# Single-soft emissions for amplitudes with two colored particles at three loops

Franz Herzog,<sup>*a*</sup> Yao Ma,<sup>b</sup> Bernhard Mistlberger,<sup>*c*</sup> Adi Suresh<sup>*c*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy,

The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, Scotland, U.K.

<sup>c</sup>SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94039, USA

E-mail: [fherzog@ed.ac.uk](mailto:fherzog@ed.ac.uk), [yaomay@phys.ethz.ch](mailto:yaomay@phys.ethz.ch), [bernhard.mistlberger@gmail.com](mailto:bernhard.mistlberger@gmail.com), [adisur@stanford.edu](mailto:adisur@stanford.edu)

Abstract: We compute the three-loop correction to the universal single-soft emission current for the case of scattering amplitudes with two additional color-charged partons. We present results valid for QCD and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory. To achieve our results we develop a new integrand expansion technique for scattering amplitudes in the presence of soft emissions. Furthermore, we obtain contributions from single finalstate parton matrix elements to the Higgs boson and Drell-Yan production cross section at next-to-next-to-next-to-next-to leading order  $(N<sup>4</sup>LO)$  in perturbative QCD in the threshold limit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

# Contents



# <span id="page-1-0"></span>1 Introduction

A remarkable property of gauge theory scattering amplitudes is that they factorize in infrared limits. Infrared limits are generally characterized by soft and/or collinear momentum configurations, and typically lead to singularities or poles in the amplitude. In turn these singularities are responsible for the infrared divergences encountered in both loop and phase space integrals, which typically appear in intermediate stages of the computation of physical quantities.

The infrared limits are of great interest from both a practical as well as theoretical perspective. For one, they are an important ingredient for building infrared subtraction schemes for higher-order QCD cross section calculations  $[1-14]$ . They are also responsible for potentially large logarithmic corrections in a variety of observables, and as such enter as crucial ingredients for resummations  $[15-18]$ . Finally, infrared limits are of fundamental interest in the study of the mathematical properties of scattering amplitudes as they constrain their analytic structure. In this context, infrared limits have played an important role in the analytic bootstrap program [\[19](#page-22-3)[–21](#page-22-4)].

In this work, we will focus on the limit of scattering amplitudes involving three colored partons in which a single external gluon momentum becomes soft. It is well known that

the all-order n-point amplitude factorizes in this limit into an  $n-1$ -point amplitude times the action of a soft current as an operator in color space on the latter. The corresponding universal factorization limit has been known for a long time at the tree level  $|22|$ . At the one-loop level the soft limit was first extracted from color-ordered amplitudes [\[23](#page-22-6)[–25](#page-22-7)] before the full color operator structure of the soft current was uncovered [\[26\]](#page-22-8). The two-loop soft current was extracted to finite order in the dimensional regulator  $\epsilon$  in ref. [\[27](#page-23-0)] by taking the soft limit of the two-loop splitting function. These results were extended to higher orders in  $\epsilon$  in ref. [\[28,](#page-23-1) [29](#page-23-2)], allowing the two-loop soft current to be used in the calculation of the threshold approximation of the  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$  Higgs boson cross section [\[30](#page-23-3)]. The two-loop order is also the first order where the soft limit can lead to color correlations of three hard partons, and the calculation of the corresponding current was presented in ref. [\[31\]](#page-23-4). Beyond the single-soft emission current, the double-soft current has also been known at tree level for quite some time  $[32]$  and more recently also at the one-loop level  $[33-35]$ . Finally, the triple soft limit is known at tree-level [\[36,](#page-23-8) [37](#page-23-9)].

The main methods used so far for calculating soft currents have been either extractions from amplitude calculations, or direct calculations via the Wilson line/SCET formalism. In this work, we introduce a new infrared subgraph expansion approach which we employ directly at the integrand level of the full amplitude. Using this new technique we circumvent the very challenging task of computing a full three-loop scattering amplitude. An infrared-subgraph-finding algorithm, which can be seen as a generalization of the expansion-by-subgraph approach [\[38,](#page-23-10) [39](#page-23-11)] from Euclidean space to Minkowski space, was recently developed in the context of on-shell expansions for wide-angle scattering [\[40\]](#page-23-12). Here we outline how to employ the same algorithm to find the set of infrared subgraphs contributing to the soft expansion. We present a general strategy for the soft expansion at arbitrary loop order with emphasis on the single-soft case. In particular, we provide criteria to identify infrared subgraphs which are in one-to-one correspondence with regions identified by the method-of-regions approach in parametric space, as implemented in [\[41](#page-23-13)– [45](#page-23-14)]. The calculation of the three-loop soft current not only represents a new result, but also serves as a proof of concept demonstrating the potential of the expansion-by-subgraph approach in a highly non-trivial example. Indeed, this approach has been employed before in next-to-soft expansions of the Drell-Yan cross section at NNLO [\[46](#page-23-15)], as well as at higher orders in the soft expansion in double-real-virtual and real-virtual squared corrections to the  $\rm N^3LO$  Higgs boson cross section  $\left[47\text{--}49\right]$ ; however this marks the first application of a fully systematic and automated approach in momentum space.

Our approach facilitates the generation of an integrand in the soft limit of scattering amplitudes. The challenging task of actually performing the loop integration remains. To this end, we employ integration-by-parts (IBP) identities [\[50](#page-24-2)[–52\]](#page-24-3) to express our amplitudes in terms of soft master integrals. We then introduce another scale into these soft MIs by completing the square of a certain subset of propagators, which are linear in the loop momentum. The resulting integrals can be identified as *collinear* MIs and contain the original soft MIs in their soft limits. We solve these collinear MIs via the method of differential equations  $[53–57]$  $[53–57]$  in terms of harmonic polylogarithms  $[58, 59]$  $[58, 59]$  $[58, 59]$  up to weight eight. Apart from one simple integral, we find that the soft boundary integrals are fully

determined from regularity and consistency conditions [\[60](#page-24-8)[–62](#page-24-9)] of the system of differential equations.

The main result of this article is the extraction of three-loop QCD corrections to the single-soft emission current acting on two additional colored partons. In addition, we use our techniques to perform a computation of the single-soft limit of the stress tensor multiplet three-point form factor in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  sYM theory based on an integrand provided in ref. [\[63\]](#page-24-10). Our calculation explicitly confirms the principle of maximal transcendentality [\[64](#page-24-11), [65\]](#page-25-0) for the contribution to the single-soft emission current considered in this article. Furthermore, we use our newly obtained results for the soft current at three loops to derive contributions to the Higgs boson and Drell-Yan production cross section at  $N<sup>4</sup>LO$  in perturbative QCD due to single real emission contributions in the soft limit.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. In section [2](#page-3-0) we introduce notation and the main results for the three-loop single-soft current with two colored partons. We describe the steps of our calculation in section [3.](#page-7-0) In section [4](#page-10-0) we provide a detailed description of our new integrand expansion technique. In section [5](#page-15-0) we discuss the universal pole structure of the soft limit of our newly computed scattering amplitudes as a consistency check. Next, we discuss results for the three-loop form factor in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  sYM theory in section  $6$ . Furthermore, we present the threshold limit to single-real emission contributions to the Higgs boson and Drell-Yan production cross section at threshold at  $N<sup>4</sup>LO$  in QCD perturbation theory in section [7.](#page-18-0) Finally, we conclude in section [8.](#page-20-0)

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 Single-soft current up to three loops in QCD

We consider a scattering amplitude  $A$  in which the momentum q of a single gluon is very low-energetic (i.e. the gluon is soft). In this single-soft limit, scattering amplitudes factorize into a universal operator acting on the scattering amplitude without the soft gluon [\[22](#page-22-5), [66\]](#page-25-1).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{q \to 0} \mathcal{A}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n q} = \mathbf{J}(q) \mathcal{A}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n}.
$$
\n(2.1)

The operator **J** is referred to as the *single-soft emission current* and acts on the colored degrees of freedom of the scattering amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_{p_1p_2...p_n}$ . In general, this operator will correlate emissions from all color-charged particles of the scattering amplitude. In this article, we determine the contribution to the soft-emission current that correlates two colorcharged particles through three loops in perturbative QCD and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  sYM theory. Our result is exact for scattering amplitudes involving only two color-charged external particles on top of the emitted soft gluon. Furthermore, our results represent an important first step in determining  $J(q)$  to third order in the coupling constant.

Up to three-loop order, the single-soft emission current can be decomposed as follows.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{J}(q) = \frac{ig_S}{C_A} \epsilon^a_\mu(q) \sum_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{p_i^\mu}{p_i \cdot q} - \frac{p_j^\mu}{p_j \cdot q} \right) \left[ f^{abc} \mathbf{T}_i^b \mathbf{T}_j^c K_2(q, p_i, p_j) \right. \left. + i C_A \left( d_{4A}^{abcd} K_{4A}(q, p_i, p_j) + n_f d_{4F}^{abcd} K_{4F}(q, p_i, p_j) \right) \left\{ \mathbf{T}_i^b, \mathbf{T}_i^c \right\} \mathbf{T}_j^d \right].
$$
\n(2.2)

The bold faced notation above indicates an operator acting on the color structure of the amplitude. Note that the general structure of the  $J(q)$  can be more complex if its action on amplitudes with more than two colored particles is considered [\[31](#page-23-4)]. We work using dimensional regularization as a framework to regulate ultraviolet and infrared singularities, with  $\epsilon$  as the dimensional regulator related to the spacetime dimension via  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ . The number of quark flavors is given by  $n_f$ . The index i sums over all color-charged particles of the scattering amplitude (to which the current is applied). The factors  $K_X$  are scalar quantities that can be expanded in perturbative QCD as follows.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
K_X(q, p_i, p_j) = \sum_{o=0}^{\infty} a_S^o \left( \frac{(-2qp_i - i0)(-2qp_j - i0)}{(-2p_ip_j - i0)\mu^2} \right)^{-o\epsilon} K_X^{(o)}.
$$
 (2.3)

The scalar products of the momenta appearing above are equipped with an infinitesimal imaginary part, inherited from Feynman's i0 prescription. It is our convention that all momenta are treated as incoming. Consequently, all scalar products are positive such that the term in the bracket in eq.  $(2.3)$  above introduces imaginary parts to the scattering amplitude. If one computes other configurations of scattering of particles (incoming and outgoing), then the corresponding soft-emission current can be derived by the appropriate crossing and analytic continuation according to the i0 prescription indicated earlier. Above,  $a_S$  is related to the bare strong coupling constant  $\alpha_S^0$  by some universal factors.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
a_S = \frac{\alpha_S^0}{\pi} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\mu^2}\right)^{\epsilon} e^{-\gamma_E \epsilon}, \qquad \gamma_E = 0.577216\dots
$$
 (2.4)

The coefficients  $K_X^{(o)}$  $X<sup>(0)</sup>$  have been computed in perturbative QCD at one loop [\[23](#page-22-6)[–26\]](#page-22-8) and two loops  $[27-29, 31]$  $[27-29, 31]$  $[27-29, 31]$ , where only the terms  $K_2^{(o)}$  $2^{(0)}$  are non-zero. From three loops, non-vanishing contributions from  $K_{4A}^{(3)}$  $_{4A}^{(3)}$  and  $K_{4F}^{(3)}$  $d_{4F}^{(3)}$  emerge. The color tensors  $d_{4A}^{abcd}$  and their contractions are defined as follows:

$$
C_4^{R_1R_2} = d_{R_1}^{abcd}d_{R_2}^{abcd}, \qquad d_R^{abcd} = \frac{1}{4!} \left[ \text{Tr} \left( T_R^a T_R^b T_R^c T_R^d \right) + \text{symmetric permutations} \right]. \tag{2.5}
$$

Above  $T_R^a$  are the generators in representation R of a general compact semi-simple Lie algebra; explicitly for the fundamental and adjoint representation we find:

$$
T_{F,\,ij}^a = T_{ij}^a \,, \qquad T_{A,\,ij}^a = -i f^{aij} \,. \tag{2.6}
$$

The labels A and F refer to the adjoint and fundamental representations respectively. For  $SU(n_c)$  we can express the quartic Casimirs in terms of the number of colors  $n_c$ .

$$
C_4^{AA} = \frac{n_c^2}{24}(n_c^2 - 1)(36 + n_c^2), \quad C_4^{AF} = \frac{n_c}{48}(n_c^2 - 1)(6 + n_c^2), \quad C_4^{FF} = \frac{(n_c^2 - 1)(18 - 6n_c^2 + n_c^4)}{96n_c^2}.
$$
\n
$$
(2.7)
$$

One of the main results of this article is the explicit computation of the coefficients  $K_X^{(o)}$ X through three-loop order. These coefficients are stated here explicitly and are also provided as ancillary files to the arXiv submission of this article. Their computation will be discussed in more detail later.

$$
K_2^{(0)} = 1.\t(2.8)
$$

$$
K_2^{(1)} = -C_A \frac{e^{\gamma \epsilon} \Gamma (1 - \epsilon)^3 \Gamma (\epsilon + 1)^2}{4 \epsilon^2 \Gamma (1 - 2\epsilon)}
$$
\n
$$
= C_A \left[ -\frac{1}{4 \epsilon^2} - \frac{\zeta_2}{8} + \frac{7\zeta_3 \epsilon}{12} + \frac{39\zeta_4 \epsilon^2}{64} + \left( \frac{7\zeta_2 \zeta_3}{24} + \frac{31\zeta_5}{20} \right) \epsilon^3 + \left( \frac{1555\zeta_6}{512} - \frac{49\zeta_3^2}{72} \right) \epsilon^4 + \left( -\frac{91}{64} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 + \frac{31\zeta_2 \zeta_5}{40} + \frac{127\zeta_7}{28} \right) \epsilon^5 + \left( -\frac{49}{144} \zeta_2 \zeta_3^2 - \frac{217\zeta_5 \zeta_3}{60} + \frac{37009\zeta_8}{4096} \right) \epsilon^6 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7).
$$
\n(2.9)

$$
K_{2}^{(2)} = C_{A}^{2} \left[ \frac{1}{32\epsilon^{4}} - \frac{11}{192\epsilon^{3}} + \left( \frac{\zeta_{2}}{16} - \frac{67}{576} \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \left( -\frac{11\zeta_{2}}{192} - \frac{11\zeta_{3}}{96} - \frac{193}{864} \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$
(2.10)  
\n
$$
- \frac{67\zeta_{2}}{576} + \frac{341\zeta_{3}}{288} + \frac{7\zeta_{4}}{128} - \frac{571}{1296}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left( -\frac{7}{96}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2} - \frac{139\zeta_{2}}{184\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{2077\zeta_{3}}{864} + \frac{2077\zeta_{3}}{768} - \frac{247\zeta_{5}}{160} - \frac{1705}{1944} \right) \epsilon
$$
\n
$$
+ \left( -\frac{205\zeta_{3}^{2}}{288} + \frac{341\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3}}{288} + \frac{1597\zeta_{3}}{324} - \frac{109\zeta_{2}}{324} + \frac{12395\zeta_{4}}{2304} + \frac{5621\zeta_{5}}{480} - \frac{3307\zeta_{6}}{768} - \frac{5107}{2916} \right) \epsilon^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left( -\frac{10571\zeta_{3}^{2}}{864} + \frac{2077\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3}}{864} - \frac{509\zeta_{4}\zeta_{3}}{384} + \frac{37427\zeta_{3}}{3888} - \frac{2411\zeta_{2}}{3888} + \frac{41105\zeta_{4}}{4100}\epsilon - \frac{219\zeta_{2}\zeta_{5}}{160} + \frac{44237\zeta_{5}}{1440} + \frac{42361\zeta_{6}}{160} + \frac{42361\zeta_{6}}{14
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
K_2^{(3)} = C_A^3 \left[ -\frac{1}{384\epsilon^6} + \frac{11}{768\epsilon^5} + \left( \frac{119}{20736} - \frac{3\zeta_2}{256} \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} + \left( \frac{649\zeta_2}{13824} + \frac{\zeta_3}{96} - \frac{1517}{31104} \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \right]
$$
(2.11)

$$
+\left(\frac{2501\zeta_2}{41472}-\frac{2101\zeta_3}{6912}-\frac{1487\zeta_4}{15432}-\frac{2583\zeta_4}{31104}\right)\frac{1}{e^2} +\left(\frac{11\zeta_3\zeta_2}{1152}+\frac{437\zeta_2}{62208}+\frac{2575\zeta_3}{2304}-\frac{2583\zeta_4}{36864}+\frac{49\zeta_6}{160}-\frac{446705}{559872}\right)\frac{1}{e}+\frac{293\zeta_3^2}{2304} -\frac{2453\zeta_2\zeta_3}{4608}+\frac{203705\zeta_3}{31104}-\frac{12911\zeta_2}{166624}+\frac{493381\zeta_4}{101992}-\frac{26543\zeta_5}{39404}+\frac{445679\zeta_6}{1228523\zeta_4} +\left(-\frac{17149\zeta_3^2}{13824}+\frac{2103\zeta_2\zeta_3}{13824}+\frac{42\zeta_3\zeta_3}{2884}+\frac{2330483\zeta_3}{33312}-\frac{49377\zeta_2}{1119744}+\frac{1228533\zeta_4}{55296} +\frac{9773\zeta_2\zeta_5}{5760}+\frac{262597\zeta_5}{16128}-\frac{25965643\zeta_6}{6816128}-\frac{151631\zeta_7}{6718464}\right)e
$$
  

$$
+\left(\frac{469\zeta_{5,3}}{18024} -\frac{5363867\zeta_2}{6718464}+\frac{42678481\zeta_4}{497664}-\frac{71533\zeta_2\zeta_5}{7686}+\frac{83837\zeta_5}{36864}\right)
$$
  

$$
+\frac{15854467\zeta_3}{1862
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} &+\frac{11 \zeta_2}{432}+\frac{325 \zeta_3}{1944}+\frac{1229 \zeta_4}{6912}+\frac{10}{6561}+\left(\frac{65 \zeta_3 \zeta_2}{864}+\frac{187 \zeta_2}{1458}+\frac{37 \zeta_3}{72}+\frac{6145 \zeta_4}{10368} \right.\\ &+\frac{2521 \zeta_5}{2160}+\frac{190}{6561}\bigg)\epsilon+\bigg(-\frac{4225 \zeta_3^2}{2592}+\frac{325 \zeta_2 \zeta_3}{1296}+\frac{2632 \zeta_3}{2187}+\frac{1058 \zeta_2}{2187}+\frac{9355 \zeta_4}{3456} \right.\\ &\left.+\frac{2521 \zeta_5}{648}+\frac{999593 \zeta_6}{165888}+\frac{6614}{59049}\bigg)\epsilon^2\bigg]. \end{aligned}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
K_{4A}^{(0)} = K_{4A}^{(1)} = K_{4A}^{(2)} = 0.
$$
\n
$$
K_{4A}^{(3)} = \left(\frac{\zeta_2 \zeta_3}{8} + \frac{\zeta_5}{16}\right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{3\zeta_3^2}{4} - \frac{\zeta_3}{12} + \frac{\zeta_2}{4} - \frac{55\zeta_5}{24} + \frac{235\zeta_6}{64}
$$
\n
$$
(2.12)
$$
\n
$$
(2.13)
$$

$$
+\left(-\frac{77\zeta_3^2}{12} + \frac{139\zeta_4\zeta_3}{32} + \frac{53\zeta_3}{72} + \frac{13\zeta_2}{8} - \frac{13\zeta_4}{16} + \frac{187\zeta_2\zeta_5}{32} - \frac{335\zeta_5}{72} - \frac{55\zeta_6}{8} + \frac{63\zeta_7}{4}\right)\epsilon
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left(-\frac{459\zeta_{5,3}}{20} + \frac{15}{8}\zeta_2\zeta_3^2 - \frac{469\zeta_3^2}{36} - \frac{19\zeta_2\zeta_3}{8} - \frac{77\zeta_4\zeta_3}{4} - \frac{343\zeta_5\zeta_3}{16} + \frac{665\zeta_3}{108} + \frac{97\zeta_2}{12} + \frac{157\zeta_4}{12} - \frac{55\zeta_2\zeta_5}{16} - \frac{3163\zeta_5}{216} - \frac{335\zeta_6}{24} - \frac{1705\zeta_7}{16} + \frac{1306649\zeta_8}{5760}\right)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3).
$$

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
K_{4F}^{(0)} = K_{4F}^{(1)} = K_{4F}^{(2)} = 0.
$$
\n(2.14)\n
$$
K_{4F}^{(3)} = -\frac{\zeta_2}{2} + \frac{\zeta_3}{6} + \frac{5\zeta_5}{6} + \left(\frac{7\zeta_3^2}{3} - \frac{47\zeta_3}{36} - \frac{15\zeta_2}{4} + \frac{13\zeta_4}{8} + \frac{25\zeta_5}{18} + \frac{5\zeta_6}{2}\right)\epsilon
$$
\n(2.15)\n
$$
\left(\frac{35\zeta_3^2}{9} + \frac{19\zeta_2\zeta_3}{4} + 7\zeta_4\zeta_3 - \frac{1471\zeta_3}{108} - \frac{239\zeta_2}{12} - \frac{589\zeta_4}{24} + \frac{5\zeta_2\zeta_5}{4} + \frac{1423\zeta_5}{108} + \frac{25\zeta_6}{6} + \frac{155\zeta_7}{4}\right)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3).
$$
\n(2.14)

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>3 Calculation of the soft limit of scattering amplitudes

In order to compute three-loop corrections to the single-soft emission current, we calculate the soft limit of physical scattering amplitudes. In particular, we compute the limit of the scattering amplitude involving a Higgs boson and three gluons, as well as the limit of the amplitude involving an off-shell transverse photon, a gluon, and a quark-antiquark pair. These scattering amplitudes at three-loop order are contributions to the production cross section of a Higgs boson or vector boson in association with a jet at the LHC at N3LO in perturbative QCD, for example. For the purposes of our calculation, we work in a kinematic configuration where the color singlet boson (with momentum  $p_1$ ) decays to three color charged partons (with momenta  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , and  $p_4$ ).

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
h(p_1) \to g(p_2) g(p_3) g(p_4), \qquad \gamma^*(p_1) \to q(p_2) \bar{q}(p_3) g(p_4). \tag{3.1}
$$

These scattering amplitudes were computed through two-loop order in refs. [\[67](#page-25-2)[–72](#page-25-3)], and first results for planar contributions to the virtual photon amplitudes have appeared recently

in ref. [\[73](#page-25-4)]. However, a complete set of three-loop amplitudes is still elusive. In the case of Higgs boson scattering amplitudes, we work in the limit where the top quark is treated as infinitely massive and its degrees of freedom are integrated out [\[74](#page-25-5)[–77](#page-25-6)]. As a result, a dimension-five operator is introduced that couples [\[78](#page-25-7)[–81](#page-25-8)] the Higgs boson directly to the gluon field strength. We treat all quarks as massless and work with  $n_f$  light quark degrees of freedom.

We create the integrands for our desired scattering amplitudes by generating Feynman diagrams using the QGRAF program [\[82\]](#page-25-9) and dressing them with QCD Feynman rules. To facilitate our computation, we define suitable projectors to Lorentz tensor structures whose scalar coefficients we compute in the so-called conventional dimensional regularization (CDR) scheme employing standard methods.

Once we obtain scalar integrals, it is our goal to avoid the complexity of computing full, three-loop scattering amplitudes. Instead, we develop a new technique, based on the method of regions  $[83]$ , to expand the scalar integrands around the limit of the gluon momentum  $p_4$ becoming soft (i.e.  $p_4 \rightarrow 0$ ). We identify that the contribution to the single-soft emission current is provided by the *maximally soft regions* of our integrals. In these regions, all the loop momenta are equally as soft as the external soft gluon with momentum  $p_4$ . We keep only the first term in the expansion for both the Higgs boson and virtual photon amplitudes. More details regarding this expansion technique will be discussed in section [4.](#page-10-0)

Once an expanded scalar integrand is obtained, we use standard multi-loop techniques in order to integrate over all the loop momenta. First, we use integration-by-part (IBP) identities [\[50](#page-24-2)[–52](#page-24-3)] in the form of the Laporta algorithm [\[50](#page-24-2)] to relate all the soft Feynman integrals to a set of soft master integrals. These soft master integrals only depend on the external kinematics via an overall multiplicative prefactor [\[84\]](#page-26-0). For example, one soft integral contributing at two-loop order is given by

$$
I = \int \frac{d^d p_5}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d^d p_6}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[p_5^2][(p_5 - p_6)^2][(p_5 - p_6)^2][(p_5 - p_6 - p_4)^2]}
$$
\n
$$
\times \frac{1}{[2p_2 p_5] [-2p_3 p_6] [-2p_3 p_5] [2p_2 p_4 + 2p_2 p_6]}.
$$
\n(3.2)

All propagators involving the hard external momenta  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  were linearized in the expansion procedure. Consequently, it is now possible to read off the integer power of the dependence of the integral on  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  directly from the integrand (see for example refs. [\[48,](#page-24-12) [85](#page-26-1)] for details). It is exactly this property, in addition to knowing the overall energy dimension of the integral, that fixes all kinematic dependence of the integral and determines it up to a function in the space-time dimension d:

$$
I = (2p_2p_3)^{-1+2\epsilon} (2p_2p_4)^{-1-2\epsilon} (2p_3p_4)^{-1-2\epsilon} F(\epsilon).
$$
 (3.3)

Especially at three-loop order, computing the remaining soft master integrals using straightforward integration techniques is challenging. Thus, we follow a different path and temporarily undo our soft expansion for all those propagators depending on one of the hard external momenta,  $p_3$ .

$$
\frac{1}{[-2p_3p_6][-2p_3p_5]} \rightarrow \frac{1}{[(p_6-p_3)^2][(p_5-p_3)^2]}.
$$
\n(3.4)

It is now no longer possible to read off the dependence of the integral on  $p_3$  from the integrand, and the result will consequently be a nontrivial function of the dimensionless ratio

$$
w = \frac{s_{24}}{s_{23}}, \qquad s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2.
$$
 (3.5)

We now apply the method of differential equations [\[53](#page-24-4)[–57\]](#page-24-5) to determine our integrals as a function of  $w$ . To accomplish this, we transform the differential equations into the canonical form [\[53](#page-24-4)] using algorithmic techniques [\[86](#page-26-2)]. The solutions to these differential equations can be expressed in terms of harmonic polylogarithms in  $w$  [\[58](#page-24-6)]. However, the differential equations determine the master integrals only up to boundary constants. To constrain them, we first compute differential equations for master integrals undoing our soft-expansion for propagators involving  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  separately. We then demand that the solutions are consistent among themselves when taking the strict soft limit  $w \to 0$ . Demanding consistency relations from our system of differential equations, as in refs. [\[60\]](#page-24-8) and [\[61](#page-24-13)], relates all the required boundary conditions to one soft master integral, which is easily computed using direct integration in parameter space. Consequently, we determine all soft master integrals through transcendental weight eight.

The soft master integrals, which serve as building blocks for the computation of the single-soft emission current at three loops, are one of the main results of this article. In total, we compute 50 soft master integrals and label them with an index  $i$ :

$$
M^{i} \equiv (4\pi)^{-3\epsilon} e^{3\gamma_E \epsilon} \left( \frac{(-s_{24})(-s_{34})}{(-s_{23})} \right)^{3\epsilon} \int \frac{d^d p_5}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d^d p_6}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d^d p_7}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{I}_i \Big|_{s_{23} = s_{24} = s_{34} = 1} . \tag{3.6}
$$

Above, we set all kinematic Mandelstam invariants to unity and remove non-rational dependence on them via a universal prefactor. Furthermore, we anticipate the renormalization of the strong coupling constant and absorb some  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme prefactors. The integrand  $\mathcal{I}_i$ is a rational function of the Lorentz invariant scalar products of the internal loop momenta  $\{p_5, p_6, p_7\}$  and the external parton momenta  $\{p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ . The soft integrals are related to canonical soft master integrals (i.e. functions of pure transcendental weight) by a linear transformation of the vector of soft master integrals.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\vec{M} = T_{\text{can.}}(\epsilon) \cdot \vec{M}_c.
$$
\n(3.7)

The matrix  $T_{\text{can.}}(\epsilon)$  only depends on the dimensional regulator and rational numbers. We provide the integrands  $\mathcal{I}_i$ , the transformation matrix  $T_{\text{can.}}$ , and solution to the canonical master integrals  $M_c^i$  in ancillary files together with the arXiv submission of this article.

Having calculated the strict soft limit of our scattering amplitudes, we can now extract the coefficients  $K_X^{(o)}$  of eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) by identifying

$$
\lim_{\substack{\text{maximally\\p_4 \to 0}} \mathcal{A}_{p_1 \to p_2 p_3 p_4} = \mathbf{J}(p_4) \mathcal{A}_{p_1 \to p_2 p_3}^{(0)}.
$$
\n(3.8)

Above,  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  is the tree-level scattering amplitude for the  $2 \to 1$  Born process not involving the soft gluon with momentum  $p_4$ . We find complete agreement of our computation of the

coefficients of the single-soft emission current from our  $h \to ggg$  and  $\gamma^* \to q\bar{q}g$  amplitude, which serves as a strong consistency check of our computation and of the color structure identified in eq.  $(2.2)$ .

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>4 Regions in the soft expansion

In this section, we develop a method for the expansion of scattering amplitudes in the limit of a set of partons becoming very low energetic (i.e. soft). The decay processes we introduced in eq.  $(3.1)$  is a specific case to which we apply this new technology. First, we introduce a general set-up which contains our expansion as a special case. Next, we explain how to identify the subgraphs which correspond to the regions of the expansion. Finally, we discuss the particular soft expansion of Feynman diagrams in our concrete setting.

To set up our expansion technique, we divide the external momenta of a Feynman graph into the following three categories:

- (1) K massless momenta  $p_i$ ,
- (2) L off-shell momenta  $q_i$ ,
- (3) M soft massless momenta  $l_k$ .

We define the soft expansion of a graph as an expansion around the limit  $l_k \to 0$ . Scalar products involving of momenta including  $l_k$  are consequently much smaller than scalar products not involving any  $l_k$ . Introducing a small parameter  $\lambda$  and a hard reference scale Q, we find

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
p_i^2 = 0 \quad (i = 1, ..., K), \quad q_j^2 \sim Q^2 \quad (j = 1, ..., L), \quad l_k^2 = 0 \quad (k = 1, ..., M), \tag{4.1a}
$$

$$
p_{i_1} \cdot p_{i_2} \sim Q^2 \quad (i_1 \neq i_2), \quad p_i \cdot l_k \sim q_j \cdot l_k \sim \lambda Q^2, \quad l_{k_1} \cdot l_{k_2} \sim \lambda^2 Q^2 \quad (k_1 \neq k_2). \tag{4.1b}
$$

Our strategy of identifying the regions in the soft expansion is based on the observation that each region R (see figure  $1a$ ) must conform with the solutions of the Landau equations [\[87](#page-26-3)]. Furthermore, once all the external soft momenta  $l_k$  are removed from R, the resulting configuration  $R'$  (see figure [1b\)](#page-11-0) must be a region in the on-shell expansion developed in ref. [\[40\]](#page-23-12). In other words, the regions in the soft expansion can be derived from those in the on-shell expansion with additional requirements. The regions in the on-shell expansion have been studied in detail in ref. [\[40\]](#page-23-12), and in particular, a graph-finding algorithm was developed to obtain the complete list of regions from the given Feynman graph. Here, we aim to leverage this knowledge to straightforwardly identify the regions in the soft expansion. To this end, we will first review the graph-finding algorithm for the on-shell expansion and then delve into the generic configuration of regions in the soft expansion.

#### <span id="page-10-1"></span>4.1 The graph-finding algorithm for on-shell expansions

In the context of an on-shell expansion of wide-angle scattering, the Feynman graphs feature on-shell external momenta  $p_1, \ldots, p_K$  and off-shell external momenta  $q_1, \ldots, q_L$ , satisfying

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
p_i^2 \sim \lambda Q^2 \quad (i = 1, ..., K), \quad q_j^2 \sim Q^2 \quad (j = 1, ..., L), \quad p_{i_1} \cdot p_{i_2} \sim Q^2 \quad (i_1 \neq i_2). \tag{4.2}
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 1: The partitioning of a generic wide-angle scattering graph into infrared subgraphs corresponding to a particular region (a) R in the soft expansion, eq.  $(4.1)$ , and (b) R' in the on-shell expansion, eq.  $(4.2)$ . The doubled lines connecting different blobs represent any number of propagators.

In contrast to the soft expansion defined in eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-10-2), there are no soft external momenta present here, and every on-shell momentum  $p_i$  is slightly off its corresponding lightcone.

A graph-finding algorithm has been provided in ref. [\[40\]](#page-23-12), along with a rigorous proof demonstrating that this algorithm generates all the regions for the on-shell expansion. This allows us to comprehend the structures of these regions and derive them more efficiently by circumventing the approach of constructing Newton polytopes in ref. [\[41](#page-23-13)[–44](#page-23-16)].

A key concept in this graph-finding algorithm is that of mojetic graphs. We call a graph mojetic if it becomes *one-vertex irreducible* after connecting all of its external edges to an auxiliary vertex. Note that a graph is called one-vertex irreducible if it remains connected after the removal of any one of its vertices. The algorithm can then be described by the following steps.

- Step 1: For each nearly on-shell external momentum  $p_i$   $(i = 1, ..., K)$ , we draw a cut through a set of edges  $\{e_c\}$  such that:
	- 1,  ${e_c}$  disconnects a graph G into two connected subgraphs, one of which, denoted by  $\hat{\gamma}_i$ , is attached by  $p_i$  only;
	- 2, the graph  $\gamma_i \equiv \hat{\gamma}_i \cup \{e_c\}$  is mojetic.
- Step 2: For all possible sets  $\{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_K\}$ , we overlay the graphs  $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_K$  and associate the edges  $e \in G$  to certain subgraphs as follows. If e has been assigned to two or more  $\gamma_i$ , it belongs to the soft subgraph S; if e has been assigned to exactly one  $\gamma_i$ , it belongs to the jet subgraph  $J_i$ ; if e has not been assigned to any  $\gamma_i$ , it belongs to H. Let us also denote  $J \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^K J_i$ .

• Step 3: We now require that the result obtained in Step 2 satisfies the following three further conditions: (i) each jet subgraph  $J_i$  is connected; (ii) each hard subgraph  $H$ is connected; (iii) each of the K subgraphs  $H \cup J \setminus J_i$   $(i = 1, ..., K)$  is mojetic. The region would be ruled out if any of these conditions are not satisfied.

Let us illustrate how this algorithm works through the following example of a  $3 \times 2$  fishnet graph, which has four on-shell external momenta  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  and  $p_4$ . A choice of the graphs  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma_3$  and  $\gamma_4$ , which satisfy the conditions outlined in *Step 1*, is shown below. Note that in each figure, the edges  $\{e_c\}$  are cut by the dotted curve.



Overlaying all these subgraphs according to Step 2 leads to

<span id="page-12-1"></span>

where the soft subgraph consists of four disconnected components. It is straightforward to verify that the hard and jet subgraphs are all connected, and  $H \cup J \setminus J_i$  is mojetic for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . As a result, the conditions of Step 3 are automatically satisfied, implying that the configuration in eq.  $(4.4)$  is a valid region. By considering all the possible choices of  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4\}$ , one obtains the complete list of regions that appear in the on-shell expansion.

We emphasize that the output of  $Step 1$  and 2 should be discarded if it does not satisfy any of the conditions in Step 3. Examples of such cases are given in section 4.3 of ref. [\[40\]](#page-23-12).

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>4.2 Regions for the single-soft expansion with two colored partons

We now consider the soft expansion for the decay processes of eq.  $(3.1)$ , where  $p_1$  is off-shell while  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , and  $p_4$  are on shell. Moreover,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  are in distinct directions and  $p_4$  is

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

**Figure 2:** The general configuration of regions in the process of eq.  $(3.1)$ , where there are internal soft propagators. The external momenta  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , and  $p_4$  attach to  $H$ ,  $J_2$ ,  $J_3$ , and S, respectively.

soft. The kinematic limit can be summarized as

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
p_1^2 \sim Q^2, \qquad p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_4^2 = 0,\tag{4.5a}
$$

$$
p_1 \cdot p_4 \sim p_2 \cdot p_4 \sim p_3 \cdot p_4 \sim \lambda Q^2, \qquad p_1 \cdot p_2 \sim Q^2,\tag{4.5b}
$$

which is a special case of soft expansion described in the beginning of this section in eq.  $(4.1)$ .

Note that in this particular case, where  $p_4$  is the unique soft external momentum, additional requirements of the configurations of  $H$ ,  $J$ , and  $S$  are needed [\[87](#page-26-3)], giving the following possibilities.

- If there are no internal soft propagators, then there can be at most one nontrivial<sup>[1](#page-13-0)</sup> jet  $J_i$  (i = 2 or 3), to which  $p_4$  directly attached. In the special case that neither  $J_2$  or  $J_3$ is nontrivial, the region is referred to as the hard region, where all the loop momenta are equally off shell.
- If there are internal soft propagators, then each component of  $S$  must be adjacent to both  $J_2$  and  $J_3$ . In addition,  $p_4$  must enter a soft vertex.

In general, such regions are depicted in figure [2,](#page-13-1) where the hard, jet, and soft subgraphs satisfy:

- a) the hard subgraph H is connected and attached by  $p_1$ ;
- b) the jet subgraphs  $J_2$  and  $J_3$  are both connected and adjacent to  $H$ , and are attached by  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  respectively;
- c) the soft subgraph  $S$  is attached by  $p_4$ , and each of its connected components is adjacent to both  $J_2$  and  $J_3$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span> ${}^{1}$ A jet is called nontrivial if it has one or more edges.

This is illustrated below with some examples of regions (marked  $\checkmark$ ) and non-region configurations (marked  $\blacktriangleright$ ).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

In particular, the maximally soft region feature the following configuration: there is a unique path connecting  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and carrying momenta that are either hard or collinear to  $p_2$ ; meanwhile there is a unique path connecting  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and carrying momenta that are either hard or collinear to  $p_3$ ; all the remaining propagators carry soft momenta. Examples of maximally soft regions include graphs  $(c)$  and  $(d)$  in  $(4.6)$ .

To expand a particular Feynman integral, we first find all the allowed maximally soft regions. For each of these regions we then need to find a loop momentum parameterization, such that the leading term of the propagators associated with the edges of the corresponding graph in that region scale accordingly. Loop momentum parameterizations doing this job are not unique, but can be generated straightforwardly, by checking that the spanning tree T dual to the edges carrying the chosen loop momenta has the maximum weight  $\omega_T$ , according to the definition:

$$
\omega_T(R) \equiv \sum_{e \notin T} \omega_e(R). \tag{4.7}
$$

Here  $\omega_e = 0, 1, 2$  if the edge e belongs to a hard, jet or soft subgraph respectively. A simple and relatively cheap algorithm is given by just computing  $\omega_T$  for all the possible spanning trees and keeping only those loop momentum parameterizations with the maximum weight. Any one of these will suffice.

Performing an explicit scaling of all the soft loop momenta with the expansion parameter  $\lambda$  then allows us to perform a simple Laurent series expansion at the integrand level. Finally, we may set  $\lambda = 1$  and perform the integration over loop momenta, as discussed in the previous section. We would like to emphasize that our method allows us to expand beyond the leading power in the soft expansion. In general, it allows us to compute the expansion of our scattering amplitudes to as high a power as desired.

Our analyses above is sufficient to develop soft expansions for the  $p_1 \rightarrow p_2p_3p_4$  process, namely, eq. [\(4.5\)](#page-13-2). Based on the findings of ref. [\[87](#page-26-3)], our method can be readily extended to soft expansions for generic wide-angle scattering, eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-10-2).

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>5 Renormalization and infrared pole structure

In this section, we briefly describe the renormalization and subtraction of singularities of the bare emission current. In general, the infrared and ultraviolet singularities of a scattering amplitude computed in perturbative QCD can be subtracted to yield a finite remainder using the following definitions.

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}_f(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}) = \mathbf{Z}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_{UV} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}, \epsilon).
$$
 (5.1)

The factor  $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{UV}}$  implements the renormalization of the strong coupling constant in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and  $\epsilon$  is the dimensional regulator related to the space-time dimension by  $d = 4-2\epsilon$ .

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{\rm UV}\alpha_S = \alpha_S(\mu^2) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{4\pi}\right)^{-\epsilon} e^{\gamma_E \epsilon} Z_{\alpha_S}.
$$
 (5.2)

The factor  $Z_{\alpha_S}$  is given in terms of the  $\beta$ -function [\[88](#page-26-4)[–93\]](#page-26-5) through three loops in QCD by the following expression.

$$
Z_{\alpha_S} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_S(\mu^2)}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \beta_0 + \left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu^2)}{\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \beta_0^2 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \beta_1\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu^2)}{\pi}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \beta_0^3 - \frac{7}{6\epsilon^2} \beta_0 \beta_1 + \frac{1}{3\epsilon} \beta_2\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^4).
$$
 (5.3)

The factor  $\mathbf{Z}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon)$  is an operator in color space and implements the universal subtraction of infrared and collinear singularities of loop amplitudes  $[94-101]$ . It can be expressed in terms of the *soft anomalous dimension matrix*  $\Gamma(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon)$  by the following path ordered exponential.

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{Z}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\mu^2} \frac{d\mu'^2}{\mu'^2} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha_S(\mu'^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon)\right\},\tag{5.4}
$$

with

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\Gamma(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon) = \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_i^a \mathbf{T}_j^a \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_S(\mu^2)) \log \frac{-s_{ij}}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \mathbb{1} \gamma_c^{R_i} + \Delta(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}). \tag{5.5}
$$

Above,  $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$  refers to the cusp anomalous dimension [\[99](#page-26-8)], which is currently known exactly through four-loop order  $[102, 103]$  $[102, 103]$  $[102, 103]$ , and approximately at five loops  $[104]$ . Furthermore,  $\gamma_c^R$  is the collinear anomalous dimension, obtained through four-loop order in refs. [\[103,](#page-26-10) [105\]](#page-27-1). The formula above was derived and calculated through three-loop order in ref. [\[94](#page-26-6)] and verified in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory [\[106](#page-27-2)] and QCD [\[107](#page-27-3)[–109](#page-27-4)]. In ref. [\[101](#page-26-7)], its general structure was determined at four-loop order. The term  $\mathbf{\Delta}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\})$  is known as the correction to the dipole formula and starts at three-loop order. As the name suggests,

it contains contributions where the color operator acts on more than two color-charged external particles simultaneously for the first time. This term can be further decomposed as follows.

$$
\mathbf{\Delta}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}) = \left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu^2)}{\pi}\right)^3 \left[\mathbf{\Delta}_3^{(3)} + \mathbf{\Delta}_4^{(3)}(\{p_i\})\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_S^4\right). \tag{5.6}
$$

The expression  $\mathbf{\Delta}_4^{(3)}$  $\binom{3}{4}$  ( $\{p_i\}$ ) is known as the quadruple correction and involves color correlations among four or more different color-charged particles. Consequently, this term will not contribute to the scattering amplitudes we consider here. The term  $\Delta_3^{(3)}$  $_3^{(3)}$  relates three different color-charged external particles and is explicitly given by

$$
\mathbf{\Delta}_{3}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} C f_{abe} f_{cde} \sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{i}^{a}, \mathbf{T}_{i}^{d} \right\} \mathbf{T}_{j}^{b} \mathbf{T}_{k}^{c} , \qquad (5.7)
$$

with the constant  $C = \zeta_5 + 2\zeta_3\zeta_2$ . The color operators  $\mathbf{T}_i^a$  are defined below, via their actions on an outgoing quark, antiquark and gluon.

$$
\mathbf{T}_i^a \epsilon(p_i)_b^\mu = -i f^{abc} \epsilon_c^\mu(p_i).
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{T}_i^a \bar{u}_k(p_i) = T_{jk}^a \bar{u}_j(p_i).
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{T}_i^a u_k(p_i) = -T_{kj}^a u_j(p_i).
$$
\n(5.8)

We are particularly interested in scattering amplitudes involving three final-state gluons (qqq) or the combination of a final-state quark-antiquark pair and a gluon ( $q\bar{q}g$ ). With the definitions above, we can now evaluate the action of the operator given in eq. [\(5.5\)](#page-15-1) on such an amplitude.

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2}), \{p_{i}\}, \epsilon) \mathcal{A}_{ggg} \qquad (5.9)
$$
\n
$$
= \left[ -C_{A}\Gamma_{\text{cusp.}} \left( \log \frac{-s_{12}}{\mu^{2}} + \log \frac{-s_{13}}{\mu^{2}} + \log \frac{-s_{23}}{\mu^{2}} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{c}^{A} \right. \\
\left. - \frac{C}{8} \left( \frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{3} \left( C_{A}^{3} - 24 \frac{C_{4}^{A A}}{d_{A} T_{A}} \right) \right] \mathcal{A}_{ggg}.
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2}), \{p_{i}\}, \epsilon) \mathcal{A}_{q\bar{q}g} \qquad (5.10)
$$
\n
$$
= \left[ -\Gamma_{\text{cusp.}} \left( -(C_{A} - 2C_{F}) \log \frac{-s_{12}}{\mu^{2}} + C_{A} \log \frac{-s_{13}}{\mu^{2}} + C_{A} \log \frac{-s_{23}}{\mu^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{c}^{A} + \gamma_{c}^{F} - \frac{C}{8} \left( \frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{3} \left( C_{A}^{3} - 24 \frac{C_{4}^{AF}}{d_{A} T_{F}} \right) \right] \mathcal{A}_{q\bar{q}g}.
$$
\n(5.10)

Note that the formulae above are valid up to three loops. The action of the soft anomalous dimension operator on our amplitudes is diagonal in color space such that the subtraction of infrared singularities becomes multiplicative. We now want to make use of the factorization introduced in eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-3-2) in order to simplify the subtraction of infrared poles. By rewriting eq.  $(2.1)$  for finite amplitudes, we find

$$
\mathbf{Z}(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_{\rm UV} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}, \epsilon)
$$

$$
= [\mathbf{Z}_{J}(\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2}), \{p_{i}\}, \epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}} \mathbf{J}(p_{4})] \times [\mathbf{Z}(\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2}), \{p_{i}\}, \epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}} \mathcal{F}_{1 \to 23} \mathcal{A}_{1 \to 23}^{(0)}]. \quad (5.11)
$$

The action of  $\Gamma$  on the amplitudes  $\mathcal{A}_{1\rightarrow 23}$  is given by

$$
\Gamma(\alpha_S(\mu^2), \{p_i\}, \epsilon) \mathcal{A}_{1 \to 23} = \left[ -2C_R \Gamma_{\text{cusp.}} \log \frac{-s_{12}}{\mu^2} + \gamma_c^R \right] \mathcal{A}_{1 \to 23}.
$$
 (5.12)

Above, the sub- or superscript  $R$  indicates the color representations of the colored particles of  $A_{1\rightarrow 23}$ . This result can now be used in order to find

$$
\Gamma_{J}(\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2}), \{p_{i}\}, \epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_{\text{UV}} \mathbf{J}(p_{4})
$$
\n
$$
= \left[ C_{A} \Gamma_{\text{cusp.}} \left( \log \frac{-s_{12}}{\mu^{2}} - \log \frac{-s_{13}}{\mu^{2}} - \log \frac{-s_{23}}{\mu^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{c}^{A} - \frac{C}{8} \left( \frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{3} \left( C_{A}^{3} - 24 \frac{C_{4}^{AR}}{d_{A} T_{R}} \right) \right] \mathbf{Z}_{\text{UV}} \mathbf{J}(p_{4}).
$$
\n
$$
(5.13)
$$

Next, we perform the integration over  $\mu'^2$  in eq. [\(5.4\)](#page-15-2) and consequently obtain the necessary ingredients to remove all infrared singularities of the single-soft emission current. Indeed, we find that our results are finite once the subtraction procedure of eq. [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3) is complete. The fact that the poles of the soft emission current computed from  $\gamma^* \to q\bar{q}g$  amplitude and the  $h \rightarrow ggg$  amplitude agree with the prediction based on the soft anomalous dimension matrix discussed here is a robust cross-check of our results.

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>6 The soft current in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  super Yang-Mills theory

Maximally super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory  $(\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ sYM})$  is an excellent testing ground for many aspects of four-dimensional non-abelian gauge theory. It has countless times served as a laboratory to explore perturbation theory to perturbative orders simply not accessible in realistic theories like QCD. One particular observation is that there is an interesting similarity between QCD and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  sYM: The leading transcendental part of the perturbative expansion of certain quantities agrees among the two theories  $[64, 65]$  $[64, 65]$ . This correspondence even holds true for certain form factors of operators of the stress tensor multiplet [\[110](#page-27-5)[–113](#page-27-6)]. In particular, the form factor of three on-shell states  $\Phi$  and the trace of two scalar fields  $\phi$ ,

$$
\mathcal{F}_2 = \int d^d x \langle \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3 | \phi^I(x) \phi^I(x) | 0 \rangle, \tag{6.1}
$$

corresponds to the amplitude of a Higgs boson decaying to three gluons in QCD. This form factor has been of great interest to the community [\[63,](#page-24-10) [114](#page-27-7)[–120](#page-27-8)] and was recently computed to staggering eight-loop accuracy in the planar limit of the theory [\[121](#page-27-9)].

Similar to the QCD case discussed above, the soft limit of these form factors can be used to extract the soft current in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  sYM theory. To achieve this, we start by using the integrand for the form factor determined in ref. [\[63](#page-24-10)] at two- and three-loop order. We then apply our integrand expansion technology and compute the first term in the maximally soft limit of this form factor. We obtain a pure function (i.e. of maximal transcendental weight) for both the two- and three-loop result. We then compare our result with the maximally soft

limit of the decay form factor of a Higgs to three gluons in QCD. Indeed, we find that these two results agree to all orders in the dimensional regulator for the leading transcendental contribution. Consequently, we determine that the single-soft emission current in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM theory is identical to the leading transcendental part of the QCD results quoted above. This validates the principle of maximal transcendentality for the quantity computed here.

We find that the maximally soft limit of the  $\mathcal{F}_2$  form factor at three-loop order relative to its Born contribution can be cast in the following form.

$$
\mathcal{F}_2^{(3)} / \mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} = \frac{a_S^3 \pi^3 i}{2^{11} \times 3^6 \times 5 \times \epsilon^6} \left( \frac{(-2p_2 p_4)(-2p_3 p_4)}{(-2p_2 p_3)\mu^2} \right)^{-3\epsilon} \left[ C_A^3 F^{(3),\mathrm{P}} + \frac{C_4^{AA}}{d_A T_A} F^{(3),\mathrm{NP}} \right]. \tag{6.2}
$$

In the equation above, we defined two uniform transcendental functions that we present here as an integer-linear combination of our canonical soft master integrals defined in eq. [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0). We would like to emphasize that the solution of the canonical soft master integrals we provide is valid only up to transcendental weight 8. In contrast, the expressions below are correct to arbitrary order of the Laurent expansion in  $\epsilon$ .

$$
F^{(3),P} = -3996M_c^{50} + 4032M_c^{49} + 1350M_c^{48} - 8640M_c^{47} - 12960M_c^{46} + 3807M_c^{45}
$$
 (6.3)  
+6156M\_c^{43} + 720M\_c^{41} + 702M\_c^{40} + 5400M\_c^{39} - 3240M\_c^{37} - 1125M\_c^{36} - 6570M\_c^{35}  
+360M\_c^{33} - 12960M\_c^{31} - 540M\_c^{28} - 2376M\_c^{26} + 1890M\_c^{25} + 5184M\_c^{24}  
+9720M\_c^{23} + 16200M\_c^{21} - 560M\_c^{20} + 8076M\_c^{19} - 120M\_c^{18} - 116640M\_c^{17}  
-1944M\_c^{16} - 4860M\_c^{15} - 180M\_c^{13} + 103680M\_c^{12} - 936M\_c^{11} + 16200M\_c^{10}  
-19440M\_c^9 - 378M\_c^8 - 7344M\_c^7 - 3240M\_c^6 + 6480M\_c^5 + 864M\_c^4 - 15552M\_c^1.

$$
F^{(3),\text{NP}} = 95904 M_c^{50} - 96768 M_c^{49} - 32400 M_c^{48} - 103680 M_c^{47} - 91368 M_c^{45} - 147744 M_c^{43} - 16848 M_c^{40} - 129600 M_c^{39} + 77760 M_c^{37} + 27000 M_c^{36} + 157680 M_c^{35} + 4320 M_c^{33} + 12960 M_c^{28} + 57024 M_c^{26} - 45360 M_c^{25} + 62208 M_c^{24} - 233280 M_c^{23} + 67392 M_c^{21} + 13440 M_c^{20} + 75744 M_c^{19} + 2880 M_c^{18} + 46656 M_c^{16} + 4320 M_c^{13} + 22464 M_c^{11} + 77760 M_c^{10} + 466560 M_c^{9} + 9072 M_c^{8} + 176256 M_c^{7} + 77760 M_c^{6} - 155520 M_c^{5} + 10368 M_c^{4}.
$$
 (6.4)

Above we introduced

$$
d_A = (n_c^2 - 1), \qquad T_A = n_c. \tag{6.5}
$$

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>7 Single-real threshold contribution to the Higgs boson and Drell-Yan production cross sections at N<sup>4</sup>LO

The inclusive gluon fusion Higgs boson production cross section and the Drell-Yan production cross section of an electron-positron pair are some of the most important LHC observables. Currently, their predictions are known through  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$  in perturbative QCD [\[122](#page-28-0)[–125\]](#page-28-1). Going beyond the current state of the art is a formidable challenge and we present here a first contribution towards this step.

The LHC cross sections for the production of a virtual photon or a Higgs boson in gluon fusion in the infinite top quark mass limit is described by the following factorization formula.

$$
\sigma_B = \tau \hat{\sigma}_0^B C_B^2 \sum_{ij} f_i(\tau) \circ_\tau \eta_{ij}^B(\tau) \circ_\tau f_j(\tau), \qquad B \in \{H, \gamma^*\}. \tag{7.1}
$$

Above, the  $f_i$  are parton distribution functions (PDFs),  $\hat{\sigma}_0^B$  represents the partonic Born cross section, and we define the ratio  $\tau = Q^2/S$ , such that Q is the virtuality of the virtual photon or the mass of the Higgs boson, and  $S$  is the hadronic center-of-mass energy. The PDFs are convoluted with the partonic coefficient functions using standard Mellin convolutions indicated by the symbol  $\circ$ . The partonic coefficient functions  $\eta_{ij}^B$  are given by

$$
\eta_{ij}^B(z) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{ij}}{2Q^2 \hat{\sigma}_0^B} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d\Phi_{B+m} \mathcal{M}_{ij \to B+m}.
$$
 (7.2)

The normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}_{ij}$  depends on the initial state and is given by

$$
\mathcal{N}_{gg} = \frac{1}{4(n_c^2 - 1)^2 (1 - \epsilon)^2}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{4n_c^2}, \tag{7.3}
$$

where g, q and  $\bar{q}$  represent a gluon, quark and anti-quark respectively, and  $n_c$  denotes the number of colors. The coefficient  $C_B$  is simply unity for the production cross section of a virtual photon and equal to the Wilson coefficient [\[78](#page-25-7)[–81](#page-25-8)] for the effective field theory describing the interactions of a Higgs boson with gluons in the limit of infinitely large top quark mass [\[74](#page-25-5)[–77\]](#page-25-6). The color and spin summed squared matrix element is given by  $\mathcal{M}_{ij\to B+m}$ . This squared matrix element describes the production of the desired boson B and m final state partons in the collision of initial state partons i and j. In this article, we focus in particular on the contribution for one final state gluon (i.e.  $m = 1$ ). We refer to this contribution as the single real emission (R) contribution to the inclusive cross section. The corresponding partonic coefficient function is consequently given by

$$
\eta_{ij}^{B,R}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{ij}}{2Q^2\hat{\sigma}_0^B} \int d\Phi_{B+1} \mathcal{M}_{ij \to B+1}.
$$
\n(7.4)

We focus on the limit in which the energy of the final state parton vanishes. This limit is referred to as the production threshold, as all energy of the colliding partons is used to produce the final state boson. To parametrize this limit, we introduce the following variables

$$
\bar{z} = 1 - z,
$$
  $z = \frac{Q^2}{s}.$  (7.5)

The threshold (or soft) limit is given by  $\bar{z} \to 0$ . We can now exploit the factorization scattering amplitudes as introduced in eq.  $(2.1)$  to compute the threshold limit of the single-real emission partonic coefficient function.

$$
\eta_{ij}^{B,R,\text{thr.}}(z) = \lim_{\bar{z}\to 0} \eta_{ij}^{B,R}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{ij}}{2Q^2\hat{\sigma}_0^B} \int d\Phi_{B+1} \sum_{\text{Spin, Color}} \left| \mathbf{J}(p_g) \mathcal{A}_{p_i p_j \to B} \right|^2. \tag{7.6}
$$

The result for this part of the partonic coefficient function can be expanded in the strong coupling constant (eq.  $(2.4)$ ).

$$
\eta_{ij}^{B,R,\text{thr.}}(z) = \sum_{o=0}^{\infty} a_S^o \eta_{ij}^{B,R,\text{thr.},(o)}(z). \tag{7.7}
$$

The above single-real emission contribution to the partonic coefficient function computed through  $N^4LO$  in perturbative QCD represents a major result of this article. To obtain this result through three loops in QCD, we make use of our newly derived results for the soft current  $(eq. (2.2))$  $(eq. (2.2))$  $(eq. (2.2))$  and apply it to the purely virtual amplitudes of the scattering process in question. These virtual amplitudes are currently available in the literature to four-loop order [\[103](#page-26-10), [105,](#page-27-1) [126](#page-28-2)[–131](#page-28-3)], even beyond what is required here. To arrive at the desired result for the partonic coefficient function through  $N<sup>4</sup>LO$ , we first perform an analytic continuation of the soft current in eq.  $(2.3)$  into the production region. Next, we apply the current to the purely virtual amplitudes to obtain the threshold limit of the desired scattering amplitude. Then, we interfere the soft scattering amplitude with its complex conjugate and finally perform the integration over the single emission phase space  $d\Phi_{B+1}$ .

We express our results in terms of Laurent expansions in the dimensional regulator  $\epsilon$  and express threshold singularities in terms of standard Dirac delta functions and plus distributions. Their action on a test function  $f(\bar{z})$  is given by

$$
f(0) = \int_0^1 d\overline{z} \delta(\overline{z}) f(\overline{z}), \qquad \int_0^1 d\overline{z} \left[ \frac{\log^n \overline{z}}{\overline{z}} \right]_+ f(\overline{z}) = \int_0^1 d\overline{z} \frac{\log^n \overline{z}}{\overline{z}} (f(\overline{z}) - f(0)). \tag{7.8}
$$

In order for our results to be usable for the computation for the  $N<sup>4</sup>LO$  production cross section we truncate the Laurent expansion in  $\epsilon$  at  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{8-2n})$  at N<sup>n</sup>LO in QCD perturbation theory for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Note, that first approximate results for the full threshold limit of the  $N<sup>4</sup>LO$  production cross section already appeared in refs. [\[85](#page-26-1), [132\]](#page-28-4). We confirm previous computations through  $\rm N^3LO$  in the literature [\[47,](#page-24-0) [61](#page-24-13), [133](#page-28-5)[–137](#page-28-6)]. We present our results in terms of computer readable files in association with the arXiv submission of this article.

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>8 Conclusion

In this article, we computed  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$  corrections to the single-soft emission current applied to amplitudes with two color-charged partons. Our result is a significant contribution to our understanding of soft factorization at  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$  in perturbative QFT and the understanding of infrared singularities at  $N^4LO$ .

We achieved our results by performing a systematic expansion of three-loop scattering amplitudes involving one color-neutral boson and three partons around the limit of one gluon becoming soft. To facilitate this expansion, we develop a new method for the systematic expansion of Feynman graphs around soft limits. We emphasize the generality of this technique and apply it to obtain our results for the soft emission current as a first example.

We perform the expansion of scattering matrix elements in QCD and in maximally super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory. We observe that the result from the two different gauge theories agree at the highest transcendentality, in accord with previous conjectures. Furthermore, we use our new results to determine the contributions to the threshold approximation of the Higgs boson and Drell-Yan production cross sections at the LHC at N4LO in perturbative QCD. To facilitate the use of our results, we make them available in terms of computer readable files associated with the arXiv submission of this article.

Note: During the completion of this manuscript a separate calculation of the three-loop single-soft emission current became public on the arXiv in ref. [\[138](#page-28-7)]. We have found complete agreement among our main results for coefficients  $K_2^{(3)}$  $\chi_2^{(3)}$  (eq. [\(2.11\)](#page-5-0)),  $K_{4A}^{(3)}$  $_{4A}^{(3)}$  (eq.  $(2.13)$ ) and  $K_{4F}^{(3)}$  $_{4F}^{(5)}$  (eq.  $(2.15)$ ). It is worth noting that the methods employed in ref. [\[138\]](#page-28-7) and in this article are substantially different, and obtaining matching results thus provides a robust cross-validation.

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>Acknowledgments

We thank Lance Dixon, Einan Gardi and Stephen Jones for useful discussions. FH and YM are supported by the UKRI FLF grant "Forest Formulas for the LHC"  $(Mr/S03479x/1)$ and the STFC Consolidated Grant "Particle Physics at the Higgs Centre". BM and AS are supported by the United States Department of Energy, Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. YM would like to thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for the hospitality and the INFN for partial support, during the completion of this work.

## References

- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[1] A. G.-D. Ridder, T. Gehrmann and E. N. Glover, Antenna subtraction at NNLO, [Journal of High Energy Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/056) 2005 (2005) 056.
- [2] G. Somogyi, Z. Trocsanyi and V. Del Duca, A Subtraction scheme for computing QCD jet cross sections at NNLO: Regularization of doubly-real emissions, JHEP 01 [\(2007\) 070](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/070) [[hep-ph/0609042](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0609042)].
- [3] G. Somogyi and Z. Trocsanyi, A Subtraction scheme for computing QCD jet cross sections at NNLO: Regularization of real-virtual emission, JHEP 01 [\(2007\) 052](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/052) [[hep-ph/0609043](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0609043)].
- [4] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, An NNLO subtraction formalism in hadron collisions and its application to Higgs boson production at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.222002)  $98$  (2007) 222002 [[hep-ph/0703012](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703012)].
- [5] M. Czakon, A novel subtraction scheme for double-real radiation at NNLO, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.036) B693 (2010) 259 [[1005.0274](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.0274)].
- [6] R. Boughezal, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, A subtraction scheme for NNLO computations, Phys. Rev. D85 [\(2012\) 034025](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.034025) [[1111.7041](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.7041)].
- [7] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and M. Ritzmann, Antenna subtraction at nnlo with hadronic initial states: double real initial-initial configurations, [Journal of High Energy Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep10(2012)047) 2012 (2012).
- [8] J. Gaunt, M. Stahlhofen, F. J. Tackmann and J. R. Walsh, N-jettiness Subtractions for NNLO QCD Calculations, JHEP 09 [\(2015\) 058](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)058) [[1505.04794](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04794)].
- [9] F. Caola, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, Nested soft-collinear subtractions in NNLO QCD computations, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4774-0) C77 (2017) 248 [[1702.01352](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.01352)].
- [10] C. Anastasiou and G. Sterman, Removing infrared divergences from two-loop integrals, JHEP 07 [\(2019\) 056](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2019)056) [[1812.03753](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.03753)].
- [11] F. Herzog, Geometric IR subtraction for final state real radiation, JHEP 08 [\(2018\) 006](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)006) [[1804.07949](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07949)].
- [12] L. Magnea, E. Maina, G. Pelliccioli, C. Signorile-Signorile, P. Torrielli and S. Uccirati, Local analytic sector subtraction at NNLO, JHEP 12 [\(2018\) 107](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)013, 10.1007/JHEP12(2018)107) [[1806.09570](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.09570)].
- [13] L. Cieri, X. Chen, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover and A. Huss, Higgs boson production at the LHC using the  $q_T$  subtraction formalism at  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$  QCD, JHEP 02 [\(2019\) 096](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2019)096) [[1807.11501](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.11501)].
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[14] L. Cieri, X. Chen, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover and A. Huss, Higgs boson production at the lhc using the qt subtraction formalism at n3lo qcd, [Journal of High Energy Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep02(2019)096) 2019 (2019).
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[15] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. F. Sterman, Factorization of Hard Processes in QCD, [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814503266_0001) 5 (1989) 1 [[hep-ph/0409313](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409313)].
- [16] G. F. Sterman, Partons, factorization and resummation, TASI 95, in QCD and beyond. Proceedings, Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics, TASI-95, Boulder, USA, June 4-30, 1995, pp. 327–408, 1995, [hep-ph/9606312](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9606312).
- [17] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming and M. E. Luke, Summing Sudakov logarithms in  $B \to X_s \gamma$  in effective field theory, Phys. Rev.  $D63$  [\(2000\) 014006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.014006) [[hep-ph/0005275](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0005275)].
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[18] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Soft collinear factorization in effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D65 [\(2002\) 054022](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.054022) [[hep-ph/0109045](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0109045)].
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[19] Z. Bern, G. Chalmers, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, One loop N gluon amplitudes with maximal helicity violation via collinear limits, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.2134) **72** (1994) 2134 [[hep-ph/9312333](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9312333)].
- [20] O. Almelid, C. Duhr, E. Gardi, A. McLeod and C. D. White, Bootstrapping the QCD soft anomalous dimension, JHEP 09 [\(2017\) 073](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)073) [[1706.10162](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.10162)].
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[21] S. Caron-Huot, L. J. Dixon, F. Dulat, M. von Hippel, A. J. McLeod and G. Papathanasiou, Six-Gluon amplitudes in planar  $\mathcal{N} = \Lambda$  super-Yang-Mills theory at six and seven loops, JHEP 08 [\(2019\) 016](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)016) [[1903.10890](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.10890)].
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[22] S. Weinberg, *Infrared photons and gravitons, Phys. Rev.* **140** [\(1965\) B516.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.B516)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[23] Z. Bern and G. Chalmers, Factorization in one loop gauge theory, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00226-I) 447 (1995) 465 [[hep-ph/9503236](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9503236)].
- [24] Z. Bern, V. Del Duca and C. R. Schmidt, The Infrared behavior of one loop gluon amplitudes at next-to-next-to-leading order, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)01495-6) 445 (1998) 168 [[hep-ph/9810409](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9810409)].
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[25] Z. Bern, V. Del Duca, W. B. Kilgore and C. R. Schmidt, The infrared behavior of one loop QCD amplitudes at next-to-next-to leading order, Phys. Rev. D 60 [\(1999\) 116001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.116001) [[hep-ph/9903516](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903516)].
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[26] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, The soft gluon current at one loop order, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00572-1) 591 (2000) 435 [[hep-ph/0007142](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0007142)].
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[27] S. D. Badger and E. W. N. Glover, Two loop splitting functions in QCD, JHEP 07 [\(2004\) 040](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/07/040) [[hep-ph/0405236](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0405236)].
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[28] Y. Li and H. X. Zhu, Single soft gluon emission at two loops, JHEP 11 [\(2013\) 080](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2013)080) [[1309.4391](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4391)].
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[29] C. Duhr and T. Gehrmann, The two-loop soft current in dimensional regularization, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.063) B727 (2013) 452 [[1309.4393](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4393)].
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[30] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, T. Gehrmann, F. Herzog et al., Higgs boson gluonfusion production at threshold in  $N^3LO$  QCD, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.08.067) **B737** (2014) 325 [[1403.4616](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.4616)].
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[31] L. J. Dixon, E. Herrmann, K. Yan and H. X. Zhu, Soft gluon emission at two loops in full color, JHEP 05 (2020) 135 [[1912.09370](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.09370)].
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[32] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, Infrared factorization of tree level QCD amplitudes at the next-to-next-to-leading order and beyond, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00778-6) 570 (2000) 287 [[hep-ph/9908523](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9908523)].
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[33] Y. J. Zhu, *Double soft current at one-loop in QCD*, [2009.08919](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.08919).
- [34] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. Colferai and F. Coradeschi, Soft gluon–quark–antiquark emission in QCD hard scattering, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-11141-y) 83 (2023) 38 [[2210.09397](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.09397)].
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[35] M. Czakon, F. Eschment and T. Schellenberger, Revisiting the double-soft asymptotics of one-loop amplitudes in massless QCD, JHEP 04 [\(2023\) 065](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2023)065) [[2211.06465](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.06465)].
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[36] S. Catani, D. Colferai and A. Torrini, Triple (and quadruple) soft-gluon radiation in QCD hard scattering, JHEP 01 [\(2020\) 118](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)118) [[1908.01616](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.01616)].
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[37] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr, R. Haindl and Z. Liu, Tree-level soft emission of a quark pair in association with a gluon, JHEP 01 [\(2023\) 040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)040) [[2206.01584](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01584)].
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[38] V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansions in limits of large momenta and masses, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02102092) 134 (1990) 109.
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>[39] V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansions in momenta and masses and calculation of Feynman diagrams, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732395001617) 10 (1995) 1485 [[hep-th/9412063](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9412063)].
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>[40] E. Gardi, F. Herzog, S. Jones, Y. Ma and J. Schlenk, The on-shell expansion: from Landau equations to the Newton polytope, JHEP 07 [\(2023\) 197](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2023)197) [[2211.14845](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.14845)].
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>[41] A. Pak and A. Smirnov, Geometric approach to asymptotic expansion of feynman integrals, The European Physical Journal  $C$  71 (2011) 1.
- [42] B. Jantzen, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, Expansion by regions: revealing potential and Glauber regions automatically, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2139-2)  $72$  (2012) 2139 [[1206.0546](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.0546)].
- [43] B. Ananthanarayan, A. Pal, S. Ramanan and R. Sarkar, Unveiling Regions in multi-scale Feynman Integrals using Singularities and Power Geometry, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6533-x) 79 (2019) 57 [[1810.06270](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06270)].
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>[44] T. Y. Semenova, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, On the status of expansion by regions, The European Physical Journal  $C$  **79** (2019) 1.
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[45] G. Heinrich, S. Jahn, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, F. Langer, V. Magerya et al., Expansion by regions with pySecDec, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2021.108267) 273 (2022) 108267 [[2108.10807](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.10807)].
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>[46] D. Bonocore, E. Laenen, L. Magnea, L. Vernazza and C. D. White, The method of regions

and next-to-soft corrections in Drell–Yan production, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.008) 742 (2015) 375 [[1410.6406](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.6406)].

- <span id="page-24-0"></span>[47] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, Real-virtual contributions to the inclusive Higgs cross-section at  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$ , JHEP 12 [\(2013\) 088](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2013)088) [[1311.1425](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.1425)].
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>[48] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, Soft expansion of double-real-virtual corrections to Higgs production at  $N<sup>3</sup>LO$ , JHEP 08 [\(2015\) 051](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2015)051) [[1505.04110](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04110)].
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>[49] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, Soft triple-real radiation for Higgs production at N3LO, JHEP 07 [\(2013\) 003](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2013)003) [[1302.4379](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4379)].
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>[50] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0217-751X(00)00215-7, 10.1142/S0217751X00002157) A15 (2000) 5087 [[hep-ph/0102033](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0102033)].
- [51] K. Chetyrkin and F. Tkachov, *Integration by parts: The algorithm to calculate*  $\beta$ -functions in 4 loops, [Nuclear Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90199-1) 192 (1981) 159.
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>[52] F. Tkachov, A theorem on analytical calculability of 4-loop renormalization group functions, [Physics Letters B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)90288-4) 100 (1981) 65.
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>[53] J. M. Henn, Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.251601) 110 (2013) 251601 [[1304.1806](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.1806)].
- [54] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Differential equations for two loop four point functions, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00223-6) B580 (2000) 485 [[hep-ph/9912329](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9912329)].
- [55] A. Kotikov, Differential equations method: New technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, Phys. Lett.  $B$  254 (1991) 158.
- [56] A. Kotikov, Differential equations method: The Calculation of vertex type Feynman diagrams, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90834-D)* **259** (1991) 314.
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>[57] A. Kotikov, Differential equation method: The Calculation of N point Feynman diagrams, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90536-Y) 267 (1991) 123.
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>[58] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Harmonic polylogarithms, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X00000367) A15 (2000) 725 [[hep-ph/9905237](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9905237)].
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>[59] D. Maitre, HPL, a mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2005.10.008) 174 (2006) 222 [[hep-ph/0507152](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507152)].
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[60] J. Henn, B. Mistlberger, V. A. Smirnov and P. Wasser, Constructing d-log integrands and computing master integrals for three-loop four-particle scattering, JHEP 04 [\(2020\) 167](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)167) [[2002.09492](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.09492)].
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>[61] F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, Real-Virtual-Virtual contributions to the inclusive Higgs cross section at N3LO, [1411.3586](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3586).
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>[62] J. M. Henn, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, Evaluating single-scale and/or non-planar diagrams by differential equations, JHEP 03 [\(2014\) 088](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)088) [[1312.2588](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2588)].
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>[63] G. Lin, G. Yang and S. Zhang, Three-Loop Color-Kinematics Duality: A 24-Dimensional Solution Space Induced by New Generalized Gauge Transformations, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.171602) 127 (2021) 171602 [[2106.05280](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.05280)].
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>[64] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko and V. N. Velizhanin, Three loop universal anomalous dimension of the Wilson operators in  $N = 4$  SUSY Yang-Mills model, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.05.078) 595 (2004) 521 [[hep-th/0404092](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0404092)].
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[65] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov,  $DGLAP$  and BFKL equations in the  $N=4$ supersymmetric gauge theory, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00264-5)  $661$  (2003) 19 [[hep-ph/0208220](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0208220)].
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>[66] D. R. Yennie, S. C. Frautschi and H. Suura, The infrared divergence phenomena and high-energy processes, [Annals Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(61)90151-8) **13** (1961) 379.
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>[67] T. Gehrmann, P. Jakubčík, C. C. Mella, N. Syrrakos and L. Tancredi, Two-loop helicity amplitudes for V+jet production including axial vector couplings to higher orders in  $\epsilon$ , [2306.10170](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.10170).
- [68] T. Gehrmann, P. Jakubčík, C. C. Mella, N. Syrrakos and L. Tancredi, Two-loop helicity amplitudes for  $H+jet$  production to higher orders in the dimensional regulator, JHEP 04 [\(2023\) 016](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2023)016) [[2301.10849](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10849)].
- [69] T. Gehrmann, T. Peraro and L. Tancredi, Two-loop QCD corrections to the  $V \rightarrow q\overline{q}q$ helicity amplitudes with axial-vector couplings, JHEP 02 [\(2023\) 041](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2023)041) [[2211.13596](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.13596)].
- [70] T. Gehrmann, M. Jaquier, E. W. N. Glover and A. Koukoutsakis, Two-Loop QCD Corrections to the Helicity Amplitudes for  $H \rightarrow 3$  partons, JHEP 02 [\(2012\) 056](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)056) [[1112.3554](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3554)].
- [71] L. W. Garland, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, A. Koukoutsakis and E. Remiddi, The Two loop QCD matrix element for  $e+e >$  3 jets, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00057-3) **B627** (2002) 107 [[hep-ph/0112081](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0112081)].
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>[72] L. W. Garland, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, A. Koukoutsakis and E. Remiddi, Two loop QCD helicity amplitudes for  $e+ e-$  > three jets, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00627-2) **B642** (2002) 227 [[hep-ph/0206067](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0206067)].
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>[73] T. Gehrmann, P. Jakubčík, C. C. Mella, N. Syrrakos and L. Tancredi, Planar three-loop  $QCD$  helicity amplitudes for  $V + jet$  production at hadron colliders, [2307.15405](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.15405).
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>[74] V. P. Spiridonov and K. G. Chetyrkin, *Nonleading mass corrections and renormalization of* the operators m psi-bar psi and  $g^{**2}(mu\ nu)$ , Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 47 (1988) 522.
- [75] T. Inami, T. Kubota and Y. Okada, Effective Gauge Theory and the Effect of Heavy Quarks, [Zeitschrift für Physik C](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01571710) 18 (1983) 69.
- [76] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and V. Zakharov, Remarks on Higgs-boson interactions with nucleons, [Physics Letters B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90481-1) 78 (1978) 443.
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>[77] F. Wilczek, Decays of Heavy Vector Mesons into Higgs Particles, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.39.1304) 39 (1977) 1304.
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>[78] K. Chetyrkin, J. Kühn and C. Sturm, *QCD decoupling at four loops*, [Nuclear Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.03.020) 744 (2006) 121.
- [79] Y. Schroder and M. Steinhauser, Four-loop decoupling relations for the strong coupling, JHEP 01 [\(2006\) 051](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/01/051) [[hep-ph/0512058](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0512058)].
- [80] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl and M. Steinhauser, *Decoupling relations to*  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  and their connection to low-energy theorems, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)81004-3, 10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00649-4) B510 (1998) 61 [[hep-ph/9708255](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9708255)].
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>[81] M. Kramer, E. Laenen and M. Spira, Soft gluon radiation in Higgs boson production at the LHC, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00679-2) B511 (1998) 523 [[hep-ph/9611272](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9611272)].
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>[82] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman Graph Generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279.
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>[83] M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals near threshold, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00138-2) B522 (1998) 321 [[hep-ph/9711391](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9711391)].
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[84] H. X. Zhu, On the calculation of soft phase space integral, JHEP 02 [\(2015\) 155](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)155) [[1501.00236](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.00236)].
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[85] C. Duhr, B. Mistlberger and G. Vita, Soft integrals and soft anomalous dimensions at  $N^3LO$  and beyond, JHEP 09 [\(2022\) 155](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)155) [[2205.04493](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.04493)].
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[86] R. N. Lee, Reducing differential equations for multiloop master integrals, JHEP 04 [\(2015\) 108](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)108) [[1411.0911](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0911)].
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[87] Y. Ma, Identifying the regions in wide-angle scattering from graph-theoretical approaches, – in preparation (2023) .
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[88] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and J. H. Kühn, Five-Loop Running of the QCD coupling constant, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.082002) 118 (2017) 082002 [[1606.08659](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.08659)].
- [89] M. Czakon, The Four-loop QCD beta-function and anomalous dimensions, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.01.012) B710 (2005) 485 [[hep-ph/0411261](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0411261)].
- [90] F. Herzog, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, The five-loop beta function of Yang-Mills theory with fermions, JHEP 02 [\(2017\) 090](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)090) [[1701.01404](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01404)].
- [91] S. A. Larin and J. A. M. Vermaseren, The Three loop QCD Beta function and anomalous dimensions, *[Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)91441-O)* **B303** (1993) 334 [[hep-ph/9302208](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9302208)].
- [92] O. V. Tarasov, A. A. Vladimirov and A. Yu. Zharkov, The Gell-Mann-Low Function of QCD in the Three Loop Approximation, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90358-5) 93B (1980) 429.
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[93] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren and S. A. Larin, The Four loop beta function in quantum chromodynamics, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00370-5) B400 (1997) 379 [[hep-ph/9701390](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9701390)].
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[94] O. Almelid, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, Three-loop corrections to the soft anomalous dimension in multileg scattering, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.172002) 117 (2016) 172002 [[1507.00047](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00047)].
- [95] S. M. Aybat, L. J. Dixon and G. F. Sterman, The Two-loop soft anomalous dimension matrix and resummation at next-to-next-to leading pole, Phys. Rev. D 74 [\(2006\) 074004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.074004) [[hep-ph/0607309](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0607309)].
- [96] S. M. Aybat, L. J. Dixon and G. F. Sterman, The Two-loop anomalous dimension matrix for soft gluon exchange, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.072001) 97 (2006) 072001 [[hep-ph/0606254](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0606254)].
- [97] S. Catani, The Singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00332-3) 427 (1998) 161 [[hep-ph/9802439](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9802439)].
- [98] L. J. Dixon, L. Magnea and G. F. Sterman, Universal structure of subleading infrared poles in gauge theory amplitudes, JHEP 08 [\(2008\) 022](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/08/022) [[0805.3515](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3515)].
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[99] G. P. Korchemsky and A. V. Radyushkin, Renormalization of the Wilson Loops Beyond the Leading Order, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90277-X) B283 (1987) 342.
- [100] G. F. Sterman and M. E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Multiloop amplitudes and resummation, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)03100-3) 552 (2003) 48 [[hep-ph/0210130](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0210130)].
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[101] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes and*  $N<sup>3</sup>LL$ resummation for n-jet processes, JHEP 01 [\(2020\) 025](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)025) [[1908.11379](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.11379)].
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[102] J. M. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky and B. Mistlberger, The full four-loop cusp anomalous dimension in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills and QCD, JHEP 04 [\(2020\) 018](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)018) [[1911.10174](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.10174)].
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[103] A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R. M. Schabinger, Cusp and collinear anomalous

dimensions in four-loop QCD from form factors, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.162001) **124** (2020) 162001 [[2002.04617](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.04617)].

- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[104] F. Herzog, S. Moch, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Five-loop contributions to low-N non-singlet anomalous dimensions in QCD, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.01.060) 790 (2019) 436 [[1812.11818](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11818)].
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[105] B. Agarwal, A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R. M. Schabinger, Four-loop collinear anomalous dimensions in QCD and  $N=\& 4$  super Yang-Mills, Phys. Lett. B 820 [\(2021\) 136503](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136503) [[2102.09725](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.09725)].
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[106] J. M. Henn and B. Mistlberger, Four-Gluon Scattering at Three Loops, Infrared Structure, and the Regge Limit, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.171601) 117 (2016) 171601 [[1608.00850](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00850)].
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[107] F. Caola, A. Chakraborty, G. Gambuti, A. von Manteuffel and L. Tancredi, Three-loop helicity amplitudes for quark-gluon scattering in QCD, JHEP 12 [\(2022\) 082](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2022)082) [[2207.03503](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.03503)].
- [108] F. Caola, A. Chakraborty, G. Gambuti, A. von Manteuffel and L. Tancredi, Three-Loop Gluon Scattering in QCD and the Gluon Regge Trajectory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.212001) 128 (2022) 212001 [[2112.11097](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11097)].
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[109] F. Caola, A. Chakraborty, G. Gambuti, A. von Manteuffel and L. Tancredi, Three-loop helicity amplitudes for four-quark scattering in massless QCD, JHEP 10 [\(2021\) 206](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)206) [[2108.00055](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.00055)].
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[110] Q. Jin and G. Yang, Analytic Two-Loop Higgs Amplitudes in Effective Field Theory and the Maximal Transcendentality Principle, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.101603) 121 (2018) 101603 [[1804.04653](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.04653)].
- [111] A. Brandhuber, M. Kostacinska, B. Penante and G. Travaglini, Higgs amplitudes from  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161601) 119 (2017) 161601 [[1707.09897](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.09897)].
- [112] A. Brandhuber, B. Penante, G. Travaglini and C. Wen, The last of the simple remainders, JHEP 08 [\(2014\) 100](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)100) [[1406.1443](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1443)].
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[113] A. Brandhuber, G. Travaglini and G. Yang, Analytic two-loop form factors in  $N=4$  SYM, JHEP 05 [\(2012\) 082](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)082) [[1201.4170](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4170)].
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[114] L. J. Dixon, O. Gürdoğan, Y.-T. Liu, A. J. McLeod and M. Wilhelm, Antipodal Self-Duality for a Four-Particle Form Factor, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.111601) 130 (2023) 111601 [[2212.02410](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.02410)].
- [115] L. J. Dixon, O. Gurdogan, A. J. McLeod and M. Wilhelm, Folding Amplitudes into Form Factors: An Antipodal Duality, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.111602) 128 (2022) 111602 [[2112.06243](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06243)].
- [116] L. J. Dixon, A. J. McLeod and M. Wilhelm, A Three-Point Form Factor Through Five Loops, JHEP 04 [\(2021\) 147](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2021)147) [[2012.12286](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.12286)].
- [117] L. J. Dixon and Y.-T. Liu, An Eight Loop Amplitude via Antipodal Duality, [2308.08199](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.08199).
- [118] G. Yang, On-shell methods for form factors in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM and their applications. [Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-019-1507-0) 63 (2020) 270001 [[1912.11454](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.11454)].
- [119] X. Guan, G. Lin, X. Liu, Y.-Q. Ma and G. Yang, A high-precision result for a full-color three-loop three-point form factor in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, [2309.04395](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.04395).
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[120] G. Lin, G. Yang and S. Zhang, Full-color three-loop three-point form factors in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, JHEP 03 [\(2022\) 061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)061) [[2111.03021](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03021)].
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[121] L. J. Dixon, O. Gurdogan, A. J. McLeod and M. Wilhelm, Bootstrapping a stress-tensor form factor through eight loops, JHEP 07 [\(2022\) 153](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2022)153) [[2204.11901](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.11901)].
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>[122] C. Duhr and B. Mistlberger, Lepton-pair production at hadron colliders at  $N^3LO$  in QCD, [2111.10379](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10379).
- [123] C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, The Drell-Yan cross section to third order in the strong coupling constant, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.172001) 125 (2020) 172001 [[2001.07717](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.07717)].
- [124] B. Mistlberger, *Higgs boson production at hadron colliders at*  $N^3LO$  in *QCD*, JHEP 05 [\(2018\) 028](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)028) [[1802.00833](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.00833)].
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>[125] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, Higgs Boson Gluon-Fusion Production in QCD at Three Loops, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.212001) 114 (2015) 212001 [[1503.06056](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.06056)].
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[126] R. N. Lee, A. von Manteuffel, R. M. Schabinger, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, Quark and gluon form factors in four-loop QCD, [2202.04660](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04660).
- [127] R. N. Lee, A. von Manteuffel, R. M. Schabinger, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, Fermionic corrections to quark and gluon form factors in four-loop QCD, Phys. Rev. D 104 [\(2021\) 074008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074008) [[2105.11504](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.11504)].
- [128] T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Ikizlerli and C. Studerus, Calculation of the quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD, JHEP 06 [\(2010\) 094](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)094) [[1004.3653](https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.3653)].
- [129] T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Ikizlerli and C. Studerus, The quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD through to  $O(\epsilon p s^2)$ , JHEP 11 [\(2010\) 102](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2010)102) [[1010.4478](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4478)].
- [130] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, Quark and gluon form factors to three loops, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.212002) 102 (2009) 212002 [[0902.3519](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.3519)].
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[131] T. Gehrmann, T. Huber and D. Matre, Two-Loop Quark and Gluon Form Factors in Dimensional Regularisation, [0507061v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0507061v2).
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[132] G. Das, S. Moch and A. Vogt, Approximate four-loop QCD corrections to the Higgs-boson production cross section, Phys. Lett. B 807 [\(2020\) 135546](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135546) [[2004.00563](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.00563)].
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>[133] C. Anastasiou, S. Buehler, C. Duhr and F. Herzog, NNLO phase space master integrals for two-to-one inclusive cross sections in dimensional regularization, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 062](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)062) [[1208.3130](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3130)].
- [134] W. B. Kilgore, *One-loop single-real-emission contributions to pp*  $\rightarrow$  *H* + *X at* next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D89 [\(2014\) 073008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.073008) [[1312.1296](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.1296)].
- [135] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, Next-to-next-to-leading order Higgs production at hadron colliders, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.201801) 88 (2002) 201801 [[hep-ph/0201206](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0201206)].
- [136] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, [Nuclear Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00837-4) 646 (2002) 220 [[0207004](https://arxiv.org/abs/0207004)].
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>[137] V. Ravindran, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, NNLO corrections to the total cross-section for Higgs boson production in hadron hadron collisions, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00457-7) B665 (2003) 325 [[hep-ph/0302135](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0302135)].
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>[138] W. Chen, M.-x. Luo, T.-Z. Yang and H. X. Zhu, Soft Theorem to Three Loops in QCD and  $\mathcal{N}=4$  Super Yang-Mills Theory, [2309.03832](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.03832).