

Convex quadratic sets and the complexity of mixed integer convex quadratic programming*

Alberto Del Pia [†]

February 2, 2024

Abstract

In pure integer linear programming it is often desirable to work with polyhedra that are full-dimensional, and it is well known that it is possible to reduce any polyhedron to a full-dimensional one in polynomial time. More precisely, using the Hermite normal form, it is possible to map a non full-dimensional polyhedron to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving integer vectors. In this paper, we extend the above result simultaneously in two directions. First, we consider mixed integer vectors instead of integer vectors, by leveraging on the concept of “integer reflexive generalized inverse.” Second, we replace polyhedra with convex quadratic sets, which are sets obtained from polyhedra by enforcing one additional convex quadratic inequality. We study structural properties of convex quadratic sets, and utilize them to obtain polynomial time algorithms to recognize full-dimensional convex quadratic sets, and to find an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional convex quadratic set to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors. We showcase the applicability and the potential impact of these results by showing that they can be used to prove that mixed integer convex quadratic programming is fixed parameter tractable with parameter the number of integer variables. Our algorithm unifies and extends the known polynomial time solvability of pure integer convex quadratic programming in fixed dimension and of convex quadratic programming.

Key words: convex quadratic sets, mixed integer quadratic programming, FPT algorithm

1 Introduction

Polyhedra constitute one of the most fundamental geometric objects in mathematical optimization, especially in linear programming, integer programming, and polyhedral combinatorics. A desirable property of a polyhedron is being full-dimensional or, in other words, having positive volume. It is well known that this property can be checked in polynomial time. Furthermore, it is possible to find, in polynomial time, an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional polyhedron to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space. A map of this type can be found rather easily, for example by solving linear programming problems [5, 24]. This technique has been used in many algorithms in the literature to assume, without loss of generality, that a given polyhedron is full-dimensional.

*Supported by AFOSR grant FA9550-23-1-0433. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

[†]Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering & Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA. E-mail: delpia@wisc.edu.

A similar reduction is known also for pure integer linear sets. In fact, it is possible to find, in polynomial time, an affine function as the one discussed above, but with the additional property of preserving integer vectors. This result is heavily used in pure integer programming and, for example, plays a key role in most Lenstra-type algorithms (see, e.g., [19]). A map of this type can be obtained using a characterization of integer solutions to systems of linear equations, through the use of the Hermite normal form (see, e.g., [5, 24]).

To the best of our knowledge, no similar result is known in the mixed integer setting. In Section 2 we close this gap in the literature and extend the above results to mixed integer linear sets, by leveraging on the concept of “integer reflexive generalized inverse.” In particular, in Proposition 1 we give a polynomial time algorithm which constructs an affine function that maps the mixed integer solutions to a system of linear equations to all the mixed integer points in a lower-dimensional space. This result is then used to prove Theorem 1, which allows us to find in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional polyhedron to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors. The latter result is very versatile, and can be used in the design of polynomial time algorithms for mixed integer programming problems with linear inequality constraints to assume, without loss of generality, that the given polyhedron is full-dimensional.

A natural question is whether results similar to Theorem 1 can be obtained for convex sets that are more general than polyhedra. In particular, results of this type would yield reductions similar to the previous ones, but in the wider realm of mixed integer programming problems with convex inequality constraints. In turn, as we will showcase later, this would allow us to make use of a number of techniques that have been so far applied only to systems of linear constraints. In Section 3 we turn our attention to convex quadratic sets, which are sets obtained from polyhedra by enforcing one additional convex quadratic inequality. On the one hand, convex quadratic sets form one of the simplest and most natural extensions of polyhedra. On the other hand, these sets play a fundamental role in (mixed integer) quadratic programming (see, e.g., [11, 25]), and are linked with several other branches of optimization, including (mixed integer) second-order cone programming (see, e.g., [1, 14]), and cutting plane methods (see, e.g., [21]). In Lemmas 2 to 4 we categorize convex quadratic sets into three types and we obtain structural and algorithmic results for each type. These three lemmas allow us to give, in Proposition 2, a characterization of full-dimensional convex quadratic sets that yields a polynomial time algorithm to check full-dimensionality. These results are then used to prove our extension of Theorem 1 to convex quadratic sets. Namely, in Theorem 2, we show how we can find in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional convex quadratic set to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors.

The fundamental results obtained in Sections 2 and 3 are not only mathematically interesting in their own right, but also enrich the mathematical toolkit available to researchers for the design and analysis of algorithms for mixed integer linear and quadratic programming. In Section 4, we showcase the applicability and the potential impact of these results. In particular, we explain how these results can be used to revive Lenstra’s original approach for ellipsoid rounding presented in [19], in the context of mixed integer convex quadratic programming (MICQP), which is the problem of minimizing a convex quadratic function over the mixed integer points in a polyhedron. In turn, this allows us to design, in Theorem 3, an algorithm for MICQP that is fixed parameter tractable (FPT) with parameter the number of integer variables. This approach has the key advantage that it does not use the ellipsoid method as a subroutine. Theorem 3 implies that, when the number of integer variables is fixed (but the number of continuous variables is not), our algorithm is polynomial time. Our result unifies and extends the known polynomial time solvability of pure integer convex quadratic programming in fixed dimension [17] and of convex quadratic programming

[18]. Furthermore, it is tight, in the sense that MICQP is NP-hard if the number of integer variables are not fixed [24]. Our algorithm can also be used as a powerful subroutine for the design of algorithms with theoretical guarantees for more general mixed integer nonlinear programming problems. In particular, it is a necessary tool to generalize recent approximation algorithms for mixed integer nonconvex quadratic programming [7–10] beyond the fixed rank setting.

Our proof of Theorem 3 consists of three main building blocks: Proposition 3, Proposition 4, and Proposition 5. Proposition 3 is a result of independent interest: a Löwner-John-type algorithm for projected convex quadratic sets. Given a convex quadratic set \mathcal{Q} in \mathbb{R}^n that is bounded and full-dimensional, Proposition 3 gives an algorithm to construct two concentric ellipsoids in \mathbb{R}^p that sandwich the projection of \mathcal{Q} onto \mathbb{R}^p , and whose ratio is bounded by $4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3$. In Proposition 4, we show that there is an FPT algorithm with parameter the number of integer variables that solves the feasibility problem associated with Problem MICQP, that is, the problem of determining whether a convex quadratic set contains mixed integer points or not. In Proposition 5 we characterize when Problem MICQP is bounded and obtain an FPT algorithm to check it.

2 Systems of linear equations and polyhedra

The main objective of this section is to state and prove Theorem 1, which allows us to find in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional polyhedron to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors. Our first goal is to obtain, in polynomial time, an affine function that maps the set of mixed integer solutions to a system of linear equations to all the mixed integer vectors in a lower-dimensional space. To do so, we use the concept of reflexive generalized inverse. A *reflexive generalized inverse* of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a matrix denoted by $A^\# \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that $AA^\#A = A$ and $A^\#AA^\# = A^\#$. A reflexive generalized inverse of A is said to be *integer* if $A^\#A$ is integer, and it is denoted by $A_I^\#$. We show that an integer reflexive generalized inverse can be obtained in polynomial time.

Lemma 1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that finds an integer reflexive generalized inverse $A_I^\# \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times m}$ of A . The algorithm also finds a unimodular matrix $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ such that $A_I^\#A = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}$, where r is the rank of A .*

Proof. Let r be the rank of A , which can be computed in polynomial time with Gaussian elimination. By interchanging rows of A , we can move $r \leq m$ linearly independent rows in the first r rows. If we denote by W the unimodular matrix of the row interchanges, we have

$$WA = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}, \quad (1)$$

where $A_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{r \times n}$ has full row rank and $A_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{(m-r) \times n}$.

It follows from [15] that we can compute in polynomial time a unimodular matrix $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ such that $A_1U = [K_1 \ 0_{r \times (n-r)}]$, where $K_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{r \times r}$ is invertible. Since each row of A_2 is a linear combination of rows of A_1 , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} U = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ K_2 & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $K_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{(m-r) \times r}$. Let

$$K := \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ K_2 & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}.$$

Next, we show that an integer reflexive generalized inverse of K is

$$K_I^\# := \begin{bmatrix} K_1^{-1} & 0_{r \times m-r} \\ 0_{n-r \times r} & 0_{n-r \times m-r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_I^\# K &= \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{integer,} \\ K K_I^\# K &= \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ K_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = K, \\ K_I^\# K K_I^\# &= \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & 0_{r \times n-r} \\ K_2 & 0_{m-r \times n-r} \end{bmatrix} = K_I^\#. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that an integer reflexive generalized inverse of A is

$$A_I^\# := U K_I^\# W.$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain $A = W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = W^{-1} K U^{-1}$, and derive

$$\begin{aligned} A A_I^\# A &= W^{-1} K U^{-1} U K_I^\# W W^{-1} K U^{-1} = W^{-1} K K_I^\# K U^{-1} = W^{-1} K U^{-1} = A, \\ A_I^\# A A_I^\# &= U K_I^\# W W^{-1} K U^{-1} U K_I^\# W = U K_I^\# K K_I^\# W = U K_I^\# W = A_I^\#. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof, we write

$$A_I^\# A = U K_I^\# W W^{-1} K U^{-1} = U K_I^\# K U^{-1} = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}.$$

Since U and U^{-1} are integer, the matrix $A_I^\# A$ is integer as well. \square

2.1 Characterization of mixed integer solutions to systems of linear equations

We are now ready to present our polynomial time algorithm for the characterization of mixed integer solutions to systems of linear equations. Corresponding results in the pure integer setting can be found, for example, in textbooks [5, 24].

Proposition 1. *Let $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, and consider the sets*

$$\mathcal{A} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx = w\}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).$$

There is a polynomial time algorithm that checks whether \mathcal{S} is empty or not. Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n-p}$ so that $W = [A \ B]$ and let $n' := n - \text{rank}(W)$ and $p' := p - \text{rank}(W) + \text{rank}(B)$. If \mathcal{S} is nonempty, the algorithm finds a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$ with $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$ of full rank, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \tau(\mathbb{R}^{n'}) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \tau(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if an equality of the form $d^\top x = \beta$ with $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ is valid for \mathcal{A} , then $p' \leq p - 1$.

Proof. Let $q := n - p$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^q$ so that $x = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$. We can then write the set \mathcal{S} in the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q : Ay + Bz = w \right\}.$$

Let $C := (I_m - BB^\#)A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ and $d := (I_m - BB^\#)w \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Theorem 1 in [3] states that the system

$$Ay + Bz = w, \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^q. \quad (3)$$

has a solution if and only if (i) $C_I^\# d$ is integer, and (ii) $CC_I^\# d = d$. Furthermore, if there exists a solution then the general solution is

$$\begin{aligned} y &= C_I^\# d + (I_p - C_I^\# C) y', \\ z &= B^\# w - B^\# AC_I^\# d - B^\# A (I_p - C_I^\# C) y' + (I_q - B^\# B) z', \\ &\text{where } y' \in \mathbb{Z}^p \text{ and } z' \in \mathbb{R}^q. \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 1 to compute $B^\#$, so that we can construct C and d . We use again Lemma 1 to compute $C_I^\#$. We can then check whether the system (3) has a solution by checking (i) and (ii). If the system has no solutions, then \mathcal{S} is empty and we are done, so assume now that there exists a solution. Lemma 1 also finds a unimodular matrix $U_B \in \mathbb{Q}^{q \times q}$ such that $B^\# B = U_B \begin{bmatrix} I_{r_B} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U_B^{-1}$, where r_B is the rank of B , and a unimodular matrix $U_C \in \mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$ such that $C_I^\# C = U_C \begin{bmatrix} I_{r_C} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U_C^{-1}$, where r_C is the rank of C . In the formula for a general solution, we replace $B^\# B$ and $C_I^\# C$ as above, and we replace I_q and I_p with $U_B U_B^{-1}$ and $U_C U_C^{-1}$, respectively. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y &= C_I^\# d + U_C \left(I_p - \begin{bmatrix} I_{r_C} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U_C^{-1} y' \\ &= C_I^\# d + U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} U_C^{-1} y', \\ z &= B^\# w - B^\# AC_I^\# d - B^\# AU_C \left(I_p - \begin{bmatrix} I_{r_C} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U_C^{-1} y' + U_B \left(I_q - \begin{bmatrix} I_{r_B} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U_B^{-1} z' \\ &= B^\# w - B^\# AC_I^\# d - B^\# AU_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} U_C^{-1} y' + U_B \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{q-r_B} \end{bmatrix} U_B^{-1} z', \\ &\text{where } y' \in \mathbb{Z}^p \text{ and } z' \in \mathbb{R}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Since U_B^{-1} is invertible, we have $\{U_B^{-1} z' : z' \in \mathbb{R}^q\} = \mathbb{R}^q$, and since U_C^{-1} is unimodular, we have $\{U_C^{-1} y' : y' \in \mathbb{Z}^p\} = \mathbb{Z}^p$. Thus the general solution is

$$\begin{aligned} y &= C_I^\# d + U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} y', \\ z &= B^\# w - B^\# AC_I^\# d - B^\# AU_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} y' + U_B \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{q-r_B} \end{bmatrix} z', \\ &\text{where } y' \in \mathbb{Z}^p \text{ and } z' \in \mathbb{R}^q. \end{aligned}$$

We can now discard the first r_C components of y' and the first r_B components of z' and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y &= C_I^\# d + U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} y', \\ z &= B^\# w - B^\# A C_I^\# d - B^\# A U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix} y' + U_B \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{q-r_B} \end{bmatrix} z', \\ &\text{where } y' \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-r_C} \text{ and } z' \in \mathbb{R}^{q-r_B}. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} p' &:= p - r_C, & q' &:= q - r_B, & \bar{y} &:= C_I^\# d, & \bar{z} &:= B^\# w - B^\# A C_I^\# d, \\ R &:= U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix}, & S &:= -B^\# A U_C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{p-r_C} \end{bmatrix}, & T &:= U_B \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -I_{q-r_B} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \bar{y} + R z', \\ z &= \bar{z} + S z' + T z', \\ &\text{where } y' \in \mathbb{Z}^{p'} \text{ and } z' \in \mathbb{R}^{q'}. \end{aligned}$$

We can then write \mathcal{S} in the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \bar{x} + M x' : x' \in \mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'} \right\} = \tau \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'} \right),$$

where

$$n' := p' + q', \quad \bar{x} := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M := \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ S & T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that R, T have full column rank, thus so does M . To see that

$$\mathcal{A} = \tau \left(\mathbb{R}^{n'} \right),$$

it suffices to observe that $\text{span}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{span} \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'} \right) = \mathbb{R}^{n'}$.

Next, we characterize p', n' . Denote by r_W the rank of W . Since $W = [A \ B]$, it is well known (see, e.g., theorem 4.1 in [20]) that

$$r_W = r_B + \text{rank} \left(\left(I_m - B B^\# \right) A \right) = r_B + r_C.$$

Hence, $p' = p - r_C = p - r_W + r_B$ and $n' = p' + q' = p - r_W + r_B + q - r_B = n - r_W$.

We now prove the last sentence of the statement. Assume that an equality of the form $d^\top x = \beta$ with $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ is valid for \mathcal{A} . Let $W^1 x = w^1$ be obtained from $W x = w$ by iteratively discarding one linearly dependent equality at the time. Clearly, $W^1 x = w^1$ is a system of linearly independent equalities and $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : W^1 x = w^1\} = \mathcal{A}$. Next, we construct a system of linearly independent equalities, that we denote by $W^2 x = w^2$, such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : W^2 x = w^2\} = \mathcal{A}$ and such that the equality $d^\top x = \beta$ is in the system $W^2 x = w^2$. If $d^\top x = \beta$ is in the system $W^1 x = w^1$, then we define $W^2 := W^1$, $w^2 := w^1$ and we are done. Otherwise, d^\top is a linear combination of the rows of W^1 . Let $d'^\top x = \beta'$ be an equality in $W^1 x = w^1$ such that the row d'^\top of W^1 has a nonzero coefficient in such linear combination. Let $W^2 x = w^2$ be obtained by replacing, in the system $W^1 x = w^1$, the equality $d'^\top x = \beta'$ with $d^\top x = \beta$. We then have $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : W^2 x = w^2\} = \mathcal{A}$ and $d^\top x = \beta$ is in the system $W^2 x = w^2$. Let A^2, B^2 so that $W^2 = [A^2 \ B^2]$. Since W^2 has full row rank and B^2 has a row of zeros, we have $\text{rank}(B^2) \leq \text{rank}(W^2) - 1$. It is simple to check that $\text{rank}(W^2) = \text{rank}(W)$ and $\text{rank}(B^2) = \text{rank}(B)$. We then obtain $\text{rank}(B) \leq \text{rank}(W) - 1$, thus $p' = p - \text{rank}(W) + \text{rank}(B) \leq p - 1$. \square

2.2 Reduction to full-dimensional polyhedra

We are now ready to present the main result of this section: In Theorem 1 below, we employ Proposition 1 to give an algorithm that finds in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional polyhedron to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors. Note that it is not hard to design recursive algorithms to achieve the same goal, where one dimension is eliminated at each iteration (see, e.g., [10]). While this is a sound approach in fixed dimension, it may not result in a polynomial time algorithm in general dimension, since applying this reduction recursively we might get numbers whose size is exponential in the size of the numbers in the original inequalities. In this paper, the *size* (also known as *bit size*, or *length*) of rational numbers, vectors, matrices, constraints, and optimization problems, denoted by $\text{size}(\cdot)$, is the standard one in mathematical programming (see, e.g., [5, 24]), and is essentially the number of bits required to encode such objects.

Theorem 1. *Let $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, and consider the sets*

$$\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).$$

There is a polynomial time algorithm that either returns that \mathcal{S} is empty, or finds $p' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, $n' \in \{p', p' + 1, \dots, p' + n - p\}$, a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$, with $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$ of full rank, such that the polyhedron

$$\mathcal{P}' := \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'} : WMx' \leq w - W\bar{x}\}$$

is full-dimensional, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \tau(\mathcal{P}') \\ \mathcal{S} &= \tau\left(\mathcal{P}' \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if an equality of the form $d^\top x = \beta$ with $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ is valid for \mathcal{P} , then $p' \leq p - 1$.

Proof. An inequality $d^\top x \leq \beta$ from $Wx \leq w$ is called an *implicit equality* (in $Wx \leq w$) if $d^\top x = \beta$ for all x satisfying $Wx \leq w$. Denote by $W^\ominus x \leq w^\ominus$ the system of implicit equalities in $Wx \leq w$, and by $W^+ x \leq w^+$ the system of all other inequalities in $Wx \leq w$. It is well known that we can find in polynomial time the system $W^\ominus x \leq w^\ominus$, for example by solving a linear programming problem for each inequality. If no inequality from $Wx \leq w$ is an implicit equality in $Wx \leq w$, then we define $M := I_n$ and $\bar{x} = 0$ and we are done, thus we now assume that the system $W^\ominus x \leq w^\ominus$ contains at least one inequality. We apply Proposition 1 to the set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : W^\ominus x = w^\ominus\}.$$

If Proposition 1 returns that $\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{S} is empty and we are done. Otherwise, Proposition 1 returns that $\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n-p}$ so that $W^\ominus = [A \ B]$ and let $n' := n - \text{rank}(W^\ominus)$ and $p' := p - \text{rank}(W^\ominus) + \text{rank}(B)$. Proposition 1 also finds a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$ with $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$ of full rank, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \tau\left(\mathbb{R}^{n'}\right) \\ \mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) &= \tau\left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$, the change of variables $x = \bar{x} + Mx'$ in the description of \mathcal{P} yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \tau(\mathcal{P}') \\ \mathcal{S} &= \tau\left(\mathcal{P}' \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{P} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, the same change of variables gives

$$\mathcal{P} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\} = \tau\left(\mathcal{P}' \cap \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'} : x'^\top H'x' + h'^\top x' \leq \eta'\}\right).$$

It is well known that there is a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $W^-x = w^-$, $W^+x < w^+$ (see, e.g., [24]), thus \mathcal{P}' is full-dimensional.

If we assume that an equality of the form $d^\top x = \beta$ with $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ is valid for \mathcal{P} , then it is also valid for \mathcal{A} , and Proposition 1 implies $p' \leq p - 1$. \square

3 Convex Quadratic Sets

In this section we study convex quadratic sets. A *convex quadratic set* is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\},$$

where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in PSD^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. The main objective is to present and prove Theorem 2, which allows us to find in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional convex quadratic set to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors.

3.1 Characterization of full-dimensional convex quadratic sets

The first goal of this section is to categorize convex quadratic sets into three types, and to obtain structural and algorithmic results for each type. These types of convex quadratic sets are considered separately in Lemmas 2 to 4 below.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a convex quadratic set*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\},$$

where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in PSD^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Assume

$$\bar{\eta} := \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w\} = \eta$$

$$\tilde{\eta} := \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \eta.$$

Then \mathcal{Q} is nonempty and not full-dimensional. Furthermore, there is a polynomial time algorithm that finds an affine subspace \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\} \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The assumption $\bar{\eta} = \eta$ implies that \mathcal{Q} is nonempty. Let $q(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$. Note that H is nonzero since $\tilde{\eta} = \eta$ is finite. The set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q(x) = \tilde{\eta}\}$ is then the set of minima of the convex function $q(x)$ over \mathbb{R}^n . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q(x) \leq \eta\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q(x) = \tilde{\eta}\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla q(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2Hx + h = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

The statement follows by defining $\mathcal{A} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2Hx + h = 0\}$. The set \mathcal{Q} is not full-dimensional, since it is contained in \mathcal{A} . \square

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a convex quadratic set*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\},$$

where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Assume $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$ is full-dimensional and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\eta} &:= \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w\} = \eta \\ \tilde{\eta} &:= \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} < \eta.\end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{Q} is nonempty and not full-dimensional. Furthermore, there is a polynomial time algorithm that finds a proper face \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P} (obtained from the description of \mathcal{P} by setting some inequality constraints to equality) such that \mathcal{Q} is contained in \mathcal{F} .

Proof. The assumption $\bar{\eta} = \eta$ implies that \mathcal{Q} is nonempty. Let $q(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$. First, we show how that we can find a supporting hyperplane \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{P} that contains \mathcal{Q} . If $H = 0$, then we can simply set $\mathcal{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h^\top x = \eta\}$, thus we now assume H nonzero. Since $\bar{\eta}$ is finite, the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm finds a vector $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $q(\bar{x}) = \bar{\eta}$. The hyperplane tangent to $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q(x) \leq \eta\}$ in \bar{x} is

$$\mathcal{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla q(\bar{x})^\top (x - \bar{x}) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (2H\bar{x})^\top x = (2H\bar{x})^\top \bar{x}\}.$$

Clearly, the inequality $(2H\bar{x})^\top x \leq (2H\bar{x})^\top \bar{x}$ is valid for $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q(x) \leq \eta\}$. On the other hand, since $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P}$, $\tilde{\eta} < \eta$, and \mathcal{P} is convex, $(2H\bar{x})^\top x \geq (2H\bar{x})^\top \bar{x}$ is valid for \mathcal{P} . Therefore, \mathcal{Q} is contained in \mathcal{H} .

We define the face \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P} in the statement as

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H}.$$

Since \mathcal{P} is full-dimensional, \mathcal{F} is a proper face of \mathcal{P} . It is well known that a description of \mathcal{F} can be obtained from the description of \mathcal{P} by setting some inequality constraints to equality (see theorem 3.24 in [5]). These inequalities can be identified by solving linear programming problems on \mathcal{F} . \square

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a convex quadratic set*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\},$$

where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Assume $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$ is full-dimensional and

$$\bar{\eta} := \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w\} < \eta.$$

Then \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional. Furthermore, there is a polynomial time algorithm that finds a full-dimensional polytope contained in \mathcal{Q} .

Proof. Let $q(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$. First, we claim that there is a polynomial time algorithm that finds $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $q(\bar{x}) < \eta$. If $\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{Q}$, we let \bar{x} be an optimal solution to $\min\{q(x) : x \in \mathcal{P}\}$ found by the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm and we have $q(\bar{x}) = \bar{\eta} < \eta$. Thus, we now assume $\bar{\eta} = -\infty$. Consider the convex quadratic set $\mathcal{Q}' := \{x \in \mathcal{P} : x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta - 1\}$. We can assume without loss of generality that the data defining \mathcal{Q}' is integer. This can be done by scaling, at the expense of multiplying the size of the system defining \mathcal{Q}' by n^2 (see, e.g., remark 1.1 in [5]). Denote

by s the size of the obtained system with integer data defining \mathcal{Q}' . Since $\bar{\eta} = -\infty$, the set \mathcal{Q}' is nonempty, and it follows from theorem 1 in [17] that there exists $x^* \in \mathcal{Q}'$ that satisfies

$$\|x^*\|_2 \leq (2n2^s)^{2^4n} \leq (2s2^s)^{2^4s} \leq (2^{2s})^{2^4s} = 2^{2^5s^2}.$$

Therefore, x^* satisfies the $2n$ inequalities $-2^{2^5s^2} \leq x_i \leq 2^{2^5s^2}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We solve, with the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm, the convex quadratic programming problem

$$\min \left\{ q(x) : x \in \mathcal{P}, -2^{2^5s^2} \leq x_i \leq 2^{2^5s^2}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Since the feasible region is bounded, the algorithm returns an optimal solution, which we denote by \bar{x} . We then have $q(\bar{x}) \leq \eta - 1 < \eta$. This concludes the proof of our claim that there is a polynomial time algorithm that finds $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $q(\bar{x}) < \eta$.

We define $\alpha := 2^{\text{size}(H,h)}$ and $\beta := 2^{\text{size}(\bar{x})} + 1$ and we apply a classic result on Lipschitz continuity of a polynomial on a box, which is lemma 3.1 in [2] (with $d := 2$, $H := \alpha$, $M := \beta$). We obtain

$$|q(y) - q(z)| \leq 2\alpha\beta n(n+2)\|y - z\|_\infty \quad \forall y, z \in [-\beta, \beta]^n. \quad (5)$$

Now define $\delta := \min\{1, (\eta - \bar{\eta})/(2\alpha\beta n(n+2))\}$ and

$$\mathcal{C} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \delta\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \bar{x} - \delta \leq x \leq \bar{x} + \delta\}.$$

Note that the size of δ is polynomial in the size of W, w, H, h, η . Clearly $\bar{x} \in [-2^{\text{size}(\bar{x})}, 2^{\text{size}(\bar{x})}]^n \subseteq [-\beta, \beta]^n$. Furthermore, since $\delta \leq 1$, we have $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [-\beta, \beta]^n$. From (5) we then obtain

$$|q(x) - q(\bar{x})| \leq 2\alpha\beta n(n+2)\|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Hence, for every $x \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$q(x) - q(\bar{x}) \leq |q(x) - q(\bar{x})| \leq 2\alpha\beta n(n+2)\|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq 2\alpha\beta n(n+2)\delta \leq \eta - \bar{\eta},$$

where in the last inequality we used the definition of δ . We have thereby shown that $q(x) \leq \eta$ holds for every $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Consider now the polytope $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{C}$, which is contained in \mathcal{Q} . Since \mathcal{P} is full-dimensional and $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P}$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\mathcal{P} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon\}$ is full-dimensional, thus so is $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{C}$. \square

Leveraging on Lemmas 2 to 4, we can now give a characterization of full-dimensional convex quadratic sets that can be checked in polynomial time.

Proposition 2. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a convex quadratic set*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\},$$

where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional if and only if $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$ is full-dimensional and

$$\min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w\} < \eta.$$

Furthermore, there is a polynomial time algorithm that detects whether \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional or not.

Proof. If \mathcal{P} is not full-dimensional, then clearly \mathcal{Q} is not full-dimensional. Thus, in the remainder of the proof, we assume that \mathcal{P} is full-dimensional. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\eta} &:= \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w\}, \\ \tilde{\eta} &:= \min\{x^\top Hx + h^\top x : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\bar{\eta} < \eta$, Lemma 4 implies that \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional. If $\bar{\eta} > \eta$, then \mathcal{Q} is the emptyset, thus we now assume $\bar{\eta} = \eta$. Clearly, $\tilde{\eta} \leq \bar{\eta} = \eta$. Then Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that \mathcal{Q} is not full-dimensional (Lemma 2 in the case $\tilde{\eta} = \eta$ and Lemma 3 in the case $\tilde{\eta} < \eta$).

To see that there is a polynomial time algorithm that detects whether \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional or not, it suffices to observe that: (i) there is a polynomial time algorithm that detects whether \mathcal{P} is full-dimensional or not (see, e.g., [24]); (ii) $\bar{\eta}$ can be found with the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm [18]. \square

3.2 Reduction to full-dimensional convex quadratic sets

In the next result, we provide our extension of Theorem 1 to convex quadratic sets. Namely, we show that we can find in polynomial time an affine function that maps a non full-dimensional convex quadratic set to a full-dimensional isomorphic one in a lower-dimensional space, while preserving mixed integer vectors. To prove this result we use Theorem 1 and Lemmas 2 to 4.

Theorem 2. *Let $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in PSD^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$, $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, and consider the sets*

$$\mathcal{Q} := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\right\}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).$$

There is a polynomial time algorithm that either returns that \mathcal{S} is empty, or finds $p' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, $n' \in \{p', p' + 1, \dots, p' + n - p\}$, a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$, with $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$ of full rank, such that, if we define $W' := WM \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n'}$, $w' := w - W\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H' := M^\top HM \in PSD^{n'}(\mathbb{Q})$, $h' := 2M^\top H\bar{x} + M^\top h \in \mathbb{Q}^{n'}$, $\eta' := \eta - \bar{x}^\top H\bar{x} + h^\top \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Q}$, the convex quadratic set

$$\mathcal{Q}' := \left\{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'} : W'x' \leq w', x'^\top H'x' + h'^\top x' \leq \eta'\right\}$$

is full-dimensional, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q} &= \tau(\mathcal{Q}') \\ \mathcal{S} &= \tau\left(\mathcal{Q}' \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $H = 0$, the result follows by applying Theorem 1 to the polyhedron $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, h^\top x \leq \eta\}$, thus we assume H nonzero.

The algorithm that we present is recursive. Each iteration starts with a face \mathcal{F} of the polyhedron

$$\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{x \in \mathcal{F} : x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\right\}.$$

In the first iteration we have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}$, and in each iteration the dimension of \mathcal{F} strictly decreases. The algebraic description of \mathcal{F} is obtained from $Wx \leq w$ by setting some inequality constraints to equality. Next, we describe an iteration of the algorithm.

First, we apply Theorem 1 to \mathcal{F} , in order to consider an “equivalent” full-dimensional \mathcal{F}° . We detail this reduction. If Theorem 1 returns that $\mathcal{F} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is empty, then \mathcal{S} is empty and we are done. Otherwise, Theorem 1 finds p° , n° , and an affine map $\tau^\circ : \mathbb{R}^{n^\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the preimage \mathcal{F}° of \mathcal{F} is full-dimensional, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= \tau^\circ(\mathcal{F}^\circ) \\ \mathcal{F} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) &= \tau^\circ\left(\mathcal{F}^\circ \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p^\circ} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^\circ-p^\circ}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $H^\circ \in \mathbb{Q}^{n^\circ \times n^\circ}$, $h^\circ \in \mathbb{Q}^{n^\circ}$, $\eta^\circ \in \mathbb{Q}$, and

$$\mathcal{Q}^\circ := \left\{x^\circ \in \mathcal{F}^\circ : x^{\circ\top} H^\circ x^\circ + h^{\circ\top} x^\circ \leq \eta^\circ\right\},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{Q} = \tau^\circ(\mathcal{Q}^\circ).$$

Clearly, we also have

$$\mathcal{S} = \tau^\circ\left(\mathcal{Q}^\circ \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p^\circ} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^\circ-p^\circ}\right)\right).$$

For ease of notation, let $q^\circ(x^\circ) := x^{\circ\top} H^\circ x^\circ + h^{\circ\top} x^\circ$. Solve the convex quadratic programming problem

$$\bar{\eta} := \min\{q^\circ(x^\circ) : x^\circ \in \mathcal{F}^\circ\}$$

with the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm [18] and note that $\bar{\eta} \in \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$. If $\bar{\eta} > \eta$, then $\mathcal{Q}^\circ = \emptyset$, hence $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ and we are done. If $\bar{\eta} < \eta$, then Lemma 4 implies that \mathcal{Q}° is full-dimensional. Then we define $\tau := \tau^\circ$ and we are done. Thus in the remainder of the iteration we assume $\bar{\eta} = \eta$.

Next, solve the convex quadratic programming problem

$$\tilde{\eta} := \min\{q^\circ(x^\circ) : x^\circ \in \mathbb{R}^{n^\circ}\}$$

with the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm, and note that $\tilde{\eta} \in \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$. Clearly, $\tilde{\eta} \leq \eta$. In the case $\tilde{\eta} = \eta$ we employ Lemma 2 and obtain an affine subspace \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R}^{n° such that $\mathcal{Q}^\circ = \mathcal{F}^\circ \cap \mathcal{A}$. We then apply Theorem 1 to the polyhedron \mathcal{Q}° . If Theorem 1 returns that $\mathcal{Q}^\circ \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{p^\circ} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^\circ-p^\circ})$ is empty, then \mathcal{S} is empty and we are done. Otherwise, Theorem 1 finds an affine map τ^\bullet . We then return the affine map $\tau := \tau^\circ \circ \tau^\bullet$ and we are done. Hence, we now consider the case $\tilde{\eta} < \eta$. We employ Lemma 3 and find a proper face \mathcal{G}° of \mathcal{F}° (obtained from the description of \mathcal{F}° by setting some inequality constraints to equality) that contains \mathcal{Q}° . Note that $\tau^\circ(\mathcal{G}^\circ)$ is a proper face of \mathcal{F} , that we denote by \mathcal{G} . Since faces of faces of a polyhedron are again faces of a polyhedron, \mathcal{G} is also a face of \mathcal{P} . We then recursively apply the algorithm described so far to the face \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{P} .

At each iteration, the dimension of the face of \mathcal{P} considered decreases by at least one, thus the algorithm performs a polynomial number of arithmetic operations. The size of the numbers constructed by the algorithm is also polynomially bounded. This is because each iteration starts with a face of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} , which can be obtained from its description by setting some inequality constraints to equality. \square

4 Complexity of Mixed Integer Convex Quadratic Programming

In this section, we showcase the applicability and the potential impact of the fundamental results obtained in Sections 2 and 3. We explain how these results can be used to revive Lenstra’s original approach for ellipsoid rounding presented in [19]. In turn, this allows us to design an algorithm for mixed integer convex quadratic programming that is FPT with parameter the number of integer variables. This approach has the key advantage that it does not use the ellipsoid method as a subroutine.

A *mixed integer convex quadratic programming* (MICQP) problem is defined as an optimization problem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{MICQP}$$

Here $H \in PSD^n(\mathbb{Q})$, which is the set of symmetric positive semidefinite matrices in $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, and $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Following [18], we say that an algorithm *accurately solves* Problem MICQP if:

1. **(Feasibility)** The algorithm determines whether Problem MICQP is *feasible or infeasible*, i.e., if the *feasible region* $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} : Wx \leq w\}$ is empty or nonempty;
2. **(Boundedness)** In case Problem MICQP is feasible, the algorithm establishes whether the problem is *bounded or unbounded*, i.e., if the objective function is bounded or unbounded on the feasible region;
3. **(Optimality)** If Problem MICQP is feasible and bounded, the algorithm finds its minimal value and an *optimal solution*, i.e., a point in the feasible region where the minimum is attained.

It is fundamental to observe that designing an algorithm that accurately solves Problem MICQP can be significantly more demanding than designing an algorithm that *approximately* solves Problem MICQP, which is often the objective when considering convex optimization problems. We are now ready to state our complexity result for MICQP.

Theorem 3. *There is an algorithm that accurately solves Problem MICQP, which is FPT with parameter p .*

4.1 Löwner-John-type algorithm

The first ingredient to prove Theorem 3 is a Löwner-John-type algorithm for projected convex quadratic sets, which is of independent interest. Given a convex quadratic set \mathcal{Q} in \mathbb{R}^n that is bounded and full-dimensional, this algorithm constructs two concentric ellipsoids in \mathbb{R}^p that sandwich the projection of \mathcal{Q} onto \mathbb{R}^p . The ratio between the ellipsoids depends only on the dimension p of the subspace rather than the dimension n of the space. Our proof is based on the technique introduced by Lenstra for mixed integer linear programming [19] and makes use of the structural and algorithmic results obtained in Section 3. The key difficulty in extending Lenstra’s technique lies in the fact that we are not able to minimize a linear function over \mathcal{Q} in polynomial time. This is not surprising, given that this problem can have only irrational optimal solutions. We overcome this obstacle in two different ways, depending on our goals: i) in Claim 1 we employ Proposition 2 and Lemma 4 to find a polytope \mathcal{F} contained in \mathcal{Q} and then minimize over \mathcal{F} instead of over \mathcal{Q} ; ii) in Claim 2 we solve, instead, only feasibility problems over convex quadratic sets, which can be done with the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm [18].

We now introduce some notation which is needed to state this result. A *ball* in \mathbb{R}^n with center $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and positive radius $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{B}^n(a, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - a\|_2 \leq r\}.$$

Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\text{proj}_p(v)$ the subvector of v containing only the first p components. Given a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{S})$ the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{S} onto the space \mathbb{R}^p of the first p variables, i.e., $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{S}) = \{\text{proj}_p(v) : v \in \mathcal{S}\}$. We also note that we will be using the number $\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil$, for a positive integer p . It is easy to see that such number can be computed, using binary search, in time polynomial in $\log(p)$. We are now ready to present our Löwner-John-type result.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex quadratic set that is bounded and full-dimensional, and let $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. There is a polynomial time algorithm which finds a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ of the form $\tau(y) = By$ with B invertible in $\mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$, a vector $a \in \mathbb{Q}^p$, and positive numbers $r, R \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $R/r \leq 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3$ such that*

$$\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \subseteq \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(a, R).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\}$, where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Claim 1. *There is a polynomial time algorithm which finds affinely independent vectors $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof of claim. Since \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional, using Proposition 2 and Lemma 4, we find a full-dimensional polytope \mathcal{F} contained in \mathcal{Q} . To prove the claim, it suffices to find vectors v^0, v^1, \dots, v^p in \mathcal{F} such that $\tilde{v}^0 := \text{proj}_p(v^0), \tilde{v}^1 := \text{proj}_p(v^1), \dots, \tilde{v}^p := \text{proj}_p(v^p)$ are affinely independent. This can be done as follows.

The first vector v^0 in \mathcal{F} can be found by minimizing an arbitrary linear function over \mathcal{F} , with Khachiyan's algorithm [16]. Suppose now that we have found vectors v^0, v^1, \dots, v^t in \mathcal{F} , with $t < p$ such that $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^t$ are affinely independent. We construct $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{Q}^p$ orthogonal to the affine hull of $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^t$ and we define $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ as $c_j := \tilde{c}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and $c_j := 0$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$. We solve the two linear programming problems in \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$\min\{c^\top x : x \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad \max\{c^\top x : x \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is bounded and full-dimensional, one of the two optimal solutions found must be a vector v^{t+1} in \mathcal{F} for which $c^\top v^{t+1} \neq c^\top v^0$. Then $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^t, \tilde{v}^{t+1}$ are affinely independent. After p steps we have found vectors v^0, v^1, \dots, v^p in \mathcal{F} such that $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ are affinely independent. \diamond

Claim 2. *There is a polynomial time algorithm which finds vectors $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$ whose convex hull is given by inequalities $\tilde{c}^i{}^\top y \leq d_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, such that, for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}^i{}^\top \tilde{v}^i &< \tilde{c}^i{}^\top \tilde{v}^j = d_i \\ |d_i - \tilde{c}^i{}^\top y| &\leq \frac{3}{2}(d_i - \tilde{c}^i{}^\top \tilde{v}^i) \quad \forall y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of claim. Denote by \mathcal{S}_0 the simplex in \mathbb{R}^p with vertices $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ obtained in Claim 1. Clearly, \mathcal{S}_0 is contained in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$. Starting from \mathcal{S}_0 , we iteratively apply the following procedure to generate a larger simplex in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$.

Iteration t of the procedure. Let \mathcal{S}_{t-1} be the simplex in \mathbb{R}^p with vertices $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$. We construct an inequality description $\tilde{c}^{i\top} y \leq d_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, of \mathcal{S}_{t-1} . Without loss of generality we assume that, for every $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have $\tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i < \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^j = d_i$. For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, we define $c^i \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ as $c_j^i := \tilde{c}_j^i$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and $c_j^i := 0$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$, and we solve the two feasibility problems in \mathbb{R}^n over the two sets

$$\left\{ x \in \mathcal{Q} : c^{i\top} x \leq d_i - \frac{3}{2}(d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i) \right\}, \quad \left\{ x \in \mathcal{Q} : c^{i\top} x \geq d_i + \frac{3}{2}(d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Note that each such feasibility problem is over a convex quadratic set, and therefore it can be solved using the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm, by minimizing the convex quadratic function over the linear constraints.

If none of the problems (6) is feasible, the procedure terminates. Otherwise, at least one of the problems (6) is feasible, we let $v^{i'}$ be a feasible point found, and we set $\tilde{v}^{i'} := \text{proj}_p(v^{i'})$. Then, we define \mathcal{S}_t to be the simplex in \mathbb{R}^p obtained from \mathcal{S}_{t-1} by replacing \tilde{v}^i with $\tilde{v}^{i'}$, i.e., the simplex with vertices $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^{i-1}, \tilde{v}^{i'}, \tilde{v}^{i+1}, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$. Clearly, \mathcal{S}_t is contained in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$. This concludes the description of iteration t of the procedure.

Termination of the procedure. The above procedure terminates after a polynomially bounded number of iterations. This is because of the following three facts:

Fact 1: $\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ is positive and its size is polynomial in $\text{size}(H, h, W, w, \eta)$. To show this fact, denote by $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ the vertices of \mathcal{S}_0 obtained in Claim 1. The size of each vector $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ is polynomial in $\text{size}(H, h, W, w, \eta)$, and $\delta = |\det M|/p!$ where M is the matrix with columns $\tilde{v}^1 - \tilde{v}^0, \dots, \tilde{v}^p - \tilde{v}^0$.

Fact 2: For each positive integer t , we have

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_t) \geq (3/2)^t \text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0).$$

We now show this fact. From the definition of the sets (6), we obtain the following lower bound on the volume increase from \mathcal{S}_{t-1} to \mathcal{S}_t :

$$\frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_t)}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_{t-1})} \geq \frac{|d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^{i'}|}{d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i} = \frac{|d_i - c^{i\top} v^{i'}|}{d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i} \geq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_t) \geq 3/2 \text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_{t-1}) \geq (3/2)^t \text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0).$$

Fact 3: There exists Δ , whose size is polynomial in $\text{size}(H, h, W, w, \eta)$, such that, for every positive integer t , we have $\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_t) \leq \Delta$. We now show this fact. For every $i = 1, \dots, p$, consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x_i \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \\ & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta. \end{aligned}$$

By scaling, we can assume without loss of generality that the data in this optimization problem is integer, and we denote by s the size of the obtained problem. It follows from theorem 2 in [17] that this problem has an optimal solution x^* that satisfies

$$\|x^*\|_2 \leq (2n2^s)^{2^s n} \leq (2s2^s)^{2^s s} \leq (2^{2s})^{2^s s} = 2^{2^9 s^2}.$$

Therefore, the set $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$ satisfies the inequalities $-2^{2^9 s^2} \leq y_i \leq 2^{2^9 s^2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The fact then follows by observing that $\mathcal{S}_t \subseteq \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$ for every positive integer t .

From Facts 1 to 3 we obtain, for each positive integer t ,

$$\frac{\Delta}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0)} \geq \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_t)}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0)} \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^t,$$

thus the procedure terminates before iteration $t^* = \lceil \log_{3/2}(\Delta/\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}_0)) \rceil$, which is polynomially bounded. From (6), at termination we reach a situation in which, for all $x \in \mathcal{Q}$ and all $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$|d_i - c^{i\top} x| \leq \frac{3}{2}(d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i).$$

Therefore, for all $y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$ and all $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$|d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} y| \leq \frac{3}{2}(d_i - \tilde{c}^{i\top} \tilde{v}^i).$$

◇

Let $\tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^p$ be the vectors in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$ found by Claim 2. We define the map $\tilde{\tau} : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ as

$$\tilde{\tau}(y) := \tilde{M}^{-1}(y - \tilde{v}^0),$$

where $\tilde{M} \in \mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$ is the invertible matrix with columns $\tilde{v}^1 - \tilde{v}^0, \tilde{v}^2 - \tilde{v}^0, \dots, \tilde{v}^p - \tilde{v}^0$. Define

$$\tilde{a} := \frac{\tilde{e}}{p + \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil} \in \mathbb{Q}^p$$

$$r := \frac{1}{p + \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$R := 2\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where \tilde{e} denotes the vector in \mathbb{R}^p with all entries equal to one. Note that

$$\frac{R}{r} = 2\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil(p + \lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil) \leq 2\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 + 2\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^2 \leq 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3.$$

Claim 3. *We have*

$$\mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, r) \subseteq \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, R).$$

Proof of claim. Note that $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{v}^0) = 0$ and that $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{v}^i) = \tilde{e}^i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where we denote by $\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^2, \dots, \tilde{e}^p$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^p .

We now show $\mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, r) \subseteq \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}))$. To prove it, we denote by \mathcal{S} the simplex in \mathbb{R}^p defined by $\mathcal{S} := \text{conv}\{0, \tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^2, \dots, \tilde{e}^p\}$. An inequality description of \mathcal{S} is given by $\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p : z \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^p z_i \leq 1\}$. We then have $\mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, r) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}\left(\tilde{a}, \tilde{a} - \frac{\tilde{e}^i}{p + \lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil}\right) &= \frac{1}{p + \lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil} = r \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p \\ \text{dist}\left(\tilde{a}, \frac{\tilde{e}}{p}\right) &= \sqrt{p} \frac{\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil}{p(p + \lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil)} \geq \frac{1}{p + \lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil} = r. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}))$, we obtain $\mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, r) \subseteq \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}))$.

Next, we show $\tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, R)$. From the conditions in Claim 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) &\subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p : -3/2 \leq z \leq 3/2, -1/2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^p z_i \leq 5/2\} \\ &\subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p : -3/2 \leq z \leq 3/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\text{dist}\left(\tilde{a}, -\frac{3}{2}\tilde{e}\right) = \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{1}{p + \lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \leq 2\sqrt{p} \leq R,$$

thus $\tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, R)$. ◇

Let $B := \tilde{M}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$, and define the map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ as

$$\tau(y) := By.$$

Define

$$a := \tilde{a} + B\tilde{v}_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^p.$$

Claim 4. *We have*

$$\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \subseteq \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(a, R).$$

Proof of claim. Using the definition of τ , for $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we have

$$\tau(y) = By = \tilde{\tau}(y) + B\tilde{v}_0.$$

In particular, $\tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) = \tilde{\tau}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) + B\tilde{v}_0$. Thus, from Claim 3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) = \mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, r) + B\tilde{v}_0 \subseteq \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(\tilde{a}, R) + B\tilde{v}_0 = \mathcal{B}^p(a, R).$$

◇

□

We remark that it might be possible to obtain an alternative proof of Proposition 3 using the shallow-cut ellipsoid algorithm. For example, one could try to obtain a polynomial time weak separation oracle for projections of convex quadratic sets, and employ theorem 4.6.1 in [13]. It is important to note that, in order to apply theorem 4.6.1 in [13], the projection of the convex quadratic set must be well-bounded. An advantage of our “direct” proof of Proposition 3 is that it does not use the ellipsoid method and does not require a weak separation oracle, or a well-bounded assumption.

4.2 The feasibility problem

In this section we show that the feasibility version of Problem MICQP is FPT with parameter p . The overall structure of the algorithm is based on Lenstra's [19] algorithm for mixed integer linear programming. The key difference is that in each iteration we reduce ourselves to the case where the convex quadratic set is full-dimensional. This is in contrast to Lenstra's algorithm [19], where the linearity of the functions allows for a simple reduction to the case where the projection of the polyhedron onto the space of integer variables is full-dimensional.

Our proof uses Theorems 1 and 2, Proposition 3, and Lemma 5, and a flatness result due to Lenstra [19], which we state below and that follows directly from proposition 4 in [10]. Given an invertible matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, we define the *lattice*

$$\Lambda(B) := \{B\mu : \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^p\}.$$

Recall that the *width* of a bounded closed set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ along a vector $d \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is

$$\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) := \max \{d^\top y : y \in \mathcal{S}\} - \min \{d^\top y : y \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Lemma 5 (Flatness lemma). *Let $a \in \mathbb{Q}^p$, let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r \geq 0$, and let $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$ be invertible. There is a polynomial time algorithm which either finds a vector in $\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \cap \Lambda(B)$, or finds a vector $d \in \mathbb{Q}^p \setminus \{0\}$ with $B^\top d \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ such that $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}^p(a, r)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$.*

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a convex quadratic set in \mathbb{R}^n and let $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. There is an algorithm that either returns a vector in $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$, or certifies that $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) = \emptyset$. The algorithm is FPT with parameter p .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \{x \in \mathcal{P} : x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\}$, where $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$, $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $H \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$.

Boundedness. By scaling, we can assume without loss of generality that the data defining \mathcal{Q} is integer, and we denote by s the size of the obtained system. It follows from theorem 1 in [17] that, if $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is nonempty, there exists $x^* \in \mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ that satisfies

$$\|x^*\|_2 \leq (2n2^s)^{2^4 n} \leq (2s2^s)^{2^4 s} \leq (2^{2s})^{2^4 s} = 2^{2^5 s^2}.$$

Therefore, x^* satisfies the $2n$ inequalities $-2^{2^5 s^2} \leq x_i \leq 2^{2^5 s^2}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality, we now assume that the system $Wx \leq w$ contains these $2n$ inequalities, thus \mathcal{Q} is bounded.

Full-dimensionality. We apply Theorem 2. If we find out that \mathcal{S} is empty, we are done. Otherwise, Theorem 2 finds $p' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, $n' \in \{p', p' + 1, \dots, p' + n - p\}$, and a full-dimensional convex quadratic set $\mathcal{Q}' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ such that $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is empty if and only if $\mathcal{Q}' \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'})$ is empty. Furthermore, since \mathcal{Q} is bounded, then so is \mathcal{Q}' . For ease of notation, we simply assume that \mathcal{Q} is full-dimensional.

Continuous case. If $p = 0$, we can solve the convex quadratic programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \end{aligned}$$

using Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm [18]. We denote by $\bar{\eta}$ the minimal value and we have that \mathcal{Q} is empty if and only if $\eta < \bar{\eta}$. Thus, in the remainder of the proof, we assume $p \geq 1$.

Feasibility or partition. We apply Proposition 3 and find a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ of the form $\tau(y) = By$ with B invertible in $\mathbb{Q}^{p \times p}$, a vector $a \in \mathbb{Q}^p$, and positive numbers $r, R \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $R/r \leq 4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \subseteq \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^p(a, R).$$

From Lemma 5, there is an algorithm which either finds a vector \tilde{z} in $\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \cap \Lambda(B)$, or finds a vector $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Q}^p \setminus \{0\}$ with $B^\top \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ such that $\text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\mathcal{B}^p(a, r)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$.

In the remainder of the proof, we consider separately two cases. First, consider the case where Lemma 5 found a vector \tilde{z} in $\mathcal{B}^p(a, r) \cap \Lambda(B)$. Then, denoting by τ^\leftarrow the inverse of τ , the vector $\tilde{y} := \tau^\leftarrow(\tilde{z}) = B^{-1}\tilde{z}$ is in $\tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}^p(a, r))$, and thus in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})$. From $\tilde{z} \in \Lambda(B)$, we obtain $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$. Thus, $\tilde{y} \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^p$. This implies that $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is nonempty. A vector in $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ can be found by solving the following convex quadratic programming problem using Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \\ & x_i = \tilde{y}_i \quad \quad \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the case where Lemma 5 found a vector $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Q}^p \setminus \{0\}$ with $B^\top \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ such that $\text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\mathcal{B}^p(a, r)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$. Hence,

$$\text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}))) \leq \text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\mathcal{B}^p(a, R)) = \frac{R}{r} \text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\mathcal{B}^p(a, r)) \leq 4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3 p2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

Let $\tilde{c} := B^\top \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \setminus \{0\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{width}_{\tilde{c}}(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) &= \max \left\{ \tilde{c}^\top y : y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \right\} - \min \left\{ \tilde{c}^\top y : y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \tilde{d}^\top By : y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \right\} - \min \left\{ \tilde{d}^\top By : y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \tilde{d}^\top z : z \in \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \right\} - \min \left\{ \tilde{d}^\top z : z \in \tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q})) \right\} \\ &= \text{width}_{\tilde{d}}(\tau(\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}))) \\ &\leq 4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3 p2^{p(p-1)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &:= \min \{ \tilde{c}^\top y : y \in \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}^p(a, R)) \} \\ &= \min \{ \tilde{d}^\top z : z \in \mathcal{B}^p(a, R) \} \\ &= \min \{ \tilde{d}^\top z : z \in \mathcal{B}^p(0, R) \} + \tilde{d}^\top a \\ &= -R\|\tilde{d}\|_2 + \tilde{d}^\top a. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\{ \tilde{c}^\top y : y \in \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}^p(a, R)) \} \in [\rho, \rho + 4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3 p2^{p(p-1)/4}].$$

Since $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}^p(a, R))$, we also have

$$\{ \tilde{c}^\top y : y \in \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \} \in [\rho, \rho + 4\lceil\sqrt{p}\rceil^3 p2^{p(p-1)/4}].$$

Every point in $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^p$ is contained in one of the hyperplanes

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^p : \tilde{c}^\top y = \gamma\}, \quad \gamma = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho \rceil + 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 p 2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

We define $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $c_j := \tilde{c}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and $c_j := 0$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$. Then, every point in $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is contained in one of the hyperplanes

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : c^\top x = \gamma\}, \quad \gamma = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho \rceil + 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 p 2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

For each $\gamma = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho \rceil + 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 p 2^{p(p-1)/4}$, we apply Theorem 1 to the polyhedron $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma$. If we find out that $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is empty, then there is no need to consider this value γ any further. Otherwise, Theorem 1 finds $p' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, $n' \in \{p', p'+1, \dots, p'+n-p\}$, a map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$, with $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$ of full rank, and a full-dimensional polyhedron $\mathcal{P}'_\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma &= \tau(\mathcal{P}'_\gamma) \\ \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) &= \tau\left(\mathcal{P}'_\gamma \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We define $H' := M^\top H M \in \text{PSD}^{n'}(\mathbb{Q})$, $h' := 2M^\top H^\top \bar{x} + M^\top h \in \mathbb{Q}^{n'}$, $\eta' := \eta - \bar{x}^\top H \bar{x} + h^\top \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and the convex quadratic set

$$\mathcal{Q}' := \left\{x' \in \mathcal{P}'_\gamma : x'^\top H' x' + h'^\top x' \leq \eta'\right\}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma &= \tau(\mathcal{Q}'_\gamma) \\ \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma &= \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}) = \tau\left(\mathcal{Q}'_\gamma \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can then solve the feasibility problem over $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ by solving, instead, the feasibility problem over $\mathcal{Q}'_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'})$. As a result, we can solve the feasibility problem over $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ by solving, instead, all the feasibility problems over $\mathcal{Q}'_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'})$, for $\gamma = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho \rceil + 4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 p 2^{p(p-1)/4}$. This concludes one iteration of the algorithm.

Recursion. We apply recursively the iteration of the algorithm described so far in the proof. Note that, since \mathcal{Q} is bounded, then so is each \mathcal{Q}_γ , thus Step 1 needs to be performed only in the very first iteration. If, in some iteration, a feasible solution of a subproblem is found, we can find a vector in $\mathcal{Q} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ by inverting all the maps τ used, in the previous iterations, to obtain the subproblem. Note that the inverse of $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$ is $\tau^\leftarrow(x) = (M^\top M)^{-1} M^\top (x - \bar{x})$, since M has full column rank.

Since each time the number of integer variables decreases at least by one, the total number of iterations is upper bounded by

$$O\left(\left(4\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil^3 p 2^{p(p-1)/4}\right)^p\right) = O\left(p^{3p/2} p^p 2^{p^2(p-1)/4}\right).$$

□

4.3 Boundedness of Problem MICQP

In this section we characterize when Problem MICQP is bounded. This characterization allows us to obtain an FPT algorithm to check boundedness of the problem. This algorithm only solves one mixed integer linear feasibility problem and one linear feasibility problem. We remark that the convexity of the objective function is essential to obtain this result. In fact, determining whether a nonconvex quadratic programming problem is bounded is NP-hard [22], even if the rank of the quadratic matrix H is three [10]. In what follows, for a polyhedron $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$, we denote by $\text{rec. cone}(\mathcal{P})$ its recession cone

$$\text{rec. cone}(\mathcal{P}) := \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : x + r \in \mathcal{P} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{P}\} = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq 0\}.$$

Proposition 5. *Consider Problem MICQP and let $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$. Problem MICQP is unbounded if and only if the two sets $\mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ and $\{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wr \leq 0, Hr = 0, h^\top r \leq -1\}$ are both nonempty. Furthermore, there is an algorithm that detects whether Problem MICQP is bounded or unbounded, which is FPT with parameter p . If it is unbounded, it finds $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ and $\bar{r} \in \text{rec. cone}(\mathcal{P})$ such that the objective function goes to minus infinity on the half-line $\{\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r} : \lambda \geq 0\}$.*

Proof. We start by proving the “if and only if” in the statement. From theorem 4 in [11], Problem MICQP is unbounded if and only if there exist $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ and $\bar{r} \in \text{rec. cone}(\mathcal{P})$ such that the objective function $q(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$ goes to minus infinity on the half-line $\{\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r} : \lambda \geq 0\}$. Evaluating $q(x)$ on the half-line, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q(\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r}) &= (\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r})^\top H(\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r}) + h^\top (\bar{x} + \lambda \bar{r}) \\ &= \lambda^2 (\bar{r}^\top H \bar{r}) + \lambda (2\bar{x}^\top H \bar{r} + h^\top \bar{r}) + (\bar{x}^\top H \bar{x} + h^\top \bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $q(x)$ goes to minus infinity on the half-line if and only if either $\bar{r}^\top H \bar{r} < 0$, or $\bar{r}^\top H \bar{r} = 0$ and $2\bar{x}^\top H \bar{r} + h^\top \bar{r} < 0$. Since H is positive semidefinite, $\bar{r}^\top H \bar{r} \geq 0$ and $\bar{r}^\top H \bar{r} = 0$ if and only if $H \bar{r} = 0$. Hence $q(x)$ is unbounded on the above half-line if and only if $H \bar{r} = 0$ and $h^\top \bar{r} < 0$. So far we have shown that Problem MICQP is unbounded if and only if the two sets $\mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ and $\{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wr \leq 0, Hr = 0, h^\top r < 0\}$ are both nonempty. Note that the set $\{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wr \leq 0, Hr = 0\}$ is a cone, thus the second set is nonempty if and only if the set $\{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wr \leq 0, Hr = 0, h^\top r \leq -1\}$ is nonempty. This completes the proof of the “if and only if” in the statement.

We solve the mixed integer linear feasibility problem over

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} : Wx \leq w\} \tag{7}$$

with Lenstra’s algorithm [19] (or with the algorithm in Proposition 4) and the linear feasibility problem over

$$\{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wr \leq 0, Hr = 0, h^\top r \leq -1\} \tag{8}$$

with Khachiyan’s algorithm [16]. If at least one of the two problems (7), (8) is infeasible, then Problem MICQP is bounded. Assume now that both problems (7) and (8) are feasible. In this case Problem MICQP is unbounded. Furthermore, the vector \bar{x} in the statement is a vector in (7) that we found, and the vector \bar{r} in the statement is a vector in (8) that we found. \square

4.4 The optimization problem

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3 with the classic technique of binary search.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$.

Feasibility. We use Lenstra's algorithm [19] (or Proposition 4) to check whether the feasible region $\mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ is empty or nonempty. If it is empty we are done, thus we now assume that it is nonempty.

Boundedness. We use Proposition 5 to detect whether Problem MICQP is bounded or unbounded. If it is unbounded we are done, thus we now assume that it is bounded.

Binary search. By scaling, we can assume without loss of generality that the data in Problem MICQP is integer, and we denote by s its size. It follows from theorem 2 in [17] that Problem MICQP has an optimal solution x^* that satisfies

$$\|x^*\|_2 \leq (2n2^s)^{2^6 n} \leq (2s2^s)^{2^6 s} \leq (2^{2s})^{2^6 s} = 2^{2^7 s^2}.$$

Therefore, x^* satisfies the $2n$ inequalities $-2^{2^7 s^2} \leq x_i \leq 2^{2^7 s^2}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality, we now assume that the system $Wx \leq w$ contains these $2n$ inequalities. Thus, the absolute value of the objective value of each vector $x \in \mathcal{P}$ can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i x_i \right| &\leq n^2 2^s (2^{2^7 s^2})^2 + n 2^s 2^{2^7 s^2} \leq s^2 2^{s+2^8 s^2} + s 2^{s+2^7 s^2} \\ &\leq 2s^2 2^{s+2^8 s^2} \leq 2^{2^9 s^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we bound the absolute value of the denominator of the minimal value of Problem MICQP. Denote again by x^* an optimal solution to Problem MICQP. Consider now the equivalent convex quadratic programming problem, with n variables and integer data, obtained from Problem MICQP by adding the p equality constraints $x_i = x_i^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. The size of this continuous problem is at most

$$s' := s + 2np + p(2^7 s^2) \leq 2^7 s^3.$$

From assertion 3 in [18], the minimal value of this continuous problem can be written as the ratio of two integer numbers, where the absolute value of the denominator is at most

$$2^{4s'} = 2^{2^9 s^3}.$$

The same conclusion holds for the minimal value of Problem MICQP, since the two problems are equivalent.

We combine the above two bounds and obtain that, to solve Problem MICQP, it suffices to solve

$$\log(2^{2^9 s^2 + 1 + 2^9 s^3}) \leq \log(2^{2^{10} s^3}) = 2^{10} s^3$$

mixed integer feasibility problems on a convex quadratic set of the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_\eta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w, x^\top Hx + h^\top x \leq \eta\}.$$

Each such feasibility problem can be solved using Proposition 4. □

We believe that the interest of Theorem 3 lies not only in its statement, but also in its proof. In particular, the proof shows how the results obtained in Sections 2 and 3 can be used to revive Lenstra’s original approach for ellipsoid rounding. As a result, we are able to design an FPT algorithms for MICQP that does not use the ellipsoid method as a subroutine.

If we focus only on the statement of Theorem 3, a natural question is whether it is possible to obtain an alternative proof using oracle-based techniques from integer convex optimization in fixed dimension. In an attempt to apply these techniques, we can first reduce ourselves to the case where $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$ is bounded, which can be done as discussed in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3, using our Proposition 5 and theorem 2 in [17]. Next, we cast Problem MICQP as a pure integer optimization problem with feasible region $\text{proj}_p(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^p$ and objective function $f : \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \min_{x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n} \left\{ x^\top Hx + h^\top x : Wx \leq w \right\}.$$

Note that the function f is convex, and we can obtain a polynomial time evaluation oracle for f using the Kozlov-Tarasov-Khachiyan algorithm. We observe that we can also define an extension $f' : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of f by setting $f'(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ equal to some large number M , in case $(x_1, \dots, x_p) \notin \text{proj}_p(\mathcal{P})$. The obtained function f' is quasiconvex, but it is not convex, conic, or discrete convic.

At this point, it seems possible to obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 3 that does not use Propositions 3 and 4, by applying oracle-based techniques. However, we are not aware of a theorem in the literature that directly implies Theorem 3 without the need for some additional work. In fact, the known results in the literature present at least one of the following two drawbacks:

- (i) they require the construction of an extension f' of f to \mathbb{R}^p or to a ball in \mathbb{R}^p , such that f' is convex (theorem 1 in [23]), conic (theorem 14 in [4]) or discrete convic (theorem 1 in [26]);
- (ii) they show that the running time is FPT only in expectation, as opposed to worst-case (theorem 7.5.1 in [6], theorem 10 in [12]), or polynomial time in fixed dimension, which is weaker than FPT (theorem 1 in [23]).

Furthermore, most of these results require a subgradient oracle for f (theorem 7.5.1 in [6], theorem 10 in [12]) or for an extension of f (theorem 1 in [23]). We think it is an interesting open question to understand whether it is possible to prove a general result in integer convex optimization that directly implies Theorem 3.

References

- [1] F. Alizadeh and D. Goldfarb. Second-order cone programming. *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 95:3–51, 2003.
- [2] Daniel Bienstock, Alberto Del Pia, and Robert Hildebrand. Complexity, exactness, and rationality in polynomial optimization. *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 197:661–692, 2023.
- [3] V.J. Bowman and Claude-Alain Burdet. On the general solution to systems of mixed-integer linear equations. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 26(1):120–125, 1974.
- [4] A.Yu. Chirkov, D.V. Griбанov, D.S. Malyshev, P.M. Pardalos, S.I. Veselov, and N.Yu. Zolotykh. On the complexity of quasiconvex integer minimization problem. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 73(4):761–788, 2019.
- [5] Michele Conforti, Gérard Cornuéjols, and Giacomo Zambelli. *Integer Programming*. Springer, 2014.

- [6] D. Dadush. *Integer Programming, Lattice Algorithms, and Deterministic Volume Enumeration*. PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2012.
- [7] Alberto Del Pia. On approximation algorithms for concave mixed-integer quadratic programming. In *Proceedings of IPCO 2016*, volume 9682 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–13. Springer, 2016.
- [8] Alberto Del Pia. On approximation algorithms for concave mixed-integer quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 172(1–2):3–16, 2018.
- [9] Alberto Del Pia. Subdeterminants and concave integer quadratic programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 29(4):3154–3173, 2019.
- [10] Alberto Del Pia. An approximation algorithm for indefinite mixed integer quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming, Series A*, 201:263–293, 2023.
- [11] Alberto Del Pia, Santanu S. Dey, and Marco Molinaro. Mixed-integer quadratic programming is in NP. *Mathematical Programming, Series A*, 162(1):225–240, 2017.
- [12] D.V. Gribanov and D.S. Malyshev. Integer conic function minimization based on the comparison oracle. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Optimization Theory and Operations Research (MOTOR 2019)*, pages 218–231. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [13] Martin Grötschel, László Lovász, and Alexander Schrijver. *Geometric Algorithms and Combinatorial Optimization*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [14] N. Ho-Nguyen and F. Kılınç-Karzan. A second-order cone based approach for solving the trust region subproblem and its variants. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 27(3):1485–1512, 2017.
- [15] Ravindran Kannan and Achim Bachem. Polynomial algorithms for computing the Smith and Hermite normal forms of an integer matrix. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 8(4):499–507, 1979.
- [16] Leonid G. Khachiyan. A polynomial algorithm in linear programming (in Russian). *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 244:1093–1096, 1979. (English translation: *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, 20:191–194, 1979).
- [17] Leonid G. Khachiyan. Convexity and complexity in polynomial programming. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, pages 16–24, Warsaw, 1983.
- [18] Mikhail K. Kozlov, Sergey P. Tarasov, and Leonid G. Khachiyan. The polynomial solvability of convex quadratic programming. *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, 20(5):223–228, 1981.
- [19] Hendrik W. Jr. Lenstra. Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 8(4):538–548, 1983.
- [20] Jr. Meyer, Carl D. Generalized inverses and ranks of block matrices. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 25(4):597–602, 1973.
- [21] Gonzalo Muñoz and Felipe Serrano. Maximal quadratic-free sets. *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 192:229–270, 2022.
- [22] Katta G. Murty and Santosh N. Kabadi. Some NP-complete problems in quadratic and linear programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 39:117–129, 1987.

- [23] T. Oertel, C. Wagner, and Robert Weismantel. Integer convex minimization by mixed integer linear optimization. *Operations Research Letters*, 42:424–428, 2014.
- [24] Alexander Schrijver. *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*. Wiley, Chichester, 1986.
- [25] Stephen A. Vavasis. Quadratic programming is in NP. *Information Processing Letters*, 36:73–77, 1990.
- [26] S.I. Veselov, D.V. Griбанov, N.Yu. Zolotykh, and A.Yu. Chirkov. A polynomial algorithm for minimizing discrete convex functions in fixed dimension. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 2020.