Feature selection and regression methods for stock price prediction using technical indicators

Fatemeh Moodi, Amir Jahangard-Rafsanjani*, Sajad Zarifzadeh

Department of computer engineering, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

E-mail addresses: <u>moodi@stu.yazd.ac.ir</u>; jahangard@yazd.ac.ir . szarifzadeh@yazd.ac.ir *Corresponding author

Abstract

Due to the influence of many factors, including technical indicators on stock price prediction, feature selection is important to choose the best indicators. This study uses technical indicators and features selection and regression methods to solve the problem of closing the stock market price. The aim of this research is to predict the stock market price with the least error. By the proposed method, the data created by the 3-day time window were converted to the appropriate input for regression methods. In this paper, 10 regressor and 123 technical indicators have been examined on data of the last 13 years of Apple Company. The results have been investigated by 5 error-based evaluation criteria. Based on results of the proposed method, MLPSF has 56/47% better performance than MLP. Also, SVRSF has 67/42% improved compared to SVR. LRSF was 76.7 % improved compared to LR. The RISF method also improved 72.82 % of Ridge regression. The DTRSB method had 24.23 % improvement over DTR. KNNSB had 15.52 % improvement over KNN regression. RFSB had a 6 % improvement over RF. GBRSF also improved at 7% over GBR. Finally, ADASF and ADASB also had a 4% improvement over the ADA regression. Also, Ridge and LinearRegression had the best results for stock price prediction. Based on results, the best indicators to predict stock price are: the Squeeze_pro, Percentage Price Oscillator, Thermo, Decay, Archer On-Balance Volume, Bollinger Bands, Squeeze and Ichimoku indicator. According to the results, the use of suitable combination of suggested indicators along with regression methods has resulted in high accuracy in predicting the closing price.

Keyword: stock price prediction, technical indicators, machine learning, wrapper feature selection methods, regression methods.

1. Introduction

Prediction the stock market is a very complex task, and to accurately and efficiently predict the future of the market, various factors must be considered. Some of the factors affecting the market situation in order to predict the stock price are: estimation of future earnings, publication of news about profits, announcement of dividends, management changes etc [1]. A common method that most traders use to predict the stock market is to use technical indicators. Stock price prediction helps to greatly reduce the risks of an investment with analysis. Ignoring it will lead to the loss of capital. It is very important to choose the best combination of technical indicators with different machine learning methods for stock price prediction. Various investigations have been done on various technical indicators. No complete and comprehensive analysis has been done to determine which indicators work better with which machine learning (ML) methods; Therefore, it is very important that when using technical indicators to predict the stock

market, to know which combination of indicators along with which machine learning methods will help to improve the prediction.

Considering the long history of stock prediction, most studies have tried to use indicators to judge stock prediction [2]. Many technical indicators have been developed and new types are still being designed by traders to get better results, but still stock market prediction cannot be identified with one indicator alone and a suitable combination of indicators should be used for prediction.

There are a growing number of technical indicators that are used to predict stock prices. Some of these indicators can create contradictory signals. Therefore, it is important to choose the right combination of technical indicators when making a decision about stock investment. As mentioned, it is not possible to use all possible combinations due to the huge number of combinations of indicators. Therefore, having algorithms that can generate adequate combinations of technical indicators is of obvious importance. In this research, an attempt was made to use the best combination of the technical indicators with ML algorithms to improve stock closing price prediction. For this reason, 10 types of regression methods will be investigated in this research in such a way as to improve prediction accuracy. By examining all kinds of technical indicators with all kinds of regression methods, we will try to predict stock market price. This research will help traders to gain profit or reduce possible losses by identifying the best indicators of technical analysis. Therefore, the first goal here is to choose the model that has the best performance for prediction with different indicators, and the second goal is to specify the best indicators for a variety of machine learning methods to better predict the stock market. Here, indicators have been tried to use less attention from researchers. The appropriate combination of technical indicators for the stock market has not been done so far.

The contributions to this research are as follows:

-contribution 1: Using all technical analysis indicators that can lead to greater accuracy in stock price predictions.

-contribution 2: The use of the best combination of the indicators with regression methods to predict the stock market price and achieve better results.

-contribution 3: Proposed method for using the time window by regression methods. Creating a time window leads to the production of many features and the twodimensional data set becomes a three-dimensional data set, while the input of regression methods is twodimensional, our proposed method has become a processable two-dimensional input for the regression methods.

According to the results, the use of suitable combination of suggested indicators along with regression methods has resulted in high accuracy in predicting the closing price

2. Related Works

2.1. Technical Analysis Indicators

A technical indicator is basically a mathematical representation based on a set of data such as price (high, low, open, close, etc.) or volume of a security to predict the price trend. Technical indicators are a set of tools that are used in the trading chart to help make the market analysis clearer for traders. Indicators have different functions. Technical indicators are mathematical calculations that use past price and volume to identify the direction and strength of market trends, which are divided into four categories: trend, momentum, volume, and volatility. For example, the momentum indicator measures the speed and magnitude of directional price movements; the volume indicator is related to price and volume. The volatility indicator shows the degree of price volatility. Although volume and volatility indicators do not show trends directly, they can show stock trends by combining with movement indicators. Some of the indicators are: 200-day moving average, relative strength index, Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD), Fibonacci Retracement and Candlestick price chart. If we can understand the stock trends in advance, we will easily profit from the market. Therefore, the prediction of stock trends by the seemingly chaotic market historical price data has been an attractive topic for investors and researchers [3].

2.2. Feature selection

The motivation behind feature selection algorithms is to automatically select a subset of features that are most relevant to the problem [13]. The purpose of feature selection is that we want to improve computational efficiency and reduce model generalization errors by removing irrelevant features or noise. Choosing a suitable subset of related features will prevent overfitting and also prevent the curse of dimensionality [4]. Selecting the most important and relevant features from a wide set of features in the data set is feature selection. Therefore, feature selection helps to find the smallest set of features, which has several advantages [5]: improving the performance and faster training of the machine learning algorithm, data understanding, gaining knowledge about the process and perhaps helping to visualize it, reducing data, limiting storage needs and perhaps helping to reduce costs, simplicity and the possibility of using simpler models and increasing speed, reducing overfitting by choosing the right set of features. There are various ways to select the best features in a data set [6]. There are mainly two types of feature selection techniques: (a) Supervised feature selection techniques, which consider the target variable and can be used for labeled data sets. (b) Unsupervised feature selection techniques, which ignore the target variable and can be used for unlabeled data sets.

The feature selection steps include the following:

A- Subset generation (search strategies): subset generation can be done through search strategies:

1. Comprehensive search: These algorithms evaluate all possible subsets.

2. Sequential search: Sequential feature selection algorithms are a family of greedy search algorithms that are used to reduce the initial dimensional feature space to the k-dimensional feature subspace where k < d. Sequential search methods include; Best individual N, Plus-l Minus-r Selection, Bidirectional Search, Sequential Forward Selection (SFS), Sequential Backward Selection (SBS) or Backward Elimination [6].

3. Random search: These algorithms incorporate randomness into their search process to escape local

extremes. Random search methods include; Simulated annealing, genetic algorithms and Beam Search.

B- Evaluation of the subset: there are three types of supervised feature selection techniques: Filter methods [20], Wrapper methods and Embedded methods.

2.2.1 Wrapper Methods

The Wrapper method was used by Kohavi and Jan in 1997 to select a subset of the set of all features [8]. For each of the subsets, a supervised learning model (e.g. classification) is fitted. Then these subsets are evaluated with a performance measure calculated based on the resulting model (such as classification accuracy). In these methods are used, simple algorithms such as Kitler's article [9], That is, Greedy Sequential Searches, more complex algorithms such as the Recursive Feature Elimination in the article by Huang et al. [10]. As well as Evolutionary and Swarm Intelligence Algorithms in the article by Xue et al. [11] and Brezočnik et al. [12] has been used for feature selection. The flowchart of Wrapper methods is shown in Figure 1.

Wrapper methods, in general, consist of three main components [36]: (1) a search algorithm, (2) a fitness function, and (3) an inductive algorithm. The Wrapper routine terminates when a predefined number of iterations is reached or the desired number of features is selected (i.e., greedy search). In Wrapper methods, the feature selection process is based on a specific machine learning algorithm that is tried to be placed on a given data set. It follows a greedy search approach by evaluating all possible combinations of features against an evaluation criterion. The evaluation criteria are simply the performance criteria that depends on the type of problem. For example, the regression evaluation criteria can be MSE, R-squared, MAE. Similarly, for classification, the evaluation criterion can be accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, etc. Finally, it selects a combination of features that achieve optimal results for the specified machine learning algorithm.



Figure 1.The flowchart of Wrapper methods

The Wrapper methods include a learning algorithm as a black box and using its predictive performance to

evaluate the usefulness of a subset of variables. The most common techniques used under Wrapper methods are:

Forward selection

Forward selection starts with an empty set of features and is an iterative process. In each iteration, a feature is added and it is checked whether this feature improves the performance of model or not. Iteration continues until adding a new variable or feature improves the performance of the model.

Backward selection

Backward selection is an iterative approach like forward selection, but it is the opposite of forward selection. Backward selection starts by considering all the features and removing the features that have the least performance with the method and continues until removing the features does not improve the performance of the model.

2.3. Methods of the stock market prediction

The stock market predicts in a variety of ways:

predict the stock market with technical analysis

One of the effective ways that traders use to predict the stock market is to take the help of technical analysis. The basis of this analysis focuses on the price and history of various financial assets. This analysis with the help of charts can provide a good prediction of the future of a financial asset. In technical analysis, current prices and expectations are checked with the past of that price. What is important in technical analysis to predict the stock market is time.

 predict the stock market with fundamental analysis

With fundamental analysis, traders can find out the real value of an asset. Of course, this method is used less and traders are more focused on technical analysis. In fundamental analysis to detect the trend, various factors that affect the price of a financial asset are examined. In a financial market, if the real value of an asset is higher than its current value, it indicates that the current price is low and cheap. But when the intrinsic value of an asset is lower than its current value, it indicates that the current price is high. In order to predict the stock market in fundamental analysis, traders pay attention to the real value of an asset and perform their purchase and sale transaction.

In technical analysis, past data of the market is used to predict the direction of prices and it depends on statistical methods to identify patterns [21,22].

To solve the problem of stock closing price prediction, Ying Xu et al. proposed two new clusteringbased prediction models based on two-stage prediction models [23]. In this research, ten technical indicators, nday simple moving average (SMA), weighted daily moving average (EMA), momentum (MOM), stochastic K% (STCK), stochastic D% (STCD), Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD), Relative Strength Index (RSI), Larry Williams R% (WR), A/D Oscillator (Accumulation/Distribution) (ADO), Commodity Channel Index (CCI) are used. Statistical models, econometric models, machine learning models, deep learning (DL) models and hybrid models are used here for prediction. Direct stock closing price prediction can predict the stock trend, so this work predicts the closing stock price for 1, 5, 10, 20 and 30 days. Then the K-Means clustering algorithm has been performed on both technical indicators out of ten technical indicators. Best and worst clustering results, Based on the Silhouette coefficient to evaluate two factors, i.e. clustering coherence and separation, To divide the initial data samples, the stocks are selected into two different clusters. The Bagging [3] ensemble learning algorithm is used for a new prediction model called ensemble learning-support vector regression and random forest (ESVR&RF) to predict the stock closing price n days ahead of schedule. Finally, the K-Means clustering algorithm and ensemble learning algorithm are combined to further improve the accuracy of stock price predictions. The data used here; Four Chinese stocks, namely Shanghai Pudong Development Bank (SH:600000), CITIC Securities (SH:600030), ZTE (SZ:000063) and LeTV (SZ:300104), are from January 1, 2008 to January 20, 2019. To evaluate the performance of prediction models, four evaluation criteria, including mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), mean absolute error (MAE), relative root mean square error (RMSE) and mean square error (MSE), have been used. Experimental results show that the combined prediction model can achieve the best overall prediction accuracy for financial stock prediction.

Arvand Fazeli and Sheridan Houghten used deep learning along with technical indicators to predict stock trends [24]. The model used in this research is a set of short-term memory (LSTM) networks. The data here is selected from one of the S&P500 companies. Also, Backtest was used to measure the performance of the model in the real world, and three other stocks from the information technology sector of S&P500 were selected to check the performance. In the proposed model, LSTM with four layers are used to improve performance. Backpropagation was used to train the network. They used minimum-maximum scaling, with a range of -1 to 1, to standardize the range of features. The library used to train the model is Keras. To predict the trend, the data was divided into a testing set, a training set and validation sets. Ten percent of the data was used for testing and the rest for training. Validation was 10% of the training data. Mean square error (MSE) was used as the loss function and LeakyRelu was used as the activation function. To set the hyperparameters of the model, a grid search has

been used, which scans the data with a set of predefined hyperparameters. It creates a model based on the configuration of each parameter and finally selects a model with the best performance. Talos is used to optimize hyperparameters. From three technical indicators, RSI, Williams %R and volatility were used to show the performance of the proposed model. By only using RSI, the loss of the model is reduced, which helps the performance of the model. It was shown that choosing an optimizer and a different activation function has a significant effect on model loss. The return stock can be increased from a negative value to 6.67%. Positive ROI was obtained for all four shares. Compared to buying and holding assets, the performance of the proposed approach was significantly better for Apple and Intel and worse for Microsoft and Google. Over the same period, the S&P 500's overall performance was +0.05%.

Chenglin et al. presented a reference model for stock market trends, a method for tracking stock price forecasts, as well as a reference for stock market prediction and investor investment. The prediction model in this research is based on ARI-MA-LS-SVM [25]. A specific stock is chosen to start. Then feature reduction methods are applied to the data set. Then, the rough set is used to determine the final input vector of the feature reduction model and the model is trained by training examples. Finally, the test sample is used to test the accuracy of the model. The main idea of the ARI-MA-LS-SVM prediction model is to use the ensemble autoregression moving average method to reduce the conditional features in the original version. The basic radial Gaussian kernel function is selected. The data used in this research was selected from the communication stock trading software. Today's highest price, today's lowest price, today's opening price, today's closing price, today's trading volume, 5-day moving average, 10-day moving average, 30-day moving average, 60-day moving average, KDJ indicator is used as an input variable and the closing price of the next day is used as an output variable. Among these, 90 groups were selected as training sample groups and 12 groups as experimental samples. Three sets of comparative tests were performed and each set of samples was subjected to 20 tests. Experimental results show that ARI-MA-LSSVM has excellent prediction performance, high prediction accuracy and small errors. This shows that ARIMA-LS-SVM has a better prediction effect than LS-SVM and RS-SVM models.

Support vector machine (SVM) produces good results for stock market prediction, but it does not provide strong results in high-dimensional and noisy data. To overcome this problem and get more accurate results, a combined particle swarm optimization-support vector machine (PSOSVM) algorithm has been proposed [26]. The purpose of this research is to select better features for predicting stock trends to lead to better classification for SVM. Here, the prediction of the trend of Malaysian stocks in 17 years has been reviewed. The PSO algorithm is different from other evolutionary techniques because it does not need evolutionary operations such as intersection and mutation. In the proposed PSOSVM technique, the prediction accuracy acts as a fitness function for the PSO method. In addition, PSO is also used to automatically find the optimal parameters for SVM, namely gamma (y) and C. PSO acts as feature selection while SVM is used to predict the results. Here, PSO and SVM are implemented in MATLAB. A set of 15 technical indicators, namely; Accumulation Distribution, Average True Range, Commodity Channel Index, Reduced Price Oscillator, Ease of Movement, Money Flow Index, Performance, Price Volume Trend, SMA-10, Stochastic %K, TRIX Typical Price, Volume Oscillator and Weighted Close with the recommended PSOSVM are selected. Comparing the results obtained with SVM shows that the proposed PSOSVM technique has better prediction accuracy. The PSOSVM approach is applied to remove unnecessary or unimportant features, and effectively determine parameter values, thereby improving the overall prediction results.

Mohanty et al. introduced a modified multi-layer ELM (Extreme Learning Machine), where encoding is done with the help of random Auto Encoder. Here several layers are stacked but the final classification is based on kernel-based ELM [27]. The use of SAE for financial market prediction has not been implemented before. In this research, accurate prediction of the stock market was done using the AE-KELM with comprehensive tests based on various financial data. Prediction is done with four input variables, commonly known as OHLC. Stock price movement is done on a daily basis to minimize significant losses for investors. A promising prediction accuracy for the proposed technique was observed in comparison with other previously implemented techniques and data from different markets. Instead of selecting features from time series data, this new model works as well as the feature extraction method to remove noise. In this paper, DL is combined with ML to obtain a more accurate result. Finally, DL is not combined with KELM techniques for prediction in the field of banks or real estate, so this study tries to forecast the financial market of different banks. The main motivation of this study is to design a model that provides minimum prediction error and maximum profitability. The data set used is, Yes Bank, Bank of India Ltd, SBI, ASHR from China Stock Exchange and DowJones from the US Stock Market. The evaluation methods used in this research include MAPE, MAE and RMSE. It was observed that the polynomial kernel function has better prediction accuracy based on the MAPE value compared to other functions. Based on different evaluation criteria, it can be clearly pointed out that the proposed method performs better than other conventional methods such as ELM, RBFNN and BP, and it can be seen that the polynomial kernel function based on the value MAPE compared to other functions has better prediction accuracy. The machine learning algorithms used in this research are the integrated average algorithm with automatic regression, which is a machine learning model for time series analysis. Also, the XGBoost algorithm, which is the transformed model of the gradient boosting algorithm, and the transformed data is used in supervised learning to analyze time series. The next algorithm used here is FBProp, which is an algorithm using time series data for prediction using an incremental model where non-linear trends are matched with annual, weekly and daily seasonal as well as holiday effects. The performance of the proposed models has been measured by calculating RMSE, MAE and R-Square. ARIMAX is the best algorithm for predicting the change in the price of Bitcoin in the RMSE market, with a value of 322.4. While FBProp and XGBoost algorithms obtained values of 229.5 and 369, respectively, which is much lower than the ARIMAX algorithm.

Hosseinzadeh and Haratizadeh focus on designing a model to extract features from several variables that contain information from the historical records of the relevant markets [28]. Their desired data includes primary variables such as raw historical prices, technical indicators or the fluctuations of those variables in the past few days. In their approach, CNNpred is used to understand possible correlations between different variables in order to extract composite features from a diverse set of input data from five major indices of the US stock market, S&P 500, NASDAQ, Dow Jones Industrial Average, NYSE and RUSSELL. Also, other variables such as exchange rates, futures contracts, commodity prices, important indicators of markets around the world, prices of large companies in the United States market, and Treasury Bill Rates have also been used. Also, the filters are designed in such a way that they are compatible with the financial characteristics of the variables. Convolutional neural network is the main element of their proposed framework. They used the Relu activation function, which is a typical nonlinear activation function. CNNpred has many parameters, including the number of layers, the number of filters in each layer, the removal rate, the size of the filters in each layer, and the initial representation of the input data that should be chosen wisely to get the desired results. CNNpred has two types, namely 2D-CNNpred and 3D-CNNpred. First, the input data is determined, then daily features and long-term features are extracted, and finally, a prediction is made. CNNpred takes the information of different markets and uses it to predict the future of those markets. 2D-CNNpred and 3D-CNNpred have different approaches to building prediction models. The purpose of the first approach is to find a general model to map the history of a market to its future fluctuations, and the general model means a model that is valid for several markets. Therefore, to extract the desired map function, that model must be trained using samples from different markets. 2D-CNNpred follows this general approach, but in addition to modeling the history of a market as input

data, it also uses various other variables. In 2D-CNNpred, all this information is collected and fed as a 2D tensor to a specially designed CNN, hence it is called 2D-CNNpred. On the other hand, the second approach, 3D-CNNpred, assumes that different models are needed for prediction in different markets, but each prediction model can use historical information from many markets. In other words, 3D-CNNpred, unlike 2D-CNNpred, does not train a single prediction model that can predict the future of each market according to its own historical data, but rather extracts features from the historical data. 2D-CNNpred and 3D-CNNpred data have layers that are supposed to combine the features extracted in the first layer and produce more complex features that summarize the data in a certain time interval. In the prediction phase, the features created in the previous layers are transformed into a one-dimensional vector using a flat operation, and this vector is fed to a fully connected layer that maps the features to a prediction.

Mishra et al. used a multiple linear regression model with a backward elimination technique to forecast the TCS stock index [29]. The data set here is the TCS stock price for five years prior to this research (from October 28, 2014 to October 25, 2019); Inputs given to the model include; The opening price is the highest price and the lowest price (independent variables) and the output of the model is the closing price. OLS regression using the backward elimination method has been used. The Rsquare rate used in this research is exactly 1. The closer the R-square is to 1, the better the model. The Omnibus-D'Angostino test shows a combined statistical test for skewness and kurtosis. A lower value of skewness shows the symmetry of the data points around the mean. A higher value of kurtosis indicates a large distribution of data points around the mean. In the case of linear regression, multiple regressions can be linear or nonlinear. A multiple linear regression has several techniques to build an effective model, such as backward elimination and forward selection and bidirectional elimination. Here, the only focus is on the backward elimination technique to create the model. At first, the input data set is fed by the backward elimination method, and then split validation is performed to select the best inputs for the prediction model. In feature scaling, two steps of feature selection and feature creation are done. Here, feature selection is used to study the relationship between variables and create features, and it is used to create or select only those independent variables that have a strong correlation factor. According to the results, all the p values are the same (value 0), which is less than the significant level considered in the research (that is, 0.05). Therefore, all independent variables are important for predicting the dependent variable.

To improve the accuracy of stock prediction, an effective mechanism called Deep Recurrent Rider LSTM has been used [30]. This approach consists of the combination of two network classifiers, Rider Deep

LSTM and Deep RNN, which classifier is trained using a new algorithm called SCSO. The data used here are the stock markets of two companies, Reliance Communications and Relaxo Footwear, collected from January 1, 2019 and April 1, 2021. After feature extraction, a feature vector is created by combining the extracted features. To select the feature, the wrapper approach is used. The bootstrap method is also used for data augmentation. Bootstrap belongs to the group of non-parametric methods and is placed in the section of open sampling techniques. The purpose of bootstrap implementation is to find the error (variance) of the estimator by repeating the sampling and estimation steps. The combination of Rider Deep LSTM [31] and Deep Recurrent Neural Network [32] is used to create Deep Recurrent Rider LSTM, which will be used to identify stock market activities. Deep RNN with the SCSO algorithm, which is a combination of SSO [33] and CSA [34], has been taught. The final prediction result is determined based on the error conditions of two classifiers such as Rider Deep LSTM and Deep RNN. The output with the least error value is considered as the best solution.

Nagaraj Naik and Biju R Mohan used 33 different combinations of technical indicators to predict stocks for short -term transactions [42]. From the data of the Indian National Stock Exchange (NSS), the two stocks of ICICI Bank and the State Bank of India have been used from 2008 to 2018. Initially, using the Borota feature selection technique, effective features were selected and then used to predict stock prices, the ANN Regression forecast (Artificial Neural Network) was used. ANN with three layers was used along with the activation function of the sigmoid function. The threshold value of 0.5 was also considered. Gradient descent momentum parameters were investigated to determine weight and minimum global reduction. In this study, the criteria of absolute error average (MAE) and the root of the average square squares (RMSE) are used for evaluation and are 12% better than the existing method.

Gang Ji et al. provided a method for improving technical indicators based on denoising a variety of wavelet basis functions and selecting the optimal feature of the set of features [43]. In this study, denoise stock price information was used to calculate the technical indicators more effectively. The data includes four stock markets, SSEC stock market, Hong Kong, the S&P 500, and the DJI of the US stock market. 18 technical indicators are reviewed here. They used time windows with variable size. The size of the windows in this study were 3, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 and 60, respectively, and the goal was to predict stock prices after three days. The results showed that the accuracy of the model was improved after denoising.

Haq and et al. calculated forty-four technical indicators for the daily data of eighty-eight stocks. They

considered the prediction of stock movement as a classification issue. The importance of technical indicators was used for independent training of logistics regression, support vector and random forests and as model input features. The accuracy of the classification and Mathius correlation coefficient (MCC) were used as evaluation criteria. The results showed that their model works well [44].

Yaohao Pong and et al. examined a set of 124 technical analysis indicators [45]. Three features selected were used to shrink a set of features, as well as test the prediction performance of these features using deep neural networks with different architectures and regular parameters. In this study, daily data used of seven global market indicators between 2008 and 2019. They performed SFS and SBS procedures using logistics regression as fit and Akaike criteria to evaluate features. Here is the stock data of 7 companies, which includes the US (S&P 100 index), the British (FSE 100 index), France (CAC 40), Germany (DAX-30 index), Japan (Top 50 assets from NIKKEI 225 Index), China (Top 50 assets from SSE 180 Index) And Brazil (Bovespa Index) was used between 2008 and 2019. The data set was divided into two parts and one section was used to feature selection and the second part was used for prediction. The wrapper feature selection methods (SFFS and TS), and Lasso were used to feature selection. The features selected to train deep neural networks were used using the second subset of data. Neural networks were with 3, 5 and 7 hidden layers, with the sigmoid function as an activation function. Adam's optimization algorithm is used to train networks, 400 training epochs and 128 minibatches. Prediction performance for all seven markets and all 48 compositions was precision of between 50% and 65%.

3. problem definition

Choosing the most appropriate combination of indicators to predict stock market price will be done first, then the suggested models, along with the appropriate combination of indicators, will try to predict stock market price. To have a precise evaluation of the models, the data set used for the selection of the best indicators and the data set to predict stock market price are different.

The first goal here is to choose a model that has the best performance to predict stock market price with different indicators with the least error, and the second goal specifying the best combination of indicators by using different machine learning methods for better stock market price prediction. The results of this study show the researchers how appropriate combinations of indicators will help the stock price prediction. Predicting by various methods is evaluated by error-based evaluation criteria and the best methods for predicting stock price will be determined.

3.1. Data set

The data set used in this research is Apple's stock data from 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2022, from Yahoo Finance. The raw data consists of six columns: (1) Open (2) High (3) Low (4) Close (5) Volume (6) Adjusted close. The closing price will be used as the dependent variable and the rest will only be used to calculate the technical indicators and then remove from the data set. Therefore, the independent variables in this study are technical indicators.



4. Theory/calculation

In this research, the wrapper feature selection with the different types of regression methods have been used to select a combination of indicators. In fact, with wrapper methods, the best indicators are selected according to various models to help in better prediction of the stock market price. Here, it has been tried to check all technical analysis indicators with the most important machine learning methods. The review of all technical indicators with different machine learning methods has not been done so far. 123 technical indicators have been used here. Table 1 shows all the technical indicators investigated in this research. All indicators of this study are calculated based on the Pandas TA¹ library.

This research is done in two stages. In the first stage, the methods of forward sequential selection and backward sequential selection are applied to different regression methods and the models are trained then based on evaluation criteria, best combination of the technical indicators are determined. In the second stage, a new data set is generated based on a subset of the indicators identified in the first stage and after of training with training set, the models try to predict the testing set. The general framework for selecting the best indicators for predicting the stock market price according to machine learning methods is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. General framework for choosing the best combination of indicators for stock market prediction

According to Figure 2, first, the data of AAPL company's shares is collected from Yahoo Finance. Then, different technical indicators (according to Table 1) are calculated and a new data set is created including technical indicators along with the data set. Then the preprocessing of the collected data is done to remove the missing values and replace it with the mean of the

¹ https://github.com/twopirllc/pandas-ta

available data. Each feature in the data set is normalized with a Min-Max Scaler, to convert the data into a common scale. Also, the data needs to be analyzed in terms of the degree of relevance of the features, so important features that are useful for predicting stock price should be selected in the feature selection stage. Feature selection consists of choosing the best technical indicators suitable for regression methods. Figure 3 shows a flowchart of stock market price prediction.

The first goal here is to choose the model that has the best performance for prediction with different indicators, and the second goal is to specify the best indicators for a variety of machine learning methods to better predict the stock market price. In this study, like the study by Yaohao Peng et al. [45], we divided the data set into two parts. As shown in Figure 3, the first part of the data set contains the historical data of Apple's stock from 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2013, which includes 1006 samples. This section will be used for the feature selection. The second part of the data set contains the historical data of Apple's stock from 01/01/2014 to 31/12/2022, which contains 2266 samples. This section will be used for stock market price predictions. For both data sections, we use a 70-30 division ratio to divide the data set into the training and testing set. The training set is used to train a model and the testing set is new data where the output values of the algorithm are hidden to compare the obtained output values with the output values of the testing set. In fact, using the method of dividing the data set into a training set and a testing set to estimate the skill of the method on unseen data often has variance that is high (unless there is a lot of data to split). So, when the experiment is repeated, it gives different results. To overcome this problem, k-fold crossvalidation is used. Cross-validation builds and evaluates multiple models on multiple subsets of the data set, providing a number of performance measures. The average of these criteria can be calculated to get an idea of the average performance of the method.

4.1. Using the time window for prediction by regression methods

The time window is a way to convert a time series prediction problem into a supervised learning problem. Here, like Gang Ji et al. [43], a 3-day time window is intended for the data set and a new data set is created (Figure 5, Equation (1)). The goal here is to predict the price after 3 days. The 3-day time window will increase the features in the data set. Therefore, after creating a time window, the new data set should be applied to a variety of machine learning methods as input. Time window converted the two-dimensional data set to a three-dimensional data set, while the input of regression methods is two-dimensional, with our proposed method has become a processable two-dimensional input for the regression methods. In accordance with Equation (2) and as shown in Figure 6, this new data set will be converted into inputs usable by machine learning methods.

Here S_i is the time window i, w is the time window size, day_j is the day j in the data set, k is the number of features (indicators).

$$s_{i} = \{ day_{j}, day_{j+1}, \dots day_{w+j-1} \}, \\ i = j - 1, \\ j = 1, \dots, n - w + 1$$
 (1)

 $F_{k,j}^{(i)}$ is the value of the indicator k for the day j in window i.

Data for each time window is converted to a data point called C_i . C_i contains the value of indicators of the day j in the time window S_i .

$$C_{i,j} = \left\{ F_{1,1}^{(i)}, F_{2,1}^{(i)}, \dots, F_{k,j}^{(i)} \right\}$$
(2)



Figure 3. Flowchart of the proposed stock prediction model

4.2. Experimental process

In this research, six groups of estimators from the scikit-learn library have been examined, which include a wide range of estimators, estimators such as; Simple linear estimator (linear regression, Ridge regression and Lasso regression), based on decision tree (decision tree regression), based on nearest neighbor (K-nearest neighbor regression), based on neural network (multilayer perceptron regression) , based on support vector machine (support vector regression) and group based (i.e. AdaBoost regression, Gradient Boosting regression and random forest).

(1) Linear regression (LR)

Linear regression is a type of linear predictive function in which the dependent variable is predicted as a linear combination of independent variables. Each of the independent variables is multiplied by the coefficient obtained for that variable in the estimation process; The



Figure 5. Create a time window for data set



Figure 6. conversion of time window created as inputs of machine learning methods

final answer will be the sum of the products plus a constant value, which is also obtained in the estimation process. The simplest type of linear regression is simple linear regression, which, unlike multiple linear regression, has only one independent variable.

(2) Ridge regression

The loss function in the Ridge regression is the function of the Linear least squares and is regulated by l2-norm.

(3) Lasso regression

The Lasso regression is a good way to model the response variable based on the lowest and most appropriate number of independent variables and tries to separate the more appropriate variables from the rest of the variables and provide a simpler model.

(4) Decision Tree Regression (DTR)

The decision tree is a tree function consisting of several decision-making nodes. Every non-leaf node is a feature. In the decision tree, the data feature is shown in the inner nodes of the branches and their result in the leaf of each branch.

(5) K-Nearest Neighbor Regression (KNN)

Neighbor-based regression can be used in cases where variables are continuous. The K-Nearest neighbor regression implements learning based on (k) of the nearest neighbors of each point and uses uniform weights. In some cases, the weight of the points can be beneficial, so that the nearest points are more likely to be involved in the regression than the distant points [37]. (6) Multi -layered perceptron regression (MLP)

MLP consists of an input layer, hidden layers, and an output layer. This model is trained with a back propagation algorithm. MLP optimizes the square error using LBFGS or Stochastic Gradient Descent. Activation functions for hidden layers include; Logistic, Tanh, Softsign, Softplus, Sigmoid, Relu, Exponential, Selu, Elu, Identity, LeakyReLU. The MLP is repeatedly trained because at each time the partial derivatives of the loss function are calculated according to the model parameters to update the parameters. It can also add a regularization term to the loss function, which reduces the model parameters to prevent overfitting. The square error uses the loss function and the output of the set is from continuous values [37].

(7) Support Vector Regression (SVR)

The support vector machine (SVM) is a generalized linear classification (supervised learning) that categorizes the data into binary categories. The boundary of that cloud decision is the maximum margin of the sample [38]. In the year 1996, Vapnic and his colleagues proposed a copy of SVM that performs regression instead of classification. This item is known as SVR. The support vector regression is highly accurate for predicting the stock market, but because of the time consuming, its parameters are not used. Failure to accurately adjust its parameters can lead to a time-consuming method that diverts researchers' attention to more use of this technique [39].

(8) ADABOST regression (ADA)

The Adaboost regression is an ensemble machine learning method that starts with the fit of a regression on the original data set and then places other versions of the regression on the same data set, but the weight of the samples is adjusted according to the current prediction error. As a result, the next level regression focuses more on the defects of previous regression [40].

(9) Gradient Boosting Regression (GBR)

Gradient boosting is an ensemble machine learning method used for regression and classification. The Gradient boosting is a linear combination of weak models created to create a strong final model [41].

(10) random forest regression (RFR)

The random forest creates an ensemble model with basic decision -making trees. The random forest makes several decisions and integrates them to make more accurate and sustainable predictions. One of the benefits of random forest is its usability for both categorization and regression issues.

In this study, grid search was used to find the best hyperparameters. Not all model hyperparameters are equally important. Evaluation of each set of hyperparameters is done using K-Fold cross validation, which divides the training data set into K. In this study, the tuning of hyperparameters is done with K-Fold cross validation with K=10 and 3 times. The best hyperparameters used for this research are shown in Table 2.

TADIET	Unorporportare	tuning
IADLE2.	nyberbarameters	tunning

Model	Hyperparameters tuning
LR	copy_X= True, fit_intercept= True, n_jobs= None, positive= False
LASSO	Alpha=0.1, max_iter= 200
Ridge	Alpha= 0, fit_intercept= True, solver='svd'
DTR	Criterion= 'squared_error', max_depth= 9, min_samples_leaf= 2
KNN	<pre>leaf_size= 10, metric= 'manhattan', n_neighbors=2, weights='distance'</pre>

MLP	Activation='logistic', alpha= 1, hidden_layer_sizes= 50, lear ning_rate= 'constant', learning_rate_init= 0.0001, max_iter= 2000, random_state= 1, solver= 'lbfgs'
SVR	C=0.1, gamma= 0.1, kernel= 'rbf'
ADA	learning_rate= 0.1, loss= 'square', n_estimators= 2000, random_state=1
GBR	alpha= 0.1, criterion='squared_error', learning_rate= 0.1, loss= 'squared_error', max_leaf_nodes= 30, n_estimators=2000
RFR	max_features= 20, n_estimators= 1000, Criterion='squared_error', min_samples_split=2

4.3. Evaluation Criteria

In regression problems, one or more target characteristics are predicted based on the input characteristics of the model. Unlike classification problems, the target feature is continuous. Evaluation criteria are used and important in three ways: (1) to train models as a function of cost, (2) to compare models with each other, and (3) to predict model error in the face of new data.

In this research, 5 error-based evaluation criteria, have been used. The formula for the evaluation criteria used in this study is in TABLE3.

TABLE3. Evaluation criteria formula

Error-based evaluation criteria	Formula
Coefficient of determination or score R^2	$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{i} - \bar{y}_{i})}$
Mean Square Error (MSE)	$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$
Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)	$RMSE = \sqrt{MSE(y, \hat{y})}$
Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	$MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i - \hat{y}_i $
Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)	$MAPE = \frac{100}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right $

5. Results

In this study, two methods of feature selection; Sequential Forwards Selection (SFS) and Sequential Backwards Selection (SBS) used with Linear regression, Ridge regression, Lasso regression, Decision Tree regression, K-Nearest Neighbour regression, Multilayer Perceptron regression, Support Vector Regression, Adaboost Regression, Gradient Boosting Regression and Random Forest Regression that abbreviated as LRSF, LRSB, RISF, RISB, LOSF, LOSB, DTRSF, DTRSB, KNSF, KNNSB, MLPSF, MLPSB, SVRSB, SVRSB , ADASF, ADASB, GBSF, GBRSB, RFRSF and RFRSB. A total of 10 machine learning models are evaluated, each with two methods of selection and each method with 5 evaluation criteria stated and finally, 100 algorithms are implemented. Sequential Forwards Selection methods (SFS) and Sequential Backwards Selection (SBS) with any method and any evaluation criteria, each is executed with cross validation equal to 5 (CV = 5) and select the feature set with the highest rating as the best set. The

features selected by each method are in Table 4 in appendix. In the experiments, we used the technical indicators selected as the input features per proposed method with 3-day time windows. Each method selects different indicators by different evaluation criteria by SFS and SBS methods. Also, each method when selecting the feature is correlated with different indicators, and these indicators will improve the stock market prediction.

No.	Name	No.	Name	No.	Name	No.	Name	No.	Name
1	aberration	26	decreasing	51	kc	76	psl	101	supertrend
2	apo	27	dema	52	kvo	77	qstick	102	Sine wma
3	accbands	28	dpo	53	kst	78	qqe	103	swma
4	ad	29	dm	54	linreg	79	roc	104	t3
5	adosc	30	donchian	55	massi	80	rsi	105	td_seq
6	alma	31	eom	56	mcgd	81	rsx	106	tema
7	aobv	32	ebsw	57	midpoint	82	rvgi	107	thermo
8	aroon	33	er	58	midprice	83	rvi	108	trima
9	adx	34	ssf	59	mom	84	rma	109	trix
10	atr	35	eri	60	mfi	85	zscore	110	true_range
11	ao	36	efi	61	macd	86	stdev	111	tsi
12	bop	37	ema	62	nvi	87	kurtosis	112	ttm_trend
13	bias	38	fwma	63	natr	88	mad	113	ui
14	bbands	39	fisher	64	ohlc4	89	median	114	uo
15	brar	40	hilo	65	obv	90	quantile	115	vhf
16	cg	41	hl2	66	psar	91	skew	116	vidya
17	cmf	42	hlc3	67	pwma	92	variance	117	vp
18	cfo	43	hwc	68	рро	93	stc	118	vwap
19	cksp	44	hma	69	pvo	94	sma	119	vortex
20	cmo	45	hwma	70	pvi	95	slope	120	wcp
21	chop	46	ichimoku	71	pgo	96	smi	121	willr
22	cci	47	increasing	72	pdist	97	squeeze	122	wma
23	coppock	48	amat	73	pvr	98	squeeze_pro	123	zlma
24	cti	49	kama	74	pvt	99	stoch		
25	decay	50	kdj	75	pvol	100	stochrsi		

TABLE1. The definition of the 123 indicators in the data sets



Figure 7- Percentage of use of 30 top selected indicators with 10 regression methods

Based on Figure 7, the Squeeze_pro indicator with 57% is used to better predict the market. Also, the most commonly used indicators to predict the stock market are; the Percentage Price Oscillator indicator with 47%, The Thermo indicator with 47%, Decay indicator with 45%, Archer On-Balance Volume with 42%, Bollinger Bands indicator with 42%, Squeeze indicator with 40% and Ichimoku with 40%.

Figure 8 shows using of the indicators with 10 regression methods and 5 evaluation criteria and 2 feature selection methods.

In Table 4, the results of the stock market price prediction by proposed methods based on the testing set (30% of the second part of the data) is shown, which is measured by different evaluation criteria. Based on the results, the Ridge and LinearRegression methods with all

the R2, MSE, RMSE, MAE and MAPE evaluation criteria have the best stock market price prediction results. Also, the MLP Regression with Sequential Forwards Selection and the MSE evaluation criteria, had the best performance.

In terms of improving stock price forecasts with regression methods along with Sequential Forwards Selection and Sequential Backwards Selection; MLPSF with MSE criteria improved by 56.47% compared to MLP regression with all indicators. Also, SVRSF with MSE criteria improved by 67.42 % and SVRSB with MSE criteria improved by 38.35% compared to SVR with all indicators.

Table 4. Stock market prediction by proposed methods on testing set with MAE, MSE, RMSE, MAPE and R2

Model/ Metric MSE RMSE	MAE	MAPE	R2
---------------------------	-----	------	----

LR	0/00105	0/0324	0/02338	0/03157	0/95252
LRSF	0/00025	0/01568	0/01636	0/03279	0/98991
LRSB	0/00034	0/01849	0/01452	0/019	0/98991
Lasso	0/38799	0/62289	0/60487	0/82337	-16/5436
LOSF	0/38799	0/62289	0/60487	0/82337	-16/5436
LOSB	0/38799	0/62289	0/60487	0/82337	-16/5436
Ridge	0/00092	0/03038	0/02193	0/02988	0/95827
RISF	0/00025	0/01572	0/01197	0/02053	0/98991
RISB	0/00025	0/01583	0/01478	0/0236	0/98904
DTR	0/18562	0/43084	0/40069	0/52294	-7/39338
DTRSF	0/15251	0/39052	0/3476	0/48819	-6/14302
DTRSB	0/14247	0/37745	0/35791	0/46613	-5/87599
KNN	0/17019	0/41254	0/38277	0/49943	-6/69553
KNNSF	0/14376	0/37916	0/35005	0/64836	-5/3447
KNNSB	0/14111	0/37565	0/34622	0/70377	-5/50056
MLP	0/01475	0/12144	0/11035	0/14384	0/33313
MLPSF	0/00642	0/28459	0/12435	0/27462	0/6091
MLPSB	0/04832	0/21981	0/08808	0/13822	0/68399
SVR	0/31864	0/56448	0/54128	0/7261	-13/4078
SVRSF	0/1038	0/32217	0/33132	0/50467	-4/60466
SVRSB	0/19642	0/4432	0/34233	0/52814	-4/76679
RFR	0/15987	0/39983	0/37191	0/48577	-6/22875
RFRSF	0/15346	0/38645	0/34745	0/50173	-5/53421
RFRSB	0/15015	0/3875	0/37797	0/4695	-5/65753
GBR	0/18272	0/42746	0/40122	0/52813	-7/26228
GBRSF	0/17002	0/45841	0/38735	0/66709	-6/80044
GBRSB	0/17881	0/42285	0/40297	0/52347	-6/77006
ADA	0/15983	0/39979	0/37341	0/49021	-6/22701
ADASF	0/15403	0/39246	0/36379	0/64818	-5/93106
ADASB	0/15269	0/39075	0/36441	0/47458	-5/91784

LRSF with MSE improved by 76.9% and LRSB improved by 67.67% compared to LinearRegression with all indicators. RISF and RISB with MSE improved by 72.82% compared to Ridge regression with all the indicators. DTRSF with MSE improved by 83.17% and DTRSB with MSE improved by 23.24% compared to the DTR with all the indicators. KNNSF and KNNSB with MSE improved by 15.52% compared to KNN regression with all indicators. RFSF with MSE improved by 4% and RFSB with MSE improved by 6% compared to RF regression with all indicators. GBRSF also improved by 7% and GBSB by 2% compared to GBR with all indicators. Finally, ADASF and ADASB also had a 4% improvement over the ADA regression with all indicators. The results show that the use of the technical indicators selected in this study performs well for the stock market forecast, and the researchers can use these indicators instead of using all the indicators that require a lot of calculations to predict high -precision stock market prices.

Now that the types of technical indicators have been identified by different regression methods to predict the stock market price, these technical indicators can be used with each machine learning method for better and higher precision predictions. In Figure 9, the best performance for MLPSF and LRSF with MSE error-based evaluation criteria is shown. So, adding past technical indicators as features can improve the performance of the model.

After understanding the proper composition of the indicators, with MLPSF, SVRSF and LRSF methods, we will try to find the best time window to predict the closing price. Here the size window size is 1, 3, 7,15 and 30 days (Figure 10). The best window size is w=3.



Figure 8. Percentage of use of the indicators with 100 algorithms.



(a)



Figure 9. (a) best performance for MLPSF and (b) best performance for LRSF with MSE evaluation criteria



Figure 10. Comparison of time window size with MSE criteria $(MSE^{\ast}10^{5})$

Results comparison with existing work is shown in Table 5. Based on Table 5, proposed method has least error with MSE criteria.

Tuble 5. Comparison of results with Existing work								
Methods	MSE	RMSE	MAE					
C-E-SVR&RF [23]	0/1743	0/0363	0/3223					
E-SVR&RF [23]	0/1840	0/0385	0/3269					
SVRSF	0/1038	0/32217	0/33132					
RFRSF	0/15346	0/38645	0/34745					
ANN [42]	-	19/9444	15/1221					
MLPSF	0/00642	0/28459	0/12435					

Table 5. Comparison of results with Existing work

The empirical result of the feature selection

We selected the best subset of the feature in each method based on the relationship between regression model performance and data set. With the selection of a 3-day time window, the number of features increased rapidly, which led to the creation of additional features in the set of features that significantly increased the volume of computational work. The use of forward and backward feature selection methods also led to the use of very high computing resources, and the Sequential Backwards Selection method reduced the speed of work. By applying Sequential Forwards Selection and Sequential Backwards Selection, as can be seen from the results in the Table 4 in appendix, the number of features was reduced depending on each model along with the criterion of evaluation and the features that are critical to the model were preserved. The selected features are more important than the deleted features, and the best subset of the feature is always selected from more important features. Secondly, the selected properties were used as the input feature to predict different models and the performance of the relevant model was evaluated on the basis of error-based evaluation criteria. Model performance improved compared to the original model with all the features. Use of technical indicators in Table 4 in appendix to use with different models with 3-day time window is suggested.

Best combination of technical indicator for MLPSF are: Kdj, bbands, vortex, wcp, vwap, qqe, decay, mcgd, supertrend, uo, ssf, pvt, rvgi, hwc, fisher, aobv, cfo, willr, Sine wma, linreg, efi, rma.

Best combination of technical indicator for LRSF are: squeeze_pro, aobv, pvo, pvt, rvgi, kama, decay, mom, ichimoku, bbands, cfo, trima, hilo, dpo, ebsw, wcp, thermo, stc, pvr, psar, natr, midpoint, pwma.

6. Conclusion

The selection of the best technical indicators is very important in proportion to different methods of machine learning and neural networks for stock market price prediction. This article analyses the performance of different regression methods for predicting stock prices based on technical analysis indicators. Two sequential forward and backward selection methods with 10 estimators in the last 13 years of Apple's data set have been examined with 123 technical indicators. 5 error-based evaluation criteria were used to evaluate the performance of machine learning models. The results have been investigated by 5 errorbased evaluation criteria. Based on results of the proposed method, MLPSF has 56/47% better performance than MLP. Also, SVRSF has 67/42% improved compared to SVR. LRSF was 76.7 % improved compared to LR. The RISF method also improved 72.82 % of Ridge regression. The DTRSB method had 24.23 % improvement over DTR. KNNSB had 15.52 % improvement over KNN regression. RFSB had a 6 % improvement over RF. GBRSF also improved at 7% over GBR. Finally, ADASF and ADASB also had a 4% improvement over the ADA regression. Ridge and LinearRegression had the best results. The Sequential Backwards Selection algorithm is slower than the Sequential Forwards Selection algorithm, and this is due to the greater memory that this algorithm requires when starting. It was also observed that different features are selected by different machine learning methods with different evaluation parameters. Some features are left in the subset of the best features by some methods. Such as Squeeze_pro, Percentage Price Oscillator, Thermo, Decay, Archer On-Balance Volume, Bollinger Bands, Squeeze and Ichimoku are the most important features. Also, the MLP Regression, along with the Sequential Forwards Selection and the MSE evaluation criteria, had the best performance. Also, the SVR regression, along with the Sequential Forwards Selection and the MSE (SVRSF) evaluation criterion, has improved greatly compared to the SVR regression with all the indicators. Therefore, it is recommended to use these methods along with specified indicators to predict the stock market. By identifying technical indicators correlated with different methods of machine learning, these indicators can be used to better predict the stock market and with higher accuracy. The results of this study help researchers in the field of machine learning and stock market prediction to select the best indicators to achieve better results and accuracy in the stock market by using the specified technical indicators.

Future works

The study of this study can be done by taking into account different time and financial assets as well as examining the features selected with different time windows to predict the types of regression models for future work. It is recommended to study the indicators selected in this study (especially the indicators that do better with the multilayer perceptron regression) to predict with a variety of neural networks. In addition, we can achieve the most important features by analysing the indicators in the features selected by different methods that we will address in the future.

References

[1] Bharambe, M. M. P., & Dharmadhikari, S. C. (2017). Stock market analysis based on artificial neural network with big data. In *Proceedings of 8th post graduate conference for information technology*.

[2] Murphy, J. J. (1999). Technical analysis of the financial markets: A comprehensive guide to trading methods and applications. Penguin.

[3] Liu, H., & Song, B. (2017, November). Stock trends forecasting by multi-layer stochastic ann bagging. In 2017 *IEEE 29th International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI)* (pp. 322-329). IEEE.

[4] Bommert, A., Sun, X., Bischl, B., Rahnenführer, J., & Lang, M. (2020). Benchmark for filter methods for feature selection in high-dimensional classification data. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 143, 106839.

[5] Guyon, I., Gunn, S., Nikravesh, M., & Zadeh, L. A. (Eds.). (2008). *Feature extraction: foundations and applications* (Vol. 207). Springer.

[6] Mukherjee, S., Dutta, S., Mitra, S., Pati, S. K., Ansari, F., & Baranwal, A. (2022). Ensemble Method of Feature Selection Using Filter and Wrapper Techniques with Evolutionary Learning. In *Emerging Technologies in Data Mining and Information Security: Proceedings of IEMIS 2022, Volume 2* (pp. 745-755). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

[7] Duch, W. (2006). Filter methods. In *Feature extraction: foundations and applications* (pp. 89-117). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[8] Kohavi, R., & John, G. H. (1997). Wrappers for feature subset selection. *Artificial intelligence*, 97(1-2), 273-324.

[9] Kittler, J. (1978). Pattern recognition and signal processing. *Chapter Feature Set Search Algorithms Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, Netherlands*, 41-60.

[10] Huang, X., Zhang, L., Wang, B., Li, F., & Zhang, Z. (2018). Feature clustering based support vector machine recursive feature elimination for gene selection. *Applied Intelligence*, *48*, 594-607.

[11] Xue, B., Zhang, M., Browne, W. N., & Yao, X. (2015). A survey on evolutionary computation approaches to feature selection. *IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation*, 20(4), 606-626.

[12] Brezočnik, L., Fister Jr, I., & Podgorelec, V. (2018). Swarm intelligence algorithms for feature selection: a review. *Applied Sciences*, 8(9), 1521.

[13] Huang, J., Cai, Y., & Xu, X. (2006, August). A wrapper for feature selection based on mutual information. In 18th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR'06) (Vol. 2, pp. 618-621). IEEE.

[14] He, H., & Shen, X. (2007, August). Adaptive iterative learning for classification based on feature selection and combination voting. In 2007 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (pp. 2800-2805). IEEE.

[15] Tibshirani, R. (1996). Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 58(1), 267-288.

[16] Breiman, L., Friedman, J., Olshen, R., & Stone, C. (1984). Classification and regression trees–crc press. *Boca Raton, Florida*.

[17] Breiman, L., 2001. Random forests. Mach. Learn. 45 (1), 5–32.

[18] Biau, G., Cadre, B., & Rouvière, L. (2019). Accelerated gradient boosting. *Machine learning*, *108*, 971-992.

[19] Bommert, A., Welchowski, T., Schmid, M., & Rahnenführer, J. (2022). Benchmark of filter methods for feature selection in high-dimensional gene expression survival data. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *23*(1), bbab354. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbab354

[20] Sánchez-Maroño, N., Alonso-Betanzos, A., & Tombilla-Sanromán, M. (2007, December). Filter methods for feature selection–a comparative study. In *International Conference on Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning* (pp. 178-187). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[21] Kirkpatrick II, C. D., & Dahlquist, J. A. (2010). *Technical analysis: the complete resource for financial market technicians*. FT press.

[22] Sahin, E. K., & Demir, S. (2023). Greedy-AutoML: A novel greedy-based stacking ensemble learning framework for assessing soil liquefaction potential. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, *119*, 105732.

[23] Xu, Y., Yang, C., Peng, S., & Nojima, Y. (2020). A hybrid two-stage financial stock forecasting algorithm based on clustering and ensemble learning. *Applied Intelligence*, *50*, 3852-3867.

[24] Fazeli, A., & Houghten, S. (2019, December). Deep learning for the prediction of stock market trends. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Big Data* (Big Data) (pp. 5513-5521). IEEE.

[25] Xiao, C., Xia, W., & Jiang, J. (2020). Stock price forecast based on combined model of ARI-MA-LS-SVM. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *32*, 5379-5388.

[26] Zhen, L. Z., Choo, Y. H., Muda, A. K., & Abraham, A. (2013, August). Forecasting FTSE Bursa Malaysia KLCI trend with hybrid particle swarm optimization and support vector machine technique. In 2013 World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing (pp. 169-174). IEEE.

[27] Mohanty, D. K., Parida, A. K., & Khuntia, S. S. (2021). Financial market prediction under deep learning framework using auto encoder and kernel extreme learning machine. *Applied Soft Computing*, *99*, 106898.

[28] Hoseinzade, E., & Haratizadeh, S. (2019). CNNpred: CNN-based stock market prediction using a diverse set of variables. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *129*, 273-285.

[29] Mishra, C., Mohanty, L., Rath, S., Patnaik, R., & Pradhan, R. (2020). Application of backward elimination in multiple linear regression model for prediction of stock index. In Intelligent and Cloud Computing: Proceedings of ICICC 2019, Volume 2 (pp. 543-551). Singapore: Springer Singapore.

[30] Patil, P. R., Parasar, D., & Charhate, S. (2023). Wrapper-Based Feature Selection and Optimization-Enabled Hybrid Deep Learning Framework for Stock Market Prediction. International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making, 1-26.

[31] Binu, D., & Kariyappa, B. S. (2020). Rider-deep-LSTM network for hybrid distance score-based fault prediction in analog circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 68(10), 10097-10106.

[32] Inoue, M., Inoue, S., & Nishida, T. (2018). Deep recurrent neural network for mobile human activity recognition with high throughput. *Artificial Life and Robotics*, 23, 173-185.

[33] Kaveh, A., & Zaerreza, A. (2020). Shuffled shepherd optimization method: a new meta-heuristic algorithm. *Engineering Computations*, 37(7), 2357-2389.
[34] Askarzadeh, A. (2016). A novel metaheuristic method for solving constrained engineering optimization problems: crow search algorithm. *Computers & structures*, 169, 1-12.

[35] Liu, B., Li, T., & Tsai, S. B. (2017). Low carbon strategy analysis of competing supply chains with different power structures. *Sustainability*, *9*(5), 835.

[36] Yang, P., Liu, W., Zhou, B. B., Chawla, S., & Zomaya, A. Y. (2013). Ensemble-based wrapper methods for feature selection and class imbalance learning. In Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining: 17th Pacific-Asia Conference, PAKDD 2013, Gold Coast, Australia, April 14-17, 2013, Proceedings, Part I 17 (pp. 544-555). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[37] https://scikit-learn.org

[38] Li, F., Lu, W., Keung, J. W., Yu, X., Gong, L., & Li, J. (2023). The impact of feature selection techniques on effort-aware defect prediction: An empirical study. *IET Software*, *17*(2), 168-193.

[39] Dash, R. K., Nguyen, T. N., Cengiz, K., & Sharma, A. (2021). Fine-tuned support vector regression model for stock predictions. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1-15.

Appendix

TABLE1. The definition of the 123 indicators in the data sets

[40] Freund, Y., & Schapire, R. E. (1997). A decisiontheoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 55(1), 119-139.

[41] Friedman, J. H. (2002). Stochastic gradient boosting. *Computational statistics & data analysis*, *38*(4), 367-378.

[42] Naik, N., & Mohan, B. R. (2019). Optimal feature selection of technical indicator and stock prediction using machine learning technique. In *Emerging Technologies in Computer Engineering: Microservices in Big Data Analytics: Second International Conference, ICETCE 2019, Jaipur, India, February 1–2, 2019, Revised Selected Papers 2* (pp. 261-268). Springer Singapore.

[43] Ji, G., Yu, J., Hu, K., Xie, J., & Ji, X. (2022). An adaptive feature selection schema using improved technical indicators for predicting stock price movements. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 200, 116941.

[44] Haq, A. U., Zeb, A., Lei, Z., & Zhang, D. (2021). Forecasting daily stock trend using multi-filter feature selection and deep learning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *168*, 114444.

[45] Peng, Y., Albuquerque, P. H. M., Kimura, H., & Saavedra, C. A. P. B. (2021). Feature selection and deep neural networks for stock price direction forecasting using technical analysis indicators. *Machine Learning with Applications*, *5*, 100060.

No.	Indicator	Name	No.	Indicator	Name	No.	Indicator	Name
1	Aberration	aberration	42	Hlc3 Indicator	hlc3	83	Relative Volatility Index	rvi
2	Absolute Price Oscillator	apo	43	Holt-Winter Channel	hwc	84	RMA	rma
3	Acceleration Bands	accbands	44	Hull Moving Average	hma	85	Rollin_Z_Score	zscore
4	Accumulation/Distribution Index	ad	45	HWMA (Holt-Winter Moving Average)	hwma	86	Rolling Standard Deviation	stdev
5	Accumulation/Distribution Oscillator	adosc	46	Ichimoku	ichimoku	87	Rolling_Kurtosis	kurtosis
6	ALMA	alma	47	Increasing	increasin g	88	Rolling_Mean_Absolute_Devia tion	mad
7	Archer On-Balance Volume	aobv	48	Indicator: Archer Moving Averages Trends	amat	89	Rolling_Median	median
8	Aroon	aroon	49	Kaufman's Adaptive Moving Average	kama	90	Rolling_Quantile	quantile
9	Average Directional Movement Index	adx	50	Kdj	kdj	91	Rolling_Skew	skew
10	Average True Range	atr	51	Keltner Channel	kc	92	Rolling_Variance	variance
11	Awesome Oscillator	ao	52	Klinger Volume Oscillator	kvo	93	Schaff Trend Cycle	stc
12	Balance of Power	bop	53	KST Oscillator	kst	94	Simple Moving Average (SMA)	sma
13	Bias	bias	54	Linear Regression Moving Average	linreg	95	Slope	slope
14	Bollinger Bands	bbands	55	Mass Index	massi	96	SMI Ergodic	smi
15	BRAR	brar	56	McGinley Dynamic Indicator	mcgd	97	Squeeze	squeeze
16	Center of Gravity	cg	57	Midpoint	midpoint	98	Squeeze Pro	squeeze_ pro
17	Chaikin Money Flow	cmf	58	Midprice	midprice	99	Stochastic Oscillator	stoch

18	Chande Forecast Oscillator	cfo	59	Momentum	mom	100	Stochastic RSI	stochrsi
19	Chande Kroll Stop	cksp	60	Money Flow Index	mfi	101	Supertrend	supertren d
20	Chande Momentum Oscillator	cmo	61	Moving Average Convergence Divergence	macd	102	Sine Weighted Moving Average (SWMA)	Sine wma
21	Choppiness Index	chop	62	Negative Volume Index	nvi	103	Symmetric Weighted Moving Average (SWMA)	swma
22	Commodity Channel Index	cci	63	Normalized Average True Range	natr	104	T3	t3
23	Coppock Curve	coppock	64	Ohlc4	ohlc4	105	Td_seq	td_seq
24	Correlation Trend Indicator	cti	65	On-Balance Volume	obv	106	TEMA	tema
25	Decay	decay	66	Parabolic Stop and Reverse	psar	107	Thermo	thermo
26	Decreasing	decreasing	67	Pascal's Weighted Moving Average (PWMA)	pwma	108	TRIMA	trima
27	DEMA	dema	68	Percentage Price Oscillator	ppo	109	Trix	trix
28	Detrended Price Oscillator	dpo	69	Percentage Volume Oscillator	pvo	110	True Range	true_rang e
29	Directional Movement	dm	70	Positive Volume Index	pvi	111	True strength index	tsi
30	Donchian Channel	donchian	71	Pretty Good Oscillator	pgo	112	Trend TTM	ttm_trend
31	Ease of Movement	eom	72	Price Distance	pdist	113	Ulcer Index	ui
32	EBSW	ebsw	73	Price Volume Rank	pvr	114	Ultimate Oscillator	uo
33	Efficiency Ratio	er	74	Price Volume Trend	pvt	115	Vertical Horizontal Filter	vhf
34	Ehler's Super Smoother Filter (SSF)	ssf	75	Price-Volume	pvol	116	VIDYA	vidya
35	Elder Ray Index	eri	76	Psychological Line	psl	117	Volume Profile	vp
36	Elder's Force Index	efi	77	Q Stick	qstick	118	Volume Weighted Average Price (VWAP)	vwap
37	EMA	ema	78	Quantitative Qualitative Estimation	qqe	119	Vortex	vortex
38	Fibonacci's Weighted Moving Average	fwma	79	Rate of Change	roc	120	Weighted Closing Price (WCP)	wcp
39	Fisher Transform	fisher	80	Relative Strength Index	rsi	121	Williams% R	willr
40	Gann HiLo Activator	hilo	81	Relative Strength Xtra	rsx	122	WMA	wma
41	Hl2 Indicator	hl2	82	Relative Vigor Index	rvgi	123	Zero lag exponential moving average	zlma

	Englis	serected features by see dendar f of wards sere	ction and	Emplo	Buek wurde Bereenon on rippie 5 duit set
Model	Evalu ation Criteri a	Selected Features	Model	Evalu ation Criteri a	Selected Features
	MSE	98, 7, 69, 74, 82, 49, 25, 59, 46, 14, 18, 108, 40, 28, 32, 120, 107, 93, 73, 66, 63, 57, 67		MSE	2, 96, 99, 101, 61, 123, 114, 104, 95, 31, 50, 3, 20, 100, 78, 57, 53, 6, 99, 109, 45, 28
	RMSE	98, 7, 69, 74, 82, 49, 25, 59, 46, 14, 18, 108, 40, 28, 32, 120, 107, 93, 73, 66, 63, 57, 67	LRSB	RMS E	2, 96, 99, 101, 61, 123, 114, 104, 95, 31, 50, 3, 20, 100, 78, 57, 53, 6, 109, 45, 28
LRSF	MAE	98, 7, 121, 93, 60, 53, 35, 46, 50, 14, 18, 17, 120, 75, 62, 28, 97, 32, 101, 107, 86, 57, 88		MAE	3, 4, 92, 96, 101, 116, 107, 86, 43, 35, 9, 67, 111, 95, 76, 74, 81, 79, 66, 62, 58, 44, 36, 28, 25, 56, 46
	MAP E	98, 97, 50, 117, 105, 14, 96, 109, 101, 66		MAP E	18, 92, 111, 109, 107, 69, 102, 82, 28, 67, 50, 7, 37, 99, 80, 61, 116, 89, 53, 68, 98, 4, 24, 86, 81, 39, 36, 9, 31
	R2	17, 121, 110, 93, 91, 72, 45, 35, 28, 14, 61, 95, 75, 78, 58, 41, 2, 32, 100, 69, 120, 82, 57, 25		R2	17, 121, 110, 93, 91, 72, 45, 35, 28, 14, 61, 95, 75, 78, 58, 41, 2, 32, 100, 69, 120, 82, 57, 25
	MSE	98, 7, 14, 121, 75, 78, 66, 42, 46, 107, 73, 57, 52, 40, 28, 25, 8, 32, 119, 120, 35		MSE	11, 9, 12, 16, 119, 120, 78, 52, 53, 43, 28, 84, 1, 5, 2, 6, 18, 24, 92, 96, 99, 95, 74, 66, 36
DICE	RMSE	98, 7, 14, 121, 75, 78, 66, 42, 46, 107, 73, 57, 52, 40, 28, 25, 8, 32, 119, 120, 35	DICD	RMS E	11, 9, 12, 16, 119, 120, 78, 52, 53, 43, 28, 84, 1, 5, 2, 6, 18, 24, 92, 96, 99, 95, 74, 66, 36
RISF	MAE	98, 77, 14, 121, 99, 101, 93, 69, 78, 66, 72, 39, 35, 8, 107, 82, 36, 28, 32, 120, 118, 112, 86, 52	RISB	MAE	3, 18, 19, 17, 101, 109, 115, 104, 64, 28, 31, 67, 2, 111, 51, 35, 25, 7, 4, 24, 85, 61, 95, 70, 62, 55, 36
	MAP E	98, 32, 122, 120, 107, 105, 69, 74, 78, 90, 66, 82, 57, 19, 75, 99, 109, 44, 28, 46		MAP E	1, 4, 5, 10, 12, 119, 121, 80, 78, 81, 40, 68, 19, 20, 76, 55, 45, 9, 111, 101, 61, 104, 66, 51, 28, 46

T A B L E 4. The selected features by Sequential Forwards Selection and Sequential Backwards Selection on Apple's data set

	R2	17, 121, 110, 93, 91, 72, 45, 35, 28, 14, 61, 95, 75, 78, 58, 41, 2, 32, 100, 69, 120, 82, 57, 25		R2	13, 114, 78, 53, 28, 25, 5, 2, 20, 99, 101, 70, 62, 60, 56, 65, 7, 4, 6, 19, 24, 61, 58, 52, 49
	MSE	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3		MSE	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3
	RMSE	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3		RMS E	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3
LOSF	MAE	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3	LOSB	MAE	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3
	MAP E	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3		MAP E	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3
	R2	68, 98, 77, 1, 97, 50, 11, 65, 7, 3		R2	68, 4, 32, 103, 69, 44, 39, 26, 46, 6, 76, 74, 58, 57, 54, 52, 42, 41, 7, 3, 106, 123, 120, 115, 112, 105, 91, 43, 33
	MSE	68, 98, 97, 7, 20, 85, 101, 120, 117, 107, 95, 66, 72, 57, 28, 30, 106, 112, 105, 26, 25		MSE	98, 113, 107, 75, 82, 46, 1, 16, 37, 99, 34, 53, 47, 35, 4, 10, 19, 76, 106, 43, 42, 39, 25, 88
	RMSE	68, 98, 97, 7, 20, 85, 101, 120, 117, 107, 95, 66, 72, 57, 28, 30, 106, 112, 105, 26, 25		RMS E	98, 113, 107, 75, 82, 46, 1, 16, 37, 99, 34, 53, 47, 35, 4, 10, 19, 76, 106, 43, 42, 39, 25, 88
DTRSF	MAE	98, 119, 92, 85, 109, 107, 73, 52, 40, 5, 101, 86, 93, 75, 83, 90, 71, 30, 80, 105, 79, 63, 55, 41, 28, 25, 46	DTRS B	MAE	98, 1, 97, 7, 20, 27, 100, 61, 110, 79, 66, 84, 59, 50, 6, 19, 96, 81, 55, 9, 13, 58, 57, 42, 25, 31
	MAP E	97, 14, 27, 30, 117, 110, 107, 105, 86, 78, 44, 40, 28, 46, 73, 68, 7, 101, 83, 43, 25		MAP E	2, 13, 21, 23, 85, 96, 101, 55, 53, 44, 68, 50, 7, 14, 123, 120, 28, 94, 46, 1, 99, 103, 117, 87, 42, 25
	R2	9, 19, 30, 119, 92, 115, 107, 78, 102, 44, 33, 46, 4, 111, 101, 80, 109, 91, 90, 88, 11, 7, 93, 66, 71, 89, 41, 25		R2	68, 98, 65, 3, 20, 111, 95, 75, 45, 50, 6, 101, 69, 116, 1, 4, 17, 30, 118, 90, 66, 58, 46
	MSE	98, 97, 16, 117, 105, 106, 120, 25		MSE	107, 14, 106, 123, 120, 118, 42, 25, 1, 27, 109, 74, 64, 58, 57, 54, 43, 44, 41, 38
	RMSE	98, 97, 16, 117, 105, 106, 120, 25	KNNS B	RMS E	107, 14, 106, 123, 120, 118, 42, 25, 1, 27, 109, 74, 64, 58, 57, 54, 43, 44, 41, 38
KNNS F	MAE	98, 97, 117, 105, 34, 25		MAE	1, 10, 14, 107, 4, 123, 120, 57, 44, 25, 27, 37, 103, 106, 118, 45, 43, 64, 54, 42, 41, 38
	MAP E	98, 50, 101, 117, 107, 105, 78, 79, 66, 40, 31, 96, 35		MAP E	98, 8, 101, 107, 78, 66, 40, 97, 7, 117
	R2	98, 97, 117, 105, 34, 25		R2	123, 69, 72, 28, 14, 106, 120, 118, 107, 42, 25, 1, 4, 27, 103, 122, 64, 58, 57, 54, 44, 38, 67
	MSE	50, 14, 119, 120, 118, 78, 25, 56, 101, 114, 34, 74, 82, 43, 39, 7, 18, 121, 102, 54, 36, 84		MSE	68, 7, 2, 13, 21, 32, 96, 113, 78, 53, 88, 14, 23, 30, 99, 117, 9, 115
	RMSE	68, 12, 17, 23, 96, 110, 78, 53, 100, 86, 95, 35, 46, 1, 50, 65, 13, 16, 113, 107, 66, 40, 28, 9, 59		RMS E	68, 7, 2, 13, 21, 32, 96, 113, 78, 53, 88, 14, 23, 30, 99, 117, 9, 115
MLPSF	MAE	14, 12, 120, 43, 35, 33, 25, 46, 115, 95, 72, 42, 9, 26, 68, 4, 118, 45, 83, 62, 57, 49, 41, 56	MLPS B	MAE	32, 37, 85, 118, 117, 105, 45, 43, 42, 38, 56, 46, 50, 96, 64, 62, 54, 25, 94
	MAP E	7, 8, 18, 107, 69, 79, 66, 72, 53, 49, 35, 68, 98, 97, 14, 16, 82, 52, 47, 13, 96, 101, 81		MAP E	68, 2, 122, 113, 78, 53, 45, 23, 123, 117, 114, 110, 91, 51, 13, 61, 89, 52
	R2	1, 101, 64, 45, 43, 44, 46, 9, 91, 25, 56, 14, 118, 116, 69, 62, 58, 47, 42, 41, 59, 67		R2	16, 101, 120, 118, 117, 105, 64, 45, 43, 42, 46, 110, 107, 33, 9, 41, 56
	MSE	68, 98, 117, 105, 46, 107, 34, 66, 56		MSE	1, 14, 58, 57, 27, 106, 122, 123, 38, 46, 37, 103, 104, 94
	RMSE	68, 98, 117, 105, 46, 107, 34, 66, 56		RMS E	1, 14, 58, 57, 27, 106, 122, 123, 46, 37, 103, 104, 38, 94
SVRSF	MAE	98, 117, 105, 72, 62, 46, 32	SVRSB	MAE	1, 10, 12, 86, 71, 9, 18, 107, 53, 35, 59, 98, 77, 97, 101, 69, 82, 54, 33
	MAP E	98, 117, 107, 105, 4, 14, 90		MAP E	8, 32 , 109, 107, 73, 66, 52, 40, 9, 12, 85, 63, 98, 14, 121, 61, 75
	R2	98, 117, 105, 72, 62, 46, 32		R2	98, 77, 1, 97, 10, 12, 121, 92, 85, 9, 39, 106, 123, 82, 71, 44, 59
RFRSF	MSE	98, 110, 105, 72, 1, 97, 9, 14, 12, 111, 117, 89, 46, 68, 23, 120, 118, 107, 69, 57, 43, 40, 25	RFRSB	MSE	3, 5, 8, 108, 107, 69, 57, 77, 1, 65, 12, 34, 78, 62, 33, 19, 80, 122, 64, 43, 41, 40, 26, 59

	RMSE	98, 110, 105, 72, 1, 97, 9, 14, 12, 111, 117, 89, 46, 68, 23, 120, 118, 107, 69, 57, 43, 40, 25		RMS E	3, 5, 8, 108, 107, 69, 57, 77, 1, 65, 12, 34, 78, 62, 33, 19, 80, 122, 64, 43, 41, 40, 26, 59
	MAE	98, 97, 101, 110, 107, 26, 46, 117, 105, 83, 7, 120, 118, 66, 58, 25		MAE	68, 8, 14, 13, 99, 79, 62, 40, 39, 77, 97, 50, 7, 96, 123, 120, 34, 53, 101, 122, 115, 87, 35
	MAP E	22, 119, 117, 114, 76, 60, 39, 46, 98, 77, 97, 65, 3, 23, 123, 107, 52, 53, 45, 26, 88, 4, 30, 109, 113, 9		MAP E	68, 65, 43, 9, 84, 6, 99, 101, 117, 116, 107, 93, 95, 79, 66, 57, 36, 28, 25, 4, 2, 16, 27, 96, 118, 34, 74, 56
	R2	97, 8, 117, 47, 31, 98, 107, 26, 68, 4, 123, 120, 118, 66, 57, 25		R2	10, 111, 101, 115, 110, 38, 31, 46, 68, 98, 3, 14, 61, 117, 67, 1, 9, 106, 120, 105, 64, 63, 42, 41, 25
GBRSF	MSE	97, 5, 14, 96, 105, 66, 62, 52, 9, 68, 18, 21, 107, 93, 64, 98, 76, 77, 7, 85, 100, 120, 78	GBRS B	MSE	68, 7, 13, 30, 119, 93, 82, 62, 39, 98, 14, 61, 110, 74, 53, 59, 67, 88, 46, 97, 8, 18, 121, 92, 96, 69, 72, 40
	RMSE	68, 5, 12, 101, 61, 117, 93, 95, 76, 69, 78, 81, 66, 72, 59, 97, 9, 16, 121, 8, 24, 86, 87, 53		RMS E	68, 7, 13, 30, 119, 93, 82, 62, 39, 98, 14, 61, 110, 74, 53, 59, 67, 88, 46, 97, 8, 18, 121, 92, 96, 69, 72, 40
	MAE	68, 98, 77, 97, 50, 8, 17, 119, 117, 28, 13, 21, 24, 105, 91, 62, 7, 76, 25		MAE	68, 3, 92, 61, 53, 35, 28, 59, 97, 14, 13, 30, 93, 78, 82, 87, 39, 84, 94, 2, 111, 115, 66, 47, 40, 88, 46
	MAP E	98, 97, 8, 117, 112, 107, 105, 95, 78, 66, 47, 26, 7, 115, 40		MAP E	68, 98, 77, 4, 6, 10, 19, 92, 96, 122, 114, 62, 53, 43, 40, 39, 59, 46, 119, 106, 118, 70, 74, 78, 25
	R2	68, 98, 97, 50, 7, 5, 9, 2, 8, 14, 111, 4, 24, 61, 93, 28, 31, 78, 25		R2	1, 97, 96, 101, 113, 107, 46, 68, 122, 86, 62, 51, 40, 3, 4, 14, 106, 61, 123, 74, 57, 43, 42, 41, 39, 25
ADAS F	MSE	68, 98, 8, 19, 61, 115, 69, 74, 83, 82, 41, 16, 109, 120, 71, 51, 45, 33, 25, 92, 96, 113, 86, 78, 52, 53	ADAS B	MSE	68, 8, 14, 61, 107, 45, 36, 7, 4, 16, 92, 75, 79, 71, 87, 44, 40, 35, 46, 34, 90, 57, 89, 53
	RMSE	68, 98, 8, 19, 61, 115, 69, 74, 83, 82, 41, 16, 109, 120, 71, 51, 45, 33, 25, 92, 96, 113, 86, 78, 52, 53		RMS E	68, 8, 14, 61, 107, 45, 36, 7, 4, 16, 92, 75, 79, 71, 87, 44, 40, 35, 46, 34, 90, 57, 89, 53
	MAE	68, 8, 123, 107, 93, 73, 78, 38, 28, 1, 97, 14, 23, 119, 66, 43, 31, 7, 103, 57, 54, 45		MAE	50, 7, 14, 111, 100, 117, 105, 73, 78, 66, 72, 62, 1, 11, 22, 107, 75, 45, 39, 16, 24, 119, 120, 69, 93, 63, 33
	MAP E	98, 97, 117, 107, 105, 47, 40, 26		MAP E	8, 99, 117, 110, 75, 73, 66, 88, 68, 13, 18, 96, 82, 69, 52, 43, 40, 26, 22, 20, 121, 73, 42, 35
	R2	98, 1, 21, 27, 107, 93, 76, 74, 44, 39, 14, 119, 45, 40, 25, 31, 59, 65, 9, 122, 117, 78, 57, 33, 46		R2	6, 8, 14, 12, 16, 20, 99, 100, 93, 69, 71, 55, 35, 68, 50, 13, 117, 115, 62, 7, 85, 74, 25