

Kaniadakis entropy-based characterization of IceCube PeV neutrino signals

M. Blasone,^{1,2,*} G. Lambiase,^{1,2,†} and G. G. Luciano^{3,‡}

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Salerno,
Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy*

²*INFN Gruppo Collegato di Salerno - Sezione di Napoli c/o Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Salerno, Italy*

³*Applied Physics Section of Environmental Science Department,
Escola Politècnica Superior, Universitat de Lleida, Av. Jaume II, 69, 25001 Lleida, Spain*

(Dated: October 2, 2023)

Kaniadakis κ -thermostatistics is by now recognized as an effective paradigm to describe relativistic complex systems obeying power-law tailed distributions, as opposed to the classical (exponential-type) decay. It is founded on a non-extensive one-parameter generalization of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, which, in the cosmological framework, gives rise to modified Friedmann equations on the basis of the gravity-thermodynamic conjecture. Assuming the entropy associated with the apparent horizon of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) Universe follows Kaniadakis prescription, in this work we analyze the observed discrepancy between the present bound on the Dark Matter relic abundance and the IceCube high-energy (~ 1 PeV) neutrinos. We show that this tension can be alleviated in the minimal model of Dark Matter decay with Kaniadakis-governed Universe evolution, while still considering the 4-dimensional Yukawa coupling between Standard Model and Dark Matter particles. This argument phenomenologically supports the need for a Kaniadakis-like generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy in the relativistic realm, opening new potential scenarios in high-energy astroparticle physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory, a neutrino telescope within the glacial ice of the Geographic South Pole, extends over 1 km^3 of ice from roughly 10^3 m under the surface [1]. It was originally designed to search for neutrino sources in the TeV regime to explore the highest-energy astrophysical processes [1, 2]. Interestingly enough, some unexpected neutrino-initiated cascade events were also collected with PeV energies. While being initially attributed to astrophysical objects [3, 4], these exotic signals were later understood to be likely unrelated to known hot-spots, like supernova remnants or active galactic nuclei [5]. Although gamma-ray bursts remain potential source candidates [6, 7], the most credited assumption is that these neutrinos may have been produced by the heavy decaying Dark Matter (DM) [8–15].

In [16] Chianese and Merle speculated on the decay of a hypothetical thermal relic density of PeV scale DM via the minimal (4-dimensional) DM-neutrino Yukawa-like coupling $\mathcal{L}_{d=4} = y \bar{L} \cdot H \chi$, where \bar{L} , H and χ are the left-handed lepton doublet, Higgs doublet and the DM particle, respectively, while y quantifies the interaction. Here, we have dropped for simplicity the index for the mass eigenstates of the three active neutrinos¹. However, considerations on the optimal lifetime $\tau \sim 10^{28}$ sec of PeV DM [26, 27] reveal that such a coupling fails to

account for both the PeV DM relic abundance and the decay rate needed for IceCube [15, 16, 28]. Though alternative mechanisms have been subsequently invoked, including the existence of a secluded DM sector [29], freeze-out with resonantly enhanced annihilation [30] or freeze-in [31–33], no definitive solution to the problem of IceCube PeV neutrinos has yet emerged.

The DM model of [16] is framed in the standard General Relativity (GR). Nevertheless, empirical evidences from Type Ia Supernovae, CMB radiation and large-scale structures indicate that Einstein's theory and the ensuing cosmological Friedmann equations are to be properly corrected to comply with phenomenology and, in particular, to explain the late-time accelerating expansion of the Universe and the inflationary scenario [34].

In the light of the gravity-thermodynamic conjecture [35], it is known that the Friedmann equations ruling the Universe evolution can be derived from the first law of thermodynamics on the apparent horizon of the Universe [36–44] along with the holographic principle and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [45, 46]. Recently, arguments from different perspectives have converged on the idea that the conventional entropy-area law should be somehow generalized due to quantum gravitational [47–52] and/or non-extensive [53–58] corrections.

Among the most prominent examples of the latter class, Kaniadakis entropy arises from the effort to extend the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics to the special relativistic context [59–62]. In turn, the associated distribution computed through the maximum entropy principle is a one-parameter continuous deformation of the Maxwell-Boltzmann function, exhibiting power-law tails instead of the canonical exponential behavior. Kaniadakis framework has so far been tested successfully for many high-energy systems, such as cosmic rays [60],

*Electronic address: blasone@sa.infn.it

†Electronic address: lambiase@sa.infn.it

‡Electronic address: giuseppegaetano.luciano@udl.cat

¹ For a recent discussion on whether to consider mass or flavor states as active part of neutrino interactions, see [17–25].

plasma [63] and open stellar clusters [64].

In parallel, one advantage of Kaniadakis entropy in Cosmology is the non-trivial impact it has on the predicted history of the Universe, which gets modified toward improving the Λ CDM model phenomenologically [65–72] (see also [73] for a recent review of Kaniadakis entropy applications in Gravity and Cosmology). In particular, it is found that the Hubble expansion rate acquires the form $H(T) = H_{GR}(T)Z_\kappa(T)$, where H_{GR} is the rate obtained in the standard Cosmology based on GR, while Z_κ contains Kaniadakis induced effects. Typically, relativistic corrections are expected to be modulated over time, in such a way that $Z_\kappa(T) \neq 1$ in the earliest stages of the Universe existence (at the pre-BBN epoch, which is not directly constrained by cosmological observations), while it tends to unity at late-time, recovering classical GR. Although not considered in the original Kaniadakis framework, this behavior can be taken into account by assuming a running κ -parameter decreasing over time, as speculated in [70].

Starting from the above premises, in this work we focus on the study of the observed discrepancy between the current bound on the Dark Matter relic abundance and the IceCube high-energy neutrino events in Kaniadakis Cosmology. In this respect, we would like to remark that alternative modified cosmologies based on deformed entropic scenarios have been proposed in [74–77] based on information theory or quantum gravitational considerations. It is important to stress that the specific rationale behind the present analysis is that the PeV neutrinos revealed by IceCube are highly relativistic and, hence, more suited to be described in a picture that involves relativistic (Kaniadakis-like) statistical laws too. In this context, we assert that the IceCube tension can be alleviated in a Universe governed by Kaniadakis-Cosmology implied Friedmann equations, while still employing the minimal 4-dimensional interaction $\mathcal{L}_{d=4}$ defined above. We stress that this is a virtue of Kaniadakis formalism, which cannot be accounted for by the usual Cosmology.

The remainder of the work is structured as follows: in the next Section we briefly review Kaniadakis statistics. Sec. III is devoted to discuss the modified Cosmology based on Kaniadakis horizon entropy, while in Sec. IV we apply Kaniadakis paradigm to the IceCube high-energy neutrino tension. Conclusions and outlook are finally summarized in Sec. V. Throughout the work, we use natural units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$, while we keep the gravitational constant G explicit. In this way, we have $G = 1/M_p^2$, with M_p being the Planck mass.

II. KANIADAKIS STATISTICS: A REVIEW

In this Section we discuss mathematical and physical basics of Kaniadakis statistics. For more details on the subject, see [59–61]. It is known that the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distribution is taken as a foundation of the classical statistical mechanics, rather than stemming

from it. In fact, such a distribution emerges within the Newtonian mechanics, as suggested by numerical simulations of classical molecular dynamics [78].

The question naturally arises as to whether MB distribution is also obtained within a framework governed by the special relativity laws at microscopic dynamical level. This problem has been addressed in [59], based on the evidence that the relativistic cosmic rays exhibit a power-law tailed spectrum, in contrast to the MB exponential behavior [60]. The same feature has also been observed in other high-energy systems, such as the plasma in a superthermal radiation field [63], nuclear collisions [79] and open stellar clusters [64]. These evidences advise on the need to suitably generalize the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon (BGS) entropic functional in the relativistic realm.

In [60, 61] it has been shown the Lorentz transformations of the special relativity arguably impose the following one-parameter deformation of Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy

$$S_\kappa = - \sum_i n_i \ln_\kappa n_i, \quad (1)$$

where the κ -logarithm is defined by

$$\ln_\kappa x \equiv \frac{x^\kappa - x^{-\kappa}}{2\kappa}. \quad (2)$$

The generalized Boltzmann factor for the i -th level of the system of energy E_i takes the form

$$n_i = \alpha \exp_\kappa [-\beta (E_i - \mu)], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\exp_\kappa(x) \equiv \left(\sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 x^2} + \kappa x \right)^{1/\kappa}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\alpha = [(1 - \kappa)/(1 + \kappa)]^{1/2\kappa}, \quad (5)$$

$$1/\beta = \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2} T. \quad (6)$$

The deformed entropy in Eq. (1) is known as *Kaniadakis entropy*. Deviations from the classical framework are quantified by the dimensionless parameter $-1 < \kappa < 1$, in such a way that the standard statistics is recovered in the Galilean $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit. For later convenience, we remind that Kaniadakis entropy can be equivalently expressed as [80, 81]

$$S_\kappa = - \sum_{i=1}^W \frac{P_i^{1+\kappa} - P_i^{1-\kappa}}{2\kappa}, \quad (7)$$

where P_i denotes the probability of the system to be in the i -th microstate and W is the total number of configurations.

To have more contact with the physical language, it is now convenient to introduce the following functions

$$u(q) = \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 q^2}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{W}(q) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 q^2} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}, \quad (10)$$

which correspond to the (auxiliary) dimensionless velocity, kinetic and total energy of a given one-particle system, respectively. Here, we have denoted the dimensionless momentum by q .

The above relations can be easily inverted to give

$$q(u) = \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 - \kappa^2 u^2}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\varepsilon(q) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 q^2}. \quad (13)$$

At this stage we can define the physical velocity v , momentum p and total energy E through [61]

$$\frac{v}{u} = \frac{p}{mq} = \sqrt{\frac{E}{m\varepsilon}} = \kappa c \equiv v_*. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, the kinetic energy is given by

$$W = E - mc^2, \quad (15)$$

with m being the rest mass of the system. In order for these variables to be consistently defined in the Galilean limit too, we have to require

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty, \kappa \rightarrow 0} v_* < \infty. \quad (16)$$

In so doing, insertion of the physical variables into Eqs. (8)-(10) allows us to recover the standard momentum/energy formulas of a particle in the special-relativistic regime, i.e.

$$p = \gamma m v, \quad E = \gamma m c^2, \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ is the relativistic Lorentzian factor.

A comment is in order here: besides Kaniadakis formulation, other relativistic generalizations of the MB distribution have been proposed in the literature. Among these, Maxwell-Jüttner velocity distribution [82] represents the first attempt toward the construction of a relativistic statistical theory. Such a model, however, is developed by naively replacing the relativistic energy-velocity relation into the Maxwell-Boltzmann factor. In turn, this gives rise to a hybrid distribution, which still maximizes the classical BGS entropy. On the other hand, Kaniadakis distribution (4) is derived ab initio from an entropic functional compatible with the special relativity, thus providing a self-consistent relativistic statistical framework.

III. MODIFIED COSMOLOGY THROUGH KANIADAKIS HORIZON ENTROPY

Let us now export Kaniadakis paradigm to the black-hole framework. This step will then be useful for the holographic (and, hence, cosmological) application of Kaniadakis entropy. Toward this end, we assume equiprobable states $P_i = 1/W$ in Eq. (7) and use the property that the Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy is $S \propto \log W$. Since the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is $S_{BH} = A/(4G)$, we have $W = \exp[A/(4G)]$. By plugging into Eq. (7), we find

$$S_\kappa = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sinh\left(\kappa \frac{A}{4G}\right), \quad (18)$$

which indeed recovers the standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy S_{BH} for $\kappa \rightarrow 0$.

Notice that, since the above function is even, i.e. $S_\kappa = S_{-\kappa}$, we can safely restrict to the $\kappa > 0$ domain for our next considerations. In addition, given that deviations from the Bekenstein-Hawking formula are expected to be small, it is reasonable to approximate Eq. (18) for $\kappa \ll 1$ as

$$S_\kappa = S_{BH} + \frac{\kappa^2}{6} S_{BH}^3 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \quad (19)$$

where the first term is the usual entropy, while the second one provides the leading-order Kaniadakis correction.

We can now proceed with the derivation of the κ -modified Friedmann equations. For this purpose, we follow [67] and describe the 4-dim. background by a homogeneous and isotropic (Friedmann-Robertson-Walker) flat geometry with metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \quad (20)$$

where $a(t)$ denotes the time-dependent scale factor and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ is the angular part of the metric on the two sphere. Moreover, we assume that the Universe is filled with a matter perfect fluid of mass density ρ_m and pressure $p_m = w\rho_m$ at equilibrium, where $-1 \leq w \leq 1/3$ is the equation-of-state parameter.

As a next step, we apply the gravitational thermodynamics conjecture to the Universe apparent horizon of radius $r_a = 1/H = a/\dot{a}$ and effective temperature $T = 1/(2\pi r_a)$. Practically, this consists in using the first law of thermodynamics

$$dU = TdS - WdV, \quad (21)$$

on the horizon of the Universe, which is conceived as a (spherical) expanding thermodynamic system. Here, $W = (\rho_m - p_m)/2$ is the work density due to the change in the apparent horizon radius of the Universe, while dU and dV are the corresponding increase in internal energy and volume, respectively. Observing that $dU = -dE$, where $E = \rho_m V$ is the total energy content inside the

Universe of volume $V = 4\pi r_a^3/3$, Eq. (21) can be equivalently cast as

$$dE = -TdS + WdV. \quad (22)$$

We now follow [83], but with the generalized Kaniadakis entropy (18) instead of the Bekenstein-Hawking one. Omitting standard textbook calculations, we get from Eq. (22) [67]

$$-4\pi G(\rho_m + p_m) = \cosh\left(\kappa\frac{\pi}{GH^2}\right)\dot{H}, \quad (23)$$

where the overdot indicates derivative respect to the cosmic time t . Furthermore, by imposing the conservation equation

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (24)$$

for the matter fluid of stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_m + p_m)u_\mu u_\nu + p_m g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (25)$$

and four-velocity u_μ , we are led to

$$\dot{\rho}_m = -3H(\rho_m + p_m). \quad (26)$$

After substitution into Eq. (23), integration of both sides gives [67]

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_m = \cosh\left(\kappa\frac{\pi}{GH^2}\right)H^2 - \frac{\kappa\pi}{G}\text{shi}\left(\kappa\frac{\pi}{GH^2}\right), \quad (27)$$

where we have set the integration (i.e. cosmological) constant to zero and we have defined

$$\text{shi}(x) \equiv \int_0^x \frac{\sinh(x')}{x'} dx'. \quad (28)$$

The relations (23) and (27) are the modified Friedmann equations underlying Kaniadakis Cosmology. They represent the central ingredient for the investigation of the evolution of the Universe. We emphasize that the extra κ -dependent corrections give rise to fascinating cosmic scenarios with a richer phenomenology comparing to the standard Λ CDM model. For instance, in [65] a holographic dark energy description based on Eqs. (23) and (27) has served to explain the current accelerated expansion of the Universe, while in [70] the baryogenesis and primordial Lithium abundance problems have been successfully addressed. It is easy to check that the General Relativity framework is correctly recovered in the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit.

In passing, we mention that modified Friedmann equations in alternative entropic scenarios have also been studied in Tsallis [74, 84–87] and Barrow [75, 88–93] Cosmologies, motivated by non-extensive and quantum gravitational considerations, respectively [94]. Along this line, the IceCube PeV neutrino discrepancy has been examined in [95] in Tsallis Cosmology to constrain the related entropic parameter. In this sense, our next analysis resembles that of [95] and, more general, of [96, 97] in extended theories of gravity. Here, however, we stress that corrections brought about in the Friedmann equations arise from a genuinely relativistic deformation of the entropy-area law, rather than a modification of the gravitational interaction.

IV. HIGH-ENERGY NEUTRINO SIGNALS FROM ICECUBE

In this Section we present the useful features related to DM relic abundance and IceCube data. To describe the interaction between Standard Model and Dark Matter particles, we use the minimal (4-dimensional) Yukawa-like coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{d=4} = y_{\sigma\chi}\bar{L}_\sigma \cdot H\chi, \quad (29)$$

where $\sigma = e, \mu, \tau$ labels the eigenstates of the three active neutrinos, L_σ and H are the left-handed lepton and Higgs doublets, respectively, χ the DM particle and $y_{\sigma\chi}$ the (dimensionless) Yukawa coupling constants. Computations are first developed in the conventional Cosmology, showing that it is unable to reconcile the current bound on DM relic abundance and IceCube high-energy events of neutrinos. We then argue that this controversy can be avoided, provided that the background evolution is described by Kaniadakis entropy-based Cosmology.

A. Standard Cosmology

Following [95, 98, 99], we consider the so called DM *freeze-in* production, which means that DM particles are never in thermal equilibrium due to their weak interactions and are produced from the hot thermal bath. If we define the DM abundance by $Y_\chi = n_\chi/s$, where n_χ is the number density of DM particles, $s = 2\pi^2 g_*(T)T^3/45$ the entropy density and $g_*(T) \simeq 106.75$ the effective number of degrees of freedom, the evolution equation for DM particles in the traditional Cosmology reads [98]

$$\frac{dY_\chi}{dT} = -\frac{1}{H_{GR}(T)Ts} \frac{g_\chi}{(2\pi)^3} \int C \frac{d^3 p_\chi}{E_\chi}, \quad (30)$$

where H_{GR} is the standard Hubble rate of General Relativity, $g_\chi = 2$ the two helicity projections of DM and C the general collision term. The momentum and energy scale of DM have been denoted by p_χ and E_χ , respectively.

For constant g_* , the DM relic abundance can be written as [98]

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2 = \left| \frac{2m_\chi^2 s_0 h^2}{\rho_c} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} \left(-\frac{dY_\chi}{dT} \Big|_{T=\frac{m_\chi}{x}} \right) \right|, \quad (31)$$

where $x \equiv m_\chi/T$, m_χ is the DM mass scale and h the dimensionless Hubble constant. Furthermore, the present value of the entropy density and the critical density have been indicated by

$$s_0 = 2\pi^2 g_* T_0^3/45 \simeq 2891.2/\text{cm}^3, \quad (32)$$

$$\rho_c = 1.054 \times 10^{-5} h^2 \text{ GeV}/\text{cm}^3, \quad (33)$$

respectively.

For the observed DM abundance, Eq. (31) gives the value [100]

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2|_{obs} = 0.1188 \pm 0.0010. \quad (34)$$

Now, the most relevant processes that are induced by the interaction (29) and contribute to the DM production are the *inverse decays*

$$i) \quad \nu_\sigma + H^0 \rightarrow \chi, \quad l_\sigma + H^+ \rightarrow \chi, \quad (35)$$

and the *Yukawa production* processes

$$ii) \quad t + \bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\sigma + \chi. \quad (36)$$

While the former are kinematically allowed, provided that $m_\chi > m_H + m_{\nu,l}$ and have probabilities proportional to $|y_{\sigma\chi}|^2$, the latter depend on the factor $|y_{\sigma\chi} y_t|^2$, where t is the top quark and y_t the Yukawa coupling constant between the top quark and Higgs boson. Thus, the evolution of DM particles induced by the interaction (29) becomes [98]

$$\frac{dY_\chi}{dT} = \frac{dY_\chi}{dT}|_i + \frac{dY_\chi}{dT}|_{ii}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\frac{dY_\chi}{dT}|_i = -\frac{m_\chi^2 \Gamma_\chi}{\pi^2 H_{GR}(T) s} K_1\left(\frac{m_\chi}{T}\right), \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{dY_\chi}{dT}|_{ii} = -\frac{1}{512\pi^6 H_{GR}(T) s} \int d\bar{s} d\Omega \sum_\sigma \frac{W_{t\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\sigma \chi} + 2W_{t\nu_\sigma \rightarrow t\chi}}{\sqrt{\bar{s}}} K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{s}}}{T}\right). \quad (39)$$

Here, \bar{s} represents the centre-of-mass energy, $W_{ij \rightarrow kl}$ are the scattering probabilities of the related processes, $K_1(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and

$$\Gamma_\chi = \sum_\sigma \frac{|y_{\sigma\chi}|^2}{8\pi} m_\chi \quad (40)$$

the interaction rate.

As argued in [98], the very dominant processes in the DM production are the inverse decays (35). Accordingly, the DM relic abundance is approximately

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2|_i \simeq 0.1188 \frac{\sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-25}}. \quad (41)$$

Therefore, the observed value (34) is reproduced, provided that $\sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|^2 \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-25}$. This is, however, at odds with the condition required to fit the IceCube high-energy neutrino events. Indeed, let us notice that the stability of DM particles imposes that the lifetime $\tau_\chi = \Gamma_\chi^{-1}$ has to be longer than the age of the Universe, i.e. $\tau_\chi > t_U \simeq 4.35 \times 10^{17}$ sec. Furthermore, the IceCube spectrum sets the (nearly model-independent) more stringent

lower bound $\tau_\chi \gtrsim \tau_\chi^b \simeq 10^{28}$ sec [16]. By plugging the aforementioned estimate $\sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|^2 \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-25}$ into Eq. (40), one obtains $\Gamma_\chi \simeq 4.5 \times 10^4 \frac{m_\chi}{\text{PeV}} \text{sec}^{-1}$, which in turn implies $\tau_\chi \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{PeV}}{m_\chi} \text{sec}$. For $m_\chi \simeq 1 \text{PeV}$, we then have $\tau_\chi \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ sec, in contrast with what stated above.

On the other hand, in order to be compatible with the DM decay lifetime $\tau_\chi \simeq 10^{28}$ sec required by IceCube, we should have

$$\sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|_{IceCube}^2 \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-57}, \quad (42)$$

which is by far (roughly 33 orders of magnitude) lower than the value needed to explain the DM relic abundance.

The above considerations make it clear that the IceCube high energy events and the DM relic abundance are inconsistent with the DM production as far as the latter is ascribed to the interaction (29) and the cosmological background evolves according to the Einstein field equations.

B. Kaniadakis entropy-based Cosmology

Let us now explore how the above picture is modified in Kaniadakis Cosmology. To extract analytical solution, it proves convenient to perform Taylor expansion of the Friedmann equation (27) for small κ , which is indeed the case according to the discussion below Eq. (18). Observing that

$$\cosh(x) = 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \mathcal{O}(x^6), \quad (43)$$

$$\text{shi}(x) = x + \frac{x^3}{18} + \frac{x^5}{600} + \mathcal{O}(x^7), \quad (44)$$

we get to the leading order

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_m \simeq H^2 - \kappa^2 \frac{\pi^2}{2(GH)^2}. \quad (45)$$

This equation can be solved with respect to H to obtain

$$H \simeq \left[\frac{4\pi G \rho_m}{3} + \frac{\pi (64G^6 \rho_m^2 + 18G^2 \kappa^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{6G^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \simeq H_{GR} + \sqrt{\frac{27\pi}{2}} \frac{\kappa^2}{64(G^7 \rho_m^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (46)$$

where we have only considered the solution that, for $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, recovers the correct limit

$$H_{GR} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}} \rho_m. \quad (47)$$

As explained in Sec. I, in order to isolate corrections arising from modified gravity, it is useful to factorize the Hubble rate (46) as

$$H(T) = H_{GR}(T)Z_\kappa(T), \quad (48)$$

where the information on the modified Kaniadakis entropy is contained in the extra factor

$$Z_\kappa(T) \simeq 1 + \frac{9\kappa^2}{256(G^2\rho_m)^2}. \quad (49)$$

Some comments are in order: first, we notice that the $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit of Eq. (49) gives $Z_\kappa = 1$, as expected. Though being derived in a different way, Eq. (49) is consistent with the result of [70]. Moreover, we can relate the matter density and temperature as

$$\rho_m = \frac{\pi^2 g_*(T)}{30} T^4, \quad (50)$$

where $g_*(T) \simeq 106.75$ as defined in the previous Section.

The usage of the modified Hubble rate (48) allows us to recast the evolution equation (38) of DM particles produced by the inverse decays as

$$\left. \frac{dY_\chi}{dT} \right|_i = -\frac{m_\chi^2 \Gamma_\chi}{\pi^2 H(T) s} K_1\left(\frac{m_\chi}{T}\right), \quad (51)$$

where now

$$H(T)s \simeq \frac{64\pi^4 g_*^2 T^8 + 2025 T_*^8 \kappa^2}{2160 \sqrt{5\pi g_*} T^3 T_*}, \quad T_* = M_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}, \quad (52)$$

to the leading order in κ . Here, s is the entropy density defined at the beginning of Sec. IV A.

Employing Eqs. (51) and (52) and following the same computations as in Sec. IV A, the κ -modified DM relic abundance (31) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{DM} h^2 &= \left| \frac{2m_\chi^2 s_0 h^2}{\rho_c} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} \left(-\left. \frac{dY_\chi}{dT} \right|_{T=\frac{m_\chi}{x}} \right) \right| \quad (53) \\ &\simeq \frac{3.5 h^2 s_0 \Gamma_\chi T_*}{\pi^{\frac{17}{2}} g_*^{\frac{7}{2}} m_\chi^9 \rho_c} \left| 64\pi^4 g_*^2 m_\chi^8 - 6.6 \times 10^9 T_*^8 \kappa^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used [101]

$$\int_0^\infty x^n K_1(x) dx = 2^{n-1} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right), \quad \Re[n] > 0. \quad (54)$$

By further substituting Eq. (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{DM} h^2 &\simeq \frac{0.4 h^2 s_0 T_*}{\pi^{\frac{19}{2}} g_*^{\frac{7}{2}} m_\chi^8 \rho_c} \sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|^2 \\ &\times \left| 64\pi^4 g_*^2 m_\chi^8 - 6.6 \times 10^9 T_*^8 \kappa^2 \right|. \quad (55) \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1: Plot of Π_κ in Eq. (57) versus κ (black solid line). We have set the DM mass $m_\chi \simeq 1$ PeV and $T_* \simeq 10^{19}$ GeV. The shaded region is phenomenologically forbidden, while the observed DM abundance $\Omega_{DM} h^2 \simeq 0.1188$ in Eq. (34) is obtained for $\Pi_\kappa \simeq 1$ (red dashed line).

For comparison with observational data, it is useful to cast the above expression as

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2 \simeq 0.1188 \left(\frac{106.75}{g_*} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sum_\sigma |y_{\sigma\chi}|^2}{1.6 \times 10^{-57}} \Pi_\kappa, \quad (56)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\kappa &\simeq 6.3 \times 10^{-61} \frac{h^2 s_0 T_*}{\rho_c} \left| 1 - \frac{10^6 T_*^8 \kappa^2}{g_*^2 m_\chi^8} \right| \\ &\simeq 1.7 \times 10^{-52} \frac{T_*}{1 \text{ GeV}} \left| 1 - \frac{10^6 T_*^8 \kappa^2}{g_*^2 m_\chi^8} \right|. \quad (57) \end{aligned}$$

In the second step we have used Eqs. (32) and (33) for s_0 and ρ_c , respectively.

From Eq. (56) and (57), it follows that the DM relic abundance (34) and the IceCube data (42) are successfully and simultaneously explained in Kaniadakis Cosmology, provided that

$$\Pi_\kappa \simeq 1. \quad (58)$$

The behavior of Eq. (57) versus the Kaniadakis parameter κ is plotted in Fig. 1 for $m_\chi \simeq 1$ PeV = 10^6 GeV and the energy scale $T_* = M_p \simeq 10^{19}$ GeV. We observe that the condition (58) is satisfied, provided that

$$\kappa \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-37}, \quad (59)$$

which substantiates a posteriori our working assumption $\kappa \ll 1$. It should be noted that, for the considered values of m_χ and T_* , a resolution of the problem going beyond the leading order approximation would be advisable. This, however, does not undermine the conceptual validity of our assertion, that is the need for a relativistic generalization of the statistical framework (and, in particular, of the entropy-area law) to explain the IceCube PeV neutrino spectrum and DM relic abundance.

Estimate ($ \kappa $)	Physical framework	Ref.
6×10^{-125}	Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO)	[68]
3×10^{-125}	CC+SNIa+BAO	[68]
1.2×10^{-124}	Cosmological constant (CC)	[68]
1.3×10^{-124}	Type Ia supernova (SNIa)	[68]
3.6×10^{-123}	Hubble data	[69]
4.4×10^{-123}	Strong lensing systems	[69]
3.7×10^{-123}	HII galaxies	[69]
8.1×10^{-84}	${}^7\text{Li}$ -abundance	[70]

TABLE I: Some bounds on Kaniadakis entropic parameter from Cosmology and Astroparticle physics.

It is worth discussing the estimate (59) in connection with other cosmological bounds on κ from recent literature² (see Tab. I). While being lower than the value $\kappa \simeq 0.2$ needed to fit the cosmic rays spectrum [60], the obtained κ is appreciably non-vanishing if compared, for example, with constraints from Baryon Acoustic Oscillations [68], cosmological constant and Type Ia Supernova measurements [68], Hubble, strong lensing systems and HII galaxies data [69], and ${}^7\text{Li}$ -abundance observations [70]. This suggests that, in principle, the IceCube PeV neutrinos could be more sensitive to the effects of the Kaniadakis entropy (18) than other systems/cosmic scenarios, providing a valuable playground to test Kaniadakis prescription in perspective.

Although not contemplated in the original Kaniadakis formalism, the gap between our result and other cosmological bounds on κ could be explained by allowing the entropic parameter to be running. This assumption can be understood in the following picture: in the same way as the energy content (that is, the matter degrees of the freedom) of the Universe is described by a dynamic fluid evolving from an initially relativistic to a semi- or non-relativistic system as the temperature cools down, we can think of the holographic entropy (i.e. the horizon degrees of freedom) as undergoing a transition from a relativistic (Kaniadakis-type, $|\kappa| > 0$) to a classical (Boltzmann-Gibbs-type, $\kappa = 0$) description for decreasing redshift. In this framework, the departure (18) from the classical entropy would be quantified by a decreasing function of the time (or, equivalently, by an increasing function of the energy scale). This dynamical behavior would also be necessary to satisfy the requirement that $Z_\kappa(T)$ can in principle depart from unity at the pre-BBN epoch, where we still do not have direct constraints by cosmological observations, but it must recover GR (i.e.

$Z_\kappa(T) = 1$) in the late stages of the Universe evolution for phenomenological consistency (see also the discussion in the Introduction).

We recall that a similar scenario with a varying deformation entropic parameter has been conjectured in [57, 102, 103] in the context of non-extensive Tsallis entropy and in [104] for the case of Barrow entropy. In particular, in [102] it is observed that the renormalization of a quantum theory entails a scale-dependence of the degrees of freedom. In the standard theory of fields, massive modes decouple and the degrees of freedom decrease in the low energy regime. On the other hand, in gravity theory the situation is more cumbersome, as the degrees of freedom could increase if the space-time fluctuations become large in the ultraviolet regime, while they decrease if gravity is topological, which may be compatible with holography. Either way, presuming that a deformation of the standard entropy-area law is needed, it would be reasonable to assume a dynamic deformation parameter to account for these features both at high-energy (inflation) and low-energy (late-time Universe) scale. Clearly, more work to consolidate this picture is required, especially in view of formulating a new relativistic thermodynamics that incorporates a running non-extensive entropic parameter in a self-consistent way.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

It is a fact that the standard Boltzmann-Gibbs theory cannot be applied to systems where the partition function diverges, and (large-scale) gravitational systems are known to belong to this class. In these footsteps, recent works proposed a generalization of the holographic dark energy scenario and the cosmological Friedmann equations equipped with the Kaniadakis entropy, which is a one-parameter deformation of Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy incorporating special relativity. Motivated by these insights, in the present work we addressed the observed discrepancy between the present bound on the Dark Matter relic abundance and the IceCube high-energy neutrino data in Kaniadakis entropy-based Cosmology. Our strategy was to keep the canonical (4-dimensional) Yukawa-like coupling unchanged, while modifying the description of the Universe evolution by using the κ -deformed entropy in Eq. (1) (or, equivalently, Eq. (18)). By resorting to the generalized Friedmann equations (23)-(27) and solving the evolution equation of DM particles, we proved that the IceCube neutrino tension can be alleviated in this framework, provided one properly constrains the scaling exponent κ . This is line with other results in recent literature, which show that Kaniadakis entropy works better than the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs one for a vast class of relativistic and/or complex systems, such as cosmic rays, plasma, open stellar clusters, nuclear collisions processes, etc. Since PeV neutrinos fall within this class of systems, the use of a relativistically motivated statistics appears natural and all the more necessary.

² Notice that the estimates in [68, 69] are exhibited in terms of the re-scaled Kaniadakis parameter $\beta = \kappa \frac{M_p^2}{H_0^2}$, where H_0 is the present Hubble rate.

Further aspects remain to be investigated: first, our analysis was performed in the approximation of small departure from the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. Although this assumption does not undermine the conceptual basis of our study – since $\kappa \ll 1$ is the expected scenario – a more reliable estimation of Kaniadakis parameter should be inferred by exact calculations. This is also requested by the fact that relativistic symmetries are exactly preserved only by the full Kaniadakis entropy. Due to the peculiar form of Eq. (18), such a task involves more computational effort, which will be conducted in a future extension of this work.

As additional perspectives, it would be suggestive to compare our approach (and possibly find a connection) with other studies that adopt a different modus operandi to explain the IceCube PeV neutrino spectrum. For instance, in [105] and [106] exotic types of interactions are used. In particular, in [105] the authors take into account secret interactions of neutrinos with the cosmic background, while in [106] photohadronic coupling of the Fermi accelerated high energy protons are considered with the synchrotron background photons in the nuclear region of high energy blazars and Active Galactic Nuclei. Finally, a challenging goal is to further explore the possibility to allow for a running κ . In this sense, it could be helpful to search for signatures of Kaniadakis entropy in the very early Universe, where the effects of a poten-

tial departure from Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy might be amplified. Preliminary clues can be offered by the study of imprints of the inflationary tensor perturbations [107] propagated during the hypothetical Kaniadakis cosmic era in experiments on primordial gravitational waves. These lines of research are under active investigation and will be presented elsewhere.

Data Availability Statement All data that have been used in our analysis have already been freely released and have been published by the corresponding research teams. In our text we properly give all necessary References to these works, and hence no further data deposit is needed.

Acknowledgments

GGL acknowledges the Spanish “Ministerio de Universidades” for the awarded Maria Zambrano fellowship and funding received from the European Union - NextGenerationEU. He is also grateful for participation to the LISA Cosmology Working group. GL thanks MUR and INFN for support. GL and GGL acknowledge the participation to the COST Action CA18108 “Quantum Gravity Phenomenology in the Multimessenger Approach”.

-
- [1] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube], *JINST* **12**, no.03, P03012 (2017).
 - [2] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 081102 (2015)
 - [3] I. Cholis and D. Hooper, *JCAP* **06**, 030 (2013).
 - [4] L. A. Anchordoqui, V. Barger, I. Cholis, H. Goldberg, D. Hooper, A. Kusenko, J. G. Learned, D. Marfatia, S. Pakvasa and T. C. Paul, *et al.* *JHEAp* **1-2**, 1 (2014).
 - [5] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* [IceCube], *Astrophys. J.* **835**, 151 (2017).
 - [6] K. Murase and K. Ioka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 121102 (2013).
 - [7] R. Abbasi *et al.* [IceCube], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **946**, L26 (2023).
 - [8] Y. Bai, R. Lu and J. Salvado, *JHEP* **01**, 161 (2016).
 - [9] B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto and T. T. Yanagida, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 015004 (2013).
 - [10] Y. Ema, R. Jinno and T. Moroi, *Phys. Lett. B* **733**, 120 (2014).
 - [11] A. Bhattacharya, M. H. Reno and I. Sarcevic, *JHEP* **06**, 110 (2014).
 - [12] C. Rott, K. Kohri and S. C. Park, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 023529 (2015).
 - [13] K. Murase, R. Laha, S. Ando and M. Ahlers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 071301 (2015).
 - [14] C. El Aisati, M. Gustafsson and T. Hambye, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 123515 (2015).
 - [15] A. Esmaili, S. K. Kang and P. D. Serpico, *JCAP* **12**, 054 (2014).
 - [16] M. Chianese and A. Merle, *JCAP* **04**, 017 (2017).
 - [17] C. Giunti and C. W. Kim, *Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).
 - [18] M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, *Annals Phys.* **244**, 283 (1995).
 - [19] D. V. Ahluwalia, L. Labun and G. Torrieri, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **52**, 189 (2016).
 - [20] D. V. Ahluwalia, L. Labun and G. Torrieri, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **706**, 042006 (2016).
 - [21] A. Capolupo, G. Lambiase and A. Quaranta, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 095022 (2020).
 - [22] M. Blasone, G. Lambiase, G. G. Luciano and L. Petrucciello, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 130 (2020).
 - [23] L. Smaldone and G. Vitiello, *Universe* **7**, 504 (2021).
 - [24] A. Capolupo and A. Quaranta, *Phys. Lett. B* **840**, 137889 (2023).
 - [25] G. G. Luciano, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **138**, 83 (2023).
 - [26] K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 615 (1990).
 - [27] J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell and G. D. Mack, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 231301 (2007).
 - [28] S. M. Boucenna, M. Chianese, G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Morisi, O. Pisanti and E. Vitagliano, *JCAP* **12**, 055 (2015).
 - [29] A. Kehagias, A. Moradinezhad Dizgah and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 043527 (2014).
 - [30] P. Di Bari, P. O. Ludl and S. Palomares-Ruiz, *JCAP* **11**, 044 (2016).
 - [31] C. S. Fong, H. Minakata, B. Panes and R. Zukanovich Funchal, *JHEP* **02**, 189 (2015).

- [32] S. B. Roland, B. Shakya and J. D. Wells, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 095018 (2015).
- [33] M. Re Fiorentin, V. Niro and N. Fornengo, JHEP **11**, 022 (2016).
- [34] S. Vagnozzi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **502**, L11-L15 (2021).
- [35] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [36] C. Eling, R. Guedens and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 121301 (2006).
- [37] M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 243 (2007).
- [38] T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5387 (2002).
- [39] R. G. Cai and L. M. Cao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064008 (2007).
- [40] A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, JCAP **05**, 009 (2003).
- [41] R. Bousso, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 064024 (2005).
- [42] G. Calcagni, JHEP **09**, 060 (2005).
- [43] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Nucl. Phys. B **779**, 1 (2007).
- [44] G. G. Luciano, Phys. Lett. B **838**, 137721 (2023).
- [45] G. 't Hooft, Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity, Conf. Proc. C **930308**, 284 (1993).
- [46] L. Susskind, The World as a hologram, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).
- [47] C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3288 (1996).
- [48] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 904 (1998).
- [49] R. J. Adler, P. Chen and D. I. Santiago, Gen. Rel. Grav. **33**, 2101 (2001).
- [50] J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 353 (2008).
- [51] J. D. Barrow, Phys. Lett. B **808**, 135643 (2020).
- [52] X. Calmet and F. Kuipers, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 066012 (2021).
- [53] A. Iorio, G. Lambiase and G. Vitiello, Annals Phys. **309**, 151-165 (2004).
- [54] C. Tsallis, L.J.L. Cirto, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2487 (2013).
- [55] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and T. Paul, Phys. Lett. B **831**, 137189 (2022).
- [56] P. Jizba, G. Lambiase, G. G. Luciano and L. Petruzzello, Phys. Rev. D **105**, L121501 (2022); Phys. Rev. D **108**, 064024 (2023).
- [57] G. G. Luciano and M. Blasone, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 045004 (2021).
- [58] A. Sheykhi, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 25 (2020).
- [59] G. Kaniadakis, Physica A **296**, 405 (2001).
- [60] G. Kaniadakis, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 056125 (2002).
- [61] G. Kaniadakis, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 036108 (2005).
- [62] G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia and A. M. Scarfone, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 046128 (2005).
- [63] A. Hasegawa, K. Mima and M. Duong-van, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2608 (1985).
- [64] J. C. Carvalho, R. Silva, J. D. do Nascimento Jr., B. B. Soares and J.R. De Medeiros, Eu-rophys. Lett. **91** 69002 (2010).
- [65] N. Drepanou, A. Lympers, E. N. Saridakis and K. Yesmakhanova, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 449 (2022).
- [66] E. M. C. Abreu, J. A. Neto, A. C. R. Mendes, A. Bonilla and R. M. de Paula, EPL **124**, 30003 (2018).
- [67] A. Lympers, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 1037 (2021).
- [68] A. Hernández-Almada, G. Leon, J. Magaña, M. A. García-Aspeitia, V. Motta, E. N. Saridakis and K. Yesmakhanova, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **511**, 4147 (2022).
- [69] A. Hernández-Almada, G. Leon, J. Magaña, M. A. García-Aspeitia, V. Motta, E. N. Saridakis, K. Yesmakhanova and A. D. Millano, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **512**, 5122 (2022).
- [70] G. G. Luciano, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 314 (2022).
- [71] S. Rani, A. Jawad, A. M. Sultan and M. Shad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **31**, 2250078 (2022).
- [72] S. Ghaffari, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **37**, 2250152 (2022).
- [73] G.G. Luciano, Entropy **24**, 1712 (2022).
- [74] M. Tavayef, A. Sheykhi, K. Bamba and H. Moradpour, Phys. Lett. B **781**, 195 (2018).
- [75] E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 123525 (2020).
- [76] M. Naeem, J. Ahmed and A. Bibi, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **137**, 962 (2022).
- [77] S. Jalalzadeh, H. Moradpour and P. V. Moniz, Phys. Dark Univ. **42**, 101320 (2023).
- [78] D. Frenkel and B. Smit, *Understanding Molecular Simulation* (Academic, New York, 2nd Ed., 2001).
- [79] G. Wilk and Z. Wlodarczyk, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2318 (1994).
- [80] E. M. C. Abreu, J. Ananias Neto, E. M. Barboza and R. C. Nunes, EPL **114**, 55001 (2016).
- [81] E. M. C. Abreu, J. A. Neto, E. M. Barboza and R. C. Nunes, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **32**, 1750028 (2017).
- [82] F. Jüttner, Annalen der Physik. **339**, 856 (1911).
- [83] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972).
- [84] E. N. Saridakis, K. Bamba, R. Myrzakulov and F. K. Anagnostopoulos, JCAP **12**, 012 (2018).
- [85] M. A. Zadeh, A. Sheykhi, H. Moradpour and K. Bamba, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 940 (2018).
- [86] G. G. Luciano and J. Gine, Phys. Lett. B **833**, 137352 (2022).
- [87] S. Ghaffari, H. Moradpour, V. B. Bezerra, J. P. Morais Graça and I. P. Lobo, Phys. Dark Univ. **23**, 100246 (2019).
- [88] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 123503 (2021).
- [89] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and T. Paul, Phys. Lett. B **825**, 136844 (2022).
- [90] G. G. Luciano, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 083530 (2022).
- [91] G. G. Luciano, Phys. Dark Univ. **41**, 101237 (2023).
- [92] G. G. Luciano and J. Giné, Phys. Dark Univ. **41**, 101256 (2023).
- [93] A. Sheykhi and S. Ghaffari, Phys. Dark Univ. **41**, 101241 (2023).
- [94] S. Vagnozzi, R. Roy, Y. D. Tsai, L. Visinelli, M. Afrin, A. Allahyari, P. Bambhaniya, D. Dey, S. G. Ghosh and P. S. Joshi, *et al.* Class. Quant. Grav. **40**, 165007 (2023).
- [95] P. Jizba and G. Lambiase, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 1123 (2022).
- [96] G. Lambiase, S. Mohanty and A. Stabile, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 350 (2018).
- [97] S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **137**, 735 (2022).
- [98] M. Dhuria and V. Rentala, JHEP **09**, 004 (2018).
- [99] D. J. Eisenstein *et al.* [SDSS], Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005).
- [100] L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP **03**, 080 (2010).
- [101] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables* (New York, Wiley, 1972).
- [102] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and E. N. Saridakis, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 242 (2019).

- [103] G. G. Luciano and M. Blasone, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 995 (2021).
- [104] S. Di Gennaro and Y. C. Ong, *Universe* **8**, 541 (2022).
- [105] K. Ioka and K. Murase, *PTEP* **2014**, 061E01 (2014).
- [106] S. Sahu and L. S. Miranda, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 273 (2015).
- [107] G. Lambiase, G. G. Luciano and A. Sheykhi, [arXiv:2307.04027 [gr-qc]].