

# Quantization of spinor field in the Schwarzschild spacetime and spin sums for solutions of the Dirac equation

Vadim Egorov, Mikhail Smolyakov, Igor Volobuev

*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University,  
Moscow 119991, Russia*

## Abstract

We discuss the problem of canonical quantization of a free massive spinor field in the Schwarzschild spacetime. It is shown that a consistent procedure of canonical quantization of the field can be carried out without taking into account the internal region of the black hole, the canonical commutation relations in the resulting theory hold exactly and the Hamiltonian has the standard form. Spin sums are obtained for solutions of the Dirac equation in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

## 1 Introduction

Despite the huge amount of scientific literature devoted to field quantization in the presence of black holes, starting from [1, 2] and to this day, some questions still remain insufficiently clarified. In particular, in the Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates [3, 4], which describe the maximal analytical expansion of the Schwarzschild spacetime, there is the so-called “white hole”, the presence of which leads to some problems with the physical interpretation of the resulting theory. Indeed, there is a well-known problem with locality associated with the location of the white hole in our Universe or even in a parallel world. In recent papers [5, 6] an attempt was made to solve this problem by geometrically identifying some regions in the Kruskal-Szekeres spacetime. However, in [7] it was shown that such an identification leads to problems with CPT invariance, and the idea of “quantum cloning” of the outer regions of black and white holes was proposed instead. The inner regions of both holes in this approach turn out to be only mathematical artifacts that have no direct physical interpretation and do not play any role in the evolution of the system. The disadvantage of the described approach, however, is the possibility of the existence of closed timelike curves [7]. In addition, there is no translational invariance with respect to the time coordinate in the Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates, which is also a problem, since it does not allow one to construct a time-conserved Hamiltonian of the system, at least if this time coordinate is interpreted as physical time.

Another problem is that not all questions of field quantization in the spacetime of a black hole are considered in the literature with due mathematical rigor. For example, in classical paper [1] the fulfillment of the commutation relations is not checked, which is a necessary step

in carrying out the canonical quantization procedure discussed in the paper. Moreover, to carry out a correct quantization procedure, it is necessary to know the properties of solutions to the equations of motion of the fields under consideration and, in particular, to have a convenient complete system of eigenfunctions corresponding to different quantum states, in which the quantum field will be expanded. These issues, including the availability of a complete set of eigenfunctions, were not discussed in detail either in [1] or in subsequent papers on this topic. Moreover, different authors make mutually exclusive statements regarding the properties of the spectrum of states within the framework of relativistic quantum mechanics, even in the simplest case of a scalar field in the gravitational field of a Schwarzschild black hole (see, for example, [8, 9], which contradict each other whether the spectrum of states with energies less than the mass of the field is discrete or continuous). As far as we know, besides [9], the only publication in which the properties of the spectrum of the radial equation for a scalar field are correctly described from the physical point of view is [10].

It should be noted that there are already papers (see, for example, recent papers [11–13]), in which the quantum scalar field is considered only outside the event horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole. Nevertheless, despite the fact that, in some rigorous methods for constructing quantum theory in the gravitational field of a black hole, it is not necessary to take into account the internal regions of black and white holes, the question remains whether it is possible to construct a consistent quantum field theory in the Schwarzschild spacetime only above the horizon. In paper [14], it was rigorously shown in the case of real scalar field that this can indeed be done even within the framework of the standard canonical quantization. Namely, it was demonstrated that in the corresponding quantum theory the canonical commutation relations are satisfied exactly and the Hamiltonian has the standard form without any pathologies. Based on this result, in the present paper we consider the canonical quantization of a spinor field in the Schwarzschild spacetime. It will be shown below that in this case, too, a consistent quantum field theory can be constructed. A particular attention will be paid to finding spin sums for solutions of the Dirac equation, which in the case of a curved spacetime is inseparable from the calculation of integrals of fermion radial functions. It should be noted that equations for the spinor field in this gravitational background and their solutions were also studied in papers [15–19].

## 2 Equations of motion

The standard metric of the Schwarzschild spacetime in Schwarzschild coordinates looks like

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (1)$$

where  $r_0 = 2M$  is the Schwarzschild radius and  $M$  is the black hole mass. We restrict ourselves to the region  $r > r_0$  and consider a massive spinor field.

For a remote observer, the wave functions of physical quantum states of this field above the horizon are elements of the Hilbert space of spinor functions with finite norm, i.e., of the field

configurations  $\psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi)$ , which satisfy the condition

$$\int_{r>r_0} \frac{r^2 \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \, d\varphi}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \psi^\dagger(t, r, \theta, \varphi) \psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) < \infty, \quad (2)$$

where integration goes over a hypersurface  $t = \text{const}$  in the Schwarzschild spacetime with respect to the volume element defined by the metric induced on this hypersurface from metric (1). We emphasize that the physical states of a quantum system are always normalizable (this point is discussed in detail, for example, in textbook [20], Chapter 1). Since normalization integral (2) should be convergent for the wave functions of the spinor particle physical quantum states, they tend to zero at the event horizon and at spatial infinity fast enough.

The action of the spinor field in arbitrary curvilinear coordinates has the form

$$S = \int L \, d^4x = \int \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{i}{2} \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^{(\nu)} e_{(\nu)}^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi - \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} e_{(\nu)}^\mu \gamma^{(\nu)} \psi \right) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right] d^4x, \quad (3)$$

where  $e_{(\nu)}^\mu$  is the tetrad,  $\nabla_\mu$  is the covariant derivative,

$$\nabla_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu \psi + \omega_\mu \psi), \quad \nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} = (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi} \omega_\mu), \quad (4)$$

$$\omega_\mu = \frac{1}{8} \omega_{(\nu)(\rho)\mu} [\gamma^{(\nu)}, \gamma^{(\rho)}], \quad (5)$$

$\omega_{(\nu)(\rho)\mu}$  is the spin connection field,

$$\omega_{(\nu)(\rho)\mu} = g_{\sigma\tau} e_{(\nu)}^\tau (\partial_\mu e_{(\rho)}^\sigma + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\sigma e_{(\rho)}^\lambda), \quad (6)$$

$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\sigma$  are the Christoffel symbols, and

$$e_{(\rho)}^\mu e_{(\sigma)}^\nu \{ \gamma^{(\rho)}, \gamma^{(\sigma)} \} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \Leftrightarrow \{ \gamma^{(\mu)}, \gamma^{(\nu)} \} = 2\eta^{(\mu)(\nu)}. \quad (7)$$

Considering action (3) for the physical field configurations satisfying condition (2) and varying it with respect to the spinor field  $\bar{\psi}$ , we can drop the surface terms at the event horizon and infinity and obtain the corresponding equation of motion:

$$i\gamma^{(\nu)} e_{(\nu)}^\mu (\partial_\mu + \omega_\mu) \psi - m\psi = 0. \quad (8)$$

This is the Dirac equation in curvilinear coordinates.

It is a common knowledge that this Dirac equation can be rewritten in the Hamiltonian form (see, for example, [16]). We do not write out the Dirac Hamiltonian here, because we do not need its explicit form. This Dirac Hamiltonian is Hermitian in the Hilbert space of the physical quantum states of the spinor particles, i.e., in the space of the spinor functions satisfying condition (2), which can be easily checked by taking the matrix element of the Dirac Hamiltonian between two normalizable states and performing integration by parts in the terms containing derivatives (the absence of the surface terms is guaranteed by tending to zero of the wave functions at the event horizon and at spatial infinity). However, it is necessary to note that the eigenfunctions of the Dirac Hamiltonian need not to belong to the Hilbert space

of normalizable spinor functions. They may have infinite norm, i.e., they can lie in the so-called rigged Hilbert space, that is, they are generalized functions (see, for example, [21] and references therein). This situation is similar, for example, to the description of a free particle in non-relativistic quantum mechanics: the wave functions of the physical states are normalizable, whereas the eigenfunctions of the free Hamiltonian, which can be chosen as plane waves, i.e. the eigenfunctions of the momentum operator, are not normalizable. They are not physical quantum states, the latter being realized by normalizable wave packets. Nevertheless, the plane waves are very convenient for calculations, because their scalar products are very simple, they make up a complete system of eigenfunctions and we can expand normalizable physical states in this system.

Thus, we look for classical stationary solutions to equation (8), which turn out to be the eigenfunctions of the corresponding Dirac Hamiltonian with infinite norm. Since the Dirac Hamiltonian is Hermitian, the system of these solutions to Dirac equation (8) will also make up a complete system of eigenfunctions. Because metric (1) is spherically symmetric, the classical solutions are characterized by the total angular momentum and include the spherical spinors as their angular part. However, the standard choice of the tetrad in Schwarzschild coordinates, the so-called Schwinger gauge, turns out to be very inconvenient for working with the spherical spinors [18, 22]. For this reason, we first consider equation (8) in the isotropic coordinates, which allows us to use the standard technique for working with spherical spinors. After the corresponding calculations are completed, we will pass to Schwarzschild coordinates. The transition from the isotropic coordinates  $\{t, x, y, z\}$  to Schwarzschild coordinates  $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$  is carried out according to the formula

$$r = R + \frac{M^2}{4R} + M = R + \frac{r_0^2}{16R} + \frac{r_0}{2}, \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}. \quad (9)$$

The components of the metric in the isotropic coordinates are

$$g_{00} = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^2}{\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^2}, \quad g_{kk} = -\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^4, \quad (10)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mu \neq \nu.$$

Here and below, the Latin coordinate index  $k$  corresponds to the spatial coordinates, i.e. runs through the values 1, 2, 3. We define the tetrad as follows:

$$e_{(0)}^0 = \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}}, \quad e_{(k)}^k = \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2}, \quad (11)$$

$$e_{(\nu)}^\mu = 0, \quad \mu \neq \nu.$$

The Christoffel symbols in the isotropic coordinates are:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^0 = \frac{r_0}{2R^3} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y & z \\ x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^1 = \frac{r_0}{2R^3} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}}{\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^6} x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x & -y & -z \\ 0 & -y & x & 0 \\ 0 & -z & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^2 = \frac{r_0}{2R^3} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}}{\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^6} y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & -x & 0 \\ 0 & -x & -y & -z \\ 0 & 0 & -z & y \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^3 = \frac{r_0}{2R^3} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}}{\left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^6} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & -x \\ 0 & 0 & z & -y \\ 0 & -x & -y & -z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Substituting all this into the spin connection, we get

$$\omega_0 = \frac{r_0}{8R^3} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-4} [\gamma^{(0)}, \vec{x}\vec{\gamma}], \quad \omega_k = \frac{r_0}{8R^3} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} [\gamma^{(k)}, \vec{x}\vec{\gamma}], \quad (16)$$

where  $\vec{\gamma} \equiv (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \gamma^{(3)})$ ,  $\vec{x}\vec{\gamma} \equiv x^1\gamma^{(1)} + x^2\gamma^{(2)} + x^3\gamma^{(3)} = x\gamma^{(1)} + y\gamma^{(2)} + z\gamma^{(3)}$ .

Turning to Dirac equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}} \gamma^{(0)} \partial_0 \psi + i \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2} (\gamma^{(1)} \partial_1 + \gamma^{(2)} \partial_2 + \gamma^{(3)} \partial_3) \psi + \\ & + i \frac{r_0}{4R^3} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-3} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} - 2 \right] (\vec{x}\vec{\gamma}) \psi - m\psi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We represent this equation for the bispinor  $\psi$  as two equations for spinors  $\xi$  and  $\chi$ ,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

To do this, we need explicit expressions for the gamma matrices in terms of the Pauli matrices. In accordance with monograph [23], we choose the standard representation of gamma matrices (the Pauli-Dirac representation):

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{(k)} \\ -\sigma^{(k)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\sigma^{(k)}$  are the Pauli matrices. In this way, we get the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} & i \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} - \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \chi + \\ & + i \frac{r_0}{4R^3} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-3} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} - 2 \right] (\vec{x}\vec{\sigma}) \chi - m\xi = 0, \\ & i \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} - \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \xi + \\ & + i \frac{r_0}{4R^3} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-3} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} - 2 \right] (\vec{x}\vec{\sigma}) \xi + m\chi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) = -i(\vec{\sigma}\nabla) = -i\left(\sigma^{(1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sigma^{(2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sigma^{(3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = -i(\sigma^{(1)}\partial_1 + \sigma^{(2)}\partial_2 + \sigma^{(3)}\partial_3), \quad (21)$$

$$(\vec{x}\vec{\sigma}) \equiv x^1\sigma^{(1)} + x^2\sigma^{(2)} + x^3\sigma^{(3)} = x\sigma^{(1)} + y\sigma^{(2)} + z\sigma^{(3)}. \quad (22)$$

### 3 Spectrum of stationary states

Let us pass from the Cartesian coordinates  $\{x, y, z\}$  to the spherical coordinates  $\{R, \theta, \varphi\}$ , where  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$  is still the same.

Taking into account the spherical symmetry of equations (20), we look for stationary solutions to them in the form

$$\psi_{Ejlm_t}(t, R, \theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{jl}(E, R) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \\ iG_{j'l'}(E, R) \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix} e^{-iEt}, \quad (23)$$

where  $j$  is the total angular momentum,  $m_t$  is its projection;  $l = j \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $l' = j \mp \frac{1}{2}$  are the orbital angular momenta;

$$\Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{l, m_t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{jm_t} Y_l^{m_t - \frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \varphi) \\ C_{l, m_t + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{jm_t} Y_l^{m_t + \frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

are the spherical spinors, where the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients  $C_{l, m_t \mp \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{jm_t}$  can be found, for example, in monograph [23]. The spherical spinors  $\Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi)$  form a complete orthogonal system of functions in the space of complex spinors on the sphere  $S^2$ :

$$\int \Omega_{jlm_t}^\dagger(\theta, \varphi) \Omega_{\tilde{j}\tilde{l}\tilde{m}_t}(\theta, \varphi) \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \delta_{j\tilde{j}} \delta_{l\tilde{l}} \delta_{m_t\tilde{m}_t} \quad (25)$$

(orthogonality),

$$\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_t=-j}^j \sum_{l=j\pm\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \Omega_{jlm_t}^\dagger(\theta', \varphi') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta') \delta(\varphi - \varphi') \quad (26)$$

(completeness).

Given that [23]

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) [F_{jl}(E, R) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi)] &= \\ &= -i \frac{dF_{jl}(E, R)}{dR} \frac{1}{R} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{x}) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) + F_{jl}(E, R) (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) [iG_{j'l'}(E, R) \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi)] &= \\ &= \frac{dG_{j'l'}(E, R)}{dR} \frac{1}{R} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{x}) \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi) + iG_{j'l'}(E, R) (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{R} (\vec{\sigma}\vec{x}) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) = -\Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi), \quad l + l' = 2j, \quad (29)$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) = i \frac{1 + \kappa}{R} \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (30)$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) \Omega_{j'l'm_t}(\theta, \varphi) = i \frac{1 - \kappa}{R} \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (31)$$

where  $\kappa = l(l+1) - j(j+1) - \frac{1}{4}$ , from equations (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2} \left( \frac{dF_{jl}(E, R)}{dR} + \frac{1 + \kappa}{R} F_{jl}(E, R) \right) + \\ & + \frac{r_0}{4R^2} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-3} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} - 2 \right] F_{jl}(E, R) - \left( \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}} E + m \right) G_{j'l'}(E, R) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-2} \left( \frac{dG_{j'l'}(E, R)}{dR} + \frac{1 - \kappa}{R} G_{j'l'}(E, R) \right) + \\ & + \frac{r_0}{4R^2} \left(1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-3} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}\right)^{-1} - 2 \right] G_{j'l'}(E, R) + \left( \frac{1 + \frac{r_0}{4R}}{1 - \frac{r_0}{4R}} E - m \right) F_{jl}(E, R) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let us discuss the asymptotic behavior of these equations for  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . They take the form

$$\frac{dF_{jl}(E, R)}{dR} + \frac{1 + \kappa}{R} F_{jl}(E, R) - \left( E + m + \frac{r_0}{2R} E \right) G_{j'l'}(E, R) = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{dG_{j'l'}(E, R)}{dR} + \frac{1 - \kappa}{R} G_{j'l'}(E, R) + \left( E - m + \frac{r_0}{2R} E \right) F_{jl}(E, R) = 0, \quad (35)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d(RF_{jl}(E, R))}{dR} + \frac{\kappa}{R} (RF_{jl}(E, R)) - \left( E + m + \frac{r_0}{2R} E \right) (RG_{j'l'}(E, R)) = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{d(RG_{j'l'}(E, R))}{dR} - \frac{\kappa}{R} (RG_{j'l'}(E, R)) + \left( E - m + \frac{r_0}{2R} E \right) (RF_{jl}(E, R)) = 0. \quad (37)$$

The last equations coincide with those for an electron in the Coulomb potential of an atomic nucleus, presented in monograph [23], up to the redefinition  $F_{jl}(E, R) \rightarrow g(R)$ ,  $G_{j'l'}(E, R) \rightarrow f(R)$ . Comparing the signs in the last terms, we notice that, as in the case of the Coulomb field, the resulting potential is attractive:

$$V(R) = -\frac{r_0}{2R} E = -\frac{M}{R} E, \quad (38)$$

where  $M = r_0/2$  is the black hole mass. This potential reproduces the Newtonian one with the only difference that it is proportional to the total energy  $E$  of the particle instead of its mass  $m$ . This happens due to the relativistic nature of the equation, since in general relativity the source of gravity is precisely the energy-momentum.

Let us return to the Schwarzschild coordinates in full radial equations (32) and (33) for the spinor field outside the black hole horizon:

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \frac{dF_{jl}}{dr} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} + \kappa}{r} + \frac{r_0}{4r^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \right) F_{jl} - \left( \frac{E}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} + m \right) G_{j'l'} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \frac{dG_{jl'}}{dr} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} - \kappa}{r} + \frac{r_0}{4r^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \right) G_{jl'} + \left( \frac{E}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} - m \right) F_{jl} = 0, \quad (40)$$

where  $r \in (r_0, \infty)$ . These equations can be used to show that the orthogonality condition for the solutions has the form

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{r^2 dr}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} (F_{jl}(E, r) F_{jl}(E', r) + G_{jl'}(E, r) G_{jl'}(E', r)) = \delta(E - E'). \quad (41)$$

Obviously, the integral in this formula is the radial part of the integral in normalization condition (2). Condition (41) implies that the second-order equations for the functions  $F_{jl}$  and  $G_{jl'}$  cannot be reduced to equations in the Sturm-Liouville form, otherwise it would be possible to obtain orthonormality conditions for the functions  $F_{jl}$  and  $G_{jl'}$  separately. Without loss of generality, the functions  $F_{jl}$  and  $G_{jl'}$  can be considered real.

Let us make the substitution

$$F_{jl} = \frac{f_{jl}}{r \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad G_{jl'} = \frac{g_{jl'}}{r \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (42)$$

After such substitution, equations (39) and (40) take the simpler form

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \frac{df_{jl}}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} f_{jl} - \left( \frac{E}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} + m \right) g_{jl'} = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \frac{dg_{jl'}}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} g_{jl'} + \left( \frac{E}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} - m \right) f_{jl} = 0 \quad (44)$$

(perhaps this is the simplest form that the original equations (39) and (40) can be reduced to). The normalization conditions for these functions can be written as

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} (f_{jl}(E, r) f_{jl}(E', r) + g_{jl'}(E, r) g_{jl'}(E', r)) = \delta(E - E'). \quad (45)$$

Equations (43) and (44) can be written in the matrix form

$$\left( -i\sigma^{(2)} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) \frac{d}{dr} + \sigma^{(1)} \frac{\kappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}{r} + \sigma^{(3)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} m \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl} \\ g_{jl'} \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl} \\ g_{jl'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

Thus, we obtain the eigenvalue problem for the operator

$$H_r(\kappa) = -i\sigma^{(2)} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) \frac{d}{dr} + \sigma^{(1)} \frac{\kappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}{r} + \sigma^{(3)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} m \quad (47)$$

in the space of real spinor functions of  $r$ ,  $\xi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(r) \\ \xi_2(r) \end{pmatrix}$ , with the scalar product

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \eta^T(r) \xi(r) = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} (\eta_1(r) \xi_1(r) + \eta_2(r) \xi_2(r)). \quad (48)$$

It is easy to check that the operator  $H_r(\kappa)$  is Hermitian in the Hilbert space of the real spinor functions with finite norm defined by this scalar product. Therefore, its eigenfunctions form a complete orthogonal system in the space of real spinors with scalar product (48).

Note that if  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl} \\ g_{j'l'} \end{pmatrix}$  is the eigenfunction of the operator  $H_r(\kappa)$  with an eigenvalue  $E$ , i.e.  $H_r(\kappa)\xi = E\xi$ , then  $\sigma^{(1)}\xi = \begin{pmatrix} g_{j'l'} \\ f_{jl} \end{pmatrix}$  is the eigenfunction of the operator

$$H_r(-\kappa) = -\sigma^{(1)}H_r(\kappa)\sigma^{(1)} = -i\sigma^{(2)}\left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)\frac{d}{dr} - \sigma^{(1)}\frac{\kappa\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}{r} + \sigma^{(3)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}m \quad (49)$$

corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-E$ . Therefore, the eigenfunctions of  $H_r(\kappa)$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $-E$ ,  $E > 0$ , will be the functions  $\begin{pmatrix} g_{jl}(E, r) \\ f_{j'l'}(E, r) \end{pmatrix}$ . With this in mind, from orthogonality condition (45) of the eigenfunctions, we find that

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} (f_{jl}(E, r)g_{jl}(E, r) + f_{j'l'}(E, r)g_{j'l'}(E, r)) = 0. \quad (50)$$

Passing to the second-order equations for  $f_{jl}$  or  $g_{j'l'}$ , below we will show that the spectrum of the operator  $H_r(\kappa)$  is continuous and the eigenvalues run through the entire set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $-\infty < E < \infty$ .

For further analysis of equations (43) and (44), it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless variables  $\rho = \frac{r}{r_0}$ ,  $\varepsilon = r_0E$ ,  $\mu = r_0m$ , that reduce the equations to the form

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}\frac{df_{jl}}{d\rho} + \frac{\kappa}{\rho}f_{jl} - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}} + \mu\right)g_{j'l'} = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}\frac{dg_{j'l'}}{d\rho} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho}g_{j'l'} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}} - \mu\right)f_{jl} = 0. \quad (52)$$

First, let us consider the case  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We differentiate equation (51) with respect to  $\rho$  and use original equations (51) and (52). After that, the equation takes the form (in what follows, for brevity, we omit the indices  $j, l, l'$  of the radial functions, where this does not lead to a confusion):

$$\frac{d^2f}{d\rho^2} + w(\rho)\frac{df}{d\rho} + c(\rho)f = 0, \quad (53)$$

where

$$w(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\rho(\rho - 1)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\rho(\rho - 1)\left(\varepsilon + \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho}}\right)},$$

$$c(\rho) = \frac{\varepsilon^2\rho^2}{(\rho - 1)^2} - \frac{\mu^2\rho}{\rho - 1} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\rho - 1}} - \frac{\kappa^2}{\rho(\rho - 1)} + \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{2\rho^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\varepsilon + \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho}}\right)}. \quad (54)$$

Let us pass to the tortoise coordinate  $\rho(z) + \ln(\rho(z) - 1) = z$ . After the transformation of the coordinate  $\rho \rightarrow z$ , equation (53) takes the form

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dz^2} + W(z) \frac{df}{dz} + C(z) f = 0, \quad (55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W(z) &= w(\rho(z)) \frac{d\rho(z)}{dz} - \frac{d^2 \rho(z)}{dz^2} \left( \frac{d\rho(z)}{dz} \right)^{-1}, \\ C(z) &= c(\rho(z)) \left( \frac{d\rho(z)}{dz} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

In the coordinate  $z$ , orthonormality condition (41) rewritten in terms of the functions  $f_{jl}$  and  $g_{j\nu}$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z) f_{jl}(\varepsilon', z) + g_{j\nu}(\varepsilon, z) g_{j\nu}(\varepsilon', z)) dz = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z), g_{j\nu}(\varepsilon, z)) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}(\varepsilon', z) \\ g_{j\nu}(\varepsilon', z) \end{pmatrix} dz = \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon'). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The last step is to bring equation (55) to the form of the Schrödinger equation. To this end, we make one more substitution

$$f = A(z) u, \quad A(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^z W(z') dz'\right). \quad (58)$$

Notice that  $w(\rho)$  in (54) can be represented as

$$w(\rho) = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ 2 \ln\left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}\right) - \ln\left(\varepsilon + \mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho}}\right) \right]. \quad (59)$$

Using the last relation, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^z W(z') dz' = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho(z')}\right) - \ln\left(\varepsilon + \mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho(z')}}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{d\rho(z')}{dz'}\right) \right] \Bigg|_{-\infty}^z = \\ &= -\ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{(\rho(z) - 1) \varepsilon}{\rho(z) \frac{d\rho(z)}{dz} \left(\varepsilon + \mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho(z)}\right)}}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Thus, we find

$$A(z) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\rho(z)}}}. \quad (61)$$

Substituting (58) into equation (55), we finally get

$$-\frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} + V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z) u = \varepsilon^2 u, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{dW(z)}{dz} + \frac{W^2(z)}{4} - C(z) + \varepsilon^2 = \\ &= \frac{\mu(\rho(z) - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \kappa\varepsilon\rho(z)\sqrt{\rho(z) - 1}}{2\rho^{\frac{9}{2}}(z)\left(\varepsilon + \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho(z)-1}{\rho(z)}}\right)} + \frac{\mu^2(\rho(z) - 1) - 2\mu\varepsilon\sqrt{(\rho(z) - 1)\rho(z)}}{16\rho^5(z)\left(\varepsilon + \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho(z)-1}{\rho(z)}}\right)^2} + \\ &+ \mu^2 \frac{\rho(z) - 1}{\rho(z)} + \kappa \frac{(\rho(z) - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho^{\frac{7}{2}}(z)} + \kappa^2 \frac{\rho(z) - 1}{\rho^3(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Using formula (63), one can check that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  the energy-dependent quasipotential  $V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z)$  is smooth and behaves at  $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$  as follows:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z) = \mu^2.$$

Having a solution for the function  $f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z)$ , one can obtain the solution for the function  $g_{j'l'}(\varepsilon, z)$  using equation (51).

Now let us consider the case  $\varepsilon < 0$ . It should be noted that equations (51) and (52) are symmetric with respect to the change  $f \leftrightarrow g$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow -\varepsilon$ ,  $\kappa \rightarrow -\kappa$ . Then for the function  $v = (A(z))^{-1} g$ , defined similar to the function  $u$  in (58), we obtain

$$-\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z) v = \varepsilon^2 v, \quad (64)$$

where

$$V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z) = V_{-\kappa}^{(u)}(-\varepsilon, z) \quad (65)$$

(it is necessary to work with the function  $g_{j'l'}(\varepsilon, z)$ , since for some values of  $\varepsilon < 0$  the expression  $\varepsilon + \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho(z)-1}{\rho(z)}}$  vanishes at a certain value of  $\rho(z)$  and leads to the singularity in (63), while  $\varepsilon - \mu\sqrt{\frac{\rho(z)-1}{\rho(z)}}$  does not vanish). Because of (65), for any  $\varepsilon < 0$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  the quasipotential  $V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z)$  has the same asymptotic behavior as  $V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z)$ :

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z) = \mu^2.$$

Having a solution for the function  $g_{j'l'}(\varepsilon, z)$ , one can obtain the solution for the function  $f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z)$  using equation (52). Examples of quasipotentials (63), (65) are shown in figures 1, 2.

Thus, we see that the quasipotentials are a kind of the one-dimensional step potential in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Note that, contrary to the ordinary quantum mechanics, in which one has one and the same potential for any eigenvalue, here for any ‘‘eigenvalue’’  $\varepsilon^2$  in (62) (for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) there exists the unique smooth potential  $V_\kappa^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z)$ . Analogously, for any ‘‘eigenvalue’’  $\varepsilon^2$  in (64) (for  $\varepsilon < 0$ ) there exists the unique smooth potential  $V_\kappa^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z)$ .




Figure 1:  $V_{\kappa}^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z)$  for  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\kappa = -1$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0.5$  (left plot) and  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\kappa = 2$ ,  $\varepsilon = 2$  (right plot). The dotted line corresponds to  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} V_{\kappa}^{(u)}(\varepsilon, z) = \mu^2$ .




Figure 2:  $V_{\kappa}^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z)$  for  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\kappa = 5$ ,  $\varepsilon = -0.2$  (left plot) and  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\kappa = 3$ ,  $\varepsilon = -3$  (right plot). The dotted line corresponds to  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} V_{\kappa}^{(v)}(\varepsilon, z) = \mu^2$ .

According to the asymptotic behavior of the quasipotentials, equations (62) and (64) have the same continuous spectra  $0 < \varepsilon^2 < \infty$  as the standard equation with the step potential. As shown in figures 1, 2, quasipotentials can look different for different values of the parameters; in particular, there can be rises and dips in the vicinity of  $z = 0$  which are consequences of the complicated definition of the quasipotential (63) and seem not to have obvious physical interpretations in general. For example, on the right plot in figure 1 one can see a local minimum, which looks like a potential well. Note that there cannot be a bound state in such a potential well, because the corresponding quasipotentials can be used only for the “eigenvalues”  $\varepsilon^2 > 0$  (in particular, the quasipotential on the right plot in figure 1 can be used only for  $\varepsilon^2 = 4$ , whereas an assumed bound state in the potential well implies  $\varepsilon^2 < 0$ , which is impossible). Since equations (62) and (64) are second order differential equations, one expects that formally there exist two linearly independent solutions of each equation. By analogy with the case of the scalar field [14], the step-like form of the quasipotentials suggests that physically relevant solutions are supposed to be bounded for  $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Thus, for  $|\varepsilon| > \mu$ , we are left with two solutions, which oscillate for  $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . For  $|\varepsilon| < \mu$ , we are left with only one solution, which falls off exponentially for  $z \rightarrow \infty$  (the second linearly independent solution grows exponentially for  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and should be discarded as unphysical).

The transition to the functions  $u$  and  $v$  is needed only in order to find the energy spectrum

of the equations from the form of the quasipotentials, but it is more convenient to work with the functions  $f$  and  $g$ . As was demonstrated above, the shape of the quasipotentials suggests that for  $|\varepsilon| > \mu$  there is a pair of orthogonal solutions  $f_{jl}^{(1)}(\varepsilon, z)$ ,  $g_{jl'}^{(1)}(\varepsilon, z)$  and  $f_{jl}^{(2)}(\varepsilon, z)$ ,  $g_{jl'}^{(2)}(\varepsilon, z)$  for each value of  $\varepsilon$ , and for  $|\varepsilon| < \mu$  there is only one solution  $f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z)$ ,  $g_{jl'}(\varepsilon, z)$  for each value of  $\varepsilon$ . Then the orthogonality conditions have the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f_{jl}(\varepsilon, z), g_{jl'}(\varepsilon, z)) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}^{(p)}(\varepsilon', z) \\ g_{jl'}^{(p)}(\varepsilon', z) \end{pmatrix} dz = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f_{jl}^{(p)}(\varepsilon, z), g_{jl'}^{(p)}(\varepsilon, z) \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}^{(p')}(\varepsilon', z) \\ g_{jl'}^{(p')}(\varepsilon', z) \end{pmatrix} dz = \delta_{pp'} \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon'). \quad (67)$$

It should be noted here that in paper [18] equation (53) in the Schwarzschild coordinates was brought to the Schrödinger form with a quasipotential containing terms proportional to  $1/(\rho - 1)^2$ , one of such terms being already present in (54). In non-relativistic quantum mechanics, such singular potentials lead to the so-called “fall” of a particle to the center, i.e., to the existence of energy levels with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow -\infty$  (see [24], Chapter V). However, by passing to the tortoise coordinate in this equation, the horizon is moved to  $z \rightarrow -\infty$  and we obtain equation (62) with a smooth quasipotential for which the energy levels are non-negative. It means that in the relativistic theory under consideration the singularity of the quasipotential at  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  in Schwarzschild coordinates is an artifact of using metric (1) and does not indicate any physical pathology of the theory.

Now let us restore the dimensional variables and return to the original Schwarzschild coordinates. The condition of completeness of the eigenfunction system of the operator  $H_r(\kappa)$  can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^m dE \left[ \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}(E, r) \\ g_{jl'}(E, r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}(E, r') \\ g_{jl'}(E, r') \end{pmatrix}^T + \begin{pmatrix} g_{jl}(E, r) \\ f_{jl'}(E, r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{jl}(E, r') \\ f_{jl'}(E, r') \end{pmatrix}^T \right] + \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^{\infty} dE \left[ \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) \\ g_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') \\ g_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') \end{pmatrix}^T + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \begin{pmatrix} g_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) \\ f_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') \\ f_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') \end{pmatrix}^T \right] = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) \delta(r - r'). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

In components it reads:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^m dE (f_{jl}(E, r) f_{jl}(E, r') + g_{jl}(E, r) g_{jl}(E, r')) + \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^{\infty} dE \left( f_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) f_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') + g_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) g_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') \right) = \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) \delta(r - r'), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^m dE (f_{jl}(E, r) g_{jl'}(E, r') + g_{jl}(E, r) f_{jl'}(E, r')) + \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^\infty dE \left( f_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) g_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') + g_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) f_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Returning to the functions  $F_{jl}$  and  $G_{jl}$ , we obtain the completeness condition for them in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^m dE (F_{jl}(E, r) F_{jl}(E, r') + G_{jl}(E, r) G_{jl}(E, r')) + \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^\infty dE \left( F_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) F_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') + G_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) G_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r') \right) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}{r^2} \delta(r - r'),
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^m dE (F_{jl}(E, r) G_{jl'}(E, r') + G_{jl}(E, r) F_{jl'}(E, r')) + \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^\infty dE \left( F_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) G_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') + G_{jl}^{(p)}(E, r) F_{jl'}^{(p)}(E, r') \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

In the next section we will use these conditions for proving the canonical commutation relation for the spinor field.

Above we have shown that the Dirac Hamiltonian corresponding to equation (8) has only the continuous spectrum stretching from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  and its eigenfunctions can be built from the functions  $F_{jl}$ ,  $G_{jl}$  and the spherical spinors as follows. The solutions corresponding to the positive eigenvalues with energy  $E < m$  will be denoted by  $\phi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi)$  and are given by

$$\phi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{jl}(E, r) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \\ iG_{jl'}(E, r) \Omega_{jl'm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{73}$$

As it has been noted above, due to the symmetry of equations (39) and (40) with respect to the permutation  $F \leftrightarrow G$ ,  $E \rightarrow -E$ ,  $\kappa \rightarrow -\kappa$  (or  $l \leftrightarrow l'$ ), we can immediately represent the negative energy solution with  $|E| < m$ , which will be denoted by  $\chi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi)$ , in the form

$$\chi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{jl}(E, r) \Omega_{jlm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \\ iF_{jl'}(E, r) \Omega_{jl'm_t}(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{74}$$

The doubly degenerate solutions with positive and negative energy  $|E| > m$  will be denoted by  $\phi_{Ejlm_t}^{(p)}(r, \theta, \varphi)$  and  $\chi_{Ejlm_t}^{(p)}(r, \theta, \varphi)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ , respectively, and are expressed in terms of the functions  $F_{jl}^{(p)}$  and  $G_{jl}^{(p)}$  in the same way, as the functions  $\phi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi)$  and  $\chi_{Ejlm_t}(r, \theta, \varphi)$  in formulas (73), (74).

The normalization conditions for these solutions can be easily obtained from normalization conditions (39) and the normalization conditions for the spherical spinors (25). The former imply that all these solutions have infinite norm. We also remind that this system of solutions is complete due to the Dirac Hamiltonian being Hermitian.

## 4 Canonical quantization

Now we can perform the canonical quantization of the spinor field. It is most convenient to carry out the quantization procedure using the functions  $f$  and  $g$  and performing calculations in the tortoise coordinate (due to the simple orthogonality and completeness conditions). Nevertheless, in what follows we will give formulas in the more familiar Schwarzschild coordinates.

The expansion of the spinor field in terms of the complete system of stationary solutions of Dirac equation (8) constructed in the previous section has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = & \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{\tau}=-j}^j \sum_{l=j\pm\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \left[ \int_0^m dE \left( e^{-iEt} \phi_{Ejlm_{\tau}}(r, \theta, \varphi) a_{jlm_{\tau}}(E) + e^{iEt} \chi_{Ejlm_{\tau}}(r, \theta, \varphi) b_{jlm_{\tau}}^{\dagger}(E) \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^{\infty} dE \left( e^{-iEt} \phi_{Ejlm_{\tau}}^{(p)}(r, \theta, \varphi) a_{jlm_{\tau}}^{(p)}(E) + e^{iEt} \chi_{Ejlm_{\tau}}^{(p)}(r, \theta, \varphi) b_{jlm_{\tau}}^{(p)\dagger}(E) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The creation and annihilation operators  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $a^{(p)}$ ,  $b^{(p)}$  must satisfy the anticommutation rules

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ a_{jlm_{\tau}}(E), a_{j'l'm'_{\tau}}^{\dagger}(E') \right\} &= \delta_{jj'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m_{\tau}m'_{\tau}} \delta(E - E'), \\ \left\{ b_{jlm_{\tau}}(E), b_{j'l'm'_{\tau}}^{\dagger}(E') \right\} &= \delta_{jj'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m_{\tau}m'_{\tau}} \delta(E - E'), \\ \left\{ a_{jlm_{\tau}}^{(p)}(E), a_{j'l'm'_{\tau}}^{(p)\dagger}(E') \right\} &= \delta_{pp'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m_{\tau}m'_{\tau}} \delta(E - E'), \\ \left\{ b_{jlm_{\tau}}^{(p)}(E), b_{j'l'm'_{\tau}}^{(p)\dagger}(E') \right\} &= \delta_{pp'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m_{\tau}m'_{\tau}} \delta(E - E'). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Now, using these anticommutation relations, the properties of the radial functions and spherical spinors, we obtain the anticommutation conditions for the spinor fields  $\psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi)$  and  $\psi^{\dagger}(t, r', \theta', \varphi')$ . Using expansion (75) and relations (76), and then completeness condition (71) for the radial functions and completeness condition (26) for the spherical spinors, one gets

$$\left\{ \psi_{\alpha}(t, r, \theta, \varphi), \psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}(t, r', \theta', \varphi') \right\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}{r^2} \delta(r - r') \delta(\cos \theta - \cos \theta') \delta(\varphi - \varphi') \quad (77)$$

( $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are the spinor indices). Thus, we arrive at the canonical anticommutation relations in curved spacetime.

We emphasize once again that since there is no translation invariance in the Schwarzschild spacetime, wave functions of fermions do not decompose into the product of a coordinate-dependent exponential function and a spinor that is a function of only the momentum. In the basis of the eigenfunctions of the squared angular momentum operator  $\vec{J}^2$ , which we must use, (anti)fermion states are described by coordinate-dependent spinors. Therefore, the orthogonality (41) and completeness (71) and (72) conditions of the radial functions and completeness

condition (26) of the system of spherical spinors are crucial for the derivation of canonical anticommutation relations (77) and play the role of the spin sums in Minkowski space.

Finally, let us find the Hamiltonian of the spinor field in the gravitational field of a Schwarzschild black hole. The Hamiltonian density corresponding to the Lagrangian  $L$  defined in (3) is determined by the formula

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \dot{\psi} + \dot{\bar{\psi}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\bar{\psi}}} - L. \quad (78)$$

Using the diagonal property of the tetrad  $e_{(\nu)}^\mu$ , we can represent the derivatives in the form

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{(0)} e_{(0)}^0, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\bar{\psi}}} = -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-g} e_{(0)}^0 \gamma^{(0)} \psi. \quad (79)$$

Taking into account that the fermionic Lagrangian is equal to zero on the equations of motion,  $L|_{\text{EoM}} = 0$ , we obtain the Hamiltonian density in the form

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-g} e_{(0)}^0 \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^{(0)} \dot{\psi} - \dot{\bar{\psi}} \gamma^{(0)} \psi \right) = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-g} e_{(0)}^0 \left( \psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - \dot{\psi}^\dagger \psi \right). \quad (80)$$

Expression (80) agrees with the expression for the component  $T_{00}$  of the energy-momentum tensor of the spinor field in curved spacetime given in monograph [25]. Taking into account that for the Schwarzschild metric

$$\sqrt{-g} = r^2 \sin \theta, \quad (81)$$

the tetrad in the Schwinger gauge is given by

$$e_{(0)}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}}, \quad (82)$$

and passing from the Hamiltonian density  $\tilde{H}$  to the Hamiltonian  $H$  proper, one obtains

$$H = \int \tilde{H} d^3x = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \left( \psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - \dot{\psi}^\dagger \psi \right) dr d\theta d\varphi. \quad (83)$$

Let us now substitute the expansion of the spinor field  $\psi$  in form (75) into this formula. Applying orthogonality condition (25) for the spherical spinors and then orthogonality condition (41) for the radial functions  $F$  and  $G$ , as a result of not difficult but bulky calculations, we arrive at the following expression for the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_\iota=-j}^j \sum_{l=j\pm\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^m E \left( a_{jlm_\iota}^\dagger(E) a_{jlm_\iota}(E) - b_{jlm_\iota}(E) b_{jlm_\iota}^\dagger(E) \right) dE + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^\infty E \left( a_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)\dagger}(E) a_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)}(E) - b_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)}(E) b_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)\dagger}(E) \right) dE \right) - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_\iota=-j}^j \sum_{l=j\pm\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^m E \left( a_{jlm_\iota}(E) a_{jlm_\iota}^\dagger(E) - b_{jlm_\iota}^\dagger(E) b_{jlm_\iota}(E) \right) dE + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^\infty E \left( a_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)}(E) a_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)\dagger}(E) - b_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)\dagger}(E) b_{jlm_\iota}^{(p)}(E) \right) dE \right). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Passing here to the normal ordering of the creation and annihilation operators and dropping infinite c-number terms, one obtains the Hamiltonian of the system in the final form:

$$\begin{aligned}
H = \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_t=-j}^j \sum_{l=j\pm\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^m E \left( a_{jlm_t}^{\dagger}(E) a_{jlm_t}(E) + b_{jlm_t}^{\dagger}(E) b_{jlm_t}(E) \right) dE + \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{p=1}^2 \int_m^{\infty} E \left( a_{jlm_t}^{(p)\dagger}(E) a_{jlm_t}^{(p)}(E) + b_{jlm_t}^{(p)\dagger}(E) b_{jlm_t}^{(p)}(E) \right) dE \right). \tag{85}
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case of the scalar field [14], it has the standard form, except for integrating separately over the spectra of finite and infinite motion and doubling the number of states in the latter case.

## 5 Conclusion

Having carried out the procedure of canonical quantization of a massive spinor field outside the horizon of an ideal Schwarzschild black hole, we have shown that a consistent quantum theory of the spinor field in the gravitational field of the Schwarzschild black hole can be constructed, in complete analogy with the case of the scalar field [14], without taking into account the influence of the interior of the black hole (i.e. the area below the horizon). It is shown that the resulting theory is complete and self-consistent, that is, the canonical commutation relations hold exactly, and the Hamiltonian has the standard form without any peculiarities.

Although the time coordinate  $t$  can be considered proper time only when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , this does not lead to a contradiction when performing the canonical quantization procedure. In addition, since the original theory is invariant under time shifts  $t$ , it generates the time-conserved Hamiltonian, which is necessary to obtain a correct quantum field theory. Thus, in the resulting quantum theory, time  $t$  can be considered as global time.

The spectrum of spinor quantum states, like the spectrum of scalar states, includes two branches. The first one is a continuous spectrum of states with energies less than the field mass, which corresponds to particles trapped in the vicinity of the horizon. The second branch is a continuous spectrum of states with energies exceeding the field mass and corresponds to the infinite motion of particles. The states in this part of the spectrum are doubly degenerate. It is worth noting that there is no such degeneracy of spinor particle states with definite energy and total angular momentum in central potentials in Minkowski spacetime. We believe that it is due to the topological structure  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$  of the Schwarzschild spacetime, which differs from the topological structure  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of Minkowski spacetime. Indeed, in the simpler case of the scalar field the topological nature of the degeneracy was demonstrated explicitly in paper [14] by a direct comparison with solutions in Minkowski space. Thus, we expect the appearance of analogous degeneracies in other curved spacetimes like the one of wormholes (see the discussion of traversable wormholes of the Morris-Thorne type [26–28] in paper [14]) or the Kerr spacetime.

Note that the above procedure of the canonical quantization of the spinor field is based on the use of solutions to the equations of motion in the spherical coordinate system. However,

we assume that, as in the case of the scalar field [14, 29], there is a way first to pass to the scatteringlike states [14] and then to their linear combinations [29], which are more convenient from the point of view of describing the theory at large distances from the black hole. Unlike the case of the scalar field, which is very similar to ordinary quantum mechanics from the technical point of view and, accordingly, in which known results obtained in quantum mechanics in the framework of the study of scattering processes can be applied, the case of a spinor field seems to be more complicated. At the same time, based on the available results on the properties of the spectrum of radial solutions of the corresponding equations of motion (namely, taking into account the twofold degeneracy of the solutions for  $E^2 > m^2$ ), we also expect the appearance of the twofold degeneracy of the states that at large distances from the black hole look like having the same asymptotic momentum. This problem calls for a further investigation.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to E.E. Boos, V.I. Denisov, Yu.V. Grats, S.I. Keizerov, V.P. Neznamov and E.R. Rakhmetov for helpful discussions. This study was conducted within the scientific program of the National Center for Physics and Mathematics, section №5 “Particle Physics and Cosmology”. Stage 2023-2025.

## References

- [1] D.G. Boulware, “*Quantum Field Theory in Schwarzschild and Rindler Spaces*”, Phys. Rev. D **11** (1975) 1404.
- [2] J.B. Hartle and S.W. Hawking, “*Path Integral Derivation of Black Hole Radiance*”, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976) 2188-2203.
- [3] M.D. Kruskal, “*Maximal extension of Schwarzschild metric*”, Phys. Rev. **119** (1960) 1743-1745.
- [4] G. Szekeres, “*On the singularities of a Riemannian manifold*”, Publ. Math. Debrecen **7** (1960) 285-301.
- [5] G. ’t Hooft, “*Virtual Black Holes and Space–Time Structure*”, Found. Phys. **48** (2018) 1134-1149.
- [6] G. ’t Hooft, “*The quantum black hole as a theoretical lab, a pedagogical treatment of a new approach*”, arXiv:1902.10469 [gr-qc].
- [7] G. ’t. Hooft, “*Quantum clones inside black holes*”, arXiv:2206.04608 [gr-qc].
- [8] N. Deruelle and R. Ruffini, “*Quantum and classical relativistic energy states in stationary geometries*”, Phys. Lett. B **52** (1974) 437-441.

- [9] A. Zecca, “*Properties of radial equation of scalar field in Schwarzschild space-time*”, Nuovo Cim. B **124** (2009) 1251-1258.
- [10] J. Barranco, A. Bernal, J. C. Degollado, A. Diez-Tejedor, M. Megevand, M. Alcubierre, D. Nunez and O. Sarbach, “*Are black holes a serious threat to scalar field dark matter models?*”, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 083008 [arXiv:1108.0931 [gr-qc]].
- [11] E.T. Akhmedov, P.A. Anempodistov, K.V. Bazarov, D.V. Diakonov, U. Moschella, “*Heating up an environment around black holes and inside de Sitter space*”, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 025023 [arXiv:2010.10877 [hep-th]].
- [12] P.A. Anempodistov, “*Remarks on the thermofield double state in 4D black hole background*”, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 105008 [arXiv:2012.03305 [hep-th]].
- [13] K.V. Bazarov, “*Notes on peculiarities of quantum fields in space-times with horizons*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **39** (2022) 217001 [arXiv:2112.02188 [hep-th]].
- [14] V. Egorov, M. Smolyakov and I. Volobuev, “*Doubling of physical states in the quantum scalar field theory for a remote observer in the Schwarzschild spacetime*”, Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023) 025001 [arXiv:2209.02067 [gr-qc]].
- [15] A. Zecca, “*Spin 1/2 Bound States in Schwarzschild Geometry*”, Adv. Stud. Theor. Phys. **1** (2007) 271-279.
- [16] M.V. Gorbatenko and V.P. Neznamov, “*Solution of the problem of uniqueness and hermiticity of hamiltonians for Dirac particles in gravitational fields*”, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 104056 [arXiv:1007.4631 [gr-qc]].
- [17] M.V. Gorbatenko and V.P. Neznamov, “*Uniqueness and Self-Conjugacy of Dirac Hamiltonians in arbitrary Gravitational Fields*”, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 105002 [arXiv:1102.4067 [gr-qc]].
- [18] V.P. Neznamov and I.I. Safronov, “*Stationary solutions of second-order equations for point fermions in the Schwarzschild gravitational field*”, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **127** (2018) 647-658 [arXiv:1809.08940 [gr-qc]].
- [19] M.V. Gorbatenko and V.P. Neznamov, “*Quantum mechanics of stationary states of particles in a space-time of classical black holes*”, Theor. Math. Phys. **205** (2020) 1492-1526 [arXiv:2012.04491 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [20] S.S. Schweber, “*An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory*”, Dover Publications, 2005.
- [21] J-P. Antoine, R.C. Bishop, A. Bohm, S. Wickramasekara, “*Rigged Hilbert Spaces in Quantum Physics*”, In: Greenberger, D., Hentschel, K., Weinert, F. (eds) Compendium of Quantum Physics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.

- [22] D.G. Boulware, “*Spin 1/2 Quantum Field Theory in Schwarzschild Space*”, Phys. Rev. D **12** (1975) 350.
- [23] A.I. Akhiezer and V.B. Berestetskii, “*Quantum electrodynamics*”, Interscience Publishers; Revised Edition, 1965.
- [24] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, “*Quantum mechanics. Non-relativistic theory*”, Second edition, Pergamon press, 1965.
- [25] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, “*Quantum Fields in Curved Space*”, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [26] H.G. Ellis, “*Ether flow through a drainhole: A particle model in general relativity*”, J. Math. Phys. **14** (1973) 104.
- [27] K.A. Bronnikov, “*Scalar-tensor theory and scalar charge*”, Acta Phys. Polon. B **4** (1973) 251.
- [28] M.S. Morris, K.S. Thorne, “*Wormholes in space-time and their use for interstellar travel: A tool for teaching general relativity*”, Am. J. Phys. **56** (1988) 395.
- [29] M.N. Smolyakov, “*Asymptotic behavior of solutions and spectrum of states in the quantum scalar field theory in the Schwarzschild spacetime*”, Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) 105006 [arXiv:2309.06249 [gr-qc]].