

Power corrections to the photon polarization tensor in a hot and dense medium of massive fermions

Oswaldo FERREIRA¹ and Eduardo S. FRAGA¹

¹*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,
CEP 21941-972 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

(Dated: September 14, 2023)

We compute the $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ terms (power corrections) of the photon polarization tensor in a hot and dense medium of particles with a small but finite mass, i.e., $0 < m \ll T, \mu$. We perform our calculations within the hard thermal loop approximation in the real-time formalism, and evaluate the first non-zero mass corrections. For a renormalization scale $\bar{\Lambda} \sim T$, these mass contributions determine the temperature dependence of the power corrections.

I. INTRODUCTION

The photon polarization tensor (or self-energy) is a basic building block for numerous calculations in high-energy physics [1]. Its evaluation in a medium at finite temperature (T) and chemical potential (μ) is a necessary step in the description of hot plasmas and dense matter [2–5]. In particular, it is relevant in the study of collective phenomena, particle production, and to the computation of transport coefficients [6–9].

Efforts to evaluate the one-loop photon polarization tensor at finite temperature within the hard thermal loop (HTL) approximation [10–14] can be traced back to Ref. [15]. Those results were extended to two loops for high-temperature plasmas [16], and recently generalized to finite chemical potentials [17, 18]. The leading order (LO) HTL evaluation of the photon self-energy corresponds to the first-order contribution of an expansion in terms of a soft external momentum. The inclusion of corrections coming from higher powers of the soft external momentum represents a way to go beyond the LO result (complementary to computing more loops), and can be relevant to the evaluation of thermodynamic properties of a dense QED medium [19].

In this paper we compute the $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ terms (power corrections) of the photon polarization tensor in a hot and dense medium of particles with a small but finite mass, i.e., $0 < m \ll T, \mu$. We perform our calculations within the hard thermal loop approximation in the real-time formalism, and evaluate the first non-zero mass corrections. As we will show, for a renormalization scale $\bar{\Lambda} \sim T$, these mass contributions determine the temperature dependence of the power corrections.

In the high-density regime, the case of massive fermions has been investigated in Ref. [20], where the authors found analytic expressions for the one-loop photon self-energy and spectral density (at LO) for a degenerate QED system ($T = 0$), using the imaginary-time formalism. In Ref. [21] these results were rederived within the real-time formalism, and applied to the study of the photon propagation in dense nuclear matter with possible implications to neutron star physics.

Recently, effects from small fermion masses on the photon self-energy at finite temperature and density were

considered in Ref. [22]. The authors evaluated mass corrections to the leading-order photon polarization tensor and showed that these corrections can be as important as power and loop corrections, depending on the fermion mass and the external momentum compared to eT (with e being the gauge coupling). Such mass corrections can be relevant for the energy loss of fermions propagating through a hot medium [23].

Power corrections to the photon polarization tensor can also affect the electric and magnetic susceptibilities of hot plasmas [2, 24]. Furthermore, for soft momenta they can give contribution as important as two-loop corrections [16, 25–27], which makes them crucial for a precise evaluation of thermodynamic quantities of hot and dense QED [17, 19]. To investigate the impact of nonzero fermion masses on power corrections, we adopt a procedure that is similar to the one introduced in Ref. [22].

This paper is organized as follows. In section II we introduce conventions that will be used throughout the paper, as well as quantities that will be the building blocks of our calculation. In section III we present the main calculation, the evaluation of the first nonzero mass corrections to the components of the photon polarization tensor. In section IV we summarize our findings, compare them to previous work, and discuss possible applications.

II. PHOTON POLARIZATION TENSOR WITHIN THE REAL-TIME FORMALISM

A. Notation

We adopt, with minor adaptations, the notation used in Ref. [17]. Our calculations are performed in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions and $d = 3 - 2\epsilon$ spatial dimensions. In the real-time formalism we have the Minkowski metric, and we choose the signature $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$. Four-vectors are denoted as $P \equiv (p_0, \mathbf{p})$. Also $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ and p^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$) denote individual spatial components. Our units are such that $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$. We perform the calculations for the photon polarization tensor using the Keldysh basis representation for the real-time formalism [28, 29]. The reader is referred to Refs. [14, 17] for more details. The labels attributed to some specific




FIG. 1. Feynman diagram for the one-loop photon polarization tensor.

integrals used here are similar but not identical to those used in Ref. [17]. They are listed in Appendix A, which also contains more details on the notation and the use of dimensional regularization.

B. Photon polarization tensor

In this section we make some general statements about the polarization tensor and its power corrections, setting the ground for the calculations that follow. Within the real-time formalism the one-loop retarded polarization tensor is given by [17]

$$-i(\Pi^R)_{\mu\nu}(K) = -\int_P F_{\mu\nu} [N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)] \times \Delta^R(K+P)\Delta^d(P), \quad (1)$$

where P is the loop momentum and K is the external momentum of the photon. Here, $N_F^\pm(P) = \frac{1}{2} - n_F(p^0 \pm \mu)$, where n_F is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. The $F_{\mu\nu}$ factor is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}(K, P) = -4e^2[2P_\mu P_\nu + K_\mu P_\nu + P_\mu K_\nu - (P^2 + K \cdot P + m^2)g_{\mu\nu}], \quad (2)$$

$$\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(K) = \frac{e^2}{2} \int_P \Delta^d(P) [N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)] \left(\frac{K^4}{(K \cdot P)^2} + \frac{2K^4 k^0 p^0}{(K \cdot P)^3} - \frac{K^6 p_0^2}{(K \cdot P)^4} \right). \quad (8)$$

For the transverse component of the photon polarization tensor, in addition to Π_{00} we need to compute the trace

where we assume a free electron-photon vertex function, e being the coupling constant. The function

$$\Delta^{R/A} = \frac{-i}{P^2 + m^2 \pm i\eta p^0} \quad (3)$$

corresponds to the scalar part of the retarded (R) and advanced (A) fermion propagator, $S^{R/A}(P) = -(\not{P} + m)\Delta^{R/A}(P)$. The constant η is a small parameter and m is the fermion mass. Δ^d is the spectral function, defined as

$$\Delta^d = \Delta^R - \Delta^A = 2\pi \text{sgn}(p^0)\delta(P^2 + m^2). \quad (4)$$

The polarization tensor can be decomposed into longitudinal and transverse components

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(K) = \mathcal{P}_T^{\mu\nu}(K)\Pi_T(K) + \mathcal{P}_L^{\mu\nu}(K)\Pi_L(K), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_T^{\mu\nu}(K)$ and $\mathcal{P}_L^{\mu\nu}(K)$ are orthogonal projectors for the longitudinal and transverse components [10, 11, 17], and the scalar functions

$$\Pi_L = \frac{-K^2}{k^2}\Pi_{00}, \quad \Pi_T = \frac{1}{d-1} \left(\Pi_\mu^\mu + \frac{K^2}{k^2}\Pi_{00} \right) \quad (6)$$

are the transverse and longitudinal components of the polarization tensor, respectively.

From Equation (5) we see that the polarization tensor is given once Π_T and Π_L are determined. To evaluate these components, we must calculate $\Pi_{00}^R(K)$ and $(\Pi^R)_\mu^\mu(K)$. For the 00-component of the polarization tensor, Equation (1) gives

$$\Pi_{00}^R(K) = 4e^2 \int_P \Delta^d(P) [N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)] \times \frac{2p_0^2 + 2k^0 p^0 + K \cdot P}{2K \cdot P + K^2}, \quad (7)$$

where we have taken the fermion field to be on-shell¹, $P^2 = -m^2$. We also have absorbed the $i\eta$ factor into k_0 to simplify the notation and render the calculations cleaner. At the end of the calculation we have to impose the retarded prescription, $k_0 \rightarrow k_0 + i\eta$, on our results.

From now on we implicitly assume that we are performing the calculations for the retarded polarization tensor. The contributions of order k^2 (the ‘‘power corrections’’) are found by expanding the integrand of Equation (7) for small K . Performing this expansion and collecting the $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ terms yields the following expression:

¹ As discussed in [17], Δ^d will assure that the particles are on-shell,

and it is safe to assume it even after integrating over p_0 .

Π_ν^{\prime} . In this work we are then interested in the the power corrections to

$$(\Pi^R)_\mu^\mu = -4e^2 \int_P \Delta^d(P) [N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)] \left[\frac{(D-2)K \cdot P + 2m^2}{K^2 + K \cdot P} \right], \quad (9)$$

which are given by

$$(\Pi^{\text{Pow}})_\mu^\mu = \frac{e^2}{2} (D-2) \int_P \Delta^d(P) [N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)] \left[-\frac{K^4}{(K \cdot P)^2} + \frac{m^2 K^6}{2(K \cdot P)^4} \right]. \quad (10)$$

More specifically, we want to investigate how mass corrections affect $\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(K)$ and $(\Pi^{\text{Pow}})_\mu^\mu$. Notice that, from the start, we already have an additional term proportional to m^2 in $(\Pi^{\text{Pow}})_\mu^\mu$ that is not present in previous calculations.

III. MASS CORRECTIONS TO $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$

In this section we evaluate the contributions brought about by a nonzero, small mass ($m \ll T, \mu$ or, equivalently, $m \ll p$) to power corrections to the polarization tensor. We follow a procedure similar to the one introduced in Ref. [22]. We also use dimensional regulariza-

tion at intermediate steps, adopting the same conventions of Ref. [17]. This allows us to make direct comparisons to their results. In the following calculations, the basic idea is to expand the functions of the loop momentum in powers of $\frac{m^2}{p^n}$ ($n > 1$) and integrate the resulting expressions. More concretely, this amounts to substituting the expansions

$$\frac{1}{E_p^n} = \frac{1}{p^n} - \frac{n}{2} \frac{m^2}{p^{n+2}} + \frac{n(n+2)}{8} \frac{m^4}{p^{n+4}} + \dots \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{N}_F(E_p) = \bar{N}_F(p) + \frac{m^2}{2p} \frac{d\bar{N}_F(p)}{dp} - \frac{m^4}{8p^3} \frac{d^2\bar{N}_F(p)}{dp^2} + \frac{m^4}{8p^2} \frac{d^2\bar{N}_F(p)}{dp^2} + \dots \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{1}{(v' \cdot K)} = \frac{1}{(v \cdot K)^n} + \frac{n}{2} \frac{m^2}{p^2} \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^{n+1}} - \frac{3n}{8} \frac{m^4}{p^4} \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^{n+1}} + \frac{n(n+1)}{8} \frac{m^4}{p^4} \frac{(kz)^2}{(v \cdot K)^{n+2}} + \dots \quad (13)$$

in the expressions for Π_{00} and Π_μ^μ , leading to integrals of the form

$$m^{2n} \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F(p)}{p^{3+2n}} F(v \cdot K) \sim \frac{m^{2n}}{T^{2n}} f(k, k_0) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (14)$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Here, $F(v \cdot K)$ is a function of the external momentum $K = (k, k_0)$ and of the angular parameter $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} = z$ and $f(k, k_0)$ is the result of the angular integrations.

A. $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$ contribution

We now evaluate terms proportional to m^2 that will lead, in the appropriate limits, to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{m^2}{T^2})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2})$. We start by performing the integral over p^0 in Equation (8), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(K) &= \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{E_p^3} \bar{N}_F(E_p) \left[\frac{K^4}{(v' \cdot K)^2} + \frac{2k^0 K^4}{(v' \cdot K)^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{K^6}{(v' \cdot K)^4} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $v' \cdot K = -E_p k_0 + p k z$, with $z = \hat{p} \cdot \hat{k}$, and we have defined $\bar{N}_F \equiv N_F^-(P) + N_F^+(P)$. Notice that, since the denominators of the terms in the brackets are dependent on E_p , they should also be expanded. Substituting the expansions defined above in (15) and keeping the m^2 terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}} &= \Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(m=0) + \frac{e^2 m^2}{4} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^5} [f_2(v \cdot K) - 3f_1(v \cdot K)] \\ &\quad + \frac{e^2 m^2}{4} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{p^4} \frac{d\bar{N}_F}{dp} f_1(v \cdot K) + \mathcal{O}(m^4), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we have defined

$$f_1(v \cdot K) = \frac{K^4}{(v \cdot K)^2} + \frac{2k^0 K^4}{(v \cdot K)^3} - \frac{K^6}{(v \cdot K)^4} \quad (17)$$

and

$$f_2(v \cdot K) = 2K^4 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^3} + 6k^0 K^4 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^4} - 4K^6 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^5}. \quad (18)$$

The term $\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(m=0)$ corresponds to the power corrections in the massless case. It has been computed previously using different methods [16, 17, 25, 26]. In the

infrared regime (small p), it is finite due to a cancellation between matter and vacuum parts. In the ultraviolet regime (large p), its divergence is removed by a wave function renormalization. These facts have been shown in all references mentioned above. Due to a similarity in the conventions and notations, our results can be directly compared to the ones in Ref. [17]. As will be shown below, the relevant integrals in this work are all finite. Nevertheless, we use dimensional regularization through the whole calculation to keep the mass corrections fully consistent with the massless terms. Using the relations from Appendix A and denoting the $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$ terms $\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^2)}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^2)} &= \frac{e^2 m^2}{4} \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^5} \int_z [f_2(v \cdot K) - f_1(v \cdot K) \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon f_1(v \cdot K)]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Then, one can show that the angular integral over the difference $f_2(v \cdot K) - f_1(v \cdot K)$ is proportional to ϵ and, therefore,

$$\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^2)}(K) \stackrel{d \rightarrow 3}{=} 0. \quad (20)$$

From Equation (6), we see that the power corrections do not give contributions proportional to m^2 to the longitudinal component of the polarization tensor. Notice that this result is valid for both T and μ finite, and for nonzero k and k_0 .

Let us now evaluate the trace $(\Pi^{\text{Pow}})_\mu^\mu$. Performing the

integral over p^0 in Equation (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^{\text{Pow}})_\mu^\mu &= \frac{e^2}{2} (d-1) \int_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{E_p^3} \bar{N}_F(E_p) \left[-\frac{K^4}{(v' \cdot K)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m^2 K^6}{2(v' \cdot K)^4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using the expansions in Equation (11), one can show that the $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$ contribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_{\text{Pow}}^{(m^2)})_\mu^\mu &= \frac{e^2 m^2}{4} (d-1) \int_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^5} \left(\frac{K^2}{(v \cdot K)^4} + \frac{2kz}{(v \cdot K)^3} - \frac{(d-2)}{(v \cdot K)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^2 m^2}{4} (d-1) \mathcal{N} \mathcal{R}_5 K^4 (K^2 \mathcal{A}_4 - 2k_0 \mathcal{A}_3 - \mathcal{A}_2 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant. Its definition and the expression for the integral R_5 are given in Appendix A. Substituting the expressions for the integrals found in Appendix A, we find, for $d \rightarrow 3$,

$$(\Pi_{\text{Pow}}^{(m^2)})_\mu^\mu = \frac{k^2 e^2 m^2}{12\pi^2 T^2} \left(\text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}}) \right), \quad (23)$$

where we have used the notation $\text{Li}_s^{(1)}$ for the derivatives with respect to the order s of the polylogarithm function and defined $\text{Li}_{s_0}^{(1)}(z) \equiv \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \frac{\partial \text{Li}_s(z)}{\partial s}$.

B. $\mathcal{O}(m^4)$ contribution

Since, as discussed in the previous section, for the $\mu = \nu = 0$ component the contributions proportional to m^2 vanish, in this section we compute the m^4 contributions to the power corrections to the polarization tensor. These give a non-zero contribution, as shown below.

Let us consider again Equation (15). Using the expansions (11), one can show that the $\mathcal{O}(m^4)$ contributions are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^4)} &= \frac{e^2 m^4}{8} \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^7} \int_z \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} (d+1)(d-7) + 15/2 \right] f_1(v \cdot K) - (d-4)f_2(v \cdot K) + f_3(v \cdot K) \right\} \\ &= \frac{e^2 m^4}{16} \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^7} \int_z [2f_3(v \cdot K) - f_1(v \cdot K) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)], \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$f_3(v \cdot K) = -3K^4 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^3} + 3K^4 \frac{(kz)^2}{(v \cdot K)^4} - 9k_0 K^4 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^4} + 12k_0 K^4 \frac{(kz)^2}{(v \cdot K)^5} + 6K^6 \frac{kz}{(v \cdot K)^5} - 10K^6 \frac{(kz)^2}{(v \cdot K)^6}. \quad (25)$$

Performing the angular integrals, we arrive at (after a fair amount of algebra)

$$\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^4)}(K) = \frac{e^2 m^4}{4} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{R}_7 k^2 \left(1 + 8 \frac{k^2 k_0^2}{(K^2)^2} \right). \quad (26)$$

Substituting the radial integral and taking $d \rightarrow 3$, we find

$$\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}(m^4)}(K) \stackrel{d \rightarrow 3}{=} \frac{e^2 m^4 k^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{\text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}})}{24T^4} \right) \left(1 + 8 \frac{k^2 k_0^2}{(K^2)^2} \right). \quad (27)$$

IV. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

We have computed the first-order contributions to the trace and the 00-component of the photon polarization tensor in a hot and dense medium with nonzero mass fermions. From the results of the previous section, we can write

$$\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}}(K) = \Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)} - K^2 \frac{e^2}{384\pi^2} \frac{m^4}{T^4} \left(\text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}}) \right) \left(1 + 8 \frac{k^2 k_0^2}{(K^2)^2} \right) \quad (28)$$

and

$$\Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}} = \Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)} + \frac{k^2 e^2}{24\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{T^2} \left(\text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}}) \right), \quad (29)$$

where we point out again that $\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)}$ and $\Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)}$ correspond to the power corrections in a system of massless fermions, evaluated previously in Refs. [16, 17, 25–27]. Also, it is important to recall that the retarded prescription $k_0 \rightarrow k_0 + i\eta$ is implied in these expressions. Notice that the first mass corrections to $\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}}(K)$ are proportional to $\frac{m^4}{T^4}$, since the $\frac{m^2}{T^2}$ terms of $\Pi_{00}^{\text{Pow}}(K)$ vanish. The derivatives of Polylogarithm functions with respect to their order can be replaced by a combination of Zeta, Gamma and Digamma functions, as discussed in Ref. [30], which may facilitate numerical computations.

In the limit of vanishing chemical potential, *i.e.*, $\mu \rightarrow 0$, we can show that expressions (28) and (29) reduce to (see Appendix A)

$$\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}(m^4)}(K) = -K^2 e^2 \left[\frac{31\zeta'(-4)}{192\pi^2} \frac{m^4}{T^4} \right] \left(1 + 8 \frac{k^2 k_0^2}{(K^2)^2} \right), \quad (30)$$

and

$$\Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}(m^2)}(K) = k^2 e^2 \frac{7\zeta'(-2)}{12\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{T^2}. \quad (31)$$

In the cold and dense limit, $T \rightarrow 0$, we can take a step back and re-evaluate the integral \mathcal{R}_5 in the degenerate limit (see Appendix A) to find that

$$\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}(m^4)}(K) = K^2 \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{m^4}{\mu^4} \left(1 + 8 \frac{k^2 k_0^2}{(K^2)^2} \right), \quad (32)$$

and

$$\Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}(m^2)}(K) = -\frac{k^2 e^2}{6\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}. \quad (33)$$

Similarly to the high-temperature case, the the first correction to the longitudinal component is proportional to $\frac{m^4}{\mu^4}$ in the cold and dense case.

As a check of our methods we computed the mass corrections to the leading-order of the polarization tensor and confirmed that they are in agreement with the results obtained in Ref. [22], where the calculations are performed using on-shell effective theory and later red-erived with transport theory.

Notice that, in the results obtained in Refs. [16, 17],

in the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit, $\Pi_{\text{L}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)}$ and $\Pi_{\text{T}}^{\text{Pow}(m^0)}$ have a temperature dependence of the form $\sim \ln \frac{T}{\bar{\Lambda}}$, where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is the renormalization scale in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme. Therefore, depending on the choice of the scale, this logarithm will vanish, implying that mass corrections evaluated here will determine the temperature dependence of the power corrections to the photon polarization tensor (one finds similar conclusions for the dependence on the chemical potential).

In the limit of non-vanishing fermion masses, three

types of corrections to the photon polarization tensor have been investigated previously. Loop corrections (up to two loops, within the HTL approximation), power corrections and mass corrections to the one-loop polarization tensor. It has been shown [22] that these mass, two-loop and power corrections can give contributions of the same order for masses in the soft scale. For $eT < m \ll T$ (or $e\mu < m \ll \mu$), the mass corrections can be larger than the other kinds.

For simplicity, let $\bar{\Lambda} = T$. Also, recall that LO HTL gives a contribution of order $e^2 T^2$. Then, for m and k in the soft scale, *i.e.*, $m, k \sim eT$, we see that the power corrections are of order $\frac{k^2}{T^2} \sim e^2$ with respect to HTL, and the mass corrections computed here will give contributions of order $\frac{k^2 m^4}{T^6} \sim e^6$ (longitudinal component) and $\frac{k^2 m^2}{T^4} \sim e^4$ (transverse component) with respect to HTL. For $eT < m \ll T$, this contribution will be larger, but still sub-leading since these corrections go with negative powers of the temperature. Therefore, for quantities in which all types of HTL corrections are taken into account, our results will be useful when one is interested in very precise calculations. Also in the case in which the coupling is not as small as in QED, since these results can be straightforwardly extended to QCD (at least the quark contribution, see discussion in Refs. [22, 27] and the results of Ref. [18]).

From the results of Ref. [17] we may expect similar radial integrals for the mass corrections to two-loop contributions. Therefore, unless the angular integrals are shown to vanish, the LO mass dependence of the two-loop diagrams may be roughly estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(\frac{e^4 m^2}{T^2})$, giving a contribution of order $\frac{e^2 m^2}{T^4} \sim e^4$ with respect to HTL, which is as large as the corrections calculated in this paper. Further work is therefore needed to compute the full mass corrections at this order.

On the other hand, power corrections are directly important for the evaluation of electric and magnetic susceptibilities [2, 24], which are only sensitive to terms of order $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$. Also, these susceptibilities are themselves important in the study of the propagation of light in a medium. As pointed out in Ref. [24], the sum of these susceptibilities can be evaluated on the lattice. In this sum, the mass corrections evaluated here give the leading-order temperature dependence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Cristina Manuel for helpful discussions. We also thank Aleksi Vuorinen for useful comments. This work was partially supported by INCT-FNA (Process No. 464898/2014-5), CAPES (Finance Code 001), CNPq, and FAPERJ.

Appendix A: Useful integrals and identities

In this work we borrow most of the notation from Ref. [17]. This allows us to make direct comparisons with previous results and facilitate possible applications that may include both results. Here, we introduce the basic notation and integrals that were used in our computations. We call attention to the fact that we denoted the radial integrals differently from Ref. [17]. The integrals were computed within dimensional regularization, with the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp^0}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_E} \bar{\Lambda}^2}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp^0}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^d}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

with the spatial integration denoted by [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{p}} &= \mathcal{N} \int_0^{\infty} dp p^{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 dz (1-z^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}. \\ &\equiv \mathcal{N} \int_p \int_z, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $z = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}$, with $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ corresponding to an external spatial unit vector and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ being the spatial unit vector in the direction of the loop momentum. The normalization factor \mathcal{N} was defined as

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \frac{4}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_E} \bar{\Lambda}^2}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

With this notation, the radial integrals we need for our computations can be written as

$$\int_p p^\alpha N_F^\pm(p) = T^{d+\alpha} \Gamma(d+\alpha) \text{Li}_{d+\alpha} \left(-e^{\mp \frac{\mu}{T}} \right) \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\int_p p^\alpha \frac{d}{dp} N_F^\pm(p) = -T^{d+\alpha-1} \Gamma(d+\alpha) \text{Li}_{d+\alpha-1} \left(-e^{\mp \frac{\mu}{T}} \right). \quad (\text{A5})$$

Notice that the Fermi-Dirac integrals are usually defined for positive values of α , and in this work we deal with negative values. However, we argue that the formulae above can still be used, since one can analytically continue both the Fermi-Dirac integrals and the polylogarithm functions to negative values of α by taking derivatives (see [31] and the discussion in the appendix of [30]). Integrating equation (A4) by parts, one can show that the following useful identities hold:

$$\int_p p^{\alpha+1} \frac{d}{dp} N_F^\pm(p) = -(d+\alpha) \int_p p^\alpha N_F^\pm(p) \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\int_p p^{\alpha+2} \frac{d^2}{dp^2} N_F^\pm(p) = (d+\alpha+1)(d+\alpha) \int_p p^\alpha N_F^\pm(p). \quad (\text{A7})$$

In this work we used the following radial integrals:

$$\mathcal{R}_5 \equiv \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^5} = \frac{\text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-2}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}})}{2T^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \quad (\text{A8})$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_7 \equiv \int_p \frac{\bar{N}_F}{p^7} = \frac{\text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}) + \text{Li}_{-4}^{(1)}(-e^{\frac{\mu}{T}})}{24T^4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \quad (\text{A9})$$

In the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit, one can show² that these integrals simplify to

$$\mathcal{R}_5 = \frac{14\zeta'(-2)}{T^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \mathcal{R}_7 = \frac{31\zeta'(-4)}{12T^4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \quad (\text{A10})$$

In the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, the Fermi-Dirac integrals become

step functions for particles, while for antiparticles they vanish. Thus:

$$\mathcal{R}_5 = -2\mu^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \mathcal{R}_7 = -4\mu^{-4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \quad (\text{A11})$$

The angular integrals we encountered in our calculations were of the form [10, 17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\alpha &\equiv \int_z \frac{1}{(v \cdot K)^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(d-1)]}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} (-k^0)^{-\alpha} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}; \frac{d}{2}; \frac{k^2}{k_0^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

In our computations we had expressions with factors $v' \cdot K = -k^0 + \frac{p}{E_p} kz$ in the denominator. After the expansions in small mass we found factors $v \cdot K = -k^0 + kz$ for the external four-momentum K . These are the ones that appear in (A12).

-
- [1] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA, 1995).
- [2] H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1394 (1982).
- [3] T. Altherr and U. Kraemmer, Astropart. Phys. **1**, 133 (1992).
- [4] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, and R. R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2543 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9504408.
- [5] C. Manuel, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5866 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9512365.
- [6] M. H. Thoma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 959 (2009), arXiv:0801.0956 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [7] M. H. Thoma, (1995), 10.1142/9789812830661.0002, arXiv:hep-ph/9503400.
- [8] M. E. Carrington, D.-f. Hou, and M. H. Thoma, Eur. Phys. J. C **7**, 347 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9708363.
- [9] A. Schmitt and P. Shternin, Astrophys. Space Sci. Libr. **457**, 455 (2018), arXiv:1711.06520 [astro-ph.HE].
- [10] M. Laine and A. Vuorinen, *Basics of Thermal Field Theory*, Vol. 925 (Springer, 2016) arXiv:1701.01554 [hep-ph].
- [11] J. I. Kapusta and C. Gale, *Finite-temperature field theory: Principles and applications*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [12] M. L. Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [13] J.-P. Blaizot and E. Iancu, Phys. Rept. **359**, 355 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0101103.
- [14] J. Ghiglieri, A. Kurkela, M. Strickland, and A. Vuorinen, Phys. Rept. **880**, 1 (2020), arXiv:2002.10188 [hep-ph].
- [15] T. Toimela, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **24**, 901 (1985), [Erratum: Int.J.Theor.Phys. 26, 1021 (1987)].
- [16] S. Carignano, C. Manuel, and J. Soto, Phys. Lett. B **780**, 308 (2018), arXiv:1712.07949 [hep-ph].
- [17] T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, J. Österman, R. Paatelainen, S. Säppi, P. Schicho, K. Seppänen, and A. Vuorinen, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 036012 (2023), arXiv:2204.11279 [hep-ph].
- [18] A. Ekstedt, (2023), arXiv:2304.09255 [hep-ph].
- [19] T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, J. Österman, R. Paatelainen, S. Säppi, P. Schicho, K. Seppänen, and A. Vuorinen, Phys. Rev. D **107**, L031501 (2023), arXiv:2204.11893 [hep-ph].
- [20] T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard, and T. de Rio Gaztelurrutia, Astropart. Phys. **1**, 289 (1993), arXiv:hep-ph/9212264.
- [21] S. Stetina, E. Rrapaj, and S. Reddy, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 045801 (2018), arXiv:1712.05447 [astro-ph.HE].
- [22] M. Comadran and C. Manuel, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 076006 (2021), arXiv:2106.08904 [hep-ph].
- [23] M. Comadran, C. Manuel, and S. Carignano, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 116016 (2023), arXiv:2304.14182 [hep-ph].
- [24] G. Endrödi and G. Markó, JHEP **12**, 015 (2022), arXiv:2208.14306 [hep-ph].
- [25] S. Carignano and C. Manuel, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 056031 (2021), arXiv:2107.03655 [hep-ph].
- [26] S. Carignano, M. E. Carrington, and J. Soto, Phys. Lett. B **801**, 135193 (2020), arXiv:1909.10545 [hep-ph].
- [27] C. Manuel, J. Soto, and S. Stetina, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 025017 (2016), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 96, 129901 (2017)], arXiv:1603.05514 [hep-ph].
- [28] L. V. Keldysh, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **47**, 1515 (1964).
- [29] K.-c. Chou, Z.-b. Su, B.-l. Hao, and L. Yu, Phys. Rept. **118**, 1 (1985).
- [30] T. Gorda, R. Paatelainen, S. Säppi, and K. Seppänen, JHEP **08**, 021 (2023), arXiv:2304.09187 [hep-ph].
- [31] D. Cvijović, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **161**, 1663 (2009).

² This can be shown using identities for the polylogarithm. See,

for example, the identities in the appendix of [30].