

ATX Challenges for the directional dark matter direct detection

Kentaro Miuchi,¹

¹*Department of Physics, Kobe University, Hyogo 657-8501, Japan.*

Abstract

Directional methods have been considered to provide a solid proof for the direct detection of the dark matter. Gaseous time-projection-chambers (TPCs) are the most mature devices for directional dark matter searches although there still exist several challenges to overcome. This paper reviews the history, current challenges and future prospects of the gaseous TPCs for directional dark matter searches.

Keywords: dark matter, nuclear recoil tracking, micro-patterned gaseous detectors, time-projection-chamber
DOI: 10.31526/JAIS.2022.ID

arXiv:2309.13923v1 [hep-ex] 25 Sep 2023

1. DIRECTIONAL DARK MATTER DIRECT DETECTION

One fourth of the total energy in the universe is thought to be in the form of unknown particle, the dark matter (DM) [1]. The Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP) has been a leading candidate for DM since the earliest days, which has resulted in large technological and experimental efforts towards its detection. Such direct searches look for evidence of WIMP interactions with the normal matter inside the detectors and have, thus far, led to orders of magnitude improvements in cross-section limits, but no confirmed detection [2].

The importance of exploiting directional information expected for DM arriving from the Galaxy was already pointed out in the very early stages (1980s) of the direct detection studies [3]. The motion of the solar system with respect to the DM halo results in an anisotropic flux of WIMPs in detectors on the Earth. Despite the technological challenges, the detection of this directional signature is considered to be the ultimate proof needed for the discovery of DM. Here "directional" means the experimental sensitivity to the direction of the recoiling nucleus, either on an event-by-event basis, or statistically. One would expect a clear signal of WIMP-nucleus elastic scatterings in the recoil direction distribution as a forward-backward asymmetry.

Of the numerous directional technologies including nuclear emulsions (proposed [4] and developed [5, 6]), anisotropic scintillators (proposed [7] and developed [8, 9, 10]), the columnar recombination technique (proposed [11] and studied [12, 13, 14, 15]), and crystal-defect observations (proposed [16] and studied (review) [17]), the gaseous time-projection-chamber (TPC) is the most well-studied and matured one. Considering a good complementarity with another paper describing the emulsion technology, we focus in this paper on the technological challenges of the gaseous TPCs. The largest drawback of the gaseous TPCs is their low target mass, which is imposed by the need to operate at low pressures in order to extend the track lengths of low-energy nuclear recoils above their resolution limits. Detector optimization and the physics reach of the directional methods have been studied since the 2000s [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The focus of early studies was to quantify the number of events needed to detect anisotropy, which depends on the parameters characterizing tracking performance, such as the angular resolution and head-tail (track-sense) recognition. Now that the leading WIMP-searches are approaching the "neutrino-fog" region where neutrino-nucleus coherent elastic scatterings will become the dominant, irreducible background for DM searches [23, 22, 24], interest in directional technologies has been revived [25]. The expected physics reach of large gaseous TPC experiments into the neutrino-fog are shown in FIGURE 1, which we will revisit in the following sections. Directional information would be even more useful after the discovery of halo-WIMPs from the viewpoints of precise studies of the halo model [20, 26, 27] and of the particle nature of WIMPs [28]. This paper will review the technological challenges of the gaseous TPCs together with their physics reach. Applications of gaseous TPCs for other physics goals and applications will also be reviewed. Readers are also referred to previous review articles for further information [21, 29, 30, 31, 32].




FIGURE 1: Expected physics reach of large scale TPCs [21]. Spin-independent (SI) and dependent (SD) proton-WIMP cross section are plotted as a function WIMP mass in the left and right panels respectively. The gray-shaded area in the lower parts of each panel is the "neutrino-fog" region. See text in subsection 2.3 for a more detailed explanation of these figures.

2. GASEOUS TPCs FOR THE DIRECTIONAL DARK MATTER DIRECT DETECTION

2.1. Directional signature of halo WIMPs

The primary goal of the directional WIMP search is to detect of the bipolar signature caused by the motion of the solar system with respect to the galactic halo. Gaseous TPCs can detect and resolve the tracks of recoil nuclei on an event-by-event basis. One would

expect a clear signal of WIMP-nucleus elastic scatterings as a forward-backward asymmetry in the recoil direction distribution, which would be clear evidence of the halo WIMP detection.

Let us start with the fundamental physics of the directional signature of halo WIMPs. A spiral galaxy like our Milky Way has a rotating disk surrounded by a spherical halo. A "standard" DM halo has an isotropic Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution $f(\mathbf{v}_{\text{gal}})$,

$$f(\mathbf{v}_{\text{gal}}) \propto \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}_{\text{gal}}|^2/\sigma_0^2} \Theta(v_{\text{esc}} - |\mathbf{v}_{\text{gal}}|), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\text{gal}} = \mathbf{v}_{\text{lab}} + (\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_{\odot} + \mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t)), \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{v}_{gal} is the WIMP velocity in the galactic frame, ρ_{χ} ($= 0.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2/\text{cm}^3$) is the local halo density, m_{χ} is the WIMP mass, σ_0 is the velocity dispersion of the WIMPs, Θ is the Heaviside step function, v_{esc} ($= 544 \text{ km/s}$) is the escape velocity from the Galaxy, $\mathbf{v}_0 = \sqrt{2}\sigma_0 = (0, 238, 0) \text{ km/s}$ is the local standard of the rest velocity at the location of the Sun, \mathbf{v}_{lab} is the WIMP velocity in the laboratory frame, $\mathbf{v}_{\odot} = (11.1, 12.2, 7.3) \text{ km/s}$ is the Sun's peculiar velocity relative to the rest velocity, and $\mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t)$ ($= 29.8 \text{ km/s}$) is the Earth's velocity relative to the Sun. The typical values recommended in Ref. [33] are shown in the vector form $(v_r, v_{\phi}, v_{\theta})$, where r points radially inward, ϕ in the direction of the Milky Way's rotation, and θ in the direction perpendicular to the Galactic plane. The characteristic directional signature of the halo WIMP is due to the rotation of the Galactic disk. The velocity of the Solar System is $\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_{\odot}$, which points in the direction of the constellation Cygnus. With another important assumption, the halo is not co-rotating with the Galactic disk¹, one can expect a strong dipole feature in the incoming direction of the dark matter biased in the direction of Cygnus.

Next, we discuss the WIMP-nucleus scattering process. Although the precise interaction is unknown, one naively expects elastic scatterings to result in forward scatterings. The direction of the recoil angle can be calculated kinematically, and a typical elastic-scattering signature is shown in FIGURE 2. The count rate is shown as a two-dimensional function of the recoil energy and the recoil angle θ using a color map. Here θ is the angle between the nuclear recoil direction and the vector from Cygnus to the detector. This angular distribution is clearly biased in the forward direction ($\cos \theta \sim 1$), an effect that becomes stronger in the higher energy region as can be understood from the kinematics. This intrinsic directional information is diluted by a number of physical properties. The recoil nuclei undergo multiple scatterings in the detector medium. Energy deposition along the trajectory decreases from the start to the end of the track, providing the physical signature needed to measure the head-tail asymmetry; it is largest at the starting point and smallest at the ending point. This trend is opposite to that expected for a typical "Bragg curve" because the recoil energy is low. Ionized electrons or negative ions undergo diffusion caused by interactions with the gas molecules as they drift towards the readout device. The original direction, total ionization and the ionization distribution along the trajectory are the measurable quantities used to extract the recoil direction, energy deposition and the head-tail information, respectively.

We finally look at the difference between the intrinsic signals and the realistic measurables. The early studies indicated that an isotropic distribution of the nuclear recoil can be rejected with only a few tens of events [36, 37, 38, 39]. The next series of studies revealed that the property with the largest effect on the required number of events is the measurement of the track-sense, or the head-tail of the track. Without the track-sense information, the required number of events is increased by an order of magnitude for a readout that detects three-dimensional tracks and by two orders of magnitude for a readout that detects two-dimensional tracks [18, 19]. The requirement for constraining the parameters related to the astrophysical and particle properties of WIMPs were then discussed [20], followed by a thorough review article [30]. The discrimination of halo-WIMPs from solar boron-8 neutrinos are illustrated in Ref. [30]. One recent study compared the possible technologies taking the cost into account [21]. Details on these technologies will be reviewed in the following subsection.

2.2. Gaseous Time-Projection-Chambers

The inventions of the multi-wire proportional counter (MWPC [40]) and the time-projection-chamber (TPC [41]) made it possible to detect the three-dimensional trajectories of charged particles. A schematic drawing of a TPC is shown in FIGURE 3. Ionized electrons or negative ions drift to the readout and the differences in their drift lengths are projected in time. Ideas for using these new technologies for the directional WIMP searches were already considered in the late 1980s [42, 43] following theoretical calculations [3]. We next review the study of the chamber gases that includes some innovative technological breakthroughs. A detailed collection of gas properties can be found in Ref. [44].

The first impressive proof of principle was provided by nuclear track images [45]. Proton tracks in CH_4 gas at 20 Torr and in a P-10 gas mixture (90% Ar and 10% CH_4) at 50 Torr with triethylamine (TEA) at 7% partial pressure were imaged with a charge-coupled device (CCD) [45]. A parallel plate avalanche chamber showed sufficiently high gas gains ($10^5 - 10^6$) at low pressure, and discrimination of electron tracks was also demonstrated [45]. Further studies focused on suppressing diffusion, which needs to be less than the typical track length over the full range of drift distances in the TPC. Increasing the drift distance is the most cost-effective way to scale up the TPC volume, so diffusion must be kept to an absolute minimum. The use of magnetic fields in the TPC was shown to be effective for suppressing transverse diffusion of the drifting electrons [46], but the added cost and complexity of large magnets rules out this option for the large detectors needed for directional DM searches. An important breakthrough came with the use of CS_2 , an electro-negative gas, which enabled negative-ion drift in the TPC [47, 48]. In the gas mixtures of argon :

¹This assumption is not as solid as the motion of the Solar System and some numerical simulations with baryons have indicate a co-rotating halo[34], while another recent study indicates slow rotation [35]. A future physics cases of these directional methods is to study the velocity distribution of the halo.




FIGURE 2: An example of the expected energy and angular distribution of the recoil nucleus. In this plot, θ is the angle between the direction of Cygnus constellation and the direction of the recoil nuclei. Here, we assume the target to be ^{19}F and the WIMP mass to be $m_\chi = 100 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

$\text{CH}_4 : \text{CS}_2$ (9:1:14.5, 40 Torr) and xenon: CS_2 (10:14.5)(40 Torr and 16.5 Torr) ionization electrons produced by the recoiling nuclei are captured by CS_2 molecules, resulting in the negative CS_2^- ions drifting along the TPC drift field [47]. Negative ions maintain thermal drift and diffusion in all three dimensions to much higher reduced electric fields than electrons do [47]. After having demonstrated the success of the negative-ion TPC, the DRIFT collaboration started the first directional dark matter direct search experiment. The DRIFT experiment was proposed in the early 2000s [48] and a 1m^3 -sized detector filled with CS_2 at 40 Torr read by 2mm-pitched MWPC readouts was developed [49]. A picture of the DRIFT-II vessel and detector is shown in FIGURE 4. The central cathode is viewed by MWPCs at both ends. This bi-chamber style was found to be effective for background rejection and it was adopted as the standard design in the community.

The next advance came with the use of the higher resolution micro-patterned gaseous detector (MPGD) readouts and CF_4 , a “cool” (small diffusion) electron drift gas with low diffusion and a high concentration of ^{19}F . Fluorine is a favored target for the WIMP search by SD interactions[50]. The NEWAGE experiment was the first to explore the use of both of these technologies [38]. NEWAGE performed a directional dark matter search with CF_4 at 152 Torr and demonstrated the first use of the “sky-map” method for reconstructing nuclear recoil track directions to search for an anisotropic signature [51]. The CF_4 became a “common gas” often mixed with other gases; DRIFT mixed CF_4 with CS_2 (30:10 Torr) to add SD sensitivity [52] and the MIMAC experiment mixed CHF_3 with CF_4 (a 55 mbar mixture of 70% CF_4 and 30% CHF_3) in order to slow down the electron drift velocity of CF_4 to match the electronics [53]. Another remarkable breakthrough made by DRIFT was the discovery of multiple negative ion species with unique drift speeds, which appear when a small amount of oxygen (1 Torr) is added to the CS_2 : CF_4 (30:10, Torr) gas mixture [54]. This discovery enabled fiducialization along the drift direction. With this advance, the backgrounds originating at the cathode and at the readout plane could be rejected, which led to the first background-free DM searches in the field [55]. Interestingly, the $\text{CS}_2 - \text{CF}_4 - \text{O}_2$ gas mixture was not the end of the story. This gas mixture is toxic, flammable, and explosive and a safer gas was better for underground use. The search for a safer alternative led to SF_6 , which was discovered to have many of the desirable properties of the DRIFT gas mixture, but without its hazards [56]. Negative ion drift in SF_6 occurs at slow drift speeds and thermal diffusion, enabling z -fiducialization to be achieved with a small amount of SF_5^- . The drawbacks of this method are that the minority peak made by the SF_5^- is smaller ($\sim 3\%$ of SF_6^- peak) and that the SF_6 makes a large greenhouse effect. After the discovery of SF_6 , the community devoted a large effort to using this new gas, as we describe in subsection 2.4.

2.3. Challenges for gaseous TPCs

The requirements for directional WIMP-search detectors have been studied for years [18, 19, 20, 21, 32]. Although optimization of these detectors depends on the nature of the halo WIMPs (mass, cross section, velocity distribution, ...), a general consensus of the requirements has begun to form in the community [21]. First, gaseous TPCs generally have event-level directionality with




FIGURE 3: Conceptual drawings of a TPC. Ionized electrons or ions drift to the readout, and the differences in the drift length are projected on the time differences.




FIGURE 4: A picture of DRIFT-II, the first $\mathcal{O}(1)$ m³-sized detector in the field [49]. The central cathode is viewed by MWPCs at both ends. Tubular copper rings are held by a rigid Plexiglass support structure, forming the TPC electric field. The detector is placed within a stainless vessel.

a sufficient time resolution (0.5 hours) to track Cygnus. Two of the most important (and challenging) specifications are electron discrimination and head-tail (track-sense) sensitivity. At least $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ electron track rejection power and a head-tail sensitivity $\geq 70\%$ are required. The requirement for the angular resolution is modest (30°) because the recoil nuclei retain the original direction of the incoming WIMPs through the kinematic elastic-scattering process. These performance criteria need to be realized at a recoil energy threshold of $\mathcal{O}(5)$ keV_{nr}. Here the subscript "nr" stands for nuclear recoil, which means the actual energy deposition by the nucleus. Similarly, the subscript "ee" stands for electron equivalent, which means the energy calibrated with electrons. The detector size should be at least $\mathcal{O}(1)$ m³, with the potential for scaling-up to 1000m³ and even larger.

FIGURE 1 shows the expected physics reach of large volume gaseous time-projection-chambers. The expected SI and SD WIMP-proton cross sections are shown in the left and right panels, respectively. The solid lines with volume labels (10 m³ to 100 km³) are directional search lines with the assumption of recoil-energy threshold of 6 keV_{nr}. The neutrino-fog regions are shown in gray for helium (SI only), fluorine, and xenon. It is interesting to note that a large differences seen between the SI and SD neutrino-fog regions for fluorine and xenon is seen. A He:SF₆ (755:5 Torr) gas mixture is assumed as the chamber gas. Helium is added to keep the chamber pressure at 760 Torr (\sim atmospheric pressure) in order to make the chamber structure simple without adding any significant risk of multiple scattering. Helium also helps to enhance the SI sensitivity for low-mass WIMPs.

The SF₆ gas at 5 Torr is the main target for the SD search, and a detector of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ m³ size begins to probe some part of the neutrino-fog region for xenon.

2.4. Challenges of gaseous TPCs

The basic concept of a TPC vessel and detector structure for a directional WIMP search was established by the DRIFT group as shown in FIGURE 4. Various readout systems are shown in FIGURE 5. Panel (a) is a strip charge readout, (b) is a pixel charge readout, and (c) is an optical readout. The readout shown in (a) and (b) both read the charge provided by a charge amplification device (MPGD). The difference is the number of channels, which scale $\propto L$ for (a) and $\propto L^2$ for (b), where L is the size of the detector. The largest drawback of the strip is the "ghost" that may appear when more than two strips on X and Y strips read a signal within the same time interval. In this case, both diagonal lines are equally possible directions, and they cannot be distinguished from each other. In contrast, pixel detectors have the advantages of not having ghost images at a cost of channel-number increase by square-root. The optical readout shown in (c) reads two dimensional optical images on the MPGDs. The largest advantage of this method is that one can use state-of-the-art commercial CCD or CMOS camera technology. The electronics is embedded within the camera itself, and the images containing up to five million pixels can be read with a single cable. The number of cameras scales with the readout area ($\propto L^2$) with a freedom to adjust the granularity through the optical system. Selection of the chamber gas is limited because it needs to produce sufficient light. Because the time resolution of these cameras is not sufficiently fast, another fast readout device, either optical or electric, to obtain the timing information — the third dimension — for the tracks is required. Some TPCs like MIGDAL/CERN use transparent ITO strips for this purpose.

Because the nature of WIMPs is not known and the background status may vary, it is difficult to determine the "best" readout system. Combinations of different readout system may help in understanding of the background and identifying the WIMP signature. The design shown in FIGURE 6 is a new concept for a vessel in which various types of detectors — 18 detectors in total — can be placed in one vessel, where the signal and background conditions are identical.




FIGURE 5: Schematic drawings of readout system for gaseous TPCs. Panel (a), (b), and (c) show the strip charge readout, the pixel charge readout, and the optical readout, respectively.




FIGURE 6: Schematic drawing of a TPC vessel that can hold various types of readout systems.

As we have seen in Section 2.2, this field was pioneered with the MWPC technology which is categorized as a charge readout. Using this technology, the DRIFT group demonstrated the operation of a large detector underground. While DRIFT made a great improvement in the large-volume and low-background aspects, the readout technology (a 2mm-pitched MWPC) left room for improvement. The subsequent world-wide development of MPGDs produced detectors with granularities on the order of $100\mu\text{m}$. Among many styles of MPGDs, gas electron multipliers (GEMs) [57] Micromegas [58] micro-pixel chambers (μ -PICs [59]) are the ones still in use for this field. The NEWAGE and the MIMAC projects pioneered the use of MPGDs for directional dark matter searches [38, 60]. NEWAGE used the combination of a GEM as the first stage amplifier and a μ -PIC as the main amplifier and strip readout, while MIMAC utilized Micromegas [58]. Both μ -PIC and Micromegas have strip readouts with a pitch of $\sim 400\mu\text{m}$ [61, 62]. NEWAGE demonstrated the first directional search using a "sky-map" obtained from the nuclear tracks [51] with a $30 \times 30 \times 30\text{cm}^3$ detector. NEWAGE started underground measurements in 2000s and increased their search sensitivity while always maintaining directional sensitivity. MIMAC started the underground measurements at the Modane underground facility in 2012 [53]. With a unique low energy ion beam facility, MIMAC studied directionality in detail [63]. MIMAC resolved three-dimensional tracks of fluorine ions with energies as low as 6 keV [64]. They also demonstrated the head-tail recognition in the keV energy range [65]. The development of readout electronics is one of the important development items for gaseous TPCs because no off-the-shelf readout electronics is suited for these high density strip readouts ($\sim 25\text{ ch/cm}$) [66, 67, 68, 69, 69].

Pixel readouts, which exhibit better performance but which are technologically more challenging than strip readouts, have been developed using Timepix chips[70] and ATLAS FE-I4 chips[71]. Although the readout area remains limited to less than $5 \times 5\text{cm}^2$, pixel readouts with the high-granularity of $\sim 50\mu\text{m}$ have demonstrated great potential as directional WIMP search readouts [72, 73, 74]. Precise imaging of the three-dimensional electron cloud would provide important input for validating simulation tools and theoretical models for low-energy nuclear recoils [73]. An interesting use of such precise imaging is to determine the absolute position along the drift direction by transverse diffusion measurements[75].

With the help of a low-atomic-mass helium target, a feasibility demonstration has placed a limit on the SI WIMP-proton cross-section for WIMPs lighter than 10 GeV [76]. A new generation pixel readout application specific integrated circuits (ASIC) development and the possible use of ASICs originally developed for other purposes would accelerate R&D towards their practical use in directional WIMP searches [77, 78].

Ever since the impressive proof of principle with an optical readout [45], this technology has been revisited whenever technological breakthroughs have occurred in the noise rate or the frame rate. The DMTPC group used a CCD camera for the optical readout [79], and they presented a remarkable result to the community; in particular, they found that the head-tail recognition signal to be larger than expected[80]. The DMTPC set directional search limits[81], then they explored the directionality of 140 keV_{ee} fluorine and 50keV_{ee} helium [82]. The CYGNO group started R&D on optical readouts, mainly taking advantage of the arrival of low-noise CMOS cameras [83, 84, 85]. They demonstrated impressive detector performance with low energy (5.9 keV) X-rays [86]. They also studied the performance of a 50-liter ($33 \times 33 \times 50\text{cm}^3$) detector ("LIME") in an above-ground laboratory, including the use of transverse diffusion to determine the absolute position along the drift direction [87]. The CYGNO group started underground measurements in 2022 and the technology is now mostly ready for the directional search.

Any rare-event search experiments, including directional WIMP searches, require a low-background environment. In the WIMP direct search experiments, the main background sources are natural radiation such as gamma-rays, neutrons, electrons, and alpha-particles. Some of them originate from cosmic-rays, so most of these experiments are performed in underground facilities. Natural radioactive isotopes like ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , and ^{40}K exist in most materials on the Earth, and they are the sources of background events originating outside of the detectors. Passive and active shields are used to shield against these external backgrounds. Directional WIMP detectors are large in volume, so one of the established and cost-effective technologies to shield them is with water shieldings. Simulation studies have shown that shieldings with a thickness of 75 cm of water can provide a sufficient reduction for a $10 \times 10 \times 10\text{m}^3$ -sized gaseous TPC [21]. It is not possible to shield against radiation from radioactive materials in the shieldings itself, so the selection of pure materials and active electron rejection are realistic countermeasures. Gaseous TPCs are generally good at particle identification using linear energy transfer information obtained from the trajectory and energy deposition. A rejection of 10^5 at 5 keV for electrons was demonstrated with a strip readout [88] and a rejection of $> 10^6$ for electrons at energies $\geq 9\text{keV}$ was demonstrated with a pixel readout [89]. Discrimination of electron tracks was also measured with an optical readout; 10 keV_{ee} electrons were discriminated from 23 keV_{nr} recoil nuclei in the CF_4 gas at 100 Torr [90]. Discrimination between the X-rays from ^{55}Fe and the neutrons from an Am/Be source with a nuclear track detection efficiency of 18% and electron track rejection power of 96% was demonstrated with an optical readout [91]. Common problematic background sources in the liquid and gaseous detectors are the radioisotopes ^{222}Rn and ^{220}Rn . They are in the decay chains of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th , respectively. The radon isotopes are rare gases that emanate from these materials. They contaminate the gas, and the progenies of the radon isotopes are background sources as well. DRIFT found that the radon progenies that accumulated on the cathode plane were background sources when they deposited part of their energies in the detection volume [92, 93]. Using a thin film for the cathode plane and vetoing by using the counterpart detector proved to be an effective way to actively reduce these backgrounds [94]. Another, more general, approach is to use radio-pure materials for the detector components [95]. Radon molecules can be captured by so-called molecular sieves which have pores designed to match the size of the radon atom. Molecular sieves made of radio-pure materials have now been developed for radon filtering [96].

Head-tail recognition was first demonstrated by the DMTPC project [79], followed by DRIFT [97]. NEWAGE used head-tail in three-dimensional tracks and applied this technique for a directional WIMP search [98]. Head-tail recognition in the keV energy range was demonstrated by MIMAC [65].

We have so far reviewed the challenges of gaseous TPC readouts for directional WIMP searches. An important recent study concerns the optimization of the readout. The "best" detector depends on the maturity of each technology. Currently, strip readouts are most cost-effective technology to use for the directional search, while pixel readouts always provide the highest performance [21].

2.5. Future challenges

As a final topic in this review, we discuss various physics cases and applications of gaseous TPCs. FIGURE 7 shows the physics cases horizontally and the typical required size vertically.




FIGURE 7: Physics cases and applications of gaseous TPCs. [32].

Some applications are already practical with detector sizes much less than $\mathcal{O}(1)m^3$. Neutron imaging, shown in the right-top area of FIGURE 7, was realized by detecting recoil helium tracks with pixel-readout TPCs using a detection volume of $2.0 \times 1.68 \times 10.87m^3$ [99, 100]. Another application already in use is low-rate surface alpha-particle measurements. Surface alpha-particle counters in the market are currently being used for the industrial semiconductor impurity assay systems as well as for surface assays of materials for low-background experiments [101]. Gaseous TPC technology can also add imaging ability to alpha-particle measurements. A few groups are developing these devices and achieved, and they have achieved sensitivities of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})\alpha/cm^2/hour$ with a size of $(30cm)^3$ [102, 103, 104]. The Migdal effect, which would take place by the quick motion of the nucleus as the electrons lag behind the nucleus [105], is another target for small-sized gaseous TPCs. Among several channels of the Migdal effect, the one associated with nuclear recoil is attracting interest these days because it effectively lowers the energy threshold of WIMP detectors [106]. Several direct search experiments have extended the search region to the sub-GeV range [107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112], although the effect itself is still to be observed. A few experimental efforts plan to observe the Migdal effect with gaseous TPCs [113, 114]. This can be realized with an $\mathcal{O}(1)m^3$ detector with relatively high-granularity readouts. Search for the Sub-GeV WIMPs undergoing SI interactions and SD directional searches can also be started with relatively small-sized detectors like $\mathcal{O}(1) - \mathcal{O}(10)m^3$. It is noteworthy that a $10m^3$ detector with low pressure SF_6 gas can start to search some part of the neutrino-fog region for the searches with xenon nuclei (see FIGURE 1). Directional coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scatterings ($CE\nu NS$) detection is also possible with detectors having sizes $\mathcal{O}(1) - \mathcal{O}(10)m^3$. When the technology is ready to make $\mathcal{O}(100) - \mathcal{O}(1k)m^3$ -sized chambers at a reasonable cost, these detectors would give competitive sensitivity in the electron channel. WIMP searches beyond the neutrino-fog in the SI channel can be started with this scale. Solar neutrino detection can also be carried out.

$\mathcal{O}(10k)m^3$ detectors are our ultimate goal, as they would provide a halo observatory capable of studying the astrophysical and particle aspects of the dark matter.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Gaseous time-projection-chambers are the most mature devices for directional dark matter searches, and we expect them to provide a clear detection of halo WIMPs and enable their precise study. Among several requirements for directional WIMP detectors, electron-track rejection and head-tail detection of the recoil nuclei are two important properties. Some detectors have demonstrated to satisfy these requirements. A staged approach toward a gigantic detector $\mathcal{O}(10\text{k})\text{m}^3$ is currently being discussed, along with various applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

KM would like to thank Dinesh Loomba for useful discussions and suggestions throughout the paper preparation. This work was partially supported by KAKENHI Grant-in-Aids (19H05806, 19684005, 23684014, 26104005, and 21H04471), DMNet, and ICRR joint-usage. We would like to thank our colleagues of CYGNUS steering members (E. Baracchini, G. J. Lane, N. J. C. Spooner, and S. E. Vahsen), C. A. J. O'Hare, and D. Snowden-Ifft for useful discussions and providing materials.

References

- [1] G. Bertone and D. Hooper, *History of dark matter*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90** (2018) 045002.
- [2] M. Schumann, *Direct detection of WIMP dark matter: concepts and status*, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **46** (2019) 103003.
- [3] D. N. Spergel, *The motion of the Earth and the detection of WIMPs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **37** (1988) 1353.
- [4] M. Natsume, K. Hoshino, K. Kuwabara, M. Nakamura, T. Nakano, K. Niwa, O. Sato, T. Tani and T. Toshito, *Low-velocity ion tracks in fine grain emulsion*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **575** (2007) 439.
- [5] A. Umemoto, T. Naka, A. Alexandrov and M. Yoshimoto, *Super-resolution plasmonic imaging microscopy for a submicron tracking emulsion detector*, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2019** (2019) 063H02 [<https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-pdf/2019/6/063H02/28833471/ptz033.pdf>].
- [6] T. Shiraishi, I. Todoroki, T. Naka, A. Umemoto, R. Kobayashi and O. Sato, *Development of a new tracking detector with fine-grained nuclear emulsion for sub-MeV neutron measurement*, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2021** (2021) 043H01 [<https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-pdf/2021/4/043H01/37807850/ptab030.pdf>].
- [7] P. Belli, R. Bernabei, C. Bacci et al., *Identifying a "dark matter" signal by nonisotropic scintillation detector*, *Il Nuovo Cimento C* **15** (1992) 473–479.
- [8] H. Sekiya, M. Minowa, Y. Shimizu, Y. Inoue and W. Suganuma, *Measurements of anisotropic scintillation efficiency for carbon recoils in a stilbene crystal for dark matter detection*, *Physics Letters B* **571** (2003) 132.
- [9] F. Cappella, R. Bernabei, P. Belli et al., *On the potentiality of the ZnWO₄ anisotropic detectors to measure the directionality of Dark Matter*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 22766.
- [10] J. W. Pedersen, H. Sekiya and K. Ichimura, *Anisotropic response measurements of ZnWO₄ scintillators to neutrons for developing a direction-sensitive dark matter detector*, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2020** (2020) 023C01.
- [11] D. R. Nygren, *Columnar recombination: a tool for nuclear recoil directional sensitivity in a xenon-based direct detection WIMP search*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **460** (2013) 012006.
- [12] Y. Nakajima, A. Goldschmidt, H. S. Matis, D. Nygren, C. Oliveira and J. Renner, *Measurement of scintillation and ionization yield with high-pressure gaseous mixtures of xe and tma for improved neutrinoless double beta decay and dark matter searches*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **650** (2015) 012012.
- [13] SCENE COLLABORATION Collaboration, H. Cao, T. Alexander, A. Aprahamian, R. Avetisyan, H. O. Back, A. G. Cocco, F. DeJongh, G. Fiorillo, C. Galbiati, L. Grandi, Y. Guardincerri, C. Kendziora, W. H. Lippincott, C. Love, S. Lyons, L. Manenti, C. J. Martoff, Y. Meng, D. Montanari, P. Mosteiro, D. Olvitt, S. Pordes, H. Qian, B. Rossi, R. Saldanha, S. Sangiorgio, K. Siegl, S. Y. Strauss, W. Tan, J. Tatarowicz, S. Walker, H. Wang, A. W. Watson, S. Westerdale and J. Yoo, *Measurement of scintillation and ionization yield and scintillation pulse shape from nuclear recoils in liquid argon*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 092007.
- [14] K. Nakamura, S. Ban, M. Hirose, A. Ichikawa, Y. Ishiyama, A. Minamino, K. Miuchi, T. Nakaya, H. Sekiya, S. Tanaka and K. Ueshima, *Angular dependence of columnar recombination in high pressure xenon gas using time profiles of scintillation emission*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **13** (2018) P07015.
- [15] The DarkSide-20k Collaboration, *Directionality of nuclear recoils in a liquid argon time projection chamber*, 2307.15454.
- [16] S. Rajendran, N. Zobrist, A. O. Sushkov, R. Walsworth and M. Lukin, *A method for directional detection of dark matter using spectroscopy of crystal defects*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 035009.
- [17] R. Ebadi, M. C. Marshall, D. F. Phillips, J. Cremer, T. Zhou, M. Titze, P. Kehayias, M. Saleh Ziabari, N. Deegan, S. Rajendran, A. O. Sushkov, F. J. Heremans, E. S. Bielejec, M. V. Holt and R. L. Walsworth, *Directional detection of dark matter using solid-state quantum sensing*, *AVS Quantum Science* **4** (2022) 044701.
- [18] A. M. Green and B. Morgan, *Optimizing WIMP directional detectors*, *Astropart. Phys.* **27** (2007) 142.
- [19] A. M. Green and B. Morgan, *Consequences of statistical sense determination for WIMP directional detection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 027303.
- [20] J. Billard, F. Mayet and D. Santos, *Markov chain Monte Carlo analysis to constrain dark matter properties with directional detection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 075002.
- [21] S. Vahsen et al., *CYGNUS: Feasibility of a nuclear recoil observatory with directional sensitivity to dark matter and neutrinos*, 2008.12587.
- [22] C. A. J. O'Hare, *New Definition of the Neutrino Floor for Direct Dark Matter Searches*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 251802 [2109.03116].
- [23] J. Billard and E. Figueroa-Feliciano, *Implication of neutrino backgrounds on the reach of next generation dark matter direct detection experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 023524.
- [24] D. S. Akerib et al., *Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier Dark Matter Direct Detection to the Neutrino Fog*, 2203.08084.
- [25] C. A. J. O'Hare, A. M. Green, J. Billard, E. Figueroa-Feliciano and L. E. Strigari, *Readout strategies for directional dark matter detection beyond the neutrino background*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 063518.
- [26] B. J. Kavanagh and C. A. J. O'Hare, *Reconstructing the three-dimensional local dark matter velocity distribution*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 123009.

- [27] C. A. J. O'Hare, C. McCabe, N. W. Evans, G. Myeong and V. Belokurov, *Dark matter hurricane: Measuring the s1 stream with dark matter detectors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 103006.
- [28] R. Catena, *Dark matter directional detection in non-relativistic effective theories*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2015** (2015) 026.
- [29] S. Ahlen et al., *The case for a directional dark matter detector and the status of current experimental efforts*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **25** (2010) 1 [0911.0323].
- [30] F. Mayet et al., *A review of the discovery reach of directional Dark Matter detection*, *Phys. Rept.* **627** (2016) 1 [1602.03781].
- [31] C. A. J. O'Hare, D. Loomba et al., *Recoil imaging for directional detection of dark matter, neutrinos, and physics beyond the Standard Model*, 2203.05914.
- [32] S. E. Vahsen, C. A. J. O'Hare and D. Loomba, *Directional recoil detection*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **71** (2021) 189 [2102.04596].
- [33] D. Baxter, I. Bloch, E. Bodnia et al., *Recommended conventions for reporting results from direct dark matter searches*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 907.
- [34] F.-S. Ling, E. Nezri, E. Athanassoula and R. Teysier, *Dark matter direct detection signals inferred from a cosmological n-body simulation with baryons*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2010** (2010) 012.
- [35] M. Valluri, A. M. Price-Whelan and S. J. Snyder, *Detecting the figure rotation of dark matter halos with tidal streams*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **910** (2021) 150.
- [36] C. J. Copi, J. Heo and L. M. Krauss, *Directional sensitivity, WIMP detection, and the galactic halo*, *Physics Letters B* **461** (1999) 43.
- [37] C. J. Copi and L. M. Krauss, *Angular signatures for galactic halo weakly interacting massive particle scattering in direct detectors: Prospects and challenges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 043507.
- [38] T. Tanimori, H. Kubo, K. Miuchi, T. Nagayoshi, R. Orito, A. Takada and A. Takeda, *Detecting the WIMP-wind via spin-dependent interactions*, *Physics Letters B* **578** (2004) 241.
- [39] B. Morgan, A. M. Green and N. J. C. Spooner, *Directional statistics for WIMP direct detection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 103507 [astro-ph/0408047].
- [40] G. Charpak, R. Bouclier, T. Bressani, J. Favier and C. Zupancic, *The Use of Multiwire Proportional Counters to Select and Localize Charged Particles*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **62** (1968) 262.
- [41] D. R. Nygren and J. N. Marx, *The time projection chamber*, *Physics Today* **31** (1978) 46.
- [42] G. Masek, K. Buckland and M. Mojaver, *Detection of Dark Matter Using Low Pressure Gas Detectors (TPC's)*, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Particle Astrophysics* (1989) 41.
- [43] G. Gerbier, J. Rich, M. Spiro and C. Tao, *Dark Matter Detection with Hydrogen Proportional Counters*, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Particle Astrophysics* (1989) 43.
- [44] F. Sauli and E. Oliveri, *Gaseous detectors handbook*, .
- [45] K. N. Buckland, M. J. Lehner, G. E. Masek and M. Mojaver, *Low pressure gaseous detector for particle dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1067.
- [46] M. Lehner, K. Buckland and G. Masek, *Electron diffusion in a low pressure methane detector for particle dark matter*, *Astroparticle Physics* **8** (1997) 43.
- [47] C. Martoff, D. Snowden-Ifft, T. Ohnuki, N. Spooner and M. Lehner, *Suppressing drift chamber diffusion without magnetic field*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **440** (2000) 355 .
- [48] D. P. Snowden-Ifft, C. J. Martoff and J. M. Burwell, *Low pressure negative ion time projection chamber for dark matter search*, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 101301.
- [49] G. Alner, H. Araujo, A. Bewick, S. Burgos, M. Carson, J. Davies, E. Daw, J. Dawson, J. Forbes, T. Gamble, M. Garcia, C. Ghag, M. Gold, S. Hollen, R. Hollingworth, A. Howard, J. Kirkpatrick, V. Kudryavtsev, T. Lawson, V. Lebedenko, J. Lewin, P. Lightfoot, I. Liubarsky, D. Loomba, R. Lüscher, J. McMillan, B. Morgan, D. Muna, A. Murphy, G. Nicklin, S. Paling, A. Petkov, S. Plank, R. Preece, J. Quenby, M. Robinson, N. Sanghi, N. Smith, P. Smith, D. Snowden-Ifft, N. Spooner, T. Sumner, D. Tovey, J. Turk, E. Tziaferi and R. Walker, *The DRIFT-II dark matter detector: Design and commissioning*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **555** (2005) 173.
- [50] J. Ellis and R. A. Flores, *Elastic supersymmetric relic-nucleus scattering revisited*, *Physics Letters B* **263** (1991) 259.
- [51] K. Miuchi et al., *Direction-sensitive dark matter search results in a surface laboratory*, *Physics Letters B* **654** (2007) 58.
- [52] E. Daw, J. Fox, J.-L. Gauvreau, C. Ghag, L. Harmon, M. Gold, E. Lee, D. Loomba, E. Miller, A. Murphy, S. Paling, J. Landers, M. Pipe, K. Pushkin, M. Robinson, D. Snowden-Ifft, N. Spooner and D. Walker, *Spin-dependent limits from the DRIFT-II directional dark matter detector*, *Astroparticle Physics* **35** (2012) 397.
- [53] D. Santos, J. Billard, G. Bosson, J. L. Bouly, O. Bourrion, O. G. C. Fourel, J. Lamblin, J. F. Muraz, F. Mayet, J. P. Richer, Q. Riffard, E. Ferrer, I. Giomataris, F. J. Iguaz, L. Lebreton and D. Maire, *MIMAC: A micro-tpc matrix for dark matter directional detection*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **460** (2013) 012007.
- [54] D. P. Snowden-Ifft, *Discovery of multiple, ionization-created CS₂ anions and a new mode of operation for drift chambers*, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **85** (2014) 013303.
- [55] J. Battat, J. Brack, E. Daw, A. Dorofeev, A. Ezeribe, J.-L. Gauvreau, M. Gold, J. Harton, J. Landers, E. Law, E. Lee, D. Loomba, A. Lumnah, J. Matthews, E. Miller, A. Monte, F. Mouton, A. Murphy, S. Paling, N. Phan, M. Robinson, S. Sadler, A. Scarff, F. Schuckman II, D. Snowden-Ifft, N. Spooner, S. Telfer, S. Vahsen, D. Walker, D. Warner and L. Yuriev, *First background-free limit from a directional dark matter experiment: Results from a fully fiducialised DRIFT detector*, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **9-10** (2015) 1.
- [56] N. S. Phan, R. Lafler, R. J. Lauer, E. R. Lee, D. Loomba, J. A. J. Matthews and E. H. Miller, *The novel properties of SF₆ for directional dark matter experiments*, *JINST* **12** (2017) P02012 [1609.05249].
- [57] F. Sauli, *GEM: A new concept for electron amplification in gas detectors*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **386** (1997) 531 .
- [58] Y. Giomataris, P. Rebourgeard, J. Robert and G. Charpak, *MICROMEGAS: a high-granularity position-sensitive gaseous detector for high particle-flux environments*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **376** (1996) 29 .
- [59] A. Ochi, T. Nagayoshi, S. Koishi, T. Tanimori, T. Nagae and M. Nakamura, *A new design of the gaseous imaging detector: Micro pixel chamber*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **471** (2001) 264 . Imaging 2000.
- [60] D. Santos, O. Guillaudin, T. Lamy, F. Mayet and E. Moulin, *MIMAC: A Micro-TPC Matrix of Chambers for direct detection of Wimps*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **65** (2007) 012012.
- [61] A. Takada, K. Hattori, S. Kabuki, H. Kubo, K. Miuchi, T. Nagayoshi, H. Nishimura, Y. Okada, R. Orito, H. Sekiya, A. Takeda, T. Tanimori and K. Ueno, *A very large area micro pixel chamber*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **573** (2007) 195. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Position-Sensitive Detectors.

- [62] F. J. Iguaz, D. Attié, D. Calvet, P. Colas, F. Druillolle, E. Ferrer-Ribas, I. Giomataris, J. P. Mols, J. Pancin, T. Papaevangelou, J. Billard, G. Bosson, J. L. Bouly, O. Bourrion, C. Fourel, C. Grignon, O. Guillaudin, F. Mayet, J. P. Richer, D. Santos, C. Golabek and L. Lebreton, *Micromegas detector developments for dark matter directional detection with mimac*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **6** (2011) P07002.
- [63] J. Muraz, J. Médard, C. Couturier, C. Fourrel, O. Guillaudin, T. Lamy, M. Marton, Q. Riffard, P. Sortais, D. Santos and N. Sauzet, *A table-top ion and electron beam facility for ionization quenching measurement and gas detector calibration*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **832** (2016) 214.
- [64] Y. Tao, C. Beaufort, I. Moric, C. Tao, D. Santos, N. Sauzet, C. Couturier, O. Guillaudin, J. Muraz, F. Naraghi, N. Zhou and J. Busto, *Track length measurement of $^{19}\text{F}^+$ ions with the mimac directional dark matter detector prototype*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **985** (2021) 164569.
- [65] C. Beaufort, O. Guillaudin, J.-F. Muraz, N. Sauzet, D. Santos and R. Babut, *Directionality and head-tail recognition in the keV-range with the MIMAC detector by deconvolution of the ionic signal*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* (2023) 57.
- [66] O. Sasaki and M. Yoshida, *ASD IC for the thin gap chambers in the LHC ATLAS experiment*, .
- [67] R. Orito, O. Sasaki, H. Kubo, K. Miuchi, T. Nagayoshi, Y. Okada, A. Takada, A. Takeda, T. Tanimori and M. Ueno, *Development of an ASD IC for the micro pixel chamber*, *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science* **51** (2004) 1337.
- [68] J. Richer, G. Bosson, O. Bourrion, C. Grignon, O. Guillaudin, F. Mayet and D. Santos, *Development of a front end ASIC for Dark Matter directional detection with MIMAC*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **620** (2010) 470.
- [69] T. Kishishita, S. Sumomozawa, T. Kosaka, T. Igarashi, K. Sakashita, M. Shoji, M. Tanaka, T. Hasegawa, K. Negishi, S. Narita, T. Nakamura and K. Miuchi, *LTARS: analog readout front-end ASIC for versatile TPC-applications*, *Journal of Instrumentation* (2020) T09009.
- [70] X. Llopart, R. Ballabriga, M. Campbell, L. Tlustos and W. Wong, *Timepix, a 65k programmable pixel readout chip for arrival time, energy and/or photon counting measurements*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **581** (2007) 485. VCI 2007.
- [71] M. Garcia-Sciveres, D. Arutinov, M. Barbero, R. Beccherle, S. Dube, D. Elledge, J. Fleury, D. Fougeron, F. Gensolen, D. Gnani, V. Gromov, T. Hemperek, M. Karagounis, R. Kluit, A. Kruth, A. Mekkaoui, M. Menouni and J.-D. Schipper, *The FE-I4 pixel readout integrated circuit*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **636** (2011) S155. 7th International "Hiroshima" Symposium on the Development and Application of Semiconductor Tracking Detectors.
- [72] E. Baracchini, G. Cavoto, G. Mazzitelli, F. Murtas, F. Renga and S. Tomassini, *Negative ion time projection chamber operation with sf6 at nearly atmospheric pressure*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **13** (2018) P04022.
- [73] I. Jaegle, P. Lewis, M. Garcia-Sciveres, M. Hedges, T. Hemperek, J. Janssen, Q. Ji, D.-L. Pohl, S. Ross, J. Schueler, I. Seong, T. Thorpe and S. Vahsen, *Compact, directional neutron detectors capable of high-resolution nuclear recoil imaging*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **945** (2019) 162296.
- [74] C. Ligtenberg, Y. Bilevych, K. Desch, H. van der Graaf, M. Gruber, F. Hartjes, K. Heijhoff, J. Kaminski, P. Kluit, N. van der Kolk, G. Raven, T. Schiffer and J. Timmermans, *Performance of the gridpix detector quad*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **956** (2020) 163331.
- [75] P. Lewis, S. Vahsen, M. Hedges, I. Jaegle, I. Seong et al., *Absolute Position Measurement in a Gas Time Projection Chamber via Transverse Diffusion of Drift Charge*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **789** (2015) 81 [1410.1131].
- [76] T. Thorpe, *Gain resolution studies and first dark matter search with novel 3D nuclear recoil detectors*, *University of Hawaii at Manoa* (2018) Ph.D. thesis.
- [77] D. Dwyer et al., *LArPix: demonstration of low-power 3D pixelated charge readout for liquid argon time projection chambers*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **13** (2018) P10007.
- [78] S. Higashino, T. Ikeda, A. Nakayama, M. Ofuji and K. Miuchi, *Development of negative-ion gaseous TPC using micro pattern readout for direction-sensitive dark matter search*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **18** (2023) C06012.
- [79] D. Dujmi et al., *Observation of the "head-tail" effect in nuclear recoils of low-energy neutrons*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* **584** (2008) 327–333.
- [80] D. Dujmi et al., *Charge amplification concepts for direction-sensitive dark matter detectors*, *Astroparticle Physics* **30** (2008) 58.
- [81] S. Ahlen, J. Battat et al., *First dark matter search results from a surface run of the 10-L DMTPC directional dark matter detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **695** (2011) 124 .
- [82] C. Deaconu, M. Leyton, R. Corliss, G. Druitt, R. Eggleston, N. Guerrero, S. Henderson, J. Lopez, J. Monroe and P. Fisher, *Measurement of the directional sensitivity of dark matter time projection chamber detectors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 122002.
- [83] G. Mazzitelli, V. C. Antochi, E. Baracchini, G. Cavoto, A. De Stena, E. D. Marco, M. Marafini, D. Pinci, F. Renga, S. Tomassini and C. Voena, *A high resolution TPC based on GEM optical readout*, in *2017 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference (NSS/MIC)*, pp. 1–4, 2017, DOI.
- [84] E. Baracchini and all, *Directional Dark Matter Searches with the CYGNO*, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1468** (2020) 012039.
- [85] F. D. Amaro et al., *The CYGNO Experiment*, *Instruments* **6** (2022) 6 [2202.05480].
- [86] I. A. Costa, E. Baracchini, F. Bellini, L. Benussi, S. Bianco, M. Caponero, G. Cavoto, G. D'Imperio, E. D. Marco, G. Maccarrone, M. Marafini, G. Mazzitelli, A. Messina, F. Petrucci, D. Piccolo, D. Pinci, F. Renga, F. Rosatelli, G. Saviano and S. Tomassini, *Performance of optically readout GEM-based TPC with a ^{55}Fe source*, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07011.
- [87] CYGNO Collaboration, F. Domingues et al., *A 50 liter CYGNO prototype overground characterization*, 2305.06168.
- [88] Q. Riffard, D. Santos, O. Guillaudin, G. Bosson, O. Bourrion, J. Bouvier, T. Descombes, J.-F. Muraz, L. Lebreton, D. Maire, P. Colas, I. Giomataris, J. Busto, D. Fouchez, J. Brunner and C. Tao, *MIMAC low energy electron-recoil discrimination measured with fast neutrons*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **11** (2016) P08011.
- [89] M. Ghrear, S. E. Vahsen and C. Deaconu, *Observables for recoil identification in high-definition Gas Time Projection Chambers*, *JCAP* **10** (2021) 005 [2012.13649].
- [90] N. Phan, R. Lauer, E. Lee, D. Loomba, J. Matthews and E. Miller, *GEM-based TPC with CCD imaging for directional dark matter detection*, *Astroparticle Physics* **84** (2016) 82 .
- [91] E. Baracchini, L. Benussi, S. Bianco, C. Capocchia, M. Caponero, G. Cavoto, A. Cortez, I. A. Costa, E. D. Marco, G. D'Imperio, G. Dho, F. Iacoangeli, G. Maccarrone, M. Marafini, G. Mazzitelli, A. Messina, R. A. Nobrega, A. Orlandi, E. Paoletti, L. Passamonti, F. Petrucci, D. Piccolo, D. Pierluigi, D. Pinc, F. Renga, F. Rosatelli, A. Russo, G. Saviano, R. Tesaro and S. Tomassini, *Identification of low energy nuclear recoils in a gas time projection chamber with optical readout*, *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **32** (2021) 025902.
- [92] S. Burgos, J. Forbes, C. Ghag, M. Gold, V. Kudryavtsev, T. Lawson, D. Loomba, P. Majewski, D. Muna, A. S. Murphy, G. Nicklin, S. Paling, A. Petkov, S. Plank, M. Robinson, N. Sanghi, N. Smith, D. Snowden-Ifft, N. Spooner, T. Sumner, J. Turk and E. Tziaferi, *Studies of neutron*

- detection and backgrounds with the DRIFT-IIa dark matter detector, *Astroparticle Physics* **28** (2007) 409.
- [93] J. Brack, E. Daw, A. Dorofeev, A. C. Ezeribe, J. R. Fox, J. L. Gauvreau, M. Gold, L. Harmon, J. L. Harton, R. Lafler, J. M. Landers, R. Lauer, E. R. Lee, D. Loomba, J. A. J. Matthews, E. H. Miller, A. Monte, A. S. Murphy, S. M. Paling, N. Phan, M. Pipe, M. Robinson, S. Sadler, A. Scarff, D. P. Snowden-Ifft, N. J. C. Spooner, S. Telfer, D. Walker and L. Yuriev, *Long-term study of backgrounds in the DRIFT-II directional dark matter experiment*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **9** (2014) P07021.
- [94] J. Battat, E. Daw, A. Dorofeev, A. Ezeribe, J. Fox, J.-L. Gauvreau, M. Gold, L. Harmon, J. Harton, R. Lafler, J. Landers, R. Lauer, E. Lee, D. Loomba, A. Lumnah, J. Matthews, E. Miller, F. Mouton, A. Murphy, S. Paling, N. Phan, S. Sadler, A. Scarff, F. Schuckman, D. Snowden-Ifft, N. Spooner and D. Walker, *Reducing DRIFT backgrounds with a submicron aluminized-mylar cathode*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **794** (2015) 33.
- [95] T. Hashimoto, K. Miuchi et al., *Development of a low- α -emitting μ -pic as a readout device for direction-sensitive dark matter detectors*, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* **977** (2020) 164285.
- [96] R. R. M. Gregorio, N. J. C. Spooner, J. Berry, A. C. Ezeribe, K. Miuchi, H. Ogawa and A. Scarff, *Test of low radioactive molecular sieves for radon filtration in SF₆ gas-based rare-event physics experiments*, 2011.06994.
- [97] J. Battat, E. Daw, A. Ezeribe, J.-L. Gauvreau, J. L. Harton, R. Lafler, E. R. Lee, D. Loomba, A. Lumnah, E. Miller, F. Mouton, A. Murphy, S. Paling, N. Phan, M. Robinson, S. Sadler, A. Scarff, F. S. II, D. Snowden-Ifft and N. Spooner, *First measurement of nuclear recoil head-tail sense in a fiducialised WIMP dark matter detector*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **11** (2016) P10019.
- [98] R. Yakabe, K. Nakamura, T. Ikeda et al., *First limits from a 3D-vector directional dark matter search with the NEWAGE-0.3b' detector*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys* **2020** (2020) .113F01.
- [99] M. T. Hedges, S. E. Vahsen, I. Jaegle, P. M. Lewis, H. Nakayama, J. Schueler and T. N. Thorpe, *First 3D vector tracking of helium recoils for fast neutron measurements at SuperKEKB*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **1026** (2022) 166066 [2106.13079].
- [100] J. Schueler et al., *Application of recoil-imaging time projection chambers to directional neutron background measurements in the superkekb accelerator tunnel*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **1040** (2022) 167291 [2111.03841].
- [101] XIA, *Ultralo-1800* (<https://xia.com/products/ultralo-1800/>), .
- [102] H. Ito, T. Hashimoto, K. Miuchi, K. Kobayashi, Y. Takeuchi, K. Nakamura, T. Ikeda and H. Ishiura, *Development of an alpha-particle imaging detector based on a low radioactivity micro-time-projection chamber*, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **953** (2020) 163050.
- [103] K. Altenmüller et al., *Alphacam, a micromegas-based camera for high-sensitivity screening of alpha surface contamination*, *Journal of Instrumentation* **17** (2022) P08035.
- [104] H. Du, C. Du, K. Han, S. He, L. Liu, Y. Meng, S. Wang, T. Zhang, W. Zhang, L. Zhao and J. Zhou, *A gaseous time projection chamber with micromegas readout for low radioactive material screening*, 2208.03046.
- [105] A. Migdal, A.B. Migdal, *Ionization of atoms accompanying α - and β -decay*, *J. Phys. USSR* **4** (1941) 449.
- [106] M. Ibe, W. Nakano, Y. Shoji and K. Suzuki, *Migdal effect in dark matter direct detection experiments*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (2018) 194.
- [107] E. Aprile et al., *Search for Light Dark Matter Interactions Enhanced by the Migdal Effect or Bremsstrahlung in XENON1T*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 241803.
- [108] D. S. Akerib et al., *Results of a Search for Sub-GeV Dark Matter Using 2013 LUX Data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 131301.
- [109] E. Armengaud et al., *Searching for low-mass dark matter particles with a massive Ge bolometer operated above ground*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 082003.
- [110] Z. Z. Liu et al., *Constraints on Spin-Independent Nucleus Scattering with sub-GeV Weakly Interacting Massive Particle Dark Matter from the CDEX-1B Experiment at the China Jinping Underground Laboratory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 161301.
- [111] L. Barak, I. M. Bloch, M. Cababie, G. Canelo, L. Chaplinsky, F. Chierchie, M. Crisler, A. Drica-Wagner, R. Essig, J. Estrada, E. Etzion, G. F. Moroni, D. Gift, S. Munagavalasa, A. Orly, D. Rodrigues, A. Singal, M. S. Haro, L. Stefanazzi, J. Tiffenberg, S. Uemura, T. Volansky and T.-T. Yu, *Sensei: Direct-detection results on sub-gev dark matter from a new skipper-ccd*, *arXiv:2004.11378v1* (2020) .
- [112] G. G. di Cortona, A. Messina and S. Piacentini, *Migdal effect and photon bremsstrahlung: improving the sensitivity to light dark matter of liquid argon experiments*, *arXiv:2006.02453* (2020) .
- [113] K. D. Nakamura, K. Miuchi, S. Kazama, Y. Shoji, M. Ibe and W. Nakano, *Detection capability of the Migdal effect for argon and xenon nuclei with position-sensitive gaseous detectors*, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2021** (2020) 013C01.
- [114] H. Araújo, S. Balashov, J. Borg, F. Brunbauer, C. Cazzaniga, C. Frost, F. Garcia, A. Kaboth, M. Kastriotou, I. Katsioulas, A. Khazov, H. Kraus, V. Kudryavtsev, S. Lilley, A. Lindote, D. Loomba, M. Lopes, E. L. Asamar, P. L. Dapica, P. Majewski, T. Marley, C. McCabe, A. Mills, M. Nakhostin, T. Neep, F. Neves, K. Nikolopoulos, E. Oliveri, L. Ropelewski, E. Tilly, V. Solovov, T. Sumner, J. Tarrant, R. Turnley, M. van der Grinten and R. Veenhof, *The MIGDAL experiment: Measuring a rare atomic process to aid the search for dark matter*, *Astroparticle Physics* **151** (2023) 102853.