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01325, 2022.
- [19] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft. A geometric theory for hypergraph matching. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 233(1098):vi+95, 2015.
- [20] T. Kelly, A. Müyesser, and A. Pokrovskiy. Optimal spread for spanning subgraphs of Dirac hypergraphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08535, 2023.
- [21] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits. Szemerédi's regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory. Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty, Vol. 2 (Keszthely, 1993), 1996.
- [22] M. Krivelevich, C. Lee, and B. Sudakov. Robust Hamiltonicity of Dirac graphs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 366(6):3095–3130, 2014.
- [23] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. The minimum degree threshold for perfect graph packings. Combinatorica, 29(1):65–107, 2009.

- [24] A. Lo and K. Markström. A multipartite version of the Hajnal–Szemerédi Theorem for graphs and hypergraph. Combin. Probab. Comput., 22:97–111, 2013.
- [25] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu. Rainbow perfect matchings for 4-uniform hypergraphs. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 36(3):1645–1662, 2022.
- [26] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu. A better bound on the size of rainbow matchings. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 195:Paper No. 105700, 19pp, 2023.
- [27] H. Lu, X. Yu, and X. Yuan. Rainbow matchings for 3-uniform hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 183:Paper No. 105489, 21pp, 2021.
- [28] L. Lu, P. Li, and X. Li. Rainbow structures in a collection of graphswith degree conditions. J. Graph Theory, 104:341–359, 2023.
- [29] C. McDiarmid. Concentration for independent permutations. Combin. Probab. Comput., 11(2):163–178, 2002.
- [30] R. Montgomery. Hamiltonicity in random directed graphs is born resilient. Combin. Probab. Comput., 29(6):900–942, 2020.
- [31] R. Montgomery, A. Müyesser, and Y. Pehova. Transversal factors and spanning trees. Adv. Comb., pages Paper No. 3, 25pp, 2022.
- [32] H. T. Pham. Robust thresholds: Counting triangle factors and A shorter proof of the robust Corrádi–Hajnal Theorem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03064v1, 2022.
- [33] H. T. Pham, A. Sah, M. Sawhney, and M. Simkin. A toolkit for robust thresholds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03064v3, 2022.
- [34] O. Riordan. Random cliques in random graphs and sharp thresholds for F-factors. Random Structures Algorithms, 61(4):619–637, 2022.
- [35] B. Sudakov. Robustness of graph properties. Surveys in combinatorics 2017, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 440:372–408, 2017.

Appendix A Proof of Lemma 2.15

Definition A.1. For a finite set X and its subset S, let $\langle S \rangle = \{Y : S \subseteq Y \subseteq X\}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X$. We say that a probability measure ρ on \mathcal{F} is *q*-spread if

$$\rho(\mathcal{F} \cap \langle S \rangle) \le q^{|S|} \text{ for any } S \subseteq X.$$

Theorem A.2 ([33], Theorem 1.9). Let $r \ge 2$ and d > 0. There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds for any sufficiently large n. Let $G = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r, E)$ be a balanced r-partite graph with each vertex class of size n. Suppose that (V_i, V_j) is (ε, d_{ij}) -super regular with $d_{ij} \ge d$ for all $i \ne j$. Let H be the r-clique complex of G and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq 2^H$ be the set of K_r -factors in G. Then there is a $O(1/n^{r-1})$ -spread probability measure on \mathcal{K} .

The following theorem can be deduced from Theorem 1.6 of [13].

Theorem A.3 ([13]). There exists a constant C > 0 such that the following holds. Let X be a finite set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X$. If there is a q-spread probability measure on \mathcal{F} , then whp $X(\min\{Cq\log|X|,1\})$ has a subset which is an element of \mathcal{F} .

Proof of Lemma 2.15. Given an integer $r \ge 3$ and d > 0, we choose

$$\frac{1}{n} \ll \frac{1}{C} \ll \varepsilon \ll d, \frac{1}{r}.$$

Recall that $G = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r, E)$ is a balanced *r*-partite graph with each vertex class of size *n* and (V_i, V_j) is (ε, d_{ij}) -super regular with $d_{ij} \ge d$ for all $i \ne j$. Let *H* be the *r*-clique complex of *G* and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq 2^H$ be the set of K_r -factors in *G*. By Theorem A.2, there is a $O(1/n^{r-1})$ -spread probability measure on \mathcal{K} . Let $p_0 = \Omega(\log n/n^{r-1})$. Then by applying Theorem A.3 with $X = H, \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{K}$ and $q = O(1/n^{r-1})$, we obtain that whp $H(p_0)$ has a subset which is an element of \mathcal{K} , that is, a K_r -factor in *G*. Let $p \ge Cn^{-2/r}(\log n)^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$. By Lemma 2.11, whp G(p) contains a K_r -factor.