

Online Signal Estimation on the Graph Edges via Line Graph Transformation

Yi Yan and Ercan Engin Kuruoglu

Abstract—We propose the Line Graph Normalized Least Mean Square (LGNLMS) algorithm for online time-varying graph edge signals prediction. LGNLMS utilizes the Line Graph to transform graph edge signals into the node of its edge-to-vertex dual. This enables edge signals to be processed using established GSP concepts without redefining them on graph edges.

Index Terms—Graph Signal Processing, Time-varying Signal, Online estimation, Line graph

I. INTRODUCTION

Graph signal processing (GSP) is a field of study that explores representing irregular relationships among multivariate data on graphs using signal processing techniques [1]. Applications of GSP can be seen in modeling temperature maps [2], brain structure [3], and traffic flows [4]. However, GSP techniques are defined only on the graph nodes, meaning they cannot process signals on graph edges. Applications of signals on the graph edges include traffic flow on the road networks [5] and ocean drifter trajectories on ocean maps [6]. Data on graph edges may also be time-varying, for example, the time-varying water flow of a river is modeled on the graph edges [7]. This leads to a demand for low-cost online edge signal estimation algorithms.

The adaptive graph filter is one of the many solutions to online signal estimation problems. If we assume the most common assumption where graph signals are under Normal noise, we can use the graph least mean squares (GLMS) algorithm to conduct an online estimation of node signals [8]. The GLMS algorithm is the foundation of many other adaptive GSP algorithms, which later improve the GLMS in aspects such as faster convergence speed and increased robustness under impulsive non-Gaussian noise [9], [10], [2], [11]. However, as pointed out earlier, GSP algorithms are defined solely on the nodes but we need algorithms that can operate on the graph edges. Moreover, it would be beneficial if we could rely on the well-developed tools in GSP.

Luckily, we can rely on a transformation named the Line Graph. In this paper, we propose the Line Graph Normalized Least Mean Squares (LGNLMS) algorithm for an online prediction of a time-varying signal on the graph edges with missing values under Gaussian noise. The LGNLMS is based on the Line Graph, which transforms the underlying topology of a graph into its edge-to-vertex dual. The edge signals of a graph will be projected onto the nodes of its Line Graph, meaning that we can use well-defined GSP concepts such as filtering and sampling again without redefining them on the graph edges.

II. METHODOLOGY

A (unweighted and undirected) graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is formed by a node-set \mathcal{V} of N_n nodes and an edge set \mathcal{E} of N_e edges. The node-to-edge incidence is recorded in the incidence matrix \mathbf{B} , where the rows of \mathbf{B} correspond to the nodes and the columns of \mathbf{B} correspond to the edges. If a node is connected to an edge then the corresponding entry will have magnitude 1 in \mathbf{B} . The most essential operation in GSP is the Graph Fourier transform (GFT), which is defined on the eigendecomposition of the graph Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^N$. \mathbf{L} is the difference between the degree matrix \mathbf{D} and the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} . By definition, the i^j th element of \mathbf{A} is 1 when there is an edge between node v_i and node v_j , and \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix that is formed by recording the diagonal entries as the sum of all elements along the rows of \mathbf{A} . Notice that we can assign a random orientation to the edges so that if an edge goes from node i to node j , the corresponding entry in \mathbf{B} will be 1, and -1 if the edge is leaving relative to the orientation. This leads to the equality $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^T$. In the GFT, we have $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T$, where \mathbf{U} is the eigenvector matrix and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N)$ is the eigenvalue matrix. To give a sense of low and high frequencies, the eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs are sorted in increasing order [1]. Time-varying function values on the nodes can be represented using a graph signal $\mathbf{x}_n[t]$, which can be transformed to the spectral domain by the forward GFT $\mathbf{s}_n = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}_n$. The inverse transform is $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{s}_n$. Given a graph filter $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, the most basic GSP spectral filtering operation is

$$\mathbf{x}'_n = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}_n. \quad (1)$$

Assuming that we have an edge signal \mathbf{x}_e , we would like to use GSP methods to process it. Given a graph \mathcal{G} , the Line Graph of \mathcal{G} is denoted as \mathcal{G}_{LG} . The adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G}_{LG} can be constructed using the (oriented) node-to-edge incidence matrix \mathbf{B}_1 :

$$\mathbf{A}_{LG} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} - 2 * \mathbf{I}, \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. In other words, to connect the nodes of \mathcal{G}_{LG} , an edge is placed between two nodes of \mathcal{G}_{LG} if their corresponding edges in \mathcal{E} are connected to the same node in \mathcal{G} . We can follow the definitions above to form the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L}_{LG} for the Line Graph.

The edge signals \mathbf{x}_e of \mathcal{G} can then be treated as the node signals \mathbf{x}_n of \mathcal{G}_{LG} . Assuming that the edge signals are noisy, we can model the noise by a few i.i.d. Gaussian distribution with zero mean $\omega[t]$. Missing node observations can be modeled using a masking matrix \mathbf{M} , where the i^{th} diagonal is an indicator of whether the i^{th} node is missing or

not. The signal on the nodes with missing value and noise at time t is then $\mathbf{y}[t] = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\omega}[t])$.

With the edges of \mathbf{G} mapped to nodes of \mathcal{G}_{LG} , we can proceed by processing the signal as node signals using GSP algorithms. Assuming that the signal of interest is a time-varying edge signal $\mathbf{x}_e[t]$, we can choose an adaptive GSP algorithm $f_{GSP}(\mathbf{x}_e[t])$ and feed $\mathbf{x}_e[t]$ in as input. In most cases, a graph shift operator is required in the GSP algorithm, which can be either the adjacency matrix or the graph Laplacian matrix [1]. In the LGNLMS, our selection of the GSP algorithm is the Graph Normalized LMS algorithm as it has good performance and fast convergence speed [2]. The update function of the GNLMS algorithm gives the next step prediction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n[t+1]$ based on the current step prediction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n[t]$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n[t+1] = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n[t] + \mu \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{F}}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{y}_n[t] - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n[t]), \quad (3)$$

where μ is the step size, $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{F}}^T \mathbf{D}_S \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}$ is the normalization matrix and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the short hand for $\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. We should emphasize that in LGNLMS because we are actually operating on the edge signals that are projected onto the Line Graph nodes, the node signal $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$ on \mathcal{G}_{LG} is equivalent to the edge signal of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_e$ on \mathcal{G} . This is in fact our main advantage in LGNLMS in which we are able to use well-developed GSP tools to process signals on the graph edges even though they were defined originally on the graph nodes.

In general, we are not constrained by only using the GNLMS. The choice of using GNLMS is attributed to its ability to form fast online estimation of time-varying node signals. The method of using the LGNLMS to process edge signals is summarized in this four-step procedure: 1. given \mathcal{G} , construct its Line Graph \mathcal{G}_{LG} using (2); 2. obtain the current signal observation $\mathbf{y}_e[t]$ on the graph edges; 3. Map edge signals $\mathbf{y}_e[t]$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_e[t]$ of \mathcal{G} to the nodes of \mathcal{G}_{LG} ; 4. Execute the GNLMS algorithm shown in (3).

III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

Our LGNLMS algorithm is tested on the Sioux Falls network (24 nodes and 38 edges), which is a traffic network based on real-world roads and the edges are the simulated traffic flows on roads. A visualization of this network with the time-varying traffic flows is shown in Figure 1. We added Gaussian noise and set 1/3 of the edges as missing. The LGNLMS is compared against the non-adaptive filtering in (1). The normalized MSE of the online prediction is measured at each time instance and is shown in Figure 2. Analyzing Figure 2, we can see that the LGNLMS predictions have significantly lowered the NMSE compared to the unfiltered missing observation and outperforming (1) at most time instances. This means that transforming the edge signals onto the nodes of the Line Graph can indeed give GSP algorithms the ability to process signals on the edges.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J. M. F. Moura, and Pierre Vandergheynst, "Graph signal processing: Overview, challenges, and applications," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 106, no. 5, pp. 808–828, 2018.




Fig. 1: A graph with time-varying signals on the edges.




Fig. 2: The NMSE of the Experiment.

[2] M. J. M. Spelta and W. A. Martins, "Normalized lms algorithm and data-selective strategies for adaptive graph signal estimation," *Signal Processing*, vol. 167, pp. 107326, 2020.

[3] Weiyu Huang, Thomas A. W. Bolton, John D. Medaglia, Danielle S. Bassett, Alejandro Ribeiro, and Dimitri Van De Ville, "A graph signal processing perspective on functional brain imaging," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 106, no. 5, pp. 868 – 885, 2018.

[4] B. Stabler, H. Bar-Gera, and E. Sall, "Transportation networks for research," 2016.

[5] M. T. Schaub and S. Segarra, "Flow smoothing and denoising: Graph signal processing in the edge-space," in *GlobalSIP*, 2018, pp. 735–739.

[6] M. T. Schaub, Austin R. Benson, P. Horn, G. Lippner, and A. Jadbabaie, "Random walks on simplicial complexes and the normalized hodge 1-laplacian," *SIAM Review*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 353–391, 2020.

[7] F. Krishnan, R. Money, B. Bekerull-Lozano, and E. Isufi, "Simplicial vector autoregressive model for streaming edge flows," in *ICASSP 2023 - 2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2023, pp. 1–5.

[8] P. D. Lorenzo, S. Barbarossa, P. Banelli, and S. Sardellitti, "Adaptive least mean squares estimation of graph signals," *IEEE Trans. Signal Inf. Process. Netw.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 555 – 568, 2016.

[9] Y. Yan, E. E. Kuruoglu, and M. A. Altinkaya, "Adaptive sign algorithm for graph signal processing," *Signal Processing*, vol. 200, pp. 108662, 2022.

[10] N. H. Nguyen, K. Doğançay, and W. Wang, "Adaptive estimation and sparse sampling for graph signals in alpha-stable noise," *Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 105, pp. 102782, 2020.

[11] Y. Yan, R. Adel, and E. E. Kuruoglu, "Graph normalized-lmp algorithm for signal estimation under impulsive noise," *J. Signal Process. Syst.*, 2022.