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#### Abstract

. We give a brief overview of a simple and unified way, called the prepotential approach, to treat both exact and quasi-exact solvabilities of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. It is based on the prepotential together with Bethe ansatz equations. Unlike the the supersymmetric method for the exactly-solvable systems and the Lie-algebraic approach for the quasi-exactly solvable problems, this approach does not require any knowledge of the underlying symmetry of the system. It treats both quasi-exact and exact solvabilities on the same footing. In this approach the system is completely defined by the choice of two polynomials and a set of Bethe ansatz equations. The potential, the change of variables as well as the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are determined in the same process. We illustrate the approach by several paradigmatic examples of Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real energies. Hermitian systems with complex energies, called the quasinormal modes, are also presented. Extension of the approach to the newly discovered rationally extended models is briefly discussed.


## 1. Introduction

In this review I would like to give an overview of a constructive approach to both exact and quasi-exact solvable one-dimensional Schrödinger equations with Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, and rationally extended solvable quantal systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,

Everyone knows what exact solvability means. In fact, we learned the principles of quantum mechanics mainly through several well-known exactly solvable (ES) models, such as the infinite square well, the harmonic oscillator, the hydrogen atom, etc. But in the real world, ES systems are rather scanty. Most systems we encounter are nonsolvable, and we have to resort to various approximation schemes or numerical methods to solve them.

It is therefore of great interest to witness some new developments in recent years that have deepened our understanding of the solvability of the Schrödinger equation, and of spectral problems in general.
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Quasi-exactly solvable models - About 35 years ago, a new class of spectral problems, so-called the quasi-exactly solvable (QES) models, have been found for the Schrödinger equation. These are systems intermediate to the ES systems and the non solvable ones in that one can only determine algebraically a part of the spectrum of the system. [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. The first and simplest QES model discovered is the sextic oscillator [7]. The discovery of this class of spectral problems has greatly enlarged the number of physical systems which we can study analytically. Physical examples of QES systems have been found, for examples, in the motion of particles in various external fields [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] and in nuclear physics [25, 26].

Quasinormal modes - The study of black hole has motivated a different kind of spectral problem, which concerns Hermitian Hamiltonians with complex eigenvalues. These eigenfunctions are called quasinormal modes (QNMs) [27, 28, 29, 30]. While QNMs in typical black holes cannot be solved exactly, ES and QES models with QNMs have been considered as simple examples for a better understanding of QNMs in actual black holes.

Non-Hermitian quantum systems - About 25 years ago, it was realized that with properly defined boundary conditions the spectrum of the non-Hermitian $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ symmetric Hamiltonian $H=p^{2}+x^{2}(i x)^{\nu}(\nu \geq 0)$ is real and positive [31]. Later, it was found that a QES $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric potential can be quartic in its variable instead of sextic as in the Hermitian case 32.

The discovery of these non-Hermitian systems with real eigenvalues immediately sparked great interest in searching for new systems with such properties (for a review, see e.g., Ref. [33]). While some fundamental issues remain to be addressed, nonHermitian physics has already found interesting applications in many areas, such as optics, condensed matters, etc. [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39].

Rationally extended potentials - Undoubtedly one of the most exciting developments in mathematical physics in the last 15 years has been the discovery of the exceptional orthogonal polynomials [40, 41, 42], and the quantal systems related to them [43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55]. These new polynomials all start with non-zero degrees (i.e., the lowest degree member is not a constant), and yet they still form complete sets with respect to some positive-definite measure.

The quantal systems with these new exceptional orthogonal polynomials as part of their eigenfunctions are certain rational extensions of the traditional ones related to the classical orthogonal polynomials. Rational extensions of solvable systems not related to the exceptional polynomials are also realized [56, 57]. In these systems, the polynomial part of the wave functions begin with degree zero.

Systematic ways to treat the above-mentioned spectral problems have been developed. A general and elegant way to generate the one-dimensional ES models and their rational extensions is by the Darboux-Crum transformation (more commonly known as the supersymmetric method in physics) together with the related idea of shape invariance [58]. For QES systems, the established methods are the Lie-algebraic [8, 9] and the Bethe ansatz approach [11, 12].

Recently, we have proposed an approach, which we call the prepotential approach, that can treat the ES and the QES problems in a unified way, without the need of the Darboux-Crum transformation, shape invariance, and Lie-algebra [1, 2, 3, 4]. In this approach the form of the potential of the system and the required change of variables need not be assumed from some known systems, as in other approaches. The potential, the required change of variables, as well as the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are determined by two polynomials and a set of Bethe ansatz equations (BAEs). With some modifications, we have extended the approach to the rationally extended systems [5,6].

In this review the main ideas of the prepotential approach are presented. It is also shown how this approach can easily produce some of the well-known ES/QES Hermitian and non-Hermitian systems. Extension to the rationally extended systems is briefly mentioned.

Since the idea of quasi-exact solvability is relatively new, I shall explain briefly the difference between QES and ES models before discussing the prepotential approach.

## 2. Exact and quasi-exact solvabilities

Quasi-exact solvability is most easily explained as follows [12]. The Hamiltonian $H$ of a quantal system can be represented as a Hermitian matrix. The solution of the spectral problem then reduces to the diagonalization of the matrix $H$. This can always be done if $H$ is finite-dimensional. The system is therefore ES. On the contrary, there is no general algebraic rules that would allow one to diagonalize an infinite-dimensional $H$.

If an infinite dimensional matrix $H$ is such that it can indeed be reduced to the diagonal form with the aid of an algebraic process, then the quantal system is exactly solvable. Otherwise, the system is not exactly solvable.

But suppose a Hamiltonian $H$ is reducible to a block form

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
H_{00} & H_{01} & \cdots & H_{0 N} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{1}\\
H_{10} & H_{11} & \cdots & H_{1 N} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
H_{N 0} & H_{N 1} & \cdots & H_{N N} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & * & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & * & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & * & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the block in the upper left corner is an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ Hermitian matrix, and the block with asterisks is an infinite matrix with non-vanishing elements. The upper left block can then be diagonalized without touching the lower right infinite part. Thus a part of the spectrum of $H$ with $N+1$ eigenvalues and eigenfunctions can be determined. This system is called QES.

The QES problem can also be understood from the viewpoint of wave mechanics as follows. Consider a Schrödinger equation $H \phi=E \phi$ with Hamiltonian $H=$
$-d^{2} / d x^{2}+V(x)$ and wave function $\phi(x)$. Here $x$ belongs either to the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, $[0, \infty)$, or some finite interval. The eigenstates of the most commonly known quantal systems have the form $\phi(x)=e^{-W_{0}(x)} p(z)$, where the factor $\exp \left(-W_{0}(x)\right)$ is responsible for the asymptotic behaviors of the wave function so as to ensure normalizability, and $p(z)$ is a polynomial in a new variable $z(x)$. The function $p(z)$ satisfies a Schrödinger equation with a gauge transformed Hamiltonian $H_{W}=e^{W_{0}} H e^{-W_{0}}$.

For Hamiltonian $H$ with infinitely many eigenfunctions, if there exists a largest integer $N$ such that the polynomial space $\mathcal{V}_{N}=\left\langle 1, z, z^{2}, \ldots, z^{N}\right\rangle$ spanned by $\left\{z^{k}, k=\right.$ $0, \ldots, n\}$ is invariant under $H_{W}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{W} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H_{W}$ is diagonalizable in this polynomial space, and $p(z) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$. The system described by $H$ is then a QES system with $N+1$ eigenstates. The polynomial space $\mathcal{V}_{N}$ is the exactly solvable sector of the system. If (2) is true for any integer $N$, then $H$ describes an ES system. Eq. (2) gives a criterion to check if a Hamiltonian is ES or QES. And this we use in the Appendix to classify a Hamiltonian in the prepotential approach.

Supersymmetric method, or Darboux-Crum transformation, is one of the most elegant methods that treats most of the known one-dimensional ES quantum systems in a unified way [58]. The success of this method turns out to rely on the amazing fact that these one-dimensional ES systems happened to possess a nice property, namely, shape invariance. In the supersymmetric method, shape invariance is assumed as a sufficient condition to obtain the ES systems.

The main approaches to one-dimensional QES systems are the Lie-algebraic and the Bethe ansatz approach. The Lie-algebraic approach determines the forms of the gauge transformed Hamiltonian $H_{W}$ that are expressible in terms of the generators of the $s l(2)$ Lie-algebra [9, 14]. In the Bethe ansatz approach one assumes the form of the wave function containing some parameters, and then fit these parameters to make the ansatz compatible with the chosen potential under consideration [12]. The Lie-algebraic approach to QES models excels in revealing the underlying symmetry of a QES system explicitly. However, solutions of QES states are more directly found in the analytic approach based on the BAEs .

As mentioned in the Introduction, the prepotential approach we proposed can treat both the ES and the QES problems in a unified way. The form of the potential, shape invariance and the underlying symmetry of the system are not assumed, and the Darboux-Crum transformation is not used.

In the next four sections I review the main ideas of the prepotential approach and show how some paradigmatic examples can be derived very easily. Extension to the rational type is briefly discussed in Sect. 7.

## 3. Prepotential approach

This section explains the rationale behind the prepotential approach first presented in [1] and later extended to QNMs in [4].

Let us begin with a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with a Hamiltonian $H_{0}=-d^{2} / d x^{2}+V_{0}(x)$ (we adopt the unit system in which $\hbar$ and the mass $m$ of the particle are such that $\hbar=2 m=1$ ). Suppose $\phi_{0}(x)$ is the ground state with zero energy, i.e. $H_{0} \phi_{0}=0$. As $\phi_{0}(x)$ is nodeless it can be expressed in terms of a regular function $W_{0}(x)$ of $x$ as $\phi_{0}(x) \equiv e^{-W_{0}(x)}$. The Schrödinger equation then implies $V_{0}=W_{0}^{\prime 2}-W_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, where the prime denotes derivative with respect to the variable $x$. The potential $V_{0}$ is completely determined by $W_{0}$, hence we shall call $W_{0}(x)$ the zero-th order prepotential.

In other approaches that involve the supersymmetric method, the prepotential $W_{0}(x)$ have been employed usually in the form of its derivative, i.e. $W_{0}^{\prime}(x)$, which is called the superpotential. But in these methods, the form of the prepotentials (superpotential) is pre-assumed for each of the known ES models. In our approach $W_{0}(x)$ is derived based on two polynomials, as shown below.

Now consider a wave function $\phi_{N}(x)=\phi_{0}(x) p_{N}(z)(N \geq 0)$, where $p_{N}(z)=$ $\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{N}\right), p_{0} \equiv 1$. Here $z=z(x)$ is some function of $x$ to be determined later. The function $p_{N}(z)$ is a polynomial in an $(N+1)$-dimensional Hilbert space with the basis $\left\langle 1, z, z^{2}, \ldots, z^{N}\right\rangle$, and $z_{k}$ 's are its roots. The wave function $\phi_{N}$ can be written as $\phi_{N}=\exp \left(-W_{N}\left(x,\left\{z_{k}\right\}\right)\right)$ in terms of the $N$-th order prepotential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{N}\left(x,\left\{z_{k}\right\}\right)=W_{0}(x)-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \ln \left|z(x)-z_{k}\right| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It satisfies a Schrödinger equation $H_{N} \phi_{N}=0$ with the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{N}=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+V_{N}  \tag{4}\\
& V_{N}=W_{0}^{\prime 2}-W_{0}^{\prime \prime}-2\left(W_{0}^{\prime} z^{\prime}-\frac{z^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-z_{k}}+\sum_{\substack{k, l \\
k \neq l}} \frac{z^{\prime 2}}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{l}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The potential $V_{N}$ is determined by $W_{0}(x), z^{\prime 2}$, and the set of roots $z_{k}$ 's $\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right.$ is related to $z^{\prime 2}$ by $\left.2 z^{\prime \prime}=d z^{\prime 2} / d z\right)$.

It will be seen that the choice of $z^{\prime 2}$ and $W_{0}^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ determine the nature of solvability of the quantal system. Here we consider only those cases where $W_{0}^{\prime} z^{\prime}=P_{m}(z)$ and $z^{\prime 2}=Q_{n}(z)$ are polynomials in $z$ of degree $m$ and $n$, respectively. The variables $x(z)$ (assumed invertible for practical purposes) and the prepotential $W_{0}(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(z) & = \pm \int^{z} \frac{d z}{\sqrt{Q_{n}(z)}} \\
W_{0}(x) & =\int^{x} d x\left(\frac{P_{m}(z)}{\sqrt{Q_{n}(z)}}\right)_{z(x)}=\left(\int^{z} d z \frac{P_{m}(z)}{Q_{n}(z)}\right)_{z(x)} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The second equality in (5) is obtained using $W_{0}^{\prime}=z^{\prime} d W_{0} / d z$. The two relations in (5) define the new coordinate $z(x)$ and the corresponding prepotential $W_{0}(x)$. Thus, $P_{m}(z)$
and $Q_{n}(z)$ determine the Hamiltonian $H_{0}$. Of course, the choice of $P_{m}(z)$ and $Q_{n}(z)$ should ensure normalizability of $\phi_{0}=\exp \left(-W_{0}\right)$.

Analysis in the Appendix shows that, depending on the degrees of the polynomials $P_{m}(z)$ and $Q_{n}(z)$, we have the following situations §;
(i) if $m \leq 1, n \leq 2$, then in $V_{N}(x)$ the parameter $N$ and the roots $z_{k}$ 's will only appear as an additive constant and not in any term involving powers of $z$. There is an invariant subspace of $H$ for any $N$. Such system is then exactly solvable. The additive constant gives the eigenvalue.
(ii) if $m=2, n \leq 2$, then $N$ will appear in the first power term in $z$, but $z_{k}$ 's only in an additive term in $V_{N}$. For each $N \geq 0$, there is a $(N+1)$-dimensional invariant subspace. Hence, the system with $N$-dependent potential is QES with $N+1$ solvable states.
(iii) if $m$ or $n>2$, then not only $N$ but also $z_{k}$ 's will appear in the coefficients of powers of $z$ in the potential. There is no invariant subspace in this case. Each set of the roots defines a QES potential with only one eigenfunction $e^{-W_{0}} p_{N}(z)$.

Case (iii) is not of much interest here. Henceforth we shall consider only cases with both $m, n \leq 2$, i.e., Case (i) and (ii). Let $P_{2}(z)=A_{2} z^{2}+A_{1} z+A_{0}$ and $Q_{2}(z)=q_{2} z^{2}+q_{1} z+q_{0}$. The solvability of the system is determined solely by $A_{2}$ : ES if $A_{2}=0$, QES otherwise. Coordinates $z(x)$ with $z^{\prime 2}=Q_{2}(z)$ quadratic in $z$ are called "sinusoidal coordinates", which include quadratic polynomials, trigonometric, hyperbolic, and exponential types. This choice of $z^{\prime 2}$ covers most of the known ES shape-invariant potentials [58] and the $s l(2)$-based QES systems [9].

We can recast $V_{N}$ into the form [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{N}= & W_{0}^{\prime 2}-W_{0}^{\prime \prime}+q_{2} N^{2}-2 A_{1} N-2 A_{2} N z-2 A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k}  \tag{6}\\
& -2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-z_{k}}\left\{P_{2}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{q_{2}}{2} z_{k}-\frac{q_{1}}{4}-\sum_{l \neq k} \frac{Q_{2}\left(z_{k}\right)}{z_{k}-z_{l}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

$V_{N}$ is free of simple poles if all the residues at $z_{k}$ 's (i.e., terms in the bracket) vanish. This gives the BAEs satisfied by the roots $z_{k}$ 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} z_{k}^{2}+\left(A_{1}-\frac{q_{2}}{2}\right) z_{k}+A_{0}-\frac{q_{1}}{4}-\sum_{l \neq k} \frac{q_{2} z_{k}^{2}+q_{1} z_{k}+q_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{l}}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, after some algebra, we arrive at the potential [4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{N}(x) & =\frac{P_{2}^{2}-Q_{2} \frac{d P_{2}}{d z}+\frac{1}{2} P_{2} \frac{d Q_{2}}{d z}}{Q_{2}}-\left(2 A_{1} N-q_{2} N^{2}+2 A_{2} N z+2 A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k}\right) \\
& =\left[\frac{\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{1} z+A_{0}\right)^{2}}{q_{2} z^{2}+q_{1} z+q_{0}}-2(N+1) A_{2} z\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

§ We take this opportunity to correct the values of $m, n$ for the case (ii) and (iii) in [1, 4].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{2} z^{2}+A_{1} z+A_{0}\right) \frac{2 q_{2} z+q_{1}}{q_{2} z^{2}+q_{1} z+q_{0}}\right]_{z(x)} \\
& -\left[(2 N+1) A_{1}-q_{2} N^{2}+2 A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and the wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N} \sim e^{-\int^{z(x)} d z \frac{P_{2}(z)}{Q_{2}(z)}} p_{N}(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (7), (8) and (9) define the most general ES and QES systems based on sinusoidal coordinates.

In [1] systems defined on the whole line, the half-line, and finite interval are treated separately. It was soon realized that this was unnecessary. The domain of the original variable $x$ is indeed determined by the singularities of $V_{N}(x)$ not related to the roots of $p_{N}(z)$, such as $x=0, \pm \infty$.

The sinusoidal coordinates come in three inequivalent canonical forms [3, 15]:

$$
z^{\prime 2}= \begin{cases}\gamma \neq 0 & (\text { harmonic oscillator })  \tag{10}\\ \beta z(\beta>0) & \text { (radial oscillator) } \\ \alpha\left(z^{2}+\delta\right) & (\text { Scarf I, II; Morse; Pöschl-Teller) }\end{cases}
$$

Examples of models with these forms of coordinates have been considered in [1, 4]. For clarity of presentation, in what follows we will discuss only systems of the harmonic and the radial oscillators types.

## 4. Hermitian potentials with real/complex spectra I ( $\left.n=0: z^{\prime 2}=\gamma>0\right)$

The transformation corresponding to the choice $z^{\prime 2}=\gamma>0$ is $z(x)=\sqrt{\gamma} x+$ constant. Without loss of generality, we shall take $z(x)=x$. The potential $V_{N}$ and the ground state $\phi_{0} \sim \exp \left(-W_{0}\right)$ of this system are [4]

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{N}= & A_{2}^{2} x^{4}+2 A_{2} A_{1} x^{3}+\left(A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{2} A_{0}\right) x^{2}+2\left[A_{1} A_{0}-A_{2}(N+1)\right] x \\
& -\left[2 A_{1}\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)-A_{0}^{2}+2 A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}(x) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{3} A_{2} x^{3}-\frac{1}{2} A_{1} x^{2}-A_{0} x} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only singularities of $V_{N}(x)$ are $x= \pm \infty$, so the domain of $x$ is $(-\infty, \infty)$.
4.1. $m=1$ : simple harmonic oscillator

As an example, let us take $A_{2}=A_{0}=0$ and $A_{1}=b$, i.e., $P_{1}(z)=b z$. Eq. (12) gives the ground state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}(x) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{2} b x^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

One must assume $b>0$ in order for $\phi_{0}(x)$ to be square-integrable. The BAEs (7) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
b x_{k}-\sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{x_{k}-x_{j}}=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, N \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the potential is $V_{N}=b^{2} x^{2}-(2 N+1) b$. Note that $N$ appears only in the additive constant term, and the roots $z_{k}$ 's do not appear at all (as $A_{2}=0$ ). If we define the potential of the system only by the first term in $V_{N}$, then we have the Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+b^{2} x^{2}\right) e^{-W_{N}}=b(2 N+1) e^{-W_{N}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system is just the well-known simple harmonic oscillator.
Note that the rescaling $\sqrt{b} x_{k} \rightarrow x_{k}$ will set $b=1$ in Eq. (14). This is the BAEs that determine the zeros of the Hermite polynomials $H_{N}(x)$ as found by Stieltjes. Hence the well known wave functions for the harmonic oscillator, namely, $\phi_{N}=\exp \left(-W_{N}\right) \sim$ $\exp \left(-b x^{2} / 2\right) H_{N}(\sqrt{b} x)$, are reproduced.
4.2. $m=1: Q N M$

The choice $A_{0}=0$ and $A_{1}=-i \frac{c}{2}$ gives the simplest exactly solvable QNM model with potential [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=-\frac{1}{4} c^{2} x^{2}+i c\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The imaginary part of the eigenvalue is proportional to $N+1 / 2$, which is characteristic of most black hole QNMs.

## 4.3. $m=2: Q E S$ model

We want $V_{N}$ to be real. If $A_{2} \neq 0$, then the term $A_{2}(N+1)$ in the fourth term of (11) implies $A_{2}$ be real. However, this implies the wave function $\phi_{N}$, whose asymptotic behavior is governed by $\phi_{0}$ in (12), is not normalizable on the whole line. Hence, in this case there is no QES models, with or without QNMs.

## 5. Hermitian potentials with real/complex spectra II ( $n=1: z^{\prime 2}=\beta z, \beta>0$ )

In this case we can take $z(x)=\frac{\beta}{4} x^{2}$. The potential and the ground state are [4]

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{N}= & \frac{1}{64} A_{2}^{2} \beta^{2} x^{6}+\frac{1}{8} A_{2} A_{1} \beta x^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\left[A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{2} A_{0}-A_{2} \beta\left(2 N+\frac{3}{2}\right)\right] x^{2} \\
& +\frac{4 A_{0}}{\beta}\left(\frac{A_{0}}{\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{x^{2}}-\left[A_{1}\left(2 N+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 A_{0}}{\beta}\right)+2 A_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}(x) \sim x^{-\frac{2 A_{0}}{\beta}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} A_{1} x^{2}-\frac{1}{32} A_{2} \beta x^{4}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The singularities of $V_{N}(x)$ are $x=0, \infty$, so the domain of $x$ is $(0, \infty)$.

## 5.1. $m=1$ : radial oscillator

For $A_{2}=0$ with real values of $A_{1}$ and $A_{0}, V_{N}$ is the potential of the radial oscillator. Particularly, letting $A_{1}=2 a>0, A_{0}=-2 \ell$ and $\beta=4$ with real parameters $a$ and $\ell$, (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=a^{2} x^{2}+\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{x^{2}}-a(4 N+2 \ell+1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additive constant gives the eigenvalue for each $N$. The ground state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}(x) \sim x^{\ell} e^{-\frac{1}{2} a x^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding set of BAEs is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a z_{k}+2 \ell+1+4 \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{z_{k}}{z_{k}-z_{j}}=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, N \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5.2. $m=1$ : $Q N M$

The choice $\beta=4, A_{0}=-2 \ell$, and $A_{1}=-2 i a$ defines a simple model with QNMs related to the radial oscillator [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=-a^{2} x^{2}+\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{x^{2}}+i a(4 N+2 \ell+1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BAEs are obtained by simply making the change $a \rightarrow-i a$ in (21).

## 5.3. $m=2$ : QES sextic oscillator

It is obvious from (17) that if $A_{2} \neq 0$, then all $A_{i}$ 's have to be real. Hence there is no QES model with QNMs in this case. For $A_{2}>0$ and $A_{0}<0$, the wave functions $\phi_{N}$ are normalizable on the positive half-line. The system is then a QES model with real energies. Together with the discussion in the last section, one concludes that onedimensional QES models start with degree six, i.e., the sextic oscillator. In fact, the first three terms in the potential (17) with $A_{2}=2 a>0, A_{1}=2 b, A_{0}=0$ and $\beta=4$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=a^{2} x^{6}+2 a b x^{4}+\left[b^{2}-(4 N+3) a\right] x^{2}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with eigenvalue $E=(4 N+1) b+4 a \sum_{k} z_{k}$, is the very first QES model discussed in the literature [7]. Note the appearance of $N$ in the $x^{2}$-term. The BAEs (7) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a z_{k}^{2}+2 b z_{k}-1-4 \sum_{l \neq k} \frac{z_{k}}{z_{k}-z_{l}}=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, N, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is instructive to see how some lowest states of this model are determined in our approach.

For $N=0$, the potential is just $V_{0}$ with only one solvable state $\phi_{0}=\exp \left(-a x^{4} / 4-\right.$ $b x^{2} / 2$ ) corresponding to energy $b$.

The solutions of the BAEs for $N=1$ are $z_{1 \pm}=\left(-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}+2 a}\right) / 2 a$ and the energies are $E_{1 \pm}=3 b \pm 2 \sqrt{b^{2}+2 a}$. The two corresponding orthogonal eigenfunctions
are $\phi_{1 \pm}(x)=\phi_{0}(x)\left(z-z_{1 \pm}\right)$. These results are consistent with the Lie-algebraic solutions [12].

The BAEs (24) for the case $N=2$ can be conveniently handled as follows. By adding and subtracting the two BAEs for $k=1$ and $k=2$, we can write the BAEs as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+2 b p-6=0, \quad(a p+b) q^{2}-2 p=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \equiv z_{1}+z_{2}$ and $q \equiv z_{1}-z_{2}$. These equations can be further reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} p^{3}+3 a b p^{2}+\left(2 b^{2}-4 a\right) p-6 b=0, \quad q^{2}=\frac{2 p}{a p+b} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the first equation in (26) for $p$ then gives the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=9 b+4 a p, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} a x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} b x^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}-p z+\frac{p^{2}-q^{2}}{4}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

While analytic solutions of the BAEs for general $a$ and $b$ can be obtained, they are rather complicated. Below we shall only present the eigenvalues and the orthogonal eigenfunctions for the case with $a>0, b=0$ and $a=b=1$.
a) $\quad a>0, b=0: p=0, \pm 2 / \sqrt{a}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E=0, & \phi_{20}(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{4} a x^{4}}\left(x^{4}-\frac{3}{2 a}\right),  \tag{28}\\
E= \pm 8 \sqrt{a}, & \phi_{2 \pm}(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{4} a x^{4}}\left(x^{4} \mp \frac{2}{\sqrt{a}} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2 a}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

b) $\quad a=b=1: p=-3, \pm \sqrt{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E=-3, & \phi_{20}(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}\left(x^{4}+3 x^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)  \tag{29}\\
E=9 \pm 4 \sqrt{2}, & \phi_{2 \pm}(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}\left(x^{4} \mp \sqrt{2} x^{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{2} \mp 1}{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The states with $E=-8 \sqrt{a}$ and $E=-3$ above are the ground states, as they have no nodes in the physical domain of $x$. For case (a) our results are consistent with those obtained by the algebraic method for $a=1, b=0$ in [13].

We note here that the case $N=2, a=1$ and $b=-1$ can be obtained from the results in Case (b) above by simply making the change $p \rightarrow-p, q^{2} \rightarrow q^{2}$.

In Fig. 1 we show some plots of the sextic potential and the QES states for $a=b=1$ and $N=1,2$.

For higher values of $N$, analytic solution of the BAEs becomes very complicated, and numerical method is needed.

## 6. Non-Hermitian potentials with real spectra

In the previous section we have seen that the lowest-degree one-dimensional QES polynomial potential is sextic. But as mentioned in the Introduction, it was found that, by allowing non-Hermitian $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric Hamiltonians, a QES polynomial potential can be quartic in its variable [32]. Now let us demonstrate how simply the Hamiltonian


Figure 1. Plots of the sextic oscillator potential $V_{N}(23)$ and its $N+1$ normalized QES states (magnified 10 times) for $a=b=1$ : (a) For $V_{1}(x)$ (solid), $\phi_{1-}(x)$ (dashed), and $\phi_{1+}(x)$ (dotted); (b) For $V_{2}(x)$ (solid), $\phi_{20}(x)$ (dashed), $\phi_{2-}(x)$ (dotdashed), and $\phi_{2+}(x)$ (dotted) in 29 .
discovered in Ref. [32] is derived in our approach if we allow $P_{m}(z)$, or equivalently $W_{0}(x)$, to be complex.

Recall we mentioned in Sect. 4.3 that there is no QES Hamiltonian with quartic polynomial potential if all the $A_{k}$ 's in $P_{2}(x)$ are real.

The situation is different if we allow $P_{2}(x)$ to be complex. Let $A_{2}=i \alpha, A_{1}=\beta$ and $A_{0}=i \gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta>0$ and $\gamma$ are real. Now $\exp \left(-W_{0}\right)$ in (12) is square-integrable on the whole line for $\beta>0$. The potential and BAEs are obtained from Eqs. (11) and (7) (with $q_{2}=q_{1}=0, q_{0}=1$ ) to be

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{N}(x)= & -\alpha^{2} x^{4}+2 i \alpha \beta x^{3}+\left(\beta^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma\right) x^{2}-2 i[(N+1) \alpha-\beta \gamma] x \\
& -\left[\beta(2 N+1)+\gamma^{2}+2 i \alpha \sum_{k} x_{k}\right] \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \alpha x_{k}^{2}+\beta x_{k}+i \gamma-\sum_{l \neq k} \frac{1}{x_{k}-x_{l}}=0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential given by the first four terms in Eq. (30) is precisely the $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric QES quartic potential obtained in Ref. [32] (in the notation of Ref. [32], the potential has parameters $\alpha=1, \beta=a, \gamma=b$, and $N+1=J)$. It is nice to see that in our prepotential approach this potential can be so simply and directly derived, without any knowledge of its underlying symmetries.

The properties of this system have been described in Ref. [32]. So here we just consider the two simplest cases with $N=0$ and $N=1$.

For $N=0$, the potential is just $V_{0}$ with only one solvable state corresponding to energy $E=0$. For $N=1$, there are two solvable states. As with Ref. [32], we define the QES potential by the first four terms in Eq. (30):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=-\alpha^{2} x^{4}+2 i \alpha \beta x^{3}+\left(\beta^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma\right) x^{2}-2 i(2 \alpha-\beta \gamma) x \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues are $\gamma^{2}+3 \beta+2 i \alpha x_{1}$. The roots $x_{1}$ satisfy the BAEs (31): $i \alpha x_{1}^{2}+$ $\beta x_{1}+i \gamma=0$. The solutions are $x_{1}=i\left(\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^{2}+4 \alpha \gamma}\right) / 2 \alpha$. It is seen that for $\beta^{2}+4 \alpha \gamma>0$ the non-Hermitian potential $V_{1}$ has two normalizable states with real energies $\gamma^{2}+2 \beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^{2}+4 \alpha \gamma}$. But if $\beta^{2}+4 \alpha \gamma<0$, the energies become complex, and the $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ symmetry is said to be broken. This is consistent with the numerical results in Ref. [32]. Analysis for higher values of $N$ becomes more complicated and numerics are needed [32].

We mention here that a new non- $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ case is obtained if we assume the parameter $b$ in the sextic oscillator in Sect. 5.3. to be purely imaginary: $b=i \beta$, where $\beta$ and $a>0$ are real. We will not discuss this case in detail owing to space limitation.

## 7. Rationally extended potentials

Complexity involved in the rationally extended models no longer allows us to derive them from such simple assumptions as the forms of some polynomials, like $P_{m}(z)$ and $Q_{n}(z)$ in the non-rational cases. New inputs are needed. The main ideas of how the prepotential approach is extended to the rationally extended models are briefly summarized below. We refer the reader to [5, 6] for the details of the procedure. [|I

The wave functions in these models have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\frac{e^{-W_{0}(x)}}{\xi(z)} p(z) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involve a polynomial $\xi(z)$, the deforming function, in the denominator. The presence of $\xi(z)$ in the denominators of $\phi(x)$ and the potential thus gives a rational extension, or deformation, of the traditional system. For $\xi(z)=1$ the model reduces to the corresponding traditional ES system. To generate rational ES systems, it is assumed that the prepotential $W_{0}$ be a regular function of $x$, the zeros of the function $\xi(z)$ lie outside the physical domain of $z(x)$, and the function $p(z)$ does not appear in the potential.

In Ref. [5, 6] we have given a procedure to determine $W_{0}(x), \xi(z)$ and $p(z)$. The prepotential is assumed to have the form $W(x, z)=W_{0}(x)+\ln \xi(z)-\ln p(z)$ so that $\phi(x)=\exp (-W(x))$. The new variable $z(x)$ is chosen to be one of the sinusoidal coordinates. The function $p(z)$ is assumed to be a linear combination of $\xi(z)$ and $d \xi(z) / d z:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=G(z) \xi(z)+F(z) \frac{d}{d z} \xi(z) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\xi(z), F(z)$ and $G(z)$ are determined by matching the equations they satisfied with the hypergeometric equations. It turns out $\xi(z)$ depends on an integral index $\ell>0$, i.e., $\xi_{\ell}(z)$. The system is called single-indexed rational model.
$\|$ We note here that prepotential used in [5, 6] differ from the one here by a negative sign. This is to facilitate comparison with the results in some earlier work on exceptional orthogonal polynomials, particularly Refs. 46, 47].

In Eq. (33), $p(z)=$ constant is admissible if the factor $\phi_{0}(x)=\exp \left(-W_{0}(x)\right) / \xi(z)$ is normalizable, and $\phi_{0}(x)$ is the ground state. Otherwise, $\phi_{0}(x)$ cannot be the ground state. In this case, the ground state, like all the excited states, must involve non-trivial $p(z) \neq$ constant. This give rise to the exceptional orthogonal polynomials [5].

For illustration purpose, here we only list the main data of the deformed radial oscillator, which is the first quantum system that involves the new exceptional orthogonal polynomials.

- Deforming function: $\xi_{\ell}(z)=L_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}(z), \quad L_{\ell}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)$ : Laguerre polynomials; $z(x)=x^{2}, \alpha<-\ell$ (so $\xi_{\ell}$ has no zeros in physical domain $[0, \infty)$ ).
- $0^{\text {th }}$-order prepotential: $W_{0}(x)=-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x, \quad \phi_{0}$ : non-nomalizable.
- Potential: $V(x)=x^{2}+\frac{\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{x^{2}}+8 \frac{d \ln \xi_{\ell}}{d z}\left[z\left(\frac{d \ln \xi_{\ell}}{d z}-1\right)+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right]+2(2 \ell-\alpha)$.
- Eigenfunctions and energies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\ell, n}(x ; \alpha) \propto \frac{e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} x^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}}{\xi_{\ell}(z)} p_{\ell, n}(z), \quad \mathcal{E}_{\ell, n}=4(n-\alpha-\ell) \\
& p_{\ell, n}(z) \quad=(\alpha-n) L_{n}^{(-\alpha-1)}(z) \xi_{\ell}(z)+z L_{n}^{(-\alpha)}(z) \frac{d}{d z} \xi_{\ell}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $p_{\ell, n}(z)$ is of degree $\ell+n$. For $\ell \rightarrow 0, \xi_{\ell}(z) \rightarrow 1$, the system reduces to the ordinary radial oscillator!

## 8. Summary

This work presents a brief overview of the general ideas of the prepotential approach to the ES and QES models. The method is demonstrated with simple examples of Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real energies, and Hermitian systems with complex energies (quasinormal modes). Extension of the approach to the newly discovered rationally extended systems is briefly mentioned.

We have succeeded by using this approach to generate in a unified way all the known one-dimension ES quantum models related to supersymmetry, various simple systems with QNMs, some non-Hermitian systems with QES real spectra, and rational extended ES models, without exploiting any underlying symmetries of the systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6. Nevertheless, what we have done so far is still quite preliminary. Our approach could be further developed.

Firstly, we could generalize the approach to cases for which $W_{0}^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime 2}$ are nonpolynomials in $z$. Secondly, it is extremely interesting to see how our formalism could be extended to many-body systems, such as the renowned Calogero-Sutherland-Moser systems. The analogues of Bethe ansatz equations for these systems are not known yet. Thirdly, although we have shown how to generate some non-Hermitian Hamiltonians using complexified $P_{m}(z)$, it seems necessary that one should extend the basic variable $x$ to the complex plane for a better understanding of these Hamiltonians [31, 32]. How to extend the prepotential approach to complex variable deserves further study. Finally,
one hopes to extend the approach to systems with multi-indexed exceptional polynomials [42, 52].
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## Appendix

We have the Schrödinger equation $H_{N} \phi_{N}=0$, where $\phi_{N}=e^{-W_{0}} p_{N}$ and $H_{N}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{N}= & -\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+W_{0}^{\prime 2}-W_{0}^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\left(2 P_{m}(z)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d Q_{n}}{d z}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-z_{k}}+\sum_{\substack{k, l \\
k \neq l}} \frac{Q_{n}(z)}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{l}\right)}, \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

from Eq. (4). The polynomial $p_{N}(z)$ satisfies $H_{W} p_{N}=0$, where $H_{W}=e^{W_{0}} H_{N} e^{-W_{0}}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{W}= & -Q_{n} \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}+\left(2 P_{m}(z)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d Q_{n}}{d z}\right)\left[\frac{d}{d z}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-z_{k}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{k, l \\
k \neq l}} \frac{Q_{n}(z)}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{l}\right)} . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

The action of $H_{W}$ on $z^{j}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{W} z^{j} \sim & -j(j-1) Q_{n} z^{j-2}+\left(2 P_{m}(z)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d Q_{n}}{d z}\right)\left[j z^{j-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{z^{j}}{z-z_{k}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{k, l \\
k \neq l}} \frac{Q_{n}(z)}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{l}\right)} z^{j} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

If $m \leq 1, n \leq 2$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left\{H_{W} z^{j}\right\} \leq j$. Hence, the space $\mathcal{V}_{j}=\left\langle 1, z, z^{2}, \ldots, z^{j}\right\rangle$ is a $(j+1)$-dim invariant subspace of $H_{N}$, i.e., $H_{W} \mathcal{V}_{j} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{j}$, for any $j$. Hence $H_{N}$ is exactly solvable, as $\mathcal{V}_{j}$ can be diagonalized for any $j$.

Next, if $m=2, n \leq 2$ (note: $\operatorname{not} \max \{m, n-1\}=2$ as in [1]). Now $H_{W} \mathcal{V}_{j} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{j}$ only holds for $j \leq N$. This is seen as follows. The first and the third term in (4) do not increase the degree of $z^{j}$ in this case, but the second term will give rise to a term $z^{j+1}$ when $m=2$, as can be seen from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2 P_{2}(z)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d Q_{n}}{d z}\right)\left[j z^{j-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{z^{j}}{z-z_{k}}\right] \\
\sim & \left(2 P_{2}(z)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d Q_{n}}{d z}\right)\left[j z^{j-1}-N z^{j-1}+\text { terms with deg }<j-1\right] \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

But it is fine for $j<N$ as $H_{W} z^{j}$ is still in the space $\mathcal{V}_{N}$, even a term in $z^{j+1}$ appears. For $j=N$, the first two terms in $z^{N-1}$ cancel, so $H_{W} z^{N}$ is still in the space $\mathcal{V}_{N}$ as
$z^{N+1}$ doesn't appear. Thus in this case, for each $N$, there is a $(N+1)$-dim invariant subspace of $H_{W}$, and hence there exists $N+1$ exact eigenfunctions of $H_{W}$ (obtained by the diagonalization of $H_{W}$ ). This means there are $N+1$ sets of roots of the BAEs. So $H_{N}$ is QES.

Finally, when $m$ or $n \geq 3$, there is no invariant subspace of $H_{N}$.

## References

[1] Ho C-L 2008 Ann. Phys. 3232241
[2] Ho C-L 2009 Ann. Phys. 3241095
[3] Ho C-L 2009 J. Math. Phys. 50042105
[4] Ho C-L 2011 Ann. Phys. 3261394
[5] Ho C-L 2011, Prog. Theor. Phys. 126185
[6] Ho C-L 2011 J. Math. Phys. 52122107
[7] Turbiner A V and Ushveridze A G 1987 Phys. Lett. A126 181
[8] Turbiner A V 1988 Sov. Phys. JETP 67230
[9] Turbiner A V 1988 Comm. Math. Phys. 118467
[10] Turbiner A V 2016 Phys. Rep. 6421
[11] Ushveridze A G 1988 Sov. Phys.-Lebedev Inst. Rep. 2 50; 54
[12] Ushveridze A G 1994 Quasi-exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics (IOP, Bristol).
[13] Shifman M A 1989 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 2897
[14] Kamran N and Olver P J 1990 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 145342
[15] González-López A, Kamran N, and Olver P J 1993 Comm. Math. Phys. 153117
[16] Shifman M A and Turbiner A V 1989 Comm. Math. Phys. 126347
[17] Samanta A and Ghosh S K 1990 Phys. Rev. A42 1178
[18] Taut M 1993 Phys. Rev. A48 3561
[19] Wiegmann P B and Zabrodin A V 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 721890
[20] Villalba V M and Pino R 1998 Phys. Lett. A238, 49
[21] Ho C-L and Khalilov V R 2000 Phys. Rev. A61 032104
[22] Chiang C-M and Ho C-L 2002 J. Math. Phys. 4343
[23] Ho C-L and Roy P 2004 Ann. Phys. 312161
[24] Baradaran M and Panahi H 2017 Adv. High Energy Phys. 20178429863
[25] Sobhani H, Hassanabadi H, Bonatsos D, Pan F, Cui S , Feng Z, and Draayer G P 2020 Eur. Phys. J. A 5629
[26] Sobhani H, Hassanabadi H, Bonatsos D, Pan F, and Draayer G P 2020 Nucl. Phys. A 1002121956
[27] Chandrasekhar S 1983 The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (Clarendon, Oxford).
[28] Ferrari V and Mashhoon B 1984 Phys. Rev. D30 295
[29] Kokkotas K D and Schmidt B G 1999 Living Rev. Rel. 22
[30] Motl L 2003 Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 61135
[31] Bender C M and Boettcher S 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 805234
[32] Bender C M and Boettcher S 1998 J. Phys. A31 L273
[33] Bender C M 2005 Contemp. Phys. 46277
[34] Kawabata K, Higashikawa S, Gong Z, Ashida Y, and Ueda M 2019 Nat. Commun. 10297
[35] Okuma N, Sato M 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 123097701
[36] Longhi S 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 122237601
[37] Marie A, Burton H G A, and Loos P-F 2021 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33283001
[38] Yang K, Li Z, König J L K, Rødland L, Stålhammar M, and Bergholtz E J 2023 Homotopy, Symmetry, and Non-Hermitian Band Topology arXiv:2309.14416 [cond-mat.mes-hall]
[39] Okuma N, Sato M 2023 Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 1483
[40] Gómez-Ullate D, Kamran N, and Milson R 2009 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359352
[41] Gómez-Ullate D, Kamran N, and Milson R 2020 J. Approx. Theory 162987
[42] Gómez-Ullate D, Kamran N and Milson R 2012 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387410
[43] Quesne C 2008 J. Phys. A41 392001
[44] Bagchi B, Quesne C, and Roychoudhury R 2009 Pramana J. Phys. A73 337
[45] Quesne C 2009 SIGMA 5084
[46] Odake S and Sasaki R 2009 Phys. Lett. B679 414
[47] Odake S and Sasaki R 2010 Phys. Lett. B684 173
[48] Midya B and Roy B 2009 Phys. Lett. A373 4117
[49] Dutta D and Roy P 2010 J. Math. Phys. 51042101
[50] Ho C-L 2011 , Ann. Phys. 326797
[51] Ho C-L, Odake S, and Sasaki R 2011 SIGMA 7107
[52] Odake S and Sasaki R 2011 Physics Letters B702 164
[53] Koelink E, Morey L, and Román P 2023 Matrix exceptional Laguerre polynomials arXiv:2306.03223 [math.CA]
[54] Banerjee S and Yadav R K 2023 One continuous parameter family of Dirac Lorentz scalar potentials associated with exceptional orthogonal polynomials arXiv:2309.12965 [quant-ph]
[55] Quesne C 2024 J. Math. Phys. 65043501
[56] Grandati Y 2011 Ann. Phys. 3262074
[57] Grandati Y 2011 J. Math. Phys. 52103505
[58] Cooper F, Khare A, and Sukhatme U 1995 Phys. Rep. 251267

