

Sound in a Bubbly Hybrid Neutron Star ^{*}

B.O. Kerbikov [†]

Lebedev Physical Institute,
Moscow 119991, Russia

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology,
Dolgoprudny 141700, Moscow Region, Russia

M.S. Lukashov [‡]

NRC “Kurchatov Institute” – KCTEP,
Moscow 117218, Russia

September 4, 2023

Abstract

Increasingly precise astrophysical observations of the last decade in combination with intense theoretical studies allow for drawing a conclusion about Quark Matter presence in Neutron Stars interiors. Quark Matter may form the Neutron Star inner core or be immersed in the form of bubbles, or droplets. We demonstrate that the last scenario leads to a highly anomalous character of the sound propagation and the sound speed.

1 Introduction

In the last years there has been a breakthrough progress in astrophysical observations on neutron stars (NSs) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

^{*}v1 – 31-08-2023

[†]bkerbikov@gmail.com

[‡]m.s.lukashov@gmail.com

These advances encourage the studies of NSs Equation of State (EoS). Construction of the EoS which accounts for the growing amount of astrophysical multi-messenger signals remains largely open problem [9, 10, 11, 12]. The relevant EoS has to include both hadronic matter (HM) and quark matter (QM) degrees of freedom. The presence of QM in NSs is widely accepted, see, e.g., [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

In a Hybrid NS (HNS) QM is expected to form the core inside the dense HM crust. This is the most natural but not a unique pattern of the HNS composition. It has been long ago conjectured [15, 16, 25] and discussed by a number of authors [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] that the QM insertions inside the HNS may be in a form of droplets or bubbles. We leave aside the problem of QM seeds evolution in a process of nucleation or spinodal decomposition [32, 33, 34] as well as QM droplets creation in HM fireball formed in Heavy Ion Collisions.

An inherent attribute of the EoS is the squared speed of sound $c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\varepsilon}$. It describes the stiffness of matter. In [35] it was first clearly indicated that the existence of NSs with masses around two solar masses is in tension with $c_s^2 < \frac{1}{3}$ conformal barriere bound. This work gave a start to a flow of publications on non-monotonic behavior of c_s^2 as a function of density in NSs, see references above and [36, 37, 38]. The aim of our work is to investigate the sound propagation in HNS with QM droplets (bubbles) immersed into it. It will be shown that the presence of QM bubbles in HM causes a highly nonlinear behaviour of the sound wave propagation. The dispersion curves of the sound phase speed and of the rate of attenuation exhibit a dip-bump structure in the neighbourhood of the QM bubble resonance frequency. Above the resonance the stiffness of the matter increases and the sound speed becomes higher than in the pure HM. The anomalous dispersion of the sound phase speed in a bubbly liquid has been studied by several authors, see, e.g., [39, 40], and will be investigated below using the Rayleigh-Plesset (RPE) equation [41, 42] as a starting point. To describe the sound propagation in a bubbly HNS one needs the EoS's of HM and QM. To this end we shall rely on model-independent polytrope EoS proposed in [18]. It has proved itself in successfully fitting the astrophysical data on NSs [7, 12, 43].

The work is organized as follows. In Sec. II we remind the Rayleigh equation (RE) and present its generalizations with the inclusion of the dissipation, driving pressure, surface tension and polytropic pressure-volume relation. In Sec. III the equation for the bubble volume response to the oscillatory driving pressure is derived. Sec. IV is the core of the paper. Here, we obtain the expressions for the sound speed and attenuation in HNS containing QM bubbles. The choice of parameters characterizing the HNS is discussed in Sec. V. Finally, in Sec. VI we end with the results of calculations and some remarks on their possible implications. Throughout the work, we follow the condition $\hbar = c = 1$.

2 Rayleigh and Rayleigh-Plesset Equations

The theory of a bubble dynamics in an infinite body of liquid dates back to the work of Lord Rayleigh [41]. In 1917 he investigated cavitation damage of the ship propellers and discovered that it was caused by the bubbles collapse. The RE describing the bubble pulsation and collapse (inertia cavitation) reads

$$R\ddot{R} + \frac{3}{2}\dot{R}^2 = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $R(t)$ is the bubble radius. The solution of (1) is the power law

$$R(t) \sim (t_c - t)^{2/5}, \quad (2)$$

which leads to a divergent wall velocity $\dot{R}(t) \sim (t_c - t)^{-3/5}$ at $t \rightarrow t_c$. The RE (1) gives an oversimplified picture of bubble phenomena with only inertia forces accounted for. The simplest generalization of RE is the celebrated RPE [42, 44, 45] which reads

$$R\ddot{R} + \frac{3}{2}\dot{R}^2 = \frac{1}{\rho_h} \left\{ p_q - p_h - \frac{2\sigma}{R} - 4\eta\frac{\dot{R}}{R} - P(t) \right\}. \quad (3)$$

where ρ_h is the density of the medium surrounding the bubble (the HM density), p_q is steady and unsteady pressures in the QM

bubble interior, p_h is the undisturbed ambient HM pressure, σ is the surface tension, η is the surrounding HM shear viscosity, $P(t)$ is the driving acoustic pressure. A pedagogical derivation of (3) may be found in [46]. There are only a few studies of QM bubble dynamics based on (1) and (3) [46, 47, 48]. In application to bubbly HNS both σ and η play an important role. It will be shown that the oscillation regime around the equilibrium radius is realized provided σ is below a certain critical value. We note in passing that the critical value of σ has been discussed in literature [24, 26, 27] in relation to the character of the QM-HM transition. The shear viscosity possibly prevents the collapse of the QM bubble [47] and puts the casual upper limit on the speed of sound, see below. From what follows it will be clear that the values of both parameters are poorly known, not to say at a level of an educated guess.

Strictly speaking, RPE (3) is correct for small deviations of the ball radius and pressure from their equilibrium values [49]. This opens the possibility to describe the acoustic properties of bubbly HNSs using RPE and the polytropic EoS.

3 Oscillations of a QM Bubble with a Polytropic EOS

Consider (3) with small deviations of the bubble radius and pressure from their equilibrium values R_0 and p_{q0} : $R = R_0[1 + x(t)]$, $p_q = p_{q0} + r$, where r is the variable part of the pressure inside the bubble. We insert the above expressions for R and p_q into (3), use the Laplace relation $p_{q0} = p_h + 2\sigma/R_0$ and perform linearization. One can easily keep higher order terms but it does not make much sense in view of very uncertain values of σ and η . Upon linearization one gets

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{1}{\rho_h R_0^2} \left\{ r + \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} x - 4\eta\dot{x} - P(t) \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Next comes the polytropic EoS [18, 7, 43, 50] which in a model-independent way covers HM and QM components of HNS and allows to relate r to x . We emphasize that it is in agreement with robust astrophysical observations and is systematically

improvable with more data becoming available. The polytropic index is defined as $\gamma = \frac{d(\ln p)}{d(\ln \varepsilon)}$, where ε is the energy density. According to [18, 7, 43, 50] $\gamma \approx 2.5$ around the saturation density, $\gamma = 1.75$ is the HM-QM deviding line, $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ in high density QM, $\gamma < 0.5$ destabilizes the star [18, 7, 43, 50]. In RPE one has to resort to the polytropic Eos expressing pressure as a function of density, $p = k\rho^\Gamma$ with Γ usually called the adiabatic index. Interconnection of the two polytropic forms is discussed in Sec. V. In what follows we change from the notation Γ to $\bar{\gamma}$ which is much more handy to use in formulas. In terms of the bubble radius R the last polytrope reads

$$p_q R^{3\bar{\gamma}} = p_{q0} R_0^{3\bar{\gamma}}. \quad (5)$$

Next we expand $(1+x)^{3\bar{\gamma}} \approx 1 + 3\bar{\gamma}x$ and obtain $r = -3\bar{\gamma}p_{q0}x$. Then (4) takes the form

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{1}{\rho_h R_0^2} \left\{ - \left(3\bar{\gamma}p_{q0} - \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} \right) x - 4\eta\dot{x} - P(t) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

We assume the oscillatory driving excitation pressure $P(t) = p_s e^{i\omega t}$. Then (6) may be rewritten as

$$\ddot{x} + g\dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = -\frac{p_s}{\rho_h R_0^2} e^{i\omega t}, \quad (7)$$

$$g = \frac{4\eta}{\rho_h R_0^2}, \quad \omega_0^2 = \frac{1}{\rho_h R_0^2} \left(3\bar{\gamma}p_{q0} - \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} \right). \quad (8)$$

We see that (7) is an equation of a damped harmonic oscillator with frequency ω_0^2 and viscosous friction damping g . It admits an analytical solution to be presented below. The requirement of positive stiffness ω_0^2 imposes the upper bound on σ for given R_0 and other parameters. The stability condition reads

$$\varphi = \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} (3\bar{\gamma}p_{q0})^{-1} < 1. \quad (9)$$

For future purposes we rewrite (7) in the volume frame. In linear approximation the dynamical volume v is

$$v \equiv V - V_0 \simeq \frac{4}{3}\pi R_0^3(1 + 3x) - \frac{4}{3}\pi R_0^3 = 3V_0 x. \quad (10)$$

We note that it does not make sense to go beyond the approximation (10) with poorly known values of physical parameters. In terms of v equation (7) takes the form

$$\ddot{v} + g\dot{v} + \omega_0^2 v = -dp_s e^{i\omega t}, \quad (11)$$

with $d = \frac{4\pi R_0}{\rho_h}$. We note that relativistic generalization of RPE (3) and hence of (11) is straightforward [48, 51] but the sound propagation equations become less transparent.

4 Sound in a Bubbly Hybrid Neutron Star

Assuming spatially uniform distribution of N bubbles with equal volume V we write the average density ρ_h of QM-HM mixture as

$$\rho_m = (1 - \beta)\rho_h + \beta\rho_q. \quad (12)$$

Here ρ_h and ρ_q are the densities of HM and QM components, β is the bubble volume fraction $\beta = NV/(U_h + NV)$, U_h is the HM volume, the total volume is $U = U_h + NV$. The QM volume fraction β is assumed to be small, $\beta \ll 1$. To find the speed of pressure wave in the mixture we differentiate (12) with respect to the insonifying field pressure. This task turns out to be far from trivial. The bubble volume $V = V_0 + v$ "breathes" with small amplitudes according to (11). The bubble volume fraction β becomes pressure-dependent as well. Differentiation of (12) yields

$$\frac{d\rho_m}{dp} = \frac{1}{c_m^2} = \frac{1 - \beta}{c_h^2} + \beta \frac{d\rho_q}{dp} - \rho_h \frac{d\beta}{dp} + \rho_q \frac{d\beta}{dp} \quad (13)$$

Here the sound velocity is $c_s^2 = dp/d\rho$. Comparison with $c_s^2 = dp/d\varepsilon$ is discussed in Sec. V. With $U_q = NV$ being the total QM volume, M_q its mass, we have

$$\frac{d\rho_q}{dp} = \frac{d}{dp} \frac{M_q}{U_q} = - \left(\frac{M_q}{U_q} \right) \left(\frac{N}{U_q} \right) \frac{dv}{dp} = \rho_q \left(-\frac{1}{V} \frac{dv}{dp} \right) = \rho_q \chi_q. \quad (14)$$

Here $\varkappa_q = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{dv}{dp}$ is the compressibility of QM. In a similar way $d\beta/dp$ is evaluated

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\beta}{dp} &= \frac{d}{dp} \frac{U_q}{U_h + U_q} = \frac{N}{U_h + U_q} \frac{dv}{dp} - \frac{U_q N}{(U_h + U_q)^2} \frac{dv}{dp} = \\ &= -\beta \varkappa_q + \beta^2 \varkappa_q. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The term proportional to β^2 can be dropped, the second and the last terms in (13) cancel each other and we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{c_m^2} \cong \frac{1 - \beta}{c_h^2} + \beta \rho_h \varkappa_q. \quad (16)$$

In general, the speed of sound is expressed in terms of compressibility as follows

$$\varkappa = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dp} = -\rho \frac{d(1/\rho)}{dp} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp} = \frac{1}{\rho c_s^2}, \quad (17)$$

so that $c_s^2 = 1/\rho \varkappa$. To find \varkappa_q in (16) one has to solve (11) for v . Taking v in the form $v = v' e^{i\omega t}$ this is easily done with the result

$$v' = -\frac{3V_0 p_s}{\rho_h R_0^2 (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + ig\omega)}. \quad (18)$$

The sound wave pressure has the oscillatory form $p = p_s e^{i\omega t}$. Therefore

$$\varkappa_q = -\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{dv}{dp} = -\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{dv}{dt} \left(\frac{dp}{dt} \right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{v'}{p_s}. \quad (19)$$

Insertion of (18) into (19) yields

$$\varkappa_q = \frac{3}{\rho_h R_0^2} (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + ig\omega)^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

Returning to (16) we write

$$\frac{c_h^2}{c_m^2} = 1 + \frac{3\beta c_h^2}{R_0^2} (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + ig\omega)^{-1}. \quad (21)$$

A comment on terminology is appropriate at this point. Equations (3), (6), (11) contain the shear viscosity η . The QM bubble oscillates with friction which leads to the sound attenuation. As a result, \varkappa_q and c_m are complex while according to the classical textbook [52] the sound velocity is real by its meaning. This contradiction is fictitious. The sound phase speed to be obtained below is real. In a system with dissipation the sound wavenumber is complex. However, it is quite common to call speed of sound the complex quantities like c_m [53, 54, 55, 56].

The relation (18) enables to obtain the real phase speed and the attenuation coefficient. The pressure wave propagates in a bubbly medium with a complex wavenumber $k_m = k_1 + ik_2$ and has the form

$$u = Ae^{i(\omega t - k_m x)} = Ae^{i\omega(t - \frac{k_1}{\omega}x)} e^{k_2 x} = Ae^{i\omega(t - \frac{x}{c_{ph}})} e^{-\alpha x}, \quad (22)$$

where c_{ph} is the phase speed and α is the absorption coefficient

$$c_{ph} = \frac{\omega}{\text{Re } k_m}, \quad \alpha = -\text{Im } k_m. \quad (23)$$

To express c_{ph} and α in terms of HM-QM parameters we set

$$\frac{c_h}{c_m} = \frac{k_m}{k_h} = \nu - i\xi, \quad \frac{c_h^2}{c_m^2} = G - iF. \quad (24)$$

Simple algebra leads to

$$c_{ph} = \frac{c_h}{\nu}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\omega}{c_h} \xi = \omega \frac{F}{2c_h \nu}, \quad (25)$$

$$\nu^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(G + \sqrt{G^2 + F^2} \right). \quad (26)$$

We remind that $c_h^2 = \frac{\gamma_h p_h}{\rho_h}$, $\gamma_h > 1.75$.

The ratio (24) was already evaluated and is given by (21). From (21) we get

$$G = 1 + \frac{3\beta c_h^2}{R_0^2} \frac{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2} \quad (27)$$

$$F = \frac{3\beta c_h^2}{R_0^2} \frac{g\omega}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2}. \quad (28)$$

Equations (25)-(28) provide a complete solution for the sound phase speed and the attenuation coefficient. To make the results transparent we have to consider some approximations and limiting cases. The bubble volume fraction β is assumed to be small. Therefore the first idea is to drop terms of the order β^2 . Caution is needed at this point. The problem possesses another possibly small parameter, namely the bubble eigenfrequency ω_0 given by (8), or

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{3\gamma_q p_{q0}}{\rho_h R_0^2} (1 - \varphi), \quad \varphi = \frac{2\sigma}{3\gamma_q p_{q0} R_0} \quad (29)$$

In the limit $\varphi \rightarrow 1$, $\omega_0^2 \rightarrow 0$ and as a result G may become negative, $G < 0$. The condition of $\varphi \ll 1$ imposes a restriction on the value of the surface tension σ at the QM-HM interface and on the bubble radius R_0 . It was pointed out by many authors that the value of σ is model dependent and poorly known, see, e.g. [56] and references therein, and [57, 58, 59]. Consider first the limit $G > 0$, $\beta/(1 - \varphi) \ll 1$. From (25)-(28) one easily obtains

$$c_{ph} \simeq \frac{c_h}{\sqrt{G}} = c_h \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{1 - \varphi} \frac{\gamma_h p_h}{\gamma_q p_{q0}} \frac{1 - \Omega^2}{(1 - \Omega^2)^2 + \delta^2 \Omega^2} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (30)$$

where $\Omega = \omega/\omega_0$, $\delta = g/\omega_0$. In the same approximation the attenuation coefficient is

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega}{2c_h} F = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \varphi)^2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \eta \frac{\omega^2}{2\rho_q \bar{c}_q^3} \right) \frac{\rho_h c_h}{\rho_q \bar{c}_q} \frac{1}{(1 - \Omega^2)^2 + \delta^2 \Omega^2}, \quad (31)$$

where $\bar{c}_q = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_q p_{q0}}{\rho_q}}$. Interesting to note that α contains a factor

$$\gamma = \frac{4}{3} \eta \frac{\omega^2}{2\rho_q \bar{c}_q^3} \quad (32)$$

which is a well known sound attenuation coefficient at zero bulk viscosity and zero thermal conductivity [52].

Generally, the ω^2 dependence of α is not a universal law. On top of the ω^2 dependence (31) contains a resonance-like factor

$\left[(1 - \Omega^2)^2 + \delta^2 \Omega^2\right]^{-1}$. The Mandelshtam-Leontovich slow relaxation mechanism results either in linear ω -dependence, or in frequency independence [52, 53, 54, 55]. Near the phase transition the sound absorption may be anomalously high [60].

In the low frequency limit, $\omega \ll \omega_0$, (30) and (31) reduce to

$$c_{ph} \simeq c_h \left(1 + \beta \frac{\rho_h c_h^2}{\gamma_q p_{q0}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\alpha \simeq \beta \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma_h \rho_h p_h}{\gamma_q \rho_q p_{q0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (34)$$

Finally, consider the region close to the instability point $\varphi = 1$, $\omega_0^2 = 0$ (see (29) where G becomes large and negative. In this case

$$c_{ph} \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{|G|}}{F} c_h \simeq \frac{2\omega g R_0}{\sqrt{3\beta(\omega^2 + g^2)}} \quad (35)$$

From (30), (31) and (33), (34) we conclude that the sound propagation and attenuation in a bubbly HNS depend on the surface tension at the QM-HM interface and the shear viscosity of the HM.

5 The Choice of Parameters

The values of physical parameters entering into (30), (31) are highly uncertain. We take for granted the polytropic EoS $\gamma = d(\ln p)/d(\ln \varepsilon)$ [18] with $\gamma = 1.75$ the dividing line between HM and QM. However, in RPE we used a somewhat different form (5) of the polytropic EoS. The relation between the two parametrizations needs clarification which will be presented below. Irrespective of this, the range of the required parameters is extremely wide, model dependent and loosely defined by observations. In addition, one should specify the NS under consideration and the distance from the QM bubble to the NS center. Density and pressure strongly depend upon this distance. Therefore we take some tentative values of the parameters lying within the interval accepted in most papers on the subject. Our aim is to display the qualitative picture of the sound dispersion and attenuation in a bubbly HNS.

According to [18] the EoS is formulated in terms of the polytropic index defined as

$$\gamma = d(\ln p)/d(\ln \varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon dp}{p d\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{p} c_s^2, \quad (36)$$

where ε is the energy density. Alternatively, the polytropic EOS for NS may be written as $p(\rho) = K\rho^{\bar{\gamma}}$ [50]. In literature $\bar{\gamma}$ is usually denoted as Γ and called the adiabatic index. In Sec. III the last EoS was given in the form $pR^{3\bar{\gamma}} = \text{const}$, see (5). One can recast this polytrope to meet with (36)

$$\bar{\gamma} = d(\ln p)/d(\ln \rho) = \frac{\rho dp}{p d\rho} = \frac{\rho}{p} \bar{c}_s^2. \quad (37)$$

The two polytropes (36) and (37) are called relativistic and Newtonian [65]. Note that in a classical textbook [52] \bar{c}_s defined in (37) is called the speed of sound. The relation between (36) and (37) has been discussed by a number of authors [61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66]. Assuming that the energy density ε and the pressure p are functions of density ρ only, one can write the first law of thermodynamic as $dE + pdV = 0$. Together with $\varepsilon = E/V$, $\rho = M/V$, and $d(1/\rho) = dV/M$ it leads to [64, 65]

$$d\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right) = -pd\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right). \quad (38)$$

Integration of (38) with respect to ρ with the account of the nonrelativistic limit $\varepsilon = \rho$ yields the desired equation

$$\varepsilon = \rho + \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma} - 1} p. \quad (39)$$

From (36), (37) and (39) one easily gets

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{\varepsilon + p}{\varepsilon} \gamma. \quad (40)$$

According to [61] the linear dependence of the type (39) connecting ε to ρ is valid for a wide class of liquids. However, the above relations are purely thermodynamic. As an example of ε/ρ connection based on dynamical models we refer to Fig. 5 of [67].

To summarize, it does not make much difference whether γ or $\bar{\gamma}$ is implied in the set of parameters presented below. The speed

of sound square defined by (37) will be denoted simply by c_s^2 and the line over γ or will be omitted.

Our set of parameters is in the spirit of the overwhelming number of parameter sets presented in the literature and partly cited above. Except for the critical value $\gamma_c = 1.75$ [18] we do not stick to any particular EoS model solution. For HM the values of parameters are

$$\gamma_h = 2.5, \quad c_{sh}^2 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \rho_h = 250 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^3} = \frac{5}{3}\rho_0, \quad p_h = 33 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^3}. \quad (41)$$

For QM we choose

$$\gamma_q = 1.4, \quad c_{sq}^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \rho_q = 600 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^3} = 4\rho_0, \quad p_{q0} = 214 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^3}. \quad (42)$$

Here $\rho_0 = 150 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^3}$ is the saturation density corresponding to $n_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. Three more parameters are needed: the shear viscosity η of HM, the surface tension σ at the QM-HM interface, and the bubble radius R_0 . All three can be varied within extremely wide range. Shear viscosity leads to the sound wave attenuation and prevents the sound speed to become acausal. Shear viscosity plays an important role in heavy-ion collisions. However, a direct measurements of η are not possible and observables are expressed in terms of the ratio of η over the entropy density, η/s . A theoretical lower bound (KSS bound) is $\eta/s \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}$ [68]. The NS shear viscosity gets contributions from leptons and neutrons [69, 70, 71, 72]. We identify the neutrons η with that of HM in our model. In [69, 70, 71, 72] the results are presented in terms of $\log_{10} \eta [\text{gcm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]$ and this quantity varies roughly from 10 to 20 depending on density, temperature and NS mass. As an educated guess we may take $\log_{10} \eta [\text{gcm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}] = 15$ which corresponds to $\eta \approx 2 \cdot 10^5 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fm}^2}$.

In view of a large uncertainty in $\log_{10} \eta$ this value should not be taken literally. In the expression (30) for the sound speed η does not enter by itself but in a combination with R_0 as $g = 4\eta/\rho_h R_0^2$, or $\delta = g/\omega_0$. It will be explained below that for our choice of parameters (41)-(42) the surface tension σ can be dropped in (8) and (29) for ω_0^2 . Then for $\eta = 10^{15} \text{ g cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \simeq 2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ MeV fm}^{-2}$

one has

$$\delta = g/\omega_0 = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3\gamma_q\rho_h p_{q0}}} \left(\frac{\eta}{R_0} \right) \simeq 2 \cdot 10^3 \frac{1}{R_0(\text{fm})}. \quad (43)$$

To avoid the overdamped regime in (11) we must require $\delta < 1$, or $R_0 > 10^4$ fm. The dependence of c_{ph} on δ will be displayed in the next Section.

The surface tension σ is a parameter closely connected with the question of whether QM-HM phase transition is a sharp first-order or a smooth one [24, 26, 27, 28, 56, 57, 58]. The value of σ discussed in the above references spans from 5 MeV/fm² to 150 MeV/fm². Most likely, σ is small, $\sigma \leq 30$ MeV/fm² [56]. The determination of σ is a complicated model-dependent task which is beyond our subject. The important parameter for our analysis is the bubble eigenfrequency ω_0 given by (8) and (29) which depends on σ . For our set of parameters (41)-(42) we may estimate φ in (29) as

$$\varphi = \frac{2\sigma}{3\gamma_q p_{q0} R_0} = \frac{\sigma \text{ (MeV/fm}^2\text{)}}{450 R_0 \text{ (fm)}} \ll 1 \quad (44)$$

for $\sigma < 100$ MeV/fm² and $R_0 > 1$ fm. We already used the expression for ω_0^2 without σ -contribution in obtaining the estimate (43) for δ . We are left with a discussion of the bubble radius R_0 .

6 Bubble Radius, Calculation Results and Concluding Remarks

In a simple way, one may consider R_0 as a free parameter. A wide spectrum of R_0 values from (1 – 2) fm to (500 – 1000 – ∞) fm has been considered in literature, see [73] and references therein. But a proper caveat must be added here. First, we considered only small oscillations around the equilibrium radius R_0 . The complete time-dependent solution of RPE can be performed only numerically. For the bubble filled with gas immersed in liquid it was solved by many authors [74]. In (41)-(42) we assumed that the inside pressure is larger than the outside one, $p_{q0} > p_h$, so that in addition to oscillations the bubble will expand until the internal pressure is low enough [74]. The second important point

is the phase transition and nucleation [31, 73, 75]. This problem lies outside the present work. We study the sound propagation in HNS with a given set of parameters including the equilibrium bubble radius R_0 . In terms of R_0 the resonance sound wave frequency reads

$$f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi R_0} \left(\frac{3\gamma_q p_{q0}}{\rho_h} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (45)$$

where $\sigma = 0$ is assumed. The oscillation frequencies discussed in literature span from the usual kHz range [76, 77] to recently proposed MHz range [78]. The NS seismology is a rapidly developing field of study and there might be different sources of sound with different frequencies. Phase transition can produce sound like “the kettle starts ringing as the tea is ready” [79]. On the other hand, phase transition gives rise to the formation of the slow mode and to strong sound absorption [60]. Another interesting possibility is the reflection of the seismic wave from the QM-HM interface [12]. The possibility to detect the presence of QM in NSs based on asteroseismology has been discussed in [80, 81, 82].

According to (45) with γ_q , p_{q0} and ρ_h given by (41)-(42) the frequency $f_r \simeq 10$ kHz corresponds to $R_0 \simeq 10$ km and $f_r \simeq 1$ MHz to $R_0 \simeq 100$ m. One can envisage the QM bubble of reasonable size with kHz eigenfrequency near the edge of the stability region $\varphi \rightarrow 1$ or $2\sigma/R_0 \sim 3\gamma_q p_{q0}$. Our choice of parameters (41)-(42) with $\sigma = 0$ is obviously far from the stability limit. Changing parameters, one should keep in mind the interrelationship between R_0 , η and σ provided by $\delta = g/\omega_0$, see (43).

In Figs. 1-3 we show the sound velocity defined by (30) as a function of $\Omega = \omega/\omega_0$ for the set of parameters (41)-(42) and $\varphi = 0$. The results are presented for different values of the bubble volume fraction $\beta = 0.001, 0.01, 0.1$ and for the two values of $\delta = 0.01, 0.1$. According to (43) the bubble radius for the set of parameters under consideration is $R_0 \simeq (2 \cdot 10^3/\delta)$ fm. From these Figs. we see that $c_{ph} < c_h$ for $\omega < \omega_0$ and $c_{ph} > c_h$ for $\omega > \omega_0$. The reason is that above ω_0 the bubble oscillations fall behind the oscillations the driving sound wave and the medium becomes stiffer.

A few words are needed to add concerning the assumptions used and the limitations of the approach. All the bubbles have




Figure 1: The speed of sound in bubbly HNS as a function of Ω at $\beta = 0.1$ in descending order at $\delta = 0.01, 0.1$. The horizontal line is the sound speed with no bubbles.




Figure 2: The speed of sound in bubbly HNS as a function of Ω at $\beta = 0.01$ in descending order at $\delta = 0.01, 0.1$. The horizontal line is the sound speed with no bubbles.

the same equilibrium radius. Bubbles occupy a small fraction of the total volume. The sound wavelength $\lambda \gg R_0$ which allows to consider the driving sound wave as spatially homogeneous. Phase transition and nucleation are not included into consideration. One may ask whether magnetic field of the order $B \simeq 10^{14}$ G in magnetars may substantially alter RPE. The answer is negative and magnetic field plays a minor role as compared with the shear viscosity [46]. Finally, we mention that physics of sound amplification in systems with strong nonlinearity is discussed in [83].




Figure 3: The speed of sound in bubbly HNS as a function of Ω at $\beta = 0.001$ in descending order at $\delta = 0.01, 0.1$. The horizontal line is the sound speed with no bubbles.

7 Acknowledgments

B.K. is grateful to Dmitry Voskresensky and participants of the INFINUM-2023 workshop for remarks and discussions.

References

- [1] J. Antoniadis et al., Science **340**, 1233232 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6875].
- [2] B.P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 161101 (2017).
- [3] H.T. Cromartie et al., Nat. Astron. **4**, 72 (2000) [arXiv:1904.06759].
- [4] T.E. Riley, A.L. Watts, S. Bogdanov, et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. **887**, L 21 (2019).
- [5] M.C. Miller, F.K. Lamb, A.J. Dittmann, et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. **918**, L 28 (2021).
- [6] E. Fonseca, et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. **915**, L 12 (2021).
- [7] E. Annala, T. Gorda, E. Katerini, et al., Phys. Rev. **X 12**, 011058 (2022) [arXiv:2105.05132].

- [8] J.M. Lattimer, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **71**, 433 (2021).
- [9] G. Baym, T. Hatsuda, T. Kojo, et al., *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 056902 (2018).
- [10] J.M.Lattimer and M. Prakash, *Phys. Rep.* **621**, 127 (2016).
- [11] S.K. Greif, K. Hebeler, J.M. Lattimer, et al., *Astrophys. J.* **901**, 155 (2020).
- [12] E. Annala, T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, et al., *Mem. Soc. Ast. It.* **90**, 81 (2019) [arXiv:1904.01354].
- [13] J.C.Collins and M.J. Perry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 1353 (1975).
- [14] B. Freedman and L.D. McLerran, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 1109 (1978).
- [15] N.K. Glendenning, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 1274 (1992).
- [16] N.K. Glendenning and S. Pei, *Acta Phys. Hung. A* **1**, 323 (1995) [astro-ph/9706066].
- [17] M. Prakash, *Nucl. Phys. A* **698**, 440 (2002) [hep-ph/0105158].
- [18] E. Annala, T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, et al., *Nature Phys.* **16**, 907 (2020) [arXiv:1903.09121].
- [19] G.A. Controra, D. Blaschke, J.P. Carlomagno, et al., *Phys. Res. C* **105**, 045808 (2022) [arXiv:2201.00477].
- [20] L. McLerran and S. Reddy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 122701 (2019) [arXiv:1811.12503].
- [21] M.G. Alford and A. Sedrakian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161104 (2017) [arXiv:1706.01592].
- [22] K. Maslov, et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **100**, 025802 (2019) [arXiv:1812.11889].
- [23] M.G. Afford, S. Han, and M. Prakash, *Phys. Res. D* **88**, 083013 (2013) [arXiv:1302.4732].

- [24] C.H. Lenzi, G. Lugones, and C. Vasquez, Phys. Rev. **D 107**, 083025 (2023) [arXiv:2304.01898].
- [25] H. Heisenberg, C.J. Pethick, and E.F. Staubo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1355 (1993).
- [26] T. Harko, K.S. Cheng, and P.S. Tang, Astrophys. J. **608**, 945 (2004).
- [27] G. Lugones and A.G. Grunfeld, Phys, Rev. **C 103**, 035813 (2021) [arXiv:2010.06098].
- [28] T. Tatsumi, T. Maruyama, D.N. Voskresensky, et al., *Nuclear pasta structures in neutron stars and the charge screening*, arXiv: nucl-th/0502040.
- [29] B.W. Mintz, E.S. Fraga, G. Pagliara, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 81**, 123012 (2010) [arXiv:0910.3927].
- [30] B.W. Mintz, A. Bessa, E.S. Fraga, Nucl. Phys. **A 820**, 291c (2009) [arXiv:0810.2798].
- [31] G. Lugones, Eur. Phys. J. **A 52**, 53 (2016) [arXiv:1508.05548].
- [32] J.I. Kapusta and A.P. Vischer, Phys. Rev. **C 51**, 901 (1995).
- [33] I.N. Mishustin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4779 (1999).
- [34] M.L. Olesen and J. Madsen, Phys. Rev. **D 49**, 2698 (1994).
- [35] P. Bedaque and A.W. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 031103 (2015).
- [36] Y. Fujimoto, K. Fukushima, L. McLerran, and M. Praszalowicz, Phys. Ret. Lett. **129**, 252702 (2022).
- [37] T. Kojo, AAPPS Bull. **31**, 11 (2021) [arXiv:2011.10940].
- [38] S. Altiparmak, C. Ecker, and L. Rezzolla, Astrophys. J. Lett. **939**, L34 (2022) [arXiv:2203.14974].
- [39] E. Zabolotskaya and S. Soluyan, Akusticheskiy Zhurnal (Acoustical Physics) **13**, 296 (1967).

- [40] K.W. Commander and A. Prosperetti, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **85**, 732 (1989).
- [41] L. Rayleigh, Philos. Mag. **34**, 94 (1917).
- [42] M. Plesset, J. Appl. Mech. **16**, 277 (1949).
- [43] E. Annala, et al., *Strongly interacting matter exhibits deconfined behaviour in massive neutron stars*, arXiv:2303.11356[astro-ph.HE]
- [44] M.S. Plesset and E.A. Prosperetti, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. **9**, 145 (1977).
- [45] B.E. Nolting and E. A. Nappiras, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **B 63**, 674 (1950).
- [46] B.O. Kerbikov and M.S. Lukashov, Phys. Rev. **D 101**, 094005 (2020).
- [47] E. Shuryak and P. Staig, Phys. Rev. **C 88**, 064905 (2013).
- [48] D.A. Fogaca, S.M. Sanches Jr., R. Fariello, et al., Phys. Rev. **C 93**, 055204 (2016) [arXiv:1601.04596].
- [49] A. Prosperetti and A. Lezzi, J. Fluid Mech. **168**, 457 (1986).
- [50] E. Annala, T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 172703 (2018) [arXiv:1711.02644].
- [51] H.T. Elze, Y. Hama, T. Kodama, et al., J. Phys. **G 25**, 1935 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9910208].
- [52] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, 2-nd ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987).
- [53] L.D. Landau and I.M. Khalatnikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR **96**, 469 (1954).
- [54] A.Z. Patashinskii, V.L. Pokrovskii, *Fluctuation Theory of Phase Transitions* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979).
- [55] B.O. Kerbikov, *Critical Acoustics and Singular Bulk Viscosity of Quark Matter*, arXiv: 1806.09872.

- [56] Cheng-Jun Xia, Jian-Feng Xu, Guang-Xiong Peng, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 106**, 034016 (2022) [arXiv: 2205.10610].
- [57] T. Maruyama, S. Chiba, H.-J. Schulze, et al., Phys Rev. **D 76**, 123015 (2007) [arXiv: 0708.3277].
- [58] D.V. Voskresensky, M. Yasuhira, and T. Tatsumi, Nucl. Phys. **A 723**, 291 (2003) [nucl-th/0208067].
- [59] Chengjun Xia, Zhenyu Zhu, Xia Zhou, et al., Chinese Physics **C 45**, 055104 (2021) [arXiv: 1906.00826].
- [60] B.O. Kerbikov and M.S. Lukashov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 31**, 1650179 (2016).
- [61] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley and Sons, NY-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1972).
- [62] M. Merafina and R. Ruffini, Astron. Astrophys. **221**, 4 (1989).
- [63] P. Haensel, A.Y. Potekhin, and D.G. Yakovlev, *Neutron Stars 1: Equation of State and Structure* (Springer, NY, 2007).
- [64] J.S. Read, B.D. Lackey, B.J. Owen, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 79**, 124032 (2009) [arXiv: 0812.9103].
- [65] L. Herrera and W. Barreto, Phys. Rev. **D 88**, 084022 (2013) [arXiv: 1310.1114].
- [66] M.F. O'Boyle, C. Markakis, N. Stergioulas, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 102**, 083027 (2020) [arXiv: 2008.03342].
- [67] Wolfram Weise, EPJ Web of Conferences **271**, 06003 (2022) [arXiv: 2208.14831].
- [68] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son, and A.Q. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005) [arXiv: hep-th/0405231].
- [69] E. Flowers and N. Itoh, Astrophys. J. **230**, 847 (1979).
- [70] N. Andersson, G.L. Comer, and K. Glampedakis, Nucl. Phys. **A 763**, 212 (2005) [arXiv: astro-ph/041174].

- [71] P.S. Shternin and D.G. Yakovlev, Phys. Rev. **D 78**, 063006 (2008) [arXiv: 0808.2018].
- [72] E.E. Kolomeitsev and D.N. Voskresensky, Phys. Rev. **C 91**, 025805 (2015) [arXiv: 1412.0314].
- [73] G. Lugones and A.G. Grunfeld, Phys. Rev. **D 84**, 085003 (2011) [arXiv: 1105.3992].
- [74] C.E. Brennen, *Cavitation and Bubble Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, NY, 1995).
- [75] I. Bombaci, D. Logoteta, I. Vidana, and C. Providencia, Eur. Phys. J. **A 52**, 58 (2016) [arXiv: 1601.04559].
- [76] N. Andersson and K. Kokkotas, Mon. Not. Roy. Soc. **299**, 1059 (1988).
- [77] A. Kunjipurayil, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 106**, 063005 (2022) [arXiv: 2205.02081].
- [78] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. Mateos, M. Sanchez-Garitaonandia, *Mega-Hertz Gravitational Waves from Neutron Star Mergers*, arXiv: 2210.03171.
- [79] E. Shuryak, Universe **3**, 75 (2017).
- [80] P. Jaikumar, A. Semposki, M. Prakash, et al., Phys. Rev. **D 103**, 123009 (2021).
- [81] H. Sotani, T. Maruyama, and T. Tatsumi, Nucl. Phys. **A 906**, 37 (2013) [arXiv: 1207.4055].
- [82] H.Sotani, Phys. Rev. **D 107**, 123025 (2023) [arXiv: 2306.07531].
- [83] O.V. Rudenko and S.I. Soluyan, *Theoretical Foundations of Nonlinear Acoustics* (Consultants Bureau, 1977).