

# The Decay $B \rightarrow K\nu\bar{\nu}$ at Belle II and a Massless Bino in R-parity-violating Supersymmetry

Herbert K. Dreiner,<sup>1,\*</sup> Julian Y. Günther,<sup>1,†</sup> and Zeren Simon Wang<sup>2,3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan*

<sup>3</sup>*Center for Theory and Computation, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan*

Recently, Belle II announced evidence for the decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$  at  $3.6\sigma$  significance, and measured the corresponding decay branching ratio to be  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ , which is  $2.8\sigma$  above the standard-model prediction. Here, we provide a theoretical explanation based on a massless bino in R-parity-violating (RPV) supersymmetry. With a single non-vanishing RPV coupling  $\lambda'_{i23/i32}$ ,  $\lambda'_{i13/i33}$ , or  $\lambda'_{i12/i22}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$  can be induced, which would lead to the same signature as  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ . Taking into account both theoretical and experimental uncertainties, we derive the parts of the parameter space of the RPV coupling and sfermion masses that could accommodate the new measurement at various significance levels.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Belle II has presented the first evidence for the rare decay<sup>1</sup>  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$  with a measured branching ratio:

$$\text{BR}^{\text{exp}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}) = (2.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}. \quad (1)$$

The significance is about  $3.6\sigma$ . The standard-model (SM) prediction [3]

$$\text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}) = (5.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}, \quad (2)$$

is lower by about  $2.8\sigma$ . This enhancement in the branching ratio has since been interpreted from various perspectives in Refs. [4–7]. In this short paper, we consider the possibility that this discrepancy is confirmed and hardened by upcoming data. We propose that this is due to an additional decay resulting from a supersymmetric model with broken R-parity (RPV-SUSY) [8]. There the lightest neutralino,  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ , can be arbitrarily light [9]. Thus, the decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  is kinematically possible. This is an R-parity conserving decay, proceeding via supersymmetric gauge couplings, but such a light neutralino is only allowed in RPV-SUSY. However this proceeds via 1-loop diagrams and gives too weak contributions [10]. Here we instead propose the decay

$$B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu_i^{(-)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \quad (3)$$

with a massless neutralino. This decay proceeds at tree-level in RPV-SUSY. Both the neutrino and the neutralino are experimentally not observed. Thus, this is indistinguishable from the SM decay mode. If the neutralino has a small but non-vanishing mass, this could

lead to distortions in the decay distribution of the reconstructed invariant mass of the neutrino pair, which could be distinguished with higher statistics. We are not aware that this decay has been considered before.

This short note is organized as follows. In Sect. II, we present the RPV-SUSY model. In Sect. III, we elaborate on our numerical analysis and display results. In Sect. IV, we summarize our findings and conclude.

## II. MODEL BASICS AND A MASSLESS NEUTRALINO

In the R-parity-violating supersymmetric extension of the SM, the superpotential contains among others the following lepton-number-violating operators [8]

$$W_{\Delta L \neq 0} = \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $L$ ,  $Q$ ,  $\bar{D}$  are chiral superfields for the lepton- and quark-doublets, as well as the singlet down-like quarks, respectively.  $i, j, k$  are generation indices and the  $\lambda'$  are dimensionless Yukawa couplings. In the case of a very light neutralino [11], the  $b$ -quark can then decay as

$$b \rightarrow s + \nu_i^{(-)} + \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \quad (5)$$

giving  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu_i^{(-)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  at the hadronic level. For  $\lambda'_{i32} \neq 0$ , the above decay can proceed, for example, via the tree-level Feynman diagram involving a virtual sfermion, as shown in Fig. 1. There are in total three relevant diagrams and analogous diagrams also exist in the case  $\lambda'_{i23}$  with different quark/squark chiralities. Assuming the sfermion masses to be degenerate and also much larger than the investigated mass scale, we obtain an effective four-fermion interaction by integrating out

\* dreiner@uni-bonn.de

† guenther@physik.uni-bonn.de

‡ wzs@mx.nthu.edu.tw

<sup>1</sup> See the relevant slides [1, 2] from the EPS-HEP2023 conference.




FIG. 1. One possible tree-level Feynman diagram for the decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu_i \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  via a non-zero  $\lambda'_{i32}$ .

the heavy sfermions<sup>2</sup>. We work in a basis, where only the left-handed down-type quarks  $d_{Li}$  are flavor eigenstates, whereas all other SM fields are mass eigenstates. We express the effective interactions in terms of the mass eigenstates for the left-handed down-type quarks by taking into account the CKM-mixing  $d_{Li} = V_{ij} d_{Lj}^{\text{mass}}$ . Hence, the decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu_i \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  is allowed for a non-zero  $\lambda'_{i32}$ ,  $\lambda'_{i12}$ , and  $\lambda'_{i22}$ , where the latter two are CKM-suppressed. Similarly,  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  can be generated via non-zero  $\lambda'_{i23}$ , and the CKM suppressed  $\lambda'_{i13}$  and  $\lambda'_{i33}$ .

Kinematically, the decay Eq. (3) can only proceed if the neutralino mass satisfies

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < M_{B^+} - M_{K^+} \approx 4.78 \text{ GeV}. \quad (6)$$

However, only for a very light neutralino would the kinematic distribution of the final-state neutrino pair be unaffected at the experimentally detectable level. For non-universal supersymmetry breaking electroweak gaugino masses the neutralino can be arbitrarily light. Such a light neutralino, even massless, is consistent with all laboratory, astrophysical, and cosmological data; see for example Refs. [10, 11, 13–17]. In this work, we assume, for simplicity, a massless neutralino.

We compute the decay rate for the process  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  using the effective interaction. The relevant hadronic matrix elements  $\langle K^+ | \bar{b} \Gamma s | B^+ \rangle$  with  $\Gamma \in \{\mathbb{1}, \gamma^\mu, \sigma^{\mu\nu}\}$  are parameterized by a set of three form factors  $f_+(q^2)$ ,  $f_0(q^2)$ , and  $f_T(q^2)$ , respectively, where  $q^2 = (p_B - p_K)^2$  is the squared momentum transfer. For the definition and employed parameterization of the form factors used in this work, we refer to the latest FLAG review [18]. We evaluate the phase space including the  $q^2$ -integral as described in Appendix B of Ref. [19]. The

<sup>2</sup> For such a derivation, see e.g. Ref. [12]. However, Ref. [12] employs Fierz-identities with an erroneous numerical factor in the tensor current. A corrected derivation will be provided in an upcoming paper.

decay width for the signal process is then calculated as

$$\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu_i \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \frac{0.0038 \text{ GeV}^5}{\tilde{m}^4} \begin{cases} |V_{ub} \lambda'_{ij2}|^2, & \text{for } \nu_i, \\ |V_{us} \lambda'_{ij3}|^2, & \text{for } \bar{\nu}_i, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $j = 1, 2, 3$  is a generation index,  $\tilde{m}$  labels the degenerate sfermion mass, and  $V$  denotes the CKM matrix.

Before closing the section, we summarize the strongest current upper bounds on the single RPV couplings considered in this work for  $\tilde{m} \gtrsim 1 \text{ TeV}$ , extracted from Refs. [20, 21]:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'_{123} &< 0.43 \frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, & \lambda'_{223} &< 1.12, \\ \lambda'_{323} &< 1.12, & \lambda'_{132} &< 1.04, \\ \lambda'_{232} &< 1.04, & \lambda'_{332} &< 1.04, \\ \lambda'_{113} &< 0.21 \frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, & \lambda'_{213} &< 0.59 \frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, \\ \lambda'_{313} &< 0.2 \frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}} + 0.046, & \lambda'_{133} &< 0.0014 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{100 \text{ GeV}}}, \\ \lambda'_{233} &< 0.15 \sqrt{\frac{m_{\tilde{b}_R}}{100 \text{ GeV}}}, & \lambda'_{333} &< 1.04, \\ \lambda'_{112} &< 0.21 \frac{m_{\tilde{s}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, & \lambda'_{212} &< 0.59 \frac{m_{\tilde{s}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, \\ \lambda'_{312} &< 0.2 \frac{m_{\tilde{s}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}} + 0.046, & \lambda'_{122} &< 0.43 \frac{m_{\tilde{s}_R}}{1 \text{ TeV}}, \\ \lambda'_{222} &< 1.12, & \lambda'_{322} &< 1.12. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The constant bounds are due to perturbativity requirement.

### III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We next make a comparison between the experimental measurement of  $\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$  and the sum of two theoretically predicted decay branching ratios  $\text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$  and  $\text{BR}^{\text{RPV}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ . We thus must estimate the theoretical uncertainties, while the experimental uncertainty is known to be  $\sigma_{\text{exp}} = 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ . The SM prediction, c.f. Eq. (2), has a relatively uncertainty of about 7.1%, which combines uncertainties of the vector form factor, CKM matrix elements, as well as the full two-loop electroweak correction factors. We make a conservative estimate for the relative uncertainty on the RPV decay process  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  to be  $7.1\% \times 1.5 = 10.7\%$ . We thus choose to estimate the theoretical uncertainties of the sum of  $\text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$  and  $\text{BR}^{\text{RPV}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{theo}} &= 7.1\% \times \text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) \\ &+ 10.7\% \times \text{BR}^{\text{RPV}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Finally, we take the quadrature of both the theoretical and experimental uncertainties to obtain the total uncertainty:

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{theo}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{exp}}^2}. \quad (10)$$




FIG. 2. Constraints from the new Belle II measurement on RPV couplings  $\lambda'_{i23}$  and  $\lambda'_{i32}$  as a function of the degenerate sfermion masses. Various significance regions are shown with different colors, and the current upper bounds on the RPV couplings are included.

We proceed to define the significance by

$$\sigma = \left| \text{BR}^{\text{RPV}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) - \text{BR}^{\text{exp}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) \right| / \sigma_{\text{total}}. \quad (11)$$

We present the numerical results in Figs. 2–4 in the plane  $(\tilde{m}, \lambda')$ , for the RPV couplings  $\lambda'_{i23}$  and  $\lambda'_{i32}$ ,  $\lambda'_{i13}$  and  $\lambda'_{i33}$ , and  $\lambda'_{i12}$  and  $\lambda'_{i22}$ , respectively. The results for  $\lambda'_{i23}$  and  $\lambda'_{i32}$  are almost identical and therefore shown in a single plot. The range of the sfermion masses is taken to be [1 TeV, 10 TeV]. The parameter space is divided into different colored regions according to the significance level: green for  $[0\sigma, 1\sigma]$ , orange for  $[1\sigma, 2\sigma]$ , red for  $[2\sigma, 3\sigma]$ , and purple for  $[> 3\sigma]$ . Furthermore, we show together the current upper bounds on the RPV couplings with black lines of distinct line styles; see Eq. (8).

We find the scenarios  $\lambda'_{i23}$  or  $\lambda'_{i32}$  the most promising, as shown in Fig. 2. Large parts of the parameter space can accommodate the latest Belle II measurement within a significance of  $2\sigma$ . The current RPV bounds can exclude only a small part of the parameter space with large coupling and large sfermion masses. This is especially the case with  $\lambda'_{123}$ . The results shown in Figs. 3–4 are weaker, mainly as a result of the strong CKM suppression relevant for the cases of the RPV couplings  $\lambda'_{i13}$  and  $\lambda'_{i33}$ , and  $\lambda'_{i12}$  and  $\lambda'_{i22}$ , respectively. Consequently, for instance, we find that in Fig. 3, only for  $\lambda'_{213}$  the current bound on the coupling has a relatively minor effect, while with the first- and third-generation leptons the current bounds almost exclude completely the  $2\sigma$  regions. Similarly, for  $\lambda'_{i33}$ , the tiny value of  $V_{ts}$  implies rather weak contributions to  $\text{BR}^{\text{RPV}}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ , so that




FIG. 3. The same as Fig. 2 but for  $\lambda'_{i13}$  and  $\lambda'_{i33}$ .

the  $2\sigma$  region corresponds to rather large RPV-coupling values and small sfermion masses. Now with the third-generation lepton, most of the  $2\sigma$  region has been excluded, while for the  $\lambda'_{133}$  coupling the existing bound is even orders of magnitude stronger than the  $2\sigma$  region across the considered sfermion mass range. Finally, the numerical results for the couplings  $\lambda'_{i12}$  and  $\lambda'_{i22}$  are given in Fig. 4. Here, the CKM suppression is even more tangible. For  $\lambda'_{i12}$  only a small corner in the shown parameter space can explain the Belle II measurement, while the current bounds on  $\lambda'_{i12}$  are all more than one order of magnitude stronger. The situation with  $\lambda'_{i22}$  is slightly better since the relevant CKM matrix element  $V_{cb}$  is larger than  $V_{ub}$ . Nevertheless, the existing limit on  $\lambda'_{222}$  excludes the whole  $2\sigma$  region, and for  $\lambda'_{222}$  or  $\lambda'_{322}$ , only a small region of the parameter space is allowed.




FIG. 4. The same as Fig. 2 but for  $\lambda'_{i12}$  and  $\lambda'_{i22}$ .

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

The recent experimental evidence for the rare decay process  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  at  $3.6\sigma$  obtained by the Belle II experiment corresponds to the decay branching ratio  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ , which is above the standard-model prediction by  $2.8\sigma$ . Here, we have proposed to explain this enhancement in the branching ratio, by considering a massless bino in R-parity-violating (RPV) supersymmetry, which is currently allowed by all experimental and observational constraints. With a single RPV coupling  $\lambda'_{i23/i32}$ ,  $\lambda'_{i13/i33}$ , or  $\lambda'_{i12/i22}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , turned on, the  $B^+$  meson can decay to the  $K^+ \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  final state, mimicking the same signature as that of the supposedly observed  $K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  final state.

We have computed the decay width  $\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$  in RPV supersymmetry for the mentioned couplings. We have estimated the significance levels for accommodating the new measurement with the RPV supersymmetric model, taking into account both theoretical and experimental uncertainties. Moreover, we have included the current bounds on the considered RPV couplings into the final results. Our numerical findings show that  $\lambda'_{i23}$  and  $\lambda'_{i32}$  have almost identical results, and large parts of the parameter space spanned by  $\lambda'_{i23/i32}$  and the degenerate sfermion mass  $\tilde{m}$  can explain the latest Belle II measurement within the  $2\sigma$  significance level, while still obeying the current bounds on these RPV couplings. On the other hand, for  $\lambda'_{i13/i33}$  and  $\lambda'_{i12/i22}$ , the RPV contributions of  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  are CKM-suppressed, rendering, in almost all the cases, only a tiny proportion or even none of the parameter space accommodating the new measurement and satisfying the current bounds on the RPV couplings simultaneously.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Carlos Wagner for frequent discussions of his related stimulating hypothesis. HKD acknowledges partial financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the funds provided to the Sino-German Collaborative Research Center TRR110 ‘‘Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD’’ (DFG Project ID 196253076 — TRR 110).

- [1] E. Ganiev, Recent Belle II results on radiative and electroweak penguin decays: [https://indico.desy.de/event/34916/contributions/146877/attachments/84380/111798/EWP@Belle2\\_EPS.pdf](https://indico.desy.de/event/34916/contributions/146877/attachments/84380/111798/EWP@Belle2_EPS.pdf), 2023.  
 [2] S. Glazov, Belle II physics highlights: [https://indico.desy.de/event/34916/contributions/149769/attachments/84417/111854/Belle%20II%](https://indico.desy.de/event/34916/contributions/149769/attachments/84417/111854/Belle%20II%20highlights.pdf)

[20highlights.pdf](https://indico.desy.de/event/34916/contributions/149769/attachments/84417/111854/Belle%20II%20highlights.pdf), 2023.

- [3] HPQCD, W. G. Parrott, C. Bouchard, and C. T. H. Davies, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 014511 (2023), 2207.13371, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 107, 119903 (2023)].  
 [4] P. Athron, R. Martinez, and C. Sierra, (2023), 2308.13426.  
 [5] R. Bause, H. Gisbert, and G. Hiller, (2023), 2309.00075.

- [6] L. Allwicher, D. Becirevic, G. Piazza, S. Rosauro-Alcaraz, and O. Sumensari, (2023), 2309.02246.
- [7] T. Felkl, A. Giri, R. Mohanta, and M. A. Schmidt, (2023), 2309.02940.
- [8] B. C. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. K. Dreiner, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 115002 (2004), hep-ph/0309196, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 72, 079902 (2005)].
- [9] H. K. Dreiner *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **62**, 547 (2009), 0901.3485.
- [10] H. K. Dreiner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 035018 (2009), 0905.2051.
- [11] D. Choudhury, H. K. Dreiner, P. Richardson, and S. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 095009 (2000), hep-ph/9911365.
- [12] J. de Vries, H. K. Dreiner, and D. Schmeier, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 035006 (2016), 1511.07436.
- [13] I. Gogoladze, J. D. Lykken, C. Macesanu, and S. Nandi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 073004 (2003), hep-ph/0211391.
- [14] H. K. Dreiner, C. Hanhart, U. Langenfeld, and D. R. Phillips, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 055004 (2003), hep-ph/0304289.
- [15] H. K. Dreiner, M. Hanussek, J. S. Kim, and S. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 065027 (2012), 1111.5715.
- [16] H. K. Dreiner, J.-F. Fortin, J. Isern, and L. Ubaldi, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 043517 (2013), 1303.7232.
- [17] H. K. Dreiner, D. Köhler, S. Nangia, and Z. S. Wang, JHEP **02**, 120 (2023), 2207.05100.
- [18] Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG), Y. Aoki *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 869 (2022), 2111.09849.
- [19] J. De Vries, H. K. Dreiner, J. Y. Günther, Z. S. Wang, and G. Zhou, JHEP **03**, 148 (2021), 2010.07305.
- [20] B. C. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. K. Dreiner, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 075014 (1999), hep-ph/9906209.
- [21] S. Bansal, A. Delgado, C. Kolda, and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 093005 (2019), 1906.01063.