

On optimal recovering high order partial derivatives of bivariate functions

Y.V. SEMENOVA[†], S.G. SOLODKY^{†,‡}

[†]Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv

[‡]University of Giessen, Department of Mathematics, Giessen, Germany

ABSTRACT. The problem of recovering partial derivatives of high orders of bivariate functions with finite smoothness is studied. Based on the truncation method, a numerical differentiation algorithm was constructed, which is optimal by the order both in the sense of accuracy and in the sense of the amount of Galerkin information involved. Numerical demonstrations are provided to illustrate that the proposed method can be implemented successfully.

KEYWORDS. Numerical differentiation, Legendre polynomials, truncation method, minimal radius of Galerkin information

1. Introduction

The present work is devoted to the issue of research and improving the efficiency of numerical differentiation methods. As is known, the study of numerical differentiation from the theory of ill-posed problems standpoint originates from the work [12] and by now there are many different methods to the stable recovery of derivatives with respect to perturbed data (see, for example, [24], [32], [4], [6], [1], [8], [9], [10], [11], [7], [26]). As to provide stability of approximation, all mentioned papers can be fell into two directions.

The standard approach to ensuring the stability of a numerical differentiation problem is to apply the classical Tikhonov regularization methods with an appropriate selection of the regularization parameter (see, in particular, [12], [32], [2], [11]). The main efforts of researchers in this direction are focused on determining the optimal value of the regularization and discretization parameters, which is often a non-trivial task.

However, in some cases, there is an alternative approach to achieving stability. This approach is called self-regularization and consists in choosing the appropriate discretization level depending on the noise level of the input data. Here, the discretization level acts as a regularization parameter, due to which stability is ensured. Examples of using self-regularization to solve some classes of ill-posed problems can be found in [31], [27], [5]. As for the numerical differentiation problem, the idea of using self-regularization to develop stable algorithms was earlier proposed in [3] and [25]. In this paper, we will continue to study the approximation properties of self-regularization and focus on recovering the partial derivatives of bivariate functions by finite Fourier sums (spectral truncation method) and provide a stable approximation with an appropriate choice of summation limit. The method was first applied to the problem of numerical differentiation in [8]. Subsequently, the effectiveness of this approach was confirmed by the results of [19], [21], [26]. Continuing the series of studies devoted to the spectral truncation method, in this paper, for the approximation of partial derivatives, a modification of the spectral truncation method will be presented in combination with a priori choice of the discretization level depending on the noise. At the same time, the approach under consideration is expected not only to ensure the optimal accuracy of approximations but also the efficiency of the usage of computing resources.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the necessary definitions are introduced and the problem statement for optimizing numerical differentiation methods in the sense of the minimal Galerkin information radius is given. In Sections 3 and 4, for a proposed version of the spectral truncation method its error estimates in quadratic and uniform metrics, respectively, are established. Section 5 is devoted to finding order estimates for the minimal radius of

Galerkin information while establishing the optimality (on a power scale) of the spectral truncation method studied above. Section 6 presents the results of numerical experiments demonstrating the effectiveness of the method under consideration.

2. Description of the problem

Let $\{\varphi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the system of Legendre polynomials orthonormal on $[-1, 1]$ as

$$\varphi_k(t) = \sqrt{k+1/2}(2^k k!)^{-1} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} [(t^2-1)^k], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By $L_2 = L_2(Q)$ we mean space of square-summable on $Q = [-1, 1]^2$ functions $f(t, \tau)$ with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 f(t, \tau) g(t, \tau) d\tau dt$$

and standard norm

$$\|f\|_{L_2}^2 = \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^2 < \infty,$$

where

$$\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 f(t, \tau) \varphi_k(t) \varphi_j(\tau) d\tau dt, \quad k, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

are Fourier-Legendre coefficients of f . Let ℓ_p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the space of numerical sequences $\bar{x} = \{x_{k,j}\}_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, such that the corresponding relation

$$\|\bar{x}\|_{\ell_p} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |x_{k,j}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |x_{k,j}| < \infty, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is fulfilled.

We introduce the space of functions

$$L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}} := L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}(Q) := \{f \in L_2(Q) : \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^s = \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \underline{k}^{s\mu_1} \underline{j}^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s < \infty\},$$

where $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\underline{k} = \max\{1, k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Note that in the future we will use the same notations both for space and for a unite ball from this space: $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}} = L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}(Q) = \{f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}} : \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} \leq 1\}$, what we call a class of functions. What exactly is meant by $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, space or class will be clear depending on the context in each case. It should be noted that $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$ is a generalization of the class of bivariate functions with dominating mixed derivatives. Moreover, let $C = C(Q)$ be the space of continuous on Q bivariate functions.

We represent a function $f(t, \tau)$ from $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$ as

$$f(t, \tau) = \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k(t) \varphi_j(\tau),$$

and by r -th partial derivative of f we mean the following series

$$f^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \varphi_j(\tau), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Assume that instead of the exact values of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients $\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle$ only some of their perturbations are known with the error level δ in the metrics of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. More accurately, we assume that there is a sequence of numbers $\overline{f^\delta} = \{\langle f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle\}_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that for $\overline{\xi} = \{\xi_{k,j}\}_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, where $\xi_{k,j} = \langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle$, and for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the relation

$$\|\overline{\xi}\|_{\ell_p} \leq \delta, \quad 0 < \delta < 1, \quad (2.2)$$

is true.

The research of this work is devoted to the optimization of methods for recovering the derivative (2.1) of functions from the class $L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}$. Further, we give a strict statement of the problem to be studied. In the coordinate plane $[r, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ we take an arbitrary bounded domain Ω . By $\text{card}(\Omega)$ we mean the number of points that make up Ω and by the information vector $G(\Omega, \overline{f^\delta}) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\text{card}(\Omega) = N$ we take the set of perturbed values of Fourier-Legendre coefficients $\{\langle f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle\}_{(k,j) \in \Omega}$.

Let $X = L_2(Q)$ or $X = C(Q)$. By numerical differentiation algorithm we mean any mapping $\psi^{(r,0)} = \psi^{(r,0)}(\Omega)$ that corresponds to the information vector $G(\Omega, \overline{f^\delta})$ an element $\psi^{(r,0)}(G(\Omega, \overline{f^\delta})) \in X$, which is taken as an approximation to the derivative (2.1) of function f from $L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}$. We denote by $\Psi(\Omega)$ the set of all algorithms $\psi^{(r,0)}(\Omega) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow X$, that use the same information vector $G(\Omega, \overline{f^\delta})$.

In general, algorithms in $\Psi(\Omega)$ are not required to be linear or stable. The only condition for algorithms in $\Psi(\Omega)$ is to use input information in the form of perturbed values of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients with indices from the domain Ω of the coordinate plane. Such a general understanding of the algorithm is explained by the desire to compare the widest possible range of possible methods for numerical differentiation.

The error of the algorithm $\psi^{(r,0)}$ on the class $L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}$ is determined by the quantity

$$\varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, \psi^{(r,0)}(\Omega), X, \ell_p) = \sup_{f \in L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, \|f\|_{s,\overline{\mu}} \leq 1} \sup_{\overline{f^\delta}: (2.2)} \|f^{(r,0)} - \psi^{(r,0)}(G(\Omega, \overline{f^\delta}))\|_X.$$

The minimal radius of the Galerkin information for the problem of numerical differentiation on the class $L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}$ is given by

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, X, \ell_p) = \inf_{\Omega: \text{card}(\Omega) \leq N} \inf_{\psi^{(r,0)} \in \Psi(\Omega)} \varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, \psi^{(r,0)}(\Omega), X, \ell_p).$$

The value $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, X, \ell_p)$ describes the minimal possible accuracy in the metric of space X , which can be achieved by numerical differentiation of arbitrary function $f \in L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}$, while using not more than N values of its Fourier-Legendre coefficients that are δ -perturbed in the ℓ_p metric. Note that the minimal radius of Galerkin information in the problem of recovering the first partial derivative was studied in [21], and for other types of ill-posed problems, similar studies were previously carried out in [23], [14]. It should be added that the minimal radius characterizes the information complexity of the considered problem and is traditionally studied within the framework of the IBC-theory (Information-Based Complexity Theory), the foundations of which are laid in monographs [29] and [28].

Our research aim is to construct a numerical differentiation method that achieves sharp estimates (in the power scale) for the quantities $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, C, \ell_p)$ and $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p)$.

3. Truncation method. Error estimation in L_2 metric

As an algorithm for the numerical differentiation of functions from $L_{s,2}^{\overline{\mu}}(Q)$ we will use a truncation method. The essence of this method is to replace the Fourier series (2.1) with a finite

Fourier sum using perturbed data $\langle f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle$. In the truncation method to ensure the stability of the approximation and achieve the required order of accuracy, it is necessary to choose properly the discretization parameter, which here serves as a regularization parameter. So, the process of regularization in the method under consideration consists in matching the discretization parameter with the perturbation level δ of the input data. The simplicity of implementation is the main advantage of this method.

In the case of an arbitrary bounded domain Ω of the coordinate plane $[r, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, the truncation method for differentiation of bivariate functions has the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\Omega^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) = \sum_{(k,j) \in \Omega} \langle f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \varphi_j(\tau).$$

To increase the efficiency of the approach under study, as the domain Ω we take a hyperbolic cross of the following form

$$\Omega = \Gamma_{n,\gamma} := \{(k,j) : k \cdot j^\gamma \leq n, \quad k = r, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, \dots, (n/r)^{1/\gamma}\}, \quad \gamma \geq 1.$$

Then the proposed version of the truncation method can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=r}^n \sum_{j=0}^{(n/k)^{1/\gamma}} \langle f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \varphi_j(\tau). \quad (3.1)$$

We note that earlier the idea of a hyperbolic cross in the problem of numerical differentiation was used in the papers [19], [21], [26] (for more details about usage of hyperbolic cross in solving the other types of ill-posed problems see [17], [18], [15], [20]).

The approximation properties of the method (3.1) will be investigated in Sections 3 and 4 while in Section 5 it will be found that the method (3.1) is order-optimal in the sense of the minimal radius of the Galerkin information.

The parameters n and γ in (3.1) should be chosen depending on δ and $\bar{\mu}$ so as to minimize the error of the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$:

$$f^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) = \left(f^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) \right) + \left(\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) \right). \quad (3.2)$$

For the first difference on the right-hand side of (3.2), the representation holds true

$$f^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) = \Delta_1(t, \tau) + \Delta_2(t, \tau), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\Delta_1(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \varphi_j(\tau), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Delta_2(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=r}^n \sum_{j > (n/k)^{1/\gamma}} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \varphi_j(\tau). \quad (3.5)$$

For our calculations, we need the following formula (see Lemma 18 [16])

$$\varphi_k'(t) = 2 \sqrt{k+1/2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{l+1/2} \varphi_l(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.6)$$

where in aggregate $\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{l+1/2} \varphi_l(t)$ the summation is extended over only those terms for which $k+l$ is odd.

Let us estimate the error of the method (3.1) in the metric of L_2 . A bound for difference (3.3) is contained in the following statement.

Lemma 3.1 Let $f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $2 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$. Then for $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{\mu_2 + 1/s - 1/2}{\mu_1 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2}$ it holds

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1 + 2r - 1/s + 1/2}.$$

Proof. Using the formula (3.6), from (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(t, \tau) &= 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} \sqrt{l_1+1/2} \varphi'_{l_1}(t) \right)_t^{(r-2)} \\ &= 4 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=r-2}^{l_1-1} \sqrt{l_2+1/2} \varphi'_{l_2}(t) \right)_t^{(r-3)} \\ &= \dots = 2^r \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_j(\tau) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2) \sum_{l_r=0}^{l_{r-1}-1} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t). \end{aligned}$$

We note that in the representation Δ_1 only those terms take place for which all indexes $l_1+k, l_2+l_1, \dots, l_r+l_{r-1}$ are odd. Such a rule is valid also for other terms, namely Δ_2 and $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta$, appearing in the error representation (see (3.3) – (3.5)). In the following, for simplicity, we will omit the symbol "*" when denoting such summation operations, while taking into account this rule in the calculations.

Further, we change the order of summation and get

$$\Delta_1(t, \tau) = \Delta_{11}(t, \tau) + \Delta_{12}(t, \tau),$$

where

$$\Delta_{11}(t, \tau) = 2^r \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r+1} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Delta_{12}(t, \tau) = 2^r \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=n-r+2}^{\infty} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=l_r+r}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$B_k^r := \sum_{l_1=l_r+r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=l_r+r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=l_r+1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2) \leq ck^{2(r-1)}. \quad (3.9)$$

At first, we consider the case $2 < s < \infty$.

Let's bound $\Delta_{11}(t, \tau)$ in L_2 -norm. Using Hölder inequality and (3.9), for $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$ and $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{11}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-2\mu_2} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r+1} (l_r+1/2) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{s\mu_1} \underline{j}^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-(\mu_1 - 2r + 3/2)s/(s-1)} \right)^{2(s-1)/s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-2\mu_2} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{s\mu_1} \underline{j}^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find

$$\|\Delta_{11}\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

Applying the estimating technique above we can bound the norm of $\Delta_{12}(t, \tau)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{12}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-2\mu_2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} k^{s\mu_1} \underline{j}^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \sum_{l_r=n-r+2}^{\infty} (l_r+1/2)^{-2(\mu_1-2r+3/2)+\frac{2(s-1)}{s}+1} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-\frac{2s}{s-2}\mu_2} \right)^{(s-2)/s} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for $\Delta_{11}(t, \tau)$ and $\Delta_{12}(t, \tau)$ we obtain

$$\|\Delta_1\|_{L_2} \leq \|\Delta_{11}\|_{L_2} + \|\Delta_{12}\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

Now using the formula (3.6), from (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{k=r}^n \sum_{j>(n/k)^{1/\gamma}} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_j(\tau) \\ &\times \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2) \sum_{l_r=0}^{l_{r-1}-1} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Further, we change the order of summation and get

$$\Delta_2(t, \tau) = \Delta_{21}(t, \tau) + \Delta_{22}(t, \tau) + \Delta_{23}(t, \tau),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{21}(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=0}^{\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}-r} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r, \\ \Delta_{22}(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}-r+1}^{n-r} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=l_r+r}^n \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r, \\ \Delta_{23}(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{j=(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+2}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=l_r+r}^n \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r. \end{aligned}$$

Let's first estimate the norm of Δ_{21} . Again using the formula (3.6) and Hölder inequality, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{21}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-2\mu_2} \sum_{l_r=0}^{\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}-r} (l_r+1/2) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{-(\mu_1-2r+3/2)s/(s-1)} \right)^{2(s-1)/s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/2)+\frac{2(s-1)}{s}} \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-2\mu_2+2\gamma(\mu_1-2r+1/2)-\frac{2\gamma(s-1)}{s}} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\Delta_{21}\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

Further we can bound the norm of $\Delta_{22}(t, \tau)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{22}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-2\mu_2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \sum_{l_r=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}-r+1}^{n-r} (l_r+1/2)^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1)+\frac{2(s-1)}{s}} \\ &\leq c n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)} \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-2\mu_2+2\gamma(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \\ &= c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{23}\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+2}^{\infty} j^{-2\mu_2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r} (l_r+1/2)^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1)+\frac{2(s-1)}{s}} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+2}^{\infty} j^{-2\mu_2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{2/s} \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-(2\mu_2-\frac{s-2}{s})/\gamma} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^2 n^{-2(\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for $\Delta_{21}(t, \tau)$, $\Delta_{22}(t, \tau)$ and $\Delta_{23}(t, \tau)$ we obtain

$$\|\Delta_2\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

The combination of (3.3) and bounds for the norms of Δ_1 , Δ_2 makes it possible to establish the desired inequality.

Finding the estimate of the norm (3.3) in the case of $s = 2$ is similar to the previous one. \square

In a similar way, the following statement is established.

Lemma 3.2 *Let f belongs to the class $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $1 \leq s < 2$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2$. Then for $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}$ it holds*

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f\|_{L_2} \leq c \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}}^{s/2} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

The following statement contains an estimate for the second difference from the right-hand side of (3.2) in the metric of L_2 .

Lemma 3.3 *Let the condition (2.2) be satisfied for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for any function $f \in L_2(Q)$ and γ it holds*

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_{L_2} \leq c \delta n^{2r-1/p+1/2}.$$

Proof. Let's write down the representation

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) = \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \sum_{j=0}^{(n/k)^{1/\gamma}} \langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi'_k(t) \varphi_j(\tau) \right)_t^{(r-1)}.$$

Using the formula (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{k=r}^n \sum_{j=0}^{(n/k)^{1/\gamma}} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_j(\tau) \\ &\times \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2) \sum_{l_r=0}^{l_{r-1}-1} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Further, we change the order of summation and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau) &= 2^r \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \varphi_j(\tau) \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \\ &\times \sum_{k=l_r+r}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma} \sqrt{k+1/2} \langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle B_k^r. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$ first. Then, using the Hölder inequality and the estimate (3.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f(t, \tau) - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta(t, \tau)\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r+1/2) \left(\sum_{k=l_r+r}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma} |\langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle| k^{2r-3/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c\delta^2 \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r+1/2) \left(\sum_{k=l_r+r}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma} k^{(2r-3/2)p/(p-1)} \right)^{2(p-1)/p} \\ &\leq c\delta^2 n^{2(2r-3/2)+2(p-1)/p} \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \underline{j}^{-2\gamma(2r-3/2)-2\gamma(p-1)/p} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r+1/2) \\ &= c\delta^2 n^{2(2r-1/2)+2(p-1)/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which was required to prove.

In the case of $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, the assertion of Lemma is proved similarly. \square

The combination of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 gives

Theorem 3.4 *Let $f \in L_{s,2}^\mu$, $2 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r-1/s+1/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1-2r$, and let the condition (2.2) be satisfied for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}$ it holds*

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_{L_2} \leq c\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

Corollary 3.5 In the considered problem, the truncation method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) achieves the accuracy $O\left(\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}\right)$ on the class $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $2 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$, and requires

$$\text{card}(\Gamma_{n,\gamma}) \asymp \begin{cases} n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}, & \text{if } 1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}, \\ n \ln n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

perturbed Fourier-Legendre coefficients.

The combination of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 gives

Theorem 3.6 Let $f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $1 \leq s < 2$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2$, and let the condition (2.2) be satisfied for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}$ it holds

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_{L_2} \leq c\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

Corollary 3.7 In the considered problem, the truncation method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) achieves the accuracy $O\left(\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}\right)$ on the class $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $1 \leq s < 2$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2$, and requires

$$\text{card}(\Gamma_{n,\gamma}) \asymp \begin{cases} n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}, & \text{if } 1 < \gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}, \\ n \ln n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

perturbed Fourier-Legendre coefficients.

4. Truncation Method. Error estimate in the metric of C

Now we have to bound the error of (3.1) in the metric of C . An upper estimate for the norm of the difference (3.3) is contained in the following statement.

Lemma 4.1 Let $f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$. Then for $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}$ it holds

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f\|_C \leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

Proof. Using (3.7) and (3.9), we get for $1 < s < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{11}\|_C &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-\mu_2+1/2} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r+1} (l_r + 1/2) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{s\mu_1} \underline{j}^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-(\mu_1-2r+3/2)s/(s-1)} \right)^{(s-1)/s} \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r+1/2+\frac{s-1}{s}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{j}^{-\frac{s}{s-1}(\mu_2-1/2)} \right)^{(s-1)/s} = c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (3.8) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{12}\|_C &\leq cn^{-\mu_1+2r+1/2+\frac{s-1}{s}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{-\mu_2+1/2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\|\Delta_1\|_C \leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{21}\|_C &\leq c \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-\mu_2+1/2} \sum_{l_r=0}^{\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}-r} (l_r+1/2) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{-(\mu_1-2r+3/2)s/(s-1)} \right)^{(s-1)/s} \\ &\leq cn^{-\mu_1+2r+1/2+\frac{s-1}{s}} \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-\mu_2+1/2+\gamma(\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2)} \left(\sum_{k=\frac{n}{(j-1)^\gamma}}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}, \\ \|\Delta_{22}\|_C &\leq cn^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2} \sum_{j=2}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+1} j^{-\mu_2+1/2+\gamma(\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2)} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}, \\ \|\Delta_{23}\|_C &\leq c \sum_{j=(n/r)^{1/\gamma}+2}^{\infty} j^{-\mu_2+1/2} \left(\sum_{k=r}^n k^{s\mu_1} j^{s\mu_2} |\langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle|^s \right)^{1/s} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n-r} (l_r+1/2)^{-\mu_1+2r-1/2+\frac{s-1}{s}} \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-(\mu_2+1/s-3/2)/\gamma} \leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates obtained above, we have

$$\|\Delta_2\|_C \leq c\|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} n^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

Substituting estimates for the norms of Δ_1, Δ_2 into the relation (3.3) allows to establish the desired inequality.

Finding the estimate of the norm (3.3) in the case of $s = 1$ is similar to the previous one. \square

The following statement contains an estimate for the second difference from the right-hand side of (3.2) in the metric of C .

Lemma 4.2 *Let the condition (2.2) be satisfied for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for any function $f \in L_2(Q)$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ it holds*

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_C \leq c\delta n^{2r-1/p+3/2}.$$

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$ first. Then, using the Hölder inequality and the estimate (3.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_C &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r + 1/2) \sum_{k=l_r+r}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma} |\langle f - f^\delta, \varphi_{kj} \rangle| k^{2r-3/2} \\
&\leq c\delta \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r + 1/2) \left(\sum_{k=l_r+r}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma} k^{(2r-3/2)p/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
&\leq c\delta n^{2r-3/2+(p-1)/p} \sum_{j=0}^{(n/r)^{1/\gamma}} \underline{j}^{-\gamma(2r-3/2)-\gamma(p-1)/p} \sum_{l_r=0}^{n/\underline{j}^\gamma - r} (l_r + 1/2) \\
&\leq c\delta n^{2r-1/p+3/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which was required to prove.

In the case of $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, the assertion of Lemma is proved similarly. \square

The combination of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 gives

Theorem 4.3 *Let $f \in L_{s,2}^\mu$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$, and let the condition (2.2) be satisfied for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}$ it holds*

$$\|f^{(r,0)} - \mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)} f^\delta\|_C \leq c\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

Corollary 4.4 *In the considered problem, the truncation method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) achieves the accuracy $O\left(\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}\right)$ on the class $L_{s,2}^\mu$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$, and requires*

$$\text{card}(\Gamma_{n,\gamma}) \asymp \begin{cases} n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}, & \text{if } 1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}, \\ n \ln n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

perturbed Fourier-Legendre coefficients.

Remark 4.5 *Previously (see [21]) the problem of the minimal Galerkin information radius for the problem of numerical differentiation of functions from $L_{s,2}^\mu$ was studied in the situation where $r = 1$ and $p = s = 2$. Thus, the results of Theorems 3.4, 3.6 and 4.3 generalize the investigations of [21] to the case of arbitrary r, p, s .*

5. Minimal radius of Galerkin information

Let us turn to find sharp estimates (in the power scale) for the minimal radius. First, we establish a lower estimate for the quantity $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^\mu, C, \ell_p)$. We fix an arbitrarily chosen domain $\hat{\Omega}$, $\text{card}(\hat{\Omega}) \leq N$, of the coordinate plane $[r, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ and build an auxiliary function

$$f_1(t, \tau) = \tilde{c} \left(\varphi_0(t)\varphi_0(\tau) + N^{-\mu_1-1/s} \varphi_1(\tau) \sum_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} \varphi_k(t) \right),$$

where the sum $\sum'_{k=N+r}^{3N+r}$ is taken over any N pairwise distinct functions $\varphi_k(t)$ such that $N+r \leq k \leq 3N+r$ and $(k, 1) \notin \hat{\Omega}$. Obviously, there is at least one set of such functions.

Now we estimate the norm of f_1 in the space metric of $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$:

$$\|f_1\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} = \tilde{c} \left(1 + N^{-s\mu_1-1} \sum'_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} k^{s\mu_1} \right)^{1/s} \leq \tilde{c} \left(1 + 4^{s\mu_1} \right)^{1/s}.$$

Whence it follows that to satisfy the condition $\|f_1\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} \leq 1$ it suffices to take

$$\tilde{c} = \left(1 + 4^{s\mu_1} \right)^{-1/s}.$$

Next, we take another function from the class $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$:

$$f_2(t, \tau) = \tilde{c} \varphi_0(t) \varphi_0(\tau).$$

Let us find a lower bound for the quantity $\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_C$. For this we need formulas

$$\varphi_1(1) = \sqrt{3/2}, \quad f_2^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) \equiv 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) &= \tilde{c} N^{-\mu_1-1/s} \varphi_1(\tau) \sum'_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} \varphi_k^{(r)}(t) \\ &= 2^r \tilde{c} N^{-\mu_1-1/s} \varphi_1(\tau) \sum'_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} \sqrt{k+1/2} \sum_{l_1=r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2) \sum_{l_r=0}^{l_{r-1}-1} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

We note that in the right-hand side of (5.1) only terms with odd indexes $l_1+k, l_2+l_1, \dots, l_r+l_{r-1}$ take part.

It is easy to see that

$$\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_C \geq |f_1^{(r,0)}(1, 1)| \geq \bar{c} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2},$$

where

$$\bar{c} = \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{2^r r!} \tilde{c}.$$

Since for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ it holds true

$$\|\bar{f}_1 - \bar{f}_2\|_{\ell_p} = \tilde{c} N^{-\mu_1-1/s+1/p},$$

then in the case of $N^{-\mu_1-1/s+1/p} \leq \delta/\tilde{c}$ under δ -perturbations of the functions f_1 and f_2 can be considered

$$f_1^\delta(t, \tau) = f_2(t, \tau), \quad f_2^\delta(t, \tau) = f_1(t, \tau).$$

Let us find the upper bound for $\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_C$. Taking into account the relation $G(\hat{\Omega}, \bar{f}_1^\delta) = G(\hat{\Omega}, \bar{f}_2^\delta)$, for any $\psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}) \in \Psi(\hat{\Omega})$ we find

$$\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_C \leq \|f_1^{(r,0)} - \psi^{(r,0)}(G(\hat{\Omega}, \bar{f}_1^\delta))\|_C + \|f_2^{(r,0)} - \psi^{(r,0)}(G(\hat{\Omega}, \bar{f}_2^\delta))\|_C \leq$$

$$\leq 2 \sup_{f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \|f\|_s, \bar{\mu} \leq 1} \sup_{\bar{f}^\delta: (2.2)} \|f^{(r,0)} - \psi^{(r,0)}(G(\hat{\Omega}, \bar{f}^\delta))\|_C =: 2\varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}), C, \ell_p).$$

That is

$$\varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}), C, \ell_p) \geq \frac{\bar{c}}{2} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

From the fact that the domain $\hat{\Omega}$ and the algorithm $\psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}) \in \Psi(\hat{\Omega})$ are arbitrary, it follows

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \geq \frac{\bar{c}}{2} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

Thus, the following statement is proved.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for any $N \geq (\delta/\bar{c})^{-1/(\mu_1+1/s-1/p)}$ it holds*

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \geq \frac{\bar{c}}{2} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}.$$

The following assertion contains sharp estimates (in the power scale) for the minimal radius in the uniform metric.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

a) *If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds*

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}$.

b) *If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds*

$$N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+3/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Proof. The upper bounds for $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p)$ follow from Theorem 4.3. The lower bound is found in Theorem 5.1. \square

Let's turn to estimate the minimal radius in the integral metric.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for any $N \geq (\delta/\bar{c})^{-1/(\mu_1+1/s-1/p)}$ it holds*

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \geq \bar{c} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2},$$

where $\bar{c} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2^{3r-1}(r-1)!}$.

Proof The proof of Theorem 5.3 almost completely coincides with the proof of Theorem 5.1, including the form of the auxiliary functions $f_1, f_1^\delta, f_2, f_2^\delta$. The only difference is in the lower estimate of the norm of the difference $f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}$. Changing the order of summation in (5.1) yields to the representation

$$f_1^{(r,0)}(t, \tau) = 2^r \tilde{c} N^{-\mu_1-1/s} \varphi_1(\tau) \left(\sum_{l_r=0}^N \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} \sqrt{k+1/2} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l_r=N+1}^{3N} \sqrt{l_r+1/2} \varphi_{l_r}(t) \sum_{k=l_r+r}^{3N+r} \sqrt{k+1/2} \right) \sum_{l_1=l_r+r-1}^{k-1} (l_1+1/2) \sum_{l_2=l_r+r-2}^{l_1-1} (l_2+1/2) \dots \sum_{l_{r-1}=l_r+1}^{l_{r-2}-1} (l_{r-1}+1/2).$$

Then it is easy to see

$$\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_{L_2}^2 = \|f_1^{(r,0)}\|_{L_2}^2 \\ \geq 4^r \tilde{c}^2 N^{-2\mu_1-2/s} \sum_{l_r=0}^{N/2} (l_r+1/2) \left(\sum_{k=N+r}^{3N+r} \sqrt{k+1/2} \frac{(k-N/2-r+1)^{2r-2}}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!} \right)^2 \\ \geq \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4^{3r-4}((r-1)!)^2} N^{-2\mu_1-2/s} \cdot N^{4r-1} \sum_{l_r=0}^{N/2} (l_r+1/2).$$

That is, it holds

$$\|f_1^{(r,0)} - f_2^{(r,0)}\|_{L_2} \geq \frac{\tilde{c}}{2^{3r-2}(r-1)!} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

Whence we obtain the relation

$$\varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}), L_2, \ell_p) \geq \bar{c} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}$$

is true for any $N \geq (\delta/\tilde{c})^{-1/(\mu_1+1/s-1/p)}$. From the fact that the domain $\hat{\Omega}$ and the algorithm $\psi^{(r,0)}(\hat{\Omega}) \in \Psi(\hat{\Omega})$ are arbitrary, it follows that

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \geq \bar{c} N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}.$$

Thus, Theorem 5.3 is proved. \square

The next two statements contain order estimates of the minimal radius in the integral metric.

Theorem 5.4 Let $2 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 > \mu_1 - 2r$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

a) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds true

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_2+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}$.

b) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds true

$$N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Proof. The upper estimates for $R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p)$ follow from Theorem ???. The lower estimate of the minimal radius is found in Theorem 5.3. \square

The combination of Theorems 3.6 and 5.3 gives the next assertion.

Theorem 5.5 Let $1 \leq s < 2$, $\mu_1 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

a) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}$.

b) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds

$$N^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_1+2r-1/s+1/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(r,0)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_1-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_1-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Finally, we consider the problem of optimal recovering the derivative $f^{(0,r)}$ in the sense of quantity

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, X, \ell_2) = \inf_{\Omega: \text{card}(\Omega) \leq N} \inf_{\psi^{(0,r)} \in \Psi(\Omega)} \varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \psi^{(0,r)}(\Omega), X, \ell_p).$$

where

$$\varepsilon_\delta(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \psi^{(0,r)}(\Omega), X, \ell_p) = \sup_{f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, \|f\|_{s,\bar{\mu}} \leq 1} \sup_{\bar{f}^\delta: (2.2)} \|f^{(0,r)} - \psi^{(0,r)}(G(\Omega, \bar{f}^\delta))\|_X$$

is the error of the algorithm $\psi^{(0,r)}$ on the class $L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$, $X = L_2$ or $X = C$, and by the r -th partial derivative $f^{(0,r)}$ of the function $f \in L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}$ we mean the series

$$f^{(0,r)}(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \langle f, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k(t) \varphi_j^{(r)}(\tau).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)} f^\delta(t, \tau) = \sum_{j \geq r, k^\gamma j \leq n} \langle f_\delta, \varphi_{k,j} \rangle \varphi_k(t) \varphi_j^{(r)}(\tau), \quad \gamma \geq 1, \quad (5.2)$$

be the proposed variant of the truncation method to recovering $f^{(0,r)}$, where the hyperbolic cross is taken as the domain Ω of the coordinate plane $[0, \infty) \times [r, \infty)$

$$\Gamma_{n,\gamma} = \{(k, j) : k^\gamma j \leq n, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n^{1/\gamma}, \quad r \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Analogously to Theorems 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, the following statements can be obtained.

Theorem 5.6 Let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_2 > 2r - 1/s + 3/2$, $\mu_1 > \mu_2 - 2r$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

a) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+3/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_1+1/s-3/2}{\mu_2-2r+1/s-3/2}$.

b) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds

$$N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+3/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+3/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+3/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, C, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-3/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Theorem 5.7 Let $2 \leq s < \infty$, $\mu_2 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_1 > \mu_2 - 2r$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

a) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma < \frac{\mu_1+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}$.

b) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds

$$N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Theorem 5.8 Let $1 \leq s < 2$, $\mu_2 > 2r - 1/s + 1/2$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 - 2r + 1/s - 1/2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

a) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$, then it holds

$$R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \asymp N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \asymp \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The indicated order-optimal estimates are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}$.

b) If $N \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$, then it holds

$$N^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq (N/\ln N)^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2}$$

or

$$\delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}} \ln^{-\mu_2+2r-1/s+1/2} \frac{1}{\delta} \preceq R_{N,\delta}^{(0,r)}(L_{s,2}^{\bar{\mu}}, L_2, \ell_p) \preceq \delta^{\frac{\mu_2-2r+1/s-1/2}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}.$$

The upper bounds are implemented by the method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(0,r)}$ (5.2) for $n \asymp \delta^{-\frac{1}{\mu_2-1/p+1/s}}$ and $\gamma = 1$.

6. Computational experiments

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method $\mathcal{D}_{n,\gamma}^{(r,0)}$ (3.1) some numerical experiments were carried out. The calculations were performed on a computer with a 4-core Intel Core i5 processor and 16 GB memory in the mathematical modeling environment MATLAB 2022a.

6.1. Example 1.

We consider the function $F(t, \tau) = f(t)f(\tau)/C$, where $C = 947$ and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -1/8t^2 + 1/12t^4 - 1/25t^5 + 1/38t^7 - 1/108t^8, & -1 \leq t < 0, \\ -1/8t^2 + 1/12t^4 - 1/25t^5 + 1/102t^7 - 1/198t^8, & 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that for $\mu = 5, 6$ we have $\|F\|_{2,\mu} \approx 1$ and $\|F^{(2,0)}\|_{L_2} \approx 10^{-5}$.

The simulation of the noise in the input data was done in two different ways:

- a random noise adds to the values of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients. The noise is generated by the `randn(size(F))δ` command, where `randn` and `size` are standard functions of the MATLAB system, and \mathcal{F} is a matrix for exact values of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients;
- the values of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients recover by the quadrature trapezoid formula on a uniform grid with a step h so that condition (2.2) is satisfied for a given δ .

Numerical experiments were carried out for the following error levels: $\delta = 10^{-7}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-9}$. Tables 1 and 2 show the results of numerical calculations for the approximation of $F^{(2,0)}$ by the truncation method (3.1) with two different types of noise (random data noise and trapezoid formula errors). ErrorL2 and ErrorC columns contain the recovery accuracy in the L_2 and C metrics respectively, the n and $\text{card}(\Gamma_n)$ columns indicate the highest degree of Legendre polynomial and the number of Fourier-Legendre coefficients involved, respectively. Also in Table 2, h means the step size in the quadrature formula.

Graphs 1 and ?? show the exact derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ and its approximations constructed on data with random noise and with noise, generated by the trapezoid formula, respectively.

Tabl. 1: The results of recovering derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ for random noise

δ	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}
ErrorL2	$2,1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$8,6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3,4 \cdot 10^{-7}$
ErrorC	$1,8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5,58 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1,37 \cdot 10^{-6}$
n	16	25	28

Tabl. 2: The results of recovering derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ for noise from quadrature formula

δ	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}
ErrorL2	$1,9 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1,6 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$4,5 \cdot 10^{-7}$
ErrorC	$1,8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1,38 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3,14 \cdot 10^{-6}$
n	16	22	28
h	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$

As can be seen from the graphs and tables above, for both types of noise, the truncation method (3.1) gives the same order of accuracy for recovering $F^{(2,0)}$. At the same time, applying the quadrature formula expands the area of using the proposed method in computational problems, especially in the situation when the input data are given in the form of a set of function values at the grid nodes.




Fig. 1: Recovery of the derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ with random noise in the input data . The exact derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ (Fig. P°)); approximation to $F^{(2,0)}$ for $\delta = 10^{-7}$ (Fig. b)); for $\delta = 10^{-8}$ (Fig. CF)) and $\delta = 10^{-9}$ (Fig. d)),

6.2. Example 2

Let us test the method (3.1) on the function $F(t, \tau) = f_1(t)f_2(\tau)/C$, where $f_2(t) = 2 \cos(\pi t)$ and

$$f_1(t) = \begin{cases} -1/8t^2 + 1/12t^4 - 1/25t^5 + 1/38t^7 - 1/108t^8, & -1 \leq t < 0, \\ -1/8t^2 + 1/12t^4 - 1/25t^5 + 1/102t^7 - 1/198t^8, & 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let's put $\mu = 5.4$. It is easy to check that $\|F\|_{2,\mu} \approx 1$ and $\|F^{(2,0)}\|_{L_2} \approx 10^{-5}$, if $C = 26318$. The Fourier-Legendre coefficients are calculated using the quadrature trapezoid formula for $h = 8 \cdot 10^{-5}, 2 \cdot 10^{-5}, 8 \cdot 10^{-6}$, which in turn according to formula (2.2) matches $\delta \approx 10^{-7}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-9}$, respectively.

The results of the numerical experiment are shown in Table 3 and Graph 2.

7. Acknowledgements

This project has received funding through the MSCA4Ukraine project, which is funded by the European Union. In addition, the first named author is supported by the Volkswagen Foundation

Tabl. 3: The results of recovering derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ for noise from quadrature formula

δ	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}
Error L2	$4,5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$9,65 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8,6 \cdot 10^{-8}$
Error C	$3,18 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4,2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$4 \cdot 10^{-7}$
n	19	31	43
h	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-6}$




Fig. 2: Recovery of the derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ with noise in the input data arising from the quadrature formula. The exact derivative $F^{(2,0)}$ (Fig. a)); approximation to $F^{(2,0)}$ for $\delta = 10^{-7}$ (Fig. b)); for $\delta = 10^{-8}$ (Fig. c)) and $\delta = 10^{-9}$ (Fig. d)).

project "From Modeling and Analysis to Approximation". Also, the authors acknowledge partial financial support due to the project "Mathematical modelling of complex dynamical systems and processes caused by the state security"(Reg. No. 0123U100853).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ahn S. A scheme for stable numerical differentiation / S. Ahn, U.J. Choi, A.G. Ramm // J. Comput. Appl. Math. – 2006.– Vol. 186(2).– P. 325–334

2. J. Cullum, Numerical Differentiation and Regularization. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. – 1971. – Vol.8. – P. 254–265.
3. Groetsch, C. W. Differentiation of Approximately Specified Functions. The American Mathematical Monthly. – 1991. – Vol.98(9) – P. 847–850.
4. Groetsch C.W. Optimal order of accuracy in Vasin’s method for differentiation of noisy functions /C. W. Groetsch //J. Optim.Theory Appl.– 1992.– Vol. 74(2). – P. 373–378.
5. Hamarik, U., Kangro, U. On Self-regularization of Ill-Posed Problems in Banach Spaces by Projection Methods. In:Hofmann, B., Leitao, A., Zubelli, J. (eds) New Trends in Parameter Identification for Mathematical Models. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhauser, Cham. –2018. – P. 89–105.
6. Hanke M. Inverse problems light: numerical differentiation /M. Hanke, O. Scherzer // Amer. Math. Monthly.– 2001.– Vol. 108(6).– P. 512–521.
7. Meng Z. Numerical differentiation for two-dimensional functions by a Fourier extension method /Z. Meng, Z. Zhaoa, D. Mei, Y. Zhou // Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering.– 2020.– Vol. 28(1).– P. 1–18.
8. Lu S. Legendre polynomials as a recommended basis for numerical differentiation in the presence of stochastic white noise / S. Lu, V. Naumova, S. V. Pereverzev // J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.– 2013.– Vol. 21(2).– P. 193–216.
9. Nakamura G. Numerical differentiation for the second order derivatives of functions of two variables, /G. Nakamura, S. Z. Wang, Y. B. Wang // J. Comput. Appl. Math.– 2008.– Vol. 212(2).– P. 341–358.
10. Zhao Z. A truncated Legendre spectral method for solving numerical differentiation /Z. Zhao // International Journal of Computer Mathematics.– 2010.– Vol. 87.– P. 3209–3217.
11. Zhao Z. A stabilized algorithm for multi-dimensional numerical differentiation /Z. Zhao, Z. Meng, L. Zhao, L. You, O. Xie // Journal of Algorithms and Computational Technology.– 2016.– Vol. 10(2).– P. 73–81.
12. Dolgopolova T.F. On numerical differentiation /T.F. Dolgopolova, V.K. Ivanov // Zh. Vychisl. Mat. and Mat. Ph.– 1966. – Vol. 6(3).– P. 223–232.
13. Yu. V. Egorov, V.A. Kondrat’ev, On a problem of numerical differentiation, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 3 (1989), P. 80–81.
14. Solodky S.G. The minimal radius of Galerkin information for severely ill-posed problems /S.G. Solodky, G.L. Myleiko // Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems.– 2014.– Vol. 22(5).– P. 739–757.
15. Mileyko G.L. Hyperbolic cross and complexity of different classes of linear ill-posed problems / G.L. Mileyko, S.G. Solodky // Ukr. Mat. J.– 2017.– Vol. 69(7).– P. 951–963.
16. Müller C. Foundations of the Mathematical Theory of Electromagnetic Waves /C. Müller – Springer–Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969.
17. Pereverzev SV. Optimization of projection methods for solving ill-posed problems. Computing. – 1995. – Vol.55. P. 113–124.
18. Lebedeva E.V., Solodky S.G. Approximation of finite-section equations by piecewise constant functions // Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics. – 2008. – Vol.48(5). – P.693–706.
19. Semenova Y.V. Error bounds for Fourier-Legendre truncation method in numerical differentiation, /Semenova Y.V., Solodky S.G. // Journal of Numerical and Applied Mathematics.– 2021.– Vol. 137(3).–P.113–130.
20. S. G. Solodky, S. A. Stasyuk, Estimates of efficiency for two methods of stable numerical summation of smooth functions, *Journal of Complexity* **56** (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2019.101422>
21. Solodky S.G., Stasyuk S. // Ukr. Mat. J.– 2022.– Vol. 74(2).– P. 253–273.
22. Qian Z. Fourier truncation method for high order numerical derivatives /Z. Qian, C.L. Fu, X.T. Xi-ong, T. Wei, // Appl. Math. Comput.– 2006.– Vol. 181(2).– P. 940–948.
23. S. V. Pereverzev, S. G. Solodky, The minimal radius of Galerkin information for the Fredholm problem of the first kind, *Journal of Complexity* **12** (4) (1996), P. 401–415.
24. Ramm A.G., On numerical differentiation / A. G. Ramm // Izv. Vuzov. Matem.– 1968.– Vol. 11.– P. 131–134.

25. A.G. Ramm, A.B. Smirnova On stable numerical differentiation Math. Comput., 70 (2001), P. 1131-1153
26. Semenova Y.V. Application of Fourier truncation method to numerical differentiation for two variables functions /Y.V. Semenova, S. G. Solodky, S. A. Stasyuk // Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics, vol. 22, no. 2, 2022, pp. 477-491. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cmam-2020-0138>
27. Solodky S.G., Semenova E.V. On optimal order accuracy of solving Symm's integral equation // Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz. 2012. V. 52, No 3. P. 472-482
28. J. F. Traub, G. W. Wasilkowski, H. Wozniakowski, *Information-Based Complexity*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
29. J. F. Traub, H. Wozniakowski, *A General Theory of Optimal Algorithms*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
30. Y.B. Wang, Y.C. Hon, J. Cheng, Reconstruction of high order derivatives from input data // J. Inverse Ill-posed Probl., 14 (2006), P. 205-218.
31. Vainikko, G. M.; Khyamarik, U. A. Projection methods and self-regularization in ill-posed problems. // (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1985. V. 29. P. 1-17.
32. Vasin V.V., Regularization of the numerical differentiation problem /V.V. Vasin // Mat. app. Ural un-t.– 1969.– Vol. 7(2).– P. 29–33.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, 3,
 TERESCHENKIVSKA STR., 01024, KIEV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: semenovaevgen@gmail.com, solodky@imath.kiev.ua