

# Artificial Intelligence for Web 3.0: A Comprehensive Survey

MENG SHEN\*, Beijing Institute of Technology, China  
 ZHEHUI TAN, Beijing Institute of Technology, China  
 DUSIT NIYATO, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore  
 YUZHUI LIU, Beijing Institute of Technology, China  
 JIAWEN KANG, Guangdong University of Technology, China  
 ZEHUI XIONG, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore  
 LIEHUANG ZHU, Beijing Institute of Technology, China  
 WEI WANG, Beijing Jiaotong University, China  
 XUEMIN (SHERMAN) SHEN, University of Waterloo, Canada

Web 3.0 is the new generation of the Internet that is reconstructed with distributed technology, which focuses on data ownership and value expression. Also, it operates under the principle that data and digital assets should be owned and controlled by users rather than large corporations. In this survey, we explore the current development state of Web 3.0 and the application of AI Technology in Web 3.0. Through investigating the existing applications and components of Web 3.0, we propose an architectural framework for Web 3.0 from the perspective of ecological application scenarios. We outline and divide the ecology of Web 3.0 into four layers. The main functions of each layer are data management, value circulation, ecological governance, and application scenarios. Our investigation delves into the major challenges and issues present in each of these layers. In this context, AI has shown its strong potential to solve existing problems of Web 3.0. We illustrate the crucial role of AI in the foundation and growth of Web 3.0. We begin by providing an overview of AI, including machine learning algorithms and deep learning techniques. Then, we thoroughly analyze the current state of AI technology applications in the four layers of Web 3.0 and offer some insights into its potential future development direction.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Web 3.0; Artificial intelligence; Blockchain; Computing network

## ACM Reference Format:

Meng Shen, Zhehui Tan, Dusit Niyato, Yuzhi Liu, Jiawen Kang, Zehui Xiong, Liehuang Zhu, Wei Wang, and Xuemin (Sherman) Shen. 2023. Artificial Intelligence for Web 3.0: A Comprehensive Survey. *J. ACM* 37, 4, Article 111 (August 2023), 35 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

Authors' addresses: Meng Shen, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China, shenmeng@bit.edu.cn; Zhehui Tan, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China, zehuitan@bit.edu.cn; Dusit Niyato, Nanyang Technological University, Jurong West, 639798, Singapore, niyato@ntu.edu.sg; Yuzhi Liu, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China, liuyuzhi@bit.edu.cn; Jiawen Kang, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006, China, kavinkang@gdut.edu.cn; Zehui Xiong, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Tampines, 487372, Singapore, zxiong002@e.ntu.edu.sg; Liehuang Zhu, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China, liehuangz@bit.edu.cn; Wei Wang, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, China, wangwei1@bjtu.edu.cn; Xuemin (Sherman) Shen, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada, sshen@uwaterloo.ca.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

© 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.

0004-5411/2023/8-ART111 \$15.00

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the concept of Web 3.0 has emerged as a response to the issues facing the current Internet landscape. These issues include the concentration of benefits among a select few, monopolization of platform resources, and loss of personal privacy. This new wave of innovation seeks to rebuild the Internet using technologies such as blockchain, cryptography, and other decentralized solutions. It aims to address the problem of data ownership and value expression on the Internet by utilizing distributed technology. This is expected to bring significant improvements to prospects in terms of technology, industry, and economy.

The concept of Web 3.0 was first proposed by Gavin Wood, co-founder of Ethereum in 2014. He believes that Web 3.0 is the blockchain-based Internet and the goal of Web 3.0 is to reduce the reliance on centralized institutions. With the enrichment of Web 3.0 concepts, Web 3.0 can reconstruct the contemporary Internet from two aspects. From the perspective of data ownership, Web 3.0 is not only a readable and writable network, but also enables users to own their data and assets [1]. From the perspective of data management, Web 3.0 is a new economic system that is jointly built and shared by users and builders [2].

Web 3.0 has seen significant growth and development, driven largely by the increasing interest in cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology. However, as the technology matures and evolves, it also faces several challenges to be addressed. Web 3.0 is currently facing major difficulties in terms of data supply, value circulation, and ecological governance.

- (1) In Web 3.0 scenario, data is diverse and plentiful, stored across a distributed network, making it more challenging to manage compared to traditional centralized databases.
- (2) In the value circulation system of Web 3.0, the user's identity system is complicated to use and is easily attacked by hackers. At the same time, the transaction efficiency and pricing accuracy of the circulation system needs to be further improved.
- (3) The Web 3.0 ecosystem is susceptible to various operational abnormalities, such as the spread of inappropriate content by users [3], leading to a deterioration of the ecosystem's overall quality. Considering the increased autonomy and anonymity of Web 3.0's users, it can be costly and resource-intensive to detect and address.

Driven by these problems, we look back at the establishment and development of Web 3.0. We then give a hierarchical architecture of the Web 3.0 ecology and summarize the current challenges faced by Web 3.0 in different layers. We find that the advancements in AI technology in recent years have provided new and powerful solutions to various obstacles in the development of Web 3.0. These solutions include utilizing AI for big data analysis [4], AI-generated content [5–7], and detecting and classifying various forms of content such as text and video [8]. These AI-powered technologies can be applied across different aspects and stages of Web 3.0, improving the overall functionality and user experience.

Although a comprehensive survey of Web 3.0 has not yet been conducted, there have been some reviews of some components and applications of Web 3.0. For example, some surveys investigate the metaverse, an important application of Web 3.0 [9–11], focusing on its integration with blockchain and virtual reality. Other surveys focus on digital assets [12], an important component of Web 3.0, and mainly on the architecture, consensus mechanism, privacy and security of cryptocurrency. There are also surveys of decentralized networks [13, 14] and decentralized identities [15]. Compared with the articles published on Web 3.0, we systematically introduce the development history of Web 3.0, the framework structure of Web 3.0 system, and the current application of AI in Web 3.0 ecology. The main contributions of this investigation are summarized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to conduct a survey of the existing literature on the use of AI in Web 3.0. Through our survey, we provide a comprehensive overview of the

Table 1: The differences between other existing works and our survey

Survey Paper	Year	Description
Wang <i>et al.</i> [9]	2022	Discussing the security and privacy threats to the Metaverse and the state-of-the-art countermeasures.
Yang <i>et al.</i> [10]	2022	Surveying how Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence (AI) fuse with the Metaverse.
Huynh-The <i>et al.</i> [11]	2023	Exploring the role of AI in the foundation and development of the Metaverse.
Mukhopadhyay <i>et al.</i> [12]	2016	Evaluating the strengths, weaknesses, and possible threats to the incentive mechanism of each crypto currency.
Zarrin <i>et al.</i> [13]	2020	Investigating two aspects in the decentralized Internet: consensus algorithms and other cutting-edge technology.
Yang <i>et al.</i> [14]	2019	Introducing the blockchain-based Internet with decentralized processing and traceable trustworthiness.
Gilani <i>et al.</i> [15]	2020	Providing the identity proofing and authentication solutions for different self-sovereign Identity solutions.
Our Survey	2023	Proposing a hierarchical architecture of the Web 3.0 ecology from a significant amount of concrete research and providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of AI in Web 3.0.

current state of AI in Web 3.0, including the types of AI algorithms that have been applied, the results and outcomes achieved by different studies, and the key technical challenges and limitations that have been encountered.

- We abstract the architecture of Web 3.0 from a great amount of concrete AI research, which presents an overview from four aspects: data management, value circulation, application scenarios, and ecological governance. We provide a comprehensive overview of the current research and challenges of the Web 3.0 ecosystem.
- We not only present an overview of the existing studies in the field of AI for Web 3.0 but also provide further insights into the defects of existing studies, and discuss in detail the future research challenges and directions on AI for Web 3.0, which provides readers with possible directions for developing innovative solutions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We commence with the evolution of Web technology and the classification of AI used in Web 3.0 in Section 2. We present the architectural layers of the Web 3.0 ecosystem we define and formulate a case to aid understanding in Section 3. In Section 4-7, we respectively introduce the issues and existing AI solutions in the four layers of Web 3.0: infrastructure, interface, management, and application. In Section 8, we introduce the technical challenges faced by Web 3.0, and prospects for the future research direction of Web 3.0 technology. In Section 9, we summarize the full literature.

## 2 BACKGROUND

In this section, we introduce the history of the World Wide Web. Since the World Wide Web was invented in 1989, it has experienced three generations, namely Web 1.0, Web 2.0, and Web 3.0. We summarize the development process and characteristics of these three generations and guide the logic behind the development of the World Wide Web. Then, we investigated the classification of AI technology and focused on what might be used in Web 3.0.

### 2.1 The Evolution of Web

**Web 1.0.** In 1989, Tim Berners Lee invented the World Wide Web at CERN in Switzerland, marking the beginning of the era of the Internet as an application. He then, with his team, realized the first website: <http://info.cern.ch/> in the next year [16]. In the following years, the important components that make up the web page were invented, including HTML [17], HTTP [18], Browser, etc. Web 1.0 implements the Internet application in the era of personal computers, but most users can only use access and search functions to obtain information, rather than edit content.

**Web 2.0.** In 2014, the first Web 2.0 conference was hosted by O'Reilly Media and MediaLive, at which the concept of web as platform was proposed [19]. In the same year, Mark Zuckerberg

founded Facebook. In the following years, companies in different fields, including Amazon, and Google were established, which enabled users to publish, comment, like, and upload content on the network. Web 2.0 realizes the network for users to edit content, making the network a huge social circle covering the whole world. However, it has spawned electronic giants, such as Facebook, and Google, causing serious privacy problems.

**The conception of Web 3.0.** The conception of Web 3.0 is proposed to address the limitations of the current centralized web. To solve the problems of privacy leakage and monopoly of large companies, Tim Berners-Lee propose a system called Solid [20], which is considered the prototype of Web 3.0 now. It is a decentralized platform for social applications, which ensures that the user's data is managed independently of the application accessing this data. Users store their data in pods, and the application needs to comply with certain protocols for access. At the same time, distributed authentication and access control mechanisms ensure data privacy. Users' data is no longer fragmented across different platforms. They can freely migrate between different platforms and determine the access rights of services to their data.

Later, Gavin Wood formalize the concept of Web 3.0, a system combining the World Wide Web with distributed technology, like blockchains and smart contracts [21]. It is generally accepted that the most important characteristic of Web 3.0 is decentralization [22]. In conclusion, we propose our definition of Web 3.0. It is the new generation of the Internet that is reconstructed with distributed technology, which focuses on data ownership and value expression. And it operates under the principle that data and digital assets should be owned and controlled by users rather than large corporations. The key features of Web 3.0 include decentralized, blockchain-based, privacy-protected, and AI-empowered.

## 2.2 The Categorization of AI in Web 3.0

The rapid development of AI technology in recent years has brought new solutions to many challenges encountered in the development of Web 3.0, such as Big Data analytics, AI-empowered content generation, and classification of video, text, and other content. The technologies can be used in various scenarios and links of Web 3.0, such as data management, value circulation, ecological governance, etc. We conduct a comprehensive survey of AI technology and focus on those with potential applications in Web 3.0. We evaluate the algorithms based on their model complexity. Based on this criterion, machine learning can be broadly categorized into two groups: traditional machine learning techniques and deep learning techniques. The main distinction between the two is the use of cascaded neural network layers in the algorithm.

1) *Traditional machine learning*: Traditional machine learning refers to the earlier methods and algorithms of machine learning that are based on statistical and mathematical principles, including Support Vector Machine(SVM), Decision Trees, K-Nearest Neighbors, and Naive Bayes. These methods require fewer computational resources and are easier to interpret.

*Support Vector Machine* [23] works by finding the best boundaries called hyperplanes to separate data into classes or predict output values. To handle non-linear relationships in the data, support vector machine uses kernel trick, which maps the input data into a higher dimensional space. Common kernel functions used in SVMs include linear kernels, polynomial kernels, Gaussian kernels, and sigmoid kernels. For example, SVM can be used to improve the security of the Web 3.0 identity management system by detecting user behavior and identifying malicious users [24].

*Naïve Bayes* [25] is a statistical learning algorithm. It is based on Bayes' theorem, which provides a way to calculate the probability of an event based on prior knowledge of the conditions likely to be associated with the event. The algorithm assumes that the features of a given data point are independent of each other. Naive Bayes can be used for data pricing [26].

*Decision tree* [27] works by building a tree model of decisions and their potential consequences. The tree consists of nodes representing tests on features and edges representing test results. The root node represents the first decision, internal nodes represent subsequent decisions, and leaf nodes represent the final prediction. The path from the root node to the leaf nodes represents a sequence of decisions based on the input feature values. Decision tree can be used to predict the price of digital assets [4].

*Random Forest* [28] is an ensemble learning algorithm that combines multiple decision trees to make predictions for classification and regression tasks in machine learning. The algorithm trains multiple decision trees on random subsets of the training data and combines their predictions through voting or averaging to produce a final prediction. Random forest algorithm can be used for network behavior perception [29] in Web 3.0.

2) *Deep learning techniques*: It is a subfield of machine learning that is inspired by the structure of the brain, specifically the neural networks. It involves training artificial neural networks, which are composed of layers of interconnected nodes or artificial neurons, on a large dataset. Each layer processes the input and passes it on to the next layer until the final output is produced. The layers between the input and output layers are known as the hidden layers.

*Convolutional neural network* [30] is a specific type of deep learning. It uses convolutional layers to learn local features and pooling layers to reduce spatial resolution. Also, CNNs have a relatively small number of parameters, making them efficient for tasks with scarce labeled data. CNN has a wide range of applications in Web 3.0, which can be used to transform the image style [31, 32], improve the blockchain incentive mechanism [33], and detect bad content [3, 34, 35].

*Recurrent Neural Networks* [36] is a type of neural network that specializes in processing sequential data, such as time series, text, and speech by maintaining a hidden state that allows the network to learn and maintain context from previous time steps. They are well-suited for tasks that require understanding the context of the input. There are also variants of RNNs such as LSTM and GRU that have been developed to further improve the ability of RNNs to handle long-term dependencies. RNN is used in Web 3.0 to generate content [7], predict the price of encrypted assets [37, 38], and detect unhealthy content [39].

*Graph Convolutional Network* [40] is a deep learning architecture designed for graph-structured data. In GCN, the nodes in the graph represent entities, and the edges represent the relationships between them. The core component of GCN is the graph convolution operation, which aggregates information from neighboring nodes to generate a new representation for the current node. GCN is widely used in the protection of privacy and security in Web 3.0, such as the transaction entity recognition [41], malicious transaction identification [42] and the perception of network behavior [43].

### 3 ARCHITECTURE OF WEB 3.0

In this section, we introduce a new Web 3.0 architecture from the perspective of application scenarios and ecosystems, as shown in Fig. 1. In the past, the framework of Web 3.0 was often from a technical perspective, such as the Web 3.0 technology stack proposed by Gavin Wood [21]. We will illustrate the rationale for dividing Web 3.0 into distinct layers and the function of each layer as well as the crucial role of AI within this context.

#### 3.1 The Hierarchical Architecture of Web 3.0

As illustrated in Fig. 1, Web 3.0 can be divided into four layers: infrastructure layer, interface layer, management layer, and application layer. The infrastructure layer primarily handles data management. The interface layer is responsible for mapping physical world data to the digital space. The management layer governs the ecosystem of Web 3.0. And the application layer is where actual




Fig. 1: The Hierarchical Architecture of Web 3.0

use cases for Web 3.0 are designed and implemented. In the following section, we will explain each layer in detail.

**Infrastructure layer.** It is responsible for collecting, storing, transmitting, and processing data. With the adoption of Web 3.0 technologies, which emphasize decentralization and co-governance [33, 44–46], the sources of data have been greatly expanded, including the use of terminal devices from the Internet of Things and real-time feedback from users. This data is transmitted to edge devices or nodes for analysis and may be stored using an on-chain or combination of on-chain and off-chain methods to ensure the transparency and effectiveness of the data. In the whole process, AI technology can be integrated into all aspects to optimize strategies, improve storage computing efficiency, improve system security, and protect privacy.

**Interface layer.** It serves as a connection between the physical world and the digital world, transforming data collected from the infrastructure layer into valuable digital assets. This layer also establishes a value circulation system to optimize the utilization of data and motivate user participation. The value of this data is determined by supply and demand, allowing users to benefit from their contributions. Unlike the Web 2.0 architecture, where private data is controlled by centralized institutions, Web 3.0 grants individuals ownership of their data, with decentralized identities responsible for protecting the privacy and access control. AI can assist in the decentralization of identity systems [24, 47] and the creation of a more intelligent data market [48], while also safeguarding the privacy of individuals [43, 49–51].

**Management layer.** It is responsible for the overall governance of the lower layers, including the implementation of incentives that encourage user participation [52–55] and the review of user-generated content [56]. In the case of a smart city, the management layer may correct or remove erroneous or outdated traffic information uploaded by users, and detect and defend against malicious traffic attacks on the system. AI can also assist in identifying abnormal trading behavior and detecting false or inappropriate information.

**Application layer.** It is the application system built upon the previous three layers. As an example, in the context of a smart city, this layer may include navigation apps that adjust routes in real time based on traffic conditions. Beyond the realm of smart cities, the application layer of Web 3.0 has seen significant progress in finance [57–59], medicine [60, 61], Metaverse [62, 63], and healthcare [64]. AI technology is also heavily integrated at this level, improving user experience, enhancing privacy protection, and increasing efficiency.

### 3.2 Case Study

In this section, we explore how a smart city project would function within the Web 3.0 scenario, providing a deeper understanding of the architecture of Web 3.0 that we design. Smart city is a city that uses technology and data to improve the quality of life for its citizens. One important function is using sensors and information technologies to monitor and optimize traffic flow. In the context of Web 3.0, This function will be implemented by the following steps.

The initial layer that plays a role is the infrastructure layer, which mainly deals with data collection, analysis, storage and other functions. To achieve real-time navigation route adjustments, it is imperative to gather current road condition information as the first step. There are two methods of obtaining this information: the first involves edge device sensors, such as cameras and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) [65], while the second method acquiring traffic information from users and drivers. After data is collected, it will be transmitted to computing nodes and the cloud for analysis.

The interface layer receives data from the infrastructure layer, and its major function is to add value to the raw data, ensuring that it can be utilized to its fullest potential at the most relevant locations, while also incentivizing the providers of such data, thus keeping them engaged over an extended period of time [52]. Once these data are mapped to the Web 3.0 system, their ownership will be secured by blockchain and data confirmation technologies. Subsequently, they will be priced and circulated in the digital asset market [48].

Then management layer will come into play, and due to the permissionless nature of Web 3.0, censorship of content will be crucial. The content review mechanism includes verifying the credibility and timeliness of transaction information, while also identifying any illegal material [56], such as pornography and violence, that may be uploaded by users.

Finally, the application layer is built on the above system. Based on the services and data provided by the lower layer, developers can realize a wide range of commercial applications. In the context of smart cities, there is navigation software that can adjust routes according to real-time traffic conditions and recommendation software that can provide local service (catering, entertainment, etc.) information according to user preferences.

## 4 INFRASTRUCTURE LAYER

The infrastructure layer of Web 3.0 is primarily responsible for data processing, which is like the foundation of a building. In Web 3.0, data is stored in a decentralized blockchain system and has various types, large quantities, and distributed storage, which is more difficult to manage than traditional databases, as shown in Fig. 2. Compared with the traditional centralized system, the Web 3.0 system has higher requirements for performance due to its transaction latency, and more evaluation indicators such as decentralization, scalability, and resource cost of blockchain-related

Table 2: Common abbreviations and explanations used in this paper

Abbreviation	Explanation	Abbreviation	Explanation	Abbreviation	Explanation
ADS	Authenticated Data Structure	FCN	Fully Convolutional Neural Network	NLP	Natural Language Processing
ANN	Artificial Neural Network	FL	Federated Learning	PUL	Positive and unlabeled learning
AoI	Age of Information	GA	genetic algorithm	QoS	Quality of Service
BI	Bayesian inference	GAN	Generative Adversarial Networks	RBFNN	radial basis function neural network
CLIP	Contrastive Language-Image Pre-Training	GBDT	Gradient boosting decision trees	RF	Random Forest
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network	GCN	Graph Convolutional Network	RL	Reinforcement Learning
CNNs	Capsule Neural Network	GNN	Graph Neural Network	RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph	HAN	Hierarchical Attention Network	SL	Supervised Learning
DBN	Deep Belief Network	HMM	Hidden Markov Model	SNN	Siamese neural network
DCNN	Deep Convolutional Neural Network	IoT	Internet of Things	SVD	Singular Value Decomposition
DDPG	Depth Deterministic Policy Gradient	LBF	Learning-based Bloom Filter	SVM	Support Vector Machine
DL	Deep Learning	LSTM	Long short-term memory	TPS	Transactions per Second
DNN	Deep Neural Network	MBP	Multi-armed bandit problem	UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
DQN	Deep Q-Network	MC	Markov Chain	VGGNet	Visual Geometry Group Network
DRFNet	Dilated Residual Feature Net	MDP	Markov Decision Process	WCN	Wireless Communication Network
DRL	Deep Reinforcement Learning	MEC	Mobile Edge Computing	WSN	Wireless Sensor Network

operations which require consideration. Therefore, a specific data management solution for Web 3.0 scenarios is needed.

#### 4.1 Data Collection

The data volume in Web 3.0 system is huge and requires many nodes and validation, which brings challenges for related studies. In Web 3.0, Internet of Things (IoT) devices play a crucial role as they provide reliable data support for web applications and services by integrating digital information between things. Therefore, Web 3.0 applications often require the support of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) [66], the Internet of vehicles[67], etc., in which Artificial Intelligence (AI) optimizes the behavior of peers to maximize the overall system performance. At the same time, for Web 3.0 problems such as scalability and edge intelligence, the policies of the system or each node can also be optimized by AI.

The data collected by Web 3.0 system has the characteristics of large quantity and diversity. Collection strategies should be adopted in the system to avoid unreasonable allocation of resources. AI can be used to optimize the data collection strategy, with deep reinforcement learning (DRL) being a common approach. Liu *et al.* [65] propose an efficient data collection and secure sharing scheme based on blockchain. A method based on distributed DRL is adapted to allow each mobile terminal to move to a certain location for data acquisition, maximizing the collecting rate. And according to the article, by introducing AI, energy consumption decreases from 64% to 78%.

The convergence of IoT and Web 3.0 provides security and reliability for data collection. IoT in Web 3.0 is typically blockchain-based, and there are extra factors to be considered (e.g., blockchain-related operations). The authors in [68] propose an adaptive linear prediction algorithm. In this work, the sensed value to be uploaded is predicted and by which the actual value is replaced, thus reducing the transmission overhead. After that, Tang *et al.* [66] use reinforcement learning to judge the optimal solution of each node under the PoS consensus mechanism. Different from traditional IoT, the optimal solution should be determined by taking the equity mechanism of blockchain into consideration, to achieve the global optimal solution under this mechanism.

#### 4.2 Data Storage

Data storage in Web 3.0 is decentralized and based on blockchain. Common blockchain systems have full nodes, which store the full blockchain, and light nodes, which store only the block






Fig. 2: A common data flow process in the infrastructure layer of Web 3.0

Table 3: Research of Infrastructure Layer Based on AI

Subjects	Refs	AI Methods	Specific Scenarios	Web 3.0 Tasks
Data Collection	[65]	DRL	Industrial IoT	Optimize collecting strategies using distributed DRL in blockchain systems
	[68]	Linear Model	UAV-assisted IoT	Develop prediction algorithm to save the overhead of transaction
	[66]	DRL	UAV-assisted IoT	Determine the optimal strategy of edge nodes under the PoS consensus
Data Storage	[69]	DRL	IoT	Determine the sharding strategy to adjust the system parameters
	[70]	DRL	IoT	Incorporate the degree of decentralization for DRL training
	[71]	Genetic Algorithm	Side chain	Determine the policy of generating the side chain
	[72]	FL	IoT	Enable edge intelligence for decentralized systems
Data Transmission	[73]	Multi-agent RL	WCN	Determine the distributed data transport policy
	[74]	DDQN	IoT	Derive an optimal transaction transport strategy for secondary users
	[75]	FNCF	IoT	Predict reliable nodes for data transmission in decentralized systems
Data Computation	[76]	DRL	Collaborative computing	Determine blockchain sharding policy for the allocation of computing resources
	[77]	DRL	MEC	Create incentives using ML techniques for task offloading
	[78]	SVM,DNN	Verifiable searching	Construct high-performanced ADS for verifiable query in blockchain
	[79]	FL	Decentralized FL	Optimize the resource allocation between training and mining

headers. In order to improve the scalability of Web 3.0 storage system, recent studies have proposed operation modes for Web 3.0 such as collaborative storage and blockchain sharding, in which AI can participate in the formulation of storage strategies. Due to the high requirements of Web 3.0 for system throughput, the storage strategy has become an important aspect, such as block generation strategy, sharding strategy, chain update strategy, etc, which provide solutions to the scalability problem, as shown in Fig. 3.

**Determining the generation strategy of storage structure.** According to the blockchain trilemma, it is impossible for any blockchain system to take into account the following three points:

scalability, decentralization and security. So the problem of optimization is an issue worth studying. The authors in [69] find the sharding strategy of the blockchain and the optimal parameters of the system according to the network state through Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL), and adaptively optimize the system throughput and security level. Also for formulating block generation policies, Bai *et al.* [70] solve the problem of quantification of the degree of decentralization of blockchain, providing conditions for system optimization. On this basis, a system optimization model based on DRL is proposed to dynamically adjust the system parameters.

Additionally, side chain is also a common solution for scalability issues and AI can help optimize the construction and update of the side chain. For example, Vairagade *et al.* [71] use the mixed delegation practical Byzantine fault-tolerant-delegated proof of equity to update the side chain, and the continuous network information analysis is used to improve the Quality of Service (QoS). Using the modified genetic algorithm, this work optimizes the construction of side chain and solves the problem to a certain extent that assets can be stolen by malicious nodes.




Fig. 3: The Role of AI in Solving the Scalability Problem

**Developing the edge storage strategies.** Recent research has proposed the concept of Web 3.0 collaborative storage, in which nodes with more resources can offload part of their data to nodes with fewer resources to collaboratively use their storage resources. The data offloading technology in edge computing provides an idea for this scenario, and the technology can be transferred to this scenario. For example, Wang *et al.* [80] propose a task offloading method based on meta-reinforcement learning, which can quickly adapt to the new environment of a small number of gradient updates and samples. Meanwhile, mobile applications are modeled as Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAG) based on dependency. The offloading strategy is implemented by the custom sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) neural network.

As a common infrastructure for Web 3.0, IoT systems need to cache files to edge nodes to meet the requirements of large throughput. Cui *et al.* [72] propose a system combining IoT devices, edge nodes, and blockchain. They design an algorithm that applies the federated learning compression

algorithm to the content cache to predict cached files. Each edge node uses local data to train a model, predicting popular files and improving the cache hit ratio.

As the explosion of on-chain recorded contents and the fast-growing number of users cause increasingly unaffordable resource consumption in computing and storage in Web 3.0, Lin *et al.* [81] propose a unified blockchain-semantic ecosystems framework, which can convey precisely the desired meanings without consuming many resources. To achieve this, the framework utilizes dynamic sharding mechanisms to classify the same semantic demands. The dynamic sharding mechanism used in the proposed framework is adaptive and based on deep reinforcement learning (DRL), which can adjust the number of shards and their sizes based on the current workload and semantic demand patterns. By doing so, the framework can improve interaction efficiency given varied semantic demands.

### 4.3 Data Transmission

Web 3.0 network is a peer-to-peer structure, which is decentralized that relies on user groups to exchange information. For data transmission in the network structure of Web 3.0, AI can help solve the problem of path optimization of data transmission and formulate transmission strategies.

**Developing the transmission strategy.** AI can be used to determine the transmission strategy optimization model, which helps design routing algorithms or identify the traffic changes in the network environment to dynamically adjust the data transmission volume. IoT requires better communication networks for data transfer between heterogeneous devices and an optimally Wireless Sensor Network (WSN)[82]. In Web 3.0 system, edge nodes tend to use distributed data transmission strategy [83]. Collin *et al.* [73] study transmission control in distributed wireless communication networks from the perspective of multi-agent reinforcement learning. Each node acts as an independent reinforcement learning agent without knowledge of actions taken by other agents. Considering the special uncertainty in Web 3.0 scenario, Luong *et al.* [74] use blockchain and mining pools to support IoT services based on cognitive radio networks. A deep reinforcement learning algorithm is proposed to derive the optimal transaction transport strategy for secondary users. Also, the Double Deep Q Network (DDQN) is used, which allows secondary users to learn the optimal strategy.

**Enhancing the security of data transmission.** From the view of data transmission, data availability is an important aspect. Typically, the edge devices need to connect to a reliable Web 3.0 node for efficient data synchronization as they complete data collection. Therefore, the reliability prediction is needed, in which AI can be used. For instance, Zheng *et al.* [84] develop a framework using ML methods to predict reliable peers in blockchain systems. Then, considering the privacy issues, Xu *et al.* [75] propose a personalized reliability prediction model for privacy protection through Federated Learning Neural Collaborative Filtering (FNCF) in the Internet of Things. This method allows users to protect user privacy without passing data to third parties and provides users with personalized predictions.

### 4.4 Data Computation

Web 3.0 uses distributed computing, eliminating the need for a central authority to manage and allocate resources. Resources are aggregated and optimized by the network itself, thus improving the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of resource use. Web 3.0 obtains computing capability by connecting with cloud servers, which also causes a series of challenges, such as system scalability, efficiency of computing resource integration, and privacy issues of user data. Web 3.0 data computing covers a wide range of fields, including common computing scenarios like FL, migration learning, computing resource allocation, and related operations on the database, such as data retrieval.

ML is a common data computing scenario in Web 3.0. In Web 3.0 system, distributed ML is a more common mode, which solves the problem that traditional centralized FL is susceptible to single point of failure and external attacks. Lu *et al.* [85] propose a distributed federated learning framework that considers resource consumption, adopting DRL-based algorithms to optimize the solution. After that, aiming at the problem that previous work does not consider the mining overhead, Li *et al.* [79] model the time of training and mining in the blockchain, and propose an optimized scheme for ML computing resource allocation.

Blockchain sharding is the solution to the scalability problem. However, the current throughput of sharded blockchains remains limited in terms of a high proportion of Cross-Shard Transactions (CSTs). Yang *et al.* [76] propose a cluster-based sharding strategy for collaborative computing of the IoT, in which the sharding is based on the user grouping of K-means clustering and the allocation of consensus nodes. Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) is combined to train the adjustment of consensus parameters to form the Markov Decision Process (MDP).

As another common scenario of data computation in decentralized systems, Collaborative Edge Computing (CEC) transfers tasks from busy edge servers to idle servers. Yet different MEC service providers have no incentive to help others. He *et al.* [77] propose a collaborative mechanism whereby idle computing systems can obtain additional profits by sharing idle computing resources. They describe the social welfare maximization problem as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) and break it down into the allocation and execution of unloading tasks.

Verifiability is the key advantage of Web 3.0 from the point of data retrieval. In the Web 3.0 verifiable query scenario, users need to verify the correctness and completeness of query results. To ensure the completeness of boolean queries, bloom filter may be required as a tool for constructing proof of the nonexistence of data elements. Dai *et al.* [78] propose a learning-based Bloom Filter (LBF), in which different machine learning models are used to construct multiple LBF, and the LBF with the lowest false positive rate is selected.

#### 4.5 Summary and Lessons Learned

The problems in the infrastructure layer can be summarized in two points, namely the scalability problem and the security problem. In the scalability problem the main role of AI is optimization, with RL being a common approach. In the security problem, the main role of AI is detection and prediction. AI technology can make Web 3.0 system intelligent, and significantly improve the efficiency of Web 3.0 data management in various scenarios. We have summarized the references mentioned in this chapter in Table 3. However, despite the work that have been done, the scalability problem and security challenges still exist in Web 3.0, which are the inherent challenges of decentralization. The current research does not completely solve these problems, and further optimization is needed.

### 5 INTERFACE LAYER

The second layer of Web 3.0 is the interface layer, which serves as a bridge between the physical and digital worlds, as shown in Fig. 4. This layer consists of two components: digital identity and digital assets. In Web 2.0, each person's digital identity on different platforms is fragmented and interoperable. At the same time, users do not have their own identities and affiliated data assets, which are monopolized by large companies. In order to solve these problems, Web 3.0 adopts a decentralized identity scheme, which ensures the user's ownership of their identity and data by storing identities on a distributed system (such as blockchain). Digital assets refer to valuable goods in the virtual world, including data generated by user behavior, property rights and securities that users map from the physical world to the digital world. The introduction of digital assets means that an endogenous new equity trading market has been established in Web 3.0. This platform provides users with a value circulation system for creating, pricing, trading and consuming digital




Fig. 4: A common mapping model from the physical world to the digital world in the interface layer

assets. Through this value circulation system, digital assets can be utilized to their fullest potential by the people who need them most, and the providers can also obtain corresponding value returns. As a result, This digital property economy will completely change the development mode of the digital economy.

### 5.1 Digital ID

Digital identity allows individuals to verify their identity and access online services in the digital environment. It is the mapping of human’s real identity in the physical world to the virtual world. The decentralized identity scheme is adopted in Web 3.0. At present, there are mainly two ways to realize decentralized identity: W3C DID and Ethereum NFT. On the one hand, the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) has developed a set of decentralized identifier (DID) standards and protocols for creating, managing, and using DIDs [86]. It includes guidelines for creating and managing DIDs, and rules for using DIDs to represent and verify identity information in a decentralized manner. It can be used not only for people, but also for anything, including cars, machines, and even algorithms. On the other hand, NFT can also be used as an expression of digital identity on the chain. Vitalik [87] demonstrate how to use the soul binding token (SBT) to code the trusted network in the economy and establish the reputation. These tokens represent commitments, vouchers, and affiliations of individuals or entities and are non-transferable.

Although the decentralized identity system has a bright future, it is currently facing challenges in identity authentication. One problem is that the system may be very complex for users and need to manage complex private keys, which may be stolen or lost. However, artificial intelligence (AI) technology can help solve these challenges by assisting with biometric authentication and behavioral authentication. By using AI in these areas, the threshold of using a decentralized identity system can be lowered, and the risk of key loss and identity theft can be reduced.

Biometrics authentication is less prone to forgetfulness compared to knowledge-based authentication and is difficult to lose compared to token-based authentication [88]. Fingerprint recognition is commonly used in practice, with one typical example being the work of Svoboda *et al.* They use generative convolutional networks to denoise visible details and predict missing parts of ridge patterns. Iris recognition is generally considered to be a secure method of biometric identification

because the iris is an internal body part that is protected by the eyelid. Wang *et al.* [89] implement the use of dilated convolutional kernels and residual learning in their deep learning framework. This method not only improves the accuracy in iris matching but also simplifies the network structure.

However, biometric authentication has been criticized because it is vulnerable to attacks that happen after the initial authentication, while behavior recognition can provide continuous recognition. Screen touch gestures are a typical way to be used in behavior recognition. Debard *et al.* [90] propose a method that utilizes deep neural networks features a dynamic sampling and temporal normalization component. Their approach can be adapted to different gestures, user styles and hardware variations. Mahbub *et al.* [47] innovatively uses the user's habit of using the application to implement identification. They collect data from participants using smartphones, including information on device location, install, remove, or update applications, and the currently running foreground application, to implement identity authentication.

Some methods have been used in some virtual systems to achieve accurate identification and access control in the virtual world. Bader *et al.* [91] use a combination of 3D tools and the Unreal Engine, a comprehensive collection of tools for creating 3D games and virtual spaces, to create a virtual world. To control access to this virtual world, they developed a centralized biometric authentication module using fingerprint technology. However, this method can not match the real identity with the virtual identity. Yampolskiy *et al.* [24] propose a set of algorithms for accurately verifying and recognizing avatar faces for use in authenticating avatars within virtual worlds and for tracking a person between the real and virtual worlds in inter-reality scenarios.

## 5.2 Digital Asset

Web 3.0 is a new generation of the Internet that focuses on the circulation of value. The digital asset is its core object. Web 3.0 uses algorithms to create and distribute these assets, enabling the flow of value at a minimal cost. By utilizing blockchain technology and smart contracts, Web 3.0 allows users to create, own, and trade digital property rights on the Internet and gives users personal data ownership and the right to participate in the governance of Internet platforms and applications.

There are two main types of digital assets in Web 3.0, one is the assets owned or controlled by individuals and enterprises in the form of electronic data, including digital intellectual property rights, emerging cryptocurrencies, and real-world physical assets mapped to digital assets like cars, real estate, and lands. The second category is data assets, which are mainly a series of behavioral data generated by the operation of users in the digital world. It can directly or indirectly create economic and social benefits. Web 3.0 provides a value circulation system to help digital assets circulate freely and maximize their value where they are most needed. We divide the entire life cycle of digital assets into two stages, namely the generation of digital assets and the transaction circulation of digital assets. AI technologies all play a huge role throughout the lifecycle of digital assets. Each of these will be described below.

**The generation of digital assets.** The generation of digital assets includes the mapping from the physical world to the virtual space and the generation of native virtual assets. Two technologies used for this process are Digital Twin and AIGC. Digital twin technology can be used in Web 3.0 to build smart cities, virtual avatars, and virtual world infrastructure [99]. AI technology can help improve the efficiency and accuracy of digital twins. At present, the original digital assets are mainly digital collections and NFT, and the forms are pictures, texts, music, etc. The traditional generation method is costly and inefficient. AIGC (AI Generated Content) can help creators to try out the inspirational scheme more efficiently and directly in the early stage, and it saves manpower to complete the details in the later stage.

Digital twin is a method of generating digital assets, which refers to mapping objects in the physical world to the digital world. Digital twin can help build users' digital avatars in the virtual

Table 4: Research of Digital Asset Based on AI

Subject	Ref.	AI Methods	Specific Scenarios	Web 3.0 Task	
Generate digital assets through digital twin	[92]	CNN	Digital avatar	Generating High-Fidelity 3D Avatar from a Single Image	
	[93]	DNN	Digital city	Transforming 3D spatial data and city models to a virtual world	
	[94]	SVD DNN	Digital avatar	Formalize personality as digital twin models by observing users' posting content	
Generate digital assets through AIGC	[31]	CNN	Style transfer	Produce a rather psychedelic and hallucinatory stylistic effect	
	[32]	CNN		Rendering the semantic content of an image in different styles	
	[95]	GAN	Generate content	Stochastic variation in the generated images	
	[96]	GAN		Ggenerate images from text descriptions	
	[5]	CLIP		Maximize the similarity between real image-text pairs	
	[6]	VAE		generate texts with better discourse structure and narrative flow	
	[7]	LSTM		Solve the gradient disappearance and gradient explosion in text generation	
The Circulation of Digital Assets	[97]	MBP	Data Pricing	Price of privacy in Personal data market	
	[26]	BI		Pricing when few data points are available	
	[98]	MBP		Decide on prices with incomplete demand information	
		[48]	DNN	Transaction Matching	A market mechanism to price training data and match buyers to sellers
		[37]	LSTM	Assets Pricing	High-performance model Prediction in the case of insufficient data samples
		[4]	GBDT		Comparison of trading strategies based on different neural network methods
		[38]	LSTM		Price prediction using user behavior data




Fig. 5: The method proposed in [92]. During training, a shape regression neural network is used on photo-realistic synthetic facial images. During testing, a low polygon count shape model with a UV diffuse map generated from the projected texture.

world. Wang *et al.* [92] develop a method for creating high-quality 3D face avatars with detailed texture maps from a single 2D image. To create more lifelike and engaging digital avatars, we should focus on not just physical characteristics, but also on developing unique personalities and preferences. Sun *et al.* [94] propose a method for creating digital twin models of personalities by analyzing a user’s posting content and liking behavior. Digital twins enable the creation of a virtual replica of real-world cities. Schrotter *et al.* [93] develop a digital twin of the city of Zurich and create a virtual representation of the city by converting 3D spatial data and city models, such as buildings, bridges, and vegetation, into a virtual environment. They use machine learning techniques to predict the urban climate of the city based on current weather and air quality data.

AIGC is another method of generating digital assets. It can be utilized as a tool for creating variations of images by altering their style. The first approach to receive significant attention in this field is DeepDream [31], a pioneering method developed by Mordvintsev. It can create a distinctive, psychedelic visual style, leading to its use as a form of digital art. The separation between content and style is one of the most iconic milestones in the field of style transfer. Gatys *et al.* [32] first propose this idea. Their algorithm can manipulate natural images by separating and recombining

their content and style. With this algorithm, users can generate new, high-quality images that incorporate the content of any photograph with the style of various famous artworks.

One of the most significant technological advancements driving the current AI art movement is the use of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs). The use of GANs has resulted in the generation of realistic, vivid images for various types of content, such as StyleGAN [95] and BigGAN [100]. Most GAN models will only learn how to generate images that look like art that already exists, and in a similar way to the NST method, this will not produce anything truly artistic or novel.

However, significant advancements have been made in the field of image generation from text recently. Radford *et al.* [5] introduce the CLIP model, which is a pre-trained model that has been trained on a large number of image-text pairs from the Internet using contrastive learning. This means that it maximizes the similarity between real image-text pairs and minimizes the similarity between mispairs. In January 2021, based on the CLIP model, DALL-E [96] is proposed by OpenAI, which is a 12 billion parameter neural network-based image generation system developed by OpenAI. It is trained on a dataset of text-image pairs and can generate images from textual descriptions. DALL-E can generate a wide range of images, from photorealistic to highly stylized, and can even generate images of objects and scenes that do not exist in the real world.

AI technology has also made breakthroughs in other forms of content generation in recent years, such as natural language generation (NLG). With the rapid development of the deep learning neural network, the current NLG models are mainly based on deep learning neural networks and utilize a vast corpus of human-written text. Graves *et al.* [101] use recursive neural networks. However, RNN has the problem of gradient explosion and gradient disappearance. To overcome these challenges, Pavade *et al.* [7] propose a text generation model based on LSTM. Another option is GRU, which is another extension of the standard RNN and is simpler than LSTM. Many deep generation architectures use GRU to generate text [102]. After that, the wide application of encoder-decoder architecture opened a new chapter. Although the sequence-to-sequence model is originally developed for machine translation, it soon proved that it could improve the performance of NLG tasks. Bowman *et al.* [103] propose an attempt at the VAE (Variational AutoEncoder) text generation model. They use recurrent neural networks to capture the general characteristics of sentences in continuous variables, such as theme and style. Later, Dathathri *et al.* [6] used VAE to learn and generate texts with better discourse structure and narrative flow.

Recently, ChatGPT [104] has achieved great success in large-scale natural language processing models, which is a typical application of Pretrained Foundation Models (PFMs). ChatGPT is fine-tuned by the Generation Pre-training Transformer model GPT-3.5. It applies convolution and recursion modules to feature extraction based on PFMs and uses autoregressive paradigms to train on large data sets mixed with text and code. It also innovatively combines reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF). Due to its exceptional performance, ChatGPT has become a milestone in Natural Language Generation (NLG) and moves towards artificial general intelligence.

**The pricing of digital assets.** The second stage of the life cycle of digital assets involves the pricing and exchange of these assets. AI technology is being used to create sophisticated and accurate models of digital asset prices and develop algorithms that match buyers and sellers. The increasing value of personal data in the era of big data has brought about a significant conflict between the exploitation of this data and the protection of individual privacy. One potential solution to this issue is the development of a personal data market, but determining the appropriate price for an individual's privacy remains a challenging problem. Bauer *et al.* [26] suggest that data pricing can be effectively determined using a combination of kernel regression and Bayesian inference, along with a confidence interval estimation algorithm based on the Bootstrap method. This approach is suitable for use with sparse and noisy data. However, this method is not suitable for the scenario of rapid demand change and high price sensitivity.



In a price-sensitive scenario, Xu *et al.* [97] propose another method that data pricing can be approached as a reinforcement learning problem for multi-armed bandit machines. However, this method faces a unique challenge with incomplete demand information. To solve this problem, Misra *et al.* [98] propose a dynamic price experimentation policy based on the extension of multiarmed bandit algorithms with microeconomic choice theory. The proposed approach uses a scalable, distribution-free algorithm to solve the resulting multiarmed bandit problem. Since the data is freely replicable, the current conventional market model is not feasible for data transactions. Agarwal *et al.* [48] propose a new data marketplace for efficiently buying and selling training data for machine learning tasks. They make two technical contributions to this marketplace: a new concept of fairness for cooperative games involving easily replicable goods, and a mechanism for auctioning combinatorial goods that is truthful and regret-free, using Myerson's payment function and the Multiplicative Weights Algorithm.

When it comes to pricing narrowly defined assets in the Web 3.0 world, there is a lot of relevant AI-based research. Zhao *et al.* [37] present a deep learning framework based on Long Short-term Memory Networks (LSTM) to predict short-term price movements of all cryptocurrencies. While the models presented in this study can accurately predict the movement of Bitcoin prices, they do not provide information on the extent of the price movement. To evaluate the performance of different existing neural network-based trading strategies, Alessandretti *et al.* [4] examine the effectiveness of three models in predicting daily cryptocurrency prices for more than 1000 currencies. Two models employed gradient-boosting decision trees, while the third utilized long short-term memory (LSTM) recurrent neural networks. The results revealed that all three models outperformed a baseline model using simple moving averages, with the LSTM model consistently yielding the highest return on investment.

However, those cryptocurrency price prediction methods rely on the use of past price indexes to forecast future prices and do not take into account the volatile behavior of network entities that may indirectly impact the price. Saad *et al.* [38] explore features in the Bitcoin and Ethereum networks that contribute to price increases. They analyze user and network activity that has a significant impact on the prices of these cryptocurrencies and use machine learning methods to build models that predict prices.

### 5.3 Summary and Lessons Learned

In subsection entitled "The generation of digital assets.", we introduce papers mainly including some milestones in the field of generative AI and practical applications of digital twins (DT) in creating digital counterparts of physical objects. While many scholars have described Web 2.0 as a network of user-generated content (UGC), we consider Web 3.0 as a network of both UGC and AI-generated content (AIGC). Web 3.0 is not only a mapping of the real world but also needs a wealth of background information, where some approaches [96, 104] can play an important role. This is how the two methods DT and AIGC. DT is responsible for displaying the mapping of the real world, and AIGC is responsible for expanding the information in a broad sense.

Web 3.0 is also a value Internet where users can create, trade and consume digital assets in Web 3.0. In the first subsection, we focus on the generation of digital assets. In the second subsection, seven related papers are introduced, which cover the pricing of data [26, 97, 98], the trading mechanism of data market [48], and the pricing and trading of encrypted assets [4, 38, 105]. The objective of presenting these papers is essentially to explore one question how to establish an efficient value circulation system to maximize the use of data, assets, and other means of production.

Table 5: Research of Incentive Mechanism on AI

Subjects	Refs.	AI Methods	Scenario-oriented	Web 3.0 Tasks
Blockchain Consensus Mechanism	[33]	CNN	Blockchain	An AI-based super nodes selection algorithm in blockchain networks
	[45]	DL	Blockchain	Consensus Mechanism Based on Machine Learning Competitions
	[46]	SL	Blockchain-based IoT networks	An Outlier-Aware Consensus Protocol
	[106]	FL	Sustainable Blockchains	A Platform-Free Proof of FL Consensus Mechanism
Federated Learning	[107]	DRL and GNN	Wireless Federated Learning	Toward an Automated Auction Framework for Wireless FL Services Market
	[108]	DRL	Edge ML	An Incentive Mechanism Design based DRL for Efficient Edge Learning
	[109]	DRL	/	A Learning-Based Incentive Mechanism for Federated Learning
	[110]	Clustering	BFL	A flexible and Incentive Redesign for BFL

## 6 MANAGEMENT LAYER

The management layer is mainly composed of the services that maintain the Web 3.0 ecosystem, including incentive mechanisms, content management, and situational awareness. The users and infrastructure in Web 3.0 ecosystem generate vast amounts of data during their daily operations, and monitoring and analyzing this data is crucial to maintaining the Web 3.0 ecosystem. In this process, AI can improve the efficiency of data analysis and provide timely and positive feedback to the community when anomalies occur in the ecosystem. In the following, a brief overview of the AI technologies that support the management of the Web 3.0 ecosystem is given.

### 6.1 Incentive Mechanism

The Web 3.0 ecosystem needs to encourage users to participate in community affairs, such as encouraging users to participate in community governance, community decision-making, encouraging blockchain node consensus, node computing, and so on. Incentive mechanisms involve many aspects. According to the knowledge scenario, we focus on the incentive of blockchain consensus mechanism and federated learning scenario. As shown in the Fig. 6, it is a scenario diagram of a common incentive mechanism.




Fig. 6: A typical AI-assisted incentive mechanism structure in the management layer

**Blockchain consensus mechanism.** The consensus mechanism is an incentive mechanism to encourage nodes to calculate and reach data consensus. Chen *et al.* [33] propose a novel node selection algorithm based on AI technology, which uses almost complementary information of each node and relies on a specially designed convolutional neural network to reach a consensus. To ensure the decentralization and security of the network, the dynamic threshold method is used to obtain super nodes and random nodes.

To reduce the computational waste involved in hash-based problems, several papers discuss the possible solutions that miners' computing power will be used for relatively useful work, such as solving machine learning tasks. Bravo *et al.* [45] introduce WekaCoin, which is a point-to-point cryptocurrency based on a new distributed consensus protocol called Proof-of-Learning (POLE). Proof-of-Learning realizes distributed consensus by ranking machine learning systems for a given task. Miners' computing ability can also be used to solve deep learning training tasks, such as Proof of Federated Learning [106]. To reach a secure and robust consensus in the blockchain-based IoT networks, Salimitari *et al.* [46] use machine learning to propose a new framework. They introduce an AI-enabled blockchain (AIBC) with a 2-step consensus protocol, which uses an outlier detection algorithm to reach consensus in the IoT network implemented on the hyper ledger fabric platform.

**Federated learning.** Incentive mechanism is the key design element of the new federated learning system, because: (i) participating in the federated learning will lead to the consumption of computing resources, use of network bandwidth and shorten the battery life of customers, and enough rewards can encourage them to tolerate these costs and make contributions; (ii) The worker thread in the federated learning is independent, and only its owner can determine when, where and how to participate in the federated learning. Through different incentive mechanisms, customers will implement different training strategies, which will affect the performance of the final machine learning model. In the federated learning system, the incentive mechanism has two main challenges: (i) how to evaluate the contribution of each customer, and (ii) how to recruit and retain more customers. AI technology can help solve these two challenges.

For trading federated learning services in wireless environments to encourage data owners to participate in federal learning, Jiao *et al.* [107] novelly develop an automated deep reinforcement learning-based auction mechanism which is integrated with the Graph Neural Network (GNN). The proposed auction mechanisms can help the FL platform make practical trading strategies to efficiently coordinate data owners to invest their data and computing resources in federated learning while optimizing the social welfare of the FL services market. In Edge Learning, the existing work mainly focuses on the design of efficient learning algorithms, and few works focus on the design of incentive mechanisms with heterogeneous edge nodes (ENs) and network bandwidth uncertainty. Zhan *et al.* [108] propose an edge learning incentive mechanism based on Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL), which can effectively learn the optimal pricing strategy of aggregators without knowing any prior information of ENs in dynamic networks.

In Federated Learning (FL), it is important to measure the contribution of each federal participant fairly and accurately [110, 111]. Such quantification provides a reasonable metric for allocating rewards among federated clients and helps to find malicious participants who may poison the global model. The previous contribution measurement method is based on the enumeration of possible combinations of federated participants. Their calculation cost increases sharply with the increase in the number of participants or feature dimensions, making them unsuitable for the actual situation. Zhao *et al.* [111] propose an integrated contribution evaluation method F-RCCE based on reinforcement learning, which can accurately evaluate the contribution of each customer's gradient. As the number of clients increases, its time cost almost remains the same.

Table 6: Research of Content Management on AI

Subjects	Refs.	AI Methods	Web 3.0 Tasks	Limits
Bad content detection	[8]	GAN	Movie review spam detection	Poor generality: set feature words manually
	[56]	CNN	Pornography image detection	Model depends on training dataset
	[112]	DL		Not applicable to pictures with low resolution
	[3]	CNN	Child sex abuse detection.	The age group detection technology is not mature
Deepfake detection	[113]	CNN	Detect the deepfake image and video	Compression level and resolution are ignored
	[114]	CNN and CL	Detect the deepfake image	The model ignores low-quality data
	[115]	CNN and SNN	Detect the deepfake video	Not applicable to multi-person video
	[116]	CNN		Poor versatility and low quality video data is ignored

## 6.2 Content Management

The Web 3.0 ecosystem encourages users to create content. Users can create their articles, pictures, and videos. However, malicious content will have a serious impact on the Web 3.0 ecosystem, and AI plays an important role in the content management of the Web 3.0 ecosystem. We divide the bad content of Web 3.0 into two categories: bad content (real) and deepfake content (fake).

**Bad content detection.** We divide bad content into bad text, bad image, and bad video according to the form of content. AI technology can provide great help in bad content detection. Bad text detection includes junk comments detection, online cyberbullying detection, etc. Junk comments will mislead users and affect their trust in online comments. Many papers use methods based on AI technology to detect junk comments [8, 117]. Jian *et al.* [117] propose a multimodal fake review detection model BAM (BERT + Attention + MLP), which uses neural networks as well as multimodal fusion technology to realize the recognition of fake reviews.

In terms of bad image detection, we focus on pornography detection and child sexual abuse detection. For pornography detection, Moreira *et al.* [56] contribute a pornographic dataset (PEDA 376K) and propose a deep learning architecture for training on this dataset, which has excellent performance. For detecting nudity and semi-nudity contents, Pandey *et al.* [112] propose a deep learning solution ensemble containing MobileNetV3 classifier and SSD with MobileNetV3 feature extractor. SSD detects unsafe body parts while also providing a human-localized portion. To solve the Child Sexual Abuse (CSA) problem, Gangwar *et al.* [3] propose a deep CNN architecture with a novel attention mechanism and metric learning, denoted as AttM-CNN. For violence detection in the video, Wu *et al.* [118] first release a large-scale multi-scene dataset called XD Violence and propose a neural network with three parallel branches to capture different relationships between video clips and integrate features.

**Deepfake detection.** Deepfake content mainly includes images and videos. Artificial intelligence technology plays an important role in deepfake detection. The first category is deepfake image detection. An effective solution is to use image inconsistency to detect deepfake images. Li *et al.* [113] creatively propose a new Patch and Pair Convolutional Neural Network (PPCNN) architecture to detect deepfakes. They construct a dual-branch learning framework, which is the first to learn the difference between real and false face patches and the second to capture the inconsistency between the facial and the nonfacial region. The results of the two branches are combined when making a global decision. Zhao *et al.* [114] propose a new novel representation learning approach, called Pair-wise Aelf-consistency Learning (PCL) to detect deepfake images using the cue of the source feature inconsistency within the forged image.




Fig. 7: Technology roadmap of deepfake video detection. Utilizing the inconsistency information between adjacent frames to detect deepfake video [116].

The second category is deepfake video detection. Audiovisual joint detection is a popular method of deepfake video detection. Mittal *et al.* [115] propose a new method to detect any forgery or change in the input video using audio (speech) and video (face) modes and the perceptible emotional features extracted from these two modes. To simulate such multimodal features and perceived emotions, the learning method uses a Siamese network-based architecture.

Another popular way to detect deepfake video is by utilizing the inconsistency information between adjacent frames [116, 119]. Hu *et al.* [116] propose a new Dynamic Inconsistency-aware Network (DIANet) for DeepFake video detection by utilizing the inconsistency information between adjacent frames. As shown in Fig. 7, DIANet consists of three modules: Feature Extraction Module, Cross-Reference Module (CRM), and Classification Network. DIANet takes a pair of frames as input and obtains their feature representation through the Feature Extraction module. Then the proposed CRM is used to capture the global and local inconsistencies between adjacent frames. Finally, the global and local inter-frame inconsistencies are combined and sent to the classification network. The model generalizes well on videos of low quality and unseen manipulation techniques.

At present, most of the advanced algorithms are trained to detect specific fake methods. Therefore, these methods show poor generalization in different types of face operations from face swapping to facial reenactment. Cozzolino *et al.* [120] propose a new method that is introduced to learn the temporal facial features of how a person moves when talking through measurement learning combined with antagonistic training strategies. The advantage is that only real video training is required. In addition, the use of advanced semantic features makes it robust to extensive and destructive post-processing forms.

### 6.3 Situation Awareness

The trading of digital assets in Web 3.0 depends on the safe and stable cyberspace security environment, so situation awareness of network security is essential. Artificial intelligence plays a very important role in network situation awareness, which is mainly reflected in three aspects: transaction entity recognition, malicious transaction identification and network behavior recognition.

**Transaction entity Recognition.** Users in Web 3.0 ecosystem trade digital assets anonymously, and transaction entity identification aims to determine which addresses belong to the same entity from the vast amount of transaction records. Due to the lack of labeled datasets, unsupervised learning methods can effectively assist researchers in identifying user entities.

Table 7: Research of Situation Awareness on AI

Subjects	Refs.	AI Methods	Utilized Feature	Web3.0 Tasks
Transaction entity recognition	[121]	Clustering	Transaction inputs, amount and time	Transaction address association analysis
	[41]	GCN	Relationship between account and contract	Transaction account type identification
	[125]	GNN	Transaction amount and time	Transaction account identification
	[124]	PUL	Transactions order and amount	Transaction data labeling
Malicious transaction identification	[42]	GCN	Transactions between accounts	Phishing Scams Detection
	[126]	SVM	Transaction amount and timestamp	Phishing Scams Detection
	[127]	XGBoost	Operation codes of the smart contracts	Ponzi contract identification
Network behavior perception	[43]	GCN	Graph representation of traffic interaction features	Dapp Access Behavior Identification
	[29]	RF	Cumulative downlink packet length	Web page access behavior recognition
	[128]	MC	State transition in SSL/TLS handshake	Web3.0 application classification
	[129]	CNN	Sequence of unidirectional burst lengths	Web3.0 website identification
	[130]	CNN	Packet Round-Trip Time	Video quality detection for network users

The most common way to de-anonymize transactions is to use heuristic clustering methods to analyze the association of Bitcoin account addresses. Reid *et al.* [121] propose the first cluster method for re-identification, named multi-input heuristic, which assumes that the input addresses of a particular transaction are possessed by the same entity. Then, Androurlaki *et al.* [122] propose the change address cluster method, which assumes that a new "change" address created by a transaction is likely controlled by the same entity that created the transaction. However, due to the anonymity of users in Web 3.0 and the need for real labeled data sets, the effectiveness of the clustering method cannot be verified. To solve these problems, Kappos *et al.* [123] solve the common input heuristic's validation problem by combining the peeling chain's transaction principle. While Wu *et al.* [124] extended the labeled dataset by the PU learning approach.

In addition to traditional machine learning methods, some studies use graph neural networks to identify transaction entities in Web 3.0. Shen *et al.* [41] construct the account interaction graphs using Ethereum and EOSIO data and propose an end-to-end graph convolution network model to identify different categories of accounts or bots. Zhou *et al.* [125] use graph neural network technology to convert the transaction de-anonymization problem in the Ethereum platform into a subgraph classification problem, which improved the accuracy of identifying anonymous accounts.

**Malicious transaction identification.** Malicious asset trading behavior will have a bad impact on Web 3.0 ecosystem, and artificial intelligence technology can effectively improve the accuracy of identifying malicious trading behavior. Common malicious transactions in Web 3.0 include Ponzi schemes, phishing websites, money laundering, etc. In research on identifying Ponzi schemes, Chen *et al.* [127] extract features from user accounts and operation codes of the smart contracts and then built a classification model through the XGBoost algorithm to detect Ponzi schemes implemented as smart contracts. For phishing websites detection, researchers extract features from the labeled transaction dataset that can mark phishing addresses and then use machine learning [126] or GCN [42] to transform the phishing site detection task into a classification problem based on the specific structure of the transaction features. In terms of identifying money laundering transactions, GNNs have a significant advantage in analyzing graph structure-based transaction data [131]. And by enhancing the edge features of the trading graph [132], GCN can also be used to identify money laundering accounts.

**Network behavior perception.** Since many Web 3.0 applications use encrypted communication protocols such as SSL/TLS, most of the behavior traffic in the network appears in the form of ciphertext, which makes the key information contained in the plaintext invisible to regulators. AI has been widely used in network behavior perception of Web 3.0, including website fingerprinting [29, 129], application traffic classification [43, 128] and video traffic classification [130].

In constructing web page fingerprints, Shen *et al.* [29] construct a web fingerprint classifier using random forest algorithm to identify web traffic by extracting packet length features. To fully extract the traffic features, [129] trains a fine-grained website fingerprint classifier with CNN to achieve better results. In addition to being used to train website fingerprint classifiers, CNNs are also used to train video traffic classifiers to detect network video quality [130]. In terms of application traffic classification, Shen *et al.* [128] use a second-order Markov model to construct a web application classifier to achieve the classification of encrypted web application traffic. Based on this, GNNs are used to train classifiers based on traffic interaction graphs [43].

## 6.4 Summary and Lessons Learned

In this section, we introduce existing studies focusing on AI technology applied in Web 3.0 ecological management, including incentive mechanisms, content management, and situation awareness. The Web 3.0 ecosystem is subject to various anomalies in its operation. For example, users may create vulgar digital works to circulate, leading to ecosystem pollution. Due to the greater autonomy and anonymity of Web 3.0 users, it is costly and inefficient to detect these user anomalies manually. Artificial intelligence technology plays a huge role in assisting community administrators with anomaly detection and decision-making. However, because of the variety of unforeseen anomalies that can occur in the ecosystem, it is relatively rudimentary to train AI models to deal with these anomalies using labeled data.

We summarize that data privacy breaches, poor model generalization, and lack of labeled data are three main dilemmas of Web 3.0 ecological management based on AI technology. Firstly, using privacy-preserving techniques such as federal learning, homomorphic encryption, and differential privacy can effectively avoid the privacy leakage problem in joint data training. Secondly, due to the dynamics and diversity of abnormal community behavior, the model should be lightly trained based on a small amount of anomalous behavior data, allowing the features and parameters of the model to be optimized and fine-tuned promptly. Lastly, combining unsupervised learning and reinforcement learning, which are less dependent on label data, can effectively improve the performance of the auxiliary management model.

## 7 APPLICATION LAYER

Web 3.0 is widely applied in finance, healthcare and game entertainment. In this section, we illustrate some applications and discuss the current situation of these applications and how to integrate with AI technology to provide more solid support for Web 3.0.

### 7.1 Finance

Web 3.0 has a wide range of applications in the financial field. The integration of AI is mainly used to predict the price of digital assets and improve the efficiency and security of Defi, or smart contracts. We have already discussed digital asset price forecasting in Section 5.2. In this section, we will focus on vulnerability detection and efficiency improvement of Defi, or smart contracts.

**DeFi and smart contract.** Over the years, more and more projects have focused on this field and gradually evolved the concept of Defi. Defi, in short, is to make full use of blockchain technology (including smart contracts, decentralized asset custody, etc.) to replace all the intermediary roles in traditional financial services by code, to maximize the efficiency of financial services and

Table 8: Research on AI-based Applications in Web 3.0

Subject	Ref.	AI Methods	Solutions	Web 3.0 tasks
Finance	[57]	DL	AI-based systematic modular framework	Detecting smart contract vulnerabilities
	[58]	LSTM	Applying short and long term memory model	Learn vulnerabilities in sequence
	[59]	GNNs	Using Graph neural networks for detection	Smart contract vulnerability analysis
Metaverse	[62]	DRL	Visual deep learning	Novel virtual environment establishment
	[63]	FL	Federated learning based mobile edge computing	Proving computational efficiency of AR applications
	[133]	RL	Train virtual characters to move participants	Precomputing avatar behavior
	[134]	CNNs	Overlay food segmentation image inferred by CNNs	Improve the presence of users eating in metaverse
Healthcare	[60]	ANN	AI-enabled and Blockchain-driven	Medical Healthcare System for COVID-19
	[135]	DCNNs	An intermediate fusion framework	Physical activity recognition

minimize the cost. We will discuss the current situation of existing applications and focus on some smart contracts based on AI technology. The introductory text [136] discusses the origins of DeFi and delineates DeFi characteristics from those of traditional finance. Several examples of DeFi applications are given, the disadvantages resulting from this paradigm are discussed, and an outlook is provided. For example, Uniswap v3 [137] is a noncustodial automated market maker implemented for the Ethereum Virtual Machine. MakerDao [138] is a decentralized, unbiased, collateral-backed cryptocurrency soft-pegged to the US Dollar.

The rapid development of AI technology has helped improve the security and efficiency of DEFI. Some researchers have applied it to the detection of smart contract vulnerabilities. For instance, Tann *et al.* [58] apply the LSTM model to learn vulnerabilities in sequence. However, these methods do not consider the impact of local code vulnerabilities on the overall code, which reduces the interpretability of these methods. To solve the problem of interpretation, Zhang *et al.* [59] explore the use of graph neural networks for smart contract vulnerability detection. They construct a contract graph to represent the syntax and semantic structure of smart contract functions and propose a Time Message Propagation (TMP) network to detect vulnerabilities. While Yu *et al.* [57] propose the first systematic modular framework for detecting smart contract vulnerabilities based on deep learning, called DeeSCVHounter, which focuses on two types of smart contract vulnerabilities: reentry and time dependence. Their main innovation is to propose a novel Vulnerability Candidate Slice (VCS) concept to help the model capture the key points of vulnerabilities.

## 7.2 Metaverse

As one of the important applications of Web 3.0, Metaverse covers many fields, including finance, games, healthcare, and so on. This paper mainly researches two aspects of the Metaverse: environment establishment and user’s behavior. Other application areas such as finance and healthcare will be described in their respective sections.

**Environment establishment.** The users of the Metaverse, objects, or transactions in the physical world interact with the Metaverse, constantly developing and persistently representing the structure, behavior, and context of unique physical assets in the virtual world. With the breakthrough of digital transformation, the latest trend in each industry is to build digital twins, and the ultimate goal is to use them throughout the asset lifecycle through real-time data.

The virtual world of the Metaverse has produced a large number of data, which makes the digital twin based on deep learning crucial. Aiming at the shortcomings of existing works such as small scenes or limited interaction with objects, Lai *et al.* [62] propose a novel visual depth learning virtual environment to provide large-scale and diversified indoor and outdoor scenes. Augmented Reality



(AR) devices can provide people with an immersive interactive experience, and their applications are sensitive to latency. Therefore, Chen *et al.* [63] solve the computational efficiency of AR applications, low latency object recognition, and classification problems by combining the mobile edge computing paradigm with federated learning. In addition to the above, AI can help make virtual characters more intelligent. Kastanis *et al.* [133] propose a reinforcement learning method used to train virtual characters to move participants to the designated position.

**User's behavior.** The user's behavior in the metaverse can be the behavior characteristics in the game or the simulation behavior in virtual reality (VR). In the early stage, Lugin *et al.* [139] propose a method for the AI-based simulation of object behavior so that interactive narrative can feature the physical environment inhabited by the player character as an actor. The prototype based on the top of the Unreal Tournament game engine relies on a causal engine, which essentially bypasses the native physics engine to generate alternative consequences to player interventions. Then, to allow users to eat naturally in Virtual Environment (VE), Nakano *et al.* [134] propose Ukemochi to improve the presence of users eating in metaverse. Ukemochi seamlessly overlays a food segmentation image inferred by deep neural networks on a VE. Ukemochi can be used simultaneously as a VE created with the OpenVR API and can be easily deployed for the metaverse. Recently, some users protect their identities by arbitrarily changing their avatars. However, Meng *et al.* [140] come up with a way to de-anonymize fake VR avatars called AvatarHunter. It achieves de-anonymization attacks by recording videos of multiple views in a VR scene, collecting the gait information of the victim's avatar and preserving the avatar's motion characteristics.

### 7.3 Healthcare

The applications of Web 3.0 in healthcare are mainly in the fields of Electronic Health Record (EHR) management. The EHR management in Web 3.0 integrates blockchain technology and AI, and better protects the privacy and security of patient data in medical services than before.

**EHR management.** The records in the traditional EHR management system are stored on a cloud server through the wireless communication channel, and there are risks of replay attacks, man-in-the-middle attacks, information leakage, and other security threats. Blockchain stores the data as a transaction with characteristics such as trust, and immutability, which also eliminates the intermediaries and a centralized dependence on transaction control. Fusing blockchain and EHR management is a better solution for the security threats and the framework of EHR management system based on blockchain as shown in Fig. 8. One of the solutions given by Vora *et al.* [64] is called BHEEM, which is a blockchain-based solution to store and efficiently transfer of EHRs.

The above-described approaches in the literature failed to realize the significance of AI technology for EHR security, privacy, and accessibility. Mamoshina *et al.* [141] propose a blockchain-based decentralized model that enables users to access their data in an AI-moderated healthcare data exchange. Another study by Krittanawong *et al.* [142] on AI and blockchain integration can accelerate by greatly increasing the availability of data for AI training and development, able to share proprietary AI algorithms for generalization, decentralizing databases of different vendors or health systems, and incentivizing solutions that improve outcomes over those that do not, the integration of blockchain with AI could advance the goal of personalized cardiovascular medicine. For efficient contact tracing and monitoring of COVID-19 cases, Mistry *et al.* [60] propose MedBlock, a novel AI-based and blockchain-driven EHR maintenance framework, which improves the efficiency of traditional EHR systems. Some medical services in real life are also virtualized. By revealing the deep features of deep convolutional neural networks fused with traditional manual features, Huynh-The *et al.* [135] propose an intermediate fusion framework for human activity recognition (HAR) for supporting smart healthcare.




Fig. 8: The framework of EHR management system based on Blockchain

#### 7.4 Summary and Lessons Learned

As mentioned earlier, we have discussed the details of AI and Web 3.0 applications in the fields of finance, metaverse, and healthcare, and the impact of AI on the performance of these applications. Although AI technology has not been fully integrated with these Web 3.0 applications, researchers have also tried to study AI blockchain technology and given some inspiring examples. The integration of AI and blockchain, namely blockchain intelligence and intelligent blockchain, is worth exploring due to their close interaction. With the integration of AI and blockchain, distributed AI can process and execute the analysis or decision of trusted data without any support from a trusted third party. We believe that blockchain encourages AI to reach an unprecedented level in the context of various fields in Web 3.0.

### 8 CHALLENGES AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Although researchers have made substantial achievements in developing Web 3.0, there remain significant challenges around the scalability of the infrastructure network, privacy protection, decentralized identity authentication, and abnormal transaction detection of digital assets, etc. At the same time, unprecedented study opportunities are also provided to develop innovative approaches to tackle these challenges.

#### 8.1 Infrastructure layer

The challenges at the infrastructure layer can be summarized in two aspects. One is the performance challenge, namely the scalability problem, and the other is the challenge of security and privacy. The details are introduced as follows.

**Scalability.** Scalability in Web 3.0 refers to the ability of a public blockchain system to process transactions, which is the key challenge faced by the Web 3.0 infrastructure layer and the primary obstacle that today's public blockchain systems are difficult to apply to practical scenarios. The specifics of the scalability problem are as follows. (i) Transactions involve blockchain-related operations, which brings large processing costs; (ii) On-chain data needs to be backed up at each node, which brings huge storage overhead, and a considerable number of nodes cannot afford this overhead; (iii) Due to the excessive amount of data in the network, Web 3.0 applications have

certain data congestion and transmission delay compared to centralized applications, which is difficult to apply to real-time systems.

As mentioned above, AI can make the system intelligent through learning algorithms, and minimize the system overhead by optimizing node behavior, system resource allocation, and other strategies. AI also plays a role in assisting optimization in scenarios such as blockchain sharding and cross-chain, effectively alleviating scalability problems. At the same time, it predicts the status of nodes to improve the security and availability of the system.

**Security.** In Web 3.0, the security problem is also worth attention. The security problems of the infrastructure layer mainly include (i) intrusion and attack by malicious entities; (ii) privacy of user data. (Other aspects like contract security, content security, etc., are outside the scope of this section.) This poses some challenges to the design of the infrastructure layer. AI can solve the above problems to a large extent. The learning algorithm can be used to predict reliable nodes in the network, detect abnormal behaviors and identify intrusion behaviors of malicious entities. However, the model needs to use the user's data when training, and the current technology cannot provide strong privacy protection under the condition of guaranteeing performance. This requires the maturity of related privacy protection technologies, such as differential privacy, secure multi-party computation, etc.

## 8.2 Interface Layer

The challenges of the interface layer can be illustrated from two aspects, digital identity, and digital assets. The main problems in digital identity are the usability of the identity system. The main problems in digital assets are that the quality of AI-generated content still needs to be improved.

**Digital ID.** At present, the high threshold of the identity system is one of the major problems. The public-private key system is currently the most widely used. Users need to remember the long and complex private key. Once forgotten, it cannot be retrieved. And users may also manage multiple addresses at the same time, which greatly increases the management cost. At present, the possible development direction is the private key recovery technology based on social relations and non-password technology. These methods will greatly reduce the cost of user identity management.

Biometric authentication and behavioral authentication have shown their prospects as a means of identity verification. However, these methods are vulnerable to various types of attacks, including malware, imitation, simulation, deception, replay, statistics, algorithms, and robot attacks. The combination of multiple types of biometrics (i.e. multi-mode authentication) can improve security and provide more reliable authentication. Many studies have shown that multimodal biometric methods have advantages over single biometric methods.

**Digital assets.** There are several challenges in the current artificial intelligence (AI) technology used to generate images. It is difficult for AI to correctly depict spatial and physical relationships in generating images. For example, almost all AI can't draw a mirror well, because the image inside and outside the mirror needs optical knowledge, and the AI model is based on statistics and does not understand optics. Similarly, the geometry of AI painting is relatively bad. A typical example is that you will find that the wheels painted by AI are not too round. Another example is that the details of transparent glass glasses painted by AI are not correct. AI painting is independent of each other. It is difficult for AI to draw a complete set of works, such as a storytelling comic book with complex character relationships.

## 8.3 Management Layer

Although AI technologies can help Web 3.0 administrators better maintain order in their communities, there are still significant challenges as the Web 3.0 ecosystem matures and evolve. These

challenges are specific to data set construction, personalized incentive mechanisms, and the performance of AI algorithms. Meanwhile, these challenges also point the way for the development of management technologies.

**Incentive mechanism.** The incentive mechanism should unite benefit-sharing members in the blockchain-based trustless environment, and encourage them to effectively interact and collaborate around common goals according to their information, resources, goals, and risk appetite. The incentives in Web 3.0 can be divided into transferable incentives and non-transferable incentives. Transferable incentives are mainly economic incentives in the form of fungible tokens or nonfungible tokens (NFTs). Nontransferable incentives mainly refer to noneconomic incentives, including reputation, belief, and knowledge [87]. How to combine various incentive measures and design appropriate mechanisms to meet the specific needs of each type of member and the common goal of the cooperation is a major challenge for incentive mechanisms in Web 3.0. When designing an appropriate incentive mechanism for users, measurability, and personalization are particularly important, because the user's personal needs and optimization objectives largely affect the effectiveness of the incentive mechanism, and the needs and objectives vary from person to person. Therefore, the mixed incentive mechanism, which is mainly based on transferable incentives and supplemented by non-transferable incentives, can be used to quantify human contributions to the cooperation task, and then allocate reputation, certificates, tokens, governance rights, etc.

**Content management.** Although content management has made significant progress in recent years, there are still many open issues worth exploring. The common seesaw nature between the generation method and the forensics method makes the Deepfake detection face many problems. (i) The booming media manipulation techniques such as deepfake can generate more and more authentic and diversified forged data, and the potential forged types are usually unknown in real scenes. So media forensics algorithm should have good generalization ability. (ii) The multimedia data such as images and videos spread on the network often undergo some post-processing, which makes the performance of the forensics model decline, which brings serious challenges to forgery detection. In addition, malicious users may deliberately impose invisible disturbance on forged data to deceive detection tools. Therefore, manipulation detectors should be robust to common distortion algorithms. (iii) Although DNN has strong discrimination ability, it lacks interpretability, so the judgment based on the neural network is difficult to accept in court and other serious occasions. However, the popular trend of deepfake detection methods is still based on deep learning, so it is important to improve the interpretability and credibility of these methods.

**Situation awareness.** Digital asset transaction dataset is a crucial component for situation awareness, as high-quality datasets can play an essential role in training. The construction of digital asset transaction datasets is a significant challenge in the current supervision of digital asset transactions. It is difficult to obtain digital asset transaction datasets with undisputed ground truth. Currently, two main methods are used in the literature to construct ground truth. We refer to a straightforward way to use an interface provided by a third-party service website to obtain the labeled data directly. This method is relatively simple, but it is often limited by the access restrictions of the third-party website, making it inefficient to obtain. At the same time, the labeled data provided by the third-party website has an intense lag time, and thus the researchers cannot access the latest labeled data promptly. Another method is for researchers to create digital asset transactions directly, allowing direct access to the data tags, but this method is extremely costly.

To break through the dilemma between timeliness and low-cost, auto-labeling tools are highly desirable to automatically collect accurate and adequate data samples for a given analysis purpose. Since ensuring sufficient timeliness can be time-consuming and costly in terms of human efforts, crowdsourcing emerges as a promising approach to reduce the complexity, where multiple researchers or volunteers are involved in completing the same data collection and labeling tasks

together. There are several issues to be further addressed, such as uniform standards for judging data quality, cost equalization for labeling data, and distribution of benefits from labeled data.

#### 8.4 Application Layer

We have described some frontier applications of Web 3.0, covering the fields of finance, metaverse, and healthcare, and given some examples of the combination of Web 3.0 and AI. Then we will continue to describe the technical challenges and future research directions in these three fields.

**Finance.** Although blockchain and smart contract research have made significant progress, blockchain research also faces a well-known trilemma, which makes it difficult to create a decentralized, scalable, and secure blockchain. At the same time, smart contracts at this stage are usually simple and automated contracts, which can only passively respond to predefined rules but cannot actively adapt to complex and dynamic environments. At present, artificial intelligence technology has not been widely used in the financial field of Web 3.0. Because DeFi itself cannot be separated from smart contracts, if its application in the financial field wants to be further improved to gain more users' attention, AI technology is a good solution to the efficiency of smart contracts and privacy protection issues. AI smart contracts and blockchain are complementary. We believe AI smart contracts in the future can optimize energy consumption and improve mining efficiency, improve the scalability of blockchain, and be used to detect fraud.

**Metaverse.** AI and blockchain are the basic technologies of the metaverse. The breakthrough of artificial intelligence technology, especially deep learning, has made great progress in the academic and industrial circles in the automatic operation and design of the metaverse. However, the existing deep learning model is usually very deep and has a large number of parameters, which brings a heavy burden to mobile devices with limited resources to deploy learning-based applications. Although the current AI technology is only at the stage when people tell machines to do specific tasks, rather than let machines learn automatic learning. Most learning tasks are only applicable to closed static environments with poor robustness and poor interpretability. Secondly, blockchain-related issues, such as whether the existing real-world NFT platform can adapt to the high transaction volume in the metaverse, and whether the metaverse needs a new blockchain platform and a new consensus mechanism, all of which deserve our deep consideration. In addition, a natural problem is how to ensure the security and privacy in the metaverse, which may mean the violation of their privacy, potential identity theft and other types of fraud. In the future, solving these problems of the metaverse is crucial for its development.

**Healthcare.** At present, some Web 3.0 applications in the medical field combine AI technology and blockchain. The current challenges faced by Web 3.0 applications in the medical field are mainly two aspects. On the one hand, the privacy of user data in the EHR management system cannot be adequately guaranteed, especially in the case of the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other hand, medical services in the metaverse are still in the initial stage. AI technology has provided a great promotion for virtual reality. But at present, there are many medical services in real life that cannot be realized in the metaverse. We believe that in future work, researchers can pay attention to the flexible application of machine learning in the EHR management system (especially FL) to better protect the privacy of users' medical records. In addition, we also expect more medical services in the metaverse (such as online consultation, online surgery, etc.) to emerge in the future.

## 9 CONCLUSION

This paper surveys the use of AI in Web 3.0. We begin by reviewing the development history of the web and the different perspectives on Web 3.0 from academic and business communities, then give our understanding of Web 3.0 and construct an architecture of the Web 3.0 ecosystem.

We divide the ecosystem of Web 3.0 into four layers: data management, value cycle, ecological governance, and application scenarios. The key focus of data management research is the use of intelligent strategies based on learning algorithms to enhance data management and resource allocation efficiency. The value cycle research focuses on identifying and authenticating digital identities, as well as pricing and transaction circulation of digital assets. Ecological governance has two main aspects: managing user behavior, such as abnormal transaction behavior and malicious traffic attacks, and managing user-generated content, including detecting bad or false information. The last section of the article mainly introduces the current AI applications in Web 3.0 from the field of finance, healthcare, and the metaverse. Finally, we also discuss the challenges and future directions for the application of AI in Web 3.0 and provide an outlook for its future development.

All in all, with the rapid development of Web 3.0, the integration of AI technology will play an increasingly important role. We hope that this survey can provide a comprehensive reference for scholars who are interested in the application of AI technology in Web 3.0 and can also provide useful guidance for industry readers who are engaged in innovation in related fields. Further development on Web 3.0 needs collaboration from both academia and industries to strive for an open, fair and intelligent future Internet.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Research, “Web3: in a nutshell,” Accessed: Sept. 10, 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://eshita.mirror.xyz/H5bNIXATsWUv\\_QbbEz6lckYcgAa2rhXEPDRkecOICOI](https://eshita.mirror.xyz/H5bNIXATsWUv_QbbEz6lckYcgAa2rhXEPDRkecOICOI)
- [2] Y. Qian, “Web3. 0: A new generation of internet that is approaching gradually,” *China Finance*, 2022.
- [3] A. Gangwar, V. González-Castro, E. Alegre, and E. Fidalgo, “Attm-cnn: Attention and metric learning based cnn for pornography, age and child sexual abuse (csa) detection in images,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 445, pp. 81–104, 2021.
- [4] L. Alessandretti, A. ElBahrawy, L. M. Aiello, and A. Baronchelli, “Anticipating cryptocurrency prices using machine learning,” *Complexity*, vol. 2018, 2018.
- [5] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.
- [6] S. Dathathri, A. Madotto, J. Lan, J. Hung, E. Frank, P. Molino, J. Yosinski, and R. Liu, “Plug and play language models: A simple approach to controlled text generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02164*, 2019.
- [7] D. Pawade, A. Sakhapara, M. Jain, and N. Jain, “Story scrambler-automatic text generation using word level rnn-lstm,” *International Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science (IJITCS)*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 44–53, 2018.
- [8] Y. Gao, M. Gong, Y. Xie, and A. K. Qin, “An attention-based unsupervised adversarial model for movie review spam detection,” *IEEE transactions on multimedia*, vol. 23, pp. 784–796, 2020.
- [9] Y. Wang, Z. Su, N. Zhang, R. Xing, D. Liu, T. H. Luan, and X. Shen, “A survey on metaverse: Fundamentals, security, and privacy,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2022.
- [10] Q. Yang, Y. Zhao, H. Huang, Z. Xiong, J. Kang, and Z. Zheng, “Fusing blockchain and ai with metaverse: A survey,” *IEEE Open Journal of the Computer Society*, vol. 3, pp. 122–136, 2022.
- [11] T. Huynh-The, Q.-V. Pham, X.-Q. Pham, T. T. Nguyen, Z. Han, and D.-S. Kim, “Artificial intelligence for the metaverse: A survey,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 117, p. 105581, 2023.
- [12] U. Mukhopadhyay, A. Skjellum, O. Hambolu, J. Oakley, L. Yu, and R. Brooks, “A brief survey of cryptocurrency systems,” in *2016 14th annual conference on privacy, security and trust (PST)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 745–752.
- [13] J. Zarrin, H. Wen Phang, L. Babu Saheer, and B. Zarrin, “Blockchain for decentralization of internet: prospects, trends, and challenges,” *Cluster Computing*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2841–2866, 2021.
- [14] W. Yang, E. Aghasian, S. Garg, D. Herbert, L. Disiuta, and B. Kang, “A survey on blockchain-based internet service architecture: requirements, challenges, trends, and future,” *IEEE access*, vol. 7, pp. 75 845–75 872, 2019.
- [15] K. Gilani, E. Bertin, J. Hatin, and N. Crespi, “A survey on blockchain-based identity management and decentralized privacy for personal data,” in *2020 2nd Conference on Blockchain Research & Applications for Innovative Networks and Services (BRAINS)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 97–101.
- [16] T. Berners-Lee, “Tim berners-lee,” 1989.
- [17] D. Raggett, A. Le Hors, I. Jacobs *et al.*, “Html 4.01 specification,” *W3C recommendation*, vol. 24, 1999.
- [18] R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, and T. Berners-Lee, “Hypertext transfer protocol—http/1.1,” *Tech. Rep.*, 1999.

- [19] C. A. Toledano, "Web 2.0: the origin of the word that has changed the way we understand public relations," in *Barcelona international PR conference, Barcelona, Spain*, 2013.
- [20] T. Berners-Lee, "Solid." Accessed: Oct. 25, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://solidproject.org/about>
- [21] Web3 foundation, "Web3.0 Technology Stack," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://web3.foundation/about>
- [22] L. Cao, "Decentralized ai: Edge intelligence and smart blockchain, metaverse, web3, and desc," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 6–19, 2022.
- [23] W. S. Noble, "What is a support vector machine?" *Nature biotechnology*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 1565–1567, 2006.
- [24] R. V. Yampolskiy, B. Klare, and A. K. Jain, "Face recognition in the virtual world: recognizing avatar faces," in *2012 11th International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2012, pp. 40–45.
- [25] G. I. Webb, E. Keogh, and R. Miikkilainen, "Naïve bayes." *Encyclopedia of machine learning*, vol. 15, pp. 713–714, 2010.
- [26] J. Bauer and D. Jannach, "Optimal pricing in e-commerce based on sparse and noisy data," *Decision support systems*, vol. 106, pp. 53–63, 2018.
- [27] A. J. Myles, R. N. Feudale, Y. Liu, N. A. Woody, and S. D. Brown, "An introduction to decision tree modeling," *Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 275–285, 2004.
- [28] S. J. Rigatti, "Random forest," *Journal of Insurance Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 31–39, 2017.
- [29] M. Shen, Y. Liu, L. Zhu, X. Du, and J. Hu, "Fine-grained webpage fingerprinting using only packet length information of encrypted traffic," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 16, pp. 2046–2059, 2021.
- [30] P. Kim, "Convolutional neural network," in *MATLAB deep learning*. Springer, 2017, pp. 121–147.
- [31] A. Mordvintsev, C. Olah, and M. Tyka, "Inceptionism: Going deeper into neural networks," 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://research.googleblog.com/2015/06/inceptionism-going-deeper-into-neural.html>
- [32] L. A. Gatys, A. S. Ecker, and M. Bethge, "Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 2414–2423.
- [33] J. Chen, K. Duan, R. Zhang, L. Zeng, and W. Wang, "An ai based super nodes selection algorithm in blockchain networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.00216*, 2018.
- [34] A. S. B. Wazir, H. A. Karim, M. H. L. Abdullah, S. Mansor, N. AlDahoul, M. F. A. Fauzi, and J. See, "Spectrogram-based classification of spoken foul language using deep cnn," in *2020 IEEE 22nd International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [35] A. S. Ba Wazir, H. A. Karim, M. H. L. Abdullah, N. AlDahoul, S. Mansor, M. F. A. Fauzi, J. See, and A. S. Naim, "Design and implementation of fast spoken foul language recognition with different end-to-end deep neural network architectures," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 3, p. 710, 2021.
- [36] S. Grossberg, "Recurrent neural networks," *Scholarpedia*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 1888, 2013.
- [37] Q. Zhao, "A deep learning framework for predicting digital asset price movement from trade-by-trade data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.07404*, 2020.
- [38] M. Saad, J. Choi, D. Nyang, J. Kim, and A. Mohaisen, "Toward characterizing blockchain-based cryptocurrencies for highly accurate predictions," *IEEE Systems Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 321–332, 2019.
- [39] M. Y. Chuttur and A. Nazurally, "A multi-modal approach to detect inappropriate cartoon video contents using deep learning networks," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 12, pp. 16 881–16 900, 2022.
- [40] S. Zhang, H. Tong, J. Xu, and R. Maciejewski, "Graph convolutional networks: a comprehensive review," *Computational Social Networks*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2019.
- [41] J. Shen, J. Zhou, Y. Xie, S. Yu, and Q. Xuan, "Identity inference on blockchain using graph neural network," *CoRR*, vol. abs/2104.06559, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.06559>
- [42] L. Chen, J. Peng, Y. Liu, J. Li, F. Xie, and Z. Zheng, "Phishing scams detection in ethereum transaction network," *ACM Trans. Internet Techn.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 10:1–10:16, 2021.
- [43] M. Shen, Y. Liu, L. Zhu, X. Du, and J. Hu, "Fine-grained webpage fingerprinting using only packet length information of encrypted traffic," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 16, pp. 2046–2059, 2021.
- [44] R. Qin, W. Ding *et al.*, "Web3-based decentralized autonomous organizations and operations: Architectures, models, and mechanisms," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 2022.
- [45] F. Bravo-Marquez, S. Reeves, and M. Ugarte, "Proof-of-learning: a blockchain consensus mechanism based on machine learning competitions," in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Decentralized Applications and Infrastructures (DAPPCON)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 119–124.
- [46] M. Salimitari, M. Joneidi, and M. Chatterjee, "Ai-enabled blockchain: An outlier-aware consensus protocol for blockchain-based iot networks," in *2019 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [47] U. Mahbub, J. Komulainen, D. Ferreira, and R. Chellappa, "Continuous authentication of smartphones based on application usage," *IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 165–180, 2019.
- [48] A. Agarwal, M. Dahleh, and T. Sarkar, "A marketplace for data: An algorithmic solution," in *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, 2019, pp. 701–726.

- [49] X. Zhou and P.-C. Liao, "A privacy-preserving data storage and service framework based on deep learning and blockchain for construction workers' wearable iot sensors," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.10713*, 2022.
- [50] A. Ali, M. F. Pasha, J. Ali, O. H. Fang, M. Masud, A. D. Jurcut, and M. A. Alzain, "Deep learning based homomorphic secure search-able encryption for keyword search in blockchain healthcare system: A novel approach to cryptography," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 528, 2022.
- [51] Y. Liu, H. Wang, M. Peng, J. Guan, and Y. Wang, "An incentive mechanism for privacy-preserving crowdsensing via deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 8616–8631, 2020.
- [52] P. P. Momtaz, "Some very simple economics of web3 and the metaverse," *FinTech*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 225–234, 2022.
- [53] J. Xu, Z. Rao, L. Xu, D. Yang, and T. Li, "Incentive mechanism for multiple cooperative tasks with compatible users in mobile crowd sensing via online communities," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1618–1633, 2019.
- [54] Y. Zhan, C. H. Liu, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, and J. Tang, "Free market of multi-leader multi-follower mobile crowdsensing: An incentive mechanism design by deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 2316–2329, 2019.
- [55] R. Shinkuma, R. Takagi, Y. Inagaki, E. Oki, and F. Xhafa, "Incentive mechanism for mobile crowdsensing in spatial information prediction using machine learning," in *International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications*. Springer, 2020, pp. 792–803.
- [56] D. C. Moreira, E. T. Pereira, and M. Alvarez, "Peda 376k: A novel dataset for deep-learning based porn-detectors," in *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–8.
- [57] X. Yu, H. Zhao, B. Hou, Z. Ying, and B. Wu, "Deescvhunter: A deep learning-based framework for smart contract vulnerability detection," in *2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 2021, pp. 1–8.
- [58] W. J. Tann, X. J. Han, S. S. Gupta, and Y. Ong, "Towards safer smart contracts: A sequence learning approach to detecting vulnerabilities," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1811.06632, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.06632>
- [59] Y. Zhuang, Z. Liu, P. Qian, Q. Liu, X. Wang, and Q. He, "Smart contract vulnerability detection using graph neural networks," ser. IJCAI'20, 2021.
- [60] C. Mistry, U. Thakker, R. Gupta, M. S. Obaidat, S. Tanwar, N. Kumar, and J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, "Medblock: An ai-enabled and blockchain-driven medical healthcare system for covid-19," in *ICC 2021 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [61] P. Bhattacharya, M. S. Obaidat, D. Savaliya, S. Sanghavi, S. Tanwar, and B. Sadaun, "Metaverse assisted telesurgery in healthcare 5.0: An interplay of blockchain and explainable ai," in *2022 International Conference on Computer, Information and Telecommunication Systems (CITS)*, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [62] K.-T. Lai, C.-C. Lin, C.-Y. Kang, M.-E. Liao, and M.-S. Chen, "Vivid: Virtual environment for visual deep learning." New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3240508.3243653>
- [63] D. Chen, L. J. Xie, B. Kim, L. Wang, C. S. Hong, L.-C. Wang, and Z. Han, "Federated learning based mobile edge computing for augmented reality applications," in *2020 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC)*, 2020, pp. 767–773.
- [64] J. Vora, A. Nayyar, S. Tanwar, S. Tyagi, N. Kumar, M. S. Obaidat, and J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, "Bheem: A blockchain-based framework for securing electronic health records," in *2018 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [65] C. H. Liu, Q. Lin, and S. Wen, "Blockchain-enabled data collection and sharing for industrial iot with deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 3516–3526, 2018.
- [66] X. Tang, X. Lan, L. Li, Y. Zhang, and Z. Han, "Incentivizing proof-of-stake blockchain for secured data collection in uav-assisted iot: A multi-agent reinforcement learning approach," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 3470–3484, 2022.
- [67] W. Zhuang, Q. Ye, F. Lyu, N. Cheng, and J. Ren, "Sdn/nfv-empowered future iov with enhanced communication, computing, and caching," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 274–291, 2020.
- [68] X. Xu, H. Zhao, H. Yao, and S. Wang, "A blockchain-enabled energy-efficient data collection system for uav-assisted iot," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 2431–2443, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2020.3030080>
- [69] J. Yun, Y. Goh, and J. Chung, "Dqn-based optimization framework for secure sharded blockchain systems," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 708–722, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2020.3006896>
- [70] X. Bai, S. Tu, M. Waqas, A. Wu, Y. Zhang, and Y. Yang, "Blockchain enable iot using deep reinforcement learning: A novel architecture to ensure security of data sharing and storage," in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Security*. Springer, 2022, pp. 586–597.
- [71] R. S. Vairagade and B. SH, "Enabling machine learning-based side-chaining for improving qos in blockchain-powered iot networks," *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, vol. 33, no. 4, p. e4433, 2022.
- [72] L. Cui, X. Su, Z. Ming, Z. Chen, S. Yang, Y. Zhou, and W. Xiao, "CREAT: blockchain-assisted compression algorithm of federated learning for content caching in edge computing," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 16, pp. 14 151–14 161,



2022.

- [73] C. Farquhar, P. S. P. V. Kumar, A. Jagannath, and J. Jagannath, "Distributed transmission control for wireless networks using multi-agent reinforcement learning," *CoRR*, vol. abs/2205.06800, 2022.
- [74] N. C. Luong, T. T. Anh, H. T. T. Binh, D. Niyato, D. I. Kim, and Y. Liang, "Joint transaction transmission and channel selection in cognitive radio based blockchain networks: A deep reinforcement learning approach," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2019, Brighton, United Kingdom, May 12-17, 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 8409–8413.
- [75] J. Xu, J. Lin, W. Liang, and K.-C. Li, "Privacy preserving personalized blockchain reliability prediction via federated learning in iot environments," *Cluster Computing*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 2515–2526, 2022.
- [76] Z. Yang, R. Yang, F. R. Yu, M. Li, Y. Zhang, and Y. Teng, "Sharded blockchain for collaborative computing in the internet of things: Combined of dynamic clustering and deep reinforcement learning approach," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 17, pp. 16 494–16 509, 2022.
- [77] X. He, Y. Shen, H. Zhu, S. Wang, C. You, and T. Q. S. Quek, "Social welfare maximization for collaborative edge computing: A deep reinforcement learning-based approach," *CoRR*, vol. abs/2211.06861, 2022.
- [78] Z. Dai, A. Shrivastava, P. Reviriego, and J. A. Hernández, "Optimizing learned bloom filters: How much should be learned?" *IEEE Embedded Systems Letters*, 2022.
- [79] J. Li, Y. Shao, K. Wei, M. Ding, C. Ma, L. Shi, Z. Han, and H. V. Poor, "Blockchain assisted decentralized federated learning (BLADE-FL): performance analysis and resource allocation," *CoRR*, vol. abs/2101.06905, 2021.
- [80] J. Wang, J. Hu, G. Min, A. Y. Zomaya, and N. Georgalas, "Fast adaptive task offloading in edge computing based on meta reinforcement learning," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 242–253, 2020.
- [81] Y. Lin, Z. Gao, H. Du, D. Niyato, J. Kang, R. Deng, and X. S. Shen, "A unified blockchain-semantic framework for wireless edge intelligence enabled web 3.0," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.15130*, 2022.
- [82] X. Shen, J. Gao, W. Wu, K. Lyu, M. Li, W. Zhuang, X. Li, and J. Rao, "Ai-assisted network-slicing based next-generation wireless networks," *IEEE Open Journal of Vehicular Technology*, vol. 1, pp. 45–66, 2020.
- [83] W. Wu, N. Chen, C. Zhou, M. Li, X. Shen, W. Zhuang, and X. Li, "Dynamic RAN slicing for service-oriented vehicular networks via constrained learning," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 2076–2089, 2021.
- [84] P. Zheng, Z. Zheng, and L. Chen, "Selecting reliable blockchain peers via hybrid blockchain reliability prediction," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1910.14614, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.14614>
- [85] Y. Lu, X. Huang, K. Zhang, S. Maharjan, and Y. Zhang, "Blockchain and federated learning for 5g beyond," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 219–225, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.011.1900598>
- [86] T. W. W. Consortium, "Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) v1.0," Accessed: July. 19, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.w3.org/TR/did-core/>
- [87] E. G. Weyl, P. Ohlhaber, and V. Buterin, "Decentralized society: Finding web3's soul," *Available at SSRN 4105763*, 2022.
- [88] D. Zhang, G. Lu, L. Zhang *et al.*, *Advanced biometrics*. Springer, 2018.
- [89] K. Wang and A. Kumar, "Toward more accurate iris recognition using dilated residual features," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 3233–3245, 2019.
- [90] Q. Debar, C. Wolf, S. Canu, and J. Arné, "Learning to recognize touch gestures: Recurrent vs. convolutional features and dynamic sampling," in *2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 114–121.
- [91] S. Bader and N. E. B. Amara, "Design of a 3d virtual world to implement a logical access control mechanism based on fingerprints," in *2017 IEEE/ACS 14th International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA)*, 2017, pp. 1239–1246.
- [92] R. Wang, C.-F. Chen, H. Peng, X. Liu, O. Liu, and X. Li, "Digital twin: Acquiring high-fidelity 3d avatar from a single image," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.03455*, 2019.
- [93] G. Schrotter and C. Hürzeler, "The digital twin of the city of zurich for urban planning," *PFG—Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 99–112, 2020.
- [94] J. Sun, Z. Tian, Y. Fu, J. Geng, and C. Liu, "Digital twins in human understanding: a deep learning-based method to recognize personality traits," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 34, no. 7-8, pp. 860–873, 2021.
- [95] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila, "A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 4401–4410.
- [96] G. Goh, A. Ramesh, M. Pavlov, and S. Gray, "DALL-E: Creating Images from Text," Accessed: Jan. 25, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://openai.com/blog/dall-e/>
- [97] L. Xu, C. Jiang, Y. Qian, Y. Zhao, J. Li, and Y. Ren, "Dynamic privacy pricing: A multi-armed bandit approach with time-variant rewards," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 271–285, 2016.
- [98] K. Misra, E. M. Schwartz, and J. Abernethy, "Dynamic online pricing with incomplete information using multiarmed bandit experiments," *Marketing Science*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 226–252, 2019.

- [99] X. Shen, J. Gao, W. Wu, M. Li, C. Zhou, and W. Zhuang, "Holistic network virtualization and pervasive network intelligence for 6g," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–30, 2021.
- [100] J.-Y. Zhu, T. Park, P. Isola, and A. A. Efros, "Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 2223–2232.
- [101] A. Graves, "Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*, 2013.
- [102] M. Hong, M. Wang, L. Luo, X. Tan, D. Zhang, and Y. Lao, "Combining gated recurrent unit and attention pooling for sentimental classification," in *Proceedings of the 2018 2nd International Conference on Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence*, 2018, pp. 99–104.
- [103] S. R. Bowman, L. Vilnis, O. Vinyals, A. M. Dai, R. Jozefowicz, and S. Bengio, "Generating sentences from a continuous space," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06349*, 2015.
- [104] OpenAI, "Introducing chatgpt," Accessed: November 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/>
- [105] H. Jang and J. Lee, "An empirical study on modeling and prediction of bitcoin prices with bayesian neural networks based on blockchain information," *Ieee Access*, vol. 6, pp. 5427–5437, 2017.
- [106] Y. Wang, H. Peng, Z. Su, T. H. Luan, A. Benslimane, and Y. Wu, "A platform-free proof of federated learning consensus mechanism for sustainable blockchains," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 3305–3324, 2022.
- [107] Y. Jiao, P. Wang, D. Niyato, B. Lin, and D. I. Kim, "Toward an automated auction framework for wireless federated learning services market," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 3034–3048, 2020.
- [108] Y. Zhan and J. Zhang, "An incentive mechanism design for efficient edge learning by deep reinforcement learning approach," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 2489–2498.
- [109] Y. Zhan, P. Li, Z. Qu, D. Zeng, and S. Guo, "A learning-based incentive mechanism for federated learning," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 6360–6368, 2020.
- [110] R. Xu, S. R. Pokhrel, Q. Lan, and G. Li, "Fair-bfl: Flexible and incentive redesign for blockchain-based federated learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.12899*, 2022.
- [111] J. Zhao, X. Zhu, J. Wang, and J. Xiao, "Efficient client contribution evaluation for horizontal federated learning," in *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 3060–3064.
- [112] A. Pandey, S. Moharana, D. P. Mohanty, A. Panwar, D. Agarwal, and S. P. Thota, "On-device content moderation," in *2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–7.
- [113] X. Li, K. Yu, S. Ji, Y. Wang, C. Wu, and H. Xue, "Fighting against deepfake: Patch&pair convolutional neural networks (ppcnn)," in *Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020*, 2020, pp. 88–89.
- [114] T. Zhao, X. Xu, M. Xu, H. Ding, Y. Xiong, and W. Xia, "Learning self-consistency for deepfake detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 15 023–15 033.
- [115] T. Mittal, U. Bhattacharya, R. Chandra, A. Bera, and D. Manocha, "Emotions don't lie: An audio-visual deepfake detection method using affective cues," in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on multimedia*, 2020, pp. 2823–2832.
- [116] Z. Hu, H. Xie, Y. Wang, J. Li, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Dynamic inconsistency-aware deepfake video detection," in *IJCAI*, 2021.
- [117] Y. Jian, X. Chen, and H. Wang, "Fake restaurant review detection using deep neural networks with hybrid feature fusion method," in *International Conference on Database Systems for Advanced Applications*. Springer, 2022, pp. 133–148.
- [118] P. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Shi, Y. Sun, F. Shao, Z. Wu, and Z. Yang, "Not only look, but also listen: Learning multimodal violence detection under weak supervision," in *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 2020, pp. 322–339.
- [119] Z. Gu, Y. Chen, T. Yao, S. Ding, J. Li, and L. Ma, "Delving into the local: Dynamic inconsistency learning for deepfake video detection." AAAI, 2022.
- [120] D. Cozzolino, A. Rössler, J. Thies, M. Nießner, and L. Verdoliva, "Id-reveal: Identity-aware deepfake video detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 15 108–15 117.
- [121] F. Reid and M. Harrigan, "An analysis of anonymity in the bitcoin system," in *PASSAT/SocialCom 2011, Privacy, Security, Risk and Trust (PASSAT), 2011 IEEE Third International Conference on and 2011 IEEE Third International Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom)*, Boston, MA, USA, 9-11 Oct., 2011. IEEE Computer Society, pp. 1318–1326.
- [122] E. Androulaki, G. Karame, M. Roeschlin, T. Scherer, and S. Capkun, "Evaluating user privacy in bitcoin," in *Financial Cryptography and Data Security - 17th International Conference, FC 2013, Okinawa, Japan, April 1-5, 2013, Revised Selected Papers*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, A. Sadeghi, Ed., vol. 7859. Springer, pp. 34–51.
- [123] G. Kappos, H. Yousaf, R. Stütz, S. Rollet, B. Haslhofer, and S. Meiklejohn, "How to peel a million: Validating and expanding bitcoin clusters," in *31st USENIX Security Symposium, USENIX Security 2022, Boston, MA, USA, August 10-12, 2022*, K. R. B. Butler and K. Thomas, Eds. USENIX Association, 2022, pp. 2207–2223.

- [124] J. Wu, J. Liu, W. Chen, H. Huang, Z. Zheng, and Y. Zhang, "Detecting mixing services via mining bitcoin transaction network with hybrid motifs," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 2237–2249, 2022.
- [125] J. Zhou, C. Hu, J. Chi, J. Wu, M. Shen, and Q. Xuan, "Behavior-aware account de-anonymization on ethereum interaction graph," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 17, pp. 3433–3448, 2022.
- [126] J. Wu, Q. Yuan, D. Lin, W. You, W. Chen, C. Chen, and Z. Zheng, "Who are the phishers? phishing scam detection on ethereum via network embedding," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 1156–1166, 2022.
- [127] W. Chen, Z. Zheng, J. Cui, E. C. H. Ngai, P. Zheng, and Y. Zhou, "Detecting ponzi schemes on ethereum: Towards healthier blockchain technology," in *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2018, Lyon, France, April 23-27, 2018*. ACM, 2018, pp. 1409–1418.
- [128] M. Shen, M. Wei, L. Zhu, and M. Wang, "Classification of encrypted traffic with second-order markov chains and application attribute bigrams," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1830–1843, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIFS.2017.2692682>
- [129] M. Shen, Z. Gao, L. Zhu, and K. Xu, "Efficient fine-grained website fingerprinting via encrypted traffic analysis with deep learning," in *29th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Quality of Service, IWQoS 2021, Tokyo, Japan, June 25-28, 2021*. IEEE, pp. 1–10.
- [130] M. Shen, J. Zhang, K. Xu, L. Zhu, J. Liu, and X. Du, "Deepqoe: Real-time measurement of video qoe from encrypted traffic with deep learning," in *28th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Quality of Service, IWQoS 2020, Hangzhou, China, June 15-17, 2020*. IEEE, pp. 1–10.
- [131] M. Weber, G. Domeniconi, J. Chen, D. K. I. Weidele, C. Bellei, T. Robinson, and C. E. Leiserson, "Anti-money laundering in bitcoin: Experimenting with graph convolutional networks for financial forensics," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1908.02591, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02591>
- [132] D. S. H. Tam, W. C. Lau, B. Hu, Q. Ying, D. M. Chiu, and H. Liu, "Identifying illicit accounts in large scale e-payment networks - A graph representation learning approach," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1906.05546, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.05546>
- [133] I. Kastanis and M. Slater, "Reinforcement learning utilizes proxemics: An avatar learns to manipulate the position of people in immersive virtual reality," *ACM Trans. Appl. Percept.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2012.
- [134] K. Nakano, D. Horita, N. Isoyama, H. Uchiyama, and K. Kiyokawa, "Ukemochi: A video see-through food overlay system for eating experience in the metaverse," in *CHI '22: CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, New Orleans, LA, USA, 29 April 2022 - 5 May 2022, Extended Abstracts*, S. D. J. Barbosa, C. Lampe, C. Appert, and D. A. Shamma, Eds. ACM, 2022, pp. 380:1–380:8.
- [135] T. Huynh-The, C.-H. Hua, N. A. Tu, and D.-S. Kim, "Physical activity recognition with statistical-deep fusion model using multiple sensory data for smart health," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1533–1543, 2021.
- [136] P. Schueffel, "Defi: Decentralized finance—an introduction and overview," *Journal of Innovation Management*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. I–XI, 2021.
- [137] H. Adams, N. Zinsmeister, M. Salem, R. Keefer, and D. Robinson, "Uniswap v3 core," *Tech. rep., Uniswap, Tech. Rep.*, 2021.
- [138] MakerDao, "The maker protocol: Makerdao's multi-collateral dai (mcd) system," Accessed: Jun. 23, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://makerdao.com/en/whitepaper>
- [139] J.-L. Lugin and M. Cavazza, "Ai-based world behaviour for emergent narratives," in *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM SIGCHI international conference on Advances in computer entertainment technology*, 2006, pp. 25–es.
- [140] Y. Meng, Y. Zhan, J. Li, S. Du, H. Zhu, and X. Shen, "De-anonymization attacks on metaverse," 2023.
- [141] P. Mamoshina, L. Ojomoko, Y. Yanovich, A. Ostrovski, A. Botezatu, P. Prikhodko, E. Izumchenko, A. Aliper, K. Romantsov, A. Zhebrak *et al.*, "Converging blockchain and next-generation artificial intelligence technologies to decentralize and accelerate biomedical research and healthcare," *Oncotarget*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 5665, 2018.
- [142] C. Krittanawong, A. J. Rogers, M. Aydar, E. Choi, K. W. Johnson, Z. Wang, and S. M. Narayan, "Integrating blockchain technology with artificial intelligence for cardiovascular medicine," *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–3, 2020.