

Magnetobaryogenesis in the presence of weak sphalerons from overlapping transient fluctuations in temperature and vorticity

S. Abbaslu^{*1,2}, S. Rostam Zadeh^{†2} and S. S. Gousheh^{‡1},

¹*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran*

²*School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

September 6, 2023

Abstract: We show how the temperature-dependent chiral vortical effect can generate hypermagnetic fields and matter-antimatter asymmetries, in the symmetric phase of the early Universe, in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$, even in the presence of the weak sphaleron processes. We take into account all perturbative chirality-flip processes, as well as the nonperturbative Abelian and non-Abelian anomalous effects. By considering the resulting constraints and conservation laws in the plasma, we reduce the number of required evolution equations. We also simplify the anomalous transport coefficients, accordingly. We then show that, due to the overlapping small transient fluctuations in the temperature of some matter degrees of freedom and vorticity of the plasma, the emergent chiral vortical current leads to the generation of strong hypermagnetic fields and matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values. We obtain the baryon asymmetry $\eta_B \simeq 5 \times 10^{-10}$ and a positive helicity hypermagnetic field with the amplitude $B_Y(x) \simeq 10^{19}G$, at the onset of the electroweak phase transition. Although the sphaleron processes tend to washout the generated $(B+L)$ asymmetry, the hypermagnetic field impedes the washout process, and the baryogenesis and leptogenesis occur without $(B-L)$ violation.

1 Introduction

One of the open problems in modern cosmology and particle physics is the baryon asymmetry of the Universe. In the current cosmological models it is assumed

*s_abbasluo@sbu.ac.ir

†sh_rostamzadeh@ipm.ir

‡ss-gousheh@sbu.ac.ir

that matter and antimatter are created equally at the time of Big Bang. However, observations indicate that our observable Universe, out to the Hubble size, is made almost entirely of matter. The measured amplitude of the baryon asymmetry is of the order of $\eta_B \sim 10^{-10}$ [1–3]. Although many studies have been conducted in this regard, the origin of this asymmetry is still under debate [4–19]. In all CPT invariant models, the following three necessary Sakharov conditions should be satisfied for producing the baryon asymmetry [20]: baryon number violation, C and CP violation¹, and departure from thermal equilibrium.²

The first condition is satisfied in the symmetric phase through the anomalies [21–23]. In fact, conservation of the fermionic matter currents is no longer valid, in the presence of the $SU_L(2)$ and $U_Y(1)$ gauge fields [9].³ The corresponding anomalies violate the baryon and lepton numbers separately, but preserve $B - L$, where B (L) denotes the total baryon (lepton) number [26, 27]. The nonperturbative high temperature effects associated with the $SU_L(2)$ are known as the weak sphaleron processes with the reaction rate $\Gamma_w \simeq 25\alpha_w^5 T$ [28, 29], where α_w is the $SU_L(2)$ fine structure constant. The weak sphaleron processes violate the baryon and lepton numbers through converting nine quarks (antiquarks) to three antileptons (leptons) or vice versa.

The Abelian $U_Y(1)$ anomaly violates the baryon and lepton numbers separately, due to the chiral coupling of the $U_Y(1)$ gauge field to the fermions [8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 30, 31]. The Abelian anomaly does not have sphaleron-like processes, and fermion number violation occurs only due to the time variation of the hypermagnetic field helicity, which has been widely investigated in the literature [9, 11–19]. Moreover, the Abelian $U_Y(1)$ gauge field, in contrast to the non-Abelian ones, remains massless and can produce long-range hypermagnetic fields [32, 33], which are transformed to the ordinary Maxwellian magnetic fields during the electroweak phase transition (EWPT) [15].

Existence of the long-range magnetic fields, ubiquitous in all observable Universe from stars to galaxies and intergalactic medium, is another open problem in particle physics and cosmology [34–36]. The reported strength of the coherent magnetic fields in the Milky Way and in the intergalactic medium is of the order of $10^{-6}G$ and $10^{-15}G$, respectively [37–41]. Various models and mechanisms have been proposed to explain the origin of these magnetic fields, the most widely-studied of which are the astrophysical and the cosmological ones [42–45].

¹Under the C and CP symmetries, the creation rates of matter and antimatter will be the same.

²In thermal equilibrium, the number of particles for each species is equal to number of antiparticles.

³The nonperturbative high temperature effects associated with the $SU(3)$ anomaly are known as the strong sphaleron processes, and change the chiralities of the quarks, but respect the quark number conservation [24]. The reaction rate is $\Gamma_s \simeq 100\alpha_s^5 T$ [25], where α_s is the $SU(3)$ fine structure constant.

The astrophysical mechanisms are local models applicable during and after the structure formation [36], while the cosmological mechanisms are global models applicable even before the EWPT [42]. There is still no consensus on the origin of the magnetic fields in these mechanisms. Our preferred choice is a vorticity-based model [46–48]. It has been shown that in the chiral vortical plasma at high temperatures ($T \geq 100\text{GeV}$), the hypermagnetic fields can be generated without any initial seed [46–48].

One of the most important effects that plays a significant role in magnetogenesis and baryogenesis is the chiral vortical effect (CVE) [46–48]. It was discovered by Vilenkin, when he showed that a rotating black hole can produce a chiral neutrino matter current parallel to the vorticity [49]. Afterwards, it was shown that in a chiral vortical plasma, a similar chiral current, $\vec{j}_{\text{cv}R,L} = \pm \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \mu_{R,L}^2 \right] \vec{\omega}$ exists for any chiral fermion, where $+(-)$ is for the right-handed (left-handed) fermion, $\mu_{R,L}$ is its chiral chemical potential, and $\vec{\omega}$ is the vorticity of the plasma [50–55]. A more complete form of this current is $\vec{j}_{\text{cv}R,L} = \pm \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \mu_{R,L}^2 + \frac{1}{24} T^2 \right] \vec{\omega}$ [49, 56, 57], and therefore the CVE can be activated either through the chemical potential or through the temperature-dependent term in the bracket. The former can produce an initial seed for the hypermagnetic field [46, 47], while the latter can generate and amplify the hypermagnetic field from zero initial value, and therefore matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values [48, 58].

In a magnetized chiral plasma, another non-dissipative matter current appears parallel to the magnetic field. This effect is known as the chiral magnetic effect (CME) [59–62]. The matter chiral magnetic current for a chiral fermion in the broken phase is obtained as $\vec{j}_{\text{cm}R,L} = \pm \frac{Q_{R,L}}{4\pi^2} \mu_{R,L} \vec{B}$, where $Q_{R,L}$ is the electric charge of the chiral fermion [57, 59, 63, 64]. The CME and the $U_Y(1)$ Abelian anomaly interconnect the hypermagnetic helicity and fermion number densities in the symmetric phase [17–19, 47].

Many studies have investigated the anomalous transport effects, *i.e.*, the CVE and the CME, on the evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries [17–19, 47, 48, 65]. An initial small vorticity and a large matter asymmetry stored in the form of right-handed electron at 10 TeV, have been considered in Ref. [47], leading to the production of the hypermagnetic field and the baryon asymmetry in the temperature range, $100 \text{ GeV} \leq T \leq 10 \text{ TeV}$. Furthermore, the effects of overlapping transient fluctuations in the temperature of some matter degrees and vorticity of the plasma have been studied in Ref. [48], resulting in the generation of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values. In both of these studies, only the effects of the baryons and the first-generation leptons have been considered in the anomalous transport coefficients, and the contributions of the second- and third-generation leptons, and the Higgs boson have been ignored. Moreover, fast interactions such

as the Yukawa processes of the second- and third-generation leptons, and also the weak sphaleron processes, which can wash out the asymmetries of the baryons and leptons, have been neglected [14, 15, 66, 67].

As is well known, the weak sphaleron processes couple to the left-handed fermions and affect their evolution directly. However, the weak sphalerons cannot affect the right-handed fermions unless they have fast Yukawa interactions with the left-handed ones. Therefore, when the weak sphaleron processes are in thermal equilibrium, the Yukawa interactions play an important role in the production of baryon and lepton asymmetries. More generally, our Universe in its early stages was a hot plasma, consisting of all fermions and bosons, having many perturbative and nonperturbative interactions with one another [19]. Below we shall show that for every fast process there is an associated equilibrium condition with a corresponding conserved physical quantity, which can be used as a constraint to reduce the number of variables in the evolution equations. In the temperature range of our interest, many of these processes were extremely fast, each leading to a potential constraint. Therefore, in order to have a more realistic model, we will consider these constraints along with the absolute conservation laws such as the hypercharge neutrality condition, the Abelian and non-Abelian anomalous effects, the fermionic Yukawa interactions, and also the contribution of the fermionic and bosonic chemical potentials to the anomalous transport coefficients.

The main purpose of this work is to show the possibility of generating hypermagnetic fields and matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values, through the occurrence of overlapping transient fluctuations in the temperature of some matter degrees and the vorticity [48], while considering the aforementioned interactions, constraints and conservation laws.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 we briefly review the $U_Y(1)$ and $SU_L(2)$ anomalous effects, and also present the conservation laws in the symmetric phase. In Sec. 3 we consider the perturbative chirality-flip processes, the fast sphaleron processes, and the relevant constraints to obtain the relations between the chemical potentials of the particles in the symmetric phase of the early Universe. In Sec. 4 we present the Anomalous Magnetohydrodynamic (AMHD) equations by taking the CVE and the CME into account. Moreover, we derive the required evolution equations for the fermionic asymmetries and their contributions to the chiral vortical and chiral magnetic coefficients. In Sec. 5 we numerically solve the set of coupled differential equations obtained in Sec. 4 for the hypermagnetic field and the fermionic asymmetries all starting from zero initial values. In Sec. 6 we discuss our results. In the following we use the natural units, in which $\hbar = c = 1$, and also the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - R^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$, where t is the physical time, and x^i s are the comoving coordinates.

2 Anomalous versus conserved currents before the EWPT

In the expanding Universe and before the EWPT, chiral fermionic currents have the following anomalies ⁴ [21–23, 30]:

$$\nabla_\mu j_{e_R^i}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4}(Y_R^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla_\mu j_{l_L^i}^\mu = \frac{1}{4}(N_w Y_L^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\nabla_\mu j_{d_R^i}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4}(N_c Y_Q^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_s^2}{16\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{A\mu\nu}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_\mu j_{u_R^i}^\mu = -\frac{1}{4}(N_c Y_Q^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_s^2}{16\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{A\mu\nu}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_\mu j_{q_L^i}^\mu = \frac{1}{4}(N_c N_w Y_Q^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(N_c) \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(N_w) \frac{g_s^2}{16\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{G}^{A\mu\nu}, \quad (2.5)$$

where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative with respect to the FRW metric, “ i ” is the generation index, $j_{e_R^i}^\mu$ ($j_{l_L^i}^\mu = j_{e_L^i}^\mu + j_{\nu_L^i}^\mu$) is the right-handed singlet (left-handed doublet) lepton current, $j_{d_R^i}^\mu$ ($j_{u_R^i}^\mu$) is the right-handed down (up) singlet quark current, and $j_{q_L^i}^\mu = j_{u_L^i}^\mu + j_{d_L^i}^\mu$ is the left-handed doublet quark current. Furthermore, $N_c = 3$ and $N_w = 2$ are the corresponding ranks of the non-Abelian SU(3) and SU_L(2) gauge groups, and $G_{\mu\nu}^A$, $W_{\mu\nu}^a$, and $Y_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strength tensors of the SU(3), SU_L(2), and U_Y(1) gauge groups with the fine structure constants $\frac{g_s^2}{4\pi}$, $\frac{g^2}{4\pi}$, and $\frac{g'^2}{4\pi}$, respectively. Moreover, the relevant hypercharges are

$$Y_L = -1, \quad Y_R = -2, \quad Y_Q = \frac{1}{3}, \quad Y_{u_R} = \frac{4}{3}, \quad Y_{d_R} = -\frac{2}{3}. \quad (2.6)$$

The aforementioned anomaly equations show the following: The Abelian anomaly violates the conservation of all chiral lepton and quark currents, due to the chiral coupling of the U_Y(1) gauge fields to the fermions. The non-Abelian SU_L(2) gauge fields only couple to the left-handed fermions, so they violate the conservation of the left-handed lepton and quark currents. The non-Abelian SU(3) gauge fields only couple to the quarks and its sphaleron only changes their chiralities but respects the baryon current conservation [24, 30]. The divergences of

⁴As usual, the perturbative tree level chirality-flip processes are dropped.

the total baryon and lepton currents, obtained from the anomaly equations, are as follows [30]:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu j_B^\mu &= \nabla_\mu \left[\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_i \left(j_{q_L^i}^\mu + j_{u_R^i}^\mu + j_{d_R^i}^\mu \right) \right] = n_G \left(\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} \right), \\ \nabla_\mu j_L^\mu &= \nabla_\mu \left[\sum_i \left(j_{l_L^i}^\mu + j_{e_R^i}^\mu \right) \right] = n_G \left(\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

where n_G is the number of generations. Although, both of the baryonic and leptonic currents are separately anomalous, Equation (2.7) shows that their difference is anomaly free, $\nabla_\mu (j_{B-L}^\mu) = 0$, i.e., $B - L = \text{constant}$. In contrast to j_{B-L}^μ , the sum of the baryonic and leptonic currents $j_{B+L}^\mu \equiv j_B^\mu + j_L^\mu$ is anomalous,

$$\nabla_\mu j_{B+L}^\mu = 2n_G \left(\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} \right).\tag{2.8}$$

Eq. (2.8) shows the non-conservation of $B + L$ via the non-Abelian $SU_L(2)$, and the Abelian $U_Y(1)$ gauge fields.

The total hypercharge current, including the contributions of Higgs and all chiral fermions, can be obtained as [30]

$$J_Y^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[Y_Q j_{q_L^i}^\mu + Y_{u_R} j_{u_R^i}^\mu + Y_{d_R} j_{d_R^i}^\mu + Y_L j_{l_L^i}^\mu + Y_{e_R} j_{e_R^i}^\mu + Y_\Phi j_\Phi^\mu \right],\tag{2.9}$$

where $j_\Phi^\mu = j_{\Phi^+}^\mu + j_{\Phi^0}^\mu$ and $Y_\Phi = 1$ are the doublet current and the hypercharge of the Higgs boson, respectively. After inserting the relevant hypercharges, the total hypercharge current becomes divergence free, $\nabla_\mu J_Y^\mu = 0$, as expected. In the next section we will use the anomaly free currents j_{B-L}^μ and J_Y^μ to obtain the corresponding conservation laws.

3 Conservation Laws and Equilibrium Conditions

In this section, we use conservation laws, and constraints derived from fast processes in the electroweak plasma to obtain simple relations among the chemical potentials in the temperature range, $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. To do this, let us denote the chemical potential of the Higgs boson by $\mu_{\Phi^+} = \mu_{\Phi^0} \equiv \mu_0$, the left-handed quarks with different colors by $\mu_{u_L^i} = \mu_{d_L^i} \equiv \mu_{Q^i}$, the right-handed up (down) quarks with different colors by $\mu_{u_R^i}$ ($\mu_{d_R^i}$) and the right-handed (left-handed) leptons by $\mu_{e_R^i}$ ($\mu_{\nu_L^i} = \mu_{\nu^i}$).⁵

⁵Note that gauge interactions maintain thermal equilibrium across all temperatures of interest, enforcing equality in the asymmetries carried by different components within a given multiplet.

As is well known, the non-Abelian SU(3) and SU_L(2) gauge theories have degenerate vacua, each vacuum labeled with a different integer Chern-Simons number. The rate of the strong sphaleron interactions at high temperatures above the electroweak scale is estimated by the numerical simulations to be $\Gamma_s \simeq 100\alpha_s^5 T$, where $\alpha_s = g_s^2/4\pi$ is the SU(3) fine structure constant [25]. These processes are in thermal equilibrium ($\Gamma_s > H$) below the temperature $T_s \simeq 10^{15}\text{GeV}$, where $H = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^3 g^*}{45}} \frac{T^2}{M_{Pl}}$ is the Hubble parameter, $g^* = 106.75$ is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom, and $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}\text{GeV}$ is the Planck mass. The strong sphaleron processes only change the chirality of the quarks by converting simultaneously all left-handed quarks, one from each species and color, to right-handed quarks, and vice versa, *i.e.*, $\sum_i (u_L^i + d_L^i) \leftrightarrow \sum_i (u_R^i + d_R^i)$. Therefore, in thermal equilibrium, they provide a further constraint on the chemical potentials of the quarks of all generations as [30]

$$c_s \equiv \sum_i [2\mu_{Q^i} - \mu_{d_R^i} - \mu_{u_R^i}] = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, due to the flavor mixing in the quark sector, all up or down quarks belonging to different generations with distinct handedness have the same chemical potential, *i.e.*, $\mu_{u_R^i} = \mu_{u_R}$, $\mu_{d_R^i} = \mu_{d_R}$, and $\mu_{Q^i} = \mu_Q$. Therefore, Eq. (3.1), which refers to the chemical equilibrium of the strong sphaleron process reduces to the simple form [19, 30]

$$2\mu_Q - \mu_{d_R} - \mu_{u_R} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Another sphaleron process is the weak sphaleron process, which in thermal equilibrium might wash out the baryon and lepton asymmetries by converting quarks to antileptons, and vice versa, *i.e.*, $\sum_i (u_L^i + d_L^i + d_L^i + \nu_L^i) \leftrightarrow 0$ and $\sum_i (u_L^i + u_L^i + d_L^i + e_L^i) \leftrightarrow 0$. The rate of these sphaleron processes above the electroweak scale is estimated by the numerical simulations to be $\Gamma_w \simeq 25\alpha_w^5 T$, where $\alpha_w = g^2/4\pi$ is the SU_L(2) fine structure constant [28, 29]. These processes are in thermal equilibrium ($\Gamma_w > H$) below the temperature $T_w \simeq 10^{12}\text{GeV}$, providing a further constraint on the chemical potentials of left-handed quarks and leptons of all generations as [19, 30]

$$c_w \equiv \sum_i N_w [3\mu_{Q^i} + \mu_{e_L^i}] = 2(9\mu_Q + \mu_{e_L} + \mu_{\mu_L} + \mu_{\tau_L}) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

In contrast to the vacuum structure of the SU(3) and SU_L(2) gauge theories, the vacuum structure of the U_Y(1) gauge theory is trivial. However, as we shall see, there can be a time-varying nontrivial hypermagnetic field with a net helicity

in the symmetric phase. The time evolution of the hypermagnetic helicity violates the fermion numbers, in accordance with the anomaly equations.⁶

There are also the perturbative chirality-flip processes operating on the quarks (up and down type) and the leptons (electron-type) with the rates $\Gamma_i \simeq 10^{-2} h_i^2 T / 8\pi$, where the Yukawa couplings h_i are given as [68–70]

$$\begin{aligned} h_e &\simeq 2.8 \times 10^{-6}, & h_\mu &\simeq 5.8 \times 10^{-4} & h_\tau &\simeq 10^{-2} \\ h_u &\simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-5}, & h_c &\simeq 7.1 \times 10^{-3}, & h_t &\simeq 0.94 \\ h_d &\simeq 2.7 \times 10^{-5}, & h_s &\simeq 5.5 \times 10^{-4}, & h_b &\simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The Yukawa interactions of the electron, muon and tau are in thermal equilibrium below the temperatures $T_{e,\text{Yuk}} \simeq 10^4 \text{GeV}$, $T_{\mu,\text{Yuk}} \simeq 10^8 \text{GeV}$, and $T_{\tau,\text{Yuk}} \simeq 10^{10} \text{GeV}$, respectively [30]. Therefore, in the temperature range $T_{EW} \leq T \leq 10 \text{TeV}$, the weak and strong sphaleron processes, and the Yukawa interactions of all quarks and leptons are in chemical equilibrium. However, it has been shown that in the presence of the strong hypermagnetic fields, these processes can fall out of chemical equilibrium. The amount of falling out of equilibrium depends on the rate of the process, i.e., the slower the process, the more intensely it falls out of chemical equilibrium [19, 71]. The rate of the weak sphaleron processes is greater than those of all lepton chirality-flip processes. Therefore, in this work, where the strong hypermagnetic fields are also present, we will let the chirality-flip processes of all leptons to be out of chemical equilibrium but assume that the sphaleron processes and the chirality-flip processes of all quarks are still nearly in chemical equilibrium.⁷ Therefore, the following constraints are obtained for the chemical potentials of the quarks: [19, 30]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{u_R^i} - \mu_{Q^i} &= \mu_{u_R} - \mu_Q = \mu_0 & i &= 1, 2, 3 \\ \mu_{d_R^i} - \mu_{Q^i} &= \mu_{d_R} - \mu_Q = -\mu_0 & i &= 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Using Eqs. (3.5), the total baryonic chemical potential can be obtained as

$$\mu_B = \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[\mu_{d_R^i} + \mu_{u_R^i} + N_w \mu_{Q^i} \right] = 12\mu_Q. \quad (3.6)$$

⁶Note that the effect of this helicity is different in the broken phase, where it changes only the chirality of the fermions.

⁷Although some of the quark Yukawa couplings are small compared to leptons, all quark-Yukawa interactions remain in thermal equilibrium due to the combined effects of strong sphaleron processes and the quark mixing, below the temperature which is relevant for the top quark, i.e., $T_{t,\text{Yuk}} \simeq 10^{12} \text{GeV}$.

Using Eqs. (2.9), and (3.5), the hypercharge neutrality condition can also be obtained as

$$Q_Y = 6\mu_Q - \mu_{e_L} - \mu_{e_R} - \mu_{\mu_R} - \mu_{\mu_L} - \mu_{\tau_R} - \mu_{\tau_L} + 11\mu_0 = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, Eqs. (2.7) lead to the following three conservation laws for three fermion generations: [19]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\mu_B}{3} - \mu_{e_R} - 2\mu_{e_L} \right] &= c_1, \\ \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\mu_B}{3} - \mu_{\mu_R} - 2\mu_{\mu_L} \right] &= c_2, \\ \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\mu_B}{3} - \mu_{\tau_R} - 2\mu_{\tau_L} \right] &= c_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since in our scenario all initial asymmetries are zero, the above constant values c_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are zero, as well. The use of the constraints given by equations (3.3), (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8), along with Eq. (3.6) leads to the minimum number of required dynamical equations for obtaining the evolution of all chemical potentials. We choose to solve the evolution equations for μ_{e_R} , μ_{μ_R} , and μ_{τ_R} , and then obtain the evolution of all other chemical potentials via the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_Q &= \frac{\mu_{e_R} + \mu_{\mu_R} + \mu_{\tau_R}}{30}, & \mu_0 &= \frac{\mu_{e_R} + \mu_{\mu_R} + \mu_{\tau_R}}{22}, \\ \mu_{e_L} &= \frac{-13\mu_{e_R} + 2\mu_{\mu_R} + 2\mu_{\tau_R}}{30}, & \mu_{\mu_L} &= \frac{2\mu_{e_R} - 13\mu_{\mu_R} + 2\mu_{\tau_R}}{30}, \\ \mu_{\tau_L} &= \frac{2\mu_{e_R} + 2\mu_{\mu_R} - 13\mu_{\tau_R}}{30}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In the next section, these relations will be used for obtaining the hypercharge chiral magnetic and chiral vortical coefficients.

4 Anomalous magnetohydrodynamic equations

Taking the CME and the CVE into account, the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations are generalized to the anomalous magnetohydrodynamic (AMHD) equations. These equations for a hypercharge neutral plasma in the expanding Universe are given as [36, 47, 48, 65, 72, 73]

$$\frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}_Y = 0, \quad \frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}_Y = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_Y + \left(\frac{\partial \vec{B}_Y}{\partial t} + 2H\vec{B}_Y \right) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}_Y - \left(\frac{\partial \vec{E}_Y}{\partial t} + 2H\vec{E}_Y \right) &= \vec{J} \\ &= \vec{J}_{\text{Ohm}} + \vec{J}_{\text{cv}} + \vec{J}_{\text{cm}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{Ohm}} = \sigma \left(\vec{E}_Y + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}_Y \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{cv}} = c_v \vec{\omega}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{cm}} = c_B \vec{B}_Y, \quad (4.6)$$

where R is the scale factor, $H = \dot{R}/R$ is the Hubble parameter, σ is the electrical hyperconductivity of the plasma, \vec{v} is the bulk velocity, and $\vec{\omega} = \frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}$ is the vorticity of the plasma. Furthermore, the hypercharge chiral magnetic coefficient c_B and the chiral vortical coefficient c_v , in the symmetric phase, are given as [17–19, 47, 48]

$$\begin{aligned} c_B(t) &= -\frac{g'^2}{8\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Y_R^2 \mu_{e_R^i} - \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)Y_L^2 \mu_{e_L^i} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Y_{d_R}^2 \mu_{d_R^i} N_c \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Y_{u_R}^2 \mu_{u_R^i} N_c - \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)Y_Q^2 \mu_{Q^i} N_c N_w \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_v(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[\frac{g'}{48} \left(-Y_R T_{e_R^i}^2 + Y_L T_{e_L^i}^2 N_w - Y_{d_R} T_{d_R^i}^2 N_c - Y_{u_R} T_{u_R^i}^2 N_c + Y_Q T_{Q^i}^2 N_c N_w \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{g'}{16\pi^2} \left(-Y_R \mu_{e_R^i}^2 + Y_L \mu_{e_L^i}^2 N_w - Y_{d_R} \mu_{d_R^i}^2 N_c - Y_{u_R} \mu_{u_R^i}^2 N_c + Y_Q \mu_{Q^i}^2 N_c N_w \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

which, after substituting the relevant hypercharges, become [17–19, 47, 48]

$$c_B(t) = \frac{-g'^2}{8\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[-2\mu_{e_R^i} + \mu_{e_L^i} - \frac{2}{3}\mu_{d_R^i} - \frac{8}{3}\mu_{u_R^i} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q^i} \right], \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_v(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[\frac{g'}{24} \left(T_{e_R^i}^2 - T_{e_L^i}^2 + T_{d_R^i}^2 - 2T_{u_R^i}^2 + T_{Q^i}^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{g'}{8\pi^2} \left(\mu_{e_R^i}^2 - \mu_{e_L^i}^2 + \mu_{d_R^i}^2 - 2\mu_{u_R^i}^2 + \mu_{Q^i}^2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Using the relations expressed in Eq. (3.9), c_B simplifies to

$$c_B(t) = \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2} (c_{e,\text{Yuk}} + c_{\mu,\text{Yuk}} + c_{\tau,\text{Yuk}}) = \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2} c_T, \quad (4.11)$$

where $c_{e,\text{Yuk}} \equiv \mu_{e_R} - \mu_{e_L} + \mu_0$, $c_{\mu,\text{Yuk}} \equiv \mu_{\mu_R} - \mu_{\mu_L} + \mu_0$, and $c_{\tau,\text{Yuk}} \equiv \mu_{\tau_R} - \mu_{\tau_L} + \mu_0$ are measures for departure from chemical equilibrium for the Yukawa interactions of the electron, muon, and tau, respectively [19]. Moreover, using Eq. (3.9) and assuming that the temperature fluctuation occurs only for the right-handed electron, c_v simplifies to [48]

$$c_v(t) = \frac{g'}{24} (\Delta T^2) + \frac{g'}{2\pi^2} \left(\mu_e \mu_e^5 + \mu_\mu \mu_\mu^5 + \mu_\tau \mu_\tau^5 - \frac{3}{8} \mu_B \mu_0 - \frac{3}{4} \mu_0^2 \right), \quad (4.12)$$

where μ_e , μ_μ , μ_τ (μ_e^5 , μ_μ^5 , μ_τ^5) are vector (axial-vector) chemical potential of the electron, muon, and tau, respectively.⁸ Furthermore, $\Delta T^2 = T_{e_R}^2 - T_{e_L}^2 = T^2 \beta[x(T)]$ is the temperature fluctuation, $\beta[x(T)]$ is an arbitrary profile function of temperature which will be specified later, $x(T) = t(T)/t_{\text{EW}} = (T_{\text{EW}}/T)^2$ is given by the Friedmann law, $t_{\text{EW}} = M_0/2T_{\text{EW}}^2$, $M_0 = M_{\text{Pl}}/1.66\sqrt{g^*}$ is the reduced Planck mass, and $T_{e_L} = T$ is the equilibrium temperature of the thermal bath [48].

Since the vorticity depends on the curl of velocity as $\vec{\omega} = \frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}$, in analogy to the hypermagnetic field $\vec{B}_Y = \frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_Y$, it is also divergence free, *i.e.*, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\omega} = 0$, assuming FRW metric. Moreover, incompressibility assumption of the plasma leads to the condition $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ [46–48, 65, 74, 75].⁹ In the following, we choose the fully helical Chern-Simons configurations for the vector potentials of the hypermagnetic and vorticity fields as [17–19, 47, 75–79]

$$\vec{A}_Y = A(t) (\sin kz, \cos kz, 0), \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\vec{v} = v(t) (\sin kz, \cos kz, 0), \quad (4.14)$$

where $A(t)$ and $v(t)$ are the amplitudes of \vec{A}_Y and \vec{v} , respectively. In the following we also assume the presence of vorticity fluctuations in the plasma [48]. After neglecting the displacement current in the lab frame and using the aforementioned configurations, the hyperelectric field becomes [17–19, 47, 48, 65, 75]

$$\vec{E}_Y = \frac{k'}{\sigma} \vec{B}_Y - \frac{c_v}{\sigma} k' \vec{v} - \frac{c_B}{\sigma} \vec{B}_Y, \quad (4.15)$$

⁸The vector chemical potential is $\mu = (\mu_R + \mu_L)/2$ and the axial-vector chemical potential is $\mu^5 = (\mu_R - \mu_L)/2$.

⁹Here, for brevity, we do not present the energy and momentum conservation equations and only use the result obtained in Ref. [48].

and the evolution equation for the hypermagnetic field is obtained as

$$\frac{dB_Y(t)}{dt} = \left[-\frac{1}{t} - \frac{k'^2}{\sigma} + \frac{c_B k'}{\sigma} \right] B_Y(t) + \frac{c_V}{\sigma} k'^2 v(t), \quad (4.16)$$

where $\vec{\omega} = k'\vec{v}$, $\sigma = 100T$, and $k' = k/R = kT$. With the choice of vector potentials given in Eqs. (4.13,4.14), $\langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{B}_Y(t) \rangle = v(t)$ [47, 48].

Using Eq. (2.1) and taking the relevant chirality-flip processes into account, we obtain the evolution equations of the right-handed electron, muon, and tau as¹⁰ [19]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{eR}}{dt} &= \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle + \Gamma_e \left(\eta_{eL} - \eta_{eR} - \frac{\eta_0}{2} \right), \\ \frac{d\eta_{\mu R}}{dt} &= \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle + \Gamma_\mu \left(\eta_{\mu L} - \eta_{\mu R} - \frac{\eta_0}{2} \right), \\ \frac{d\eta_{\tau R}}{dt} &= \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle + \Gamma_\tau \left(\eta_{\tau L} - \eta_{\tau R} - \frac{\eta_0}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we have used the relation $\mu = (6s/cT^2)\eta$, with $c = 1$ for the fermions and $c = 2$ for the bosons. Here $s = 2\pi^2 g^* T^3/45$ is the entropy density, and $\Gamma_i \simeq 10^{-2} h_i^2 T/8\pi = \Gamma_i^0/(\sqrt{x} t_{EW})$ are the rates of lepton Yukawa interactions, with $\Gamma_e^0 = 11.38$, $\Gamma_\mu^0 = 4.88 \times 10^5$, and $\Gamma_\tau^0 = 1.45 \times 10^8$ [15, 19]. Eq. (4.17) shows that the difference in the asymmetry evolution equations of right-handed leptons is only due to their different chirality-flip rates. Using Eqs. (4.15), and (4.11, 4.12), and the aforementioned helical configurations for the hypermagnetic and vorticity fields, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle &= \frac{B_Y^2(t)}{100} \left[\frac{k'}{T} - \left(\frac{6s g'^2}{4\pi^2 T^3} \right) \eta_T \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{g'}{24} \beta(T) + \left(\frac{36s^2 g'}{2\pi^2 T^6} \right) \Delta\eta^2 \right] \frac{k'T}{100} \langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{B}_Y(t) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\eta^2 &= \eta_e \eta_e^5 + \eta_\mu \eta_\mu^5 + \eta_\tau \eta_\tau^5 - \frac{3}{16} \eta_0 (\eta_B + \eta_0), \\ \eta_T &= \frac{T^2}{6s} (c_{e,Yuk} + c_{\mu,Yuk} + c_{\tau,Yuk}) = \eta_{e,Yuk} + \eta_{\mu,Yuk} + \eta_{\tau,Yuk}, \\ \eta_{e^i,Yuk} &= \eta_{e_R^i} - \eta_{e_L^i} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_0 \quad \text{for } i = e, \mu, \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

¹⁰High temperature of the early Universe plasma and low-velocity limit, imply that $j_r^0 \simeq (n_r - \bar{n}_r)$, to a very good approximation [46, 47, 65, 75, 80]

Using the relation $1\text{Gauss} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-20}\text{GeV}^2$, and recalling $x = (t/t_{\text{EW}}) = (T_{\text{EW}}/T)^2$, we obtain the complete set of evolution equations for η_{e_R} , η_{μ_R} , η_{τ_R} , and the amplitude of the hypermagnetic field as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{e_R}(x)}{dx} &= [C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ &\quad - [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right) \sqrt{x} - \frac{\Gamma_e^0}{\sqrt{x}} \eta_{e,\text{Yuk}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{\mu_R}(x)}{dx} &= [C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ &\quad - [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right) \sqrt{x} - \frac{\Gamma_\mu^0}{\sqrt{x}} \eta_{\mu,\text{Yuk}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{\tau_R}(x)}{dx} &= [C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ &\quad - [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right) \sqrt{x} - \frac{\Gamma_\tau^0}{\sqrt{x}} \eta_{\tau,\text{Yuk}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\frac{dB_Y(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} [-C_5 + C_6\eta_T(x)] B_Y(x) - \frac{1}{x} B_Y(x) + [C_7\beta(x) + C_8\Delta\eta^2(x)] \frac{v(x)}{x^{3/2}}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\alpha_Y = g'^2/4\pi \simeq 0.01$ and the coefficients $C_i, i = 1, \dots, 8$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= 9.6 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y, \\
C_2 &= 865688 \alpha_Y^2, \\
C_3 &= 0.71488 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y^{3/2}, \\
C_4 &= 68610.9 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y^{3/2}, \\
C_5 &= 0.356 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2, \\
C_6 &= 3.18373 \times 10^8 \alpha_Y \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right), \\
C_7 &= 2.629 \times 10^{22} \sqrt{\alpha_Y} \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2, \\
C_8 &= 2.52 \times 10^{27} \sqrt{\alpha_Y} \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

We choose the profile of temperature fluctuation, $\beta(x)$, to be Gaussian [48]

$$\beta(x) = \frac{\beta_0}{b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2b^2} \right], \tag{4.25}$$

where β_0 is the amplitude multiplying the normalized Gaussian distribution. We also choose the the profile of vorticity fluctuation to be the same as that of temperature fluctuation in order to produce maximal effect, that is [48]

$$\omega(x) = \frac{\omega_0}{b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2b^2} \right], \tag{4.26}$$

where $\omega_0 = k'v_0$, and v_0 is the amplitude of the initial velocity.

5 Numerical Solution

In this section, we solve the set of coupled differential equations numerically, in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. We investigate the effects of overlapping transient fluctuations in the temperature of some matter degrees of freedom and vorticity of the plasma, on the generation and evolution of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetries [48]. We show that

the vorticity and temperature fluctuations have the maximum effectiveness when they occur concurrently. Since our main purpose is to produce the hypermagnetic field and matter-antimatter asymmetries from zero initial values, we set $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, and $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$.

For our first case, we consider simultaneous fluctuations in the temperature of the right-handed electrons, and vorticity of the plasma [48], and solve the set of evolution equations with the initial conditions, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, and present the results in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1(a) shows that small overlapping temperature and vorticity fluctuations activate the CVE, leading to the generation of a strong helical hypermagnetic field, which grows to its maximum value of about 10^{22}G and then begins decreasing due to the expansion of the Universe. After the generation of the helical hypermagnetic field, the hypermagnetic helicity decays and produces the matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values (see Figs. 1(b), 1(c), and 1(d)). Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) show that the asymmetry of any lepton other than the left-handed electron has a peak with a negative value, and a positive final value. This is due to the fact that the hypermagnetic field makes the electron Yukawa process, which has the lowest rate, fall out of chemical equilibrium much more strongly than other processes. Therefore, the chiral electron asymmetries cannot be converted to each other effectively, and as a result, a large chiral asymmetry remains.

Fig. 1(d) shows that the generated baryon asymmetry at the onset of the EWPT is acceptable, even though the weak sphaleron processes are in equilibrium. In fact, the hypermagnetic field which is responsible for the production of the matter-antimatter asymmetries, dominates the sphaleron washing out process. Therefore, the conversion of hypermagnetic helicity to fermion number densities continues until the EWPT, and the weak sphaleron processes cannot washout the generated $B + L$ completely.

Figure 1(e) shows $\eta_{e,\text{Yuk}}$, $\eta_{\mu,\text{Yuk}}$, and $\eta_{\tau,\text{Yuk}}$, which are measures for departure from equilibrium of Yukawa processes for electron, muon, and tau, respectively. The results show that the three lepton Yukawa interactions are initially in chemical equilibrium, however the generated strong hypermagnetic field forces them out of chemical equilibrium. Furthermore, the amount of falling out of equilibrium depends on the rate of the relevant Yukawa process such that the faster the process the less its departure from equilibrium. Since the electron Yukawa interaction has the smallest rate among all Yukawa processes, its departure from equilibrium is the largest.

As is well known, the ability of sphalerons to washout $B+L$ is enhanced when all Yukawa processes are in equilibrium [81]. Since, in the presence of the strong hypermagnetic field, the electron Yukawa process falls out of equilibrium more than other interactions, it plays an important role in the generation of matter-




Figure 1: Time plots of (a) the hypermagnetic field amplitude $B_Y(x)$, (b) the asymmetries of right-handed electron $\eta_{e_R}(x)$ (large-dashed-red), right-handed muon $\eta_{\mu_R}(x)$ (dashed-green), and right-handed tau $\eta_{\tau_R}(x)$ (dotted-blue), (c) the asymmetries of left-handed electron η_{e_L} (large-dashed-red), left-handed muon $\eta_{\mu_L}(x)$ (dashed-green), and left-handed tau $\eta_{\tau_L}(x)$ (dotted-blue), (d) the baryon and lepton asymmetry $\eta_B(x) = \eta_L(x)$ (large-dashed-red), and the Higgs asymmetry $\eta_0(x)$ (dashed-green), (e) the amounts of falling out of chemical equilibrium $\eta_{e, \text{Yuk}}(x)$ (large-dashed-red), $\eta_{\mu, \text{Yuk}}(x)$ (dashed-green), and $\eta_{\tau, \text{Yuk}}(x)$ (dotted-blue), for the initial conditions $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$.

antimatter asymmetries. To elucidate this statement, we investigate the effect of changing the rate of electron Yukawa interaction by considering $\lambda\Gamma_e^0$ instead of Γ_e^0 in Eq. (4.20), where $\lambda \in \{0.1, 1, 10\}$. We solve the evolution equations with the same initial conditions as before and present the results in Fig. 2. As can be seen, by decreasing the rate of electron Yukawa process $\lambda\Gamma_e^0$, the generated baryon and lepton asymmetries at the onset of the EWPT increase.




Figure 2: Time plots of (a) the right-handed electron asymmetry $\eta_{e_R}(x)$, and (b) the baryon and lepton asymmetry $\eta_B(x) = \eta_L(x)$ for different values of λ . The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$. The dotted line (blue) is for $\lambda = 10$, the dashed line (green) for $\lambda = 1$, and the large-dashed line (red) for $\lambda = 0.1$.

For our second case, we investigate the effects of changing the amplitude of the temperature fluctuation and solve the coupled differential equations with the initial conditions, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and three different values of $\beta_0 = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, 5×10^{-4} , and 7×10^{-4} , and present the results in Fig. 3. The results show that by increasing the amplitude of the temperature fluctuation, the maximum and the final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude, and as a result, the matter-antimatter asymmetries increase, approximately as β_0^2 . We have also investigated the effects of changing the amplitude of the vorticity fluctuation, and have found the similar results [48].

For our third case, we investigate the effects of changing the occurrence time of the fluctuations and solve the coupled differential equations with the initial conditions, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, and three different values of $x_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, 4.5×10^{-4} , 6.5×10^{-4} , and present the results in Fig. 4. It can be seen that when the fluctuations occur at higher temperatures, the maximum and final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude, and as a result, the matter-antimatter asymmetries increase.

We have also investigated the case with different values for the width of the




Figure 3: Time plots of (a) the baryon and lepton asymmetry $\eta_B(x) = \eta_L(x)$, and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude $B_Y(x)$, for various values of the amplitude of temperature fluctuation of e_R . We have also displayed linear plots for further elucidation (right plots). The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$. The large-dashed line (red) is for $\beta_0 = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, the dashed line (green) is for $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, and the dotted line (blue) is for $\beta_0 = 7 \times 10^{-4}$.

the Gaussian distribution $\beta[x(T)]$ and have found that by decreasing the width of the Gaussian distribution, the maximum and the final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude, and as a result, the matter-antimatter asymmetries increase.

For our fourth case, we investigate the effect of changing the wave number k and solve the coupled differential equations with the initial conditions, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, for three different values of $k = 10^{-8}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-6}$, and present the results in Fig. 5. The results show that by increasing k , as long as $k < k_0 = 10^{-7}$, the CVE term originating from the fluctuations, which appears in coefficient C_7 of Eq. (4.23) and is responsible for the generation of the hypermagnetic field, strengthens and as a result the final values of the generated hypermagnetic field




Figure 4: Time plots of (a) the baryon and lepton asymmetry $\eta_B(x) = \eta_L(x)$, and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude B_Y , for various occurrence times of the fluctuations. We have also displayed linear plots for further elucidation (right plots). The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{eR}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$. The large-dashed line (red) is for $x_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, the dashed line (green) is for $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and the dotted line (blue) is for $x_0 = 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$.

amplitude and the baryon symmetry increase at the onset of the EWPT. In contrast, by increasing k in the case $k > k_0$, the final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude and the baryon symmetry decrease at the onset of the EWPT. This is due to the hypermagnetic diffusion term which appears in coefficient C_5 of Eq. (4.23). In fact, by increasing k in the latter case, initially the amplitude of the generated hypermagnetic field increases but after reaching to its maximum value, the diffusion term dominates the expansion term and leads to drastic weakening of the hypermagnetic field and reduction of final baryon asymmetry. Finally, we have checked the numerical accuracy of our program by plotting some of the quantities that we have set as constraints, *i.e.*, the hypercharge neutrality condition, the $B/3 - L_i$ conditions, and the equilibrium condition for the weak sphaleron, and




Figure 5: Time plots of (a) the baryon and lepton asymmetry $\eta_B(x) = \eta_L(x)$, and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude $B_Y(x)$, for various values of the wave number k . The initial conditions are: $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{\tau_R}^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, $\beta_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, $x_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $b = 1 \times 10^{-4}$. The large-dashed line (red) is for $k = 10^{-8}$, the dashed line (green) is for $k = 10^{-7}$, and the dotted line (blue) is for $k = 10^{-6}$.

found that the absolute and relative uncertainties are of the order of 10^{-22} and 10^{-12} , respectively.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we have presented a scenario for the generation of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetries all starting from zero initial values, in the presence of the weak sphaleron processes, and in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. We have considered all perturbative Yukawa interactions and nonperturbative Abelian and non-Abelian anomalous effects, as well as the contribution of all fermion and boson asymmetries in the anomalous transport effects, *i.e.*, the CVE and the CME.

We have shown that overlapping of small transient fluctuations in the temperature of some matter degrees of freedom and vorticity of the plasma activates the CVE producing a vortical current which can be the source for the hypermagnetic field, and as a result, matter-antimatter asymmetries [48]. Indeed, the helicity of the generated hypermagnetic field decays and produces the baryon and lepton asymmetries, without B – L generation in our model. We have shown that strong hypermagnetic field dominates the weak sphaleron processes, and hence the generated B + L asymmetries are not completely washed out by the weak sphalerons. Therefore, with our choice of initial conditions, acceptable baryon asymmetry can be generated at the onset of the EWPT.

Concurrently with the generation of the strong hypermagnetic field, the lep-

ton Yukawa processes, which were initially in equilibrium, begin to fall out of equilibrium. The amount of falling out of chemical equilibrium depends on the rate of the interaction. That is, the smaller the rate, the larger the departure from equilibrium. Therefore, the Yukawa interaction of the electron falls out of chemical equilibrium more severely than other processes and hence it is an important process for generation of the $B + L$ asymmetry. This is due to the fact that, in the absence of $B - L$ asymmetry, the weak sphaleron processes can wash out the asymmetries effectively when all Yukawa interactions are in equilibrium.

We have also found that increasing the amplitude or decreasing the width of the Gaussian profile of the fluctuations, either in the temperature of the right-handed electron or in the vorticity of the plasma, leads to an increase in the maximum and final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude and the matter-antimatter asymmetries. Furthermore, increasing the wave number k , as long as $k < k_0 = 10^{-7}$ results in the growth of final values of hypermagnetic field amplitude and matter-antimatter asymmetries. While, this behavior is reversed for $k > k_0$ due to the strength of the hypermagnetic diffusion effect in this case.

Acknowledgments: S. A. acknowledges the support of the Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) (grant No. 4003903).

References

- [1] K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng, S. H. Ho, Hypermagnetic baryogenesis, *Physics Letters B* **664** (2008), [[arXiv:0712.1523 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [2] B. Fields and S. Sarkar, Big-Bang nucleosynthesis (2006 Particle Data Group mini-review), *J. Phys. G* **33** (2006) 1 [[arXiv:astro-ph/0601514](#)]; G. Steigman, Primordial Nucleosynthesis: The Predicted and Observed Abundances and Their Consequences, *PoS NICXI* (2010) 001, [[arXiv:1008.4765 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [3] V. Simha and G. Steigman, Constraining The Early-Universe Baryon Density And Expansion Rate, *JCAP* **0806** (2008) 016, [[arXiv:0803.3465 \[astro-ph\]](#)].
- [4] I. Affleck and M. Dine, A New Mechanism for Baryogenesis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **249** (1985) 361, [DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(85)90021-5].
- [5] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification, *Phys. Lett. B* **174** (1986) 45, [DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(86)91126-3].

- [6] J. Shelton and K.M. Zurek, Darkogenesis: A baryon asymmetry from the dark matter sector, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 123512, [[arXiv:1008.1997](#)]. .
- [7] G. Panotopoulos and N. Videla, Baryogenesis via leptogenesis in multi-field inflation, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 774, [[arXiv:1809.07633 \[gr-qc\]](#)].
- [8] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, On the Anomalous Electroweak Baryon Number Nonconservation in the Early Universe, *Phys. Lett. B* **155** (1985) 36, [DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(85)91028-7].
- [9] M. E. Shaposhnikov, Structure of the High Temperature Gauge Ground State and Electroweak Production of the Baryon Asymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **299**, 797 (1988); M. Giovannini and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Primordial hypermagnetic fields and triangle anomaly, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 2186 (1998), [[arXiv:hep-ph/9710234](#)].
- [10] M. M. Anber, E. Sabancilar, Hypermagnetic Fields and Baryon Asymmetry from Pseudoscalar Inflation, *Phys.Rev. D* **92** (2015) no.10, 101501, [[arXiv:1507.00744](#)].
- [11] M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, Leptogenesis via hypermagnetic fields and baryon asymmetry, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1202** (2012) 040; Erratum: *JCAP* **1208** (2012) E01, [[arXiv:1111.6876 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [12] M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, Lepton asymmetry growth in the symmetric phase of an electroweak plasma with hypermagnetic fields versus its washing out by sphalerons, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 025023 (2013), [[arXiv:1212.1416 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [13] V. B. Semikoz, A. Yu. Smirnov, and D. D. Sokoloff, Generation of hypermagnetic helicity and leptogenesis in the early Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 103003 (2016), [[arXiv:1604.02273 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [14] K. Kamada and A. J. Long, evolution of the baryon asymmetry through the electroweak crossover in the presence of a helical magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 123509 (2016), [[arXiv:1610.03074 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [15] K. Kamada and A. J. Long, Baryogenesis from decaying magnetic helicity, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 063501 (2016), [[arXiv:1606.08891 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [16] T. Fujita and K. Kamada, Large-scale magnetic fields can explain the baryon asymmetry of the Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 083520 (2016), [[arXiv:1602.02109v2 \[hep-ph\]](#)].

- [17] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Contributions to the $U_Y(1)$ Chern-Simons term and the evolution of fermionic asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 056013 (2016), [[arXiv:1512.01942 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [18] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Effects of the $U_Y(1)$ Chern-Simons term and its baryonic contribution on matter asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 056001 (2017), [[arXiv:1607.00650 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [19] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, A Minimal System Including Weak Sphalerons for Investigating the Evolution of Matter Asymmetries and Hypermagnetic Fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 096009, (2019), [[arXiv:1812.10092 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [20] A. D. Sakharov, Violation of CP Invariance, C Asymmetry, and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe, *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 5 (1967) 32 [*JETP Lett.* 5 (1967) 24] [*Sov. Phys. Usp.* 34 (1991) 392] [*Usp. Fiz. Nauk* 161 (1991) 61], [DOI: 10.1070/PU1991v034n05ABEH002497].
- [21] S. L. Adler, Axial-vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2426 (1969), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.177.2426].
- [22] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, *Nuovo Cimento A* **60**, 47 (1969).
- [23] G. 't Hooft, "Symmetry Breaking Through Bell-Jackiw Anomalies," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** (1976), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.8].
- [24] L.D. McLerran, E. Mottola and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Sphalerons and Axion Dynamics in High Temperature QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 2027, [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.43.2027].
- [25] G.D. Moore, Computing the strong sphaleron rate, *Phys. Lett. B* **412** (1997) 359, [arXiv:\[hep-ph/9705248\]](#).
- [26] N. Manton, Topology in the Weinberg-Salam Theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **28** (1983) 2019, [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.28.2019].
- [27] F.R. Klinkhamer and N. Manton, A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg-Salam Theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 2212, [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2212].
- [28] G.D. Moore, C.r. Hu and B. Muller, Chern-Simons number diffusion with hard thermal loops, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 045001 [arXiv:\[hep-ph/9710436\]](#)

- [29] M. D’Onofrio, K. Rummukainen and A. Tranberg, The Sphaleron Rate through the Electroweak Cross-over, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 123, [arXiv:1207.0685].
- [30] A. J. Long, E. Sabancilar, and T. Vachaspati, Leptogenesis and primordial magnetic fields, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 02 (2014) 036, [arXiv:1309.2315 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [31] M. Joyce and M. Shaposhnikov, Primordial magnetic fields, right-handed electrons, and the Abelian anomaly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1193 (1997), [arXiv:astro-ph/9703005].
- [32] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen, and M. Shaposhnikov, A Non-Perturbative Analysis of the Finite T Phase Transition in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Electroweak Theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **493**, 413 (1997), [arXiv:hep-lat/9612006].
- [33] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski, and L. G. Yaffe, QCD and Instantons at finite temperature, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981), [DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.53.43].
- [34] M.L. Bernet, F. Miniati, S.J. Lilly, P.P. Kronberg and M. Dessauges-Zavadsky, Strong magnetic fields in normal galaxies at high redshifts, *Nature* 454 (2008) 302, [arXiv:0807.3347 [astro-ph]].
- [35] A. Neronov and I. Vovk, Evidence for strong extragalactic magnetic fields from Fermi observations of TeV blazars, *Science* 328 (2010) 73, [arXiv:1006.3504 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [36] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, Cosmological magnetic fields: Their generation, evolution and observation, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21**, 62 (2013), [arXiv:1303.7121 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [37] M. L. Bernet, F. Miniati, S. J. Lilly, P. P. Kronberg and M. Dessauges-Zavadsky, Strong magnetic fields in normal galaxies at high redshift, *Nature* 454 (2008) 302, [arXiv:0807.3347 [astro-ph]].
- [38] F. Tavecchio, G. Ghisellini, L. Foschini, G. Bonnoli, G. Ghirlanda and P. Coppi, The intergalactic magnetic field constrained by Fermi/Large Area Telescope observations of the TeV blazar 1ES 0229+200, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 406 (2010) L70, [arXiv:1004.1329 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [39] S. i. Ando and A. Kusenko, Evidence for gamma-ray halos around active galactic nuclei and the first measurement of intergalactic magnetic fields, *Astrophys. J.* 722 (2010) L39, [arXiv:1005.1924 [astro-ph.HE]].

- [40] A. Neronov and I. Vovk, Evidence for Strong Extragalactic Magnetic Fields from Fermi Observations of TeV Blazars, *Science* **328** (2010) 73, [[arXiv:1006.3504 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#)].
- [41] M. Sydorenko, O. Tomalakb, and Y. Shtanova, Magnetic fields and chiral asymmetry in the early hot Universe, *JCAP* **10**, (2016), 018, [[arXiv:1007.3891 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)]
- [42] A. Kandus, K. E. Kunze and C. G. Tsagas, Primordial magnetogenesis, *Phys. Reports* **505**, 1 (2011), [[arXiv:1007.3891 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [43] R. M. Kulsrud and E. G. Zweibel, The Origin of Astrophysical Magnetic Fields, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **71**, 0046091 (2008), [[arXiv:0707.2783 \[astro-ph\]](#)].
- [44] A. K. Pandey, Origin and dynamics of the Primordial Magnetic field in a parity violating plasma, [[arXiv:1712.06291 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [45] K. Subramanian, Magnetizing the universe, *PoS(MRU)071* (2007), [[arXiv:0802.2804 \[astro-ph\]](#)].
- [46] H. Tashiro, T. Vachaspati, and A. Vilenkin, Chiral effects and cosmic magnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 105033 (2012), [[arXiv:1206.5549 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [47] S. Abbaslu, S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Contribution of the chiral vortical effect to the evolution of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the early Universe, [[arXiv:1908.10105 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [48] S. Abbaslu, S. Rostam Zadeh, M. Mehraeen and S. S. Gousheh, “The generation of matter-antimatter asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields by the chiral vortical effect of transient fluctuations,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.6, 500 (2021) [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09272-9] [[arXiv:2001.03499 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [49] A. Vilenkin, Macroscopic Parity Violating Effects: Neutrino Fluxes From Rotating Black Holes And In Rotating Thermal Radiation, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 1807 (1979), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.20.1807];
- [50] D.T. Son, P. Surowka, Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601, [[arXiv:0906.5044 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [51] A. V. Sadofyev, V. I .Shevchenko, V. I. Zakharov, Notes on chiral hydrodynamics within effective theory approach, *Phys.Rev.D* **83**, 105025, (2011), [[arXiv:1012.1958 \[hep-th\]](#)].

- [52] Shi Pu, Jian-hua Gao and Qun Wang, A consistent description of kinetic equation with triangle anomaly, *Phys.Rev. D* **83**, 094017 (2011), [[arXiv:1008.2418 \[nucl-th\]](#)].
- [53] V.P. Kirilin, A.V. Sadofyev, V.I. Zakharov. Chiral Vortical Effect in Superfluid, *Phys.Rev. D***86**, 025021, (2012), [[arXiv:1203.6312 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [54] A. Avkhadiiev V.P.Kirilin, A. V. Sadofyev and V. I. Zakharov, On consistency of hydrodynamic approximation for chiral media, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016), [[arXiv:1402.3587 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [55] A. Avkhadiiev and A. V. Sadofyev, Chiral Vortical Effect for Bosons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.4, 045015 (2017), [[arXiv:1702.07340 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [56] V. P. Kirilin, A. V. Sadofyev, Anomalous Transport and Generalized Axial Charge, *Phys.Rev. D***96** (2017) no.1, 016019, [[arXiv:1703.02483 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [57] Omer F. Dayi, Eda Kiliñarslan, Quantum Kinetic Equation in the Rotating Frame and Chiral Kinetic Theory, *Phys.Rev. D* **98** (2018), [[arXiv:1807.05912 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [58] Tamal K. Mukherjee, Soma Sanyal, Particle temperature and the Chiral Vortical Effect in the early Universe, *Modern Physics Letters A* Vol. 32, No. 32 (2017), [[arXiv:1709.00211 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [59] A. Vilenkin, Equilibrium Parity Violating Current In A Magnetic Field, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 3080 (1980), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.22.3080].
- [60] D. Kharzeev, Parity violation in hot QCD: Why it can happen, and how to look for it, *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 260 (2006), [[hep-ph/0406125](#)].
- [61] D. Kharzeev and A. Zhitnitsky, Charge separation induced by P-odd bubbles in QCD matter, *Nucl. Phys. A* **797**, 67 (2007), [[arXiv:0706.1026 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [62] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, The Effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation', *Nucl. Phys. A* **803**, 227 (2008), [[arXiv:0711.0950 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [63] Jian-Hua Gao, Zuo-Tang Liang, Shi Pu, Qun Wang, Xin-Nian Wang, Chiral Anomaly and Local Polarization Effect from Quantum Kinetic Approach, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109**, (2012) ,32301, [[arXiv:1203.0725 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [64] D.E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S.A. Voloshin, G. Wang, Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions-A status report, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **88**, (2016), 1-28, [[arXiv:1511.04050 \[hep-ph\]](#)].

- [65] S. Abbaslu, S. R. Zadeh, A. Rezaei and S. S. Gousheh, “Effects of nonhelical component of hypermagnetic field on the evolution of the matter-antimatter asymmetry, vorticity, and hypermagnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.5, 056028 (2021) [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.056028] [[arXiv:2104.05013 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [66] M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, Lepton asymmetry growth in the symmetric phase of an electroweak plasma with hypermagnetic fields versus its washing out by sphalerons, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 025023 (2013), [[arXiv:1212.1416 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [67] J. De Vries, M. Postma and J. van de Vis, The role of leptons in electroweak baryogenesis, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 024, [[arXiv:1811.11104 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [68] T. Fujita and K. Kamada, “Large-scale magnetic fields can explain the baryon asymmetry of the Universe,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.8, 083520 (2016) [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.083520] [[arXiv:1602.02109 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [69] S. Davidson, K. Kainulainen and K. A. Olive, “Protecting the Baryon asymmetry with thermal masses,” *Phys. Lett. B* **335**, 339-344 (1994) [DOI:10.1016/0370-2693(94)90361-1] [[arXiv:hep-ph/9405215 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [70] Y. Kawamura, “Yukawa interactions, flavor symmetry, and non-canonical Kähler potential,” *PTEP* **2019**, no.4, 043B05 (2019) [DOI:10.1093/ptep/ptz018] [[arXiv:1808.06315 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [71] A. De Simone, G. Nardini, M. Quiros, and A. Riotto, magnetic fields at first order phase transition: a threat to electroweak baryogenesis, *JCAP* **1110** (2011) 030, [[arXiv:1107.4317 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [72] C. P. Dettmann, N. E. Frankel, and V. Kowalenko, Plasma electrodynamics in the expanding Universe, *Phys Rev. D* **48**, 12 (1993), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5655].
- [73] K. Subramanian, J. D. Barrow, Magnetohydrodynamics in the Early Universe and the Damping of Non-linear Alfvén Waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 083502, (1998), [[arXiv:astro-ph/9712083](#)].
- [74] P. Pavlotic, N. Leite, G. Sigl, Chiral Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, *Phys Rev. D* **96**, 023504 (2017), [[arXiv:1612.07382 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [75] S. Abbaslu, S. R. Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, “Thermovortical effect and magnetobaryogenesis,” [[arXiv:2108.10035 \[hep-ph\]](#)].

- [76] V. Rubakov and A. Tavkhelidze, Stable anomalous states of superdense matter in gauge theories, *Phys. Lett. B* **165**, 109 (1985), [DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(85)90701-4], V. Rubakov, On the electroweak theory at high fermion density, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75**, 366 (1986), [DOI: 10.1143/PTP.75.366].
- [77] M. Giovannini and M. E. Shaposhnikov, “Primordial hypermagnetic fields and triangle anomaly,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 2186-2206 (1998) [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.2186] [[arXiv:hep-ph/9710234 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [78] M. Giovannini, “Anomalous Magnetohydrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 063536 (2013) [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.063536] [[arXiv:1307.2454 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [79] F. Elahi and S. R. Zadeh, “Flavon Magneto-Baryogenesis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.9, 096018 (2020) [[arXiv:2008.04434 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [80] S. Anand, J. R. Bhatt and A. K. Pandey, “Chiral Battery, scaling laws and magnetic fields,” *JCAP* **07**, 051 (2017) [DOI:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/07/051], [[arXiv:1705.03683 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [81] J. A. Harvey and M. S. Turner, “Cosmological baryon and lepton number in the presence of electroweak fermion number violation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 3344-3349 (1990) [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.42.3344].