

Persistent Homology of Configuration Spaces of Trees

Wenwen Li

Abstract: Multiparameter persistence modules come up naturally in topological data analysis and topological robotics. Given a metric graph (X, δ) , the second configuration space of (X, δ) with proximity parameters (for example, the minimum distance allowed between each pair of robots) can be interpreted as a collection of all possible configurations of two robots moving in (X, δ) . In this project, we study the 2-parameter persistence modules associated with the second configuration spaces of the star graph $(\text{Star}_k, k \geq 3)$ and the generalized H-graph $(\mathcal{H}_{m,n}, m, n \geq 3)$ with the edge length parameter L_e and the restraint parameter r . Moreover, we provide the indecomposable direct summands for each persistence module.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	7
2.1	Parametric Polytopes	7
2.2	Persistence Modules	8
2.3	Mayer-Vietoris Spectral Sequences	9
3	A Reduction of $PH_i(X_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$	11
4	Configuration Spaces of the Star Graphs	13
4.1	Y graph	13
4.2	$\text{Star}_k, k \geq 4$	14
5	Decomposition of $PH_i((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$	25
5.1	Decomposition of $PH_i(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$	25
5.2	Decomposition of $PH_i((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$, when $k \geq 4$	28
6	Configuration Spaces of the H Graph	32
7	Decomposition of $PH_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$	49
8	Configuration Spaces of the Generalized H Graphs	53
9	Decomposition of $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$	70
A	Limits and Colimits	78

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55N31; Secondary 55R80.

Key words and phrases. Configuration space, multiparameter persistent homology, representation theory, star graph, H graph, generalized H graph, motion planning.

arXiv:2310.05303v1 [math.AT] 8 Oct 2023

1 Introduction

Configuration space is one of the key notions used in robot motion planning. Given a topological space X , the n -th configuration space of X is $X^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$. The n -th configuration space of a topological space X can be interpreted as the collection of all possible arrangements of n distinct robots (treated as points) in X such that they can appear without colliding with others.

In the real-world scenario, however, there is a minimal distance allowed between each pair of robots. Let (X, δ) be a metric space and let $\mathbf{r} = (r_{ij})_{i < j} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\binom{n}{2}}$. The n -th configuration space with restraint parameter $\mathbf{r} = (r_{ij})_{i < j}$ is:

$$X_{\mathbf{r}}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid \delta(x_i, x_j) \geq r_{ij}\}$$

The topology of the configuration spaces with restraint parameters has been studied by various researchers. Deeley [Dee11] defines configuration spaces of thick particles on a graph X and studies the second configuration space of thick particles. In [Car+12][BBK14][Alp+20][AM21], the configuration spaces of a given topological space X with the critical values of the radius, assuming that all robots are disks with the same radius are considered. Alpert and Manin [AM21] investigate the topology of configuration space of n distinct unit disks in an infinite strip.

In this paper, we study the second configuration space with restraint parameter, where its underlying space is a metric graph. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph¹ and $X = \|\Gamma\|$ be the (canonical) geometric realization of Γ . X is a regular CW complex. Given a vector $\mathbf{L} = (L_e)_{e \in E} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|E|}$, X is called a **metric graph** if a positive number L_e is assigned to each edge e for all $e \in E$, which represents its length. X has the path metric δ : for any $x, y \in X$, $\delta(x, y)$ is the length of a shortest path from x to y . We use $X_{\mathbf{L}}$ to denote this metric space (X, δ) . The n -th configuration space of a metric graph (X, δ) with restraint parameter $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ and edge length vector \mathbf{L} is

$$X_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{L}}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (X_{\mathbf{L}})^n \mid \delta(x_i, x_j) \geq r_{ij}, \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Although the metric graph X is a 1-dimensional regular CW-complex which is less complicated than an arbitrary topological space, nevertheless, the homology groups of $X_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{L}}^n$ are still hard to compute. Dover and Özaydın [DÖ18] investigate the homeomorphism types of $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ over the space of parameters r and provide a polynomial (of the number of the edges of X) upper bound for the number of isotopy types of $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$.

Notice that there is a natural filtration $X_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{L}}^n : ((\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq)^{\binom{n}{2}})^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq)^{|E|} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$, and it comes with $\binom{n}{2} + |E|$ parameters: $\mathbf{r} = (r_{ij})_{i < j}$ and $\mathbf{L} = (L_e)_{e \in E}$. When all robots have the same size and all edges have fixed lengths, in particular, the filtration reduces to a single-parameter filtration. Persistent homology is one of the standard tools to study the topological features of this filtration $X_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{L}}^n$. It is developed in the area of Topological Data Analysis (TDA) to analyze the shape of data. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and (P, \leq) be the set P with a partial order. A persistence module over (P, \leq) is a family of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces $\{Mt \mid t \in P\}$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $\{\rho_{st} : Ms \rightarrow Mt \mid s \leq t\}$ where $\rho_{tu}\rho_{st} = \rho_{su}$ for any $s \leq t \leq u$ in P and $\rho_{ss} = \text{id}_{Ms}$ for all $s \in P$.

For single-parameter pointwise finite-dimensional persistence modules (or, more generally, persistence modules over totally ordered sets), Botman and Crawley-Boevey [BC20] prove that such modules can be decomposed into a direct sum of interval modules. Therefore, one can obtain a barcode for a single-parameter pointwise finite-dimensional persistence module by assigning an interval (of the indexing totally ordered set) to each direct summand. A barcode can be viewed as the persistence analog of a Betti number. [Ghr08]

In the multiparameter persistence theory, however, there is no canonical way to define the barcodes (which represent indecomposable representations) analogous to the barcodes of the single-parameter persistence modules because there is no structure theorem available in $\mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(P, \leq)}$ when the poset P is not a totally ordered set. The indecomposable submodules of a multiparameter persistence module can be very complicated. A sequence of papers by Buchet and Escobar [BE20b][BE20a] show that any n -parameter persistence module can be embedded as a slice of an indecomposable

¹ Γ is allowed to have multiple edges. If Γ has loops, we subdivide each loop of Γ into two edges. We are slightly abusing the notation by denoting the new graph by Γ .

(n+1)-parameter persistence module. In this paper, we investigate the multiparameter persistence modules given by filtration of the second configuration spaces of metric tree graph X with restraint parameter r and edge length vector L .

Main results We now discuss the main results of this paper. Since every tree is a union of star graphs and generalized H graphs, the i -th persistent homology group of the second configuration space of a tree with parameters r and L (denoted by $T_{r,L}^2$) can be calculated inductively using the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence, where $(\text{Star}_k)_{r,L}^2$ and $(\mathcal{H}_{m,n})_{r,L}^2$ are a part of the cover of $T_{r,L}^2$. Note that, for a given metric graph X , at the object level, $PH_i(X_{r,L}^2)$ is equal to the i -th homology group of $X_{r,L}^2$ for all parameters r and L . In this paper, we focus on calculating $PH_i(X_{r,-}^2)$ when X is a metric star graph or generalized H graph.




Figure 1: Star graph




Figure 2: H graph




Figure 3: Generalized H graph

First, we calculated the rank of $H_i(Y_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$ and Y is the geometric realization of the Y-shaped graph, where every edge but e_1 has length 1. We then construct a persistence module $PH_i(Y_{r,-}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$ and prove the following theorems regarding the interval decomposability of $PH_0(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and $PH_1(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$.

Theorem 1. $PH_1(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is an interval module, hence it is indecomposable.

Theorem 2. $PH_0(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is not interval decomposable. The indecomposables of $PH_0(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is given in Figure 4 up to isomorphism.




Figure 4: Indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0(Y_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. The number on the colored block indicates the dimension of the vector space in the representation.

Next, we calculated the rank of $H_i((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$ for $k \geq 4, i = 0, 1$, where $\hat{\text{Star}}_k$ is a metric star graph such that every edge but e_1 has length 1.

Theorem 3. Let $k \geq 4$. Then

$$\text{rank}(H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \\ k^2 - 3k + 4, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} \\ k^2 - k, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ k^2 - 3k + 2, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \\ 2, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} \\ 2k - 2, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\text{rank}(H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)) = \begin{cases} k^2 - 3k + 1, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} \\ k^2 - 5k + 5, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

When $k \geq 4$, the rank of $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 5. When $k \geq 4$, the rank of $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 5: The rank of $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$



Figure 6: The rank of $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$

Next, we construct a persistence module $PH_i((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$. We prove the following theorems regarding the interval decomposability of $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and $PH_1((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$, where $(\text{Star}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2 := (\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$.

Theorem 4. $k \geq 4$, $PH_1((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

Theorem 5. $k \geq 4$, $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is not interval decomposable, and the indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ are given in Figure 7.




Figure 7: Indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. The number on the colored block indicates the dimension of the vector space in the representation.

Based on our calculation of the rank of $H_i((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$, where $k \geq 3$ and $i = 0, 1$, we calculated the rank of $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the metric graph H such that every edge but e_1 has length 1.

Theorem 6.

$$\text{rank}(H_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \\ 6, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 12, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \\ 2, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 8, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\text{rank}(H_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} \\ 5, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \\ 2, & \text{if } 1 < r \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The rank of $H_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 8. The rank of $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 9.




Figure 8: The rank of $H_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$




Figure 9: The rank of $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$

Next, we construct a persistence module $PH_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$. We prove the following theorems regarding the interval decomposability of $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and $PH_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$.

Theorem 7. $PH_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2)$ is an interval module, hence it is indecomposable.

Theorem 8. $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2)$ is interval decomposable, and the indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ are given in Figure 10.

Based on our calculation of the rank of $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$, where $i = 0, 1$, we use induction to calculate the rank of $H_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $m, n \geq 3$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}$ is the metric graph $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ such that every edge but e_1 has length 1.

Theorem 9.

$$\text{rank}(H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \\ m(m-3) + n(n-3) + 6, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ (m+n)(m+n-5) + 6, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \\ 2, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 2(m-1)(n-1), & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$




Figure 10: Indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. The number on the colored block indicates the dimension of the vector space in the representation.

and

$$\text{rank}(H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2)) = \begin{cases} m(m-3) + n(n-3) + 3, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } r \leq L_{e_1} \\ (m+n)(m+n-7) + 11, & \text{if } r \leq 1 \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \\ 2(m-2)(n-2), & \text{if } 1 < r \text{ and } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The rank of $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 11. When $k \geq 4$, the rank of $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 12.




Figure 11: The rank of $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$




Figure 12: The rank of $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$

Next, we construct a persistence module $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2)$ for $i = 0, 1$. We prove the following theorems regarding the interval decomposability of $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and $PH_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$.

Theorem 10. $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2)$ is interval decomposable, where $i = 0, 1$. The indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ are given in Figure 13.

Structure of the paper Section 2 contains the preliminaries and notations of categories used in this paper. Section 4 provides the calculation of the second configuration spaces of star graphs with restraint parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and edge length vector \mathbf{L} . Section 5.1 proves $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is not interval decomposable and describes all indecomposable direct summand of $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. In addition, section 5.1 proves $PH_1(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable. Section 5.2 proves $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is not interval decomposable and describes all indecomposable direct summand of $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$.




Figure 13: Indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^2)_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$. The number on the colored block indicates the dimension of the vector space in the representation.

Section 6 provides the calculation of the second configuration spaces of H graphs with restraint parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and edge length vector \mathbf{L} . Section 7 proves $PH_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable for $i = 0, 1$. The indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ are also provided in Section 7. Section 8 provides the calculation of the second configuration spaces of the generalized H graphs with restraint parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and edge length vector \mathbf{L} . Section 9 proves $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^2)_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable for $i = 0, 1$. The indecomposable direct summands of $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^2)_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$ are also provided in Section 9.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank my advisor Dr. Murad Özyaydm, for his guidance and helpful discussions during this project.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Parametric Polytopes

A parametric polytope $c_{\mathbf{b}}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is determined by $Ax \leq \mathbf{b}$ where A is an $m \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{b} is a $m \times 1$ column vector representing the constraints. Each feasible solution of $Ax \leq \mathbf{b}$ corresponds to a point of $c_{\mathbf{b}}$. Note that $c_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a CW-complex, and the cell structure is also encoded in $Ax \leq \mathbf{b}$. Let $\beta = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Then A_{β} is the submatrix of A with rows $\lambda \in \beta$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\beta} = (b_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \beta}$. A potential 0-dimensional cell of $c_{\mathbf{b}}$ is given by $A_{\beta}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{\beta}$ for some β (where A_{β}^{-1} exists) and such potential 0-dimensional cell is indeed an 0-dimensional cell of $c_{\mathbf{b}}$ if $A_{\beta}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{\beta}$ is a solution of $Ax \leq \mathbf{b}$. We label each 0-cell by

$$S_{\mathbf{b}} := \{\beta \mid A_{\beta} \text{ is a solution of } Ax \leq \mathbf{b}\}$$

We assign a label $v_{\mathbf{b}}$ to each vertex of the parametric polytope where $v_{\mathbf{b}} = \{\lambda \mid A_{\lambda}A^{-1}\mathbf{b} = b_{\lambda}\}$. Let $T_{\mathbf{b}}$ denote the type of $c_{\mathbf{b}}$: $T_{\mathbf{b}} = \{v_{\mathbf{b}}(\beta) \mid \beta \in S_{\mathbf{b}}\}$. Dover and Özyaydm [DÖ18] showed the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. The family of polytopes $\{c_{\mathbf{b}}\}_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ has only finitely many combinatorial types.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $T_{\mathbf{b}'} \neq \emptyset$, then the set of all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $T_{\mathbf{b}} = T_{\mathbf{b}'}$ is convex.

Let X be a finite metric graph. The cellular structure of $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ can be characterized by parametric polytopes [DÖ18]. Each maximal cell of $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ consists of the solutions of inequality system:

For $1 \leq i \leq n$:

$$0 \leq x_i \leq L_{e_i} \tag{7}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i + x_j + \delta(a_i, a_j) &\geq r_{ij}; \\
L_{e_i} - x_i + x_j + \delta(b_i, a_j) &\geq r_{ij}; \\
x_i + L_{e_j} - x_j + \delta(a_i, b_j) &\geq r_{ij}; \\
L_{e_i} - x_i + L_{e_j} - x_j + \delta(b_i, b_j) &\geq r_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If there exists $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $e_i = e_j$, with further assumption that $x_i \leq x_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i - x_j &\leq 0; \\
x_i - x_j &\leq -r_{ij}; \\
L_{e_i} - x_i + x_j + \delta(a_i, b_i) &\geq r_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let $X := X_{\mathbf{L}}$ be a metric graph with edge length vector \mathbf{L} . Define an equivalence relation on the parameter space as follows: $\mathbf{r} \sim \mathbf{s}$ if and only if $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ and $X_{\mathbf{s}}^n$ have the same combinatorial type. It is known that $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ is homeomorphic to $X_{\mathbf{s}}^n$ if $\mathbf{r} \sim \mathbf{s}$. [DÖ18] \mathbf{r} is called **critical** if the combinatorial type (face poset) of the cell of $(X_{\mathbf{L}})_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ changes at \mathbf{r} . When X is a metric tree, \mathbf{r} is critical only when one of the constraints in (7) and one of the constraints in (8) or (9) are binding. By considering the critical \mathbf{r} among all possible \mathbf{L} , we obtain critical hyperplanes in the parameter space. Those hyperplanes subdivide the parameter space into a disjoint union of subsets which we call ‘‘chambers’’. Note that $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ and $X_{\mathbf{s}}^n$ have the same combinatorial type if \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} are contained in the same chamber.

Theorem 11 (Dover-Özaydın, 2013[DÖ18]). *For a metric graph X with E edges, the number of homotopy types for the family of spaces $\{X_{\mathbf{r}}^n\}_{r \geq 0}$ is bounded above by $\frac{9}{2}E^2 - \frac{5}{2}E + 1$, and the number of isotopy types is bounded above by $9E^2 - 5E$.*

Theorem 12 (Dover-Özaydın, 2013[DÖ18]). *Let n be a fixed positive integer and Ω be a d -dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$. The number of isotopy types of $\{X_{\mathbf{r}}^n\}_{\mathbf{r} \in \Omega}$ is bounded above by a polynomial of degree nd in the number of edges of X .*

When the norm of parameter \mathbf{r} is sufficiently small, $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ is homotopy equivalent to the n -th configuration space $X^{\mathbb{Z}}$:

Proposition 13. Let X be a connected regular metric graph, and let L_e denote the edge length of $e \in E$ where E is the set of edges of X . Define $a := \min_{e \in E} L_e$. If $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\infty} < \frac{a}{n}$, then the inclusion $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \hookrightarrow X^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. If $\mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{s}$ and $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\infty} < \frac{a}{n}$, then \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} belong to the same chamber in the parameter space. Note that each chamber is convex, the maps $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{s}}^n \hookrightarrow X_{\mathbf{r}}^n$ and $X_{\mathbf{s}}^n \hookrightarrow X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{s}}^n$ are isotopic to the identity maps. Thus $\pi_k(X_{\mathbf{s}}^n) \rightarrow \pi_k(X_{\mathbf{r}}^n)$ is an isomorphism for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\varinjlim X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \cong X^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we update the colimit cone with the leg maps $i_{\mathbf{r}} : X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \hookrightarrow X^{\mathbb{Z}}$, for all \mathbf{r} . Note that, by Theorem 72, \varinjlim is exact, therefore,

$$\varinjlim \pi_k(X_{\mathbf{r}}^n) \cong \pi_k(\varinjlim X_{\mathbf{r}}^n) = \pi_k(X^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

By Lemma 9, there exists \mathbf{r} such that the induced map $\pi_k i_{\mathbf{r}} : \pi_k(X_{\mathbf{r}}^n) \rightarrow \pi_k(X^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is an isomorphism. By Whitehead’s theorem, we conclude that the inclusion $X_{\mathbf{r}}^n \hookrightarrow X^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. \square

2.2 Persistence Modules

Let \mathbb{F} be a field and (P, \leq) be a poset. Let \mathbf{C} be a category. $M : (P, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called a **(P -indexed) persistence object**. M is called a **(P -indexed) filtration** when $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$ and $M(i \leq j)$ is an inclusion for all $i \leq j \in P$. M is called a **(P -indexed) persistence module** when $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$. In other words, the (P -indexed) persistence module M consists of a family of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces $\{Mt \mid t \in P\}$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $\{\rho_{st} : Ms \rightarrow Mt \mid s \leq t\}$ where $\rho_{tu}\rho_{st} = \rho_{su}$ for any $s \leq t \leq u$ in P and $\rho_{ss} = \text{id}_{Ms}$ for all $s \in P$. Alternatively, M can be viewed as a poset representation over the poset (P, \leq) . We use $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(P, \leq)}$ to denote the category of persistence modules over the poset (P, \leq) . Note that $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(P, \leq)}$ has a full subcategory (denoted by $\mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(P, \leq)}$) consists of point-wise finite dimensional persistence modules².

²A persistence module M is called point-wise finite dimensional if $M(i)$ is a finite dimensional vector space for all $i \in (P, \leq)$.

When $(P, \leq) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \leq)$ with the product order, where $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^n, \leq)$ if and only if $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, the objects of $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(\mathbb{R}^n, \leq)}$ is called **n -parameter persistence modules**.

We define the **i -th persistent homology functor** $PH_i(-) : \mathbf{Top}^{(P, \leq)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{(P, \leq)}$ as follows:

- At the object level, $PH_i(-)$ sends each P -indexed filtration M to a P -indexed persistence abelian group $H_i(M)$, where $H_i(M) : (P, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ sends each $p \in P$ to $H_i(Mp)$ and each arrow $p \leq q$ to the group homomorphism $H_i(Mp) \rightarrow H_i(Mq)$ induced by the inclusion map $Mp \hookrightarrow Mq$ in \mathbf{Top} ;
- An the morphism level, $PH_i(-)$ sends each natural transformation $\alpha : M \Rightarrow N$ to $H_i(\alpha) : H_i(M) \Rightarrow H_i(N)$. The naturality of $H_i(\alpha)$ is clear because $H_i(-)$ is a functor hence it preserves commutative diagrams.

In other words, for each $M \in \mathbf{ob} \mathbf{Top}^{(P, \leq)}$, $PH_i(M)$ can be obtained by post-composing $H_i(-)$ with M . The functoriality of $PH_i(-)$ is clear.

For example, $PH_i(Y_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is the i -th persistence module corresponding to the bifiltration of the second configuration spaces of the metric Y graph with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} . $PH_i(Y_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ can be viewed as a composition of functors $Y_{-, -}^2 : (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq)^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ and $H_i(-; \mathbb{F})$. More generally, when X is a finite metric graph, $PH_i(X_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is the i -th persistence module corresponding to the bifiltration of the second configuration spaces of X with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} . $PH_i(X_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ can be viewed as a composition of functors $X_{-, -}^2 : (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq)^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ and $H_i(-; \mathbb{F})$.

Let (P, \leq) be a poset and \mathbf{I} be a subset of P . \mathbf{I} is **convex** if for any $a \leq b \leq c$ in P , $a, c \in \mathbf{I}$ implies $b \in \mathbf{I}$. \mathbf{I} is connected if it is connected as a category. \mathbf{I} is an **interval** if it is connected and convex.

Definition 14. Let (P, \leq) be a poset and $\mathbf{I} \subseteq (P, \leq)$ is an interval. Define the **interval module** $\mathbb{F}\mathbf{I}$ as

$$\mathbb{F}\mathbf{I}t = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}, & \text{if } t \in \mathbf{I}; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \notin \mathbf{I} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}\mathbf{I}t, \mathbb{F}\mathbf{I}s) = \begin{cases} \{id_{\mathbb{F}}\}, & \text{if } t \leq s \in \mathbf{I}; \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

When $(P, \leq) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \leq)$ and $n \geq 2$, we call $\mathbb{F}\mathbf{I}$ the **polytope module** over \mathbf{I} .

Definition 15. Let $M \in \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(P, \leq)}$. M is **decomposable** if there exists non-trivial subrepresentation N and N' such that $Mt \cong Nt \oplus N't$ for all $t \in P$. We say M is **indecomposable** if it is not decomposable.

Lemma 3. Interval modules are thin and indecomposable.

Proof. Let M be an interval module. The support of M is an interval of (P, \leq) . Consider the endomorphism ring of M . We want to show $\text{End} M \cong \mathbb{F}$. Let $f \in \text{End}(M)$. Note that for every $p \in (P, \leq)$, $f_p : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear transformation, hence $f_p(x) = c_f x$ for some $c_f \in \mathbb{F}$. Let p' be a point in the support of M . Note that there exists a zigzag path from p to p' because the support of M is an interval. Since f is a morphism between two representations and M is a polytope module, we are forced to have $f_{p'}(x) = c_f x$. Define $\Phi : \text{End}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $\Phi(f) = c_f$ for each $f \in \text{End}(M)$. It is clear that Φ is bijective, so we only need to show Φ is a ring homomorphism. Note that $\Phi(f + g) = c_f + c_g = \Phi(f) + \Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(f \circ g) = c_f \cdot c_g = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$, hence Φ is a ring homomorphism. \square

2.3 Mayer-Vietoris Spectral Sequences

In this section, we give an introduction to the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequences. The set up for the general spectral sequences can be found in any standard textbooks on homological algebra, for example, [Wei95] and [Rot09]. We are going to use \mathbf{A} to denote an abelian category.

A **(homology) spectral sequence** in \mathbf{A} is a collection of objects $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ of \mathbf{A} , for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq a$ (for a fixed a), together with morphisms $d_{pq}^r : E_{pq}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$ such that $d_{pq}^r \circ d_{p-r, q+r-1}^r = 0$, satisfying

$$E_{pq}^{r+1} \cong \frac{\ker d_{pq}^r}{\text{Im } d_{p+r, q-r+1}^r}$$

For each r , the collection of E_{pq}^r is called the r -**th page** of the spectral sequence. $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ is said to be **bounded** if for each $n := p + q$ (called the total degree of E_{pq}^r), there exists only finitely many non-zero term E_{pq}^a .

When $E_{pq}^r = 0$ unless $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$, $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ is called a **first quadrant spectral sequence**. When r is sufficiently large, $d_{pq}^r : E_{pq}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$ is the zero map since $E_{pq}^r = 0$ or $E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r = 0$. Hence $E_{pq}^r = E_{pq}^{r+1}$ for large r . Note that every first quadrant spectral sequence is bounded.

Definition 16. Let $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ be a bounded spectral sequence. Then $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ **converges** to H (denoted by $E_{pq}^r \Rightarrow H$) if there exists a collection of objects $H_n \in \mathbf{ob} \mathbf{A}$ and a filtration

$$0 = F_s H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{p-1} H_n \subseteq F_p H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_t H_n = H_n$$

for each n and

$$E_{pq}^\infty \cong \frac{F_p H_n}{F_{p-1} H_n} = \frac{F_p H_{p+q}}{F_{p-1} H_{p+q}}$$

for each $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $p + q = n$.

We will heavily use the next proposition in this paper.

Proposition 17. Let $\{E_{pq}^r\}$ be a bounded spectral sequence where $E_{pq}^2 = 0$ unless $p = 0$ or $p = 1$. If $E_{pq}^r \Rightarrow H$, then there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0n}^2 \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow E_{1, n-1}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

for each n .

Proof. Note that $E_{pq}^r \Rightarrow H$, there exists a filtration of H such that for each n ,

$$0 = F_s H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{p-1} H_n \subseteq F_p H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_t H_n = H_n$$

such that $E_{pq}^\infty \cong \frac{F_p H_{p+q}}{F_{p-1} H_{p+q}}$. For each p, q , $d_{pq}^2 : E_{pq}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2, q+1}^2$ is a zero morphism because $E_{pq}^2 = 0$ unless $p = 0$ or $p = 1$. Therefore, the E^2 page has no non-trivial arrows. Hence $E^2 = E^\infty$, and

$$E_{pq}^2 \cong \frac{F_p H_{p+q}}{F_{p-1} H_{p+q}}$$

Note that $n = p + q$, hence

- when $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq 1$, $E_{pq}^2 = 0 = \frac{F_p H_n}{F_{p-1} H_n}$. Hence $F_p H_n = F_{p-1} H_n$ for all $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq 1$, and the filtration becomes to

$$0 = F_s H_n = \cdots = F_{-2} H_n = F_{-1} H_n \subseteq F_0 H_n \subseteq F_1 H_n = F_2 H_n = \cdots = F_t H_n = H_n$$

- when $p = 0$, $E_{pq}^2 = E_{0q}^2 \cong \frac{F_0 H_n}{F_{-1} H_n}$. Since $F_{-1} H_n = 0$, we obtain $E_{0q}^2 \cong F_0 H_{0+q}$;

- when $p = 1$, $E_{pq}^2 = E_{1q}^2 \cong \frac{F_1 H_n}{F_{1-1} H_n} = \frac{H_n}{F_0 H_n}$.

Note that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_0 H_n \hookrightarrow H_n \rightarrow H_n / F_0 H_n \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore,

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0, n}^2 \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow E_{1, n-1}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

□

Definition 18. A **double complex** is an ordered triple (M, d', d'') , where M is a bigraded module and d', d'' are differential maps of bidegree $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, -1)$ such that $d' \circ d'' + d'' \circ d' = 0$.

In other words, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$d'_{pq} : M_{p,q} \rightarrow M_{p-1,q}$$

$$d''_{pq} : M_{p,q} \rightarrow M_{p,q-1}$$

Definition 19. A **total complex** of a double complex (M, d', d'') is a complex where its n -th term is $\bigoplus_{p+q=n} M_{p,q}$. We use $\text{Tot}(M)_n$ to denote the term $\bigoplus_{p+q=n} M_{p,q}$.

Lemma 4. $\{\text{Tot}(M)_n\}$ is a chain complex, where $d = \sum_{p+q=n} d'_{pq} + d''_{pq}$.

Let X be a CW-complex. Consider a cover $U := \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X where I is a totally ordered set and X_i is a subcomplex of X for all $i \in I$. Note that for $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, there is an inclusion map

$$C(X_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{i_n}) \rightarrow C(X_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{X_{i_k}} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{i_n})$$

where $\widehat{X_{i_k}}$ means we remove the k -th direct summand from the given expression. Therefore, it induces a unique map

$$\bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} C(X_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{i_n}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_{n-1}} C(X_{j_1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{j_{n-1}})$$

Therefore, we obtain a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} C(X_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{i_n}) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{j_1 < \dots < j_{n-1}} C(X_{j_1} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{j_{n-1}}) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_i C(X_i) \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 5. The sequence given in (10) is exact, and $E_{pq} \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X)$ where

$$E_{pq}^2 = H_p(E_{pq}^1) = H_p\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Nerve}(U)} H_q(X_\sigma)\right)$$

Proof. See [Bro12] pp 166-167. □

3 A Reduction of $PH_i(X_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$

Let X be a finite metric graph. In this section, we construct a persistence module N for each persistence module such that N is naturally isometric to $PH_i(X_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and the restriction of N to any chamber in the parameter space of $X_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ is a constant functor.

Proposition 20. Let (J, \leq) be a connected poset and $M : (J, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a persistence module where $M(i \leq j) : M_i \rightarrow M_j$ is an isomorphism for all $i \leq j \in P$. Then there exists a persistence module $N : (J, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $M \cong N$ and $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ is the identity map for all $i \leq j \in P$.

Proof. Let $\varinjlim_J M_i$ and $\sigma_i : M_i \rightarrow \varinjlim_J M_i$ be the leg map for all $i \in J$. Since for all $y \in \varinjlim_J M_i$, there exists $i \in J$ such that $\sigma_i(x) = y$. Since $M(i \leq j)$ is an isomorphism and (J, \leq) is connected, σ_i is surjective. On the other hand, assume there exists $x \in M_i$ such that $\sigma_i(x) = 0$. Then there exists $j \geq i \in J$ such that $M(i \leq j)(x) = 0$. Because $M(i \leq j)$ is an isomorphism, $x = 0$. Therefore, σ_i is injective, and $\varinjlim_J M_i \cong M_i$. Since $M_i \cong M_j$ for all $i, j \in J$, $\varinjlim_J M_i \cong M_j$

for all $j \in J$. Note that $\varinjlim M_i$ can be viewed as a constant functor $\varinjlim M_i : (J, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ where $(\varinjlim M_i)j = \varinjlim M_i$ for all $j \in J$ and $(\varinjlim M_i)(j \leq k) : (\varinjlim M_i)j \rightarrow (\varinjlim M_i)k$ is the identity morphism. It is clear that $\varinjlim M_i \cong M$. Define $N = \varinjlim M_i$. \square

Proposition 21. Let (J, \leq) be a connected poset and $M : (J, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a persistence module. Let (J_1, \leq) and (J_2, \leq) be disjoint intervals that contained in (J, \leq) such that $M(i \leq j) : M_i \rightarrow M_j$ is an isomorphism for all $i \leq j \in J_k$, $k = 1, 2$. If there exists $i \in J_1$ and $j \in J_2$ such that $i \leq j$, then there exists a well-defined morphism $\phi_{J_1 J_2} : \varinjlim_{J_1} M_i \rightarrow \varinjlim_{J_2} M_j$ such that the following diagram commutes, where σ_i is the leg map of the colimit cone $M|_{J_1} \Rightarrow \varinjlim_{J_1} M_i$ and τ_j is the leg map of the colimit cone $M|_{J_2} \Rightarrow \varinjlim_{J_2} M_j$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{M(i \leq j)} & M_j \\ \sigma_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_j \\ \varinjlim_{J_1} M_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_{J_1 J_2}} & \varinjlim_{J_2} M_j \end{array}$$

Proof. By Proposition 20, both σ_i and τ_j are isomorphisms. Define $\phi_{J_1 J_2} = \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$. Now we are going to show $\phi_{J_1 J_2}$ does not depend on the choice of σ_i and τ_j .

Assume there exists $i' \leq i \in J_1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j \circ M(i' \leq j) \circ \sigma_{i'}^{-1} &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ M(i' \leq i) \circ \sigma_{i'}^{-1} \\ &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, assume there exists $i' \in J_1$ such that $i \leq i' \leq j$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j \circ M(i' \leq j) \circ \sigma_{i'}^{-1} &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ M(i \leq i')^{-1} \circ \sigma_{i'}^{-1} \\ &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence $\phi_{J_1 J_2}$ does not depend on the choice of σ_i for all $i \in J_1$.

Assume there exists $j \leq j' \in J_2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{j'} \circ M(i \leq j') \circ \sigma_i^{-1} &= \tau_{j'} \circ M(j \leq j') \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, assume there exists $j' \in J_2$ such that $i \leq j' \leq j$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{j'} \circ M(i \leq j') \circ \sigma_i^{-1} &= \tau_j \circ M(j' \leq j) \circ M(i \leq j') \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &= \tau_j \circ M(i \leq j) \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Hence $\phi_{J_1 J_2}$ does not depend on the choice of τ_j for all $j \in J_2$. \square

Let (X, δ) be a finite metric graph where every edge of X but e_1 has length 1. Since the number of hyperplanes in the parameter space of $X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ is finite, the number of chambers in the hyperplane arrangement of $X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ is also finite. For each chamber J of the hyperplane arrangement, one can calculate $\varinjlim_J H_i(X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)$. Proposition 20 implies that

$PH_i(X_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})|_J \cong \varinjlim_J H_i(X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)$. Using Proposition 21, we construct a persistence module $N : (\mathbb{R}, \leq)^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbb{R}, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ as follows:

- For every chamber J , $Nj = \varinjlim_J H_i(X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $j \in J$;

- For all $j_1 \leq j_2$, let J_1 be the chamber contains j_1 and J_2 be the chamber contains j_2 . Then $N(j_1 \leq j_2) : Nj_1 \rightarrow Nj_2$ is

$$N(j_1 \leq j_2) = \begin{cases} id_{\lim_{\overrightarrow{J_1}} H_i(X_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)}, & \text{if } J_1 = J_2 \\ \phi_{J_1 J_2}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Apply proposition 21, we immediately obtain:

Theorem 22. *Let (X, δ) be a finite metric graph, and assume every edge of X but e_1 has length 1. Then*

$$PH_i(X_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F}) \cong N$$


Note that the functor category $\mathbf{vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{(\mathbb{R}_{>0, \leq})^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0, \leq})}$ is a Krull-Schmidt category[BC20]. Therefore, to find the indecomposable direct summands of $PH_i(X_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$, it suffices to find the indecomposable direct summands of N .

4 Configuration Spaces of the Star Graphs

In Section 4.1, we calculated the second configuration space of the Y graph with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} . In Section 4.2, we calculated the second configuration space of the star graph with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} .

4.1 Y graph

Let $Y_{L_{e_1}}$ be a metric graph with the shape of Y where two edges of $Y_{L_{e_1}}$ (labeled by e_2 and e_3) have length 1 (i.e., $L_{e_2} = L_{e_3} = 1$) and the length of the other edge (labeled by e_1) is L_{e_1} . Let r denote the minimum distance required between the two robots and let $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ denote the second configuration space of $Y_{L_{e_1}}$ with restraint parameter r . Define x to be the distance between the red robot and the central vertex of Y and y to be the distance between the green robot and the central vertex of Y. The systems of inequalities (15) and (16) characterize the cells of $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$.



$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_1} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\ x + y &\geq r \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_i} \\ |x - y| &\geq r \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Figure 14

The critical hyperplanes in the parameter space of $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ are $r = 1$, $r = 2$, $r = L_{e_1}$, and $r = L_{e_1} + 1$. Moreover, the hyperplane arrangement in the parameter space of $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ is shown in figure 15. Note that Y_{r_1, l_1}^2 and Y_{r_2, l_2}^2 are homotopy equivalent (or even stronger, isotopy equivalent) when the points (r_1, l_1) and (r_2, l_2) are contained in the same chamber.




Figure 15: The hyperplane arrangement associated to $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$




Figure 16: Homotopy type of $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$

4.2 Star_k , $k \geq 4$

Let $\hat{\text{Star}}_k$ be the metric graph where every edge but e_1 has length 1. We begin this section with an observation.

Proposition 23. Let $k \geq 4$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ and $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2 := (\hat{\text{Star}}_3)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ have the same critical hyperplanes.

Proof. Let L_{e_i} denote the edge length of e_i . Hence

$$L_{e_i} = \begin{cases} L_{e_1}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \end{cases}$$

Define x to be the distance between the red robot and the central vertex of the star graph and y to be the distance between the green robot and the central vertex of the star graph. The following inequalities (17)-(19) gives the parametric polytope of $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$:

For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $i \neq j$,

$$x + y \geq r \tag{18}$$

For $i = j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$|x - y| \geq r \tag{19}$$

Hence the critical hyperplanes are $r = 1$, $r = 2$, $r = L_{e_1}$, and $r = L_{e_1} + 1$. Therefore, $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ and $Y_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ have the same critical hyperplanes. \square

Let Star_k denote the metric graph where every edge has length 1.

Proposition 24. Let r be a positive number and $k \geq 3$. Then

$$H_0((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{k(k-3)+1}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases}$$

Proof. We run induction on k .

When $k = 3$ Consider the following cover of $(\text{Star}_3)_r^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_2 - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_2 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_2 \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_2 \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{22} &= (\text{Star}_2)_r^2 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Note that $(e_1)_r^2 \simeq \begin{cases} \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases}$ where $\{*_1, *_2\}$ is a subspace of $(e_1)_r^2$ consists of two points. On the other hand,

$$e_1 \times \text{Star}_2 - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_2 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Star}_2 \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_2 \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases}$$

In addition, note that

$$H_0((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases}$$

Now let's consider the intersections of U_{ij} . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\simeq U_{11} \cap U_{21} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\simeq U_{22} \cap U_{21} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{22} &= U_{12} \cap U_{21} = \emptyset \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Note that there are natural inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{11} \hookrightarrow U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{12} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{12} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{21} &\hookrightarrow U_{21} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{22} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

When $0 < r \leq 1$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} & \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Note that U_{11} has two path components, while $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$ and $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$ lie in different path components. Choose a generator (denoted by c_1) from the path component of U_{11} containing $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$, and choose a generator (denoted by c_2) from the path component of U_{11} containing $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(a) = c_1 + e \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{a}) = c_2 + \hat{e}$$

On the other hand, let f_1, f_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, where f_1 and f_2 lie in different path components of U_{22} , then

$$d^1(b_1) = e + f_1, \quad d^1(b_2) = e + f_2, \quad d^1(\hat{b}_1) = \hat{e} + f_1, \quad d^1(\hat{b}_2) = \hat{e} + f_2$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 5, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^1$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & & \vdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & H_1((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z} & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

When $1 < r \leq 2$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & & \vdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & H_1((\text{Star}_2)_r^2) & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$ and $H_1((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) = 0$.

In conclusion,

$$H_0((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^6, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

and

$$H_1((\text{Star}_3)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

When $k \geq 4$ Consider the following cover of $(\text{Star}_k)_r^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{22} &= (\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Note that $(e_1)_r^2 \simeq \begin{cases} \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases}$ where $\{*_1, *_2\}$ is a subspace of $(e_1)_r^2$ consists of two points. On the other hand,

$$e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \{*_1, *_2, \dots, *_k\}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases}$$

where $\{*_1, *_2, \dots, *_k\}$ is a subspace of $(e_1)_r^2$ consists of $(k-1)$ points.

Now let's consider the intersections of U_{ij} . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\simeq U_{11} \cap U_{21} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\simeq U_{22} \cap U_{21} \simeq \begin{cases} \{*_1, \dots, *_k\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } 1 < r \end{cases} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{22} &= U_{12} \cap U_{21} = \emptyset \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Note that there are natural inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{11} \hookrightarrow U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{12} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{12} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{21} &\hookrightarrow U_{21} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{22} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

When $0 < r \leq 1$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ 2 & & \\ 1 & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2k} & 0 \end{array} \\ p \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and $\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_{k-1}$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Note that U_{11} has two path components, while $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$ and $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$ lie in different path components. Choose a generator (denoted by c_1) from the path component of U_{11} containing $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$, and choose a generator (denoted by c_2) from the path component of U_{11} containing $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(a) = c_1 + e \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{a}) = c_2 + \hat{e}$$

Let f be the generator of $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e + f \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e} + f$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the third and the fourth columns are repeated for $(k-1)$ times. The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4}$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \begin{array}{cccc} & & \vdots & & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & & & & & \\ 1 & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} & 0 & \end{array} \\ p \\ \begin{array}{cccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, we obtain $H_0((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the following sequence is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \rightarrow H_1((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} \rightarrow 0 \quad (28)$$

Note that \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} is free, the short exact sequence (28) splits, hence

$$H_1((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2(k-1)-4} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2(4)-4} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k(k-3)+1} \quad (29)$$

When $1 < r \leq 2$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \begin{array}{cccc} & & \vdots & & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & & & & & \\ 1 & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} & 0 & 0 & \end{array} \\ p \\ \begin{array}{cccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2(k-1)-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2(4)-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^6 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k} \quad (30)$$

and

$$H_1((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2)$$

In conclusion,

$$H_0((\text{Star}_k)_r^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 < r \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

c_2) from the path component of U_{11} containing $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(a) = c_1 + e \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{a}) = c_2 + \hat{e}$$

Let f be the generator of $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e + f \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e} + f$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the third and the fourth columns are repeated for $(k-1)$ times. The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4}$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \hline 2 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 \quad H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} \quad 0 \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) &\cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-4} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k(k-3)+1} \\ H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 26. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r > L_{e_1}$ and $r \leq 1$. Then

$$H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(k-1)(k-4)+1}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 = \emptyset \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\} \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Now let's consider the intersections of U_{ij} . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &= \emptyset = U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\} \simeq U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{22} &= \emptyset = U_{12} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\hline
2 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \quad 0 \\
\hline
\quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p
\end{array}$$

Recall that d^1 is induced by inclusions (34). Let b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and $\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_{k-1}$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Let e, \dots, e_{k-1} be the generators of $H_0(U_{12})$ and $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_{k-1}$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{21})$. Let f be the generator of $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e_i + f \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e}_i + f$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is $2k-2$, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2}$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\hline
2 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
\hline
\quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(k-1)(k-4)+1}$. \square

Proposition 27. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r \leq L_{e_1}$ and $1 < r \leq 2$. Then

$$H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-3k+4} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 = \{*, *_2\} \\
U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*\} \\
U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*\}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Now let's consider the intersections of U_{ij} . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\simeq \{*\} \simeq U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &= \emptyset = U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{22} &= \emptyset = U_{12} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \hline 2 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad 0 \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Recall that d^1 is induced by inclusions (34). Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$. On the other hand, let f_1, \dots, f_{k^2-k} be the generators of $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k}$, then for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$d^1(a) = c_1 + e \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = c_2 + \hat{e}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \hline 2 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-3k+4} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-3k+4}$. \square

Proposition 28. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$ and $1 < r \leq 2$. Then

$$H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 = \emptyset \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\} \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In addition, Note that $U_{ij} \cap U_{i'j'} = \emptyset$ when $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$. Therefore, the E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & & 0 \quad 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_1((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) = 0$ and $H_0((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-k}$. \square

Proposition 29. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r > L_{e_1} + 1$ and $1 < r \leq 2$. Then

$$H_0((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-3k+2} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 = \emptyset \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} = \emptyset \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} = \emptyset \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

In addition, $U_{ij} \cap U_{i'j'} = \emptyset$ when $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$. Therefore, the E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & & H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_1((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) = 0$ and $H_0((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k^2-3k+2}$. \square

Proposition 30. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r \leq L_{e_1}$ and $r > 2$. Then

$$H_0((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\widehat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_1)_r^2 \simeq \{*_1, *_2\} \\ U_{12} &= e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*\} \\ U_{21} &= \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*\} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Now let's consider the intersections of U_{ij} . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\simeq \{*\} \simeq U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &= \emptyset = U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{22} &= \emptyset = U_{12} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 & & 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & 1 \quad 2 \quad p \end{array} \end{array}$$

Recall that d^1 is induced by inclusions (34). Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let c_1, c_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11})$, where c_1 and c_2 lie in different path components of U_{11} . Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$. Note that

$$d^1(a) = c_1 + e \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{a}) = c_2 + \hat{e}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & \vdots & 0 \quad 0 \\ & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 & & 0 \quad 0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & 1 \quad 2 \quad p \end{array} \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. \square

Proposition 31. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$ and $r > 2$. Then

$$H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ given in Equation (33). Note that $U_{11} = (e_1)_r^2 = \emptyset$. Moreover,

$$U_{12} = e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} - \{(x, y) \in e_1 \times \text{Star}_{k-1} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\}$$

and

$$U_{21} = \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 - \{(x, y) \in \text{Star}_{k-1} \times e_1 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \simeq \{*_1, \dots, *_k\}$$

Note that $U_{ij} \cap U_{i'j'} = \emptyset$ when $i \neq i'$ or $j \neq j'$. Therefore, the E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \\
 & \vdots & \\
 2 & & 0 \quad 0 \\
 & H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\
 1 & & \\
 & \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} \oplus H_0((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) & 0 \quad 0 \\
 0 & & \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 \quad 2 \quad p
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_1((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong H_1((\text{Star}_{k-1})_r^2) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2}$. \square

In summary, we proved Theorem 3.

5 Decomposition of $PH_i((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$

In section 5.1, we decompose the persistence module $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ into a direct sum of indecomposables. In Section 5.2, we decompose the persistence module $PH_0((\text{Star}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2; \mathbb{F})$ into a direct sum of indecomposables. Moreover, we prove that $PH_1((\text{Star}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

5.1 Decomposition of $PH_i(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$

Note that $PH_1(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is an interval module because the support of $PH_1(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is an interval, we immediately obtain Theorem 1.

Now we are going to decompose $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. We associate $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ with a persistence module N , where N is constructed using Proposition 21. We use the following diagram to represent N , where each vector space in the diagram represents a constant functor indexed by a chamber of the parameter space of $PH_0(Y_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$.

$$N = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^4 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^2 & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{F}^2 & \longleftarrow & & & \mathbb{F}^6 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^4 & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \\ & & \mathbb{F}^2 & & & & & & \end{array}$$




Figure 17: The representatives of the basis of $PH_0(Y_{r,L}^2; \mathbb{F})$. The edge e_1 is highlighted in red.

We choose a representative for each basis element of every vector space in the representation N according to the geometry of $Y_{r,L}^2$. Figure 17 provides the representatives we choose, and (45) gives the basis we choose for each vector space of the representation N . Under the given bases for each vector space, the behavior of the morphisms can be described as follows: α maps every basis element of $\langle c_1, c_3, c_4, c_6 \rangle$ to c_1 , γ maps c_1, c_5, c_6 to c_1 and maps c_2, c_3, c_4 to c_2 , λ maps c_3, c_6 to c_1 , β maps c_1, c_2 to c_1 , maps c_4, c_5 to c_4 , maps c_3 to c_3 , and maps c_6 to c_6 , and ϵ maps c_1, c_2 to c_1 and maps c_4, c_5 to c_4 . Moreover, each unlabeled map is an inclusion map. By an abuse of notation, we use $PH_0(Y_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ to denote the P -indexed persistence module given by (45).

$$N = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \langle c_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle c_1, c_3, c_4, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle & & \\ & & \uparrow \lambda & & \uparrow \beta & & \uparrow \epsilon & & \\ \langle c_3, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & & & \langle c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1, c_2, c_4, c_5 \rangle & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & & & \\ & & & & \langle c_3, c_6 \rangle & & & & \end{array} \quad (45)$$

Proposition 32. $PH_0(Y_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F}) \cong M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus F$ where

$$M_1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \end{array}$$

$$M_2 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

$$E_1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

$$E_2 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

$$F = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \end{array}$$

Proof. We choose a basis for each vector space N :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\langle c_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle c_1, c_3 - c_6, c_4 - c_1, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1, c_4 - c_1 \rangle \\
\uparrow \lambda & & \uparrow \beta & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
\langle c_3 - c_6, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle c_1, c_2 - c_1 + c_5 - c_4, \\ & & c_3 - c_6, c_4 - c_1, c_5 - c_4, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1, c_2 - c_1 + c_5 - c_4, \\ & & & & c_4 - c_1, c_5 - c_4 \rangle \\
& & \uparrow & & \\
& & \langle c_3 - c_6, c_6 \rangle & &
\end{array} \tag{46}$$

Define

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\langle c_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \langle c_1, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow \text{id} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \text{id} & \uparrow & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
M_1 = \langle c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \langle c_1, c_6 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \\
& & \langle c_6 \rangle & & & & \mathbb{F} & & &
\end{array} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_4 - c_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_4 - c_1 \rangle & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} & & \uparrow \text{id} & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
M_2 = 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_4 - c_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_4 - c_1 \rangle & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & & & 0 & & &
\end{array} \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E_1 = 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_2 - c_1 + c_5 - c_4 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_2 - c_1 + c_5 - c_4 \rangle & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & & & 0 & & &
\end{array} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E_2 = 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_5 - c_4 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \langle c_5 - c_4 \rangle & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & & & 0 & & &
\end{array} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 0 & \longleftarrow & \langle c_6 - c_3 \rangle & \longrightarrow & 0 & & 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
F = & \langle c_6 - c_3 \rangle & \longleftarrow & \text{id} & \langle c_6 - c_3 \rangle & \longrightarrow & 0 & \cong & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
& & & & \text{id} \downarrow & & & & & & \uparrow & & \\
& & & & \langle c_6 - c_3 \rangle & & & & & & \mathbb{F} & &
\end{array} \tag{51}$$

Note that M_2 , E_1 , E_2 , and F are interval modules, hence by Lemma 3, they are indecomposable. Now we are going to show that M_1 is indecomposable. By the Fitting's lemma, it suffices to show that $\text{End}(M_1)$ contains no idempotent except 0 and id. Since any linear transformation $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a scalar multiplication, we use $x : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ to denote the linear transformation that sends f to xf for all $f \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $\phi \in \text{End}(M_1)$. Note that ϕ consists of the following data: $x, y, z : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and $A : \mathbb{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$ ($A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ under the given basis) such that

$$x \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = A \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{52}$$

$$y \circ [1 \quad 1] = A \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{53}$$

$$z \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{54}$$

Equation (52) implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \tag{55}$$

Hence $a = x$ and $c = 0$. Update $A = \begin{bmatrix} x & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$.

Equation (53) implies

$$[x \quad b + d] = [y \quad y] \tag{56}$$

Hence $x = y$ and $b + d = y$. Update $A = \begin{bmatrix} x & b \\ 0 & x - b \end{bmatrix}$.

Equation (54) implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ x - b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \tag{57}$$

Hence $b = 0$ and $x = z$. Update $A = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, $\text{End}(M_1) \cong \mathbb{F}$. Since \mathbb{F} is a field, it contains no idempotent except 0 and id. Thus M_1 is indecomposable. \square

5.2 Decomposition of $PH_i((\text{Star}_k)_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$, when $k \geq 4$

Let $k \geq 4$. In Section 4.2, we computed $H_i((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2)$ for all $r, L_{e_1} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $i = 0, 1$. Recall that $\hat{\text{Star}}_k$ is a metric star graph where the length of all but one edge (denoted by e_1) is 1, and the length of edge e_1 is L_{e_1} . In this section, we define

$$(\text{Star}_k)_{r, L_{e_1}}^2 := (\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$$

to emphasize that $(\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_r^2$ is determined by two parameters r and L_{e_1} .

Assume there exists $m, n > 0$ such that $M :=$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F}^m & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{F}^n & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$
is indecomposable. Note that the commutativity

of the diagram does not provide any non-trivial constraint to the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(M)$; therefore, $\text{End}(M) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}^m)$, and M is indecomposable implies $\mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}^m$ indecomposable. Note that $\mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}^m$ is an indecomposable A_2 -quiver and $m, n > 0$, we must have $m = n = 1$. Therefore, every indecomposable subrepresentation of $PH_1((\text{Star}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to N'_1, N'_2 , or N'_3 . \square

Theorem 5 is a consequence of this proposition.

Proposition 33. $PH_0((\hat{\text{Star}}_k)_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F}) \cong M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} E_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} \hat{E}_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{j=2}^{k^2-3k+2} F_j)$ where

$$M_1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{[1 \ 1]} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{[1 \ 0]} & \mathbb{F} \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{[1 \ 1]} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{[1 \ 0]} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & [0 \ 1] \uparrow & & [1 \ 0] \uparrow \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \end{array} \quad M_2 = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array} \quad E_i = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

$$\hat{E}_i = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array} \quad F_j = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & \mathbb{F} & & \end{array}$$

for all $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ and $j = 2, \dots, k^2 - 3k + 2$.

Proof. The basis we choose for each vector space in the representation N provided in the diagram below:

$$N = \begin{array}{c} \langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \langle e_1, \hat{e}_1 - e_1, f_1, f_2 - f_1, \dots, f_{k^2-3k+2} - f_1 \rangle \longleftarrow \langle e_1, \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle \\ \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \beta \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \epsilon \\ \langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\gamma} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} e_1, e_2 - e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} - e_1, \hat{e}_1 - e_1, \\ \hat{e}_2 - \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_{k-1} - \hat{e}_1, f_1, f_2 - f_1, \\ f_3 - f_1, \dots, f_{k^2-3k+2} - f_1 \end{array} \right\rangle \longleftrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{l} e_1, e_2 - e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} - e_1, \\ \hat{e}_1 - e_1, \hat{e}_2 - \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_{k-1} - \hat{e}_1 \end{array} \right\rangle \\ \uparrow \\ \langle f_1, f_2 - f_1, \dots, f_{k^2-3k+2} - f_1 \rangle \end{array}$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 \langle f_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle e_1 \rangle \\
 \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
 \langle f_1 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle e_1 \rangle \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \langle f_1 \rangle & &
 \end{array} & \cong &
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 \text{id} \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\
 \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 & & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \uparrow & & \\
 & & \mathbb{F} & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle \\
 \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array} & \cong &
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \tag{61}$$

For $i = 2, \dots, k-1$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & 0 & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle e_i - e_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle e_i - e_1 \rangle \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array} & \cong &
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & 0 & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & 0 & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle \hat{e}_i - \hat{e}_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle \hat{e}_i - \hat{e}_1 \rangle \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array} & \cong &
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & 0 & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \tag{63}$$

For $j = 2, \dots, k^2 - 3k + 2$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle f_j - f_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \langle f_j - f_1 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \langle f_j - f_1 \rangle & &
 \end{array} & \cong &
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & 0 \\
 & & \text{id} \uparrow & & \\
 & & \mathbb{F} & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \tag{64}$$

Note that M_2 , E_i , \hat{E}_i , and F_j (where $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ and $j = 2, \dots, k^2 - 3k + 2$) are interval modules, hence by Lemma 3, they are indecomposable. The indecomposability of M_1 can be proved using a similar argument in Proposition 32. \square

6 Configuration Spaces of the H Graph

In this section, we calculate the second configuration spaces of the H graph with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} . To assign each edge of the H Graph an orientation that matches the orientation of the Y graph, we subdivide the edge e_1 of the H graph into two edges e_6 and e_7 , as shown in Figure 18b. We denote the geometric realization of the resulting graph by \mathcal{H} and use $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ to denote the metric graph \mathcal{H} where the length of the bridge is L_{e_1} and the length of any other edge is 1.



Figure 18

Let L_{e_i} denote the length of the e_i . Note that $L_{e_6} + L_{e_7} = L_{e_1}$ and

$$L_{e_i} = \begin{cases} L_{e_i}, & \text{if } i = 6, 7 \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\} \end{cases}$$

The parametric polytope of $\mathcal{H}_{r, \vec{L}}^2$ is given by the following inequalities, where $\vec{L} = (L_{e_2}, \dots, L_{e_7})$:

For $i, j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

For $i, j \in \{2, 3\}$ or $i, j \in \{4, 5\}$, and $i \neq j$,

$$x + y \geq r \tag{66}$$

For $i \in \{2, 3\}$ and $j = 6$ (Respectively, $j \in \{2, 3\}$, $i = 6$),

$$x + y \geq r \tag{67}$$

For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, $j = 7$ (Respectively, $i \in \{4, 5\}$, $j = 6$),

$$x + L_{e_1} - y \geq r \tag{68}$$

For $j \in \{2, 3\}$, $i = 7$ (Respectively, $j \in \{4, 5\}$, $i = 6$),

$$-x + L_{e_1} + y \geq r \tag{69}$$

For $i, j \in \{6, 7\}$, $i \neq j$,

$$-x + L_{e_1} - y \geq r \tag{70}$$

For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, $j \in \{4, 5\}$ (Respectively, $i \in \{4, 5\}$, $j \in \{2, 3\}$),

$$x + L_{e_1} + y \geq r \tag{71}$$

For $i \in \{4, 5\}$, $j = 7$ (Respectively, $j \in \{4, 5\}$, $i = 7$),

$$x + y \geq r \tag{72}$$

For $i, j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $i = j$,

$$|x - y| \geq r \tag{73}$$

When $\vec{L} = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $L_{e_6} = L_{e_7} = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$, the critical hyperplanes in the parameter space are: $r = 1, r = 2, r = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}, r = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}, r = 1 + L_{e_1}, r = L_{e_1}$, and $r = 2 + L_{e_1}$.

To calculate $H_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2)$, we use the following cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (\hat{\text{Star}}_3)_r^2 \\ U_{12} &= \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{21} &= \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(y, x) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{22} &= (\hat{\text{Star}}_3)_r^2 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Note that there are natural inclusions:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{11} \hookleftarrow U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{12} \hookleftarrow U_{22} \cap U_{12} \\ U_{11} \cap U_{21} &\hookrightarrow U_{21} \hookleftarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\ U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{22} \hookleftarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Lemma 6. $\vec{L} = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $L_{e_6} = L_{e_7} = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$. Then

$$H_0(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^4, & \text{if } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_1(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the following cover of $\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times e_7 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times e_7 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ V_2 &= \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times (e_4 \vee e_5) - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times (e_4 \vee e_5) \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq L_{e_1} \\ \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ V_2 &\simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq L_{e_1} \\ S^1, & \text{if } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ \{*_1, *_2, *_3, *_4\}, & \text{if } L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2 \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

and

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \simeq \begin{cases} \{*\}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq L_{e_1} \\ \{*_1, *_2\}, & \text{if } L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

Note that d^1 is induced from the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 \cap V_2 &\hookrightarrow V_1 \\ V_1 \cap V_2 &\hookrightarrow V_2 \end{aligned}$$

When $0 < r \leq L_{e_1}$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 2 \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}^2 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p
 \end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(V_1 \cap V_2)$. To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let b be a generator of $H_0(V_1)$ and c be a generator of $H_0(V_2)$ such that $d^1(a) = b + c$. Thus $\dim \text{Im } d^1 = 1$ and $\dim \text{Ker } d^1 = 0$, and we obtain the E^2 page of the spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 2 \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p
 \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) = 0$$

When $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 3 \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
 2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 1 \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}^3 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad p
 \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(V_1 \cap V_2)$. Choose generators b_1 and b_2 for $H_0(V_1)$ and a generator c for $H_0(V_2)$ such that b_1 lies in the path component that contains a_1 , and b_2 lies in the path component that contains a_2 . Hence

$$d^1(a_1) = b_1 + c \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(a_2) = b_2 + c$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of the matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Thus $\dim \text{Im } d^1 = 2$ and $\dim \text{ker } d^1 = 1$, and we obtain the E^2 page of the spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

When $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$: The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 2 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$$

and

$$H_1(\hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 - \{(x, y) \in \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \times \hat{\text{Star}}_3 \mid \delta(x, y) < r\}) = 0$$

□

Proposition 34. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r \leq \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$ and $r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,Le_1}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^4 & & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose a generator (denoted by c) for $H_0(U_{11})$, (denoted by \hat{c}) for $H_0(U_{22})$, and let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^1(a) &= c + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= \hat{c} + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(b) &= c + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}) &= \hat{c} + \hat{e}
 \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 3, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,Le_1}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,Le_1}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

□

Proposition 35. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1}$ and $r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^8 & & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ such that a_1 and a_2 lie in different path components of $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$. Similarly, let \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$, let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$ such that the generators of each abelian group lie in different path components. Choose generators (denoted by c_3 and c_6) for $H_0(U_{11})$, (denoted by \hat{c}_3 and \hat{c}_6) for $H_0(U_{22})$, and let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^1(a_1) &= c_3 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(a_2) &= c_6 + e \\ d^1(\hat{a}_1) &= c_3 + \hat{e} & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_2) &= c_6 + \hat{e} \\ d^1(b_1) &= \hat{c}_3 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(b_2) &= \hat{c}_6 + e \\ d^1(\hat{b}_1) &= \hat{c}_3 + \hat{e} & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_2) &= \hat{c}_6 + \hat{e} \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 5, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Hence, the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^3 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$$

□

Proposition 36. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $L_{e_1} < r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^8 & & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ such that a_1 and a_2 lie in different path components of $U_{11} \cap U_{12}$. Similarly, let \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$ such that \hat{a}_1 and \hat{a}_2 lie in different path components of $U_{11} \cap U_{21}$. Let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$ such that the generators of each abelian group lie in different path components. Choose generators for $H_0(U_{11})$ (denoted by c_3 and c_6) and $H_0(U_{22})$ (denoted by \hat{c}_3 and \hat{c}_6). Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a_1) &= c_3 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_1) &= c_3 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(a_2) &= c_6 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_2) &= c_6 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_1) &= \hat{c}_3 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_1) &= \hat{c}_3 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_2) &= \hat{c}_6 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_2) &= \hat{c}_6 + \hat{e}
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 5, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. Hence, the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^3 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$. \square

Proposition 37. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $r \leq \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^4 & & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1, c_3, c_4 and c_6) for $H_0(U_{11})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{11} . Similarly, choose generators (denoted by $\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_4$ and \hat{c}_6) for $H_0(U_{22})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{22} . Moreover, let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^1(a) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_4 + \hat{e} \\ d^1(b) &= \hat{c}_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}) &= \hat{c}_4 + \hat{e} \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 38. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{14} \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^8 & & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1, \dots, c_6) for $H_0(U_{11})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{11} . Similarly, choose generators (denoted by $\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_6$) for $H_0(U_{22})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{22} . Moreover, let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^1(a_1) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_1) &= c_4 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(a_2) &= c_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_2) &= c_5 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(b_1) &= \hat{c}_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_1) &= \hat{c}_4 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(b_2) &= \hat{c}_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_2) &= \hat{c}_5 + \hat{e}
 \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 8, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^8$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 39. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{14} \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^8 & & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1, \dots, c_6) for $H_0(U_{11})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{11} . Similarly, choose generators (denoted by $\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_6$) for $H_0(U_{22})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{22} . Moreover, let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a_1) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_1) &= c_4 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(a_2) &= c_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_2) &= c_5 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_1) &= \hat{c}_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_1) &= \hat{c}_4 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_2) &= \hat{c}_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_2) &= \hat{c}_5 + \hat{e}
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 8, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^8$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \quad p$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

□

Proposition 40. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

□

Proposition 41. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{12} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{12} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 42. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $r \leq \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^6 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^4 & & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1 be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1, c_4) for $H_0(U_{11})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{11} . Similarly, choose generators (denoted by \hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_4) for $H_0(U_{22})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{22} . Moreover, let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_4 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b) &= \hat{c}_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}) &= \hat{c}_4 + \hat{e}
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r, L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 43. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} < r \leq \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^8 & & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

To understand the behavior of d^1 , we choose a collection of generators for every abelian group in the E^1 page of the spectral sequence. Let a_1, a_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_1, b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1, c_2, c_4, c_5) for $H_0(U_{11})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{11} . Similarly, choose generators (denoted by $\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \hat{c}_4, \hat{c}_5$) for $H_0(U_{22})$ such that no two generators lie in the same path component of U_{22} . Moreover, let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^1(a_1) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_1) &= c_4 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(a_2) &= c_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}_2) &= c_5 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(b_1) &= \hat{c}_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_1) &= \hat{c}_4 + \hat{e} \\
 d^1(b_2) &= \hat{c}_2 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_2) &= \hat{c}_5 + \hat{e}
 \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the row reduction, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 8, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^8$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 44. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). Note that when $2 < r$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1}$, $U_{11} = \emptyset = U_{22}$. The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

Proposition 45. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). Note that when $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, $U_{11} = \emptyset = U_{22}$. The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

□

Proposition 46. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^8 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (74). Note that when $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, $U_{11} = \emptyset = U_{22}$. The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^8 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^8 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

□

In summary, The rank of $H_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 8. The rank of $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2)$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 9.

7 Decomposition of $PH_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$

In this section, we are going to decompose $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ and $PH_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. We associate $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$ with a persistence module N which can be constructed using Proposition 21. We use the following diagram to represent N , where each vector space in the diagram represents a constant functor indexed by a chamber of the parameter space of $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F})$. For every vector space in the representation N , we choose a representative for each basis element according to the geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r, L_{e_1}}^2$. The bases we choose for each vector space of the representation N are given in (87). Note that $e_1 \simeq e_2 \simeq e_3 \simeq e_4$ ($\hat{e}_1 \simeq \hat{e}_2 \simeq \hat{e}_3 \simeq \hat{e}_4$, respectively) for certain parameters (r, L_{e_1}) . We use e (\hat{e} , respectively) to represent the homotopy class.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^6 \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\
 \mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^6 \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\
 \mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^6 \qquad \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \mathbb{F}^6 \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \mathbb{F}^{12} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^8
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 \langle e \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e, \hat{e} \rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} \rangle \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \langle e \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e, \hat{e} \rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} \rangle \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \langle e \rangle & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e, \hat{e} \rangle & & \langle e, \hat{e} \rangle \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e, \hat{e} \rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} \rangle \\
 & & \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e_1, \dots, e_4 \rangle & \xleftarrow{\varsigma} & \langle e_1, \dots, e_4, \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4 \rangle \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \langle \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4 \rangle & & \langle e_1, \dots, e_4, \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4 \rangle
 \end{array}
 \tag{87}$$

In (87), c_3 denote the arrangement where the red robot is at the leaf of edge e_2 while the green robot is at the leaf of edge e_3 , and let c_6 denote the arrangement where the red robot is at the leaf of edge e_4 while the green robot is at the leaf of edge e_5 . Respectively, \hat{c}_3 represents the arrangement where the red robot is at the leaf of edge e_3 while the green robot is at the leaf of edge e_2 , and \hat{c}_6 represents the arrangement where the red robot is at the leaf of edge e_5 while the green robot is at the leaf of edge e_4 . Figure 19 provides the other representatives we choose.




Figure 19: Representatives of e_i and \hat{e}_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

With the given basis for each vector space, the behavior of the morphisms in N can be described as follows:

- α maps every basis element of $\langle c_3, c_6, \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_6, e, \hat{e} \rangle$ to e ;
- β maps e_1, \dots, e_4 to e , maps $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4$ to \hat{e} , maps c_i to c_i , and maps \hat{c}_i to \hat{c}_i for $i = 3, 6$;
- γ maps e_1, \dots, e_4 to e and maps $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4$ to \hat{e} ;
- ι and ς are inclusions;

- unlabeled vertical maps are the identity maps.

Similarly, we associate $PH_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ with a persistence module N' where N' is constructed using Proposition 21.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbb{F}^3 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{F}^3 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \eta \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 N' = & \mathbb{F}^5 & \xleftarrow{\zeta} & \mathbb{F}^2 & & & 0 \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & \mathbb{F}^2 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^2 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 &
 \end{array} \tag{88}$$

Note that in (88), ζ is the inclusion map and $\eta \circ \zeta = 0$.

Theorem 47. $PH_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

Proof. We denote the restriction of N' to its support by M .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{F}^3 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 & \mathbb{F}^3 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 M = & \eta \uparrow & \\
 & \mathbb{F}^5 & \xleftarrow{\zeta} \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{F}^2 \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^2
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & 0 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 & 0 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 M_1 := & \mathbb{F}^2 & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{F}^2 \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}^2
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{F}^3 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 & \mathbb{F}^3 & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 M_2 := & \eta \uparrow \Big|_{\text{coker } \zeta} & \\
 & \mathbb{F}^3 & \xleftarrow{\quad} 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 \longleftarrow 0
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Note that $\eta \circ \zeta = 0$, and all unlabeled morphisms are identity maps, see (88). Moreover, ζ is the inclusion map; hence, M can be decomposed into two subrepresentations: M_1 and M_2 . (See above.) Since M_1 is an A_4 -quiver, and M_2 is an A_3 -quiver, they are interval decomposable. Note that the intervals in the support of M_1 and M_2 are also intervals in the support of N' . Therefore, we can extend each indecomposable representation of M_i (where $i = 1, 2$) to a subrepresentation of N' by putting 0 to every vertex of N' which is not in M_i and a trivial morphism between two vertices where at least one vertex is not in the support of M_i . □

Theorem 48. $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

Proof. We choose a basis for each vector space $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r, Le_1}^2; \mathbb{F})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_3 - e, c_6 - e, \hat{c}_3 - \hat{e}, \hat{c}_6 - \\ \hat{e}, e, \hat{e} - e \end{array} \right\rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} - e \rangle \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_3 - e, c_6 - e, \hat{c}_3 - \hat{e}, \hat{c}_6 - \\ \hat{e}, e, \hat{e} - e \end{array} \right\rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} - e \rangle \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_3 - e, c_6 - e, \hat{c}_3 - \hat{e}, \hat{c}_6 - \\ \hat{e}, e, \hat{e} - e \end{array} \right\rangle & & \langle e, \hat{e} - e \rangle \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_3 - e, c_6 - e, \hat{c}_3 - \hat{e}, \hat{c}_6 - \\ \hat{e}, e, \hat{e} - e \end{array} \right\rangle & \xleftarrow{\iota} & \langle e, \hat{e} - e \rangle \\
\uparrow \beta & & \uparrow \gamma \\
\left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_3 - e_1, c_6 - e_1, \hat{c}_3 - \\ \hat{e}_1, \hat{c}_6 - \hat{e}_1, e_1, e_2 - \\ e_1, \dots, e_4 - e_1, \hat{e}_1 - \\ e_1, \hat{e}_2 - \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4 - \hat{e}_1 \end{array} \right\rangle & \xleftarrow{s} & \left\langle \begin{array}{c} e_1, e_2 - e_1, \dots, e_4 - e_1, \hat{e}_1 - \\ e_1, \hat{e}_2 - \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_4 - \hat{e}_1 \end{array} \right\rangle
\end{array}
\tag{89}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\langle e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\langle e \rangle & \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow \beta & \uparrow \text{id} & \uparrow \text{id} \\
\langle e_1 \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle e_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow \gamma & \uparrow \beta & \uparrow \gamma \\
\langle e_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F}
\end{array}
\tag{90}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle & 0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle & 0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle & 0 \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\langle \hat{e} - e \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e} - e \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow \beta & \uparrow \text{id} & \uparrow \text{id} \\
\langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F} \\
\uparrow \gamma & \uparrow \beta & \uparrow \gamma \\
\langle \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rangle & \mathbb{F} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{F} & \mathbb{F}
\end{array}
\tag{91}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
\mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}
\end{array}
&
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
0 \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
\mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \mathbb{F}
\end{array}
&
\end{array}
\tag{95}$$

It is clear that $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F}) \cong N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus E_3 \oplus E_6 \oplus \hat{E}_3 \oplus \hat{E}_6 \oplus (\bigoplus_{j=2}^4 F_j) \oplus (\bigoplus_{j=2}^4 \hat{F}_j)$. Note that $N_1, N_2, E_i, \hat{E}_i, F_j$, and \hat{F}_j (where $i = 3, 6$ and $j = 2, 3, 4$) are interval modules, hence by Lemma 3, they are indecomposable. Therefore, $PH_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable. \square

8 Configuration Spaces of the Generalized H Graphs

Let $m, n \geq 3$. A generalized H graph, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$, is a tree shown in Figure 20a. One can obtain $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ by concatenating Star_m and Star_n at a degree 1 vertex x_0 . We want to assign each edge an orientation where the orientation is matched with the orientation that we assigned for the star graph. Hence we subdivide the bridge of $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ by introducing a new vertex (and replacing the bridge with two new edges), as shown in Figure 20b. We use f to denote the new edge incident to the center of Star_m and f' to denote the new edge incident to the center of Star_n . By an abuse of notation, we denote the resulting graph by $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$, and we use $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}$ to denote the special \mathcal{H} where the length of the bridge (before the subdivision) is L_{e_1} and the length of any other edge is 1. In this section, we describe the second configuration spaces of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}$ with restraint parameters r and L_{e_1} .



Figure 20

Let L_{e_i} denote the length of the e_i for $i = 2, \dots, m, m+2, \dots, m+n$. Let L_f denote the length of the f and $L_{f'}$ denote the length of the f' . The parametric polytope of $(\mathcal{H}_{m,n})^2_{r, \vec{L}}$ is given by the following inequalities, where $\vec{L} = (L_{e_2}, \dots, L_{e_m}, L_{e_{m+2}}, \dots, L_{e_{m+n}}, L_f, L_{f'})$ and $L_f + L_{f'} = L_{e_1}$:

For $i, j \in \{2, \dots, m\}, i \neq j$ (Respectively, $i, j \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}, i \neq j$):

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\
0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\
x + y &\geq r
\end{aligned}
\tag{96}$$

For $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$ (Respectively, $i \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\ x + L_{e_1} + y &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

For $i, j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$, $i = j$ (Respectively, $i, j \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $i = j$):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_i} \\ |x - y| &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_f & 0 &\leq x \leq L_{f'} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{f'} & 0 &\leq y \leq L_f \\ -x + L_{e_1} - y &\geq r & -x + L_{e_1} - y &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{99} \tag{100}$$

For $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$:

For $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} & 0 &\leq x \leq L_f \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_f & 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\ x + y &\geq r & x + y &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{101} \tag{102}$$

For $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$:

For $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} & 0 &\leq x \leq L_{f'} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{f'} & 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \end{aligned} \tag{103} \tag{104}$$

For $i \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $j = f'$:

For $j \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $i = f'$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} & 0 &\leq x \leq L_{f'} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_{f'} & 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\ x + y &\geq r & x + y &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{105} \tag{106}$$

For $i \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $j = f$:

For $j \in \{m+2, \dots, m+n\}$, $i = f$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_{e_i} & 0 &\leq x \leq L_f \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_f & 0 &\leq y \leq L_{e_j} \\ x + L_{e_1} - y &\geq r & -x + L_{e_1} + y &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{107} \tag{108}$$

For $i = j = f$:

For $i = j = f'$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x \leq L_f & 0 &\leq x \leq L_{f'} \\ 0 &\leq y \leq L_f & 0 &\leq y \leq L_{f'} \\ |x - y| &\geq r & |x - y| &\geq r \end{aligned} \tag{109} \tag{110}$$

Compare the inequality systems (65)-(73) with inequality systems (96)-(110) associated to $(\mathcal{H}_{m,n})_{r,\vec{L}}^2$ when $\vec{L} = (1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1, L_f, L_{f'})$ and $L_f = L_{f'} = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$, we conclude that $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ have the same type of parametric polytopes. Therefore, $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ have the same critical hyperplanes.

Consider the following cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} &= (e_m)_r^2 \\ U_{12} &= e_m \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,n} - \{(x, y) \in e_m \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,n} \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{21} &= \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,n} \times e_m - \{(y, x) \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,n} \times e_m \mid \delta(x, y) < r\} \\ U_{22} &= (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,n})_r^2 \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

Note that there are natural inclusions:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{11} \hookrightarrow U_{11} \cap U_{21} \\
U_{11} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{12} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{12} \\
U_{11} \cap U_{21} &\hookrightarrow U_{21} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21} \\
U_{22} \cap U_{12} &\hookrightarrow U_{22} \hookrightarrow U_{22} \cap U_{21}
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Now we are going to calculate $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ in 2 steps. First we calculate $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ for all $m \geq 3$, then we calculate $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ for all $n \geq 3$.

Step 1: Calculating $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$.

Lemma 7. $\vec{L} = (1, \dots, 1, L_f, L_{f'}) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{m+3}$ where $L_f = L_{f'} = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$. Then

$$H_0(U_{12}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r \leq 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}^m, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r > 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } r \leq 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}^2, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } 1 + L_{e_1} < r \leq 2 + L_{e_1} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_1(U_{12}) \cong 0$$

Proposition 49. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r \leq L_{e_1}$ and $r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+3}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
q & & & \\
\vdots & & & \\
3 & & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & & 0 & 0 \\
1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & 0 \\
0 & & \mathbb{Z}^5 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2m} & 0 \\
& & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b_2, \dots, b_{m-1} and b' be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let $\hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_{m-1}$ and \hat{b}' be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1 and c_2) for $H_0(U_{11})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we choose a generator (denoted by f) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_2 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_i) &= e + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_i) &= \hat{e} + f, \quad i = 2, \dots, m-1 \\
d^1(b') &= e + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}') &= \hat{e} + f
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4}$. Hence we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\vdots \\
3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
1 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-5m+7} \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4} \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
\hline
\quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad \quad \quad p
\end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-5m+7}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-5m+7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+3}$$

□

Proposition 50. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $L_{e_1} < r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-m-1}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\vdots \\
3 \\
2 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^5 \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2} & & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 2 \quad p
\end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let $b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}, b'_1$ and b'_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let $\hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_{m-1}, \hat{b}'_1$ and \hat{b}'_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1 and c_2) for $H_0(U_{11})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we choose a generator (denoted by f) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a) &= c_1 + e & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_2 + \hat{e} \\
d^1(b_i) &= e + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_i) &= \hat{e} + f, \quad i = 2, \dots, m-1 \\
d^1(b'_j) &= e + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}'_j) &= \hat{e} + f, \quad j = 1, 2
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\vdots \\
3 \\
2 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^2-(m-1)-1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 2 \quad p
\end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^2-(m-1)-1}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^2-(m-1)-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-m-1}$$

□

Proposition 51. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Since $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12}) = H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21}) = \emptyset$, we do not choose generators for their 0-th homology groups. Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(m-4)+6}$ and we choose a collection of generators (denoted by f_{ij} and \hat{f}_{ij} , where $2 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ or $m+1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, f' , and \hat{f}') for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e_2, \dots, e_{m-1}, e' be the generators of $H_0(U_{12})$ and $\hat{e}_2, \dots, \hat{e}_{m-1}, \hat{e}'$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(b) = e' + f' \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}' \quad (115)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 \\
 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{coker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6} & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$. \square

Proposition 52. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^4 & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Since $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12}) = H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21}) = \emptyset$, we do not choose generators for their 0-th homology groups. Let b_1 and b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let \hat{b}_1 and \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(m-4)+6}$ and we choose a collection of generators (denoted by f_{ij} and \hat{f}_{ij} , where $2 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ or $m+1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, f' , and \hat{f}') for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e_2, \dots, e_{m-1}, e' be the generators of $H_0(U_{12})$ and $\hat{e}_2, \dots, \hat{e}_{m-1}, \hat{e}'$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e' + f' \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}' \quad (116)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{coker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2+(m-1)(m-4)+6-2} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)-4} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6} & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)-4}$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(m-4)+6}$.

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-3m+6}$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4}$$

□

Proposition 53. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2+m} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
 2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2m} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad \quad \quad p
 \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^2+(m-1)}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2+m}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$. □

Proposition 54. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
 2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad \quad \quad p
 \end{array}$$

Since $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12}) = H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21}) = \emptyset$, we do not choose generators for their 0-th homology groups. Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(m-4)+6}$

and we choose a set of generators (denoted by f' and \hat{f}') for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e}' be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e' + f' \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}' \quad (117)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{coker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. \square

Proposition 55. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 \\ 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^4 & 0 \\ \hline & & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Since $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12}) = H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21}) = \emptyset$, we do not choose generators for their 0-th homology groups. Let b_1 and b_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let \hat{b}_1 and \hat{b}_2 be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and we choose a set of generators (denoted by f' and \hat{f}') for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e}' be the

generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$d^1(b_i) = e' + f' \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}' \quad (118)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{coker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)-4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)-4}$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4}$. \square

Proposition 56. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{4(m-3)+8} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \quad p \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{4(m-4)+8}$ and $H_1(U_{22}) = 0$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{4(m-3)+8}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. \square

Step 2: Calculating $H_i(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ where $n \geq 4$

Lemma 8. $\vec{L} = (1, \dots, 1, L_f, L_{f'}) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{m+3}$ where $L_f = L_{f'} = \frac{1}{2}L_{e_1}$. Then

$$H_0(U_{12}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r \leq 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{m+n-3}, & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2 \text{ and } r > 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } r \leq 1 + L_{e_1} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}, & \text{if } 2 < r \text{ and } 1 + L_{e_1} < r \leq 2 + L_{e_1} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_1(U_{12}) \cong 0$$

Proposition 57. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $r \leq L_{e_1}$ and $r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,3})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+3}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{cccc} q & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 3 & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 \\ 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2n} & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let b'_2, \dots, b'_{n-1} and b be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let $\hat{b}'_2, \dots, \hat{b}'_{n-1}$ and \hat{b} be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1 and c_2) for $H_0(U_{11})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we choose a generator (denoted by f) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e' be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e}' be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^1(a) &= c_1 + e' & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_2 + \hat{e}' \\ d^1(b_i) &= e' + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_i) &= \hat{e}' + f, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1 \\ d^1(b') &= e' + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}') &= \hat{e}' + f \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\text{ker } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)(n-4)+m(m-3)+3} & & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} & 0 \\
\hline
& & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)(n-4)+m(m-3)+3}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m^2-5m+7} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+3}$$

□

Proposition 58. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $L_{e_1} < r \leq 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n)(m+n-7)+11}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
q & & & \\
\hline
3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} & \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2n-4} & 0 \\
\hline
& & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
\end{array}$$

Let a be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{a} be the generator of $H_0(U_{11} \cap U_{21})$. Let $b'_2, \dots, b'_{n-1}, b_2, \dots, b_{m-1}$ and b_m be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$. Let $\hat{b}'_2, \dots, \hat{b}'_{n-1}, \hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_{m-1}$ and \hat{b}_m be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. Choose generators (denoted by c_1 and c_2) for $H_0(U_{11})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we choose a generator (denoted by f) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e' be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e}' be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(a) &= c_1 + e' & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{a}) &= c_2 + \hat{e}' \\
d^1(b_i) &= e' + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}_i) &= \hat{e}' + f, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1 \\
d^1(b'_j) &= e' + f & \text{and} & & d^1(\hat{b}'_j) &= \hat{e}' + f, \quad j = 2, \dots, m
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 4, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\ker d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2n-8}$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n-1)(m+n-8)+11} & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2n-8} & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n-1)(m+n-8)+11}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n-1)(m+n-8)+10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2n-8} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n)(m+n-7)+11}$$

□

Proposition 59. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2n-2} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+(n-1)(n-4)+6}$ and we choose generators (denoted by f_{ij} , \hat{f}_{ij} for $2 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1$,

$f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, e be the generators of $H_0(U_{12})$ and $\hat{e}'_1, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, \hat{e}$ be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(b) = e' + f_{2,m+2} \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \quad (121)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\ker d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \vdots \\ 3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ 2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\ 1 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad \quad p \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} \\ H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 60. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-2)}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c} q \\ \vdots \\ 3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ 2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\ 1 \quad \quad \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2n-2} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} \quad 0 \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad \quad p \end{array}$$

Let b_2, \dots, b_m be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and $\hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_m$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+(n-1)(n-4)+6}$ and we choose generators (denoted by f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} for $2 \leq i < j \leq m$ and

$m + 2 \leq i < j \leq m + n - 1$, $f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, e be the generators of $H_0(U_{12})$ and $\hat{e}'_1, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, \hat{e}$ be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(b) = e' + f_{2,m+2} \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}) = \hat{e}' + \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \quad (122)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 2m - 4$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-3)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4} & 0 \\ \hline & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-3)}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{m(m-3)+n(n-3)+6} \\ H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 61. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $1 < r \leq 2$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n)(m+n-5)+6} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & & & \\ \hline 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & \mathbb{Z}^{2m+2n-6} \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & 0 & 1 & 2 & p \end{array}$$

Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m+n)(m+n-5)+6}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. \square

Proposition 62. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $r \leq L_{e_1}$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) & \xleftarrow{d^1} \mathbb{Z}^2 & & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Let b be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and \hat{b} be the generator of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and we choose generators (denoted by $f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(b) = e + f_{2,m+2} \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}) = \hat{e} + \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \quad (123)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 \\
 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 0$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. \square

Proposition 63. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} < r \leq L_{e_1} + 1$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-2)}$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) & \xleftarrow{d^1} & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Let b_2, \dots, b_m be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{12})$ and $\hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_m$ be the generators of $H_0(U_{22} \cap U_{21})$. By induction hypothesis, $H_0(U_{22}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and we choose generators (denoted by $f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$) for $H_0(U_{22})$. Let e be the generator of $H_0(U_{12})$ and \hat{e} be the generator of $H_0(U_{21})$ such that

$$d^1(b_i) = e + f_{2,m+2}, \quad \text{and} \quad d^1(\hat{b}_i) = \hat{e} + \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, \quad i = 2, \dots, m \quad (124)$$

Under the given generators, d^1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rank of this matrix is 2, and the diagonal elements of the Smith Normal form of the above matrix are all equal to 1. Therefore, $\text{Im } d^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{ker } d^1 = 2m - 4$. Hence, we obtain the E^2 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 q & & & \\
 \hline
 3 & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-3)} & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 & \mathbb{Z}^{2m-4} & 0 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & p
 \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-3)}$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^2 page, $E^2 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-2)(n-2)}$. \square

Proposition 64. Let L_{e_1} be a positive number. If $2 < r$ and $L_{e_1} + 1 < r \leq L_{e_1} + 2$, then

$$H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)(n-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r,L_{e_1}}^2) = 0$$

Proof. Consider the cover of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}$ given in Equation (111). The E^1 page of the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 q \\
 \vdots \\
 3 \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
 2 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 1 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 0 \\
 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2m-2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)(n-2)} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
 \hline
 \quad \quad \quad 0 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad 2 \quad p
 \end{array}$$

Here we applied the induction hypothesis $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$ and $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n-1})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)(n-2)}$. Since there is no non-trivial arrow on the E^1 page, $E^1 = E^\infty$. Therefore, $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(m-1)(n-1)}$ and $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}}) = 0$. \square

In summary, when $m, n \geq 3$, The rank of $H_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}})$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 11. When $k \geq 4$, the rank of $H_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{r,L_{e_1}})$ for all $r > 0$ and $L_{e_1} > 0$ is shown in Figure 12.

9 Decomposition of $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$

In this section, we are going to decompose $PH_i((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$ where $i = 0, 1$. We associate $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$ with a persistence module N which can be constructed using Proposition 21. We use the following diagram to represent N , where each vector space in the diagram represents a constant functor indexed by a chamber of the parameter space of $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})^2_{-,-}; \mathbb{F})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2-3m-3n+6} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2-3m-3n+6} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 N = \mathbb{F} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2-3m-3n+6} & & \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2-3m-3n+6} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^2 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2+2mn-5m-5n+6} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-1)(n-1)}
 \end{array} \tag{125}$$

Figure 21 provides the configurations of two distinct robots (represented by red and green dots) that correspond to the representatives we choose for the bases of the vector spaces in N .




Figure 21

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, \hat{e}'_2, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
 \uparrow \\
 \langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, \hat{e}'_2, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
 \uparrow \\
 N = \langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, \hat{e}'_2, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
 \uparrow \\
 \left\langle e'_2, \dots, e'_{n-1}, \hat{e}'_2, \dots, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
 \uparrow \beta \\
 \left\langle e_2, \dots, e_m, \hat{e}_2, \dots, \hat{e}_m, e'_{n-1}, \hat{e}'_{n-1}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{l,m+2}, \dots, f_{l,m+n-1}, \hat{f}_{l,m+2}, \dots, \hat{f}_{l,m+n-1}, \right. \\
 \left. f_{ij}, \hat{f}_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1, 2 \leq l \leq m \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\varsigma} \left\langle e_2, \dots, e_m, \hat{e}_2, \dots, \hat{e}_m, \right. \\
 \left. f_{l,m+2}, \dots, f_{l,m+n-1}, \right. \\
 \left. \hat{f}_{l,m+2}, \dots, \hat{f}_{l,m+n-1} \mid 2 \leq l \leq m \right\rangle \\
 \uparrow \gamma
 \end{array} \tag{126}$$

With the given basis for each vector space, the behavior of the morphisms in N can be described as follows:

- α maps every basis element of $\mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2-3m-3n+6}$ to f ;
- For all $2 \leq l \leq m$, β maps $e_2, \dots, e_m, f_{l,m+2}, \dots, f_{l,m+n-1}$ to $f_{2,m+2}$ and maps $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_m, \hat{f}_{l,m+2}, \dots, \hat{f}_{l,m+n-1}$ to $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$. Moreover, β maps e'_k to e'_k and maps \hat{e}'_k to \hat{e}'_k for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. In addition, β maps f_{ij} to f_{ij} and \hat{f}_{ij} to \hat{f}_{ij} for all $2 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1$.
- γ maps $f_{l,m+k}$ to $f_{2,m+2}$ and maps $\hat{f}_{l,m+k}$ to $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $2 \leq l \leq m$. Moreover, γ maps e_i to $f_{2,m+2}$ and \hat{e}_i to $\hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $i = 2, \dots, m$;
- ι and ζ are inclusions;
- unlabeled vertical maps are the identity maps.

Similarly, we associate $PH_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -})^2_{r, L_{e_1}}; \mathbb{F})$ with a persistence module N' , where N' is constructed using Proposition 21.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{F}^{m^2-3m+n^2-3n+3} & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{F}^{m^2-3m+n^2-3n+3} & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
\uparrow \eta & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
N' = \mathbb{F}^{m^2+n^2+2mn-7m-7n+11} & \xleftarrow{\zeta} & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} & & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{127}$$

In (127), ζ is the inclusion map, and $\eta \circ \zeta = 0$. Moreover, all unlabeled non-trivial vertical maps are identity maps.

Theorem 65. $PH_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -})^2_{a,b}; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

Proof. We denote the restriction of N' to its support by M . Note that $\eta \circ \zeta = 0$ and the endomorphism of $\mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)}$ is the identity map, see (127). Moreover, ζ is the inclusion map, hence M can be decomposed into two subrepresentations M_1 and M_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_1 = & & M_2 = \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & \\
\uparrow & & \\
0 & & \\
\uparrow & & \\
\mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} \\
& & \uparrow \\
& & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)} \\
& & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{F}^{2(m-2)(n-2)}
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}^{m^2-3m+n^2-3n+3} & & \\
\uparrow & & \\
\mathbb{F}^{m^2-3m+n^2-3n+3} & & \\
\uparrow & & \\
\mathbb{F}^{m^2-3m+n^2-3n+3} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
& & \uparrow \\
& & 0 \\
& & \longleftarrow & 0
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Since M_1 is an A_4 -quiver, and M_2 is an A_3 -quiver, they are interval decomposable. Note that the intervals in the support of M_1 and M_2 are also intervals in the support of N' . Therefore, we can extend each indecomposable representation

of M_i (where $i = 1, 2$) to a subrepresentation of N' by putting 0 to every vertex of N' which is not in M_i and a trivial morphism between two vertices where at least one vertex is not in the support of M_i . Hence, N' is interval decomposable. Note that $PH_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -})_{a,b}^2; \mathbb{F}) \cong N'$, we conclude that $PH_1((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -})_{a,b}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable. \square

Theorem 66. $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-, -})_{r, Le_1}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable.

Proof. Equation (126) provides us with the behavior of each arrow with given basis elements. Our goal is to find a new basis of each vector space in N such that each morphism in (126) maps every basis to another basis or zero, depending on the geometry of $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{r, Le_1}^2$. We choose the basis for each vector space provided in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} e'_k - f_{2,m+2}, \hat{e}'_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2}, f_{ij} - \\ f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{ij} - \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \mid 2 \leq k \leq n-1, 2 \leq i < j \leq \\ m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \end{array} \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
\uparrow \\
\langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} e'_k - f_{2,m+2}, \hat{e}'_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2}, f_{ij} - \\ f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{ij} - \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \mid 2 \leq k \leq n-1, 2 \leq i < j \leq \\ m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \end{array} \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
\uparrow \\
\langle f \rangle \xleftarrow{\alpha} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} e'_k - f_{2,m+2}, \hat{e}'_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2}, f_{ij} - \\ f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{ij} - \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \mid 2 \leq k \leq n-1, 2 \leq i < j \leq \\ m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \end{array} \right\rangle \quad \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
\uparrow \\
\left\langle \begin{array}{l} e'_k - f_{2,m+2}, \hat{e}'_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2}, f_{ij} - \\ f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{ij} - \hat{f}_{2,m+2} \mid 2 \leq k \leq n-1, 2 \leq i < j \leq \\ m, m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1 \end{array} \right\rangle \xleftarrow{\iota} \langle f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{2,m+2} - f_{2,m+2} \rangle \\
\beta \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \gamma \uparrow \\
\langle A \rangle \xleftarrow{\varsigma} \langle B \rangle
\end{array} \tag{128}$$

where A consists of the following elements:

1. $e_r - f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{r}_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $2 \leq r \leq m$;
2. $e'_k - f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{e}'_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$;
3. $f_{ij} - f_{2,m+2}, \hat{f}_{ij} - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $2 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1$;
4. $f_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+3} - f_{2,m+2}, \dots, f_{2,m+n-1} - f_{2,m+2}$;
5. $f_{s,m+t} - f_{2,m+t}$ for all $3 \leq s \leq m$ and $2 \leq t \leq n-1$;
6. $\hat{f}_{l,m+t} - \hat{f}_{l,m+t}$ for all $2 \leq l \leq m$ and $2 \leq t \leq n-1$

and B consists of the following elements:

1. $e_r - f_{2,m+2}$ and $\hat{r}_k - \hat{f}_{2,m+2}$ for all $2 \leq r \leq m$;
2. $f_{2,m+2}, f_{2,m+3} - f_{2,m+2}, \dots, f_{2,m+n-1} - f_{2,m+2}$;
3. $f_{s,m+t} - f_{2,m+t}$ for all $3 \leq s \leq m$ and $2 \leq t \leq n-1$;

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
N \cong & N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=2}^{n-1} E_k \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=2}^{n-1} \hat{E}_k \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} F_{ij} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} \hat{F}_{ij} \right) \\
& \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1} F_{ij} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{m+2 \leq i < j \leq m+n-1} \hat{F}_{ij} \right) \\
& \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{3 \leq t \leq n-1} G_{2,t} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{3 \leq t \leq n-1} \hat{G}_{2,t} \right) \\
& \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{3 \leq s \leq m} \bigoplus_{2 \leq t \leq n-1} G_{s,t} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{3 \leq s \leq m} \bigoplus_{2 \leq t \leq n-1} \hat{G}_{s,t} \right) \\
& \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{2 \leq r \leq m} K_r \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{2 \leq t \leq m} \hat{K}_r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

Note that N_1 , N_2 , E_k , \hat{E}_k , F_{ij} , \hat{F}_{ij} , $G_{2,t}$, $\hat{G}_{2,t}$, $G_{s,t}$, $\hat{G}_{s,t}$, K_r , and \hat{K}_r are interval modules, hence by Lemma 3, they are indecomposable. Therefore, N is interval decomposable. Since $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n})_{-,-}^2; \mathbb{F}) \cong N$, we conclude that $PH_0((\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-,-})_{r,Le_1}^2; \mathbb{F})$ is interval decomposable. \square

References

- [Alp+20] Hannah Alpert, Ulrich Bauer, Matthew Kahle, Robert MacPherson **and** Kelly Spendlove. “Configuration spaces of hard squares in a rectangle”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14480* (2020).
- [AM21] Hannah Alpert **and** Fedor Manin. “Configuration spaces of disks in a strip, twisted algebras, persistence, and other stories”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.04574* (2021).
- [BBK14] Yuliy Baryshnikov, Peter Bubenik **and** Matthew Kahle. “Min-type Morse theory for configuration spaces of hard spheres”. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2014.9 (2014), **pages** 2577–2592.
- [BC20] Magnus Botnan **and** William Crawley-Boevey. “Decomposition of persistence modules”. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 148.11 (2020), **pages** 4581–4596.
- [Bro12] Kenneth S Brown. *Cohomology of groups*. **volume** 87. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [BE20a] Mickaël Buchet **and** Emerson G Escolar. “Every 1D persistence module is a restriction of some indecomposable 2D persistence module”. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology* 4.3 (2020), **pages** 387–424.
- [BE20b] Mickaël Buchet **and** Emerson G Escolar. “The whole in the parts: Putting n D persistence modules inside indecomposable $(n + 1)$ D ones”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.02467* (2020).
- [Car+12] Gunnar Carlsson, Jackson Gorham, Matthew Kahle **and** Jeremy Mason. “Computational topology for configuration spaces of hard disks”. *Physical Review E* 85.1 (2012), **page** 011303.
- [Dee11] Kenneth Deeley. “Configuration spaces of thick particles on graphs”. phdthesis. Durham University, 2011.
- [DÖ18] James Dover **and** Murad Özaydin. “Homeomorphism types of restricted configuration spaces of metric graphs.” *IMRN: International Mathematics Research Notices* 2018.20 (2018).
- [Ghr08] Robert Ghrist. “Barcodes: the persistent topology of data”. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 45.1 (2008), **pages** 61–75.
- [Rot09] Joseph J Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. **volume** 2. Springer, 2009.
- [Wei95] Charles A Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. 38. Cambridge university press, 1995.

A Limits and Colimits

In this section, a diagram in \mathbf{C} (over a category \mathbf{J} , called a shape) is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{J} is the path category of a directed graph.

Example 1 (Trivial diagram). Let $c \in \text{ob } \mathbf{C}$. A trivial diagram in \mathbf{C} over a given shape \mathbf{J} is a functor $c : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ where c sends each vertex of \mathbf{J} to c and each arrow of \mathbf{J} to id_c . \triangle

Definition 67. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a functor. A **cone over** \mathcal{F} with summit c is a natural transformation $\lambda : c \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and a **cone under** \mathcal{F} with nadir c is a natural transformation $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow c$.

Definition 68. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a functor. The **limit** of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$, is an object of \mathbf{C} along with a universal cone $\lambda : \lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following condition: for all $\mu : c \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique natural transformation $\alpha : c \Rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu_i = \lambda_i \circ \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \text{ob } \mathbf{J}$.

Equivalently, we can define $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$ to be the representative of the functor $\text{Cone}(-, \mathcal{F}) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, in other words,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, \lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Cone}(-, \mathcal{F})$$

Dually, we can define colimits.

Definition 69. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a functor. The **colimit** of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$, is an object of \mathbf{C} along with a universal cone $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following condition: for all $\mu : \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow c$, there exists a unique natural transformation $\alpha : \text{colim}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow c$ such that $\mu_i = \alpha_i \circ \lambda_i$ for all $i \in \text{ob } \mathbf{J}$.

Example 2 (Product and Coproduct). Let \mathbf{J} be a discrete category and $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Assume \mathbf{C} has limits and colimits over \mathbf{J} . The product of \mathcal{F}_j , denoted by $\prod_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}_j$, is the limit of \mathcal{F} . In other words, $\prod_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}_j = \lim_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$. Dually, the coproduct of \mathcal{F}_j , denoted by $\coprod_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}_j$, is the colimit of \mathcal{F} . In other words, $\coprod_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}_j = \text{colim}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{F}$. \triangle

A set \mathcal{I} is called a **directed set** if it has a preorder with an additional property that every pair of elements of \mathcal{I} has an upper bound. A **directed system** in \mathbf{C} over the directed set \mathcal{I} (denoted by $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$) is a functor $X : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Since \mathcal{I} is a preorder, X has the following properties:

1. for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $|\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X_i, X_j)| \leq 1$;
2. $X(i \leq j) = \text{id}_{X_i}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$;
3. $X(j \leq k) \circ X(i \leq j) = X(i \leq k)$, for all $i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}$ where $i \leq j \leq k$.

Definition 70. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a directed system. The **direct limit** of $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is the colimit of X , in other words,

$$\varinjlim X_i = \text{colim}_{\mathcal{I}} X$$

Notation: We denote the (unique) map $X(i \leq j) : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ ($i \leq j$) by f_{ij} ; we use p_i represents the map $X_i \rightarrow \varinjlim X_i$, which is a leg map of the colimit cone.

Lemma 9. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a directed system where $X_i \in \mathbf{Mod}_R$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Then L is the direct limit of $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ iff the following conditions hold:

1. Given $x \in L$, there exists $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x_i \in X_i$ such that $p_i(x_i) = x$.
2. If there exists $x_i \in X_i$ for some $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $p_i(x_i) = 0$, there exists $j \in \mathcal{I}$ with $j \geq i$ such that $f_{ij}(x_i) = 0$.

Proof of lemma. (\Rightarrow) We first proof (1). If there is an element of L , say x , which is not in the image of any p_i for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $K := L - \{x\}$. It is clear that $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Rightarrow K$ is a cocone (here we treat K as the constant cocone), hence by the universal property of direct limit, there exists a unique map $L \rightarrow K$. Note that there is an inclusion $K \hookrightarrow L$. Therefore, $K = L$, and it contradicts our assumption that $K := L - \{x\}$.

We now prove (2). Assume (for such x_i) $f_{ij}(x_i) \neq 0$ for all $j \geq i$. Let $K = L$ and let q_i denote the map $X_i \rightarrow K$ such that $q_i(x_i) = a \neq 0$ for some $a \in L - \{0\}$ and $q_i(y) = p_i(y)$ if $y \neq x_i$; define $q_j f_{ij}(x_i) = a$. Then we have a cocone $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Rightarrow K$. By the universal property of direct limit, there exists a unique map $\phi : L \rightarrow K$. ϕ is an R -module homomorphism, hence it has to send $p_i(x_i) = 0$ to 0. Therefore,

$$a = q_i(x_i) = \phi \circ p_i(x_i) = \phi(0) = 0$$

Contradicting the assumption $a \neq 0$.

(\Leftarrow) We want to show for any cocone under $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ with nadir M with leg maps $(m_i)_{i \in I}$, there exists a unique map

$$\phi : L \rightarrow M$$

such that $m_i = \phi \circ p_i$ for all $i \in I$. By the condition (1), we are forced to define $\phi(x) = m_i(x_i)$ where $p_i(x_i) = x$ for some $i \in I$. Suppose there is another x_j in X_j such that $p_j(x_j) = x$, WLOG, we assume $i \leq j$. Notice that $p_j(f_{ij}(x_i)) = p_i(x_i)$ hence $p_j(x_j - f_{ij}(x_i)) = p_j(x_j) - p_i(x_i) = x - x = 0$. Therefore, by condition (2), there exists $k \in I$ with $k \geq j$ such that $f_{jk}(x_j - f_{ij}(x_i)) = 0$. Hence $m_k f_{jk}(x_j - f_{ij}(x_i)) = 0$, i.e., $m_j(x_j) - m_i(x_i) = 0$, which implies ϕ is well-defined.

Theorem 71. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a directed system where X_i are open subset of an ambient topological space and $X_i \rightarrow X_j$ is an inclusion map if $i \leq j$. Then*

$$\varinjlim \pi_n(X_i) \cong \pi_n(\varinjlim X_i)$$

Proof. Given $[\sigma] \in \pi_n(\varinjlim X_i)$, then σ is a map from S^n to $\varinjlim X_i = \bigcup X_i$. Since S^n is compact, there exists X_k such that $\text{Im } \sigma \subseteq X_k$. That means $[\sigma] \in \pi_n(X_k)$.

On the other hand, if there exists $[\sigma] \in \pi_n(X_i)$ such that $\sigma \sim 0$ in $\varinjlim X_i$. Let $H : S^n \times I \rightarrow \varinjlim X_i$ be the homotopy. Note that $S^n \times I$ is compact, there must exist X_k such that $\text{Im } H \subseteq X_k$. Hence $\sigma \sim 0$ in X_k .

By the above lemma, we conclude that $\varinjlim \pi_n(X_i) \cong \pi_n(\varinjlim X_i)$. □

Theorem 72. *$\varinjlim -$ is exact.*

Proof. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, \{Y_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, \{Z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be directed systems where for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{g_i} Z_i$ is exact at Y_i . Denote $\alpha_{ij} : Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ and $\beta_{ij} : Z_i \rightarrow Z_j$. Denote $p_i : X_i \rightarrow \varinjlim X_i$, $q_i : Y_i \rightarrow \varinjlim Y_i$ and $r_i : Z_i \rightarrow \varinjlim Z_i$. We want to show the following sequence is exact:

$$\varinjlim X_i \xrightarrow{F} \varinjlim Y_i \xrightarrow{G} \varinjlim Z_i$$

First, the existence of F and G is clear by the universal property of direct limits.

Second, notice that $g_i \circ f_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$ (which means the map $g_i \circ f_i : X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ factors through 0), hence we have $G \circ F = 0$.

On the other hand, given $y \in \ker G$, we have $G(y) = 0$. By the previous lemma, there exists $y_i \in Y_i$ for some $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $q_i(y_i) = y$. Hence we have $(G \circ q_i)(y_i) = G(y) = 0$. Note that $G \circ q_i = r_i g_i$ we have $r_i g_i(y_i) = 0$. By the previous lemma, there exists Z_j such that $\beta_{ij}(g_i(y_i)) = 0$. Note that $\beta_{ij} g_i = g_j \alpha_{ij}$ hence $g_j \alpha_{ij}(y_i) = 0$. Note that $X_j \xrightarrow{f_j} Y_j \xrightarrow{g_j} Z_j$ is exact, there exists $x_j \in X_j$ such that $f_j(x_j) = \alpha_{ij}(y_i)$. Hence we have

$$F \circ p_j(x_j) = q_j f_j(x_j) = q_j \alpha_{ij}(y_i) = q_i(y_i) = y$$

Hence $\ker G \subseteq \text{Im } F$. □

This figure "Dykin.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2310.05303v1>