

Radiative corrections for few-nucleon systems

Thomas R. Richardson ^{1,*} and Immo C. Reis ¹

¹*Institut für Kernphysik and PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence,
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, 55128 Mainz, Germany*

We use a combination of effective field theory and the renormalization group to determine the impact of radiative corrections on the nucleon-nucleon potential. In order to do so, we present a modified version of pionless effective field theory inspired by earlier work in nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics. The renormalization group analysis of corrections in the deuteron indicate that radiative corrections generate 1 – 2% of the binding energy. This work serves as an important starting point for the study of radiative corrections in few-body systems relevant for precision tests of the Standard Model.

Introduction—Modern experiments that rely on few-nucleon systems such as β -decay [1, 2], μ -capture [3, 4], and muonic atom spectroscopy [5–10] are reaching subpercent-level precision. Thus, these experiments can provide stringent tests for the Standard Model in low energy systems and possibly shed light on new physics. However, a correct interpretation of the experimental results requires a thorough theoretical understanding and delineation of the different effects involved.

In particular, these experiments are sensitive to radiative corrections from electrodynamics. In the context of muonic atom spectroscopy, a subset of these effects has been the subject of significant theoretical interest [11–13]. It is customary to include radiative corrections through finite nuclear size effects and the exchange of two or more photons between the nucleus and the bound muon. The nuclear wavefunctions and currents, however, only include electromagnetic effects implicitly by fitting the parameters of the nuclear Hamiltonian and currents to data. Because of this, there is no way to distill how much of an observable comes from quantum chromodynamics (QCD) as opposed to electroweak interactions.

In the case of β -decays, this topic has received renewed interest in recent years with respect to single-neutron β -decay [14–21]. Interestingly, Ref. [15] finds a percent level shift in the nucleon axial coupling g_A due to radiative corrections that shifts the lattice QCD determination of g_A closer to the more precise experimental value. This represents a significant step towards disentangling the myriad of effects involved in neutron β decay in terms of Standard Model parameters.

The goal of this work is to begin bridging the gap in few-nucleon systems with effective field theory (EFT) techniques. We use a combination of pionless effective field theory (EFT _{π}) [22–30] and the velocity renormalization group (vRG) [31] developed for nonrelativistic QED (NRQED) [32]. This theory is valid for momenta $p \ll m_\pi$, the pion mass, which is in the regime relevant for many of these experiments. Certain aspects of this work can also be applied in chiral EFT [30, 33–36], which has a larger radius of convergence. On the other hand, the entire framework can immediately be applied in an EFT for halo nuclei [30, 37–39] with trivial modifications.

In this work, we calculate the leading $O(\alpha)$ corrections, where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ is the fine structure constant, to the neutron-proton potential. We derive a general form of the counterterms required for renormalization. The running couplings that follow from the vRG equations can in principle be embedded in *ab initio* calculations using few- or many-body methods.

To illustrate the impact of the running induced by the radiative corrections, we use renormalization group improved perturbation theory to calculate the deuteron binding energy and compare the result to the fixed order calculation. In order to generate numerical results, the vRG equations require a boundary condition to fix the final value of low energy coefficients (LECs). Ideally, the LECs in a nuclear EFT in the absence of electroweak effects would be determined by lattice QCD rather than data. However, available few-nucleon lattice calculations have greater than physical m_π and the uncertainties are quite large. In the meantime, we make use of the scattering parameters of the phenomenological Argonne $v18$ (AV18) potential without electromagnetic interactions found in Table VIII of Ref. [40] (also see Ref. [41]). Here, we find that radiative corrections drive a percent level shift in the deuteron binding momentum (this corresponds to a few keV in the binding energy). This observation is consistent with the AV18 potential, but it recasts the main result in terms of a modern EFT with the full machinery of the renormalization group.

Reorganizing EFT _{π} —Now, we recast EFT _{π} in the language of velocity NRQED (vNRQED) [31]. In EFT _{π} it is typical to count powers of the momentum p , but in NRQED powers of velocity $v = p/M_N$, where M_N is the nucleon mass, are counted. The relevant energy and momentum scales are then expressed as hard ($m_\pi/M_N, m_\pi/M_N$), soft ($M_N v, M_N v$), ultrasoft ($M_N v^2, M_N v^2$), and potential ($M_N v^2, M_N v$). Power counting issues are avoided by splitting the photon into multiple modes describing the soft and ultrasoft regions and multipole expanding the ultrasoft modes [42–47]. The potential photons can be integrated out because they are far off-shell; their effects are encoded in the coefficients of four-nucleon operators.

The four-momentum of the nucleon is decomposed as

$$P = (0, \mathbf{p}) + (k_0, \mathbf{k}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{p} \sim M_N v$ is the soft component of the momentum and $k \sim M_N v^2$ is the residual four-momentum on the ultrasoft scale. The on-shell condition becomes $k_0 = \mathbf{p}^2/2M_N$. The nucleon field is now written as $N_{\mathbf{p}}(x)$ where \mathbf{p} is a soft label and x is the Fourier conjugate of the residual momentum k .

The photon field is also split into a soft field $A_p(k)$ with soft label four-momentum p and a residual four-momentum k and an ultrasoft field $A(k)$. Conservation of energy excludes interactions of the type $A_q N_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger N_{\mathbf{p}}$, i.e., only vertices with two soft photon lines are allowed. The kinetic term of the photon field is split into

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_p |p^\mu A_p^\nu - p^\nu A_p^\mu|^2. \quad (2)$$

Reparameterization invariance implies that derivatives acting on the nucleon fields appear in the combination $i\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{D}$, where \mathbf{p} acts on the soft label and \mathbf{D} is a covariant derivative acting on the residual piece of the nucleon field. In the kinetic term for the nucleon, the term $(\mathbf{p} - i\mathbf{D})^2$ should be expanded, which is equivalent to the multipole expansion, and only the \mathbf{p}^2 should be kept in the leading order propagator. Therefore, the nucleon propagator will be

$$S(k_0, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{i}{k_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M_N} + i\epsilon}. \quad (3)$$

Terms containing factors of $\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla$ or ∇^2 are treated as perturbations.

While EFT_{\neq} is usually formulated in an isospin basis, we find it more convenient to study the ultrasoft renormalization of the potential in terms of physical neutron and proton fields n and p , respectively. The LECs can of course be translated into the isospin basis after the renormalization has been carried out. In this basis, the proton-neutron potential is written as

$$V_{pn} = \sum_{v=-1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}} V_{abcd}^{(v)}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) p_{\mathbf{p}', a}^\dagger p_{\mathbf{p}, b} n_{-\mathbf{p}', c}^\dagger n_{-\mathbf{p}, d}, \quad (4)$$

where v tracks the order in the velocity expansion of each coefficient. The leading order (LO), next-to-leading order (NLO), and next-to-next-to-leading order (N²LO) potential coefficients in the S-wave are given by

$$V_{abcd}^{(-1)} = C_{0, pn}^{(3S_1)} P_{ai, bj}^{(1)} + C_{0, pn}^{(1S_0)} P_{ai, bj}^{(0)}, \quad (5)$$

$$V_{abcd}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) \left[C_{2, pn}^{(3S_1)} P_{ai, bj}^{(1)} + C_{2, pn}^{(1S_0)} P_{ai, bj}^{(0)} \right], \quad (6)$$

$$V_{abcd}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^2 \left[C_{4, pn}^{(3S_1)} P_{ai, bj}^{(1)} + C_{4, pn}^{(1S_0)} P_{ai, bj}^{(0)} \right]. \quad (7)$$

Note that our definition of C_4 is a linear combination of $C_4 + \tilde{C}_4$ that appears in the literature (see for example Refs. [26–28]). The $V^{(0)}$ potential should also be supplemented with a correction to the Coulomb potential that arises from a potential photon coupled to the proton charge and the neutron charge radius; however, this term is also suppressed by a factor of α .

The neutron-neutron potentials have an identical structure with respect to the purely strong interactions. The part of the potential that arises from potential photon exchange is $O(\alpha v^2)$. The strong part of the proton-proton potential is also identical to the proton-neutron potential. However, we have to add the Coulomb potential to the leading order term.

$$V_{abcd}^{(-1, pp)} \supset \sum_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}} \frac{4\pi\alpha}{(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})^2} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd}. \quad (8)$$

All together, the Lagrangian we will work with is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \sum_{\mathbf{p}} N_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \left(iD_0 - \frac{(\mathbf{p} - i\mathbf{D})^2}{2M_N} \right) N_{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{p}} |p^\mu A_p^\nu - p^\nu A_p^\mu|^2 - \sum_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}} V(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \\ & - \frac{4\pi\alpha}{2M_N} \sum_{q, q', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \mathbf{A}_{q'} \cdot \mathbf{A}_q N_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger Q N_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & + \frac{e}{2M_N} \epsilon^{ijk} (\nabla^j A^k) \sum_{\mathbf{p}} N_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \sigma^i [\kappa_0 + \kappa_1 \tau^3] N_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Counting powers of velocity in diagrams is fairly straightforward. Nucleon and soft photon propagators count as $1/v^2$ while ultrasoft photon propagators count as $1/v^4$. The purely NN potentials follow the standard power counting of EFT_{\neq} , where $Q \sim M_N v$. Finally, a soft loop has an integration measure that scales as v^4 , a potential loop scales as v^5 , and an ultrasoft loop scales as v^8 .

In order to implement the vRG, we determine the $O(\alpha/v)$ counterterms and obtain the soft and ultrasoft anomalous dimensions from [31, 48]

$$\mu_U \frac{dV}{d\mu_U} = \gamma_U, \quad (10)$$

$$\mu_S \frac{dV}{d\mu_S} = \gamma_S, \quad (11)$$

where μ_S is the scale introduced in dimensional regularization for the potentials and soft interactions and μ_U is the scale introduced for the ultrasoft interactions. Through these scales we introduce the subtraction velocity ν as $\mu_S = M_N \nu$ and $\mu_U = M_N \nu^2$ so that the vRG equation is

$$\nu \frac{dV}{d\nu} = \gamma_S + 2\gamma_U. \quad (12)$$




FIG. 1. $O(\alpha/v)$ diagrams that contribute to the anomalous dimension of the potential.

In NRQED, this procedure is fairly easy because the fine structure constant α does not run and the LO Coulomb potential is not renormalized [49]. Moreover, the α and v expansions are identical since the average velocity in a Coulomb bound state is $O(\alpha)$. As we will see below, because the α and v expansions are not strictly linked in the nuclear EFT and because α runs, there is a much richer structure that arises from the vRG.

In the remainder of this work, we will focus mainly on the neutron-proton sector at $O(\alpha/v)$. The neutron-neutron potential will be renormalized at higher orders in the v expansion. Renormalizing the proton-proton potential is much more involved. The Coulomb interaction will generate a nonzero soft anomalous dimension for C_0 [50] leading to a faster running. Thus, we expect the vRG to lead to interesting results in this channel.

Renormalization—The renormalization procedure in this theory is reminiscent of the role of radiation pions in EFT [51]. However, there are several important differences. First, we can treat both ultraviolet and infrared divergences in dimensional regularization, which simplifies the loop integrals. Second, the neutron has no coupling to A_0 photons at the order we are working.

With this set-up, the basic topologies that renormalize the potential are shown in Fig. 1. In Feynman gauge, the dominant contribution, which is $O(\alpha/v)$, comes from an A_0 photon coupled to the proton on both the incoming and outgoing lines with insertions of the C_0 potential. Inside the ultrasoft loop, an arbitrary number of NV bubbles with only C_0 vertices will contribute at the same order; therefore, the internal bubble diagrams must be summed to all orders.

This infinite sum of diagrams often makes explicit renormalization of the series intractable. The argument in the case of radiation pions is that the bubble sum should be performed before the ultrasoft integration [51]. However, it should really be understood that the *finite* parts of the bubbles are being summed, i.e., all divergences are canceled by the appropriate counterterms and the remainder is resummed. In this case, we can actually perform this renormalization to all orders in C_0 .

In the bubble series, each graph is divergent. However, each graph with an odd number of bubbles is ultraviolet finite and the divergence is purely infrared. Each graph with an even number of NV bubbles has both ultravi-

olet and infrared divergences which must be separated. Specifically, a graph with $l = 2j$ bubbles, where j is an integer, requires a counterterm that renormalizes the $2j$ -derivative potential. For example, the diagram with 0 NV bubbles renormalizes the $V^{(-1)}$ potential while the diagram with 2 NV bubbles renormalizes the $V^{(0)}$ potential. For arbitrary j , the appropriate counterterm in modified minimal subtraction is

$$\delta C_{2j} = \frac{\alpha C_0}{2\pi} \left(\frac{iM_N C_0}{4\pi} \right)^{2j} \frac{1}{j+1} \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (13)$$

For the LO potential, we find $\gamma_{S,0} = 0$ while

$$\gamma_{U,0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \alpha (M_N \nu^2) C_0, \quad (14)$$

which leads to the vRG equation

$$\nu \frac{dC_0}{d\nu} = \frac{1}{\pi} \alpha (M_N \nu^2) C_0. \quad (15)$$

For $j \geq 1$, we find

$$\gamma_{S,2j} \supset \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{2j}{j+1} C_0 \left(\frac{iM_N C_0}{4\pi} \right)^{2j}, \quad (16)$$

$$\gamma_{U,2j} \supset \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{3+4j}{j+1} C_0 \left(\frac{iM_N C_0}{4\pi} \right)^{2j}. \quad (17)$$

There is also a contribution to the ultrasoft anomalous dimension of the $2j$ -derivative operator from an insertion of the operator itself into the one-loop diagram, i.e., the first diagram on the right hand side of Fig. 1. This contribution is identical to that for C_0 though only with C_{2j} appearing instead. Dressing the potential vertex with additional C_0 interactions leads to diagrams of the same order in v , which will also generate contributions to the soft anomalous dimension of higher-derivative operators. These contributions should still be suppressed relative to the anomalous dimensions presented here. Retaining only the leading contribution to the anomalous dimension leads to the vRG equation

$$\nu \frac{dC_{2j}}{d\nu} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{3+6j}{j+1} C_0 \left(\frac{iM_N C_0}{4\pi} \right)^{2j}. \quad (18)$$

The solution for C_0 is

$$C_0(\nu) = C_0 \left(\frac{m_\pi}{M_N} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha (M_N \nu^2)}{\alpha (m_\pi^2 / M_N)} \right)^{3/4}. \quad (19)$$




FIG. 2. The running of the potential coefficients. The blue line is the running of \hat{C}_0 , the orange line is the running of \hat{C}_2 , and the green line is the running of \hat{C}_4 .

For C_2 we find

$$C_2(\nu) = C_2 \left(\frac{m_\pi}{M_N} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{M_N}{4\pi} \right)^2 C_0^3 \left(\frac{m_\pi}{M_N} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\alpha(M_N \nu^2)}{\alpha(m_\pi^2/M_N)} \right)^{9/4} - 1 \right]. \quad (20)$$

For C_4 we find

$$C_4(\nu) = C_4 \left(\frac{m_\pi}{M_N} \right) + \left(\frac{M_N}{4\pi} \right)^4 C_0^5 \left(\frac{m_\pi}{M_N} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\alpha(M_N \nu^2)}{\alpha(m_\pi^2/M_N)} \right)^{15/4} - 1 \right]. \quad (21)$$

In Fig. 2, we show the running of the potential LECs normalized as

$$\hat{C}_{2j}(\nu) = \frac{C_{2j}(\nu)}{C_{2j}(m_\pi/M_N)}, \quad (22)$$

where the normalization condition is discussed below in Eqs. (27) through Eq. (32). The zero-derivative potential runs very slowly while \hat{C}_2 differs by several percent at from its value at the hard scale when $\nu < 0.6$. The running of C_4 is significantly faster; it changes by nearly 50% when $\nu \sim 0.6$

Impact in the deuteron—The two-point correlation function for the deuteron is given by [52]

$$G(\bar{E}) = \frac{\Sigma(\bar{E})}{1 + iC_0 \Sigma(\bar{E})}, \quad (23)$$

where Σ is the self-energy of the deuteron and consists of irreducible diagrams in the sense that they do not fall

apart when cut at a C_0 vertex. The self-energy is expanded as

$$\Sigma(\bar{E}) = \sum_{j=1, k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{j,k}(\bar{E}), \quad (24)$$

where j tracks the order in the velocity expansion and k tracks the order in the α expansion, and \bar{E} is the center-of-mass energy. Corrections to the deuteron binding energy are calculated by expanding the two-point function as

$$G(\bar{E}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1, k=0} \Sigma_{j,k}}{1 + iC_0 \Sigma_{1,0}(\bar{E})} \left[1 - \frac{iC_0 \sum_{j=2, k=0} \Sigma_{j,k}}{1 + iC_0 \Sigma_{1,0}(\bar{E})} + \dots \right]. \quad (25)$$

The perturbative corrections to the binding momentum, $\gamma = \sqrt{M_N B}$ where B is the deuteron binding energy, are then given by the term proportional to $i(4\pi/M_N)(1 + iC_0 \Sigma_{1,0}(\bar{E}))^{-2}$ (see for instance Ref. [53]). At N²LO, the binding momentum is

$$\gamma = \frac{4\pi}{M_N C_0} + \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_N} \right)^3 \frac{C_2}{C_0^4} + \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_N} \right)^5 \left(\frac{C_4}{C_0^6} + \frac{2C_2}{C_0^7} \right). \quad (26)$$

We calculate this shift using both fixed-order and renormalization group improved perturbation theory.

We use as the boundary value (i.e. at $\nu = m_\pi/M_N$) of the vRG equations the scattering length and effective range of the AV18 potential [40] without the electromagnetic interaction. Electromagnetic corrections to the shape parameter P are also expected to be small, so we use the Nijmegen value [54]. In the deuteron channel, these are

$$a_{np} = 5.402 \text{ fm}, \quad (27)$$

$$r_{np} = 1.752 \text{ fm}, \quad (28)$$

$$P_{np} = 0.040 \text{ fm}^{-3}. \quad (29)$$

The LECs at $\nu = m_\pi/M_N$ are given in terms of these parameters according to

$$C_0(m_\pi/M_N) = \frac{4\pi a_{np}}{M_N}, \quad (30)$$

$$C_2(m_\pi/M_N) = \frac{4\pi}{M_N} \frac{a_{np}^2 r_{np}}{2}, \quad (31)$$

$$C_4(m_\pi/M_N) = \frac{4\pi}{M_N} a_{np}^3 \left(\frac{1}{4} r_{np}^2 + \frac{P_{np}}{a_{np}} \right). \quad (32)$$

The result for the deuteron binding momentum at NLO and N²LO is shown in Fig. 3. When the subtraction velocity is in the range $\nu \in [0.04, 0.07]$ (corresponding to momenta roughly in the range [37, 65] MeV), there is a shift in the binding energy of about 1.8% – 3.3% at NLO from radiative corrections. At N²LO, the corrections shift the binding energy by about 1.3% – 2.3%.




FIG. 3. The deuteron binding energy as a function of the subtraction velocity. The solid black line is the experimental value. The blue (orange) dashed line is the fixed order NLO (N^2 LO) result while the blue (orange) solid line is the renormalization group improved NLO (N^2 LO) result.

Clearly, the corrections at N^2 LO are slightly smaller, but they are still at the few-percent level. Moreover, the corrections at N^2 LO cause the predicted binding energy to intersect the experimental value $B = 2.224575$ MeV around $\nu \approx 0.06245$.

Summary—In this work, we have performed the first analysis of explicit radiative corrections in the NN system. Using EFT techniques helps to organize the role of different strong and electromagnetic effects in a systematic expansion. Additionally, we performed the first direct application of the vRG in a nuclear EFT. This allows us to sum logarithms generated by renormalization into the potential coefficients. We then provided evidence that the vRG generates a percent level shift in the binding energy of the deuteron. It is possible that similar corrections will play an important role in other light nuclei. This prediction will be more robust when reliable NN observables can be calculated in lattice QCD at the physical pion mass in order to match the couplings of this EFT.

The ultrasoft renormalization of the leading order potential in chiral EFT can be analyzed with similar techniques. First, the one-pion exchange potential is written as a four-fermion operator where the LEC is determined by the axial coupling g_A and the pion decay constant F_π at the breakdown scale of chiral EFT in the absence of electroweak effects. Then the tree-level potential is dressed with an ultrasoft photon that leads to an anomalous dimension similar to Eq. (14), only C_0 is replaced by $(g_A/F_\pi)^2$ up to a factor of 2. Also, the contact potential proportional to C_0 will acquire a nonzero soft anomalous dimension driven by pion exchange. Renormalizing the potential at higher orders will be significantly more

difficult.

The running couplings obtained in this work can also be incorporated into other EFT $_{\neq}$ calculations or in *ab initio* methods for nuclear physics that make use of EFT $_{\neq}$ potentials derived with dimensional regularization. In this way, this renormalization group study can impact a variety of theoretical work relevant for ongoing experiments including β -decay, μ -capture, and muonic atom spectroscopy.

Acknowledgements.— We would like to thank Sonia Bacca, Wouter Dekens, and Aneesh Manohar for interesting discussions. This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Cluster of Excellence “Precision Physics, Fundamental Interactions, and Structure of Matter” (PRISMA⁺ EXC 2118/1) funded by the DFG within the German Excellence Strategy (Project ID 39083149).

* richardt@uni-mainz.de

- [1] D. Poćanić, R. Alarcon, L. P. Alonzi, S. Baeßler, S. Bascuta, J. D. Bowman, M. A. Bychkov, J. Byrne, J. R. Calarco, V. Cianciolo, C. Crawford, E. Frlež, M. T. Gericke, G. L. Greene, R. K. Grzywacz, V. Gudkov, F. W. Hersman, A. Klein, J. Martin, S. A. Page, A. Palladino, S. I. Penttilä, K. P. Rykaczewski, W. S. Wilburn, A. R. Young, and G. R. Young, Nab: Measurement principles, apparatus and uncertainties, [Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment Particle Physics with Slow Neutrons](#), **611**, 211 (2009).
- [2] D. Dubbers, H. Abele, S. Baeßler, B. Märkisch, M. Schumann, T. Soldner, and O. Zimmer, A clean, bright, and versatile source of neutron decay products, [Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment](#) **596**, 238 (2008).
- [3] V. A. Andreev, R. M. Carey, V. A. Ganzha, A. Gardestig, T. Goringe, F. E. Gray, D. W. Hertzog, M. Hildebrandt, P. Kammel, B. Kiburg, S. Knaack, P. A. Kravtsov, A. G. Krivshich, K. Kubodera, B. Lauss, K. R. Lynch, E. M. Maev, O. E. Maev, F. Mulhauser, F. Myhrer, C. Petitjean, G. E. Petrov, R. Prieels, G. N. Schapkin, G. G. Semenchuk, M. A. Soroka, V. Tishchenko, A. A. Vasilyev, A. A. Vorobyov, M. E. Vznuzdaev, and P. Winter, [Muon Capture on the Deuteron – The MuSun Experiment](#) (2010), [arxiv:1004.1754 \[nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [4] P. Kammel, [MuSun - Muon Capture on the Deuteron](#), [SciPost Physics Proceedings](#), **018** (2021).
- [5] A. Antognini, S. Bacca, A. Fleischmann, L. Gastaldo, F. Hagelstein, P. Indelicato, A. Knecht, V. Lensky, B. Ohayon, V. Pascalutsa, N. Paul, R. Pohl, and F. Wauters, [Muonic-Atom Spectroscopy and Impact on Nuclear Structure and Precision QED Theory](#) (2022), [arxiv:2210.16929 \[hep-ph, physics:nucl-th, physics:physics\]](#).
- [6] A. Antognini, F. Nez, K. Schuhmann, F. D. Amaro, F. Biraben, J. M. R. Cardoso, D. S. Covita, A. Dax,

- S. Dhawan, M. Diepold, L. M. P. Fernandes, A. Giesen, A. L. Gouvea, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, C.-Y. Kao, P. Knowles, F. Kottmann, E.-O. Le Bigot, Y.-W. Liu, J. A. M. Lopes, L. Ludhova, C. M. B. Monteiro, F. Mulhauser, T. Nebel, P. Rabinowitz, J. M. F. Dos Santos, L. A. Schaller, C. Schwob, D. Taqqu, J. F. C. A. Veloso, J. Vogelsang, and R. Pohl, Proton Structure from the Measurement of 2S-2P Transition Frequencies of Muonic Hydrogen, *Science* **339**, 417 (2013).
- [7] R. Pohl, F. Nez, L. M. P. Fernandes, F. D. Amaro, F. Biraben, J. M. R. Cardoso, D. S. Covita, A. Dax, S. Dhawan, M. Diepold, A. Giesen, A. L. Gouvea, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, P. Knowles, F. Kottmann, E.-O. Le Bigot, Y.-W. Liu, J. A. M. Lopes, L. Ludhova, C. M. B. Monteiro, F. Mulhauser, T. Nebel, P. Rabinowitz, J. M. F. Dos Santos, L. A. Schaller, K. Schuhmann, C. Schwob, D. Taqqu, J. F. C. A. Veloso, A. Antognini, and The CREMA Collaboration, Laser spectroscopy of muonic deuterium, *Science* **353**, 669 (2016).
- [8] R. Pohl, A. Antognini, F. Nez, F. D. Amaro, F. Biraben, J. M. R. Cardoso, D. S. Covita, A. Dax, S. Dhawan, L. M. P. Fernandes, A. Giesen, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, C.-Y. Kao, P. Knowles, E.-O. Le Bigot, Y.-W. Liu, J. A. M. Lopes, L. Ludhova, C. M. B. Monteiro, F. Mulhauser, T. Nebel, P. Rabinowitz, J. M. F. dos Santos, L. A. Schaller, K. Schuhmann, C. Schwob, D. Taqqu, J. F. C. A. Veloso, and F. Kottmann, The size of the proton, *Nature* **466**, 213 (2010).
- [9] J. J. Krauth, K. Schuhmann, M. A. Ahmed, F. D. Amaro, P. Amaro, F. Biraben, T.-L. Chen, D. S. Covita, A. J. Dax, M. Diepold, L. M. P. Fernandes, B. Franke, S. Galtier, A. L. Gouvea, J. Götzfried, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, J. Hartmann, M. Hildebrandt, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, K. Kirch, A. Knecht, Y.-W. Liu, J. Machado, C. M. B. Monteiro, F. Mulhauser, B. Naar, T. Nebel, F. Nez, J. M. F. dos Santos, J. P. Santos, C. I. Szabo, D. Taqqu, J. F. C. A. Veloso, J. Vogelsang, A. Voss, B. Weichelt, R. Pohl, A. Antognini, and F. Kottmann, Measuring the α -particle charge radius with muonic helium-4 ions, *Nature* **589**, 527 (2021).
- [10] T. C. Collaboration, K. Schuhmann, L. M. P. Fernandes, F. Nez, M. A. Ahmed, F. D. Amaro, P. Amaro, F. Biraben, T.-L. Chen, D. S. Covita, A. J. Dax, M. Diepold, B. Franke, S. Galtier, A. L. Gouvea, J. Götzfried, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, M. Hildebrandt, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, K. Kirch, A. Knecht, F. Kottmann, J. J. Krauth, Y.-W. Liu, J. Machado, C. M. B. Monteiro, F. Mulhauser, B. Naar, T. Nebel, J. M. F. dos Santos, J. P. Santos, C. I. Szabo, D. Taqqu, J. F. C. A. Veloso, A. Voss, B. Weichelt, A. Antognini, and R. Pohl, The helium charge radius from laser spectroscopy of muonic helium-3 ions (2023), [arxiv:2305.11679](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.11679) [nucl-ex, physics:physics].
- [11] A. Antognini, F. Hagelstein, and V. Pascalutsa, The proton structure in and out of muonic hydrogen, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **72**, 389 (2022), [arxiv:2205.10076](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.10076) [hep-ph, physics:nucl-th, physics:physics].
- [12] C. Ji, S. Bacca, N. Barnea, O. J. Hernandez, and N. Nevo-Dinur, Ab initio calculation of nuclear structure corrections in muonic atoms, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **45**, 093002 (2018), [arxiv:1806.03101](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03101) [nucl-th, physics:physics].
- [13] K. Pachucki, V. Lensky, F. Hagelstein, S. S. L. Muli, S. Bacca, and R. Pohl, *Comprehensive theory of the Lamb shift in light muonic atoms* (2023), [arxiv:2212.13782](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13782) [nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th, physics:physics].
- [14] S. Ando, H. W. Fearing, V. Gudkov, K. Kubodera, F. Myhrer, S. Nakamura, and T. Sato, Neutron beta decay in effective field theory, *Physics Letters B* **595**, 250 (2004), [arxiv:nucl-th/0402100](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0402100).
- [15] V. Cirigliano, J. de Vries, L. Hayen, E. Mereghetti, and A. Walker-Loud, Pion-induced radiative corrections to neutron beta-decay, *Physical Review Letters* **129**, 121801 (2022), [arxiv:2202.10439](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.10439) [hep-lat, physics:hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [16] C. Y. Seng, M. Gorchtein, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Dispersive Evaluation of the Inner Radiative Correction in Neutron and Nuclear β -decay, *Physical Review D* **100**, 013001 (2019), [arxiv:1812.03352](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.03352) [hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [17] C.-Y. Seng, M. Gorchtein, H. H. Patel, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Reduced hadronic uncertainty in the determination of V_{ud} , *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 241804 (2018), [arxiv:1807.10197](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.10197) [hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [18] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, E. Mereghetti, and O. Tomalak, Effective field theory for radiative corrections to charged-current processes I: Vector coupling, *Physical Review D* **108**, 053003 (2023), [arxiv:2306.03138](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.03138) [hep-ex, physics:hep-lat, physics:hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [19] A. Czarnecki, W. J. Marciano, and A. Sirlin, Radiative Corrections to Neutron and Nuclear Beta Decays Revisited, *Physical Review D* **100**, 073008 (2019), [arxiv:1907.06737](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.06737) [hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [20] L. Hayen, Standard Model $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ renormalization of g_A and its impact on new physics searches, *Physical Review D* **103**, 113001 (2021), [arxiv:2010.07262](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.07262) [hep-lat, physics:hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [21] M. Gorchtein and C.-Y. Seng, Dispersion relation analysis of the radiative corrections to g_A in the neutron β -decay, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 53 (2021), [arxiv:2106.09185](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09185) [hep-lat, physics:hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th].
- [22] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, and M. B. Wise, Nucleon-nucleon scattering from effective field theory, *Nucl.Phys.* **B478**, 629 (1996).
- [23] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, and M. B. Wise, A New expansion for nucleon-nucleon interactions, *Phys.Lett.* **B424**, 390 (1998).
- [24] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, and M. B. Wise, Two nucleon systems from effective field theory, *Nucl.Phys.* **B534**, 329 (1998).
- [25] U. van Kolck, Effective Field Theory for Short-Range Forces, *Nuclear Physics A* **645**, 273 (1999), [arxiv:nucl-th/9808007](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9808007).
- [26] J.-W. Chen, G. Rupak, and M. J. Savage, Nucleon-nucleon effective field theory without pions, *Nucl.Phys.* **A653**, 386 (1999).
- [27] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, W. C. Haxton, D. R. Phillips, and M. J. Savage, From Hadrons to Nuclei: Crossing the

- Border (2001) pp. 133–269, [arxiv:nucl-th/0008064](#).
- [28] G. Rupak, Precision calculation of $np \rightarrow d\gamma$ cross section for big-bang nucleosynthesis, *Nuclear Physics A* **678**, 405 (2000).
- [29] P. F. Bedaque and U. van Kolck, Effective field theory for few nucleon systems, *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **52**, 339 (2002).
- [30] H.-W. Hammer, S. König, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear effective field theory: Status and perspectives, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **92**, 025004 (2020), [arxiv:1906.12122 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [31] M. E. Luke, A. V. Manohar, and I. Z. Rothstein, Renormalization group scaling in nonrelativistic QCD, *Physical Review D* **61**, 074025 (2000), [arxiv:hep-ph/9910209](#).
- [32] W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, Effective lagrangians for bound state problems in QED, QCD, and other field theories, *Physics Letters B* **167**, 437 (1986).
- [33] E. Epelbaum, H.-W. Hammer, and U.-G. Meißner, Modern Theory of Nuclear Forces, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **81**, 1773 (2009), [arxiv:0811.1338 \[hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [34] E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs, and P. Reinert, High-precision nuclear forces from chiral EFT: State-of-the-art, challenges and outlook (2019), [arxiv:1911.11875 \[hep-ph, physics:nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [35] E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs, and P. Reinert, Semi-local nuclear forces from chiral EFT: State-of-the-art & challenges (2022), [arxiv:2206.07072 \[nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [36] R. Machleidt and D. R. Entem, Chiral effective field theory and nuclear forces, *Physics Reports* **503**, 1 (2011), [arxiv:1105.2919 \[nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [37] C. A. Bertulani, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Effective Field Theory for Halo Nuclei: Shallow p-Wave States, *Nuclear Physics A* **712**, 37 (2002), [arxiv:nucl-th/0205063](#).
- [38] H.-W. Hammer, *Theory of Halo Nuclei* (2022), [arxiv:2203.13074 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [39] H.-W. Hammer, C. Ji, and D. R. Phillips, Effective field theory description of halo nuclei, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **44**, 103002 (2017), [arxiv:1702.08605 \[nucl-ex, physics:nucl-th\]](#).
- [40] R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks, and R. Schiavilla, Accurate nucleon-nucleon potential with charge-independence breaking, *Physical Review C* **51**, 38 (1995).
- [41] A. Tumino, G. G. Rapisarda, M. La Cognata, A. Oliva, A. Kievsky, C. A. Bertulani, G. D’Agata, M. Gattobigio, G. L. Guardo, L. Lamia, D. Lattuada, R. G. Pizzone, S. Romano, M. L. Sergi, R. Spartá, and M. Viviani, Coulomb-free $1S0$ p - p scattering length from the quasi-free $p + d \rightarrow p + p + n$ reaction and its relation to universality, *Communications Physics* **6**, 1 (2023).
- [42] P. Labelle, Effective field theories for QED bound states: Extending Nonrelativistic QED to study retardation effects, *Physical Review D* **58**, 093013 (1998), [arxiv:hep-ph/9608491](#).
- [43] B. Grinstein and I. Z. Rothstein, Effective Field Theory and Matching in Non-Relativistic Gauge Theories, *Physical Review D* **57**, 78 (1998), [arxiv:hep-ph/9703298](#).
- [44] M. Luke and M. J. Savage, Power Counting in Dimensionally Regularized NRQCD, *Physical Review D* **57**, 413 (1998), [arxiv:hep-ph/9707313](#).
- [45] M. Luke and A. V. Manohar, Bound States and Power Counting in Effective Field Theories, *Physical Review D* **55**, 4129 (1997), [arxiv:hep-ph/9610534](#).
- [46] H. W. Griesshammer, Threshold Expansion and Dimensionally Regularised NRQCD, *Physical Review D* **58**, 094027 (1998), [arxiv:hep-ph/9712467](#).
- [47] H. W. Griesshammer, Power Counting and β Function in NRQCD, *Nuclear Physics B* **579**, 313 (2000), [arxiv:hep-ph/9810235](#).
- [48] A. V. Manohar, J. Soto, and I. W. Stewart, The renormalization group for correlated scales: One-stage versus two-stage running, *Physics Letters B* **486**, 400 (2000).
- [49] A. V. Manohar and I. W. Stewart, Logarithms of α in QED Bound States from the Renormalization Group, *Physical Review Letters* **85**, 2248 (2000).
- [50] X. Kong and F. Ravndal, Coulomb Effects in Low Energy Proton-Proton Scattering, *Nuclear Physics A* **665**, 137 (2000), [arxiv:hep-ph/9903523](#).
- [51] T. Mehen and I. W. Stewart, Radiation pions in two-nucleon effective field theory, *Nuclear Physics A* **665**, 164 (2000), [arxiv:nucl-th/9901064](#).
- [52] D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, and M. B. Wise, A Perturbative Calculation of the Electromagnetic Form Factors of the Deuteron, *Physical Review C* **59**, 617 (1999), [arxiv:nucl-th/9804032](#).
- [53] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields: Volume 1: Foundations*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [54] J. J. de Swart, C. P. F. Terheggen, and V. G. J. Stoks, *The Low-Energy Neutron-Proton Scattering Parameters and the Deuteron* (1995), [arxiv:nucl-th/9509032](#).