

Acceleration of a polarized neutron by its weak nuclear self-interaction

M. Donaire^{1,2,*}

¹*Departamento de Física Teórica, Atómica y Óptica,
Universidad de Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain*

²*CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Néel, 38000, Grenoble, France*

It is proven that the rotation of the spin of a polarized neutron is accompanied by a net nuclear force upon it. This force arises from the weak nuclear self-interaction of its constituent quarks, whose chiral nature induces the transfer of a net momentum to the fields of Z and W-bosons. This effect is linear in Fermi's constant. As a result, it is estimated that along the spin-flip of a polarized neutron its velocity undergoes a variation of the order of meters per second.

I. INTRODUCTION

The weak nuclear interaction of the Standard Model is chiral [1–3]. This implies that its Hamiltonian is not parity invariant, which manifests in the preferential coupling of left-handed particles to right-handed antiparticles. In turn, that results in a net alignment between the spins and the momenta of the hadrons and the leptons which participate in the interaction. It explains, for instance, the celebrated experiment of Wu *et al.* [4] on the beta decay of polarized Co⁶⁰ nuclei, where left-handed electrons are preferably emitted in the direction opposite to the spins of the nuclei [5]. Parity violation in the electroweak (EW) interaction can be also tested through kinematical effects in the scattering of leptons with hadrons. This is the case of polarized electron scattering [6], where the EW parameters and the hadron structure are probed through the small asymmetry in the scattering cross-section of electrons with opposite helicities. Along these lines, the aims of this article are twofold. From a fundamental perspective we will prove that the weak nuclear self-interaction of a polarized neutron may generate a net force upon it, transferring an equivalent linear momentum to virtual bosons. From a practical perspective we will show that this force has macroscopic kinematical effects, since it is linear in G_F , causing a variation on the neutron velocity of the order of meters per second. Incidentally, the dependence of this estimate on the hadron structure makes it suitable for probing hadronic features, like the spin structure of nucleons, with low-energy experimental techniques.

Homogeneity of space and translation invariance of the vacuum state of any quantum field theory imply that the net momentum of vacuum field fluctuations vanishes identically. However, when field fluctuations couple to current fluctuations through a Hamiltonian which violates both parity and time-reversal, it is symmetry allowed for the field fluctuations to carry a net linear momentum. In virtue of total momentum conservation, the currents must gain a kinetic momentum of equal strength in the opposite direction. The possibility that a net momentum could be carried by vacuum field fluctuations

was first envisaged by A. Feigel in a magnetoelectric medium [7, 8]. Using a semiclassical approach he showed that, in crossed electric and magnetic fields, $\mathbf{E} \perp \mathbf{B}$, the medium generates a magnetoelectric birefringence along $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$. As a result, the momenta carried by the modes of the quantum electromagnetic (EM) field propagating parallel and antiparallel to $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ do not cancel each other and, in virtue of total momentum conservation, a momentum equivalent to their difference is transferred to the magnetoelectric medium in the opposite direction. Later, B. van-Tiggelen and collaborators, using a fully QED approach, have computed the momentum gained by a hydrogen atom in crossed electric and magnetic fields [9], and the momentum of a chiral molecule in a magnetic field [10]. In the former case parity and time-reversal are broken by the electric and the magnetic fields, respectively, while in the latter parity is broken by the chiral distribution of the ligands around the active ion of the chiral molecule. It was found in Ref.[11] that, in its major part, the acceleration of the chiral molecule is accompanied by a net EM momentum which arises from the crossed electric and magnetic fields sourced by the charges and the helical currents within the chiral molecule. In either case, the kinetic momenta gained by atoms and molecules were found to be too small to be experimentally observed.

Further, it has been proved in Ref.[12] that an analogous phenomenon may take place in nuclear physics, where the EW Hamiltonian itself is not parity invariant. Using the Fermi four-fermion interaction, it was shown that an unpolarized proton in a magnetic field accelerates along the magnetic field, transferring an equivalent momentum to virtual positrons and neutrinos. The explanation given in Ref.[12] is analogous to that which explains the directionality of the beta decay, but for the fact that the leptons in Ref.[12] are virtual instead of actual particles. The velocity of the proton computed that way happens to be of order G_F^2 , thus too small to be detected. The reason for this was the inappropriate use of the Fermi interaction in a process that, in contrast to the beta decay, is not resonant and does not produce actual leptons. Hence, the estimate in Ref.[12] is not the leading order one but a second order correction.

In this article we use the gauge theory of EW interactions and prove that, throughout the spin-flip of a po-

* manuel.donaire@uva.es

larised neutron, a net internal force accelerates it. This force arises from the weak nuclear self-interaction of the constituent or valence quarks. In virtue of total momentum conservation, an equivalent linear momentum is transferred to virtual Z and W-bosons. Using two simple models of hadron confinement, namely, the MIT-bag model and the harmonic oscillator model, we estimate a velocity variation of the order of meters per second along the spin direction. If confirmed experimentally, this finding would prove the existence of net self-interaction forces and the transfer of momentum to virtual particles, and would open up the possibility of probing the hadron structure with low-energy techniques.

II. FUNDAMENTALS

For simplicity and without much loss of generality, let us consider the Lagrangian of a neutron as the sum of the Lagrangians of its three valence or constituent quarks, two down and one up, which are bound by some effective QCD confinement potential, \mathcal{V}_{QCD} , and are minimally coupled to the EW bosons,

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3r_j \left[\bar{\Psi}_j (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu^j - m_j \mathbb{I}) \Psi_j - \sum_{k < j}^3 \int d^3r_k \times \Psi_j^\dagger \Psi_k \mathcal{V}_{QCD}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k) \Psi_k^\dagger \Psi_j \right]. \quad (1)$$

In this equation, given in natural units, Ψ denotes a generic isospin doublet, $\Psi_j = (\psi_j^u(t, \mathbf{r}_j) \ \psi_j^d(t, \mathbf{r}_j))$, and D_μ^j is the covariant derivative operator upon quark j . In terms of the EW gauge fields it reads,

$$D_\mu^j = \partial_\mu^j \mathbb{I}_{\text{iso}} - ie A_\mu(\mathbf{r}_j) \mathbb{Q} - \frac{ig Z_\mu(\mathbf{r}_j)}{2 \cos \theta_W} [\mathbb{I}(\tau_3 - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W \mathbb{Q}) - \gamma_5 \tau_3] - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [W_\mu^+(\mathbf{r}_j) \frac{\mathbb{I} - \gamma_5}{2} (\tau_1 + i\tau_2) + \text{h.c.}], \quad (2)$$

where \mathbb{I}_{iso} , \mathbb{Q} and τ_i are two-by-two matrices acting on the isospin indices, namely, the identity, the electric charge operator and the isospin generators, respectively; \mathbb{I} and γ_5 act on the four-spinor components of $\psi_j^{u,d}$; and e , $g/(2 \cos \theta_W)$, $g/\sqrt{2}$ are the coupling constants of A, Z and W gauge fields, respectively, with θ_W the weak mixing angle.

As for the confinement potential, without much loss of generality and for numerical estimations we will consider two simple models. These are, the MIT-bag model for valence and ultrarelativistic quarks [13], and the harmonic-oscillator model for constituent and nonrelativistic quarks [14] –see appendix A for further details. In the MIT-bag the three quarks are massless and are confined within a static spherical cavity of radius R_n by means of boundary conditions at the surface. These conditions prevent the current flow through the surface and impose the balance between the outwards pressure of the quarks and the inward QCD vacuum pressure. As for the

nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator, all the quarks have an equivalent mass m and interact pairwise through harmonic potentials of equivalent natural frequencies.

In the remainder of this article we will work in the Hamiltonian formalism in Schrödinger's picture. Thus, we identify the non-perturbative Hamiltonians with those of the neutron quarks and the free boson fields. As for the former, it can be derived from Eq.(1),

$$H_n = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3r_j \left[\sum_{f=u,d} \bar{\psi}_j^f (-i\gamma^m \partial_m^j + m_j) \psi_j^f + \sum_{k < j}^3 \int d^3r_k \times \sum_{\bar{f}=u,d} \psi_j^{f\dagger} \psi_k^{\bar{f}} \mathcal{V}_{QCD}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k) \psi_k^{\bar{f}\dagger} \psi_j^f \right]. \quad (3)$$

As for the free EW bosons, the gauge fields A_μ , Z_μ and W_μ^\pm that we will denote generically by V_μ can be decomposed in sums over normal modes of wave vector \mathbf{q} , polarization λ , creation/annihilation operators $a_{V,\mathbf{q}}^{\lambda,(\dagger)}$, and energy $\mathcal{E}_\mathbf{q}^V = \sqrt{q^2 + M_V^2}$, with M_V being the mass [3]. Hence, the Hamiltonian of free bosons reads

$$H_F^V = \sum_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \mathcal{E}_\mathbf{q}^V a_{V,\mathbf{q}}^{\lambda,\dagger} a_{V,\mathbf{q}}^\lambda, \quad V = \{A, Z, W^\pm\}. \quad (4)$$

The Hamiltonian densities of the interactions between quarks and EW bosons can be read from the minimal coupling term of Eq.(1) together with Eq.(2),

$$\mathcal{W}_{EM} = e \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{EM}^{i,\mu} A_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad \mathcal{W}_{nc} = \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{nc}^{i,\mu} Z_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad \mathcal{W}_{cc} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^3 j_{cc}^{i,\mu} W_\mu^+(\mathbf{r}_i) + \text{h.c.} \quad (5)$$

In these equations $j_{EM}^{i,\mu}$ is the neutral and parity-invariant EM current density of quark i , $j_{EM}^{i,\mu} = q_u \bar{\psi}_i^u \gamma^\mu \psi_i^u + q_d \bar{\psi}_i^d \gamma^\mu \psi_i^d$, where $q_{u,d}$ are the electric charges; $j_{nc}^{i,\mu} = \bar{\psi}_i^d \gamma^\mu (g_v^d \mathbb{I} - g_a^d \gamma_5) \psi_i^d + \bar{\psi}_i^u \gamma^\mu (g_v^u \mathbb{I} - g_a^u \gamma_5) \psi_i^u$ is the neutral and chiral current, with $g_{v,a}^{u,d}$ being the vector (v) and axial (a) couplings; and $j_{cc}^{i,\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_i^d \gamma^\mu (\mathbb{I} - \gamma_5) \psi_i^u$ is the charged and chiral current.

The system of hadrons and EW bosons governed by the Hamiltonians of Eqs.(3)-(5) possesses a conserved linear momentum \mathbf{K} which comprises the canonical conjugate momentum of the three quarks bound in the neutron and the *transverse* momenta of the EW bosons, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}_\perp^A + \mathbf{P}_\perp^Z + \mathbf{P}_\perp^W$. The transverse momentum operator of each boson V reads

$$\mathbf{P}_\perp^V = \sum_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \mathbf{q} a_{V,\mathbf{q}}^{\lambda,\dagger} a_{V,\mathbf{q}}^\lambda, \quad V = \{A, Z, W^\pm\}, \quad (6)$$

whereas the total conjugate momentum \mathbf{P} is made of a kinetic momentum \mathbf{P}_{kin} and the *longitudinal* momenta associated to the EW bosons, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}} + \mathbf{P}_\parallel^A + \mathbf{P}_\parallel^Z + \mathbf{P}_\parallel^W$ [15]. The expressions for the longitudinal momenta in

terms of boson fields can be deduced from the spatial components of the covariant derivative in Eq.(2). It suffices to realize that, in the minimal coupling formalism, the total conjugate and kinetic momentum operators read $\mathbf{P} = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 \nabla^j$, $\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}} = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{D}^j$, from which,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^A + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla^j - \mathbf{D}^j). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, conservation of total momentum \mathbf{K} implies

$$\Delta \langle \mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}} \rangle = -\Delta \langle \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^A + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^A + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^W \rangle. \quad (8)$$

For future purposes we write the densities of Z and W longitudinal momenta in terms of the quarks' wavefunctions [16],

$$\mathcal{P}_{\parallel}^Z = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} j_{nc}^{i0} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{r}_i), \mathcal{P}_{\parallel}^W = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} j_{cc}^{i0} \mathbf{W}^+(\mathbf{r}_i) + \text{h.c.}$$

III. CALCULATION

Let us consider a free neutron initially polarized along the $-\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction in the presence of an intense constant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The wavefunction of the neutron, made of three quarks, must be totally antisymmetric. For simplicity, let us consider that the neutron spin results entirely from the addition of the spins of its quarks. The color function is an antisymmetric SU(3) singlet, ξ_{color} . In its ground state, the spatial wavefunction of each quark is an $l = 0$ function, and the spin-flavour wavefunction must be symmetrized (\mathcal{S}). All together, the ground state of a spin-down neutron can be written as [1]

$$|n_{\downarrow}^0\rangle = \frac{\xi_{color}}{\sqrt{3}} [|d \downarrow d \uparrow u \downarrow\rangle_{\mathcal{S}}^0 - 2 |d \downarrow d \downarrow u \uparrow\rangle_{\mathcal{S}}^0], \quad (9)$$

and an equivalent expression holds for $|n_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$ but for the inversion of all the quarks' spins –cf. appendix B.

We aim at proving that, as the neutron spin is inverted, a variation in the neutron kinetic momentum is induced by its weak nuclear self-interaction. A simple manner to reverse the spin consists of applying an additional magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 much weaker than \mathbf{B}_0 which rotates at the Larmor frequency $\omega_0 = \gamma_n B_0$ in the xy plane, with γ_n being the neutron gyromagnetic ratio and ω_0 being typically a radiofrequency. This field makes the neutron spin oscillate at frequency $\omega_1 = \gamma_n B_1$, inverting its direction in a time interval π/ω_1 and absorbing one photon of frequency ω_0 –see appendix B.

Next, we compute the kinetic momentum gained by the neutron throughout its spin-flip (sf), $\Delta \langle \mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}} \rangle$. Since the EM interaction is parity invariant, the transfer of EM momentum is only caused by the absorption of an actual photon of frequency ω_0 , which is negligibly small for radiofrequencies. In contrast, the weak nuclear interaction

is chiral and its mediating Z and W-bosons are only virtual, so in order for them to carry a net momentum it is the weak self-interaction of the quarks that must provide it. We will find that this is indeed the case along the direction of the quarks' spins, and that it is the dominant contribution to Eq.(8). Hence, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \langle \mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}} \rangle &\simeq \langle n_{\downarrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^W | n_{\downarrow}^0 \rangle \\ &- \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z + \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^W | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Finally, symmetry considerations imply that the two terms on the right-hand-side of Eq.(10) have equivalent strengths and opposite signs. Hence, it suffices to compute any of them.




FIG. 1. Diagrammatic representation of two of the processes contributing to Eq.(11) for $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(a)] and to Eq.(12) for $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(b)]. Time runs along the vertical from t_0 up to the time of observation t at which the momentum operators apply. Interaction vertices enter at the intermediate time τ .

The calculation of Eq.(10) can be performed adiabatically, since the spin oscillation frequency, ω_1 , is much smaller than the transition frequencies and decay rates of the quantum intermediate processes. These frequencies are the masses $M_{Z,W}$ and decay rates $\Gamma_{W,Z}$ of the bosons, satisfying $M_{Z,W} \gg \Gamma_{W,Z} \gg \omega_1$ –cf. appendix C for further explanations. Using time-dependent perturbation theory, the longitudinal and transverse momenta of Eq.(10) are computed at first and second order in $\mathcal{W}_{nc,cc}$, respectively. The diagrams in Fig.1 depict two of the processes contributing to $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^W | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(a)] and $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(b)]. In all the contributing intermediate processes the spins of all the quarks are preserved by the interaction with Z and W-bosons. Hence, the intermediate states $\{|I\rangle\}$ are spin-up protons $|p_{\uparrow}^I\rangle$ for $\langle \mathbf{P}_{\parallel,\perp}^W \rangle$ and spin-up neutrons $|n_{\uparrow}^I\rangle$ for $\langle \mathbf{P}_{\parallel,\perp}^Z \rangle$.

Writing generically V_{μ} in the place of Z_{μ} and W_{μ} fields, and keeping nonvanishing terms only, we obtain for the

longitudinal momenta,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^V | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle &= -2\text{Re} \sum_I \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^{V\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathcal{W}_I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^V} \right\rangle \\ &= -2g_V^2 \text{Re} \sum_I \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle j_I^0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{V}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^V} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where g_V is the coupling constant of each field, and $\mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^V(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{W}_I(\mathbf{y})$ are the longitudinal momentum and interaction potential densities, respectively, evaluated between $|n_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$ and the intermediate nucleon state $|I\rangle$. They have been rewritten after the second equality in terms of the 0^{th} -component of the chiral current j_I^0 -i.e., the weak charge density, and the transient chiral current $\mathbf{j}_I(\mathbf{y})$ which goes along the neutron spin. The big brackets stand for the expectation value of the boson field operators in the vacuum. As for the transverse momenta, their net contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^V | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle &= \sum_I \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \frac{\mathcal{W}_I^\dagger(\mathbf{x})}{H_F^V} \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^V \frac{\mathcal{W}_I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^V} \right\rangle \\ &= 2g_V^2 \text{Re} \sum_I \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \frac{j_I^0(\mathbf{x}) V_0^\dagger(\mathbf{x})}{H_F^V} \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^V \frac{\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^V} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Expanding the quadratic vacuum fluctuations of the bosons in terms of normal modes and adding up Eqs.(11) and (12), we arrive at (cf. appendix D)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^V + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^V | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle &= -g_V^2 \text{Re} \sum_I \int d^3x \int d^3y \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\quad \times j_I^0(\mathbf{x}) \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{q^2 + M_V^2} \mathbf{j}_I(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

From Eq.(13) together with Eq.(10) we interpret that the variation of the kinetic momentum of a polarized neutron results from the variation of the (self)interaction between the transient weak charges j_I^0 and chiral currents \mathbf{j}_I of its constituent quarks. The Z and W-bosons which mediate the interaction carry off an equivalent momentum in the opposite direction. Since the chiral currents reverse direction when the quarks' spins are inverted, the spin-flip of a neutron results in a net variation of its kinetic momentum, and explains the equivalent contribution of the two terms in Eq.(10). The finiteness of the calculation is guaranteed by the short-range of the weak nuclear forces. Hence, the momentum integral of Eq.(13) scales as $\sim e^{-|x-y|M_V} / (xyM_V)$ –see appendix D for further explanations. Also worth noting is that Eq.(13) is the EW analog of the longitudinal momentum of the EM field sourced by a chiral molecule, $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$, where the electrostatic field is sourced by the electric charges and the magnetic field is created by their helical currents [11].

Finally, considering that the major contribution from intermediate states comes from the ground states, of neu-

trons for $\mathbf{P}_{\parallel,\perp}^Z$ and protons for $\mathbf{P}_{\parallel,\perp}^W$, the sum of the momenta in Eq.(10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \langle \mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}} \rangle &\simeq \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{-20\pi G_F}{\sqrt{2}R_n^3} \mathcal{F}_n, \quad \text{with} \\ \mathcal{F}_n &= \int d\tilde{r} \tilde{r}^2 [F^2(\tilde{r}) + G^2(\tilde{r})] \left[F^2(\tilde{r}) - \frac{G^2(\tilde{r})}{3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The factor \mathcal{F}_n is a dimensionless integral which depends on the upper and lower components of the quarks' ground state wavefunction, $\psi_{u,d}^{0,\uparrow} \approx (F(\tilde{r})_{\uparrow} \ G(\tilde{r})_{\uparrow})$, with $\tilde{r} = r/R_n$. In particular, for the MIT-bag relativistic model, the total variation of the neutron velocity along the spin-flip is 13 m/s, while for the nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator it is 7 m/s approximately.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

From a fundamental perspective, if this effect is verified experimentally, it would demonstrate the existence of net internal forces in a system governed by chiral interactions. The apparent contradiction with the action-reaction principle is resolved by considering the momentum transferred to the virtual bosons which mediate the interaction. In this respect, net internal forces have also been found theoretically in binary systems of excited atoms [17]. However, the virtual character of the mediating photons is there not clear since they are resonant. In the present case, Z and W-bosons are undoubtedly virtual.

Concerning the accuracy of our estimate and its dependence on the hadron model, such a dependence enters Eq.(14) through the spatial wavefunctions in \mathcal{F}_n only. That means that the energy spectrum of the nucleons is irrelevant, as the energy intervals are negligible in Eqs.(11), (12) in comparison to the boson energies in the denominators. Therefore, any refinement upon our simplified models of the sort of one-gluon-exchange potentials, spin-spin couplings, etc. [18], would be relevant in considering their impact in the quarks' wavefunctions only. More relevant is the spin structure of the neutron, which has an impact in the spin-flavour functions of $|n_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^0\rangle$ as well as in the orbital angular momentum of the spatial wavefunctions in \mathcal{F}_n . We have considered the simplest wavefunction in Eq.(9), but from the advent of the *spin crisis* [19] it is recognized that the total spin of nucleons is not equal to the sum of the spins of the valence quarks. In this respect, our finding may be used to probe the hadronic structure and the angular momentum content of the nucleons, and as a test for hadron models. Hence, the validity of our estimate for the velocity reduces to its orders of magnitude. More realistic models for nucleons are needed to improve its accuracy [20]. Ultracold neutron traps and neutron spectroscopy are the experimental techniques proposed for its observation [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank B. van Tiggelen and G. Rikken for fruitful discussions on the fundamentals of the phenomenon,

R. Ballou for enlightening discussions on the experimental techniques for its observation, and A. Cano for his hospitality at Institut Néel. Financial support from the NextGenerationEU funds, through the Spanish Ministerio de Universidades, is acknowledged.

Appendix A: Hadron confinement models

For simplicity, two effective models of hadron confinement have been considered in the article for the numerical estimations, namely, that of the MIT-bag for valence and relativistic quarks [13], and the harmonic-oscillator model for constituent and nonrelativistic quarks [14]. Formally, the only remarkable difference is that only the latter allows for an explicit separation between internal and external degrees of freedom.

1. Relativistic MIT-bag model

In the MIT-bag model (B), the Lagrangian density of Ref.[13] for the three valence quarks bound in the neutron is, in the massless approximation,

$$\mathcal{L}_0^B = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[\frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi}_j \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_j - (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_j) \gamma^\mu \psi_j) - B \right] \Theta(|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}| - R_n) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_j \psi_j \delta(|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}| - R_n). \quad (\text{A1})$$

From this Lagrangian, one derives the Hamiltonian,

$$H_n^B = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3 r_j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma^k \partial_k \psi_j \Theta(|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}| - R_n), \quad (\text{A2})$$

together with the boundary conditions,

$$-i \hat{\mathbf{R}}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \psi_j |_{\partial\Omega_n} = \psi_j |_{\partial\Omega_n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{R}}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{\psi}_j \psi_j) |_{\partial\Omega_n} = -2B. \quad (\text{A3})$$

In the above equations the subscript j runs over the three valence quarks, with position vectors \mathbf{r}_j , \mathbf{R} being the center of the bag; $\{\psi_j = \psi(\mathbf{r}_j)\}$ are Dirac spinors; R_n is the static radius of the spherical cavity with outwards unitary vector $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ at the surface $\partial\Omega_n$. The linear boundary condition in Eq.(A3) implies no current flow through the surface, whereas the nonlinear one implies the balance between the outwards pressure of the quarks and the inward QCD vacuum pressure B at the surface.

The components of the spinor eigenfunctions $\{\psi(\mathbf{r}_j)\}$ can be split in upper and lower components, each one labeled with four common numbers, n , κ , J and J_3 ; and different orbital angular momentum numbers, l and l' .

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{n\kappa}(r) \mathcal{Y}_{l,1/2}^{J,J_3}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \\ i G_{n\kappa}(r) \mathcal{Y}_{l',1/2}^{J,J_3}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{N}_{n,\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} j_l(\mathcal{E}_{n,\kappa} r) \mathcal{Y}_{l,1/2}^{J,J_3}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \\ (-1)^\eta i j_{l'}(\mathcal{E}_{n,\kappa} r) \mathcal{Y}_{l',1/2}^{J,J_3}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In these equations $\mathcal{N}_{n,\kappa}$ is a normalization constant, $j_{l(\cdot)}$ are spherical Bessel functions, $\mathcal{Y}_{l(\cdot),1/2}^{J,J_3}$ are spinor spherical harmonics, and we use a representation in which Dirac's matrices are given by

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_2 \\ \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\mathcal{E}_{n,\kappa}$ is the n -eigenenergy value, i.e., the n^{th} solution of a transcendental equation for certain eigenvalue κ of the operator $\mathcal{K} = \gamma_0(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{L} + \mathbb{I}) = \gamma_0(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{J} - \mathbb{I}/2)$, which derives from the equations of the boundary conditions. For massless quarks,

$$j_l(\mathcal{E}_{n,\kappa} R_n) = (-1)^\eta j_{l+1}(\mathcal{E}_{n,\kappa} R_n), \quad \text{with } \eta = 0 \text{ for } \kappa < 0, \eta = 1 \text{ for } \kappa > 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The number κ may take positive and negative values which depend on the total angular momentum J , $\kappa = \pm(J+1/2)$. The orbital angular momenta are $l = -(\kappa + 1)$ and $l' = -\kappa$ for $\kappa < 0$; and $l = \kappa$, $l' = \kappa - 1$ for $\kappa > 0$. In particular, the wavefunctions of the first two levels with $J = 1/2$ and opposite parity (i.e., $1S_{1/2}$ and $1P_{1/2}$ states) are

$$\psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{N}_{1,-1} \begin{pmatrix} j_0(\mathcal{E}_{1,-1}r) \chi_s \\ i j_1(\mathcal{E}_{1,-1}r) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{1,+1}^s(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{N}_{1,+1} \begin{pmatrix} j_1(\mathcal{E}_{1,+1}r) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi_s \\ -i j_0(\mathcal{E}_{1,+1}r) \chi_s \end{pmatrix},$$

where χ_s are unit two-component spinors, $s = +$ for spin-up \uparrow , and $s = -$ for spin-down \downarrow ; and the eigenenergies are $\mathcal{E}_{1,-1} = 2.04/R_n$, $\mathcal{E}_{1,+1} = 3.81/R_n$. It is $\psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{r}_j)$ the one to be identified with $\psi_{f_j}^{0,s_j}$ for any valence quark j of flavour f_j and spin s_j , in the wavefunction of the neutron ground state –see below.

Finally, in order to fit the nucleon radius for any combination of wavefunctions of the three valence quarks, one requires the balance between the internal pressure exerted by the quarks and the external vacuum pressure, $-B$, at the surface of the bag. This implies the boundary condition

$$\left. \frac{d\mathcal{E}_{tot}}{dr} \right|_{\partial\Omega_n} = \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} B r^3 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{E}^j R_n / r - z_0 / r \right] \Big|_{r=R_n} = 0, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where \mathcal{E}^j is the energy of the wavefunction of quark j and z_0 is a numerical parameter of value 1.5 approximately which weights the contribution of an additional QCD vacuum energy needed to fit the mass spectrum together with the value of $B^{1/4} \approx 145 \text{ MeV}$ [2, 22]. Note that the normalization constants $\mathcal{N}_{n,\kappa}$ of each quark's wavefunction depend on the radius of the whole nucleus computed this way.

2. Nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator model

As for the nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator (HO) model, its Hamiltonian is

$$H_n^{\text{HO}} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int d^3 r_j \psi_j^\dagger \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{4} \sum_{k<j}^3 \int d^3 r_k \right. \\ \left. \times \psi_k^\dagger |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|^2 \psi_k \right] \psi_j, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where the masses m and the oscillator frequencies ω are equivalent for the three constituent quarks [24]. In the nonrelativistic limit, the lower component of the Dirac spinors is negligible, and the spin-space eigenfunctions of the quarks can be approximated by tensor products of complex scalar functions and two-component spinors. Further, the above Hamiltonian is separable in terms of Jacobi's coordinates. The EW interactions take place at the location of one of the constituent quarks at a time. We refer to it as *active quark* and denote its position vector by \mathbf{r}_A . The positions of the remaining quarks, i.e., the *spectators*, will be denoted by \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 in the laboratory frame. Their corresponding conjugate momenta are \mathbf{p}_A , \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 , respectively. The Jacobi position vectors and corresponding conjugate momenta read [12, 23],

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_A}{3}, \quad \mathbf{r}_\rho = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1, \quad \mathbf{r}_\lambda = \mathbf{r}_A - \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2}{2}, \\ \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_A, \quad \mathbf{p}_\rho = \frac{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{p}_\lambda = \frac{2\mathbf{p}_A - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}{3},$$

where \mathbf{R} is the centre of mass of the nucleon and \mathbf{p} its conjugate momentum. As for the active quark, its position vector \mathbf{r}_A reads, in terms of Jacobi's coordinates, $\mathbf{r}_A = \mathbf{R} + 2\mathbf{r}_\lambda/3$. In terms of Jacobi's coordinates and momenta, the Hamiltonian is

$$H_n^{\text{HO}} = p^2/6m + p_\rho^2/m + 3p_\lambda^2/4m + m\omega^2(r_\rho^2/4 + r_\lambda^2/3). \quad (\text{A7})$$

The eigenfunctions in terms of Jacobi's coordinates reduce to the product of the wavefunctions of two independent and isotropic harmonic oscillators times plane wavefunctions for the free motion of the center of mass. In particular, the wavefunction for the ground state of the neutron with total conjugate momentum \mathbf{p} is

$$\Psi_n^{0,s}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}_\lambda, \mathbf{r}_\rho) = \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{R}}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{\beta^3}{3^{3/4}\pi^{3/2}} e^{-\beta^2 r_\lambda^2/3} e^{-\beta^2 r_\rho^2/4} \chi_s,$$

with $\beta = \sqrt{m\omega}$, \mathcal{V} being an infinite volume, and χ_s a unit two-component spinor. Using the above formula for the calculation of $\Delta(\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}})$, integrating in \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{r}_ρ , getting back to the coordinates of the laboratory frame with $\tilde{x} \equiv 2r_\lambda/3R_n$, and taking $R_n = 2\beta^{-1}\sqrt{2/3\pi}$ as the mean distance of the active quark to the center of the neutron, we find out $F(\tilde{x}) = (2/\pi)^{3/2}e^{-2\tilde{x}^2/\pi}$ in the expression for \mathcal{F}_n in Eq.(15). Note also that, for consistency with the actual values of the mass and the radius of the neutron, $\omega \approx 0.4 m$, which implies that excited states would be inconsistent with the nonrelativistic assumption and thus are to be discarded.

Appendix B: Spin-flip procedure and EM momentum

It is mentioned in the article that a simple and realistic manner to invert the spin of the neutron in a coherent manner is by means of a π -pulse with a radiofrequency (RF) field. Here, we detail the features of this field as well as its action upon the neutron.

Let us consider a free neutron initially polarized along the $-\hat{z}$ direction in the presence of an intense constant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0\hat{z}$. To invert the spin, an additional magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 is introduced which rotates at the Larmor frequency $\omega_0 = -\gamma_n B_0$ in the xy plane, with γ_n being the neutron gyromagnetic ratio, $\mathbf{B}_1(t) = B_1[\cos(\omega_0 t)\hat{x} + \sin(\omega_0 t)\hat{y}]$. This field is circularly polarized around \hat{z} , and its strength B_1 is much weaker than B_0 . The resultant external EM vector potential can be written as

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}} = \frac{B_0}{2}(-y\hat{x} + x\hat{y}) + \frac{B_1}{\omega_0}[\cos(k_0 z - \omega_0 t)\hat{x} - \sin(k_0 z - \omega_0 t)\hat{y}], \quad k_0 z \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{B1})$$

with photon density $\mathcal{N}_\gamma = B_1^2/\omega_0$. The coupling of these photons to the constituent/valence quarks is through the Hamiltonian \mathcal{W}_{EM} . All in all that interaction simplifies to $W_{EM} \approx -\gamma_n \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}/2$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ applies to the two-component spinor wavefunction of the neutron, $\alpha_1|n \uparrow\rangle + \alpha_2|n \downarrow\rangle$, $|\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 = 1$, where the spin-flavour wavefunction of Eq.(10) is [1]

$$|n \downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \left[|u \downarrow d \uparrow d \downarrow\rangle + |d \downarrow d \uparrow u \downarrow\rangle + |d \uparrow d \downarrow u \downarrow\rangle + |d \uparrow u \downarrow d \downarrow\rangle + |u \downarrow d \downarrow d \uparrow\rangle + |d \downarrow u \downarrow d \uparrow\rangle \right. \\ \left. - 2(|u \uparrow d \downarrow d \downarrow\rangle + |d \downarrow u \uparrow d \downarrow\rangle + |d \downarrow d \downarrow u \uparrow\rangle) \right], \quad (\text{B2})$$

and likewise for $|n \uparrow\rangle$ with all the spins reversed –the superscript 0 in the expression for $|n_\uparrow^0\rangle$ in the article refers to the $l = 0$ ground state of the spatial wavefunctions. Further, replacing \mathbf{B} in W_{EM} with the classical expression of $\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_1$ or using the quantum expression for \mathbf{B} and the EM states containing the photons of the field \mathbf{B}_1 otherwise, one can apply time-dependent perturbation theory and compute an effective Rabi (R) Hamiltonian for the interaction between the RF field and the neutron. That is,

$$W_{EM}^R \approx -\frac{\omega_0}{2}|n \downarrow\rangle\langle n \downarrow| + \frac{\omega_0}{2}|n \uparrow\rangle\langle n \uparrow| + -\frac{\omega_1}{2}(|n \downarrow\rangle\langle n \uparrow| + |n \uparrow\rangle\langle n \downarrow|), \quad (\text{B3})$$

where $\omega_1 = -\gamma_n B_1$ is the Rabi frequency. This Hamiltonian accounts for an infinite number of interaction processes involving multiple and alternate absorptions and re-emissions of photons of the field \mathbf{B}_1 . Starting with the neutron ground state $|n_\downarrow^0\rangle$ at the initial time $t_0 = 0$, the neutron spin wavefunction reads, at a later time t ,

$$|n^0(t)\rangle = \cos(\omega_1 t/2)|n_\downarrow^0\rangle - i \sin(\omega_1 t/2)e^{-i\omega_0 t}|n_\uparrow^0\rangle, \quad (\text{B4})$$

In turn, this makes the total neutron spin oscillate at frequency ω_1 ,

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_n(t) \rangle = \frac{-1}{2} [\sin(\omega_1 t) \sin(\omega_0 t)\hat{x} - \sin(\omega_1 t) \cos(\omega_0 t)\hat{y} + \cos(\omega_1 t)\hat{z}], \quad (\text{B5})$$

inverting its direction in a time interval π/ω_1 and absorbing one of the photons of frequency ω_0 and momentum $k_0\hat{z}$. Since the EM interaction is parity invariant, in the computation of $\Delta(\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}})$ along the spin-flip the transfer of EM momentum takes place only through the absorption of an actual photon of frequency ω_0 ,

$$\langle n_\downarrow^0, N_\gamma | \mathbf{P}_\perp^A | n_\downarrow^0, N_\gamma \rangle - \langle n_\uparrow^0, N_\gamma - 1 | \mathbf{P}_\perp^A | n_\uparrow^0, N_\gamma - 1 \rangle = k_0\hat{z}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Typical values for ω_0 in experiments range between 10^5 and 10^8 Hz, which corresponds to a variation of the neutron velocity of the order of 10^{-10} - 10^{-7} m/s, which is negligibly small in comparison to that provided by the virtual Z and W-bosons

Appendix C: Quantum perturbative calculation

As indicated in the article, the calculation of the right-hand-side of Eq.(11) for $\Delta\langle\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}}\rangle$ is performed in the framework of time-dependent quantum perturbation theory in Schrödinger's picture. Also, since the frequency of the spin oscillations, ω_1 , is much smaller than any transition frequency and decay rate of the intermediate processes involved, the calculation is adiabatic with respect to the spin dynamics. In good approximation, the transition frequencies correspond to the bosons' masses, $M_Z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, $M_W = 80.4 \text{ GeV}$, and their decay rates are $\Gamma_Z \simeq 2.5 \text{ GeV}$, $\Gamma_W \simeq 2.1 \text{ GeV}$, satisfying $M_{Z,W} \gg \Gamma_{W,Z} \gg \omega_1$. Defining the non-perturbative Hamiltonian H_0 as that made of the neutron Hamiltonian, the Hamiltonians of the free boson fields and the effective Rabi Hamiltonian which causes the oscillations of the neutron spin, $H_0 = H_F^A + H_F^Z + H_F^{W^\pm} + H_n + W_{EM}^R$, the nonperturbative time-evolution propagator is $\mathbb{U}_0(\tau) = \exp(-i\tau H_0)$. At leading order in the perturbative potentials, \mathcal{W}_{nc} and \mathcal{W}_{cc} , the expectation values of the longitudinal momenta of Z and W-bosons are computed at first order, while those of the transverse momenta are computed at second order. These are, respectively,

$$\langle\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z,W}}(t)\rangle = 2\text{Re}\langle n_{\downarrow}^0 | \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger(t) \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z,W}} \int_0^t -i d\tau e^{-\Gamma_{Z,W}(t-\tau)/2} \mathbb{U}_0(t-\tau) W_{nc,cc} \mathbb{U}_0(\tau) | n_{\downarrow}^0 \rangle, \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{\text{Z,W}}(t)\rangle &= \langle n_{\downarrow}^0 | \int_0^t d\tau' e^{-\Gamma_{Z,W}(t-\tau')/2} \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger(\tau') W_{nc,cc}^\dagger \mathbb{U}_0^\dagger(t-\tau') \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{\text{Z,W}} \\ &\times \int_0^t d\tau e^{-\Gamma_{Z,W}(t-\tau)/2} \mathbb{U}_0(t-\tau) W_{nc,cc} \mathbb{U}_0(\tau) | n_{\downarrow}^0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

The variation of the kinetic momentum all along the spin-flip, $\Delta\langle\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}}\rangle$, comprises the difference between the evaluation of the above equations for $t \rightarrow \pi/\omega_1$ (i.e., for $|n(\pi/\omega_1)\rangle = |n_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$) and $t \rightarrow 0^+$ with $t\Gamma_{Z,W} \gg 1$ (i.e., for $|n(0)\rangle = |n_{\downarrow}^0\rangle$). The diagrams of Fig.1 depict two of the processes contributing to $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{W}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(a)] and $\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{\text{Z}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle$ [(b)]. Note that a physically equivalent calculation consists of implementing the spin-flip process adiabatically. To do so it suffices to replace in the above formulas the attenuating exponential factors $e^{-\Gamma_{Z,W}(t-\tau)/2}$ with $e^{-\eta(t-\tau)/2}$, with $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$, and to replace the initial time 0 with $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $-\eta t_0 \gg 1$.

Appendix D: Calculation of $\Delta\langle\mathbf{P}_{\text{kin}}^{\text{sf}}\rangle$

The evaluation of Eq.(C1) at $t = \pi/\omega_1$ yields, in each case,

$$\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle = -2\text{Re} \sum_I \left\langle \frac{\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z}} | n_I \rangle \langle n_I | W_{nc} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle}{H_F^Z + \mathcal{E}_I - m_n - i\Gamma_{Z/2}} \right\rangle, \quad \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{W}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle = -2\text{Re} \sum_I \left\langle \frac{\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{W}} | p_I \rangle \langle p_I | W_{cc} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle}{H_F^W + \mathcal{E}_I - m_n - i\Gamma_{W/2}} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{D1})$$

where the big brackets denote the expectation value of the boson field operators in the vacuum state. As for the intermediate nucleon states, labeled with the script I , they are neutron states $\{|n_I\rangle\}$ for $\langle\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z}}\rangle$ and protons $\{|p_I\rangle\}$ for $\langle\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{W}}\rangle$, with energies \mathcal{E}_I . The terms $\mathcal{E}_I - m_n - i\Gamma_{Z,W}/2$ in the denominators of Eq.(D1) are negligible, since they are much less than the boson masses $M_{Z,W}$. Next, it can be verified that, for the MIT-bag model, the overlap between the spatial wavefunction of the ground state and those of excited states is small. On the other hand, in the HO model the excited states exceed the nonrelativistic limit. Hence, it suffices hereafter to consider only the spin-up ground states of neutrons and protons in the sums over intermediate states, $|n_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$ and $|p_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$. Disregarding the irrelevant color function, the wavefunction of the neutron is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{n_{\uparrow}}^0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} \left[\psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) \right. \\ &+ \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,+}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_3) \\ &\left. - 2(\psi_{1,-1}^{u,-}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,-}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_3) + \psi_{1,-1}^{d,+}(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{1,-1}^{d,-}(\mathbf{r}_2) \psi_{1,-1}^{u,-}(\mathbf{r}_3)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2})$$

and likewise for $\Psi_{p_{\uparrow}}^0$ but for the exchange $d \leftrightarrow u$. Taking all this into account, Eq.(D1) can be written as

$$\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{Z}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle = \frac{-g}{\cos\theta_W} \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^{\text{Z}\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{nc}^I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^Z} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{D3})$$

$$\langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^{\text{W}} | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle = -\sqrt{2}g \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^{\text{W}\pm \text{dagger}}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathbf{W}^\mp(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{cc}^{I\dagger}(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^W} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{D4})$$

where the transient momentum density vectors and currents are evaluated between the initial state $|n_\uparrow^0\rangle$ and $|I\rangle = \{|n_\uparrow^0\rangle, |p_\uparrow^0\rangle\}$ in each case,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^{Zdagger}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} j_{nc,v}^{0,I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_W} (g_v^u + 2g_v^d) \psi_{1,-1}^{s'\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{ss'}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{D5})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\parallel,I}^{W^\pm dagger}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} j_{cc,v}^{0,I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{W}^\pm(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \psi_{1,-1}^{s'\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{W}^\pm(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{ss'}. \quad (\text{D6})$$

Note that the weak charge density factors are only vector-like (v), $j_{nc/cc,v}^{0,I}$, which are accompanied by delta functions of spin conservation, and whose signs do not depend on the spin orientation. Using the nomenclature outlined for the MIT-bag wavefunctions, $|\psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{x})|^2 = F_{1,-1}^2(x) + G_{1,-1}^2(x)$. As for the transient currents, they are axial currents which also preserve the spin. Their only nonvanishing contributions come from their components along the neutron spin, i.e., along $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{nc}^I(\mathbf{y}) &= -\frac{4g_a^d - g_a^u}{3} \bar{\psi}_{1,-1}^{s'}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^3 \gamma_5 \psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{y}) \delta_{ss'}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{cc}^{I(\dagger)}(\mathbf{y}) &= -\frac{5}{6} \bar{\psi}_{1,-1}^{s'}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^3 \gamma_5 \psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{y}) \delta_{ss'}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D7})$$

These currents are the result of the addition of the contributions of each active quark with position vector \mathbf{y} , whose signs do depend on the spin orientation, + for \uparrow and - for \downarrow , $\bar{\psi}_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^3 \gamma_5 \psi_{1,-1}^s(\mathbf{y}) = F_{1,-1}^2(y) + \cos 2\theta_y G_{1,-1}^2(y) (\delta_{s+} - \delta_{s-})$ [25]. It is the prefactors of the above equations that account for the combination of the different quark spins and weights of each of the components of $|n_\uparrow^0\rangle$ and $|p_\uparrow^0\rangle$. It is worth noting that the combination of transient vector-like charges and transient axial-like currents is a consequence of the fact that the quarks' wavefunctions have all the same parity in $\Psi_{n_\uparrow}^0$ and $\Psi_{p_\uparrow}^0$. If they had different parity the combination would be axial-like charges with vector-like currents instead –eg., for a transition between states with wavefunctions $\psi_{1,-1}^s$ and $\psi_{1,+1}^s$.

Writing now the quadratic vacuum fluctuations of the boson fields in terms of normal modes and replacing the field Hamiltonian by their corresponding eigenvalues [3],

$$\left\langle V_\mu^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{H_F^V} V_\nu(\mathbf{y}) \right\rangle = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{-2(\mathcal{E}_q^V)^2} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{M_V^2} \right), \quad V = \{Z, W^\pm\}, \text{ and } \eta_{\mu\nu} \text{ being the Minkowski metric,} \quad (\text{D8})$$

and inserting the values for $g_{v,a}^{u,d}$ [1] we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\parallel^Z | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} 5g^2}{24 \cos^2 \theta_W} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3x \int d^3y \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \left(1 + \frac{q_z^2}{M_Z^2}\right)}{2(q^2 + M_Z^2)} \\ &\quad \times [F_{1,-1}^2(x) + G_{1,-1}^2(x)] [F_{1,-1}^2(y) + \cos 2\theta_y G_{1,-1}^2(y)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\parallel^W | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} 5g^2}{12} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3x \int d^3y \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \left(1 + \frac{q_z^2}{M_W^2}\right)}{2(q^2 + M_W^2)} \\ &\quad \times [F_{1,-1}^2(x) + G_{1,-1}^2(x)] [F_{1,-1}^2(y) + \cos 2\theta_y G_{1,-1}^2(y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

Likewise, the evaluation of Eq.(C2) at $t = \pi/\omega_1$ yields

$$\langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\perp^Z | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle = \sum_I \left\langle \frac{\langle n_\uparrow^0 | W_{nc} | n_I \rangle \mathbf{P}_\perp^Z \langle n_I | W_{nc} | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle}{(H_F^Z + \mathcal{E}_I - m_n)^2 + \Gamma_Z^2} \right\rangle, \quad \langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\perp^W | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle = \sum_I \left\langle \frac{\langle n_\uparrow^0 | W_{cc} | p_I \rangle \mathbf{P}_\perp^W \langle p_I | W_{cc} | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle}{(H_F^W + \mathcal{E}_I - m_n)^2 + \Gamma_W^2} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{D11})$$

which, restricting the sums over intermediate nucleon states to $|n_I\rangle = |n_\uparrow^0\rangle$, $|p_I\rangle = |p_\uparrow^0\rangle$ in either case, give

$$\langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\perp^Z | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle = \frac{g^2}{2 \cos^2 \theta_W} \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \frac{j_{nc,v}^{0,I}(\mathbf{x}) Z_0(\mathbf{x})}{H_F^Z} \mathbf{P}_\perp^Z \frac{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{nc}^I(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^Z} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{D12})$$

$$\langle n_\uparrow^0 | \mathbf{P}_\perp^W | n_\uparrow^0 \rangle = g^2 \int d^3x \int d^3y \left\langle \frac{j_{cc,v}^{0,I}(\mathbf{x}) W_0^\pm(\mathbf{x})}{H_F^W} \mathbf{P}_\perp^W \frac{\mathbf{W}^\mp(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{cc}^{I(\dagger)}(\mathbf{y})}{H_F^W} \right\rangle. \quad (\text{D13})$$

Analogous steps to the ones taken for the longitudinal momenta lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^Z | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle &= \frac{-\hat{\mathbf{z}}5g^2}{24 \cos^2 \theta_W} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 x \int d^3 y \frac{q_z^2 e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{2M_Z^2(q^2 + M_Z^2)} \\ &\quad \times [F_{1-1}^2(x) + G_{1-1}^2(x)][F_{1-1}^2(y) + \cos 2\theta_y G_{1-1}^2(y)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D14})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_{\uparrow}^0 | \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^W | n_{\uparrow}^0 \rangle &= \frac{-\hat{\mathbf{z}}5g^2}{12} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 x \int d^3 y \frac{q_z^2 e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{2M_W^2(q^2 + M_W^2)} \\ &\quad \times [F_{1-1}^2(x) + G_{1-1}^2(x)][F_{1-1}^2(y) + \cos 2\theta_y G_{1-1}^2(y)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D15})$$

By comparing Eqs.(D9) and (D10) with Eqs.(D14) and (D15) we note that the transverse momenta cancel out identically with the second q -dependent terms of the longitudinal momenta. Finally, we calculate the remaining integrals that account for the (self)interaction of the transient weak charges with the chiral currents, mediated by Z and W -bosons. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 x \int d^3 y \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{q^2 + M_V^2} \mathcal{M}(x)\mathcal{N}(y) &= \int dx x^2 \int dy y^2 \frac{2\pi}{M_V xy} \left[e^{-M_V|x-y|} - e^{-M_V(x+y)} \right] \mathcal{M}(x)\mathcal{N}(y) \\ &\simeq \frac{4\pi}{M_V} \int dx x^2 \mathcal{M}(x)\mathcal{N}(x), \quad V = \{Z, W^{\pm}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D16})$$

where in the last approximation we have made use of the fact that the integrals extend over a distance of the order of the neutron radius, and $R_n \gg 1/M_V$. The insertion of this formula into Eqs.(D9), (D10), (D14) and (D15) leads to the formula for \mathcal{F}_n of Eq.(15). The numerical estimations were made there, for the MIT-bag model, with $F_{1-1}(x) = j_0(2.04 x/R_n)$, $G_{1-1}(x) = j_1(2.04 x/R_n)$ and $R_n = 1.1$ fm.

-
- [1] J.F. Donoghe, E. Golowich and B.R. Holstein, *Dynamics of the Standard Model*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022); J.C. Burgess and G. Moore, *The Standard Model: A Primer*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).
- [2] W. Greiner and B. Müller, *Gauge Theory of Weak Interactions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996).
- [3] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press, Chicago (1995); C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw-Hill Inc. (1980).
- [4] C.S. Wu, E. Ambler, R.W. Hayward, D.D. Hoppes, and R.P. Hudson, *Experimental Test of Parity Conservation in Beta Decay*, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1413 (1957).
- [5] J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman and H.W. Wyld. Jr., *Possible Test of Time Reversal Invariance in Beta Decay*, Phys. Rev. **106**, 517 (1957); J.S. Nico, *Neutron Beta Decay*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **36**, 104001 (2009); M.S. Sozzi, *Discrete Symmetries and CP Violation. From Experiment to Theory*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York (2008).
- [6] P. Souder and K.D. Paschke, *Parity Violation in Electron Scattering*, Front. Phys. **11**, 111301 (2016); J. Erler, C.J. Horowitz, S. Mantry and P. A. Souder, *Weak Polarized Electron Scattering*, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **64**, 269 (2014).
- [7] A. Feigel, *Quantum Vacuum Contribution to the Momentum of Dielectric Media*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 020404 (2004).
- [8] B.A. van Tiggelen, G.L.J.A. Rikken and V. Krstić, *Momentum Transfer from Quantum Vacuum to Magneto-electric Matter*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 130402 (2006); O.A. Croze, *Alternative derivation of the Feigel effect and call for its experimental verification*, Proc. R. Soc. A **468**, 429 (2012).
- [9] S. Kawka and B.A. van Tiggelen, *Quantum Electrodynamics of Casimir Momentum: Momentum of the Quantum Vacuum?*, EPL **89**, 11002 (2010). B.A. van Tiggelen, S. Kawka and G.L.J.A. Rikken, *QED Corrections to the Electromagnetic Abraham Force. Casimir Momentum of the Hydrogen Atom?*, Eur. Phys. J. D **66**, 272 (2012).
- [10] M. Donaire, B.A. van Tiggelen and G.L.J.A. Rikken, *Casimir Momentum of a Chiral Molecule in a Magnetic Field*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 143602 (2013).
- [11] M. Donaire, B.A. van Tiggelen and G.L.J.A. Rikken, *Transfer of linear momentum from the quantum vacuum to a magnetochiral molecule*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **27**, 214002 (2015).
- [12] M. Donaire, *Acceleration of an Unpolarized Proton along a Uniform Magnetic Field: Casimir Momentum of Leptons*, JHEP **10**, 041 (2019).
- [13] A. Chodos, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B Thorn and V.F. Weisskopf, *New Extended Model of Hadrons*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3471 (1974); A. Chodos, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and C.B Thorn, *Baryon Structure in the Bag Theory*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2599 (1974); P. Hasenfratz and J. Kuti, *The Quark Bag Model*, Phys. Rep. **40**, 75 (1978).
- [14] N. Isgur and G. Karl, *P-wave Baryons in the Quark Model*, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4187 (1978); N. Isgur and G. Karl, *Positive-Parity Excited Baryons in a Quark Model with Hyperfine Interactions*, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2653 (1979); N. Isgur, *Mesonlike baryons and the spin-orbit*

- puzzle, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014025 (2000).
- [15] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and Atoms. Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics* (WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2004), Ch. I.B.5.
- [16] Despite being written in terms of gauge fields, the longitudinal momenta are gauge-invariant vectors. For instance, for the EM field $\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}^A = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ [15].
- [17] M. Donaire, *Net Force on an Asymmetrically Excited Two-Atom System from Vacuum Fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 062701 (2016).; J. Sánchez-Cánovas and M. Donaire, *Two-Atom van der Waals Forces with One Atom Excited: The Identical-Atom Limit*, Phys. Rev. A **104**, 052814 (2021).
- [18] A. de Rújula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, *Hadron Masses in a Gauge Theory*, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975); E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K.D.L. ane and T.M. Yan, *Charmonium: Comparison with Experiment*, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 203 (1980); E. Eichten, S. Godfrey, H. Mahlke, J.L. Rosner, *Quarkonia and their Transitions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1161 (2008); G. Yang, J. Ping and J. Segovia, *Tetra- and Penta-Quark Structures in the Constituent Quark Model*, Symmetry **12**, 1869 (2020).
- [19] J. Ashman, *et al.* (The European Muon Collaboration), *A Measurement of the Spin Asymmetry and Determination of the Structure Function g_1 in Deep Inelastic Muon-Proton Scattering*, Phys. Lett. B **206**, 364 (1988); J. Ashman, *et al.* (The European Muon Collaboration), *An Investigation of the Spin Structure of the Proton in Deep Inelastic Scattering of Polarised Muons on Polarised Protons*, Nucl. Phys. B **328**, 1 (1989); N.J. Abdulameer *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), *Measurement of Direct-Photon Cross Section and Double-Helicity Asymmetry at $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV in $\bar{p} + \bar{p}$ Collisions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 251901 (2023); L.E. Gordon and W. Vogelsang, *Polarized and Unpolarized Isolated Prompt Photon Production Beyond the Leading Order*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 1901 (1994).
- [20] A. W. Thomas and W. Weise, *The Structure of the Nucleon*, Wiley-VCH, Berlin, Germany (2001); A.W. Thomas, S. Theberge, and G.A. Miller, *The Cloudy Bag Model of the Nucleon*, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 216 (1981); F. Myhrer and A.W. Thomas, *A possible resolution of the proton spin problem*, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 302 (2008); A. Deur, S.J. Brodsky, G.F. De T eramond, *The Spin Structure of the Nucleon*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **82**, 076201 (2019); R.L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, *The g_1 Problem: Deep Inelastic Electron Scattering and the Spin of the Proton*, Nucl. Phys. B **337**, 506 (1990); R.L. Jaffe and H.J. Lipkin, *The Spin on the Sea in a Simple Quark Model*, Phys. Lett. B **266**, 458 (1991); R.L. Jaffe, *Gluon Spin in the Nucleon*, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 359 (1996).
- [21] R. Ballou, private communication.
- [22] K. Johnson, *The MIT Bag Model*, Acta Phys. Pol. **B6**, 865 (1975).
- [23] L. Conci and M. Traini, *Quark Momentum Distribution in Nucleons*, Few-Body Systems, **8**, 123 (1990).
- [24] For consistency, the interaction Hamiltonians with the gauge fields are also to be modified in the nonrelativistic limit. However, since we are only interested in the spatial wavefunction of the nucleon, it suffices to consider this limit upon H_n^{HO} only.
- [25] In Eqs. (D5)-(D7), the spatial wavefunctions $\psi_{1,-1}^{s(\prime)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ refer to those of the individual valence quarks. They are common to all the quarks in the states $|n_{\uparrow\downarrow}^0\rangle, |p_{\uparrow\downarrow}^0\rangle$, but for their spin. The net result of the spin difference on the evaluation of the axial currents is the multiplicative prefactors which account for the combination of up and down quarks in each of the three-quark components of those states. Thus, the remaining factor depends only on the net spin of the initial state, i.e., $|n_{\uparrow}^0\rangle$ in our case. Hence, it must be understood that $s = +$ in all those equations.