

THREE-BODY STRONG DECAYS OF THE $Y(4230)$ VIA THE LIGHT-CONE QCD SUM RULES

Zhi-Gang Wang¹

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

Abstract

We tentatively assign the $Y(4230)$ as the vector tetraquark state with a relative P-wave between the scalar diquark pair, and explore the three-body strong decays $Y(4230) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+$, $\bar{D}^{*-} D^0 \pi^+$, $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $J/\psi K^+ K^-$ with the light-cone QCD sum rules by assuming contact four-meson coupling constants. The resulting partial decay widths are too small to account for the experimental data, and we expect those decays take place through an intermediate meson. We can search for the intermediate states and precisely measure the branching fractions to diagnose the nature of the Y states.

PACS number: 12.39.Mk, 12.38.Lg

Key words: Tetraquark state, QCD sum rules

1 Introduction

In the past years, several vector charmonium-like states have been observed, they cannot be accommodated comfortably in the traditional charmonia.

In 2005, the BaBar collaboration investigated the initial-state radiation (ISR) process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ and observed the $Y(4260)$ in the $\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ mass spectrum [1], subsequently, the $Y(4260)$ was confirmed by the Belle and CLEO collaborations [2, 3].

In 2006, the BaBar collaboration observed a broad structure at 4.32 GeV in the $\pi^+ \pi^- \psi'$ mass spectrum in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi'$ [4]. In 2007, the Belle collaboration studied the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \pi^+ \pi^- \psi'$, and observed two structures $Y(4360)$ and $Y(4660)$ in the $\pi^+ \pi^- \psi'$ mass spectrum [5, 6]. In 2008, the Belle collaboration explored the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ and observed the $Y(4630)$ in the $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ mass spectrum [7]. The $Y(4360)$ and $Y(4660/4630)$ were confirmed by the BaBar collaboration [8].

In 2014, the BESIII collaboration searched for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c0/1/2}$, and observed a resonance $Y(4220)$ in the $\omega \chi_{c0}$ cross section, the measured mass and width are $4230 \pm 8 \pm 6$ MeV and $38 \pm 12 \pm 2$ MeV, respectively [9]. In 2016, the BESIII collaboration measured the cross sections of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- h_c$, and observed two resonances, the $Y(4220)$ has a mass of $4218.4^{+5.5}_{-4.5} \pm 0.9$ MeV and a width of $66.0^{+12.3}_{-8.3} \pm 0.4$ MeV, respectively, and the $Y(4390)$ has a mass of $4391.6^{+6.3}_{-6.8} \pm 1.0$ MeV and a width of $139.5^{+16.2}_{-20.6} \pm 0.6$ MeV, respectively [10]. Also in 2016, the BESIII collaboration precisely measured the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ and observed two resonances, which are consistent with the $Y(4230)$ and $Y(4360)$, respectively [11].

In 2018, the BESIII collaboration measured the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 \bar{D}^{*-}$ and observed two enhancements around 4.23 and 4.40 GeV, respectively, the lower enhancement has a mass of $4228.6 \pm 4.1 \pm 6.3$ MeV and a width of $77.0 \pm 6.8 \pm 6.3$ MeV, and it is compatible with the $Y(4230)$ [12]. In 2022, the BESIII collaboration explored the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$ cross sections and observed two resonant structures, one is consistent with the well-known $Y(4230)$; the other was observed for the first time and denoted as the $Y(4500)$ [13].

Recently, the BESIII collaboration explored the Born cross sections of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+$ and observed three enhancements, whose masses are $4209.6 \pm 4.7 \pm 5.9$ MeV, $4469.1 \pm 26.2 \pm 3.6$ MeV and $4675.3 \pm 29.5 \pm 3.5$ MeV, respectively, and widths are $81.6 \pm 17.8 \pm 9.0$ MeV, $246.3 \pm 36.7 \pm 9.4$ MeV and $218.3 \pm 72.9 \pm 9.3$ MeV, respectively, and they are consistent with the $Y(4230)$, $Y(4500)$ and $Y(4660)$ states, respectively [14].

There have been several assignments for those Y states, such as the tetraquark states [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29], hybrid states [30, 31, 32, 33], hadro-charmonium

¹E-mail: zgwang@aliyun.com.

states [34, 35], molecular states [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46], kinematical effects [47, 48, 49, 50], baryonium states [51], etc. The $Y(4260)$, which is the milestone of the Y states, has been extensively studied.

In the present work, we will focus on the scenario of tetraquark states. In Ref.[15], L. Maiani et al assign the $Y(4260)$ as the first orbital excitation of a (scalar)diquark-(scalar)antidiquark state $[cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ based on the spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions. In Ref.[17], A. Ali et al investigate the hidden-charm P-wave tetraquarks and the newly observed excited charmed Ω_c states in the diquark model using the spin-spin, spin-orbit and tensor interactions, and observe that the preferred assignments of the ground state tetraquark states with $L = 1$ are the $Y(4220)$, $Y(4330)$, $Y(4390)$, $Y(4660)$ rather than the $Y(4008)$, $Y(4260)$, $Y(4360)$, $Y(4660)$. However, the observation of the process $Y(4260) \rightarrow Z_c(3900)^\pm \pi^\mp \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ disfavors assigning the $Y(4230)$ as tetraquark state with the symbolic quark constituents $cs\bar{c}\bar{s}$ [52, 53].

In Ref.[20], we introduce an explicit P-wave between the diquark and antidiquark to construct the four-quark currents, and study the vector tetraquark states with the QCD sum rules systematically, and obtain the lowest vector tetraquark masses up to now. The predictions support assigning the $Y(4220/4260)$, $Y(4320/4360)$ and $Y(4390)$ as the vector tetraquark states with a relative P-wave between the diquark (qc) and antidiquark ($\bar{q}\bar{c}$) pair.

In Ref.[23], we take the scalar, pseudoscalar, axialvector, vector and tensor (anti)diquarks as the basic building blocks to construct vector and tensor four-quark currents without introducing explicit P-waves, as the P-waves are implied in negative-parity of the (anti)diquarks, and explore the mass spectrum of the vector hidden-charm tetraquark states via the QCD sum rules comprehensively, and obtain the lowest tetraquark mass about 4.35 GeV and revisit the assignments of the Y states. At the energy about 4.5 GeV, we obtain three hidden-charm tetraquark states with the $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, the tetraquark states with the symbolic structures $[uc]_{\bar{V}}[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_A - [uc]_A[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_{\bar{V}}$, $[uc]_{\bar{A}}[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_V + [uc]_V[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_{\bar{A}}$ and $[uc]_S[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_{\bar{V}} - [uc]_{\bar{V}}[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_S$ have the masses 4.53 ± 0.07 GeV, 4.48 ± 0.08 GeV and 4.50 ± 0.09 GeV, respectively, thus we have three candidates for the newly observed $Y(4500)$ [14]. At the energy about 4.7 GeV, we obtain the mass 4.69 ± 0.08 GeV for the $[uc]_A[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_A$ tetraquark state with the $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, which is in very good agreement with the newly observed $Y(4708)$ [54]. It is not necessary that the Y states below and above 4.4 GeV to have the same structures.

We cannot assign a hadron unambiguously with the mass alone, we have to explore the decay width to make more robust assignment. In this work, we tentatively assign the $Y(4230)$ as the tetraquark state $|S_{qc}, S_{\bar{q}\bar{c}}; S, L; J\rangle = |0, 0; 0, 1; 1\rangle$ with the $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ according to the calculations in Ref.[20], and extend our previous works to study the three-body strong decays $Y(4230) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$, $J/\psi K^+ K^-$, $\bar{D}^{*-} D^0 \pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+$ with the light-cone QCD sum rules [55]. In Ref.[55], we tentatively assign the $Y(4500)$ as the $[uc]_{\bar{A}}[\bar{u}\bar{c}]_V + [uc]_V[\bar{u}\bar{c}]_{\bar{A}} + [dc]_{\bar{A}}[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_V + [dc]_V[\bar{d}\bar{c}]_{\bar{A}}$ tetraquark state with the $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, and suggest to calculate the four-meson coupling constants via the light-cone QCD sum rules directly based on rigorous quark-hadron duality, then study three-body decay $Y(4500) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+$.

The article is arranged as follows: we obtain the light-cone QCD sum rules for the four-meson coupling constants in section 2; in section 3, we present numerical results and discussions; section 4 is reserved for our conclusion.

2 Light-cone QCD sum rules for the four-meson coupling constants

Firstly, we write down the three-point correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q)$, $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q)$ and $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q)$ in the light-cone QCD sum rules,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{-ip\cdot x} e^{-iq\cdot y} \langle 0 | T \left\{ J_\mu^Y(0) J_\alpha^{D^{*+}}(x) J_\beta^{\bar{D}^{*0}}(y) \right\} | \pi(r) \rangle, \\ \Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q) &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{-ip\cdot x} e^{-iq\cdot y} \langle 0 | T \left\{ J_\mu^Y(0) J_\alpha^{D^{*+}}(x) J^{\bar{D}^0}(y) \right\} | \pi(r) \rangle, \\ \Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q) &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{-ip\cdot x} e^{-iq\cdot y} \langle 0 | T \left\{ J_\mu^Y(0) J_\alpha^{J/\psi}(x) J^{\pi^+}(y) \right\} | \pi(r) \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where the currents

$$\begin{aligned}J_\mu^Y(0) &= \frac{\varepsilon^{ijk} \varepsilon^{imn}}{2} \left\{ u_j^T(0) C \gamma_5 c_k(0) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \bar{u}_m(0) \gamma_5 \bar{c}_n^T(0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_j^T(0) C \gamma_5 c_k(0) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \bar{d}_m(0) \gamma_5 \bar{c}_n^T(0) \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}J_\alpha^{D^{*+}}(x) &= \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\alpha c(x), \\ J_\beta^{\bar{D}^{*0}}(x) &= \bar{c}(y) \gamma_\beta u(y), \\ J^{\bar{D}^0}(y) &= \bar{c}(y) i \gamma_5 u(y), \\ J_\alpha^{J/\psi}(x) &= \bar{c}(x) \gamma_\alpha c(x), \\ J^{\pi^+}(x) &= \bar{d}(y) i \gamma_5 u(y),\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

interpolate the mesons $Y(4230)$, \bar{D}^* , D^* , D , J/ψ and π respectively [20], the $|\pi(r)\rangle$ is the external π state, the derivative $\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu = \overrightarrow{\partial}_\mu - \overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu$ embodies the P-wave effects. We resort to the correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q)$, $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q)$ and $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q)$ to explore the hadronic coupling constants in the three-body strong decays $Y(4230) \rightarrow \bar{D}^* D^* \pi^+$, $\bar{D}^* D \pi^+$ and $J/\psi \pi^- \pi^+$, respectively.

At the hadron side, we insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states having potential couplings with the interpolating currents into the three-point correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q)$, $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q)$ and $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q)$, and isolate the ground state contributions explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) &= \lambda_Y f_{D^*}^2 m_{D^*}^2 \frac{-iG_{Ar\tau} + iG_{Bp\tau}'}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)} \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} \left(-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{p'_\mu p'_\rho}{p'^2} \right) \\ &\quad \left(-g_{\alpha\sigma} + \frac{p_\alpha p_\sigma}{p^2} \right) \left(-g_{\lambda\beta} + \frac{q_\lambda q_\beta}{q^2} \right) + \dots,\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_D m_D^2}{m_c} \frac{iG_C}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_D^2 - q^2)} \left(-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{p'_\mu p'_\rho}{p'^2} \right) \\ &\quad \left(-g_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{p_\alpha p_\rho}{p^2} \right) + \dots, \\ &= i\Pi_C(p'^2, p^2, q^2) g_{\mu\alpha} + \dots,\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} \frac{iG_D q \cdot r}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_\pi^2 - q^2)} \left(-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{p'_\mu p'_\rho}{p'^2} \right) \\ &\quad \left(-g_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{p_\alpha p_\rho}{p^2} \right) + \dots, \\ &= i\Pi_D(p'^2, p^2, q^2) q \cdot r g_{\mu\alpha} + \dots,\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_C(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_D m_D^2}{m_c} \frac{G_C}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_D^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \\ \Pi_D(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} \frac{G_D}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_\pi^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$p' = p + q + r$, the decay constants λ_Y , f_{D^*} , $f_{\bar{D}^*}$, f_D , $f_{J/\psi}$, f_π and hadronic coupling constants G_A , G_B , G_C , G_D are defined by,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 | J_\mu^Y(0) | Y_c(p') \rangle &= \lambda_Y \varepsilon_\mu, \\ \langle 0 | J_\alpha^{D^* \dagger}(0) | \bar{D}^*(p) \rangle &= f_{\bar{D}^*} m_{\bar{D}^*} \xi_\alpha, \\ \langle 0 | J_\beta^{\bar{D}^* \dagger}(0) | D^*(q) \rangle &= f_{D^*} m_{D^*} \zeta_\beta, \\ \langle 0 | J_\alpha^{J/\psi}(0) | J/\psi(p) \rangle &= f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \xi_\alpha, \\ \langle 0 | J^{\bar{D} \dagger}(0) | D(q) \rangle &= \frac{f_D m_D^2}{m_c}, \\ \langle 0 | J^{\pi \dagger}(0) | \pi(q) \rangle &= \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Y_c(p') | \bar{D}^*(p) D^*(q) \pi(r) \rangle &= G_A \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} \varepsilon_\rho^* \xi_\sigma \zeta_\lambda r_\tau - G_B \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} \varepsilon_\rho^* \xi_\sigma \zeta_\lambda p'_\tau, \\ \langle Y_c(p') | \bar{D}^*(p) D(q) \pi(r) \rangle &= -G_C \varepsilon^* \cdot \xi, \\ \langle Y_c(p') | J/\psi(p) \pi(q) \pi(r) \rangle &= -G_D q \cdot r \varepsilon^* \cdot \xi, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

the ε_μ , ξ_α and ζ_β are polarization vectors of the $Y(4230)$, $\bar{D}^*(J/\psi)$ and D^* mesons, respectively. In the correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^1(p, q)$ and $\Pi_{\mu\alpha}^2(p, q)$, see Eqs.(5)-(6), there are other tensor structures, which lead to different QCD sum rules, those QCD sum rules have shortcomings in one way or the other, and we discard them.

In the isospin limit, $m_u = m_d$, $f_{D^*} = f_{\bar{D}^*}$ and $m_{D^*} = m_{\bar{D}^*}$. The vertex $\langle Y_c(p') | J/\psi(p) K(q) K(r) \rangle = -G_E q \cdot r \varepsilon \cdot \xi$, in the $SU(3)$ limit, $G_E = G_D$, we have a universal coupling constant.

The tensor structures in the correlation function $\Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q)$, see Eq.(4), are complex, we should simplify them, and thus facilitate the calculations at the QCD side. We multiply Eq.(4) with the tensor $\varepsilon_{\theta\omega}^{\alpha\beta}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi}_{\mu\theta\omega}(p, q) &= \varepsilon_{\theta\omega}^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) \\ &= \lambda_Y f_{\bar{D}^*}^2 m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 \frac{iG_A (g_{\mu\omega} r_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} r_\omega) - iG_B (g_{\mu\omega} p'_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} p'_\omega)}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \\ &= \left\{ i\Pi_A(p'^2, p^2, q^2) - i\Pi_B(p'^2, p^2, q^2) \right\} (g_{\mu\omega} r_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} r_\omega) \\ &\quad - i\Pi_B(p'^2, p^2, q^2) (g_{\mu\omega} q_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} q_\omega) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_A(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \lambda_Y f_{\bar{D}^*}^2 m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 \frac{G_A}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \\ \Pi_B(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \lambda_Y f_{\bar{D}^*}^2 m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 \frac{G_B}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{\bar{D}^*}^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

then we choose the tensor structures $g_{\mu\omega} r_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} r_\omega$ and $g_{\mu\omega} q_\theta - g_{\mu\theta} q_\omega$ to explore the G_A and G_B , respectively. Here we also neglect the tensor structures, which cannot end up with good QCD sum rules.

After choosing the best tensor structures therefore the best components of the correlation functions, we obtain the hadronic spectral densities $\rho_H(s', s, u)$ through triple dispersion relation,

$$\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2) = \int_{\Delta_s'^2}^{\infty} ds' \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{\infty} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{\infty} du \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)}, \quad (12)$$

where the $\Delta_s'^2$, Δ_s^2 and Δ_u^2 are the thresholds, we add the subscript H to denote the hadron side, and $H = A, B, C$ and D .

Now we explore the QCD side with an eye on the relevant tensor structures, we carry out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 5 and neglect the tiny gluon condensate contributions [55, 56, 57], and choose the π -meson light-cone wave functions [58], which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \bar{d}(0) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(x) | \pi(r) \rangle &= i f_\pi r_\mu \int_0^1 du e^{-iur \cdot x} \varphi_\pi(u) + \dots, \\ \langle 0 | \bar{d}(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 u(x) | \pi(r) \rangle &= \frac{i}{6} \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} (r_\mu x_\nu - r_\nu x_\mu) \int_0^1 du e^{-iur \cdot x} \varphi_\sigma(u), \\ \langle 0 | \bar{d}(0) i \gamma_5 u(x) | \pi(r) \rangle &= \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} \int_0^1 du e^{-iur \cdot x} \varphi_5(u), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and take the approximation,

$$\langle 0 | \bar{d}(x_1) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 g_s G_{\alpha\beta}(x_2) u(x_3) | \pi(r) \rangle = i f_{3\pi} (r_\mu r_\alpha g_{\nu\beta} + r_\nu r_\beta g_{\mu\alpha} - r_\nu r_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} - r_\mu r_\beta g_{\nu\alpha}), \quad (14)$$

for the twist-3 quark-gluon light-cone wave functions. In calculations, we find that the terms proportional to m_π^2 are greatly suppressed and neglect them safely, except for the case that the terms proportional to $\frac{m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d}$ are Chiral enhanced due to the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation $\frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} = -\frac{2\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{f_\pi}$, and we take account of their contributions fully. Now we list out the π -meson light-cone wave functions of twist-2 and twist-3 explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\pi(u) &= 6u\bar{u} \left[1 + A_2 \frac{3}{2} (5t^2 - 1) + A_4 \frac{15}{8} (21t^4 - 14t^2 + 1) \right], \\ \varphi_5(u) &= 1 + B_2 \frac{1}{2} (3t^2 - 1) + B_4 \frac{1}{8} (35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3), \\ \varphi_\sigma(u) &= 6u\bar{u} \left[1 + C_2 \frac{3}{2} (5t^2 - 1) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $t = 2u - 1$, and the coefficients $A_2 = 0.44$, $A_4 = 0.25$, $B_2 = 0.43$, $B_4 = 0.10$, $C_2 = 0.09$, and the decay constant $f_{3\pi} = 0.0035 \text{ GeV}^2$ at the energy scale $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$ [58, 59]. We neglect the twist-4 light-cone wave functions due to their small contributions considering the associated factor m_π^2 .

In the soft limit $r_\mu \rightarrow 0$, $\tilde{q}^2 = (q + r)^2 = q^2$ and $\tilde{p}^2 = (p + r)^2 = p^2$, we set $\Pi_{A/B/C/D}(p^2, \tilde{p}^2, q^2)$ or $\Pi_{A/B/C/D}(p^2, \tilde{q}^2, q^2) = \Pi_{A/B/C/D}(p^2, q^2)$ to simplify the analytical expressions, then we obtain the QCD spectral densities $\rho_{QCD}(s, u)$ through double dispersion relation,

$$\Pi_{A/B/C/D}^{QCD}(p^2, q^2) = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{\infty} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{\infty} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s - p^2)(u - q^2)}, \quad (16)$$

again the Δ_s^2 and Δ_u^2 are the thresholds, we add the superscript or subscript QCD to denote the QCD side.

We match the hadron side with the QCD side bellow the continuum thresholds s_0 and u_0 to obtain rigorous quark-hadron duality [56, 57],

$$\int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{u_0} du \frac{\rho_{QCD}(s, u)}{(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} = \int_{\Delta_s^2}^{s_0} ds \int_{\Delta_u^2}^{u_0} du \left[\int_{\Delta_s'^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_H(s', s, u)}{(s' - p'^2)(s - p^2)(u - q^2)} \right]. \quad (17)$$

To facilitate the procedure beyond formal calculations, we carry out the integral over ds' firstly, then write down the hadron representation explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A/B}(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{D^*}^2 m_{D^*}^2 G_{A/B}}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_{A/B}}{(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \\
\Pi_C(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_D m_D^2 G_C}{m_c(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_D^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_C}{(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_D^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \\
\Pi_D(p'^2, p^2, q^2) &= \frac{\lambda_Y f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} \mu_\pi G_D}{(m_Y^2 - p'^2)(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_\pi^2 - q^2)} + \frac{C_D}{(m_{J/\psi}^2 - p^2)(m_\pi^2 - q^2)} + \dots, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_\pi = \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d}$, we introduce the parameters $C_{A/B/C/D}$ to parameterize the contributions involving the higher resonances and continuum states in the s' channel,

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{A/B} &= \int_{s'_0}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{A/B}(s', m_{D^*}^2, m_{D^*}^2)}{s' - p'^2}, \\
C_C &= \int_{s'_0}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\tilde{\rho}_C(s', m_{D^*}^2, m_D^2)}{s' - p'^2}, \\
C_D &= \int_{s'_0}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\tilde{\rho}_D(s', m_{J/\psi}^2, m_\pi^2)}{s' - p'^2}, \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

where the hadronic spectral densities $\rho_H(s', s, u) = \tilde{\rho}_{A/B}(s', s, u)\delta(s - m_{D^*}^2)\delta(u - m_{D^*}^2)$, $\tilde{\rho}_C(s', s, u)\delta(s - m_{D^*}^2)\delta(u - m_D^2)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_D(s', s, u)\delta(s - m_{J/\psi}^2)\delta(u - m_\pi^2)$, respectively. We have no knowledge about the hadronic interactions so as to obtain the analytical expressions of the spectral densities $\tilde{\rho}_{A/B/C/D}(s', s, u)$ at the region $s' > s'_0$, fortunately, we take account of their contributions for the first time. In previous works except for ours, they are neglected without proving feasibility.

In numerical calculations, we take the unknown functions $C_{A/B/C/D}$ as free parameters and adjust the values to obtain flat platforms for the hadronic coupling constants $G_{A/B/C/D}$ in regard to variations of the Borel parameters. Such a method works well in the case of three-hadron contact vertexes [56, 57, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64], and four-hadron contact vertexes $Y(4500)\bar{D}^*D^*\pi$ [55]. We set $p'^2 = p^2$ in the correlation functions $\Pi_H(p'^2, p^2, q^2)$ for simplicity, and perform double Borel transform with respect to $P^2 = -p^2$ and $Q^2 = -q^2$ respectively, then we set $T_1^2 = T_2^2 = T^2$ to obtain four QCD sum rules,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_{YD^*D^*}G_A}{m_Y^2 - m_{D^*}^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_Y^2}{T^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) + C_A \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2 + m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_\pi m_c}{576\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^3 \left(s + m_c^2 + \frac{s^2 - m_c^4}{T^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{f_\pi}{192\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_\pi(u) \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^3 (s^2 + 8sm_b^2 - m_b^4) \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&- \frac{\mu_\pi m_c}{24\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) us \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^3 \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu_\pi m_c}{288\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^2 (-s + 7m_c^2) \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu_\pi m_c^2}{9} \left[\langle \bar{q}q \rangle - \frac{m_c^2 \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{4T^4} \right] \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) \exp\left(-\frac{2m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&- \frac{\mu_\pi \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{24} \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) \exp\left(-\frac{2m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu_\pi \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{108} \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) \left(-2 + \frac{m_c^2}{T^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2m_c^2 + u\bar{u}}{T^2}\right), \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_{YD^*D^*}G_B}{m_Y^2 - m_{D^*}^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_Y^2}{T^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) + C_B \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2 + m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&= -\frac{\mu_\pi \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{24} \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) \exp\left(-\frac{2m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right), \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_{YD^*D}G_C}{m_Y^2 - m_{D^*}^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_Y^2}{T^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) + C_C \exp\left(-\frac{m_{D^*}^2 + m_{D^*}^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_\pi}{192\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^3 s(s + 2m_c^2) \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu_\pi m_c^2}{192\pi^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_{D^*}^0} ds \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{s}\right)^3 (2s + m_c^2) \exp\left(-\frac{s + m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu_\pi m_c \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{24} \int_0^1 du \varphi_5(u) \exp\left(-\frac{2m_c^2 + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right), \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_{YJ/\psi\pi}G_D}{m_Y^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{T^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{m_Y^2}{T^2}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{m_{J/\psi}^2}{T^2}\right) + C_D \exp\left(-\frac{m_{J/\psi}^2 + m_{J/\psi}^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_\pi}{72\pi^2} \int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{J/\psi}^0} ds \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_c^2}{s}} \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) (s + 2m_c^2) \exp\left(-\frac{s + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right) \\
&+ \frac{f_\pi m_b}{24\pi^2} \int_{4m_c^2}^{s_{J/\psi}^0} ds \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_c^2}{s}} \int_0^1 du \varphi_\pi(u) (s - 4m_c^2) \exp\left(-\frac{s + u\bar{u}m_\pi^2}{T^2}\right), \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{YD^*D^*} = \lambda_Y f_{D^*}^2 m_{D^*}^2$, $\lambda_{YD^*D} = \frac{\lambda_Y f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_D m_D^2}{m_c}$ and $\lambda_{YJ/\psi\pi} = \frac{\lambda_Y f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi} f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d}$.

3 Numerical results and discussions

We take the standard values of the vacuum condensates, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -(0.24 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV})^3$, $\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, $m_0^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.1) \text{ GeV}^2$ at the energy scale $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$ [65, 66, 67], and take the \overline{MS} mass $m_c(m_c) = (1.275 \pm 0.025) \text{ GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [68]. We set $m_u = m_d = 0$ and take account of the energy-scale dependence of the input parameters,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \bar{q}q \rangle(\mu) &= \langle \bar{q}q \rangle(1\text{GeV}) \left[\frac{\alpha_s(1\text{GeV})}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right]^{\frac{12}{33-2n_f}}, \\
\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle(\mu) &= \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle(1\text{GeV}) \left[\frac{\alpha_s(1\text{GeV})}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right]^{\frac{2}{33-2n_f}}, \\
m_c(\mu) &= m_c(m_c) \left[\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(m_c)} \right]^{\frac{12}{33-2n_f}}, \\
\alpha_s(\mu) &= \frac{1}{b_0 t} \left[1 - \frac{b_1 \log t}{b_0^2 t} + \frac{b_1^2 (\log^2 t - \log t - 1) + b_0 b_2}{b_0^4 t^2} \right], \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

where $t = \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}$, $b_0 = \frac{33-2n_f}{12\pi}$, $b_1 = \frac{153-19n_f}{24\pi^2}$, $b_2 = \frac{2857 - \frac{5033}{9}n_f + \frac{325}{27}n_f^2}{128\pi^3}$, $\Lambda_{QCD} = 210 \text{ MeV}$, 292 MeV and 332 MeV for the flavors $n_f = 5, 4$ and 3 , respectively [68, 69], and we choose $n_f = 4$, and evolve all the input parameters to the typical energy scale $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$.

At the hadron side, we take $m_\pi = 0.13957 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{J/\psi} = 3.0969 \text{ GeV}$, $f_\pi = 0.130 \text{ GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [68], $m_{D^*} = 2.01 \text{ GeV}$, $m_D = 1.87 \text{ GeV}$, $f_{D^*} = 263 \text{ MeV}$, $f_D = 208 \text{ MeV}$, $s_{D^*}^0 = 6.4 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_D^0 = 6.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ [70], $f_{J/\psi} = 0.418 \text{ GeV}$ [71], $m_Y = 4.24 \text{ GeV}$, $\lambda_Y = 2.31 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GeV}^6$ [20] from the QCD sum rules, and $f_\pi m_\pi^2 / (m_u + m_d) = -2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle / f_\pi$ from the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation.

In calculations, we fit the free parameters to be $C_A = 0.00011(T^2 - 4 \text{ GeV}^2) \text{ GeV}^5$ and $C_B = -0.0000084(T^2 - 4 \text{ GeV}^2) \text{ GeV}^5$, $C_C = 0.000179(T^2 - 4 \text{ GeV}^2) \text{ GeV}^6$, and $C_D = 0.0015(T^2 - 4 \text{ GeV}^2) \text{ GeV}^4$ to obtain uniform flat Borel platforms $T_{max}^2 - T_{min}^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ (just like in our previous works [55, 56, 57, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64]) via trial and error, where the max and min denote the maximum and minimum values, respectively. The Borel windows are $T_A^2 = (6.7 - 7.7) \text{ GeV}^2$, $T_B^2 = (6.1 - 7.1) \text{ GeV}^2$, $T_C^2 = (6.4 - 7.4) \text{ GeV}^2$ and $T_D^2 = (4.2 - 5.2) \text{ GeV}^2$, where the subscripts A, B, C and D denote the corresponding QCD sum rules, the uncertainties $\delta G_{A/B/C/D}$ come from the Borel parameters T^2 are less than $0.01 (\text{GeV}^{-1}/\text{GeV}/\text{GeV}^{-2})$. In Fig.1, we plot the G_A, G_B, G_C and G_D in regard to variations of the Borel parameters. In the Borel windows, there appear very flat platforms in all channels indeed, it is reasonable and reliable to extract the hadron coupling constants.

If we take the symbol ξ to stand for the input parameters at the QCD side, generally speaking, all the uncertainties originate from the QCD parameters, then the uncertainties $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \delta\xi$ result in the uncertainties $\bar{\lambda}_Y \bar{f}_{D^*} \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*} \bar{G}_{A/B} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_Y \bar{f}_{D^*} \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*} \bar{G}_{A/B} + \delta \bar{\lambda}_Y \bar{f}_{D^*} \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*} \bar{G}_{A/B}, \bar{C}_{A/B} \rightarrow \bar{C}_{A/B} + \delta \bar{C}_{A/B}$,

$$\delta \bar{\lambda}_Y \bar{f}_{D^*} \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*} \bar{G}_{A/B} = \bar{\lambda}_Y \bar{f}_{D^*} \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*} \bar{G}_{A/B} \left(\frac{\delta \bar{f}_{D^*}}{\bar{f}_{D^*}} + \frac{\delta \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*}}{\bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*}} + \frac{\delta \bar{\lambda}_Y}{\bar{\lambda}_Y} + \frac{\delta \bar{G}_{A/B}}{\bar{G}_{A/B}} \right), \tag{25}$$

where the short overline $\bar{\quad}$ on all the parameters denotes the central values. Direct calculations indicate that we can set $\delta \bar{C}_{A/B} = 0$ and $\frac{\delta \bar{f}_{D^*}}{\bar{f}_{D^*}} = \frac{\delta \bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*}}{\bar{f}_{\bar{D}^*}} = \frac{\delta \bar{\lambda}_Y}{\bar{\lambda}_Y} = \frac{\delta \bar{G}_{A/B}}{\bar{G}_{A/B}}$ approximately. And the hadronic coupling constants G_C and G_D are treated in the same way. In fact, not in all QCD sum rules we can set $\delta C = 0$ approximately, if such situations occur, we have to take account of the




Figure 1: The hadronic coupling constants with variations of the Borel parameters T^2 , where the A , B , C and D denote the G_A , G_B , G_C and G_D , respectively.

uncertainties δC . Now we obtain the hadronic coupling constants routinely,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_A &= 8.69 \pm 0.27 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \\
G_B &= 0.51 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \\
G_C &= 12.15 \pm 0.45, \\
G_D &= 18.42 \pm 0.82 \text{ GeV}^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Then we calculate the partial decay widths by taking the hadron masses $m_Y = 4.2225 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{D^{*-}} = 2.01026 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{D^{*0}} = 2.00685 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{D^0} = 1.86484 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{J/\psi} = 3.09690 \text{ GeV}$, and $m_\pi = 0.13957 \text{ GeV}$, $m_K = 0.493677 \text{ GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [68],

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(Y(4230) \rightarrow \bar{D}^* D^* \pi^+) &= 0.068_{-0.015}^{+0.017} \text{ KeV}, \\
\Gamma(Y(4230) \rightarrow \bar{D}^* D \pi^+) &= 0.12_{-0.01}^{+0.01} \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma(Y(4230) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^- \pi^+) &= 0.31_{-0.03}^{+0.03} \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma(Y(4230) \rightarrow J/\psi K^- K^+) &= 0.013_{-0.001}^{+0.001} \text{ MeV},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where we set $G_E = G_D$ in the light flavor $SU(3)$ limit.

The partial decay widths are much smaller than the average total width $\Gamma = 48 \pm 8 \text{ MeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [68], it is obvious that the contact four-meson coupling constants lead to too small partial decay widths, and disfavors observations of the $Y(4230)$ in the three-meson final states, however, the decays $Y(4230) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ [1], $\pi^+ \pi^- h_c$ [10], $\pi^+ D^0 D^{*-}$ [12], $K^+ K^- J/\psi$ [13], $D^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+$ [14] have been observed experimentally. We expect those decays take

place through an intermediate meson,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(4230) &\rightarrow Z_c(3900/4020)^\pm \pi^\mp \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^+ \pi^- h_c, \pi^\pm (D\bar{D}^*)^\mp, \pi^\pm (D^* \bar{D}^*)^\mp, \\
Y(4230) &\rightarrow J/\psi f_0(500) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-, \\
Y(4230) &\rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D_0^{*+}(2300) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D^0 \pi^+, \\
Y(4230) &\rightarrow Z_{cs}(3985)^\pm K^\mp \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-,
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

we can search for the intermediate states and precisely measure the branching fractions, which maybe shed light on the nature of the Y states. In fact, the processes $Y(4230) \rightarrow Z_c(3900)^\pm \pi^\mp \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ have been observed [52, 53]. We naively expect that the main decay channels of the vector tetraquark states are two-body strong decays $Y \rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^* \bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D^* \bar{D}$, as they would take place through the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka super-allowed fall-apart mechanism.

If we assign the $Y(4230)$ as a $\chi_{c0} \rho^0$ molecule, it is easy to interpret why the decay $Y(4230) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ has a larger branching fraction than the decay $Y(4230) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$, which has not been observed yet [46]. Furthermore, it is a direct consequence that the decay mode $Y(4230) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ is more favorable than the mode $Y(4230) \rightarrow K\bar{K} J/\psi$. However, it is difficult (not impossible) to interpret observation of the $Y(4230)$ in the $\bar{D}^* D^* \pi^+$ or $\bar{D}^* D \pi^+$ or $Z_c^\pm(3900) \pi^\mp$ invariant mass spectrum.

In Ref.[41], Chen et al study the dipion invariant mass spectrum of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Y(4230) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ process and the ratio of the cross sections $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-) / \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and observe that the $SU(3)$ octet state plays a significant role in those transitions, the $Y(4230)$ is neither a hybrid nor a conventional charmonium state, but has a sizeable $\bar{D}D_1$ component, which, however, is not completely dominant. On the other hand, the calculations based on the QCD sum rules indicate that we cannot obtain a $\bar{D}D_1$ molecular state having the mass as low as 4.2 GeV [40]. The situation is very complex as there maybe exist mixing effects [45].

Recently, the BESIII collaboration measured the Born cross sections of the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^* \bar{D}_s^*$ at center-of-mass energies from threshold to 4.95 GeV with high precision for the first time, and observed two resonance structures around 4.2 and 4.4 GeV, respectively [72]. The fitted Breit-Wigner masses are $4186.5 \pm 9.0 \pm 3$ MeV and $4414.5 \pm 3.2 \pm 6.0$ MeV, the widths are $55 \pm 17 \pm 53$ MeV and $122.6 \pm 7.0 \pm 8.2$ MeV. If they are not the $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$, there are some contributions from the $Y(4230)$, which indicates that the $Y(4230)$ couples more strongly to the $D_s^* \bar{D}_s^*$ mode than to the modes with charmonium states, as the cross section of the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^* \bar{D}_s^*$ at 4.23 GeV is roughly one order of magnitude higher than that of the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$, then the $Y(4230)$ should have some $\bar{c}c\bar{s}s$ components at least. So exploring the processes $Y(4230) \rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^* \bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D^* \bar{D}$ is of great importance.

4 Conclusion

In our previous works, we have proven that a vector tetraquark configuration with a relative P-wave between the scalar diquark pair could reproduce the mass of the $Y(4230)$, the lowest vector tetraquark mass up to now. In the present work, we extend our previous works to investigate the three-body strong decays $Y(4230) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*-} D^{*0} \pi^+, \bar{D}^{*-} D^0 \pi^+, J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $J/\psi K^+ K^-$ with the light-cone QCD sum rules by assuming contact four-meson coupling constants. We introduce free parameters to parameterize the higher resonance contributions to acquire rigorous quark-hadron duality, and obtain four QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants, then we vary the free parameters to obtain flat Borel platforms therefore extracting the values of the four-meson hadronic coupling constants. Finally, we obtain the corresponding partial decay widths, which are too small to account for the experimental data. We expect that those decays take place through an intermediate meson to outcome the dilemma, we can search for the intermediate states and precisely measure the branching fractions, which maybe shed light on the nature of the Y states. Furthermore, we expect to search for the vector tetraquark states in the two-body strong decays

$Y \rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^*\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D^*\bar{D}$, as they would take place through the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka super-allowed fall-apart mechanism.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 12175068.

References

- [1] B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 142001.
- [2] C. Z. Yuan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 182004.
- [3] Q. He et al, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 091104.
- [4] B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 212001.
- [5] X. L. Wang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 142002.
- [6] X. L. Wang et al, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 112007.
- [7] G. Pakhlova et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 172001.
- [8] J. P. Lees, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 111103.
- [9] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 092003.
- [10] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118** (2017) 092002.
- [11] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118** (2017) 092001.
- [12] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) 102002.
- [13] M. Ablikim et al, Chin. Phys. **C46** (2022) 111002.
- [14] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130** (2023) 121901.
- [15] L. Maiani, V. Riquer, F. Piccinini and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 031502.
- [16] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 114010.
- [17] A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. V. Borisov, I. Ahmed, M. J. Aslam, A. Y. Parkhomenko, A. D. Polosa and A. Rehman, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 29.
- [18] S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang and R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) 112001.
- [19] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 933.
- [20] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 29.
- [21] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 036005.
- [22] W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 034010.
- [23] Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **B973** (2021) 115592.
- [24] R. M. Albuquerque and M. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. **A815** (2009) 532009; Erratum-ibid. **A857** (2011) 48.

- [25] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C74** (2014) 2874.
- [26] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) 387.
- [27] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 518.
- [28] S. Dubnicka, A. Z. Dubnickova, A. Issadykov, M. A. Ivanov and A. Liptaj, Phys. Rev. **D101** (2020) 094030.
- [29] J. F. Giron and R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. **D101** (2020) 074032.
- [30] S. L. Zhu, Phys. Lett. **B625** (2005) 212.
- [31] F. E. Close and P. R. Page, Phys. Lett. **B628** (2005) 215.
- [32] L. Liu et al, JHEP **07** (2012) 126.
- [33] E. Braaten, C. Langmack and D. Hudson Smith, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 014044.
- [34] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. **B665** (2008) 26.
- [35] Z. G. Wang and X. H. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **54** (2010) 323.
- [36] G. J. Ding, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 014001.
- [37] Q. Wang, C. Hanhart and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 132003.
- [38] M. Cleven, Q. Wang, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meissner and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 074039.
- [39] Q. Wang, M. Cleven, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meissner, X. G. Wu and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 034001.
- [40] Z. G. Wang, Chin. Phys. **C41** (2017) 083103.
- [41] Y. H. Chen, L. Y. Dai, F. K. Guo and B. Kubis, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 074016.
- [42] T. Ji, X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129** (2022) 102002.
- [43] F. Z. Peng, M. J. Yan, M. S. Sanchez and M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. **D107** (2023) 016001.
- [44] E. Gungor, H. Sundu, J. Y. Sungu and E. V. Veliev, Few Body Syst. **64** (2023) 53.
- [45] Z. S. Chen, Z. R. Huang, H. Y. Jin, T. G. Steele and Z. F. Zhang, Chin. Phys. **C46** (2022) 063102.
- [46] X. Liu, X. Q. Zeng and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 054023.
- [47] D. Y. Chen, J. He and X. Liu, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 054021.
- [48] D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li and H. W. Ke, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 014011.
- [49] A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, D. Gamermann and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 094012.
- [50] X. H. Liu and G. Li, Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013) 014013.
- [51] C. F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. **B639** (2006) 263.
- [52] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 252001.
- [53] Z. Q. Liu et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 252002.

- [54] M. Ablikim et al, arXiv:2308.15362 [hep-ex].
- [55] Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **B993** (2023) 116265.
- [56] Z. G. Wang and J. X. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 14.
- [57] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 184.
- [58] P. Ball, JHEP **9901** (1999) 010.
- [59] V. M. Braun and I. E. Filyanov, Z. Phys. **C48** (1990) 239.
- [60] Z. G. Wang and Z. Y. Di, Eur. Phys. J. **C79** (2019) 72.
- [61] Z. G. Wang, Acta Phys. Polon. **B51** (2020) 435.
- [62] Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A34** (2019) 1950110.
- [63] Z. G. Wang, Chin. Phys. **C46** (2022) 103106.
- [64] Z. G. Wang, Chin. Phys. **C46** (2022) 123106.
- [65] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385; Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 448.
- [66] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127** (1985) 1.
- [67] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, hep-ph/0010175.
- [68] R. L. Workman et al, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [69] S. Narison and R. Tarrach, Phys. Lett. **125 B** (1983) 217.
- [70] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C75** (2015) 427.
- [71] D. Becirevic, G. Duplancic, B. Klajn, B. Melic and F. Sanfilippo, Nucl. Phys. **B883** (2014) 306.
- [72] M. Ablikim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131** (2023) 151903.