Review of Semileptonic B Anomalies

Bernat Capdevila^{1,2*}, Andreas Crivellin^{3,4*} and Joaquim Matias^{2*}

¹DAMTP, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, United Kingdom.

²Department of Physics and IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Edifici Ciències, Bellaterra, 08193, Barcelona, Spain.

³Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, Zürich, 8057, Zürich, Switzerland.

⁴LTP, Paul Scherrer Institut, Foruschungsstr. 101, Villigen, 5232, Aargau, Switzerland.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): bcapdevila@ifae.es; andreas.crivellin@psi.ch; matias@ifae.es;

Abstract

We review the current status and implications of the anomalies (i.e. deviations from the Standard Model predictions) in semi-leptonic B meson decays, both in the charged and in the neutral current. In $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions significant tensions between measurements and the Standard Model predictions exist. They are most pronounced in the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}_{B\to K\mu^+\mu^-}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{B_s\to\phi\mu^+\mu^-}$ (albeit quite dependent on the form factors used) as well as in angular observables in $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ (the P'_5 anomaly). Because the measurements of $\mathcal{B}_{B_s\to\mu^+\mu^-}$ and of the ratios R_K and R_{K^*} agree reasonably well with the SM predictions, this points towards (dominantly) lepton flavour universal New Physics coupling vectorially to leptons, i.e. contributions to C_9^{U} . In fact, global fits prefer this scenario over the SM hypothesis by 5.8 σ . Concerning $b \to c\tau\nu$ transitions, R(D) and $R(D^*)$ suggest constructive New Physics at the level of 10% (w.r.t. the Standard Model amplitude) with a significance above 3σ . We discuss New Physics explanations of both anomalies separately as well as possible combined explanations. In particular, a left-handed vector current solution to $R(D^{(*)})$, either via the U_1 leptoquark or the combination of the scalar leptoquarks S_1 and S_3 , leads to an effect in C_9^{U} via an off-shell penguin with the right sign and magnitude and a combined significance (including a tree-level effect resulting in $C_{9\mu}^{9\mu} = -C_{10\mu}^{10\mu}$ and $R(D^{(*)})$) of 6.3σ . Such a scenario can be tested with $b \to s\tau^+\tau^-$ decays. Finally, we point out an interesting possible correlation of $R(D^{(*)})$ with non-leptonic B anomalies.

Keywords: Semi-leptonic B decays, FCNCs, Flavour, New Physics, Effective Field Theories, Global Fits

1 Introduction

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mechanism [1], encoded in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, was established by the B factories BELLE [2] and BaBar [3] in the first decade of this century to be the leading source of quark flavour violation. Furthermore, the discovery of the Brout-Englert-Higgs boson [4, 5] in 2012 at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN [6, 7] completed the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. Therefore, the focus of current research shifted even more towards unveiling new particles and new interactions, not contained within the SM.

New particles can be searched for both directly at high energies and indirectly at the low-energy precision frontier. While the LHC experiments ATLAS and CMS only recently observed interesting direct hints for new particles [8], in particular for new scalar bosons at 95 GeV and 151 GeV [9], historically indirect discoveries have often preceded direct ones. For example, the existence of the charm quark, the W boson, the top quark and also the Higgs were previously indicated by indirect measurements, like Fermi interactions, Kaon mixing and electroweak precision observables.

In this context, semi-leptonic B meson decays are a particularly useful tool for indirect searches: they have in general clean experimental signatures, controllable theoretical uncertainties and suppressed rates, which makes them very sensitive probes of new physics (NP). In this report, we will focus on the most pronounced anomalies which are found in $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ and $b \to c\tau\nu$ processes. On the one side, $b \to c \tau \nu$ is a tree-level charged current measured dominantly in the ratios of branching ratios of $\mathcal{B}_{B\to D^{(*)}\tau\nu}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{B\to D^{(*)}\ell\nu}$ which point towards the violation of lepton flavour universality (LFU) satisfied by the SM gauge interactions. On the other side, the transition $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ is a flavour-changing neutral current process that is only generated in the SM at the loop level. Here the most relevant observables are in the decays $B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-, B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- \text{ and } B_s \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-,$ including optimized angular observables (P_i) [10] for $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ or $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$.

As NP can in the large majority of cases be assumed to be heavy compared to the *B* meson scale (see e.g. Refs. [11, 12] for some studies of light new particles in $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$), the theoretical framework employed for such analyses is the Weak Effective Theory (WET) [13, 14]. In this setup, the electroweak scale SM particles (top quark, *W*, *Z* and the Higgs), as well as potential beyond the SM fields, are integrated out. This allows one to describe these transitions in terms of an effective Hamiltonian model and process-independent interactions.

In this review, we will discuss the experimental and theoretical status of these anomalies in Sec. 2 and Sec. 3 including the latest model-independent fits. We will then review possible NP explanations of the anomalies in Sec. 4, first for the two classes separately, and afterwards consider combined explanations. We will conclude in Sec. 5 with an outlook on future developments and highlight complementary observables, like $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^$ processes and anomalies in non-leptonic *B* decays with emphasis on some possible links with the semi-leptonic ones.

$2 \hspace{.1in} b ightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$

In the analysis of $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions, several decay channels (mostly exclusive ones) and their associated observables are considered. Exclusive decays involving pseudo-scalar mesons in the final state, such as $B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,0)}\ell^+\ell^-$, are important in $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ analyses. Their corresponding observables include the branching ratios $\mathcal{B}_{B^{(+,0)}\to K^{(+,0)}\ell\ell}$ and angular observables, such as the forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}^{ℓ} and the *flat term* F_{ℓ}^{ℓ} (see Ref. [15, 16] for definitions).

Other exclusive channels that play a crucial role are decays to vector mesons such as $B^{(+,0)} \rightarrow K^{*(+,0)} \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-$. The observables in these channels do not only include total branching ratios $\mathcal{B}_{B^{(+,0)} \to \{K^{*(+,0)}, \phi\}\ell^+\ell^-}$ but also e.g. longitudinal polarization fractions (F_L) , and angular distributions. Their angular distributions can be described using optimised observables $P_{1,2,3,4,5,6,8}^{(\prime)}$ [10, 17], which reduce the sensitivity to hadronic uncertainties [18-20]. This is achieved by using the soft-collinear-effective-theory relations that emerge in the large recoil limit of $B \rightarrow$ $V\ell^+\ell^-$ decays [21, 22], where the vector meson V is maximally recoiling against the parent B meson. In this limit, the form-factor (FF) dependence at leading order in $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/m_b, \alpha_s)$ (corresponding to the so-called soft FFs) cancels in the optimised observables, resulting also in an enhanced sensitivity to short-distance effects [10, 19].

Furthermore, ratios testing LFU [16, 23] such as

$$R(K^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{B \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{B \to K^{(*)} e^+ e^-}}, \qquad (1)$$

can be constructed. Since the weak interactions and QED treat all three lepton generations equally, significant departures from LFU would unequivocally indicate the presence of NP.

Also exclusive radiative decays, such as $B \rightarrow \{K^{(*)}, \phi\} \gamma$, and inclusive decays, such as $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ and $B \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$, are important $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$

transitions. While the inclusive decays offer complementary constraints on the NP structures, the radiative decays impose strong constraints on the electromagnetic and chromomagnetic dipole operators. Finally, the purely leptonic decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ stands out as one of the most stringent constraints on axial, scalar, and pseudo-scalar NP contributions.

2.1 Anomalies

Among the different tensions found in the last ten years in the observables governed by the $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions mentioned above, one of the most persistent tensions is the one associated with the optimised observable P'_5 of the 4-body decay $B \rightarrow K^*(\rightarrow K\pi)\mu^+\mu^-$. This observable deserves a dedicated discussion given its importance.

The angular observable P'_5 [24] was first measured at LHCb [25] and later on at Belle [26, 27], ATLAS [28] and CMS [29]. The most recent SM prediction for this observable in the two most anomalous bins within the approach described in Ref. [30] is presented in Ref. [31]. After corresponding updates with the most recent calculation of $B \rightarrow V$ FFs with *B*-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) up to twist four for the two- and three-particle distributions (the so-called GKvD FFs [32])¹, read [31]

$$P_{5\,\rm SM}^{\prime\,[4.0,6.0]} = -0.72 \pm 0.08 \tag{2}$$

$$P_{5\,\rm SM}^{\prime\,[6.0,8.0]} = -0.81 \pm 0.08 \tag{3}$$

The theoretical uncertainty budget for P'_5 can be split into a parametric uncertainty, soft FFs, factorizable power corrections, non-factorizable power corrections and a long-distance charm-loop uncertainty [30]. For different sets of FFs that we have implemented, we observed that the parametric (~ 30%) and factorisable power corrections (~ 50%) are the main sources of uncertainty. See Ref. [30] for detailed definitions of each type of uncertainty.

Even if the use of the new GKvD FFs has led to a reduction of part of the uncertainties associated with the FFs compared to the previously used KMPW FFs [34], the dominance of the conservative factorisable power corrections used in Ref. [30] and the small shift in the SM central value prediction towards experiment has slightly decreased the tension with data. Still, the deviations between theory and experiment in these bins remain noteworthy:

$$P_{5 \text{ LHCb}}^{\prime \,[4.0,6.0]} = -0.439 \pm 0.111 \pm 0.036 \ (1.9\sigma), \ (4)$$

$$P_{5 \text{ LHCb}}^{\prime \ [6.0,8.0]} = -0.583 \pm 0.090 \pm 0.030 \ (1.9\sigma). \ (5)$$

Moreover, it is important to emphasize that the specific treatment of the factorisable power corrections has an impact on the size of the uncertainty on the predictions of P'_5 . For instance, if one chooses to use GKvD form factors including all correlations among power corrections, that are very dependent on the details and assumptions used in the LCSR computation, the uncertainty is smaller and the tension larger: 2.4σ and 2.1σ , respectively. Instead if one takes a more skeptical stance and removes all information on the correlations among the factorizable power corrections, effectively removing part of the model dependence of the LCSR computation, and enhances their nominal size according to their power counting of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/m_b)$, see Refs. [30, 35] for specific details on this framework, then naturally the associated uncertainty becomes larger and the tension smaller, c.f. Eqs. 4 and 5. Notice also that, within this latter approach, the leading contributions to the different FFs, i.e. the ones that emerge in the $m_b, E_H \to \infty$ limit (being E_H the energy of the daughter meson emitted in the decay), are correlated according to the robust symmetries dictated by the aforementioned limits.

Besides parametric and FFs uncertainties, long-distance charm-loop contributions are also an important source of uncertainty. The amplitude for the decay $B \to M \ell^+ \ell^-$ has the following structure in the SM:

$$A(B \to M\ell^+\ell^-) = \frac{G_F \alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* [(A_\mu + T_\mu) \bar{u}_\ell \gamma^\mu v_\ell + B_\mu \bar{u}_\ell \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 v_\ell],$$

where $A_{\mu} = -(2m_bq^{\nu}/q^2)\mathcal{C}_7\langle M|\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Rb|B\rangle + \mathcal{C}_9\langle M|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb|B\rangle$ and $B_{\mu} = \mathcal{C}_{10}\langle M|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Lb|B\rangle$ (see Sec. 2.2 for definitions of the Wilson coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{7,9,10}$). While the local contributions coming from FFs, discussed above, are included

¹In Ref. [33] the NLO corrections to the leading-power contributions together with the subleading-power effects at twist-6 accuracy were computed.

in A_{μ} and B_{μ} , the non-local charm-quark loop contributions enter the piece T_{μ} . The difficulty to disentangle a NP contribution in C_9 and C_7 from a non-local contribution to T_{μ} comes precisely because of their common contribution to the leptonic vectorial current. There are different approaches to model this non-local contribution:

- i) Using LCSR to compute the leading one softgluon exchange [34], confirmed later on in [36] finding a rather small NLO correction.
- ii) Via a dispersive representation using J/Ψ and $\Psi(2S)$ data to determine the analytic structure and q^2 dependence of the T_{μ} term [37]. A more recent and detailed analysis can be found in Ref. [38].
- *iii*) A fit to the resonances in modulo and phase to check if the tail of a resonance could explain the deviation in the anomalous bins [39].

All these different approaches arrive at the same conclusion, namely, that such non-local contribution cannot explain the deviation in P'_5 .

Finally, on the experimental side, one should include also the tensions observed at Belle [26, 27], ATLAS [28] and CMS [29] in P'_5 but also on the charged channel $B^+ \to K^{*+}\ell^+\ell^-$ [40] where some smaller tensions at the level of 1.1σ and 1.6σ (see Ref.[31]) are observed further increasing the tension in the anomalous bins. Section 2.2 is devoted precisely to exploring the implications of combining all data.

The branching ratios for the different $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ modes under discussion represent another set of observables that show systematic and coherent tensions [41–43] w.r.t. the deviations observed in the angular distributions.

On the one hand, during these last years, the LHCb collaboration has performed new experimental measurements of the branching ratio for the $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ mode [43]. Despite the significant tensions reported at the time of the measurement, with up to 3.6 σ when computing the corresponding theoretical predictions using fits to BSZ LCSRs and lattice data for the form factors [43, 44], the theoretical predictions obtained within the framework of Ref. [31], that employs GKvD FFs without including lattice input, lead to a reduction of the tension w.r.t. its SM prediction:

$$\mathcal{B}_{B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[1.1,6.0], \text{SM}} = (5.25 \pm 2.76) \times 10^{-8},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[1.1,6.0], \text{LHCb}} = (2.88 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.05 \qquad (6)$$

$$\pm 0.14) \times 10^{-8} \quad (0.9\sigma).$$

Instead if one uses the approach of Ref. [45] to compute the normalised branching ratio for this channel, where the $B_s \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$ decay is used as the normalisation, one finds significant tensions above 2σ [45] in some bins. Notice that this normalisation is also used in the experimental analyses to help cancelling systematics. The computation of the normalised $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^$ branching ratio has been possible due to the treatment of hadronic uncertainties developed in Refs. [36, 45].

On the other hand, while there have been no experimental updates in recent times on the branching ratios of the $B \to K \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \to$ $K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ modes, significant progress on the theory side has impacted the predictions of the observables for the pseudoscalar channels. In particular, a new lattice calculation of the $B \rightarrow$ K form factors across the entire q^2 range by the HPQCD collaboration [46] has substantially increased the precision of our computations of $B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,0)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ related observables. Consequently, the uncertainties on the branching ratios of these channels in the low- q^2 region have been reduced from approximately $\mathcal{O}(30\%)$ to $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$, with no significant shifts in the central values. This improvement has led to tensions of $O(4\sigma)$ in several q^2 bins [31]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[1.1,2.0],\text{SM}} &= (0.33 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-7}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[4.0,5.0],\text{SM}} &= (0.37 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-7}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[5.0,6.0],\text{SM}} &= (0.37 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-7}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[1.1,2.0],\text{LHCb}} &= (0.21 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-7} \ (4.0\sigma), \\ \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[4.0,5.0],\text{LHCb}} &= (0.22 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-7} \ (4.4\sigma), \\ \mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}^{[5.0,6.0],\text{LHCb}} &= (0.23 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-7} \ (4.0\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, the SM predictions for the branching ratio of $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ modes in the low- q^2 bins have experienced a remarkable reduction in uncertainties compare Ref. [31] to Ref. [47], and now exhibit lower central values. These improvements arise from recent advancements in SM predictions that rely on updated GKvD $B \rightarrow K^*$ FFs, resulting in SM predictions for the branching ratios that are in fairly good agreement with experimental results.

Including the latest CMS measurement [48] the purely leptonic decay $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ has a branching ratio of

$$\mathcal{B}_{B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-}^{\text{Av.}} = (3.52^{+0.32}_{-0.30}) \times 10^{-9} \quad . \tag{8}$$

This updated average places the branching ratio at around 1σ away from its SM prediction, depending on the value of V_{cb} used to calculate its associated theoretical prediction.

Finally, concerning LFU observables, the LHCb collaboration recently released new results for the ratios $R_{K^{(*)}}$ in four bins of the dilepton invariant mass, utilising 9fb⁻¹ of data [49, 50],

$$R_{K_{\text{LHCb}}}^{[0.1,1.1]} = 0.994_{-0.087}^{+0.094} (-0.0\sigma),$$

$$R_{K_{\text{LHCb}}}^{[1.1,6]} = 0.949_{-0.047}^{+0.048} (+1.1\sigma),$$

$$R_{K^*}^{[0.1,1.1]}_{\text{LHCb}} = 0.927_{-0.093}^{+0.099} (+0.5\sigma),$$

$$R_{K^*}^{[1.1,6]}_{\text{LHCb}} = 1.027_{-0.073}^{+0.077} (-0.4\sigma).$$
(9)

All four measurements show, at present, no significant deviation from the SM expectations, contrary to earlier LHCb measurements, which had indicated the violation of LFU. Therefore, the NP contribution should be dominantly LFU.

2.2 Global Model-Independent Fits

The effective Hamiltonian, valid below the EW scale, relevant for $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$, can be written as [14, 51]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_i C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu), \qquad (10)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, V_{tb} and V_{ts} are CKM matrix elements, $C_i(\mu)$ are the Wilson coefficients that encode the short-distance dynamics, and $\mathcal{O}_i(\mu)$ are the corresponding effective operators. The scale μ represents the renormalisation scale at which the Hamiltonian is evaluated. In addition to the SM operators, the effective Hamiltonian may also include operators that encapsulate structures not generated in the SM, i.e. righthanded currents or scalar interactions, arising in various NP scenarios. The most relevant operators for the following discussion are

$$\mathcal{O}_{7}^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_{R(L)}b)F^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{9\ell}^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L(R)}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\ell), \qquad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10\ell}^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L(R)}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\ell),$$

where colour indices have been actively omitted, $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$ are the chirality projection operators, $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}$ is the electromagneticfield strength tensor $(A^{\mu}(x)$ being the photon field), $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ with γ^{μ} the gamma matrices in four dimensions, and b, s denote the quark fields.

The Wilson coefficients corresponding to the most relevant operators of the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. 10 present the following values within the SM at the scale $\mu_b = 4.8 \text{ GeV}$ [52–56]:

$$C_7^{\text{eff}}(\mu_b) = -0.2923, C_9(\mu_b) = +4.0749, C_{10}(\mu_b) = -4.3085.$$
(12)

Several groups have performed global fits to different combinations of Wilson Coefficients [31, 57–59], including 1D, 2D, and multidimensional scenarios with up to 20 independent Wilson coefficients simultaneously. Notably, the NP scenario $C_9^{\rm U}$, representing a lepton-flavour universal contribution [60], i.e. $C_{9\mu} = C_{9e} \equiv C_9^{\rm U}$, is particularly effective in explaining the observed deviations², especially in light of the new LHCb measurements of $R_{K(*)}$ and the CMS measurement of $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. In this section, we will primarily focus on the results presented in Ref. [31], and later do a comparison with the results of other groups.

A selection of the preferred NP scenarios can be found in Table 1. The confidence region plots in Fig. 1 depict the 1σ and 2σ contours for the 2D scenarios $(C_9^{\rm U}, C_{10}^{\rm U})$ and $(C_{9\mu}^{\rm NP}, C_{9e}^{\rm NP})$, showing regions constrained by the several individual modes that constitute the global fits, LFUV observables, only $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ modes, and the global fit.

For the $(\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}, \mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{U}})$ scenario, the grey contour, which mainly reflects the constraints posed by

²Interestingly it was recently found in Ref. [61] that semiinclusive $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ transitions at high-q² also points towards the same solution.

Scenario		Best-fit point	1σ	Pull _{SM}	p-value
$b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$	$\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$	-1.17	[-1.33, -1.00]	5.8	39.9~%
$b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$	$\mathcal{C}_{9}^{\mathrm{U}}$	-1.18	[-1.35, -1.00]	5.5	39.1%
	$\mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{U}}$	+0.10	[-0.04, +0.23]		
$b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$	$\mathcal{C}_{9\mu}^{\mathrm{V}} = -\mathcal{C}_{10\mu}^{\mathrm{V}}$	-0.08	[-0.14, -0.02]	5.6	41.1 %
	$\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$	-1.10	[-1.27, -0.91]		
$b \to s\ell^+\ell^- + R(D^{(*)})$	$\mathcal{C}_{9\mu}^{\mathrm{V}} = -\mathcal{C}_{10\mu}^{\mathrm{V}}$	-0.11	[-0.17, -0.05]	6.3	35.4%
	$\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$	-0.78	[-0.90, -0.66]		

Table 1 Most prominent scenarios that emerge from a global fit to $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ data (see also [31, 62]).

 $\mathcal{B}_{B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-}$, primarily indicates consistency with $\mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{U}} = 0$, although it could accommodate small NP contributions to $\mathcal{C}_{10}^{\mathrm{U}}$ with a positive sign. This is also the case for all the other modes included in the global fit. The main reason behind this behaviour can be found in the fact that the current global average of $\mathcal{B}_{B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$ aligns with the corresponding SM estimate at the level of ~ 1 σ . On the other hand, looking at the horizontal axis, all the constraints are consistent within 1 σ with a value of $\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}} \sim -1$.

In the $(C_{9\mu}^{\text{NP}}, C_{9e}^{\text{NP}})$ scenario, the impact of the new LHCb measurements of $R_{K^{(*)}}$ is evident, leading to an agreement among all the different pieces that constitute the global fit for a NP contribution suggesting $C_{9\mu}^{\text{NP}} = C_{9e}^{\text{NP}}$, which indicates a strong signal of LFU NP associated to the semileptonic $O_{9\ell}$ operator. The combination of $b \to s\mu^+\mu^$ modes cannot place any bound on C_{9e}^{NP} , explaining why this region is unconstrained w.r.t. this axis in the plot. $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ favours negative values for both $C_{9\mu}^{\text{NP}} = C_{9e}^{\text{NP}}$ and are consistent with the relation $C_{9\mu}^{\text{NP}} = C_{9e}^{\text{NP}}$ at 1σ , mainly due to R_K being the only $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ observable contributing to C_{9e}^{NP} . The $B \to K^*\ell^+\ell^-$ observables also prefer negative values for both Wilson coefficients, with negligible correlation. The same also applies to the $B_s \to \phi\ell^+\ell^-$ mode, which is also compatible with all the other ones at the level of 1σ , but with larger errors.

The more complicated NP scenario $(C_{9\mu}^{\rm V} = -C_{10\mu}^{\rm V}, C_9^{\rm U})$ [60], apart from being one of the best from a quality-of-fit perspective, allows to establish a model-independent connection between charged and neutral anomalies as we will see in the next section. Figure 2 presents the corresponding preferred regions and the corresponding numerical values are given in Table. 1.

Let us now compare the results of global $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ fits from various groups:

- ABCDMN: M. Algueró, A. Biswas, B. Capdevila, S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, M. Novoa-Brunet [31].
- AS/GSSS: W. Altmannshofer, P. Stangl / A. Greljo, J. Salko, A. Smolkovic, P. Stang [57].
- CFFPSV: M. Ciuchini, M. Fedele, E. Franco, A. Paul, L. Silvestrini, M. Valli [58].
- HMMN: T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi, D. Martínez-Santos, S. Neshatpour [59].

These collaborations use different statistical methods, FF choices and assumptions about the non-perturbative effects. For a detailed review of the different approaches, the readers are referred to Refs. [63, 64]. CFFPSV uses two different methods, the so-called Phenomenological Model Driven (PMD) and Phenomenological Data Driven (PDD) approaches. The PMD approach leverages existing LCSR estimates for the nonlocal FF to constrain their proposed polynomial parametrisation in q^2 ; they then adjust the parameters of this parametrisation to the $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^$ angular distributions while adhering to these constraints. Conversely, the PDD approach allows all parameters of their polynomial parametrisation to float freely without constraint, and fit them to the available data.

Notably, as can be seen in Fig. 3, despite the diverse methodologies pursued, a good level of agreement is observed comparing the results in the $(C_{9\mu}^{NP}, C_{10\mu}^{NP})$ plane. This convergence underscores the robustness and maturity attained in analysing $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ data, a pivotal conclusion of this review. However, there is one approach whose results disagree significantly with the others, namely the PDD approach from the CFFPSV group. This arises due to the very large number of free parameters that allow to fully absorb any potential effect. While the strategies adopted by the ABCDMN and HMMN collaborations share



Fig. 1 1 σ (dark-shaded) and 2σ (light-shaded) confidence regions for (C_9^{U}, C_{10}^{U}) (left) and $(C_{9\mu}^{NP}, C_{9e}^{NP})$ scenarios (right). Distinct fits are performed separating each of the $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ modes (short-dashed contours), the LFUV observables and the combined $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ modes (long-dashed contours), and the global fit (solid contours). The colour code is provided in the individual captions. Notice that some fits (for instance the $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ Fit(s) and the LFUV Fit) share a number of observables and thus are not completely uncorrelated.



Fig. 2 Preferred regions at the 1σ , 2σ and 3σ level (green) in the $(C_{9\mu}^{\rm V} = -C_{10\mu}^{\rm V}, C_{9}^{\rm U})$ plane from $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ data. The red contour lines show the corresponding regions once $R_{D^{(*)}}$ is included (for a NP scale $\Lambda = 2$ TeV). The horizontal blue (vertical yellow) band is consistent with $R_{D^{(*)}}(R_K)$ at the 2σ level and the contour lines show the predicted values for these ratios.

substantial similarities in terms of including all data, AS/GSSS does not incorporate measurements within the kinematic regime where $q^2 > 6$ GeV². The inclusion of such data would harmonise their results with those of both the ABCDMN and HMMN collaborations. Concerning the latter two, the level of agreement and consistency is high, attributed to their similar data selection and treatment of non-perturbative effects, with the distinction that the ABCDMN collaboration solely focuses on meson decays while HMMN also include the baryonic decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$.

While not included in Fig. 3, we should also briefly mention the results of the GRvDV (N. Gubernari, M. Reboud, D. van Dyk, J. Virto) group [45]. Their framework is based on a simultaneous Bayesian fit of all non-perturbative parameters, including the coefficients of the parameterisations for both local and non-local FFs, together with the NP contributions to the relevant Wilson Coefficients. In order to control the uncertainty on the parametrisation of the non-local FFs, GRvDV derived unitarity bounds for the corresponding parameters from the analytic structure of the EW current- $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}$ correlator underlying the non-local FFs. Due to the technical complexity of their framework, having to fit a large number of free parameters, only dedicated fits to $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$, $B \to K \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ are presented in Ref. [45].



Fig. 3 Comparison of the results of the global fits of the different collaborations in the $C_9^{\mu}-C_{10}^{\mu}$ plane. Note that assuming LFU, the differences would be more pronounced and the significance for NP would be higher. We show the LFV case anyway because the data for comparison is available.

$3 \ b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$

The charged current $b \to c\tau\nu$ is already mediated at tree-level in the SM. Therefore, the corresponding decays have significant branching ratios, up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$. For light leptons (muons vs electrons) LFU is satisfied at the level of a few percent, i.e. $R_{e\mu}^{D^*} = \mathcal{B}_{B\to D^*e\bar{\nu}}/\mathcal{B}_{B\to D^*\mu\bar{\nu}} = 0.990\pm$ 0.031 [65] and $R_{e\mu}^{D^*} = 1.001 \pm 0.023$ [66]. However, the ratios

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \mathcal{B}_{B \to D^{(*)} \tau \nu} / \mathcal{B}_{B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu}, \qquad (13)$$

with $\ell = e, \mu$ are the main drivers of the anomalies. The tension between the average of the measurements of BaBar [67, 68], Belle [69–73] and LHCb [17, 74–76]

$$R(D) = 0.356 \pm 0.029,$$

$$R(D^*) = 0.284 \pm 0.013,$$
(14)

and the corresponding SM predictions

$$R_{\rm SM}(D) = 0.298 \pm 0.004, R_{\rm SM}(D^*) = 0.254 \pm 0.005,$$
(15)

amounts to 3.2 σ [77]. This situation is illustrated in Fig. 4.

An analogous behavior, i.e. and enhancement w.r.t. the SM prediction, has been observed for $\mathcal{R}(J/\psi) = \mathcal{B}_{B_c \to J/\psi \tau \nu} / \mathcal{B}_{B_c \to J/\psi \mu \nu}$ with the measurement [78]

$$R(J/\psi) = 0.71 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.18, \qquad (16)$$

and the SM prediction [79-82]

$$R_{\rm SM}(J/\psi) = 0.258 \pm 0.004$$
. (17)

However, LHCb [83] finds

$$R(\Lambda_c) = 0.242 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.040 \pm 0.059, \quad (18)$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic and the third is due to external branching fraction measurements. Concerning the SM prediction, a recent reanalysis [84] yields³

$$R_{\rm SM}(\Lambda_c) = |0.04/V_{cb}|^2 (0.285 \pm 0.073).$$
(19)

This means that in a global fit, the effects of including $R(\Lambda_c)$ and $R(J/\psi)$ tend to cancel and, in fact, they are often disregarded in global fits. Furthermore, it can be shown even if generic NP is included, $R(\Lambda_c)$ is in tension with $R(D^{(*)})$ due to a general sum-rule [93–95].⁴

³The SM prediction, where the absence of a subleading Isgur-Wise function at $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\Lambda}/m_{c,b})$ in the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$ transition suppresses the theoretical uncertainty [85], is equal to [86–92] $\mathcal{R}_{\rm SM}(\Lambda_c) = 0.324 \pm 0.004$

⁴A PhD thesis on $R(D^{(*)})$ analyzing BaBar data exists which finds significantly lower values [96]. However, these



Fig. 4 Summary of the measurements of R(D) and $R(D^*)$ (including correlations) together with the SM predictions and according to the online update of HFLAV [77].

Here, a comment on the SM prediction is in order. Unlike $R_{K^{(*)}}$, $R(D^{(*)})$ possess imperfect cancellations of the FF dependence since the tau mass is sizeable compared to the B mass. This means that while the overall normalization drops out, one is sensitive to the shape of the FFs. While the HFLAV SM prediction is based on FFs including data from $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ with light leptons in order to reduce the uncertainties [97-102], there are determinations that rely on lattice QCD but do not include experimental $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ input [103, 104]. Here, the Dispersive Matrix approach [105, 106] stands out, since it reduces the tension in $R_{\rm SM}(D^*)$ to 1.3σ [107]. However, this comes at the cost of significant tensions between the SM predictions and the measurements of polarization observables, in particular, the D^* longitudinal polarization fraction F_L^{ℓ} [65, 66], at the ~4 σ level [108]. While this of course assumes the absence of NP in light leptons, which is not guaranteed [109] despite the agreement in total muon vs electron rates, it can be shown that even completely generic NP cannot significantly reduce the tension in F_L^{ℓ} [108].

3.1 $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ Model Independent Results

The effective Hamiltonian governing $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ transitions is usually defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb} \left[(1 + g_{V_L}^{\ell}) O_{V_L}^{\ell} + g_{V_R}^{\ell} O_{V_R}^{\ell} + g_{S_L}^{\ell} O_{S_L}^{\ell} + g_{S_R}^{\ell} O_{S_R}^{\ell} + g_T^{\ell} O_T^{\ell} \right] + \text{h.c.},$$
(20)

with the dimension-six operators 5

$$O_{V_L}^{\ell} = (\bar{c}\gamma^{\mu}P_Lb) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\ell}\right) ,$$

$$O_{V_R}^{\ell} = (\bar{c}\gamma^{\mu}P_Rb) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\ell}\right) ,$$

$$O_{S_L}^{\ell} = (\bar{c}P_Lb) \left(\bar{\ell}P_L\nu_{\ell}\right) ,$$

$$O_{S_R}^{\ell} = (\bar{c}P_Rb) \left(\bar{\ell}P_L\nu_{\ell}\right) ,$$

$$O_{T}^{\ell} = (\bar{c}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Lb) \left(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\nu_{\ell}\right) .$$

(21)

There are several scenarios that can accommodate data nicely. In particular, the quite recent analysis

results are neither published nor approved by the BaBar collaboration.

 $^{^5{\}rm This}$ does not include the case of light right-handed neutrinos.

Ref. [110] finds for the one-dimensional case

$$\frac{\text{Pull Best Fit Point}}{C_{V_L} 4.4\sigma +0.08(2)} \\
C_{V_R} 1.9\sigma -0.05(3) \\
C_{S_L} 3.0\sigma +0.17(5) \\
C_{S_R} 3.8\sigma +0.20(5) \\
C_T 3.4\sigma -0.03(1)$$
(22)

assuming real Wilson coefficients. Note that here the theoretical uncertainties stemming from FFs were neglected in the NP contribution such that including them would slightly reduce the significance. Also note that at the dimension-six level in the SMEFT, g_{V_R} is lepton flavour-universal, implying $g_{V_R}^e = g_{V_R}^\mu = g_{V_R}^\tau$, i.e. no effect in $R(D^{(*)})$. The fit can be further improved by allowing for complex Wilson coefficients. Note that in general the best-fit points are still allowed by the B_c lifetime as LHC constraints. Finally, there are the following 2-dimensional LQ-motivated scenarios [110]

LQ Best Fit Point

 $\frac{1}{U_1} \frac{C_{V_L}}{C_{V_L}} = 0.07, C_{S_R} = 0.06$ $S_1 \quad C_{V_L} = 0.06, C_{S_L} = -8.9C_T = 0.06$ $R_2 \quad C_{V_R} = \pm i0.68, C_{S_L} = 8.4C_T = 0.04 \mp i0.65 ,$ (23)

all with pulls of 3.8σ .

4 New Physics Explanations

4.1 $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$

As these processes are suppressed in the SM, the required O(20%) NP effect (w.r.t. the SM) is small and we have mainly two different classes of solutions which can provide naturally a dominantly LFU effect in \mathcal{C}_9 . Z' boson can already contribute at tree-level [111–114], however, $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing constraints [115], LHC limits [116] and bounds on 4-lepton contact interactions from LEP [117] must be respected. These constraints do not allow to account for the best fit value of $\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$ in a simplified Z' model with only left-handed $\bar{s}b$ couplings [57]. However, these bounds can be avoided, or at least weakened, if one allows for a small right-handed $\bar{s}b$ couplings [118, 119], or for a cancellation between the Z' and Higgs contribution in $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing which arises from the flavour symmetry breaking [120]. Furthermore, $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ and $\bar{D}^0 - \bar{D}^0$

enforce an approximate global U(2) flavour symmetry on the Z' couplings to quarks [121].

Also operators with charm quarks [122] or tau leptons can generate an effect in C_9^U via an off-shell photon penguin [123]. Possible UV completions for this setup are the U_1 LQ [124], the combination of the S_1 and S_3 leptoquarks (LQs) [125], the S_2 LQ [126], a 2HDM with enhanced charm couplings [127–129] and di-quarks [130].

On the other hand, direct (tree-level) LQ contributions to $\bar{s}b\bar{\ell}\ell$ operators are not favoured (anymore): One would both need a tuning among the contributions of two different representations to cancel the effect in C_{10} while obtaining a dominant C_9 . Furthermore, avoiding LFU processes like $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is difficult [131] unless multiple LQ generations are present [126]. Similar problems occur in models with loop-effects involving box contributions with new heavy scalars and fermions [132–135].

4.2 $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$

As this transition is tree-level mediated in the SM, also a tree-level NP contribution is necessary to obtain the desired effect of 10% w.r.t. the SM (for heavy NP with perturbative couplings). As it is a charged current process, the only possibilities are charged Higgses [136-138], W' bosons [139-142] (with or without right-handed neutrinos) or LQs [143-146]. While W' bosons have in general problems with LHC searches [139, 140], despite bounds from the B_c lifetime [94, 147–149] and LHC bounds [150], charged Higgses generating \mathcal{C}_{S_R} can give a reasonably good fit to data. However, as LQs can generate \mathcal{C}_{V_L} they are the best option for a full explanation, despite non-trivial constraints from $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing, $B \to K^* \nu \nu$ and LHC searches arise. In particular $B \to K^* \nu \nu$ is very constraining such that the $SU(2)_L$ singlet vector LQ U_1 [124, 151–156] or the singlet-triplet model $(S_1 + S_3)$ [125, 157, 158], which can avoid these bounds, are particularly promising⁶. In fact,

⁶Recently, BELLE II presented results with an excess in $B \rightarrow K^* \nu \nu$ [159], which could be related to the *B* anomalies discussed here. However, the differential distribution seems to prefer light NP.

 $\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{9}^{\rm U} \simeq 7.5 \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R(D^{(*)})}{R(D^{(*)})_{\rm SM}}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{1 \,{\rm TeV}^2}}{10.5} \right),$$
(24)

assuming large flavour-violating (i.e. non-aligned) couplings. Using the combination of D and D^* this leads to $R(D^{(*)})_{\exp}/R(D^{(*)})_{\rm SM} = 1.142 \pm 0.039$, that implies $C_9^{\rm U} \simeq -0.58$, assuming a NP scale Λ of 2 TeV [160, 161].

4.3 Combined Explanations

While charged Higgses can explain both $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ and $R(D^{(*)})$ in a small region in parameter space without violating LHC bounds [162], the connection is indirect in the sense that different couplings are involved. For the U_1 LQ [163–165] or the $S_1 + S_3$ model [125, 166], the connection is more direct. Here the same couplings generating the contribution to $R(D^{(*)})$ also give rise to $C_9^{\rm U}$ via the off-shell photon penguin (modulus CKM rotations). Furthermore, a direct LFU violating effect is possible such that in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ the $(C_9^{\rm U}, C_{9\mu}^{\rm V} = -C_{10\mu}^{\rm V})$ scenario arises. The combined fit to $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ and $R(D^{(*)})$ is shown in Fig. 2 in the limit of large flavour violating couplings.

5 Correlations and prospects

In this section, we will discuss possible correlations with other observables and anomalies.

5.1 $b ightarrow s au^+ au^-$

If $R(D^{(*)})$ is explained via a left-handed vector current, not only a tau-loop contribution to $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ is generated via an off-shell photon penguin, but also $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$ processes are significantly enhanced compared to their SM predictions. The reason for this is that due to $SU(2)_L$ invariance, $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ is related either to $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^$ and/or $b \rightarrow s\nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$. However, the constraints on the latter from $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\nu$ are so stringent that the NP model must be chosen such that the effect is shifted to $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$, as is the case for the U_1 leptoquark and the $S_1 + S_3$ combination. In fact, in this setup, one can predict the different $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$ as a function of C_9^0 or $R(D^{(*)})$ as shown in Fig. 5, taken from Ref. [161]. Note that if C_9^{U} is tau-loop induced, this would also lead to a q^2 dependence measurable in the muon spectrum of $B \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ [167].



Fig. 5 Predictions for $\operatorname{Br}(B \to K^* \tau^+ \tau^-)$ as a function of $\mathcal{C}_9^{\mathrm{U}}$ and $R(D^{(*)})/R(D^{(*)})_{\mathrm{SM}}$.

5.2 Non-leptonic anomalies and connection to $R_{D^{(*)}}$

One can construct (a different type of) optimised observable also in non-leptonic decays [168, 169] which benefits from cancellations on the theoretical and experimental side. In particular

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*} = \rho(m_{K^*}, m_{K^*}) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{B}_s \to K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\log}}{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{B}_d \to K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\log}}$$
$$L_{K\bar{K}} = \rho(m_K, m_K) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{B}_s \to K\bar{K}}}{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{B}_d \to K\bar{K}}}$$
(25)

where the function ρ is the inverse ratio of phase space factors of the two decays involved and can be taken to be one to a very good approximation (see [169]). However, the ratios $L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}$ and $L_{K\bar{K}}$ contrary to the LFUV ones are controlled by Uspin symmetry that is obviously broken in the SM, but have the experimental advantage of involving only quarks (as electrons are highly problematic at LHCb). The SM prediction for these observables is [169]:

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\rm SM} = 19.53^{+9.14}_{-6.64} \quad L_{K\bar{K}}^{\rm SM} = 26.00^{+3.88}_{-3.59} \,, \quad (26)$$

and the measured experimental values [170-176]:

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\exp} = 4.43 \pm 0.92$$
 $L_{K\bar{K}} = 14.58 \pm 3.37$ (27)

corresponding to a tension⁷ with respect to the SM prediction of 2.6σ and 2.4σ , respectively. The experimental uncertainty includes an extra 7% relative uncertainty due to $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing. They were computed using improved QCD-Factorization at NLO in α_s and the modelling of the infrared divergences followed the prescription of Ref. [177].

In the EFT, it was pointed out in Ref. [168, 169] that the same NP contribution to the Wilson coefficients C_{4q} of the QCD-penguin operator $\mathcal{O}_{4q'} = (\bar{q}'_i b_j)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_j q_i)_{V-A}$ or \mathcal{C}_{8gq} of the chromomagnetic operator $\mathcal{O}_{8gq} = -\frac{g_s}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) G^{\mu\nu} b$ provide an explanation of both non-leptonic anomalies simultaneously. In the case of $L_{K\bar{K}}$ alone also the QCD-penguin operator \mathcal{O}_{6q} can provide a solution.

It was found in Ref. [169] that the two ratios of mixed decays $\bar{B}_s \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^0$ versus $\bar{B}_d \to \bar{K}^{*0}K^0$, called \hat{L}_{K^*} , or $\bar{B}_s \to K^0\bar{K}^{*0}$ versus $\bar{B}_d \to \bar{K}^0K^{*0}$, called \hat{L}_K , exhibit opposite behaviour, enhancement or decrease respectively, with respect to their rather close SM predictions under a NP contribution coming from the QCD-penguin \mathcal{O}_{4q} or chromomagnetic operator \mathcal{O}_{8gq} .

Interestingly, one can also find modeldependent links [178] between observed tensions in optimized non-leptonic observables and the charged anomalies of $R_{D(*)}$: The scalar leptoquark S_1 and a TeV-scale right-handed neutrino [178] are able to generate the necessary contribution to explain both non-leptonic anomalies. The model has two important features, it achieves a quasiperfect cancellation of the contribution entering the electromagnetic dipoles $C_{7\gamma s(d)}$ due to the hypercharge of the S_1 that induces naturally the cancellation in the RGE mixing of both dipoles. The scalar leptoquark S_1 is promoted to a doublet of $U(2)_q$ to avoid light-family flavour constraints and, finally, and more interesting the S_1 is a wellknown solution of the charged-anomalies $R_{D^{(*)}}$ establishing a natural link between both type of anomalies and predicting a value for $\mathcal{B}_{B\to K\nu\bar{\nu}}$ very close to the present bound.

6 Conclusions

Semi-leptonic *B* decays are important probes of the SM. They have in general controllable theory uncertainties, quite clear experimental signatures and are sensitive to NP effect due to their suppressed rates. We reviewed here the status of the anomalies, i.e. deviations from the SM predictions, in $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $b \to c \tau \nu$ transitions.

The $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ transition is a favour-changing neutral current that is only mediated at the looplevel in the SM and is thus sensitive to small NP effects. Here the main drivers of the anomalies are [31]:

- i) $\mathcal{B}_{B^+\to K^+\mu^+\mu^-}^{\exp}$ which deviates in several bins by 4σ as well as a systematic trend in all other branching ratios albeit much less significant.
- ii) P'_5 : the most persistent tension that deviates by $\sim 2\sigma$ (considering only the neutral channel) in the two anomalous bins, supported by measurements of the charged mode.
- iii) Total branching ratio and angular observables in $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ depending on the choice of FFs used.

Importantly, these deviations from the SM form a consistent picture in the sense that a simple NP scenario can explain all discrepancies without violating the bounds from other observables. In particular, the two leading scenarios [31], after the updated R_K and R_{K^*} measurements, are $(C_{9\mu}^V = -C_{10\mu}^V, C_9^V)$ with pulls of 5.8 σ and 6.3 σ (if $R(D^{(*)})$ is included), respectively. This suggests NP with a size of ~ 20% w.r.t. the SM.

Since at least a dominant LFU NP effect is needed, direct NP contributions of LQs or new scalars and fermions via box diagrams would require a quite intricate tuning. This leaves Z'bosons and off-shell photon penguins induced via charm or tau loops as the most straightforward explanations, albeit the constraints from $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing are rather stringent.

 $^{^{7}}$ A precise computation of the tension requires the use of the SM distribution of these observables that can be found in Ref. [169].

The $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ transitions, as tree-level mediated charged current process, have sizable decay rates and the ratio R(D) and $R(D^*)$ point towards the violation of LFU. While it is possible to explain these tensions with scalar currents, at the same time slightly improving the polarization observables, the best fit is achieved via a NP contribution of ~ 10% to the SM operator with left-handed quarks and leptons, resulting in a significance of ~ 4σ . Such an operator can be generated in LQs models, preferably U_1 and $S_1 + S_3$.

The solution of the R(D) and $R(D^*)$ anomalies via a left-handed vector current also offers the most straightforward possibility of a combined explanation. In the particularly promising scenario $(C_{9\mu}^{V} = -C_{10\mu}^{V}, C_{9}^{U}), C_{9}^{U}$ originates from a tau-loop while $C_{9\mu}^{V} = -C_{10\mu}^{V}$ comes from a direct tree-level effect. This can be naturally obtained with a U_1 LQ respecting a U(2) flavour symmetry [179]. In this setup, one predicts the bin [1.1,6] GeV bins [31]:

 $R_K = 0.909 \pm 0.060$ $R_{K^*} = 0.914 \pm 0.053.$ (28)

Furthermore, this scenario predicts measurable rates for $b \to s\tau^+\tau^-$ processes, liked to the size of $R(D^{(*)})$ and C_9^{U} . Finally, an explanation of $R(D^{(*)})$ via the S_1 LQ could also be linked [178] to the emerging non-leptonic anomalies in *B* decays.

Nota Added

After completion of this report, LHCb announced at the CKM2023 conference in Santiago de Compostela the result of a first unbinned analysis of $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ including a data-driven determination of charm loops effects [180]. The main outcome is that despite the extra degrees of freedom contained in the $c\bar{c}$ parameters, a NP contribution in C_9 of the same size as the one found in [31], where charm-loop is taken from theory, is needed to explain P_5' . It is important to emphasize that this analysis includes only $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$. Therefore it will be of utmost importance to see the global significance of a complete unbinned analysis adding electronic modes and all other channels like $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \rightarrow K \mu \mu$ with their corresponding charm parameterizations.

Acknowledgments

A.C. thanks Syuhei Iguro for useful discussions. A.C. gratefully acknowledges the support by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Project No. PP00P21_76884. JM acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (PID2020-112965GB-I00/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033) and by ICREA under the ICREA Academia programme. The work of B.C. is supported by the Margarita Salas postdoctoral program funded by the European Union-NextGenerationEU.

Data Availability Statement

Data Availability Statement: No Data associated in the manuscript.

References

- Kobayashi, M., Maskawa, T.: CP Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction. Prog. Theor. Phys. 49, 652– 657 (1973) https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP. 49.652
- [2] Abashian, A., et al.: The Belle Detector. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 479, 117–232 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01) 02013-7
- [3] Aubert, B., et al.: The BaBar detector. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 479, 1–116 (2002) https: //doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)02012-5 arXiv:hep-ex/0105044
- [4] Higgs, P.W.: Broken symmetries, massless particles and gauge fields. Phys. Lett. 12, 132–133 (1964) https://doi.org/10. 1016/0031-9163(64)91136-9
- [5] Englert, F., Brout, R.: Broken Symmetry and the Mass of Gauge Vector Mesons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 321–323 (1964) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.321
- [6] Aad, G., et al.: Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B 716, 1–29

(2012) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb. 2012.08.020 arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]

- [7] Chatrchyan, S., et al.: Observation of a New Boson at a Mass of 125 GeV with the CMS Experiment at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B 716, 30–61 (2012) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021 arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]
- [8] Fischer, O., et al.: Unveiling hidden physics at the LHC. Eur. Phys. J. C 82(8), 665 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-022-10541-4 arXiv:2109.06065 [hepph]
- [9] Bhattacharya, S., Coloretti, G., Crivellin, A., Dahbi, S.-E., Fang, Y., Kumar, M., Mellado, B.: Growing Excesses of New Scalars at the Electroweak Scale (2023) arXiv:2306.17209 [hep-ph]
- [10] Descotes-Genon, S., Hurth, T., Matias, J., Virto, J.: Optimizing the basis of $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ observables in the full kinematic range. JHEP **05**, 137 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)137 arXiv:1303.5794 [hep-ph]
- [11] Sala, F., Straub, D.M.: A New Light Particle in *B* Decays? Phys. Lett. B **774**, 205–209 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb. 2017.09.072 arXiv:1704.06188 [hep-ph]
- [12] Crivellin, A., Manzari, C.A., Altmannshofer, W., Inguglia, G., Feichtinger, P., Martin Camalich, J.: Toward excluding a light Z' explanation of $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$. Phys. Rev. D **106**(3), 031703 (2022) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.L031703 arXiv:2202.12900 [hep-ph]
- [13] Witten, E.: Short Distance Analysis of Weak Interactions. Nucl. Phys. B 122, 109–143 (1977) https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0550-3213(77)90428-X
- [14] Buchalla, G., Buras, A.J., Lautenbacher, M.E.: Weak decays beyond leading logarithms. Rev. Mod. **68**, 1125 - 1144(1996)Phys. https: //doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.1125

arXiv:hep-ph/9512380

- [15] Bobeth, C., Ewerth, T., Kruger, F., Urban, J.: Analysis of neutral Higgs boson contributions to the decays $\bar{B}(s) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\bar{B} \rightarrow K \ell^+ \ell^-$. Phys. Rev. D **64**, 074014 (2001) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. **64.074014** arXiv:hep-ph/0104284
- [16] Bobeth, C., Hiller, G., Piranishvili, G.: Angular distributions of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{K}\ell^+\ell^$ decays. JHEP **12**, 040 (2007) https: //doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/12/040 arXiv:0709.4174 [hep-ph]
- [17] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_{\tau})/\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_{\mu})$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**(11), 111803 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.111803 arXiv:1506.08614 [hep-ex]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 115, 159901 (2015)]
- [18] Kruger, F., Matias, J.: Probing new physics via the transverse amplitudes of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}(\rightarrow K^-\pi^+)l^+l^-$ at large recoil. Phys. Rev. D **71**, 094009 (2005) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.094009 arXiv:hep-ph/0502060
- [19] Matias, J., Mescia, F., Ramon, M., Virto, J.: Complete Anatomy of $\bar{B}_d \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}(\rightarrow K\pi)\ell^+\ell^-$ and its angular distribution. JHEP **04**, 104 (2012) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP04(2012)104 arXiv:1202.4266 [hep-ph]
- [20] Egede, U., Hurth, T., Matias, J., Ramon, M., Reece, W.: New physics reach of the decay mode $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$. JHEP **10**, 056 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP10(2010)056 arXiv:1005.0571 [hepph]
- [21] Beneke, M., Feldmann, T.: Symmetry breaking corrections to heavy to light B meson form-factors at large recoil. Nucl. Phys. B 592, 3–34 (2001) https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00585-X arXiv:hepph/0008255
- [22] Beneke, M., Feldmann, T., Seidel,

D.: Systematic approach to exclusive $B \rightarrow V \ell^+ \ell^-$, $V \gamma$ decays. Nucl. Phys. B **612**, 25–58 (2001) https: //doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00366-2 arXiv:hep-ph/0106067

- [23] Hiller, G., Kruger, F.: More modelindependent analysis of $b \rightarrow s$ processes. Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074020 (2004) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.074020 arXiv:hep-ph/0310219
- [24] Descotes-Genon, S., Matias, J., Ramon, M., Virto, J.: Implications from clean observables for the binned analysis of $B \rightarrow K * \mu^+\mu^-$ at large recoil. JHEP **01**, 048 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP01(2013)048 arXiv:1207.2753 [hepph]
- [25] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of Form-Factor-Independent Observables in the Decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 191801 (2013) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.191801 arXiv:1308.1707 [hep-ex]
- [26] Abdesselam, A., et al.: Angular analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ (2016) arXiv:1604.04042 [hep-ex]
- [27] Wehle, S., et al.: Lepton-Flavor-Dependent Angular Analysis of $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**(11), 111801 (2017) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.111801 arXiv:1612.05014 [hep-ex]
- [28] Aaboud, M., et al.: Angular analysis of $B_d^0 \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. JHEP **10**, 047 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)047 arXiv:1805.04000 [hep-ex]
- [29] Sirunyan, A.M., *et al.*: Measurement of angular parameters from the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Phys. Lett. B **781**, 517–541 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb. 2018.04.030 arXiv:1710.02846 [hep-ex]
- [30] Capdevila, B., Descotes-Genon, S., Hofer,

L., Matias, J.: Hadronic uncertainties in $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$: a state-of-theart analysis. JHEP **04**, 016 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)016 arXiv:1701.08672 [hep-ph]

- [31] Algueró, M., Biswas, A., Capdevila, B., Descotes-Genon, S., Matias, J., Novoa-Brunet, M.: To (b)e or not to (b)e: no electrons at LHCb. Eur. Phys. J. C 83(7), 648 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-023-11824-0 arXiv:2304.07330 [hepph]
- [32] Gubernari, N., Kokulu, A., Dyk, D.: $B \rightarrow P$ and $B \rightarrow V$ Form Factors from *B*-Meson Light-Cone Sum Rules beyond Leading Twist. JHEP **01**, 150 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)150 arXiv:1811.00983 [hep-ph]
- [33] Gao, J., Lü, C.-D., Shen, Y.-L., Wang, Y.-M., Wei, Y.-B.: Precision calculations of $B \rightarrow V$ form factors from softcollinear effective theory sum rules on the light-cone. Phys. Rev. D **101**(7), 074035 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 101.074035 arXiv:1907.11092 [hep-ph]
- [34] Khodjamirian, A., Mannel, T., Pivovarov, A.A., Wang, Y.-M.: Charm-loop effect in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$. JHEP **09**, 089 (2010) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP09(2010)089 arXiv:1006.4945 [hep-ph]
- [35] Descotes-Genon, S., Hofer, L., Matias, J., Virto, J.: On the impact of power corrections in the prediction of $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ observables. JHEP **12**, 125 (2014) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP12(2014)125 arXiv:1407.8526 [hep-ph]
- [36] Gubernari, N., Dyk, D., Virto, J.: Non-local matrix elements in $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \{K^{(*)}, \phi\}\ell^+\ell^-$. JHEP **02**, 088 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)088 arXiv:2011.09813 [hep-ph]
- [37] Bobeth, C., Chrzaszcz, M., Dyk, D., Virto, J.: Long-distance effects in $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell$ from analyticity. Eur. Phys. J. C **78**(6),

- [38] Gubernari, N., Reboud, M., Dyk, D., Virto, J.: Dispersive Analysis of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ Form Factors (2023) arXiv:2305.06301 [hep-ph]
- [39] Blake, T., Egede, U., Owen, P., Petridis, K.A., Pomery, G.: An empirical model to determine the hadronic resonance contributions to $\overline{B}^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions. Eur. Phys. J. C **78**(6), 453 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5937-3 arXiv:1709.03921 [hep-ph]
- [40] Aaij, R., et al.: Angular Analysis of the $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\mu^+\mu^-$ Decay. Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**(16), 161802 (2021) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.161802 arXiv:2012.13241 [hep-ex]
- [41] Aaij, R., *et al.*: Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays. JHEP **06**, 133 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)133 arXiv:1403.8044 [hep-ex]
- [42] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurements of the Swave fraction in $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays and the $B^0 \to K^*(892)^0\mu^+\mu^-$ differential branching fraction. JHEP **11**, 047 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2016)047 arXiv:1606.04731 [hep-ex]. [Erratum: JHEP 04, 142 (2017)]
- [43] Aaij, R., et al.: Branching Fraction Measurements of the Rare $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow f'_2(1525)\mu^+\mu^-$ - Decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**(15), 151801 (2021) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.151801 arXiv:2105.14007 [hep-ex]
- [44] Bharucha, A., Straub, D.M., Zwicky, R.: $B \rightarrow V \ell^+ \ell^-$ in the Standard Model from light-cone sum rules. JHEP **08**, 098 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)098 arXiv:1503.05534 [hep-ph]
- [45] Gubernari, N., Reboud, M., Dyk, D.,

Virto, J.: Improved theory predictions and global analysis of exclusive $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ processes. JHEP **09**, 133 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)133 arXiv:2206.03797 [hep-ph]

- [46] Parrott, W.G., Bouchard, C., Davies, C.T.H.: $B \rightarrow KandD \rightarrow K$ form factors from fully relativistic lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. D **107**(1), 014510 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.014510 arXiv:2207.12468 [hep-lat]
- [47] Algueró, M., Capdevila, B., Descotes-Genon, S., Matias, J., Novoa-Brunet, M.: $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ global fits after R_{K_S} and $R_{K^{*+}}$. Eur. Phys. J. C **82**(4), 326 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-022-10231-1 arXiv:2104.08921 [hepph]
- [48] Tumasyan, A., et al.: Measurement of the $B_{\rm S}^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay properties and search for the $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 13 TeV. Phys. Lett. B **842**, 137955 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb. 2023.137955 arXiv:2212.10311 [hep-ex]
- [49] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of lepton universality parameters in $B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^$ and $B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays. Phys. Rev. D **108**(3), 032002 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.032002 arXiv:2212.09153 [hep-ex]
- [50] Aaij, R., et al.: Test of lepton universality in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**(5), 051803 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.051803 arXiv:2212.09152 [hep-ex]
- [51] Grinstein, B., Springer, R.P., Wise, M.B.: Effective Hamiltonian for Weak Radiative *B* Meson Decay. Phys. Lett. B 202, 138–144 (1988) https://doi.org/10. 1016/0370-2693(88)90868-4
- [52] Gambino, P., Gorbahn, M., Haisch, U.: Anomalous dimension matrix for radiative and rare semileptonic *B* decays up to three loops. Nucl. Phys. B 673, 238–262

(2003) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb. 2003.09.024 arXiv:hep-ph/0306079

- [53] Bobeth, C., Gambino, P., Gorbahn, M., Haisch, U.: Complete NNLO QCD analysis of $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$ and higher order electroweak effects. JHEP **04**, 071 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/ 2004/04/071 arXiv:hep-ph/0312090
- [54] Misiak, M., Steinhauser, M.: NNLO QCD corrections to the $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ matrix elements using interpolation in m_c . Nucl. Phys. B **764**, 62–82 (2007) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.11.027 arXiv:hep-ph/0609241
- [55] Huber, T., Lunghi, E., Misiak, M., Wyler, D.: Electromagnetic logarithms in $\overline{B} \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$. Nucl. Phys. B **740**, 105–137 (2006) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb. 2006.01.037 arXiv:hep-ph/0512066
- [56] Huber, T., Hurth, T., Lunghi, E.: Logarithmically Enhanced Corrections to the Decay Rate and Forward Backward Asymmetry in $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$. Nucl. Phys. B **802**, 40–62 (2008) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb. 2008.04.028 arXiv:0712.3009 [hep-ph]
- [57] Greljo, A., Salko, J., Smolkovič, A., Stangl, P.: Rare b decays meet highmass Drell-Yan. JHEP 05, 087 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2023)087 arXiv:2212.10497 [hep-ph]
- [58] Ciuchini, M., Fedele, M., Franco, E., Paul, A., Silvestrini, L., Valli, M.: Constraints on lepton universality violation from rare B decays. Phys. Rev. D 107(5), 055036 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 107.055036 arXiv:2212.10516 [hep-ph]
- [59] Hurth, T., Mahmoudi, F., Santos, D.M., Neshatpour, S.: More Indications for Lepton Nonuniversality in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$. Phys. Lett. B **824**, 136838 (2022) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136838 arXiv:2104.10058 [hep-ph]
- [60] Algueró, M., Capdevila, B., Descotes-Genon, S., Masjuan, P., Matias, J.: Are

we overlooking lepton flavour universal new physics in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$? Phys. Rev. D **99**(7), 075017 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.075017 arXiv:1809.08447 [hep-ph]

- [61] Isidori, G., Polonsky, Z., Tinari, A.: Semiinclusive $b \rightarrow s\bar{\ell}\ell$ transitions at high q^2 (2023) arXiv:2305.03076 [hep-ph]
- [62] Algueró, M., Capdevila, B., Crivellin, A., Descotes-Genon, S., Masjuan, P., Matias, J., Novoa Brunet, M., Virto, J.: Emerging patterns of New Physics with and without Lepton Flavour Universal contributions. Eur. Phys. J. C **79**(8), 714 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7216-3 arXiv:1903.09578 [hep-ph]. [Addendum: Eur.Phys.J.C 80, 511 (2020)]
- [63] London, D., Matias, J.: BFlavour Anomalies: 2021 Theoretical Status Report. Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. (2022)72. 37 - 68https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-nucl-102020-090209 arXiv:2110.13270 [hep-ph]
- [64] Albrecht, J., Dyk, D., Langenbruch, C.: Flavour anomalies in heavy quark decays. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **120**, 103885 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp. 2021.103885 arXiv:2107.04822 [hep-ex]
- [65] Prim, M.T., *et al.*: Measurement of differential distributions of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu^- \ell$ and implications on $|V_{cb}|$. Phys. Rev. D **108**(1), 012002 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.012002 arXiv:2301.07529 [hep-ex]
- [66] Talk at ALPS 2023: Recent semileptonic results from Belle II
- [67] Lees, J.P., et al.: Evidence for an excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 101802 (2012) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.101802 arXiv:1205.5442 [hep-ex]
- [68] Lees, J.P., *et al.*: Measurement of an Excess of $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ Decays and Implications for Charged Higgs Bosons. Phys. Rev.

D 88(7), 072012 (2013) https://doi.org/10. 1103/PhysRevD.88.072012 arXiv:1303.0571 [hep-ex]

- [69] Huschle, M., et al.: Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ relative to $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ decays with hadronic tagging at Belle. Phys. Rev. D **92**(7), 072014 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 92.072014 arXiv:1507.03233 [hep-ex]
- [70] Sato, Y., et al.: Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ relative to $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ decays with a semileptonic tagging method. Phys. Rev. D **94**(7), 072007 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 94.072007 arXiv:1607.07923 [hep-ex]
- [71] Hirose, S., et al.: Measurement of the τ lepton polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the decay $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**(21), 211801 (2017) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.211801 arXiv:1612.00529 [hep-ex]
- [72] Hirose, S., et al.: Measurement of the τ lepton polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the decay $\bar{B} \to D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ with one-prong hadronic τ decays at Belle. Phys. Rev. D 97(1), 012004 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 97.012004 arXiv:1709.00129 [hep-ex]
- [73] Caria, G., et al.: Measurement of $\mathcal{R}(D)$ and $\mathcal{R}(D^*)$ with a semileptonic tagging method. Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**(16), 161803 (2020) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.161803 arXiv:1910.05864 [hep-ex]
- [74] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of the ratio of the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\tau^+\nu_{\tau}$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\mu^+\nu_{\mu}$ branching fractions using three-prong τ -lepton decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**(17), 171802 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.171802 arXiv:1708.08856 [hep-ex]
- [75] Aaij, R., *et al.*: Test of Lepton Flavor Universality by the measurement of the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\tau^+\nu_{\tau}$ branching fraction using threeprong τ decays. Phys. Rev. D **97**(7), 072013 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.

97.072013 arXiv:1711.02505 [hep-ex]

- [76] Aaij, R., *et al.*: Test of lepton flavor universality using $B^0 \rightarrow D^* - \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$ decays with hadronic τ channels. Phys. Rev. D **108**(1), 012018 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.012018 arXiv:2305.01463 [hep-ex]
- [77] Amhis, Y.S., *et al.*: Averages of *b*-hadron, *c*-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2021. Phys. Rev. D **107**(5), 052008 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 107.052008 arXiv:2206.07501 [hep-ex]
- [78] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})/\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**(12), 121801 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.121801 arXiv:1711.05623 [hep-ex]
- [79] Cohen, T.D., Lamm, H., Lebed, R.F.: Model-independent bounds on $R(J/\psi)$. JHEP **09**, 168 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)168 arXiv:1807.02730 [hep-ph]
- [80] Leljak, D., Melic, B., Patra, M.: On lepton flavour universality in semileptonic $B_c \rightarrow \eta_c$, J/ψ decays. JHEP **05**, 094 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP05(2019)094 arXiv:1901.08368 [hepph]
- [81] Harrison, J., Davies, C.T.H., Lytle, A.: $R(J/\psi)$ and $B_c^- \rightarrow J/\psi \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ Lepton Flavor Universality Violating Observables from Lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**(22), 222003 (2020) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.222003 arXiv:2007.06956 [hep-lat]
- [82] Harrison, J., Davies, C.T.H., Lytle, A.: $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi$ form factors for the full q^2 range from lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. D **102**(9), 094518 (2020) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.094518 arXiv:2007.06957 [hep-lat]
- [83] Aaij, R., *et al.*: Observation of the decay $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$. Phys. Rev.

Lett. **128**(19), 191803 (2022) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191803 arXiv:2201.03497 [hep-ex]

- [84] Bernlochner, F.U., Ligeti, Z., Papucci, M., Robinson, D.J.: Interpreting LHCb's $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}$ measurement and puzzles in semileptonic Λ_b decays. Phys. Rev. D **107**(1), 011502 (2023) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.L011502 arXiv:2206.11282 [hep-ph]
- [85] Neubert, M.: Heavy quark symmetry. Phys. Rept. 245, 259–396 (1994) https: //doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)90091-4 arXiv:hep-ph/9306320
- [86] Gutsche, T., Ivanov, M.A., Körner, J.G., Lyubovitskij, V.E., Santorelli, P., Habyl, N.: Semileptonic decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \tau^- + \bar{\nu_\tau}$ in the covariant confined quark model. Phys. Rev. D **91**(7), 074001 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. **91.074001** arXiv:1502.04864 [hep-ph]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D **91**, 119907 (2015)]
- [87] Shivashankara, S., Wu, W., Datta, A.: $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ Decay in the Standard Model and with New Physics. Phys. Rev. D **91**(11), 115003 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.115003 arXiv:1502.07230 [hep-ph]
- [88] Detmold, W., Lehner, C., Meinel, S.: $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ form factors from lattice QCD with relativistic heavy quarks. Phys. Rev. D **92**(3), 034503 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. **92.034503** arXiv:1503.01421 [hep-lat]
- [89] Li, X.-Q., Yang, Y.-D., Zhang, X.: $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ decay in scalar and vector leptoquark scenarios. JHEP **02**, 068 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)068 arXiv:1611.01635 [hep-ph]
- [90] Datta, A., Kamali, S., Meinel, S., Rashed, A.: Phenomenology of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$ using lattice QCD calculations. JHEP **08**, 131 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP08(2017)131 arXiv:1702.02243 [hepph]

- [91] Bernlochner, F.U., Ligeti, Z., Robinson, D.J., Sutcliffe, W.L.: New predictions for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c$ semileptonic decays and tests of heavy quark symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**(20), 202001 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.202001 arXiv:1808.09464 [hep-ph]
- [92] Bernlochner, F.U., Ligeti, Z., Robinson, D.J., Sutcliffe, W.L.: Precise predictions for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c$ semileptonic decays. Phys. Rev. D **99**(5), 055008 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055008 arXiv:1812.07593 [hep-ph]
- [93] Blanke, M., Crivellin, A., Boer, S., Kitahara, T., Moscati, M., Nierste, U., Nišandžić, I.: Impact of polarization observables and $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu$ on new physics explanations of the $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ anomaly. Phys. Rev. D **99**(7), 075006 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.075006 arXiv:1811.09603 [hep-ph]
- [94] Blanke, M., Crivellin, A., Kitahara, T., Moscati, M., Nierste, U., Nišandžić, I.: Addendum to "Impact of polarization observables and $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu$ on new physics explanations of the $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ anomaly" (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.035035 arXiv:1905.08253 [hep-ph]. [Addendum: Phys.Rev.D 100, 035035 (2019)]
- [95] Fedele, M., Blanke, M., Crivellin, A., Iguro, S., Kitahara, T., Nierste, U., Watanabe, R.: Impact of Λb→Λcτν measurement on new physics in b→clν transitions. Phys. Rev. D 107(5), 055005 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 107.055005 arXiv:2211.14172 [hep-ph]
- [96] Li, Y.: Search for Beyond Standard Model Physics at BaBar. PhD thesis, Caltech (2022). https://doi.org/10.7907/tz7n-d662
- [97] Bigi, D., Gambino, P.: Revisiting $B \to D\ell\nu$. Phys. Rev. D **94**(9), 094008 (2016) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.094008 arXiv:1606.08030 [hep-ph]
- [98] Bernlochner, F.U., Ligeti, Z., Papucci,

M., Robinson, D.J.: Combined analysis of semileptonic *B* decays to *D* and *D*^{*}: $R(D^{(*)})$, $|V_{cb}|$, and new physics. Phys. Rev. D **95**(11), 115008 (2017) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.115008 arXiv:1703.05330 [hep-ph]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 97, 059902 (2018)]

- [99] Jaiswal, S., Nandi, S., Patra, S.K.: Extraction of $|V_{cb}|$ from $B \to D^{(*)} \ell \nu_{\ell}$ and the Standard Model predictions of $R(D^{(*)})$. JHEP **12**, 060 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP12(2017)060 arXiv:1707.09977 [hepph]
- [101] Bordone, M., Jung, M., Dyk, D.: Theory determination of $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}$ form factors at $\mathcal{O}(1/m_c^2)$. Eur. Phys. J. C **80**(2), 74 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1140/ epjc/s10052-020-7616-4 arXiv:1908.09398 [hep-ph]
- [102] Martinelli, G., Simula, S., Vittorio, L.: $|V_{cb}|$ and $R(D)^{(*)}$) using lattice QCD and unitarity. Phys. Rev. D **105**(3), 034503 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 105.034503 arXiv:2105.08674 [hep-ph]
- [103] Bazavov, A., et al.: Semileptonic form factors for $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ at nonzero recoil from 2 + 1-flavor lattice QCD: Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations. Eur. Phys. J. C 82(12), 1141 (2022) https:// doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10984-9 arXiv:2105.14019 [hep-lat]. [Erratum: Eur.Phys.J.C 83, 21 (2023)]
- [104] Harrison, J., Davies, C.T.H.: $B \rightarrow D^*$ vector, axial-vector and tensor form factors for the full q^2 range from lattice QCD (2023) arXiv:2304.03137 [hep-lat]
- [105] Di Carlo, M., Martinelli, G., Naviglio, M., Sanfilippo, F., Simula, S., Vittorio, L.: Unitarity bounds for semileptonic decays in lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. D 104(5), 054502

(2021) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 104.054502 arXiv:2105.02497 [hep-lat]

- [106] Martinelli, G., Simula, S., Vittorio, L.: Constraints for the semileptonic B→D(*) form factors from lattice QCD simulations of two-point correlation functions. Phys. Rev. D 104(9), 094512 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 104.094512 arXiv:2105.07851 [hep-lat]
- [107] Martinelli, G., Simula, S., Vittorio, L.: Exclusive determinations of $|V_{cb}|$ and $R(D^*)$ through unitarity. Eur. Phys. J. C **82**(12), 1083 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-022-11050-0 arXiv:2109.15248 [hepph]
- [108] Fedele, M., Blanke, M., Crivellin, A., Iguro, S., Nierste, U., Simula, S., Vittorio, L.: Discriminating $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$ form factors via polarization observables and asymmetries (2023) arXiv:2305.15457 [hep-ph]
- [109] Carvunis, A., Crivellin, A., Guadagnoli, D., Gangal, S.: The Forward-Backward Asymmetry in $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$: One more hint for Scalar Leptoquarks? Phys. Rev. D **105**(3), 031701 (2022) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.L031701 arXiv:2106.09610 [hep-ph]
- [110] Iguro, S., Kitahara, T., Watanabe, R.: Global fit to $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$ anomalies 2022 midautumn (2022) arXiv:2210.10751 [hep-ph]
- [111] Buras, A.J., Girrbach, J.: Left-handed Z' and Z FCNC quark couplings facing new $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ data. JHEP **12**, 009 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP12(2013)009 arXiv:1309.2466 [hepph]
- [112] Gauld, R., Goertz, F., Haisch, U.: On minimal Z' explanations of the $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomaly. Phys. Rev. D 89, 015005 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 89.015005 arXiv:1308.1959 [hep-ph]
- [113] Altmannshofer, W., Gori, S., Pospelov, M., Yavin, I.: Quark flavor transitions in $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ models. Phys. Rev. D 89, 095033

(2014) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.095033 arXiv:1403.1269 [hep-ph]

- [114] Crivellin, A., D'Ambrosio, G., Heeck, J.: Explaining $h \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$, $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^$ and $B \rightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^- / B \rightarrow K e^+ e^-$ in a two-Higgs-doublet model with gauged $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 151801 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.151801 arXiv:1501.00993 [hep-ph]
- [115] Di Luzio, L., Kirk, M., Lenz, A.: Updated B_s -mixing constraints on new physics models for $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ anomalies. Phys. Rev. D **97**(9), 095035 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.095035 arXiv:1712.06572 [hep-ph]
- [116] Allanach, B., Queiroz, F.S., Strumia, A., Sun, S.: Z' models for the LHCb and g - 2 muon anomalies. Phys. Rev. D **93**(5), 055045 (2016) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.055045 arXiv:1511.07447 [hep-ph]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 95, 119902 (2017)]
- [117] Alcaraz, J., et al.: A Combination of preliminary electroweak measurements and constraints on the standard model (2006) arXiv:hep-ex/0612034
- [118] Buras, A.J., De Fazio, F., Girrbach, J.: The Anatomy of Z' and Z with Flavour Changing Neutral Currents in the Flavour Precision Era. JHEP 02, 116 (2013) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP02(2013)116 arXiv:1211.1896 [hep-ph]
- [119] Crivellin, A., Hofer, L., Matias, J., Nierste, U., Pokorski, S., Rosiek, J.: Lepton-flavour violating *B* decays in generic Z' models. Phys. Rev. D 92(5), 054013 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 92.054013 arXiv:1504.07928 [hep-ph]
- [120] Crivellin, A., D'Ambrosio, G., Heeck, J.: Addressing the LHC flavor anomalies with horizontal gauge symmetries. Phys. Rev. D 91(7), 075006 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.075006 arXiv:1503.03477 [hep-ph]

- [121] Calibbi, L., Crivellin, A., Kirk, F., Manzari, C.A., Vernazza, L.: Z' models with less-minimal flavour violation. Phys. Rev. D 101(9), 095003 (2020) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.095003 arXiv:1910.00014 [hep-ph]
- [122] Jäger, S., Kirk, M., Lenz, A., Leslie, K.: Charming new physics in rare B-decays and mixing? Phys. Rev. D 97(1), 015021 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 97.015021 arXiv:1701.09183 [hep-ph]
- [123] Bobeth, C., Haisch, U., Lenz, A., Pecjak, B., Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, G.: On new physics in $\Delta\Gamma_d$. JHEP **06**, 040 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)040 arXiv:1404.2531 [hep-ph]
- [124] Crivellin, A., Greub, C., Müller, D., Saturnino, F.: Importance of Loop Effects in Explaining the Accumulated Evidence for New Physics in B Decays with a Vector Leptoquark. Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**(1), 011805 (2019) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.011805 arXiv:1807.02068 [hep-ph]
- [125] Crivellin, A., Müller, D., Saturnino, F.: Flavor Phenomenology of the Leptoquark Singlet-Triplet Model. JHEP 06, 020 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)020 arXiv:1912.04224 [hep-ph]
- [126] Crivellin, A., Fuks, B., Schnell, L.: Explaining the hints for lepton flavour universality violation with three S₂ leptoquark generations. JHEP 06, 169 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2022)169 arXiv:2203.10111 [hep-ph]
- [127] Iguro, S., Tobe, K.: $R(D^{(*)})$ in a general two Higgs doublet model. Nucl. Phys. B **925**, 560–606 (2017) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.10.014 arXiv:1708.06176 [hep-ph]
- [128] Iguro, S., Omura, Y.: Status of the semileptonic B decays and muon g 2 in general 2HDMs with right-handed neutrinos. JHEP **05**, 173 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/

JHEP05(2018)173 arXiv:1802.01732 [hep-ph]

- [129] Crivellin, A., Müller, D., Wiegand, C.: $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions in two-Higgsdoublet models. JHEP **06**, 119 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)119 arXiv:1903.10440 [hep-ph]
- [130] Crivellin, A., Kirk, M.: Diquark Explanation of $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ (2023) arXiv:2309.07205 [hep-ph]
- [131] Crivellin, A., Müller, D., Signer, A., Ulrich, Y.: Correlating lepton flavor universality violation in *B* decays with $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ using leptoquarks. Phys. Rev. D **97**(1), 015019 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. **97.015019** arXiv:1706.08511 [hep-ph]
- [132] Gripaios, B., Nardecchia, M., Renner, S.A.: Linear flavour violation and anomalies in *B* physics. JHEP 06, 083 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)083 arXiv:1509.05020 [hep-ph]
- [133] Arnan, P., Hofer, L., Mescia, F., Crivellin, A.: Loop effects of heavy new scalars and fermions in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$. JHEP **04**, 043 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP04(2017)043 arXiv:1608.07832 [hepph]
- [134] Grinstein, В., Pokorski, S., Ross, G.G.: Lepton non-universality infermion mass B decays and struc-JHEP **12**, 079 (2018) ture. https: //doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)079 arXiv:1809.01766 [hep-ph]
- [135] Arnan, P., Crivellin, A., Fedele, M., Mescia, F.: Generic Loop Effects of New Scalars and Fermions in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$, $(g-2)_{\mu}$ and a Vector-like 4th Generation. JHEP **06**, 118 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP06(2019)118 arXiv:1904.05890 [hepph]
- [136] Crivellin, A., Greub, C., Kokulu, A.: Explaining $B \to D\tau\nu$, $B \to D^*\tau\nu$ and $B \to \tau\nu$ in a 2HDM of type III. Phys. Rev. D 86, 054014 (2012) https://doi.org/10.1103/

PhysRevD.86.054014 arXiv:1206.2634 [hep-ph]

- [137] Fajfer, S., Kamenik, J.F., Nisandzic, I., Zupan, J.: Implications of Lepton Flavor Universality Violations in *B* Decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 161801 (2012) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.161801 arXiv:1206.1872 [hep-ph]
- [138] Celis, A., Jung, M., Li, X.-Q., Pich, A.: Sensitivity to charged scalars in $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \nu_{\tau}$ and $B \rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau}$ decays. JHEP **01**, 054 (2013) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP01(2013)054 arXiv:1210.8443 [hep-ph]
- [139] Bhattacharya, B., Datta, A., London, D., Shivashankara, S.: Simultaneous Explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ Puzzles. Phys. Lett. B **742**, 370–374 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.011 arXiv:1412.7164 [hep-ph]
- [140] Greljo, A., Isidori, G., Marzocca, D.: On the breaking of Lepton Flavor Universality in *B* decays. JHEP 07, 142 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2015)142 arXiv:1506.01705 [hep-ph]
- [141] Boucenna, S.M., Celis, A., Fuentes-Martin, J., Vicente, A., Virto, J.: Phenomenology of an $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model with lepton-flavour non-universality. JHEP **12**, 059 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP12(2016)059 arXiv:1608.01349 [hepph]
- $\begin{bmatrix} 142 \end{bmatrix} Carena, M., Megías, E., Quíros, M., Wagner, C.: <math>R_{D^{(*)}}$ in custodial warped space. JHEP **12**, 043 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)043 arXiv:1809.01107 [hep-ph]
- [143] Sakaki, Y., Tanaka, M., Tayduganov, A., Watanabe, R.: Testing leptoquark models in $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$. Phys. Rev. D **88**(9), 094012 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 88.094012 arXiv:1309.0301 [hep-ph]
- [144] Bauer, M., Neubert, M.: Minimal Leptoquark Explanation for the $R_{D^{(*)}}$,

 R_K , and $(g-2)_{\mu}$ Anomalies. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**(14), 141802 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.141802 arXiv:1511.01900 [hep-ph]

- [145] Freytsis, M., Ligeti, Z., Ruderman, J.T.: Flavor models for $\overline{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\overline{\nu}$. Phys. Rev. D **92**(5), 054018 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054018 arXiv:1506.08896 [hep-ph]
- [146] Fajfer, S., Košnik, N.: Vector leptoquark resolution of R_K and $R_{D^{(*)}}$ puzzles. Phys. Lett. B **755**, 270–274 (2016) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.02.018 arXiv:1511.06024 [hep-ph]
- [147] Celis, A., Jung, M., Li, X.-Q., Pich, A.: Scalar contributions to $b \rightarrow c(u)\tau\nu$ transitions. Phys. Lett. B **771**, 168–179 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb. 2017.05.037 arXiv:1612.07757 [hep-ph]
- [148] Alonso, R., Grinstein, B., Martin Camalich, J.: Lifetime of B_c^- Constrains Explanations for Anomalies in $B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**(8), 081802 (2017) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.081802 arXiv:1611.06676 [hep-ph]
- [149] Aebischer, J., Grinstein, B.: Standard Model prediction of the B_c lifetime. JHEP 07, 130 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP07(2021)130 arXiv:2105.02988 [hepph]
- [150] Blanke, M., Iguro, S., Zhang, H.: Towards ruling out the charged Higgs interpretation of the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomaly. JHEP **06**, 043 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP06(2022)043 arXiv:2202.10468 [hepph]
- [151] Calibbi, L., Crivellin, A., Ota, T.: Effective Field Theory Approach to $b \to s\ell \ell^{(\prime)}$, $B \to K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu$ with Third Generation Couplings. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 181801 (2015) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.181801 arXiv:1506.02661 [hep-ph]
- [152] Barbieri, R., Murphy, C.W., Senia, F.:

B-decay Anomalies in a Composite Leptoquark Model. Eur. Phys. J. C **77**(1), 8 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-016-4578-7 arXiv:1611.04930 [hep-ph]

- [153] Di Luzio, L., Greljo, A., Nardecchia, M.: Gauge leptoquark as the origin of *B*-physics anomalies. Phys. Rev. D 96(11), 115011 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 96.115011 arXiv:1708.08450 [hep-ph]
- [154] Calibbi, L., Crivellin, A., Li, T.: Model of vector leptoquarks in view of the *B*-physics anomalies. Phys. Rev. D 98(11), 115002 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 98.115002 arXiv:1709.00692 [hep-ph]
- [155] Bordone, M., Cornella, C., Fuentes-Martin, J., Isidori, G.: A three-site gauge model for flavor hierarchies and flavor anomalies. Phys. Lett. B 779, 317–323 (2018) https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.02.011 arXiv:1712.01368 [hep-ph]
- [156] Blanke, M., Crivellin, A.: B Meson Anomalies in a Pati-Salam Model within the Randall-Sundrum Background. Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**(1), 011801 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.011801 arXiv:1801.07256 [hep-ph]
- [157] Crivellin, A., Müller, D., Ota, T.: Simultaneous explanations of $R(D^{(*)})$ and $b \rightarrow e\ell^+\ell^-$: The last scalar leptoquarks standing. JHEP **09**, 040 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP09(2017)040 arXiv:1703.09226 [hepph]
- [158] Gherardi, V., Marzocca, D., Venturini, E.: Low-energy phenomenology of scalar leptoquarks at one-loop accuracy. JHEP 01, 138 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP01(2021)138 arXiv:2008.09548 [hepph]
- [159] Ganiev, E. (On behalf of the Belle II Collaboration). Talk given at EPS-HEP 2023
 "On Radiative and Electroweak Penguin Decays", Hamburg, DESY (2023)

- [160] Capdevila, B., Crivellin, A., Descotes-Genon, S., Hofer, L., Matias, J.: Searching for New Physics with $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$ processes. Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**(18), 181802 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120. 181802 arXiv:1712.01919 [hep-ph]
- [161] Algueró, M., Matias, J., Capdevila, B., Crivellin, A.: Disentangling lepton flavor universal and lepton flavor universality violating effects in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions. Phys. Rev. D **105**(11), 113007 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 105.113007 arXiv:2205.15212 [hep-ph]
- [162] Iguro, S.: Conclusive probe of the charged Higgs solution of P'_5 and $R(D^{(*)})$ discrepancies. Phys. Rev. D **107**(9), 095004 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 107.095004 arXiv:2302.08935 [hep-ph]
- [163] Fuentes-Martín, J., Isidori, G., König, M., Selimović, N.: Vector Leptoquarks Beyond Tree Level. Phys. Rev. D 101(3), 035024 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 101.035024 arXiv:1910.13474 [hep-ph]
- [164] Fuentes-Martín, J., Isidori, G., König, M., Selimović, N.: Vector Leptoquarks Beyond Tree Level III: Vector-like Fermions and Flavor-Changing Transitions. Phys. Rev. D 102, 115015 (2020) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.115015 arXiv:2009.11296 [hep-ph]
- [165] Fernández Navarro, M., King, S.F.: Banomalies in a twin Pati-Salam theory of flavour including the 2022 LHCb $R_{K^{(*)}}$ analysis. JHEP **02**, 188 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2023)188 arXiv:2209.00276 [hep-ph]
- [166] Gherardi, V., Marzocca, D., Venturini, E.: Matching scalar leptoquarks to the SMEFT at one loop. JHEP 07, 225 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)225 arXiv:2003.12525 [hep-ph]. [Erratum: JHEP 01, 006 (2021)]
- [167] Cornella, C., Isidori, G., König, M., Liechti, S., Owen, P., Serra, N.: Hunting for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \tau^-$ imprints on the $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$

dimuon spectrum. Eur. Phys. J. C 80(12), 1095 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/ s10052-020-08674-5 arXiv:2001.04470 [hepph]

- [168] Algueró, M., Crivellin, A., Descotes-Genon, S., Matias, J., Novoa-Brunet, M.: A new *B*-flavour anomaly in $B_{d,s} \rightarrow K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0}$: anatomy and interpretation. JHEP **04**, 066 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2021)066 arXiv:2011.07867 [hep-ph]
- [169] Biswas, A., Descotes-Genon, S., Matias, J., Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, G.: A new puzzle in non-leptonic *B* decays. JHEP 06, 108 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP06(2023)108 arXiv:2301.10542 [hepph]
- [170] Workman, R.L., et al.: Review of Particle Physics. PTEP 2022, 083–01 (2022) https: //doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097
- [171] Duh, Y.-T., et al.: Measurements of branching fractions and direct CP asymmetries for $B \rightarrow K\pi$, $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $B \rightarrow KK$ decays. Phys. Rev. D 87(3), 031103 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 87.031103 arXiv:1210.1348 [hep-ex]
- [172] Aubert, B., et al.: Observation of $B^+ \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K}^0$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 171805 (2006) https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.171805 arXiv:hepex/0608036
- [173] Aaij, R., et al.: Measurement of the branching fraction of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$. Phys. Rev. D **102**(1), 012011 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD. 102.012011 arXiv:2002.08229 [hep-ex]
- [174] Pal, B., et al.: Observation of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K}^0$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**(16), 161801 (2016) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.161801 arXiv:1512.02145 [hep-ex]
- [175] Aaij, R., *et al.*: Amplitude analysis of the $B_{(s)}^0 \to K^{*0}\overline{K}^{*0}$ decays and measurement of the branching fraction of the

 $B^0 \to K^{*0} \overline{K}^{*0}$ decay. JHEP 07, 032 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2019)032 arXiv:1905.06662 [hep-ex]

- [176] Aubert, B., et al.: Observation of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*} 0$ and search for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} K^{*0}$. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 081801 (2008) https: //doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.081801 arXiv:0708.2248 [hep-ex]
- [177] Beneke, M., Rohrer, J., Yang, D.: Branching fractions, polarisation and asymmetries of $B \rightarrow VV$ decays. Nucl. Phys. B **774**, 64–101 (2007) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb. 2007.03.020 arXiv:hep-ph/0612290
- [178] Lizana, J.M., Matias, J., Stefanek, B.A.: Explaining the $B_{d,s} \rightarrow K^{(*)}\bar{K}^{(*)}$ nonleptonic puzzle and charged-current *B*anomalies via scalar leptoquarks (2023) arXiv:2306.09178 [hep-ph]
- [179] Barbieri, R., Isidori, G., Pattori, A., Senia, F.: Anomalies in *B*-decays and U(2) flavour symmetry. Eur. Phys. J. C **76**(2), 67 (2016) https: //doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3905-3 arXiv:1512.01560 [hep-ph]
- [180] Mauri, A.: Talk at CKM2023 on behalf of the LHCb collaboration (2023)