INTRODUCING THERMODYNAMICS-INFORMED SYMBOLIC REGRESSION A TOOL FOR THERMODYNAMIC EQUATIONS OF STATE DEVELOPMENT

VIKTOR MARTINEK, OPHELIA FROTSCHER, MARKUS RICHTER, AND ROLAND HERZOG

ABSTRACT. Thermodynamic equations of state (EOS) are essential for many industries as well as in academia. Even leaving aside the expensive and extensive measurement campaigns required for the data acquisition, the development of EOS is an intensely time-consuming process, which does often still heavily rely on expert knowledge and iterative fine-tuning.

To improve upon and accelerate the EOS development process, we introduce thermodynamics-informed symbolic regression (TiSR), a symbolic regression (SR) tool aimed at thermodynamic EOS modeling. TiSR is already a capable SR tool, which was used in the research of Frotscher et al., 2023. It aims to combine an SR base with the extensions required to work with often strongly scattered experimental data, different residual pre- and postprocessing options, and additional features required to consider thermodynamic EOS development.

Although TiSR is not ready for end users yet, this paper is intended to report on its current state, showcase the progress, and discuss (distant and not so distant) future directions. TiSR is available at https://github.com/scoop-group/TiSR and can be cited as Martinek, 2023.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accurate knowledge of the thermodynamic properties of pure fluids and mixtures is crucial for many scientific and technical tasks. In research, thermodynamic property data are required, among other things, for the investigation of physical relationships and for the corresponding development of models. For industry, property dat are an essential basis for the design of processes and equipment, whereby complex energy and process engineering plants can be developed and optimized using process simulation. However, the quality of such simulations strongly depends on the accuracy of the available thermodynamic property data. For the calculation of such data, EOS are used.

The development of EOS is a sophisticated and time-consuming process. To address both, the measurement and the modeling, we recently proposed a new combined measurement and modeling procedure, see Frotscher et al., 2023. There it is shown that using optimal experimental design (OED), SR, and hybrid data

Date: September 7, 2023.

Key words and phrases. symbolic regression, thermodynamics, equations of state.

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – HE 6077/14-1 and RI 2482/10-1 – within the Priority Programme "SPP 2331: Machine Learning in Chemical Engineering".

acquisition can significantly reduce measurement and development expenses for EOS. For this purpose, TiSR was utilized, the newly developed SR tool aimed at thermodynamic EOS modeling. It is an integral part of our proposed combined measurement and modeling procedure, as well as a post-processing tool.

There are many forms of thermodynamic EOS for different purposes and with varying accuracy. However, only very little has been published on improving functional form of thermodynamic models, e. g., of multi-parameter fundamental EOS, in the recent decades. SR is well poised to change this and discover new model forms, especially for much simpler than fundamental EOS.

As mentioned above, even with the measurement expenses left aside, the modeling process of thermodynamic EOS itself is a time-consuming process, that does still rely on "expert experience and intuition" and iterative fine-tuning. To remedy this, we are working on, among other things, the combination of an SR algorithm with the additional features required for often scattered experimental data, the specific extensions for the thermodynamic EOS development as well as the formalization and enforcement of some of the "expert experience and intuition" and especially thermodynamic constraints. TiSR is work-in-progress and does not yet have all mentioned features, nor is it ready for end users. However, we are working with further measurement and modeling experts to incorporate all of the above and more. Nevertheless, TiSR is available at https://github.com/scoop-group/TiSR and can be cited as Martinek, 2023.

2. Design Philosophy

The current version of TiSR is not aiming to be a state-of-the-art general purpose SR tool. Its symbolic regression basis is relatively simple but flexible. Its goal is to introduce the physics- and thermodynamics-specific extensions to create a tool for the thermodynamic EOS development. At a later stage, these adaptions and extensions may be applied to other SR algorithms.

The SR library SYMBOLICREGRESSION.JL by Cranmer, 2020 was used as a reference and starting point for TiSR. However, numerous adaptions were made at many levels, sacrificing general performance to gain flexibility, extensibility, and simplicity. As a rule of thumb and to give a general idea, when given the choice, the pragmatic approach of using half the code is used, even if it reduces performance by $\approx 20\%$. For our work in progress tool TiSR, this approach allows us to do fast prototyping and extend the core functionality by several features, some of them thermodynamics-specific. Performance optimization, which is a necessity for genetic algorithms, will come at a later stage, when most or all of the features we, and others utilizing TiSR, envision and require are implemented and tested. Nevertheless, the current performance is sufficient for academic purposes.

3. Overview and Features

TiSR is written in the programming language Julia developed by Bezanson et al., 2017. It utilizes a genetic programming (see Koza, 1994) algorithm, i. e., a modified version of NSGA-II (see Deb et al., 2002) with an island model population structure (see Gorges-Schleuter, 1991).

3.1. **Overview.** TiSR's main loop consists of expression mutation (Section 3.4), individual instantiation (described next), and selection (Section 3.3). Every iteration of this main loop constitutes a generation of the genetic algorithm.

Each individual contains one expression and a number of related attributes, which are determined in the "individual instantiation", which is divided in the following steps:

- (1) unnecessary parameter removal
 - for example: parameter + parameter \rightarrow parameter, function(parameter) \rightarrow parameter, ...
 - values of parameters are not adjusted, as parameters are identified after this step
- (2) randomly trimming expressions that exceed the size limit
- (3) reordering of operands of + and \cdot
 - for example: $v_1 + 1 \to 1 + v_1, 3 \cdot \cos(v_2 + 1) \to 3 \cdot \cos(1 + v_2)$
 - reorder according to following rules (< means before):
 - ${\rm parameter} < {\rm variable} < {\rm unary \ operator} < {\rm binary \ operator}$
- (4) grammar checking (described below)
- (5) parameter identification (Section 3.5)
 - (i) calculate residual-related measures (see Table 3.1)
 - (*ii*) calculate constraint violations (coming soon)
- (6) singularity prevention (coming soon)
- (7) determination of attributes unrelated to the residual (see Table 3.1)

At the "grammar checking", "parameter identification" and the "singularity prevention" steps, individuals may be deemed invalid, resulting in their termination and removal. The use of grammar may increase the algorithm's performance by filtering out individuals before parameter identification. Currently, two grammar options are available. The user may prohibit certain operator compositions, e.g., $\cos(\cos(x))$ or $\exp(\log(x))$. These are also enforced during the random creation of expressions. The second currently implemented grammar option prohibits parameters in exponents, i.e., $(x + 1)^3$ would be allowed but $3^{(x+1)}$ would not. The latter grammar is not enforced at the "grammar checking" step above, but rather throughout TiSR in the individual creation and mutations. We plan to introduce more grammar options in the future.

The attributes of each individual, their brief description, and whether the attributes are related to the residual can be seen in Table 3.1. Currently, the ability to add custom attributes by the user is not implemented but will be added in the future.

For parallelization, we currently employ multithreading on the "individual instantiation" step which includes parameter identification. As the parameter identification is by far the most expensive step, parallelizing the complete generational loop offers only a small additional performance benefit.

3.2. **Population Structure.** The island population model we use maintains several subpopulations which evolve separately. The islands are arranged in a static ring topology (see Lin, Punch, Goodman, 1994). At a user defined generational interval, a random emigration island and a random direct neighbor, acting as immigration island, are chosen. The migrating individual is chosen randomly from

TABLE 3.1. Individual attribute names, a short description, and whether they are related to the residual.

Measure	residual-	Description	
	related		
ms_processed_e	yes	measure, which is minimized in the parameter identi-	
		fication (discussed in more detail Section 3.5)	
mse	yes	mean squared error	
mae	yes	mean absolute error	
max_ae	yes	maximum absolute error	
minus_r2	yes	negative coefficient of determination $-r^2$	
mare	yes	mean relative error	
q75_are	yes	75% percentile of the mean relative error	
max_are	yes	maximum absolute relative error	
compl	no	complexity, i. e., number of variables, parameters, and	
		operations	
recursive_compl	no	recursive complexity as proposed by Kommenda et al.,	
		2015 (with some minor extensions to, among other	
		things, incorporate the power-operator)	
n_params	no	number of parameters	
age	no	number of generations since creation of the expression	
valid	no	whether the individual is valid, as determined by	
		"check grammar", "identify parameters", and the "pre-	
		vent singularities"	

the emigration island's population, and it is copied (not moved) to the immigration island's population.

3.3. Selection. The selection of individuals for the next generation is performed by non-dominated sort (Pareto optimal), or tournament selection (see Brindle, 1980), or both. If both are used, the Pareto optimal selection is performed first, before tournament selection is performed on the remaining individuals. The ratio to which the two selections are performed can be modified in the range [0, 1].

The selection objectives for both selection modes can be set individually. Any of the attributes of the individuals, and any number of them, can be chosen (except for valid) (see Table 3.1 for currently implemented attributes).

3.4. Creating and Mutating Expressions. In the first generation, instead of mutating expressions, new ones are at random. The user may provide starting expressions, which allows to either incorporate domain knowledge or resume another run. The currently implemented types of mutations are listed in Table 3.2.

In some cases, simplifications may lead to less desirable expressions in terms of the selection objectives. Therefore, apart from the very basic simplifications to remove unnecessary parameters mentioned in Section 3.1, we choose to perform the more complex simplification using the SYMBOLICUTILS.JL package (see Gowda, Ma, Protter, et al., 2023) as mutations.

The drastic_simplify! mutation removes parameters which are smaller than a set value in case they appear in an addition or subtraction. In the context of multiplication, the complete term affected by the small parameter is removed. This

mutation	deeper	description
	than 2	
insert_mutation!	no	insert a random expression snippet at a
		random place
point_mutation!	no	choose a random element and exchange
		it with an equivalent one (variable \rightarrow
		variable, binary operation \rightarrow binary op-
		eration, \ldots)
addterm_mutation!	no	add a random term to the expression
hoist_mutation!	yes	choose random operator and remove it
		$(\cos(x) \to x, x + y \to x, \dots)$
innergrow_mutation!	yes	select a random part of the expres-
		sion and replace it with another part of
		the same expression (creating a new di-
		rected acyclic graph connection)
subtree_mutation!	yes	choose random operator and exchange
		it with a random expression snippet
drastic_simplify!	yes	remove all parameters in $+, -,$ and $*$
		operations which are smaller than set
		tolerance, and simplify accordingly $(x +$
		$0.00001 \rightarrow x, x + y \cdot 0.00001 \rightarrow x)$
simplify_w_symbolic_utils!	yes	simplify the expression using the SYM-
		BOLICUTILS.JL Gowda, Ma, Protter, et
		al., 2023 package
crossover_mutation!	yes	randomly combine two individuals

TABLE 3.2. Types of mutations currently implemented in TiSR. The mutations with "deeper than 2" are only chosen if the associated binary tree of the expression is deeper than 2.

simplification helps guide the expression search, but it is especially powerful in combination with LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) regression. In LASSO regression, an ℓ_1 -norm of the parameter vector is added to the squared residual norm as a regularization term, which incentives potentially zero parameter values. Currently, TiSR does not support LASSO regularization (see Tibshirani, 1996) in the parameter estimation, but it will soon.

3.5. Evaluation and Parameter Identification. For the evaluation of candidate expressions, the power, logarithm, and division operators are protected to allow their direct use, rather than necessitating the use of implicit domain restrictions, e.g., $abs(x)^y$. This protection is implemented by checking the operands and preventing the evaluation, if they are outside the valid domains for the respective operators. These individuals are deemed invalid and removed from the population. One noticeable benefit of this approach is that it makes simplifications of expressions in many cases easier. For example, $x * abs(x)^2$ cannot be simplified without assuming x > 0 or x < 0.

The prediction of the expressions may be processed before the residual is calculated. This can be used to search for parts of an expression, while presupposing the remainder.

Usually, SR searches for an expression f(X) which satisfies y = f(X) for the given data X and y. In this case, the residual vector is calculated using y - f(X). However, in some cases, parts of the expression may be known. If, for example, we search an expression f(X) and presuppose that y = exp(f(X)) holds, we could rearrange the expression as log(y) = f(X) and search for the f part of the expression directly. This however, does change the residual to log(y) - f(X) and thus the minimization objective, which may lead to inferior results. In other cases, the presupposed expression parts may not be possible to rearrange. The expression $y = f(X)^2 + exp(f(X))$ cannot be solved for f(X). In TiSR it is possible to define pre_residual_processing! function, which is applied to the output of the expression f(X) before residual is calculated. This allows to implement the transformations of the first or the second example above. For the second example, for the evaluation of each expression f(X) proposed by TiSR, first, the output of the expression is calculated. The pre_residual_processing! function performs $y_pred = f(X)^2 + exp(f(X))$ to calculate the prediction of the proposed expression, and the residual is calculated by y - y_pred. All the residual-related measures listed in Table 3.1 are, of course, affected by this function.

For the parameter identification step, TiSR employs a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (see Levenberg, 1944; Marquardt, 1963). We use a modified version of the implementation of White, Mogensen, Johnson, et al., 2022. The objective of the optimizer is to minimize the ms_processed_e measure, which stands for mean squared processed error and has several user-exposed processing options.

By default, ms_processed_e is equal to the mse. One of the customization options for the ms_processed_e is to provide weights for the residuals to, e.g., minimize the relative rather than the absolute deviation, incorporate uncertainties, or both. To process the residuals in other, possibly more complex ways, a custom function may be provided by the user.

To mitigate overfitting and improve generalization performance, early stopping (see Morgan, Bourlard, 1989) is employed. In early stopping, the parameter identification is conducted for a fraction of the data, while the residual norm is also calculated for the remainder. One method to perform early stopping, as described in Prechelt, 1998, is to terminate the parameter estimation as soon as the residual norm for the remaining data increases monotonically for a number of iterations. Apart from reducing overfitting, early stopping provides two added performance advantages. First, the parameter identification, which is currently by far the most expensive part of the algorithm, may be stopped after fewer iterations for candidate expressions which do not appear to capture behavior underlying the data. This decreases the performance penalty of allowing a larger maximum number of iterations during fitting while retaining its benefits. Second, the Jacobian for the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is only calculated for a fraction of the data, which increases the performance for large data sets.

4. Conclusion and Outlook

We introduced and provided a first overview of TiSR. Although it is work-inprogress, TiSR is already a capable SR tool, e.g., for EOS modeling, with a genetic programming basis and similar capabilities as comparable tools. Notable differences to other SR algorithms in this combination are, among other things, the following:

REFERENCES

- protected evaluation of power and similar functions, avoiding the need for constructions such as abs(x)^y
- ▷ improved simplification possibilities and more sensible expressions
- many residual pre- and post-processing options
 - \triangleright weighting
 - $\triangleright\,$ custom post-processing
 - ▷ custom processing of the prediction before residual calculation to, i. e., search for sub-expressions
- removal of expression parts, which do not contribute much
 - \triangleright targeted simplification
- early stopping
 - \triangleright mitigate overfitting and improve generalization performance
 - \triangleright improve performance by stopping earlier

For the future, the most notable feature of TiSR is its flexibility and extensibility, which allows us to implement major changes comparably easily and fast.

Many of the crucial features for EOS development are planned to be implemented in the near future or are currently being implemented. Our plans include:

- constrained fitting and constraint violation as additional selection objective
- prevent singularities
- support for factor variables as introduced by Kommenda et al., 2015, which allow the incorporation of nominal variables
- support for the development of EOS formulated in terms of the Helmholtz energy
- LASSO regularization for better simplification
- more grammar
- experiment with other SR algorithms at the basis

References

- Bezanson, J.; A. Edelman; S. Karpinski; V. B. Shah (2017). "Julia: a fresh approach to numerical computing". SIAM Review 59.1, pp. 65–98. DOI: 10.1137/141000671.
- Brindle, A. (1980). "Genetic Algorithms for Function Optimization". PhD thesis. University of Alberta Libraries. DOI: 10.7939/R3FB4WS2W.
- Cranmer, M. (2020). *PySR: Fast & parallelized symbolic regression in Python/Julia*. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4041459.
- Deb, K.; A. Pratap; S. Agarwal; T. Meyarivan (2002). "A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II". *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 6.2, pp. 182–197. DOI: 10.1109/4235.996017.
- Frotscher, O.; V. Martinek; R. Fingerhut; X. Yang; J. Vrabec; R. Herzog; M. Richter (2023). "Proof of concept for fast equation of state development using an integrated experimental-computational approach". *International Journal of Thermophysics* 44.7. DOI: 10.1007/s10765-023-03197-z.
- Gorges-Schleuter, M. (1991). "Explicit parallelism of genetic algorithms through population structures". *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature*. Springer, pp. 150– 159. DOI: 10.1007/bfb0029746.
- Gowda, S.; Y. Ma; M. Protter, et al. (2023). *SymbolicUtils.jl*. URL: https://github.com/JuliaNLSolvers/LsqFit.jl.

REFERENCES

- Kommenda, M.; A. Beham; M. Affenzeller; G. Kronberger (2015). "Complexity measures for multi-objective symbolic regression". *Computer Aided Systems The*ory – EUROCAST 2015. Springer International Publishing, pp. 409–416. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-27340-2_51.
- Koza, J. R. (1994). "Genetic programming as a means for programming computers by natural selection". *Statistics and Computing* 4.2. DOI: 10.1007/bf00175355.
- Levenberg, K. (1944). "A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares". *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics* 2.2, pp. 164–168. DOI: 10.1090/ qam/10666.
- Lin, S.-C.; W. Punch; E. Goodman (1994). "Coarse-grain parallel genetic algorithms: categorization and new approach". Proceedings of 1994 6th IEEE Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing. IEEE, pp. 28–37. DOI: 10.1109/ spdp.1994.346184.
- Marquardt, D. W. (1963). "An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters". Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 11.2, pp. 431–441. DOI: 10.1137/0111030.
- Martinek, V. (2023). Thermodynamics-informed symbolic regression (TiSR). A tool for the thermodynamic equation of state development. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo. 8317547. URL: https://github.com/scoop-group/TiSR.
- Morgan, N.; H. Bourlard (1989). "Generalization and parameter estimation in feedforward nets: some experiments". Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Ed. by D. Touretzky. Vol. 2. Morgan-Kaufmann. URL: https:// proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/1989/file/63923f49e5241343aa7acb6a06a751e7-Paper.pdf.
- Prechelt, L. (1998). "Automatic early stopping using cross validation: quantifying the criteria". *Neural Networks* 11.4, pp. 761–767. DOI: 10.1016/s0893-6080(98) 00010-0.
- Tibshirani, R. (1996). "Regression shrinkage and selection via the Lasso". Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B. Methodological 58.1, pp. 267–288. DOI: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x.
- White, J. M.; P. K. Mogensen; B. Johnson, et al. (2022). *LsqFit.jl*. URL: https://github.com/JuliaNLSolvers/LsqFit.jl.

(V. Martinek) Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing, Heidelberg University, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

 $Email \ address: \ \tt viktor.martinek @iwr.uni-heidelberg.de$

(O. Frotscher) Technische Universität Chemnitz, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Applied Thermodynamics, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany *Email address*: ophelia.frotscher@mb.tu-chemnitz.de

(M. Richter) TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ, FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, APPLIED THERMODYNAMICS, 09107 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY

 $Email \ address: \verb"m.richter@mb.tu-chemnitz.de"$

(R. Herzog) Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing, Heidelberg University, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Email address: roland.herzog@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de