arXiv:2309.00959v3 [hep-ph] 11 Nov 2023

Electromagnetic properties of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states via light-cone QCD

Ulaş Özdem^{01,*}

¹Health Services Vocational School of Higher Education, Istanbul Aydin University, Sefakoy-Kucukcekmece, 34295 Istanbul, Türkiye (Dated: November 14, 2023)

The $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states are among the most interesting and intriguing particles whose internal structures have not yet been elucidated. Inspired by this, the magnetic dipole moments of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states with quantum numbers $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, respectively, are analyzed in the framework of QCD light-cone sum rules, assuming that they have a molecule composed of a nucleon and a $D^{(*)}$ meson. The magnetic dipole moments are obtained as $\mu_{\Sigma^+_{\alpha}} = 0.26 \pm 0.05 \ \mu_N$ and $\mu_{\Lambda^+_{\alpha}} = -0.31 \pm 0.04 \ \mu_N$. The magnetic dipole moment is the leading-order response of a bound system to a weak external magnetic field. It therefore offers an excellent platform to explore the inner organization of hadrons governed by the quark-gluon dynamics of QCD. Comparison of the findings of this analysis with future experimental results on the magnetic dipole moments of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states may shed light on the nature and internal organization of these states. The electric quadrupole and magnetic octupole moments of the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states have also been calculated, and these values are determined to be $Q_{\Lambda_c^+} = (0.65 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_c^+} = -(0.38 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^3$, respectively. The values of the electric quadrupole and magnetic octupole moments show a non-spherical charge distribution. We hope that our estimates of the electromagnetic properties of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states, together with the results of other theoretical studies of the spectroscopic parameters of these states, will be useful for their search in future experiments and will help us to define the exact internal structures of these states.

Keywords: Singly-charmed pentaquark states, electromagnetic form factors, molecular picture, magnetic dipole moments, QCD light-cone sum rules

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, many excited baryon states have been discovered experimentally. Elucidating and understanding the internal structure of excited hadronic states is an important problem in the field of nonperturbative QCD. The discovery of a large number of new heavy baryons at experimental facilities requires their theoretical identification and classification [1–4]. Theoretical investigations include the analysis of baryons containing a single heavy quark, which provides an excellent laboratory to study the dynamics of a light diquark in the environment of a heavy quark, allowing the predictions of different theoretical approaches to be tested and to improve the understanding of the non-perturbative nature of QCD. Significant experimental progress has been made in the field of singly heavy baryons in recent years [5–34]. More experimental research is needed because the evidence for the existence of some of these states is weak and the quantum numbers are not well determined. Therefore, their study is an active field in both experimental and theoretical research.

In 2005, the Belle Collaboration tentatively identified the $\Sigma_c(2800)^{++}$, $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Sigma_c(2800)^0$ as isospin triplet states in the $\Lambda_c^+\pi^+$, $\Lambda_c^+\pi^0$ and $\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum, respectively [15]. The measured mass differences and decay widths are listed as follows,

$$M_{\Sigma_c(2800)^{++}} - M_{\Lambda_c^+} = 514.5^{+3.4}_{-3.1-4.9} \,\mathrm{MeV}\,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_c(2800)^{++}} = 75^{+18+12}_{-13-11} \,\mathrm{MeV}\,,$$
(1)

$$M_{\Sigma_c(2800)^+} - M_{\Lambda_c^+} = 505.4^{+5.8+12.4}_{-4.6-2.0} \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_c(2800)^+} = 62^{+37+52}_{-23-38} \text{ MeV}, \qquad (2)$$

$$M_{\Sigma_c(2800)^0} - M_{\Lambda_c^+} = 515.4^{+3.2+2.1}_{-3.1-6.0} \,\text{MeV} \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_c(2800)^0} = 61^{+18+22}_{-13-13} \,\,\text{MeV} \,. \tag{3}$$

The $\Sigma_c(2800)^0$ state is probably confirmed by the BaBar Collaboration [35] and; the mass and decay width are determined to be $M_{\Sigma_c(2800)^0} = 2846 \pm 8 \pm 10$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\Sigma_c(2800)^0} = 86^{+33}_{-22} \pm 7$ MeV. However, the measured width of this state is consistent with the Belle data, the mass value is about 50 MeV larger and somewhat inconsistent with the previous measurement. If the particle observed by the two experimental collaborations is indeed in the same state, then the discrepancy in the measured masses must be resolved. The quantum numbers of these states also remain to be determined.

In 2007, the BaBar Collaboration searched for charmed baryons in the D^0p invariant mass spectrum and found the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ [10]. The Belle Collaboration then confirmed the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ in decay mode $\Lambda_c(2940) \rightarrow$ $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ [11]. In 2017, the LHCb collaboration measured the amplitude analysis of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0p\pi^-$ process to determine the spin-parity of the $\Lambda(2940)^+$ state, and

^{*} ulasozdem@aydin.edu.tr

the most likely spin-parity assignment for this state is $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ [12]. The masses and decay widths measured for this state by the three collaborations are given below,

$$M_{\Lambda_c(2940)^+} = 2939.8 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_c(2940)} = 17.5 \pm 5.0 \pm 5.9 \text{ MeV} \text{ (BaBar)}, \qquad (4)$$

$$M_{\Lambda_c(2940)^+} = 2938.0 \pm 1.3^{+2.0}_{-4.0} \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_c(2940)} = 13^{+8+27}_{-5-7} \text{ MeV (Belle)},$$
(5)

$$M_{\Lambda_c(2940)^+} = 2944.8^{+3.5}_{-2.5} \pm 0.4^{+0.1}_{-4.6} \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_c(2940)} = 27.7^{+8.2}_{-6.0} \pm 0.9^{+5.2}_{-10.4} \text{ MeV} \text{ (LHCb)}.$$
(6)

Following these experimental observations, understanding the internal structures and quantum numbers of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states has been an important topic of research among theorists. To elucidate their underlying structure, they were studied in standard baryon [36–44] and molecular pentaquark states [45–63]. The $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states are still not yet fully understood, despite the considerable efforts that have been devoted to their study. All of these works, done with alternative structures however with predictions that are within error of the experimental observations, indicate the need for more for further study of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states. Therefore, it is time for further efforts in the study of these states to have a better understanding of their properties.

If one examines the studies in the literature listed above, it can be seen that almost all the calculations are aimed at calculating the spectroscopic parameters of these states, and it is easy to see that the spectroscopic parameters alone are not sufficient to elucidate the controversial nature of these states. Hence, it is obvious that further analyses such as the electromagnetic form factors, the weak decays, and so on are needed to shed light on the internal structure of these states. The $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states are close to the ND and ND^* thresholds, inspiring us that they might be the ND and ND^* molecular states. Then it is worthwhile to study the ND and ND^* interactions with various methods to further understand the nature of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states. Based on this assumption, we consider the states $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ to be ND and ND^* bound states: $\Sigma_c^+ = (|D^0p\rangle + |D^+n\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, and $\Lambda_c^+ = (|D^{*0}p\rangle - |D^{*+}n\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$; and we explore the nature of these states in the framework of QCD light-cone sum rules. It is worth noting that, as mentioned above, the spin-parity quantum numbers of these states have not been experimentally determined. However, when considering the molecular state, studies in the literature have shown that the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ assignment for the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ state and the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ assignment for the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ state are more consistent with the experimental data. Therefore, in this study we assume that the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$

and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states have spin-parity quantum numbers $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, respectively. In the present paper, we proceed as follows. We de-

In the present paper, we proceed as follows. We derive QCD light-cone sum rules for molecular states in Sect. II, with similar procedures as our previous studies on baryons [64–66] and molecular states [67–72]. In Sect. III, we illustrate our numerical results and discussions, and the last section is devoted to the summary and concluding remarks. The analytical expressions obtained for the magnetic dipole moment results of the Σ_c^+ state, electromagnetic multipole moments of the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ state, and the photon DAs are presented in Appendix A, B and C, respectively.

II. QCD LIGHT-CONE SUM RULES FOR THE ELECTROMAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ AND $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ STATES

As is well known, the QCD light-cone sum rules have been successfully applied to the calculation of masses, decay constants, form factors, magnetic dipole moments, etc. of standard hadrons, and are a powerful technique for the study of exotic hadron properties. The correlation function is evaluated both in terms of hadrons (the hadronic side) and in terms of quark-gluon degrees of freedom (the QCD side) according to the QCD light cone sum rules technique. Then, by equating these two different descriptions of the correlation function by quarkhadron duality, the physical quantities, i.e. the magnetic dipole moments, are calculated [73–75].

A. Magnetic dipole moments of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ state

The first step to analyze magnetic dipole moments with the QCD light-cone sum rules is to write the following correlation function,

$$\Pi(p,q) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\left\{J^{\Sigma_c^+}(x)\bar{J}^{\Sigma_c^+}(0)\right\}|0\rangle_{\gamma}, (7)$$

where T is the time ordered product, sub-indice γ is the external electromagnetic field. J(x) is the interpolating current of the Σ_c^+ state and is required to continue the analysis. This interpolating current is written as follows by the quantum numbers $I(J^P) = 1(1/2^-)$:

$$J^{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ |D^{0}p\rangle + |D^{+}n\rangle \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left[\bar{u}^{d}(x)i\gamma_{5}c^{d}(x) \right] \left[\varepsilon^{abc}u^{a^{T}}(x)C\gamma_{\mu}d^{b}(x) \right. \right. \\\left. \times \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}u^{c}(x) \right] - \left[\bar{d}^{d}(x)i\gamma_{5}c^{d}(x) \right] \left[\varepsilon^{abc}u^{a^{T}}(x) \right. \\\left. \times C\gamma_{\mu}d^{b}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}d^{c}(x) \right] \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where a, b, c and d are color indices and the C is the charge conjugation operator.

Let us start by obtaining the correlation function from the hadronic side. We insert a full set of intermediate state Σ_c with the same quantum numbers as the interpolating currents into the correlation function to get the hadronic representation of the correlation function. This gives us the following expression

$$\Pi^{Had}(p,q) = \frac{\langle 0 \mid J^{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}(x) \mid \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p,s) \rangle}{[p^{2} - m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{2}]} \\ \times \langle \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p,s) \mid \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \rangle_{\gamma} \\ \times \frac{\langle \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \mid \bar{J}^{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}(0) \mid 0 \rangle}{[(p+q)^{2} - m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{2}]} + \cdots$$
(9)

The matrix elements in Eq. (9) can be written in terms of hadronic parameters and Lorentz invariant form factors in the following way:

$$\langle 0 \mid J^{\Sigma_c^+}(x) \mid \Sigma_c^+(p,s) \rangle = \lambda_{\Sigma_c^+} \gamma_5 u(p,s), \tag{10}$$
$$\langle \Sigma_c^+(x) \mid \overline{\Sigma}_c^+(0) \mid 0 \rangle = \lambda_{\Sigma_c^+} \gamma_5 u(p,s), \tag{11}$$

$$\langle \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \mid J^{-c}(0) \mid 0 \rangle = \lambda_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}\gamma_{5} u(p+q,s),$$
(11)

$$\langle \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p,s) \mid \Sigma_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \rangle_{\gamma} = \varepsilon^{\mu} \bar{u}(p,s) \Big[[F_{1}(q^{2}) + F_{2}(q^{2})] \gamma_{\mu} + F_{2}(q^{2}) \\ \times \frac{(2p+q)_{\mu}}{2m_{\Sigma^{+}}} \Big] u(p+q,s),$$

where the u(p, s), u(p+q, s) and $\lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}$ are the spinors and residue of the Σ_c^+ state, respectively.

Substituting Eqs. (10)-(12) in Eq. (9) and doing some calculations, we get the following result for the hadronic side,

$$\Pi^{Had}(p,q) = \lambda_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{2} \gamma_{5} \frac{\left(\not{p} + m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}\right)}{\left[p^{2} - m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{2}\right]} \varepsilon^{\mu} \left[\left[F_{1}(q^{2}) + F_{2}(q^{2})\right] \gamma_{\mu} + F_{2}(q^{2}) \frac{(2p+q)_{\mu}}{2m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}} \right] \gamma_{5} \frac{\left(\not{p} + \not{q} + m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}\right)}{\left[(p+q)^{2} - m_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{2}\right]}.$$
(13)

To obtain the above expression, summing over the spins of Σ_c^+ state, $\sum_s u(p,s)\bar{u}(p,s) = \not{p} + m_{\Sigma_c^+}$ and $\sum_s u(p+q,s)\bar{u}(p+q,s) = (\not{p}+\not{q}) + m_{\Sigma_c^+}$ have also been performed.

The magnetic dipole moments of hadrons are related to their magnetic form factors; more precisely, the magnetic dipole moments are equal to the magnetic form factor at zero momentum squared. The magnetic form factors $(F_M(q^2))$, which are more directly accessible in experiments, are defined by the form factors $F_1(q^2)$ and $F_2(q^2)$

$$F_M(q^2) = F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2).$$
 (14)

Since we are dealing with a real photon, we can define the magnetic form factor $F_M(q^2 = 0)$ in terms of the magnetic dipole moment $\mu_{\Sigma^{\pm}}$:

$$\mu_{\Sigma_c^+} = \frac{e}{2 \, m_{\Sigma_c^+}} \, F_M(q^2 = 0). \tag{15}$$

Now we are ready to start the second step, which is the calculation of the correlation function using the QCD parameters. By explicitly using the interpolating currents in the correlation function, the second representation of the correlation function, the QCD side, is obtained. Then, all of the quark fields are contracted according to Wick's theorem, and the desired results are obtained. As a result of the procedures described above, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}}^{QCD}(p,q) &= -\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} \varepsilon^{a'b'c'} \int d^{4}x \, e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 \mid \left\{ & \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\alpha} S_{d}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_{\beta} \right. \\ & \times \widetilde{S}_{u}^{aa'}(x) \right] (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{cc'}(x) \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\beta}) \\ & - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{ca'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{bb'}(x) \gamma_{\alpha} S_{u}^{ac'}(x) \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\beta} \right] \\ & + \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{d}^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\alpha} S_{d}^{bb'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_{u}^{aa'}(x) \right] (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} S_{d}^{cc'}(x) \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\beta}) \\ & - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{5} S_{c}^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{d}^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} S_{d}^{cb'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_{u}^{aa'}(x) \gamma_{\alpha} S_{d}^{bc'}(x) \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\beta} \right] \left\} \mid 0 \rangle_{\gamma} \,, \tag{16}$$

where $\widetilde{S}_{c(q)}^{ij}(x) = CS_{c(q)}^{ijT}(x)C$ and, $S_c(x)$ and $S_q(x)$ are the full propagators of the heavy and light quarks, which can be written as [76, 77]

$$S_q(x) = S_q^{free} - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{12} \left(1 - i\frac{m_q \not{x}}{4} \right) - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{192} m_0^2 x^2 \left(1 - i\frac{m_q \not{x}}{6} \right) - \frac{ig_s}{32\pi^2 x^2} G^{\mu\nu}(x) \left[\not{x}\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{\mu\nu} \not{x} \right], \quad (17)$$

$$S_{c}(x) = S_{c}^{free} - \frac{g_{s}m_{c}}{16\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} dv \, G^{\mu\nu}(vx) \left[(\sigma_{\mu\nu} \not x + \not x \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \right] \times \frac{K_{1}\left(m_{c}\sqrt{-x^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{-x^{2}}} + 2\sigma_{\mu\nu}K_{0}\left(m_{c}\sqrt{-x^{2}}\right) , \quad (18)$$

and

$$S_q^{free} = \frac{1}{2\pi x^2} \left(i \frac{\not x}{x^2} - \frac{m_q}{2} \right), \tag{19}$$
$$S_c^{free} = \frac{m_c^2}{4\pi^2} \left[\frac{K_1 \left(m_c \sqrt{-x^2} \right)}{\sqrt{-x^2}} + i \frac{\not x K_2 \left(m_c \sqrt{-x^2} \right)}{(\sqrt{-x^2})^2} \right], \tag{20}$$

where $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ is light-quark condensate, $G^{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength tensor, v is line variable and K_i 's are modified Bessel functions of the second kind, respectively.

The correlation functions in Eq. (16) receive both perturbative contributions, that is, when a photon interacts with light/heavy quarks at short-distance and nonperturbative contributions, that is when a photon interacts with light quarks at large-distance. In the case of perturbative contributions, one of the light propagators or one of the heavy propagators of the quarks interacting perturbatively with the photon is replaced by the following

$$S^{free}(x) \to \int d^4 y \, S^{free}(x-z) \mathcal{A}(z) \, S^{free}(z) \,, \quad (21)$$

and the rest of the propagators in Eq. (16) are considered full quark propagators, which include perturbative and non-perturbative contributions. The overall perturbative contribution is obtained by replacing the perturbatively interacting quark propagator with the photon as described above, and by replacing the surviving propagators with their free parts. Here we use $A_{\mu}(z) = \frac{i}{2} z^{\nu} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) e^{iq.z}$.

In the case of non-perturbative contributions, one of the light quark propagators in Eq. (17), which describes the photon emission at large distances, is replaced with

$$S^{ab}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \to -\frac{1}{4} \left[\bar{q}^a(x) \Gamma_i q^b(x) \right] \left(\Gamma_i \right)_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (22)$$

and in Eq. (16) the remaining four quark propagators are all considered to be full quark propagators. Here Γ_i represents the full set of Dirac matrices. Once Eq. (22) is inserted into Eq. (16), matrix elements of type $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_i q(0) | 0 \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_i G_{\alpha\beta} q(0) | 0 \rangle$ appear, which represent the non-perturbative contributions. We require these matrix elements, which are parameterized in terms of photon wave functions with certain twists, to calculate the non-perturbative contributions (see Ref. [78] for the explicit expressions of photon distribution amplitudes (DAs)). The QCD side of the correlation function can be acquired in terms of the quark-gluon parameters and the DAs of the photon with the help of Eqs. (16)-(22) and after Fourier transforms the x-space calculations to momentum space.

Finally, the Lorentz structure $\notin d$ is chosen from both the hadronic and the QCD sides, and the coefficients of this structure are matched with each other. Then double Borel transformations and a continuum subtraction are performed. These are used to eliminate the effects of the continuum and higher states. This is followed by the required QCD light-cone sum rules for the magnetic dipole moments:

$$\mu_{\Sigma_c^+} = \frac{e^{\frac{m_{\Sigma_c^+}^2}{M^2}}}{\lambda_{\Sigma_c^+}^2 m_{\Sigma_c^+}} \Delta_1^{QCD}(M^2, s_0).$$
(23)

The corresponding results for the $\Delta_1^{QCD}(M^2, s_0)$ function can be found in Appendix. As you can see in the equation above, there are two extra parameters: the continuum threshold s_0 and the Borel parameters M^2 . In the numerical analysis section, we will explain how the working intervals of these extra parameters are determined.

B. Magnetic dipole, electric quadrupole and magnetic octupole moments of the Λ_c^+ state

The required correlation function for the electromagnetic multipole moments of the Λ_c^+ state is given by

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p,q) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\left\{J^{\Lambda^+_c}_{\mu}(x)\bar{J}^{\Lambda^+_c}_{\nu}(0)\right\}|0\rangle \langle 24\rangle$$

The interpolating current used for Λ_c^+ state with isospin and spin-parity $I(J^P) = 0(3/2^-)$ is as follows:

$$J^{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}_{\mu^{c}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ |D^{*0}p\rangle - |D^{*+}n\rangle \Big\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \Big[\bar{u}^{d}(x)\gamma_{\mu}c^{d}(x) \Big] \big[\varepsilon^{abc}u^{a^{T}}(x)C\gamma_{\alpha}d^{b}(x)\gamma^{\alpha}$$
$$\times \gamma_{5}u^{c}(x) \Big] + \Big[\bar{d}^{d}(x)\gamma_{\mu}c^{d}(x) \Big] \big[\varepsilon^{abc}u^{a^{T}}(x)C\gamma_{\alpha}$$
$$\times d^{b}(x)\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_{5}d^{c}(x) \Big] \Big\}.$$
(25)

The correlation function obtained in terms of hadronic parameters is written as

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{Had}(p,q) = \frac{\langle 0 \mid J_{\mu}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}(x) \mid \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p,s) \rangle}{[p^{2} - m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}]} \\ \times \langle \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p,s) \mid \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \rangle_{\gamma} \\ \times \frac{\langle \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \mid \bar{J}_{\nu}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}(0) \mid 0 \rangle}{[(p+q)^{2} - m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}]} + \dots$$
(26)

The matrix elements $\langle 0 \mid J^{\Lambda^+_c}_{\mu}(x) \mid \Lambda^+_c(p,s) \rangle$, $\langle \Lambda^+_c(p+q,s) \mid \bar{J}^{\Lambda^+_c}_{\nu}(0) \mid 0 \rangle$ and $\langle \Lambda^+_c(p) \mid \Lambda^+_c(p+q) \rangle_{\gamma}$ are expressed as follows,

$$\langle 0 \mid J_{\mu}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}(x) \mid \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p,s) \rangle = \lambda_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} u_{\mu}(p,s), \qquad (27)$$

$$\langle \Lambda_c^+(p+q,s) \mid \bar{J}_{\nu}^{\Lambda_c^+}(0) \mid 0 \rangle = \lambda_{\Lambda_c^+} \bar{u}_{\nu}(p+q,s), \qquad (28)$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p,s) \mid \Lambda_{c}^{+}(p+q,s) \rangle_{\gamma} &= -e\bar{u}_{\mu}(p,s) \left[F_{1}(q^{2})g_{\mu\nu} \not \epsilon \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}} \Big[F_{2}(q^{2})g_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &\left. + F_{4}(q^{2})\frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{(2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}})^{2}} \Big] \not \epsilon \not q \\ &\left. + F_{3}(q^{2})\frac{1}{(2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}})^{2}}q_{\mu}q_{\nu} \not \epsilon \right] \\ &\left. \times u_{\nu}(p+q,s), \end{split}$$
(29)

where F_i 's are the Lorentz invariant form factors and; the $u_{\mu}(p,s)$, $u_{\nu}(p+q,s)$ and $\lambda_{\Lambda_c^+}$ are the spinors and residue of the Λ_c^+ state, respectively.

In principle, we can use Eqs. (26)-(29) to get the final expression of the hadronic side of the correlation function, but we run into two problems: not all Lorentz structures are independent, and the correlation function

also receives contributions from spin-1/2 particles, which must be eliminated for the calculations to be reliable. The matrix element of the current J_{μ} between spin-1/2 pentaquarks and vacuum is nonzero and is determined as

$$\langle 0 \mid J_{\mu}(0) \mid B(p, s = 1/2) \rangle = (Ap_{\mu} + B\gamma_{\mu})u(p, s = 1/2).$$
(30)

As is seen the unwanted spin-1/2 contributions are proportional to γ_{μ} and p_{μ} . By multiplying both sides with γ^{μ} and using the condition $\gamma^{\mu}J_{\mu} = 0$ one can determine the constant A in terms of B. To obtain only independent structures and to eliminate the spin-1/2 contaminations in the correlation function, we apply the ordering for Dirac matrices as $\gamma_{\mu}p \not = q \gamma_{\nu}$ and eliminate terms with γ_{μ} at the beginning, γ_{ν} at the end and those proportional to p_{μ} and p_{ν} [79]. Applying the above-mentioned manipulations, the correlation function becomes the following

$$\Pi^{Had}_{\mu\nu}(p,q) = \frac{\lambda^{2}_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}}{[(p+q)^{2} - m^{2}_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}][p^{2} - m^{2}_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}]} \times \left[g_{\mu\nu} \not\!\!/ p \not\!\!/ \xi \not\!\!/ F_{1}(q^{2}) - m_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}} g_{\mu\nu} \not\!/ \xi \not\!\!/ F_{2}(q^{2}) - \frac{F_{3}(q^{2})}{4m_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}} q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \not\!/ \xi \not\!\!/ - \frac{F_{4}(q^{2})}{4m^{3}_{\Lambda^{+}_{c}}} (\varepsilon.p) q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \not\!\!/ \phi \not\!\!/ + \cdots \right].$$

$$(31)$$

Here, summation over spins of Λ_c state is applied as:

The final expression obtained for the hadronic representation of the correlation function together with the chosen Lorentz structures is written as follows:

$$\Pi^{Had}_{\mu\nu}(p,q) = \Pi^{Had}_{1} g_{\mu\nu} \not\!\!/ \not\!\!/ \not\!\!/ q + \Pi^{Had}_{2} g_{\mu\nu} \not\!/ \not\!/ q + \Pi^{Had}_{3} q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \not\!/ q \not\!/ q + \Pi^{Had}_{4} (\varepsilon.p) q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \not\!/ q \not\!/ + \dots,$$
(33)

where Π_1^{Had} , Π_2^{Had} , Π_3^{Had} and Π_4^{Had} are functions of the form factors $F_1(q^2)$, $F_2(q^2)$, $F_3(q^2)$ and $F_4(q^2)$, respectively; and dots denote other independent structures.

The form factors of the magnetic dipole, $G_M(q^2)$, electric quadrupole, $G_Q(q^2)$, and magnetic octupole, $G_O(q^2)$ are expressed concerning the form factors $F_i(q^2)$ in the following manner [80–83]:

$$G_M(q^2) = \left[F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2)\right] \left(1 + \frac{4}{5}\tau\right) - \frac{2}{5} \left[F_3(q^2)\right] \\ + \left[F_4(q^2)\right] \tau \left(1 + \tau\right), \\ G_Q(q^2) = \left[F_1(q^2) - \tau F_2(q^2)\right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[F_3(q^2) - \tau F_4(q^2)\right] \\ \times \left(1 + \tau\right),$$

$$G_O(q^2) = [F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2)] - \frac{1}{2} [F_3(q^2) + F_4(q^2)] \times (1+\tau), \qquad (34)$$

where $\tau = -\frac{q^2}{4m_{\Lambda_c^+}^2}$. In the static limit, the electromagnetic multipole form factors are given by the form factors $F_i(q^2 = 0)$ as

$$G_M(q^2 = 0) = F_1(q^2 = 0) + F_2(q^2 = 0),$$

$$G_Q(q^2 = 0) = F_1(q^2 = 0) - \frac{1}{2}F_3(q^2 = 0),$$

$$G_O(q^2 = 0) = F_1(q^2 = 0) + F_2(q^2 = 0) - \frac{1}{2}[F_3(q^2 = 0) + F_4(q^2 = 0)].$$
(35)

The magnetic dipole $(\mu_{\Lambda_c^+})$, electric quadrupole $(Q_{\Lambda_c^+})$, and magnetic octupole $(O_{\Lambda_c^+})$ moments are written as follows:

$$\mu_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}} G_{M}(q^{2} = 0),$$

$$Q_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}} G_{Q}(q^{2} = 0),$$

$$O_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{3}} G_{O}(q^{2} = 0).$$
(36)

The hadronic side of the correlation function is complete when the procedures described above have been applied to it. The next step is to determine the QCD side of the correlation function in terms of the QCD parameters, such as the quark-gluon parameters and the photon DAs. The following result is obtained by repeating the steps of the previous subsection:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{QCD}_{\mu\nu}(p,q) &= -\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} \varepsilon^{a'b'c'} \int d^4x \, e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 \mid \left\{ & \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\mu} S_c^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{\nu} S_u^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\alpha} S_d^{bb'}(x) \gamma_{\beta} \right. \\ & \times \widetilde{S}_u^{aa'}(x) \right] (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 S_u^{cc'}(x) \gamma_5 \gamma^{\beta}) \\ & - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\mu} S_c^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{\nu} S_u^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 S_u^{ca'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_d^{bb'}(x) \gamma_{\alpha} S_u^{ac'}(x) \gamma_5 \gamma^{\beta} \right] \\ & + \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\mu} S_c^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{\nu} S_d^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\alpha} S_d^{bb'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_u^{aa'}(x) \right] (\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 S_d^{cc'}(x) \gamma_5 \gamma^{\beta}) \\ & - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{\mu} S_c^{dd'}(x) \gamma_{\nu} S_d^{d'd}(-x) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 S_d^{cb'}(x) \right. \\ & \times \gamma_{\beta} \widetilde{S}_u^{aa'}(x) \gamma_{\alpha} S_d^{bc'}(x) \gamma_5 \gamma^{\beta} \right] \right\} \mid 0 \rangle_{\gamma} \,. \end{aligned}$$

The final expression obtained for the QCD representation of the correlation function together with the chosen Lorentz structures is written as follows

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{QCD}(p,q) = \Pi_1^{QCD} g_{\mu\nu} \not\!\!\!/ \not\!\!/ \not\!\!/ q + \Pi_2^{QCD} g_{\mu\nu} \not\!\!/ \not\!\!/ q$$
$$+ \Pi_3^{QCD} q_\mu q_\nu \not\!\!/ \not\!\!/ q + \Pi_4^{QCD} (\varepsilon.p) q_\mu q_\nu \not\!\!/ p \not\!\!/ q + (38)$$

where Π_1^{QCD} , Π_2^{QCD} , Π_3^{QCD} and Π_4^{QCD} are functions of the QCD degrees of freedom and photon DAs parameters.

Both hadronic and quark-gluon parameters have been used to obtain the correlation function. To analyze the magnetic dipole and higher multipole moments, the QCD and hadronic representations of the correlation function are matched through the quark-hadron duality ansatz. By matching the coefficients of the structures $g_{\mu\nu}\not\!\!/p\not\!\!/q$, $g_{\mu\nu}\not\!/q$, $q_{\mu}q_{\nu}\not\!/q$ and $(\varepsilon.p)q_{\mu}q_{\nu}\not\!/pq$, respectively for the F_1 , F_2 , F_3 and F_4 we obtain QCD light-cone sum rules for these four invariant form factors. The explicit forms of the expressions obtained for form factors F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , and F_4 are given in Appendix B.

Analytical results are obtained for Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states. Performing numerical calculations for these states would be the next step.

III. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATIONS AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The numerical analysis for the magnetic dipole moments of the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states is presented in this section. The QCD parameters that are used in our calculations are as follows: $m_u = m_d = 0$, $m_c =$ $1.27 \pm 0.02 \,\text{GeV}$ [84], $m_{\Sigma_c^+} = 2792_{-5}^{+14} \,\text{MeV}$, $m_{\Lambda_c^+} =$ $2939.6_{-1.5}^{+1.3} \,\text{MeV}$ [84], $\lambda_{\Sigma_c^+} = (7.98_{-1.56}^{+1.98}) \times 10^{-4} \,\text{GeV}^6$, $\lambda_{\Lambda_c^+} = (8.87_{-1.59}^{+1.84}) \times 10^{-4} \,\text{GeV}^6$ [52], $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = (-0.24 \pm 0.01)^3 \,\text{GeV}^3$ [85], $m_0^2 = 0.8 \pm 0.1 \,\text{GeV}^2$, $\langle g_s^2 G^2 \rangle =$ $0.88 \,\text{GeV}^4$ [86], $\chi = -2.85 \pm 0.5 \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$ [87] and $f_{3\gamma} =$ $-0.0039 \,\text{GeV}^2$ [78]. One of the important input parameters in the numerical analysis of the calculation of the magnetic dipole and higher multipole moments by the QCD light-cone sum rule method are the photon DAs. The photon DAs and the parameters that are used in these DAs are listed in Appendix C.

In addition to these numerical parameters, which we have already mentioned in the previous section, the sum rules also depend on the auxiliary parameters: Borel mass squared parameter M^2 and continuum threshold s_0 . Physical measurables such as magnetic dipole and higher multipole moments should be varied as slightly as possible by these extra parameters. Therefore, we are looking for working intervals for these additional parameters such that the results for the magnetic dipole moments are almost independent of these parameters. The standard prescription of the technique used, the Operator Product Expansion (OPE) convergence, and the Pole Contribution (PC) dominance are taken into account in the determination of the working ranges for the parameters M^2 and s_0 . For the characterization of the above restrictions, it is convenient to use the equations below:

$$PC = \frac{\Delta(M^2, s_0)}{\Delta(M^2, \infty)} \ge 30\%, \tag{39}$$

and

$$OPE = \frac{\Delta^{\text{DimN}}(M^2, s_0)}{\Delta(M^2, s_0)} \le 5\%,$$
 (40)

where $\Delta^{\text{DimN}}(M^2, s_0) = \Delta^{\text{Dim}(10+11+12)}(M^2, s_0)$. Based on these restrictions, the working intervals for the auxiliary parameters are as follows:

$$3.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \le M^2 \le 5.0 \text{ GeV}^2,$$

 $11.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \le s_0 \le 12.6 \text{ GeV}^2,$

for the Σ_c^+ state, and

$$3.0 \text{ GeV}^2 \le M^2 \le 5.0 \text{ GeV}^2,$$

 $12.3 \text{ GeV}^2 \le s_0 \le 13.7 \text{ GeV}^2,$

for the Λ_c^+ state.

Our numerical calculations show that the magnetic dipole moments of these states PC vary within the interval $38\% \leq \text{PC} \leq 58\%$ and $33\% \leq \text{PC} \leq 57\%$ for the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states, respectively, corresponding to the upper and lower bounds of the Borel mass parameter, by considering these working intervals for the helping parameters. Analyzing the convergence of the OPE, it can be seen that the contribution of the higher twist and higher dimensional terms in the OPE are 3.62% and 3.87% for the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states, respectively, of the total and the series shows good convergence. It follows that the constraints imposed by the dominance of PC and the convergence of OPE are satisfied by the working regions determined for M^2 and s_0 . After the determination of the working intervals of M^2 and s_0 , we now study the dependence of the magnetic dipole moments on M^2 for different fixed values of s_0 . From Fig. 1 we can see that the magnetic dipole moments show good stability with respect to the variation of M^2 in its working interval.

To give numerical values for the magnetic dipole moments of the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states, we have determined all the necessary parameters. Following our extensive numerical calculations, the magnetic dipole moments, both in their natural unit $(\frac{e}{2m_B})$ and in the nuclear magneton (μ_N) , are given as follows:

$$\mu_{\Sigma_c^+} = 0.78 \pm 0.24 \, \frac{e}{2m_{\Sigma_c^+}} = 0.26 \pm 0.05 \, \mu_N, \tag{41}$$

$$\mu_{\Lambda_c^+} = -0.97 \pm 0.13 \, \frac{e}{2m_{\Lambda_c^+}} = -0.31 \pm 0.04 \, \mu_N. \tag{42}$$

It should be emphasized here that the numerical computations consider uncertainties in the input parameters, ambiguities entering the photon DAs, as well as uncertainties due to variations of the auxiliary parameters M^2 and s_0 .

The magnitude of magnetic dipole moments can provide information about their experimental measurability, and the results obtained for magnetic dipole moments



FIG. 1. The dependencies of magnetic dipole moments of the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states; on M^2 at three different values of s_0 .

show that they are experimentally accessible. The facilities such as LHCb, Belle II, BESIII and so on may be able to measure magnetic dipole moments of Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states with the increased luminosity in future runs and our predictions. A comparison of the estimates obtained in this study with the results obtained by different approaches will be a test of the consistency of our results. It is highly recommended to study the magnetic dipole moments of Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states with Lattice QCD. In Refs. [88, 89], the authors have calculated the magnetic dipole moment and the mass of the $\Lambda(1405)$ baryon by assuming it as a $\bar{K}N$ molecular configuration via lattice QCD. The magnetic dipole moments of the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states can be calculated similarly with the help of lattice QCD. Compared with the predicted magnetic dipole moments of lattice QCD, the results of the present study can provide further information for the experimental search for the Σ_c^+ and Λ_c^+ states, and the experimental measurements for these magnetic dipole moments could be an important test for the $DN^{(*)}$ molecular configuration of these states. Our understanding of their properties and nature will be enhanced by these efforts.

The electric quadrupole $(\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_c^+})$ and the magnetic octupole $(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_c^+})$ of the Λ_c^+ state are also calculated. The predicted results are as follows

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{+}} = (0.65 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^2,$$
 (43)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda^+} = -(0.38 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^3.$$
 (44)

These results are small but non-zero, implying that the Λ_c^+ state charge distribution is non-spherical. Furthermore, the electric quadrupole moment has a positive sign, corresponding to the prolate charge distribution.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Inspired by the controversial and intriguing nature of $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states, the magnetic dipole moments of these states have been calculated in the framework of the QCD light-cone sum rules, using the photon DAs and the assumption that the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ are hadronic molecular states. The obtained result may be useful in determining the exact nature of these states. To understand the inner structure as well as the geometric shape of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states, the confirmation of our predictions with different theoretical models and future experiments can be very helpful. The $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ state's electric quadrupole and magnetic octupole moments are also extracted. These values indicate that the charge distribution of the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ state is non-spherical. We hope that our estimates of the electromagnetic properties of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ states, together with the results of other theoretical studies of the spectroscopic parameters of these states, will be useful for their search in future experiments and will help us to define the exact internal structures of these states.

In the present appendix, we present explicit expressions of the analytical expressions obtained for the magnetic dipole moment of the Σ_c^+ state as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{1}^{QCD}(M^{2},s_{0}) &= -\frac{P_{1}P_{2}^{2}(c_{a}+c_{a})}{116592\pi_{m}\pi^{1}} \left[(m_{1}^{k}I[-2,0]-I[0,0])I_{5}[h_{7}] + (-m_{1}^{k}I[-2,0]+I[0,0]) \\ \times [u_{0}] + \chi \left(4m_{0}^{k}I[-3,1] \right) \\ &+ m_{0}^{k} (-m_{0}^{2}I[-2,0]+2I[-2,1]) + m_{0}^{k}I[0,0]-2I[0,1]) \\ \varphi_{7}[u_{0}] \right] \\ &- \frac{P_{1}P_{2}}{3338944m_{0}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[288(c_{d}+c_{u}) \left(m_{0}^{8} \left(I[-4,2]-2I[-3,1] \right) + m_{0}^{6} \left(m_{0}^{2}(2I[-3,1]+I[-2,0])-4(I[-3,2] + I(-2,1]) \right) \right) \\ &+ I(-2,1]) \right) + m_{0}^{k} (3I[-2,2]+m_{0}^{2}(I[-2,1]-I[-1,0])-2I[-1,1]) + m_{0}^{2}I[0,1] \right) \\ &+ (e_{d}+e_{u}) \left(-39m_{0}^{k} (m_{0}^{k}I[-4,2]-I[-2,2])I_{2}[S]-12m_{0}^{k} \left(7m_{0}^{k}I[-4,2]-13m_{0}^{2}I[-3,2]+6I[-2,2] \right) \\ &\times I_{3}[h_{7}] + 6 \left(14m_{0}^{k}I[-4,2]-13m_{0}^{k}I[-3,2]-I[0,2] \right) \\ &\times I_{3}[h_{7}] + 6 \left(I_{0}31 \right) \\ \varphi_{7}[u_{0}] \right) - f_{3}\pi^{2} \left(52(e_{d}+2e_{a}) (m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-2,1]+I[0,1])I_{1}[V] - 96(e_{d}-2e_{a}) \\ &\times \left(4m_{0}^{\theta}I[-3,1]-m_{0}^{2}m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-2,0]+m_{0}^{2}I[0,0]-4I[0,1]) \\ &+ \frac{P_{2}^{2}}{18432m_{0}\pi^{4}} \left[18(c_{d}+c_{a}) \left(4m_{0}^{k}(I[-4,2]-2I[-3,1]) + 8m_{0}^{k} (m_{0}^{2}(2I[-3,1]+I[-2,0])-2(I[-3,2] \right) \\ &+ I[-2,1]) \right) - m_{0}^{k} (m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-2,0]-12I[-2,2] - 8m_{0}^{2}(I[-2,1]-I[-1,0]) \\ &+ 8m_{0}^{2}I(0,1] \right) + (c_{d}+c_{u}) \left(9m_{0}^{k} \left(m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-3,1]+I^{2}I^{2}] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{2}I(0,1] \right) + (c_{d}+c_{u}) \left(9m_{0}^{k} \left(m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-3,1]+I^{2}I^{2}] \right) \\ &\times I_{3}[h_{7}] - 9\left(2m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-3,1]-m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-3,1] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{2}I^{2}[0,1] \right) + (c_{d}+c_{u}) \left(9m_{0}^{k} \left(m_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-3,1]+I^{2}I^{2}] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-2,1] + m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[0,1] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-2,1] + m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[0,1] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-3,2] - 2m_{0}^{k}m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-3,1] + I^{2}[-3,2] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{k}I^{2}[-3,3] - 9m_{0}^{2}m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-4,2] \\ &+ 6I[-4,3]) + 9m_{0}^{2}m_{0}^{\ell}I^{2}[-3,1] - m_{0}^{\ell}m_{0}^{\ell}I^{2}[-2,0] + m_{0}^{2}I^{2}[0,0] - 2I^{2}[0,1] \right) \\ &+ M_{0}^{2}I^{2}[-2,1] \\ &+ H^{2}I^{2}[-2,1] + H^{2}I^{2}[-2,1] + H^{2}I^{2}[-2,1] + H^{2}I^{2}[-3,1] + H^{2}I^{2}[-3,1] -$$

$$+ f_{3\gamma}\pi^{2} \bigg(-(e_{d}+2e_{u}) \Big(4m_{c}^{8}I[-4,3] + m_{c}^{6}(-3m_{0}^{2}I[-3,2] + 4I[-3,3]) + 3m_{0}^{2}I[0,2] + 8I[0,3] \Big) I_{1}[\mathcal{V}] \\ + 8(e_{d}-2e_{u}) \Big(2m_{c}^{10}I[-5,3] + 3m_{0}^{2}m_{c}^{8}I[-4,2] - 2m_{c}^{6}I[-3,3] - 3m_{0}^{2}I[0,2] \Big) \psi^{a}[u_{0}] \bigg) \bigg],$$
(A1)

where $P_1 = \langle g_s^2 G^2 \rangle$ and $P_2 = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ are gluon and light-quark condensates, respectively. It should also be noted that in the $\Delta_1^{QCD}(M^2, s_0)$ function we have, for simplicity, presented only those terms that make significant contributions to the numerical values of the magnetic dipole moment and have ignored many higher dimensional terms, although they are included in the numerical calculations. The functions I[n,m], $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$, $I_2[\mathcal{F}]$ and $I_3[\mathcal{F}]$ are defined as:

$$I[n,m] = \int_{m_c^2}^{s_0} ds \int_{m_c^2}^{s} dl \ e^{-s/M^2} l^n \ (s-l)^m,$$

$$I_1[\mathcal{F}] = \int D_{\alpha_i} \int_0^1 dv \ \mathcal{F}(\alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta'(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g - u_0),$$

$$I_2[\mathcal{F}] = \int D_{\alpha_i} \int_0^1 dv \ \mathcal{F}(\alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g - u_0),$$

$$I_3[\mathcal{F}] = \int_0^1 du \ \mathcal{F}(u),$$
(A2)

where \mathcal{F} represents the corresponding photon DAs and The measure $\mathcal{D}\alpha_i$ is defined as

$$\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i = \int_0^1 d\alpha_{\bar{q}} \int_0^1 d\alpha_q \int_0^1 d\alpha_g \delta'(1 - \alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q - \alpha_g).$$

Appendix B: Explicit forms of the sum rules for F_i form factors

In the present appendix, we present explicit expressions of the analytical expressions obtained for the magnetic dipole, electric quadrupole, and magnetic octupole moments of the Λ_c^+ state. These multipole moments are written as follows:

$$\mu_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}}F_{1} + F_{2},$$

$$Q_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}}F_{1} - \frac{1}{2}F_{3},$$

$$O_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} = \frac{e}{2m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{3}}F_{1} + F_{2} - \frac{1}{2}[F_{3} + F_{4}],$$
(B1)

where

$$\begin{split} F_1 &= -\frac{e^{\frac{m_{s_c}^2}{M^2}}}{\lambda_{c_c}^2} \Biggl\{ -\frac{P_1 P_2^2(e_d + e_u)}{13824m_c^2 \pi^4} \Bigl[I[0,0] - 2m_c^4 I[2,0] + m_c^6 I[3,0] \Bigr] \\ &+ \frac{P_1 P_2(e_d + e_u)}{589824m_c \pi^6} \Biggl[\Bigl(m_c^6 I[-3,1] + 2m_c^4 I[-2,1] + m_c^2 I[-1,1] + 4I[0,1] \Bigr) I_1[\mathcal{S}] - \Bigl(m_c^6 I[-3,1] + 2m_c^4 I[-2,1] + m_c^2 I[-1,1] + 4I[0,1] \Bigr) I_1[\mathcal{S}] - 20\Bigl(4m_c^6 I[-3,1] + m_0^2 I[0,0] + m_c^4 (4I[-2,1] - m_0^2 I[2,0]) \Bigr) \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{P_2^2(e_d + e_u)}{2048m_c^2 \pi^4} \Biggl[4m_c^{10} I[-5,2] - 8m_0^2 m_c^8 I[-4,1] - m_0^4 I[0,0] + 8m_0^2 I[0,1] + m_c^6 \Bigl(-4I[-3,2] + m_0^4 I[3,0] \Bigr) \Biggr] \\ &- \frac{P_1(e_d + e_u)}{1769472m_c^2 \pi^8} \Biggl[6m_c^{12} I[-6,3] + 8m_c^{10} I[-5,3] + 3m_c^8 I[-4,3] + 6m_c^6 I[-3,3] + 5m_c^4 I[-2,3] + 28I[0,3] \Biggr] \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{P_{2}(e_{d}+e_{u})}{12288m_{c}\pi^{6}}\left[m_{c}^{4}\left(4m_{c}^{6}I[-5,3]+m_{c}^{4}\left(-9m_{0}^{2}I[-4,2]+12I[-4,3]\right)+6m_{c}^{2}\left(3m_{0}^{2}I[-3,2]+2I[-3,3]\right)\right.\\\left.-9m_{0}^{2}I[-2,2]+4I[-2,3]\right)+32I[0,3]\right]\\\left.-\frac{(e_{d}+e_{u})}{1638400m_{c}^{2}\pi^{8}}\left[m_{c}^{16}I[-8,5]-10m_{c}^{12}I[-6,5]-20m_{c}^{10}I[-5,5]-15mc^{8}I[-4,5]-4mc^{6}I[-3,5]-48I[0,5]\right]\right]\right\},$$
(B2)

$$F_{2} = \frac{m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}e^{\frac{m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}}{\lambda_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{P_{1}P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{36864m_{c}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[2m_{c}^{8}(I[-4, 2] - I[-3, 1]) + m_{c}^{4}(4I[-2, 2] - m_{0}^{2}I[-1, 0] - 2I[-1, 1]) + m_{0}^{2}I[0, 1] \right] \right. \\ \left. + m_{c}^{6} \left(- 6I[-3, 2] - 4I[-2, 1] + m_{0}^{2}(I[-3, 1] + I[2, 0]) \right) \right] \\ \left. + \frac{P_{2}^{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{1024m_{c}^{2}\pi^{4}} \left[4m_{c}^{8}(I[-4, 2] - 2I[-3, 1]) + m_{0}^{4}I[0, 0] + 8m_{0}^{2}I[0, 1] - m_{c}^{4} \left(- 12I[-2, 2] - 8m_{0}^{2}(I[-2, 1] - I[-1, 0]) + 8I[-1, 1] + m_{0}^{4}I[2, 0] \right) + 8m_{c}^{6} \left(- 2(I[-3, 2] + I[-2, 1]) + m_{0}^{2}(2I[-3, 1] + I[2, 0]) \right) \right] \\ \left. + \frac{P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{12288m_{c}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[2m_{c}^{12}(I[-6, 4] + 2I[-5, 3]) - 3m_{c}^{10}\left(3I[-5, 4] + m_{0}^{2}(5I[-5, 3] - 3I[-4, 2]) - 4I[-4, 3] \right) \right. \\ \left. - 6m_{c}^{8} \left(m_{0}^{2}(4I[-4, 3] + 3I[-3, 2]) - 2(I[-4, 4] + I[-3, 3]) \right) + m_{c}^{6} \left(- 5I[-3, 4] - 9m_{0}^{2}(I[-3, 3] - I[-2, 2]) \right) \\ \left. + 4I[-2, 3] \right) - 48m_{0}^{2}I[0, 3] + 32m_{c}^{2}I[0, 3] \right] \right\},$$
(B3)

$$F_{3} = \frac{4m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}e} e^{\frac{m_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}}{\lambda_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}^{2}} \left\{ -\frac{P_{1}P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{55296m_{c}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[m_{c}^{10}(I[-5,1] + I[4,0]) - 3m_{c}^{8}(I[-4,1] + I[3,0]) + 3m_{c}^{6}(-3I[-3,1] + I[2,0]) - m_{0}^{2}I[3,0]) - m_{c}^{4}(5I[-2,1] + I[-1,0] - 3m_{0}^{2}I[2,0]) - 16I[0,1] \right] - \frac{P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{12288m_{c}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[m_{c}^{6} \left(3m_{c}^{8}(I[-7,3] - I[-6,2]) + m_{c}^{6} \left(- 6m_{0}^{2}(I[-6,2] + I[-5,1]) + 4(I[-6,3] + 3I[-5,2]) \right) - 6m_{c}^{4} \left(I[-5,3] + 3m_{0}^{2}(-2I[-5,2] + I[-4,1]) + 3I[-4,2] \right) - 6m_{c}^{2} \left(3m_{0}^{2}(3I[-4,2] + I[-3,1]) + 2(I[-4,3] - I[-3,2]) \right) - 5I[-3,3] + 6m_{0}^{2}(4I[-3,2] - I[-2,1]) - 3I[-2,2] \right) - 48m_{0}^{2}m_{c}^{2}I[0,1] - 16I[0,3] \right] \right\},$$
(B4)

and,

$$F_{4} = \frac{4m_{\Lambda_{c}}^{3} + e^{\frac{m_{\Lambda_{c}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}}{\lambda_{\Lambda_{c}}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{P_{1}P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{27648\pi^{6}} \left[-I[2,0] + 3m_{c}^{2}I[3,0] - 3m_{c}^{4}I[4,0] + m_{c}^{6}I[5,0] \right] + \frac{P_{2}(e_{d} + e_{u})}{2048m_{c}^{2}\pi^{6}} \left[m_{c}^{6} \left(-m_{c}^{8}I[-7,2] + 2m_{c}^{6}(m_{0}^{2}I[-6,1] + 2I[-6,2]) + 6m_{c}^{4}(m_{0}^{2}I[-5,1] - I[-5,2]) + m_{c}^{2}(6m_{0}^{2}I[-3,1] - I[-3,2]) + 16m_{0}^{2}I[0,1] \right] \right\}.$$
(B5)

Definitions of P_1 , P_2 , I[n,m], $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$, $I_2[\mathcal{F}]$, and $I_3[\mathcal{F}]$ in Eqs. (B2)-(B5) are given at end of Appendix A.

Appendix C: Distribution Amplitudes of the photon

In this appendix, the matrix elements $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_i q(0) | 0 \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_i G_{\mu\nu} q(0) | 0 \rangle$ associated with the photon DAs are presented as follows [78]:

$$\begin{split} &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q f_{3\gamma} \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \psi^v(u) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q(0) | 0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} e_q f_{3\gamma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^\nu q^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \psi^a(u) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q(0) | 0 \rangle = -ie_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \left(\chi \varphi_{\gamma}(u) + \frac{x^2}{16} \mathbb{A}(u) \right) \\ &- \frac{i}{2(qx)} e_q \bar{q}q \left[x_{\nu} \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) - x_{\mu} \left(\varepsilon_{\nu} - q_{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \right] \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} h_{\gamma}(u) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle = -ie_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{S}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}(vx) i \gamma_5 q(0) | 0 \rangle = -ie_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}(vx) i \gamma_3 q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) i \gamma_{\alpha} q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_q + v\alpha_s)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\ &\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle = e_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \left\{ \left[\left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\alpha} x_{\mu} + q_{\mu} x_{\alpha}) \right) q_{\beta} \right] \right. \\ &- \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\beta} x_{\nu} + q_{\nu} x_{\beta}) \right) q_{\alpha} - \left(\varepsilon_{\nu} - q_{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\alpha} x_{\mu} + q_{\mu} x_{\alpha}) \right) q_{\mu} \\ &+ \left[\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\beta} + q_{\beta} x_{\mu}) \right) q_{\mu} \\ &- \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\beta} + q_{\beta} x_{\nu}) \right) q_{\mu} \\ &- \left(\varepsilon_{\beta} - q_{\beta} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\alpha} + q_{\alpha} x_{\mu}) \right) q_{\mu} \\ &+ \left(\varepsilon_{\beta} - q_{\beta} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{$$

where $\varphi_{\gamma}(u)$ is the DA of leading twist-2, $\psi^v(u)$, $\psi^a(u)$, $\mathcal{A}(\alpha_i)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i)$, are the twist-3 amplitudes, and $h_{\gamma}(u)$, $\mathbb{A}(u)$, $\mathcal{S}(\alpha_i)$, $\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i)$, $\mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i)$, $\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i)$ are the twist-4 photon DAs.

The expressions of the DAs that are entered into the matrix elements above are as follows:

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\gamma}(u) &= 6u\bar{u}\left(1 + \varphi_{2}(\mu)C_{2}^{\frac{3}{2}}(u-\bar{u})\right), \\ \psi^{v}(u) &= 3\left(3(2u-1)^{2}-1\right) + \frac{3}{64}\left(15w_{\gamma}^{V}-5w_{\gamma}^{A}\right)\left(3-30(2u-1)^{2}+35(2u-1)^{4}\right), \\ \psi^{a}(u) &= \left(1-(2u-1)^{2}\right)\left(5(2u-1)^{2}-1\right)\frac{5}{2}\left(1+\frac{9}{16}w_{\gamma}^{V}-\frac{3}{16}w_{\gamma}^{A}\right), \\ h_{\gamma}(u) &= -10\left(1+2\kappa^{+}\right)C_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(u-\bar{u}), \\ \mathbb{A}(u) &= 40u^{2}\bar{u}^{2}\left(3\kappa-\kappa^{+}+1\right)+8(\zeta_{2}^{+}-3\zeta_{2})\left[u\bar{u}(2+13u\bar{u})\right. \\ &+ 2u^{3}(10-15u+6u^{2})\ln(u)+2\bar{u}^{3}(10-15\bar{u}+6\bar{u}^{2})\ln(\bar{u})\right], \\ \mathcal{A}(\alpha_{i}) &= 360\alpha_{q}\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_{g}^{2}\left(1+w_{\gamma}\frac{1}{2}(7\alpha_{g}-3)\right), \\ \mathcal{V}(\alpha_{i}) &= 540w_{\gamma}^{V}(\alpha_{q}-\alpha_{\bar{q}})\alpha_{q}\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_{g}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{1}(\alpha_{i}) &= -120(3\zeta_{2}+\zeta_{2}^{+})(\alpha_{\bar{q}}-\alpha_{q})\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_{q}\alpha_{g}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{2}(\alpha_{i}) &= 30\alpha_{g}^{2}(\alpha_{\bar{q}}-\alpha_{q})\left((\kappa-\kappa^{+})+(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{1}^{+})(1-2\alpha_{g})+\zeta_{2}(3-4\alpha_{g})\right), \\ \mathcal{T}_{3}(\alpha_{i}) &= -120(3\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{2}^{+})(\alpha_{\bar{q}}-\alpha_{q})\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_{q}\alpha_{g}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{4}(\alpha_{i}) &= 30\alpha_{g}^{2}(\kappa+\kappa^{+})(1-\alpha_{g})+(\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{1}^{+})(1-2\alpha_{g})+\zeta_{2}[3(\alpha_{\bar{q}}-\alpha_{q})^{2}-\alpha_{g}(1-\alpha_{g})]\}, \\ \tilde{S}(\alpha_{i}) &= -30\alpha_{g}^{2}\{(\kappa-\kappa^{+})(1-\alpha_{g})+(\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{1}^{+})(1-\alpha_{g})(1-2\alpha_{g})+\zeta_{2}[3(\alpha_{\bar{q}}-\alpha_{q})^{2}-\alpha_{g}(1-\alpha_{g})]\}. \end{split}$$

The numerical values of the parameters used in the DAs are $\varphi_2(1 \text{ GeV}) = 0$, $w_{\gamma}^V = 3.8 \pm 1.8$, $w_{\gamma}^A = -2.1 \pm 1.0$, $\kappa = 0.2$, $\kappa^+ = 0$, $\zeta_1 = 0.4$, and $\zeta_2 = 0.3$.

- F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, B.-S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (1) (2018) 015004, [Erratum: Rev.Mod.Phys. 94, 029901 (2022)]. arXiv:1705.00141, doi:10.1103/ RevModPhys.90.015004.
- [2] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki, D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: Experimental evidence, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (1) (2018) 015003. arXiv:1708.04012, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015003.
- [3] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C.-P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo, C.-Z. Yuan, The XYZ states: experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, Phys. Rept. 873 (2020) 1–154. arXiv:1907.07583, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2020.05.001.
- [4] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, S.-L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, Rept. Prog. Phys. 86 (2) (2023) 026201. arXiv:2204.02649, doi: 10.1088/1361-6633/aca3b6.
- [5] H. Albrecht, et al., Observation of a new charmed baryon, Phys. Lett. B 317 (1993) 227-232. doi:10.1016/ 0370-2693(93)91598-H.
- [6] K. W. Edwards, et al., Observation of excited baryon states decaying to Lambda(c)+ pi+ pi-, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3331-3335. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.74. 3331.
- [7] H. Albrecht, et al., Evidence for Lambda(c)+ (2593) production, Phys. Lett. B 402 (1997) 207-212. doi:

10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00503-0.

- [8] P. L. Frabetti, et al., An Observation of an excited state of the Lambda(c)+ baryon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 961–964. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.961.
- M. Artuso, et al., Observation of new states decaying into Lambda+(c) pi- pi+, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 4479-4482. arXiv:hep-ex/0010080, doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.86.4479.
- B. Aubert, et al., Observation of a charmed baryon decaying to D0p at a mass near 2.94-GeV/c**2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 012001. arXiv:hep-ex/0603052, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.012001.
- [11] K. Abe, et al., Experimental constraints on the possible J**P quantum numbers of the Lambda(c)(2880)+, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 262001. arXiv:hep-ex/0608043, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.262001.
- [12] R. Aaij, et al., Study of the $D^0 p$ amplitude in $\Lambda_b^0 \to D^0 p \pi^-$ decays, JHEP 05 (2017) 030. arXiv:1701.07873, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)030.
- [13] V. V. Ammosov, et al., Observation of the production of charmed Sigma(c)*++ baryons in neutrino interactions at the SKAT bubble chamber, JETP Lett. 58 (1993) 247– 251.
- [14] G. Brandenburg, et al., Observation of two excited charmed baryons decaying into Lambda(c)+ pi+-, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 2304-2308. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.78.2304.

- [15] R. Mizuk, et al., Observation of an isotriplet of excited charmed baryons decaying to Lambda+(c) pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 122002. arXiv:hep-ex/0412069, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.122002.
- [16] P. Avery, et al., Observation of a narrow state decaying into Xi(c)+ pi-, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4364-4368. arXiv:hep-ex/9508010, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.75. 4364.
- [17] L. Gibbons, et al., Observation of an excited charmed baryon decaying into Xi(c)0 pi+, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 810-813. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.810.
- [18] P. L. Frabetti, et al., Observation of a narrow state decaying into xi0(c) pi+, Phys. Lett. B 426 (1998) 403-410. doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00348-7.
- [19] S. E. Csorna, et al., Evidence of new states decaying into Xi-prime(c) pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 4243-4246. arXiv:hep-ex/0012020, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86. 4243.
- [20] J. P. Alexander, et al., Evidence of new states decaying into Xi(c)* pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3390-3393. arXiv:hep-ex/9906013, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83. 3390.
- [21] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of New Ξ_c^0 Baryons Decaying to $\Lambda_c^+ K^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (22) (2020) 222001. arXiv:2003.13649, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 124.222001.
- [22] B. Aubert, et al., A Study of anti-B —> Xi(c) anti-Lambda-(c) and anti-B —> anti-Lambda+(c) anti-Lambda-(c) anti-K decays at BABAR, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 031101. arXiv:0710.5775, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.77.031101.
- [23] R. Chistov, et al., Observation of new states decaying into Lambda(c)+ K- pi+ and Lambda(c)+ K0(S) pi-, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 162001. arXiv:hep-ex/ 0606051, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.162001.
- [24] B. Aubert, et al., A Study of Excited Charm-Strange Baryons with Evidence for new Baryons Xi(c)(3055)+ and Xi(c)(3123)+, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 012002. arXiv:0710.5763, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.012002.
- B. Aubert, et al., Observation of an excited charm baryon Omega(C)* decaying to Omega(C)0 gamma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 232001. arXiv:hep-ex/0608055, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.232001.
- [26] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of five new narrow Ω_c^0 states decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (18) (2017) 182001. arXiv:1703.04639, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 118.182001.
- [27] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of excited Λ⁰_b baryons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 172003. arXiv:1205.3452, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.172003.
- [28] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of a new baryon state in the $\Lambda_0^{\rm b}\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum, JHEP 06 (2020) 136. arXiv: 2002.05112, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2020)136.
- [29] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of New Resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ System, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (15) (2019) 152001. arXiv:1907.13598, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 123.152001.
- [30] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of Two Resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^{\pm}$ Systems and Precise Measurement of Σ_b^{\pm} and $\Sigma_b^{\pm\pm}$ properties, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (1) (2019) 012001. arXiv:1809.07752, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 122.012001.
- [31] A. M. Sirunyan, et al., Observation of a New Excited Beauty Strange Baryon Decaying to $\Xi_{\rm b}^- \pi^+ \pi^-$, Phys.

Rev. Lett. 126 (25) (2021) 252003. arXiv:2102.04524, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.252003.

- [32] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of a new \(\mathbb{T}_b^-\) resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (7) (2018) 072002. arXiv:1805.09418, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.072002.
- [33] R. Aaij, et al., Observation of a new Ξ⁰_b state, Phys. Rev. D 103 (1) (2021) 012004. arXiv:2010.14485, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.012004.
- [34] R. Aaij, et al., First observation of excited Ω_b⁻ states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (8) (2020) 082002. arXiv:2001. 00851, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.082002.
- [35] B. Aubert, et al., Measurements of B(anti-B0 —> Lambda(c)+ anti-p) and B(B- —> Lambda(c)+ antip pi-) and Studies of Lambda(c)+ pi- Resonances, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 112003. arXiv:0807.4974, doi:10. 1103/PhysRevD.78.112003.
- [36] K.-L. Wang, Y.-X. Yao, X.-H. Zhong, Q. Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying S- and P-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 96 (11) (2017) 116016. arXiv:1709.04268, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96. 116016.
- [37] H.-M. Yang, H.-X. Chen, P-wave charmed baryons of the SU(3) flavor 6_F, Phys. Rev. D 104 (3) (2021) 034037. arXiv:2106.15488, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD. 104.034037.
- [38] D. Jia, W.-N. Liu, A. Hosaka, Regge behaviors in orbitally excited spectroscopy of charmed and bottom baryons, Phys. Rev. D 101 (3) (2020) 034016. arXiv: 1907.04958, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.034016.
- [39] Y.-H. Zhou, W.-J. Wang, L.-Y. Xiao, X.-H. Zhong, Strong decays of the low-lying 1P- and 1D-wave Σc baryons, Phys. Rev. D 108 (1) (2023) 014019. arXiv: 2303.13774, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.014019.
- [40] W.-J. Wang, L.-Y. Xiao, X.-H. Zhong, Strong decays of the low-lying ρ-mode 1P-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 106 (7) (2022) 074020. arXiv:2208.10116, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.074020.
- [41] J.-J. Guo, P. Yang, A. Zhang, Strong decays of observed Λ_c baryons in the 3P_0 model, Phys. Rev. D 100 (1) (2019) 014001. arXiv:1902.07488, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.014001.
- [42] Q.-F. Lü, L.-Y. Xiao, Z.-Y. Wang, X.-H. Zhong, Strong decay of Λ_c(2940) as a 2P state in the Λ_c family, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (7) (2018) 599. arXiv:1806.01076, doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6083-7.
- [43] S.-Q. Luo, B. Chen, Z.-W. Liu, X. Liu, Resolving the low mass puzzle of Λ_c(2940)⁺, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (4) (2020) 301. arXiv:1910.14545, doi:10.1140/epjc/ s10052-020-7874-1.
- [44] K. Gong, H.-Y. Jing, A. Zhang, Possible assignments of highly excited Λ_c(2860)⁺, Λ_c(2880)⁺ and Λ_c(2940)⁺, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (5) (2021) 467. doi:10.1140/epjc/ s10052-021-09255-w.
- [45] S. Sakai, F.-K. Guo, B. Kubis, Extraction of ND scattering lengths from the $\Lambda_b \to \pi^- p D^0$ decay and properties of the $\Sigma_c(2800)^+$, Phys. Lett. B 808 (2020) 135623. arXiv:2004.09824, doi:10.1016/j.physletb. 2020.135623.
- [46] L. Zhao, H. Huang, J. Ping, ND and NB systems in quark delocalization color screening model, Eur. Phys. J. A 53 (2) (2017) 28. arXiv:1612.00350, doi:10.1140/ epja/i2017-12219-4.
- [47] Z.-Y. Wang, J.-J. Qi, X.-H. Guo, K.-W. Wei, Study of molecular ND bound states in the Bethe-Salpeter

equation approach, Phys. Rev. D 97 (9) (2018) 094025. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.094025.

- [48] D. Zhang, D. Yang, X.-F. Wang, K. Nakayama, Possible S-wave ND^(*) and NB^(*) bound states in a chiral quark model (3 2019). arXiv:1903.01207.
- [49] M.-J. Yan, F.-Z. Peng, M. Pavon Valderrama, Molecular charmed baryons and pentaquarks from light-meson exchange saturation (4 2023). arXiv:2304.14855.
- [50] J.-R. Zhang, S-wave $D^{(*)}N$ molecular states: $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$?, Phys. Rev. D 89 (9) (2014) 096006. arXiv:1212.5325, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.096006.
- [51] B. Wang, L. Meng, S.-L. Zhu, $D^{(*)}N$ interaction and the structure of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in chiral effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 101 (9) (2020) 094035. arXiv: 2003.05688, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.094035.
- [52] Q. Xin, X.-S. Yang, Z.-G. Wang, The singly-charmed pentaquark molecular states via the QCD sum rules (7 2023). arXiv:2307.08926.
- [53] X.-G. He, X.-Q. Li, X. Liu, X.-Q. Zeng, Lambda+(c)(2940): A Possible molecular state?, Eur. Phys. J. C 51 (2007) 883-889. arXiv:hep-ph/0606015, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0347-y.
- [54] Y. Yan, X. Hu, Y. Wu, H. Huang, J. Ping, Y. Yang, Pentaquark interpretation of Λ_c states in the quark model, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (6) (2023) 524. arXiv:2211.12129, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11709-2.
- [55] Z.-L. Zhang, Z.-W. Liu, S.-Q. Luo, F.-L. Wang, B. Wang, H. Xu, Ac(2910) and Ac(2940) as conventional baryons dressed with the D*N channel, Phys. Rev. D 107 (3) (2023) 034036. arXiv:2210.17188, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.034036.
- [56] Y. Huang, J. He, J.-J. Xie, L.-S. Geng, Production of the $\Lambda_c(2940)$ by kaon-induced reactions on a proton target, Phys. Rev. D 99 (1) (2019) 014045. arXiv:1610.06994, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014045.
- [57] X.-Y. Wang, A. Guskov, X.-R. Chen, A^{*}_c(2940)⁺ photoproduction off the neutron, Phys. Rev. D 92 (9) (2015) 094032. arXiv:1509.02602, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.094032.
- [58] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kumano, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Strong three-body decays of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 094005. arXiv:1103.4762, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.094005.
- [59] J. He, Y.-T. Ye, Z.-F. Sun, X. Liu, The observed charmed hadron $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ and the D^*N interaction, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 114029. arXiv:1008.1500, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114029.
- [60] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kumano, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Radiative decay of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ in a hadronic molecule picture, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 034035. arXiv: 1006.4018, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.034035.
- [61] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Strong two-body decays of the Lambda(c)(2940)+ in a hadronic molecule picture, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 014006. arXiv:0910.1204, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81. 014006.
- [62] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem, F. Fernandez, Quark model description of the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as a molecular D^*N state and the possible existence of the $\Lambda_b(6248)$, Phys. Lett. B 718 (2013) 1381–1384. arXiv:1210.2633, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.025.
- [63] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Role of the hadron molecule $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the

 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow pD^0\bar{\Lambda}_c(2286)$ annihilation reaction, Phys. Rev. D 90 (9) (2014) 094001. arXiv:1407.3949, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.90.094001.

- [64] U. Özdem, Magnetic moments of doubly heavy baryons in light-cone QCD, J. Phys. G 46 (3) (2019) 035003. arXiv:1804.10921, doi:10.1088/1361-6471/aafffc.
- [65] U. Özdem, Magnetic dipole moments of the spin- ³/₂ doubly heavy baryons, Eur. Phys. J. A 56 (2) (2020) 34. arXiv:1906.08353, doi:10.1140/epja/ s10050-020-00049-4.
- [66] U. Ozdem, Magnetic dipole moments of bottom-charm baryons in light-cone QCD, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (10) (2023) 887. arXiv:2305.10063, doi:10.1140/epjc/ s10052-023-12055-z.
- [67] U. Özdem, Magnetic dipole moments of the hiddencharm pentaquark states: P_c(4440), P_c(4457) and P_{cs}(4459), Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (4) (2021) 277. arXiv: 2102.01996, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09070-3.
- [68] U. Özdem, Electromagnetic properties of D⁻(*)Ξc', D⁻(*)Λc, D⁻s(*)Λc and D⁻s(*)Ξc pentaquarks, Phys. Lett. B 846 (2023) 138267. arXiv:2303.10649, doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138267.
- [69] U. Özdem, Investigation of magnetic moment of Pcs(4338) and Pcs(4459) pentaquark states, Phys. Lett. B 836 (2023) 137635. arXiv:2208.07684, doi:10.1016/ j.physletb.2022.137635.
- [70] U. Özdem, Magnetic moment of the \(\mathbb{E}_b(6227)\) as a molecular pentaquark state, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 137 (1) (2022) 103. arXiv:2109.09313, doi:10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-02339-w.
- [71] U. Özdem, Magnetic moments of the doubly charged axial-vector Tcc++ states, Phys. Rev. D 105 (5) (2022) 054019. arXiv:2112.10402, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD. 105.054019.
- [72] U. Özdem, Electromagnetic properties of doubly heavy pentaquark states, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 137 (2022) 936. arXiv:2201.00979, doi:10.1140/epjp/ s13360-022-03125-4.
- [73] V. L. Chernyak, I. R. Zhitnitsky, B meson exclusive decays into baryons, Nucl. Phys. B 345 (1990) 137–172. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(90)90612-H.
- [74] V. M. Braun, I. E. Filyanov, QCD Sum Rules in Exclusive Kinematics and Pion Wave Function, Z. Phys. C 44 (1989) 157. doi:10.1007/BF01548594.
- [75] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun, A. V. Kolesnichenko, Radiative Decay Sigma+ —> p gamma in Quantum Chromodynamics, Nucl. Phys. B 312 (1989) 509–550. doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(89)90570-1.
- [76] K.-C. Yang, W. Y. P. Hwang, E. M. Henley, L. S. Kisslinger, QCD sum rules and neutron proton mass difference, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3001–3012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.47.3001.
- [77] V. M. Belyaev, B. Y. Blok, CHARMED BARYONS IN QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS, Z. Phys. C 30 (1986) 151. doi:10.1007/BF01560689.
- [78] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, N. Kivel, Photon distribution amplitudes in QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 649 (2003) 263–296. arXiv:hep-ph/0207307, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02) 01017-9.
- [79] V. M. Belyaev, B. L. Ioffe, Determination of the baryon mass and baryon resonances from the quantumchromodynamics sum rule. Strange baryons, Sov. Phys. JETP 57 (1983) 716–721.
- [80] H. J. Weber, H. Arenhovel, Isobar Configurations in

Nuclei, Phys. Rept. 36 (1978) 277-348. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(78)90187-4.

- [81] S. Nozawa, D. B. Leinweber, Electromagnetic formfactors of spin 3/2 baryons, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3567– 3571. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.42.3567.
- [82] V. Pascalutsa, M. Vanderhaeghen, S. N. Yang, Electromagnetic excitation of the Delta(1232)-resonance, Phys. Rept. 437 (2007) 125–232. arXiv:hep-ph/0609004, doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2006.09.006.
- [83] G. Ramalho, M. T. Pena, F. Gross, Electric quadrupole and magnetic octupole moments of the Delta, Phys. Lett. B 678 (2009) 355-358. arXiv:0902.4212, doi:10.1016/ j.physletb.2009.06.052.
- [84] R. L. Workman, et al., Review of Particle Physics, PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097.
- [85] B. L. Ioffe, QCD at low energies, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 56 (2006) 232-277. arXiv:hep-ph/0502148, doi: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.05.001.

- [86] R. D. Matheus, S. Narison, M. Nielsen, J. M. Richard, Can the X(3872) be a 1++ four-quark state?, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 014005. arXiv:hep-ph/0608297, doi:10. 1103/PhysRevD.75.014005.
- [87] J. Rohrwild, Determination of the magnetic susceptibility of the quark condensate using radiative heavy meson decays, JHEP 09 (2007) 073. arXiv:0708.1405, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/073.
- [88] J. M. M. Hall, W. Kamleh, D. B. Leinweber, B. J. Menadue, B. J. Owen, A. W. Thomas, R. D. Young, Lattice QCD Evidence that the Λ(1405) Resonance is an Antikaon-Nucleon Molecule, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (13) (2015) 132002. arXiv:1411.3402, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.114.132002.
- [89] J. M. M. Hall, W. Kamleh, D. B. Leinweber, B. J. Menadue, B. J. Owen, A. W. Thomas, Light-quark contributions to the magnetic form factor of the Lambda(1405), Phys. Rev. D 95 (5) (2017) 054510. arXiv:1612.07477, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.054510.