

Testing the non-unitarity of the leptonic mixing matrix at FASER ν and FASER ν 2

Jesús Miguel Celestino-Ramírez,^{1,*} F. J. Escrihuela,^{2,†} L. J. Flores,^{3,‡} and O. G. Miranda^{1,§}

¹*Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN*

Apdo. Postal 14-740 07000 Ciudad de México, México

²*AHEP Group, Institut de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València, Parc Científic de Paterna.*

C/Catedrático José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna (València) - SPAIN

³*Tecnológico Nacional de México/ITS de Jerez, C.P. 99863 Zacatecas, México.*

The FASER ν experiment has detected the first neutrino events coming from LHC. Near future high-statistic neutrino samples will allow us to search for new physics within the neutrino sector. Motivated by the forthcoming promising FASER ν neutrino data, and its successor, FASER ν 2, we study its potential for testing the unitarity of the neutrino lepton mixing matrix. Although it would be challenging for FASER ν and FASER ν 2 to have strong constraints on this kind of new physics, we discuss its role in contributing to a future improved global analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The neutrino oscillations discovery tells us that neutrinos have a small mass. Compared with other fundamental particles, the nonzero neutrino mass and its smallness strongly suggest that the Standard Model (SM) needs an extension to describe the neutrino oscillation picture. Also, the SM needs a new mechanism or an explanation for the mass degeneracy of the active neutrinos. An attempt to describe the mass generation of neutrinos is the seesaw mechanism [1–5]. The type-I seesaw mechanism uses neutral heavy leptons (NHL), with Majorana mass, as a messenger to transport mass to the light neutrinos. Due to their heavy mass, the NHLs do not oscillate to active neutrinos. However, their effects are contained in a submatrix of the full $N \times N$ lepton-mixing matrix, with N the number of light plus heavy neutrino species. As a consequence, the 3×3 mixing matrix of the light neutrino is non-unitary. In recent years, many experiments have been used to test non-unitarity effects [6–14]. Among the new experiments expected to give further information about neutrino interactions, we can consider the case of FASER, and more especially FASER ν , which will measure the neutrino cross-section in a new energy window, making this experiment an exciting place to study either Standard Model physics or beyond.

*Electronic address: jesus.celestino@cinvestav.mx

†Electronic address: franesfe@alumni.uv.es

‡Electronic address: ljflores@jerez.tecnm.mx

§Electronic address: omar.miranda@cinvestav.mx

In this work, we will explore the non-unitarity sensitivity in the $\text{FASER}\nu$ and $\text{FASER}\nu 2$ experiments. With different neutrino channels measured at high energies, $\text{FASER}\nu$ will test non-unitarity effects in an experimental setup different from any other experiment. Therefore, this makes the study of a future non-unitary test at $\text{FASER}\nu$ interesting. $\text{FASER}\nu$ experiment works at 100-1000 GeV [15], and the momentum transfer for this fixed target detector will be around $Q^2 \sim (10 \text{ GeV})^2$ [15]. At this energy, it might be possible to generate NHL for specific theories, like a linear or inverse seesaw below the electroweak energy, for example, in the mass range of GeV, as was studied in [16]. In this work, we will focus on a model-independent formalism for non-unitarity [17], valid for neutrino mass eigenstates at high mass scales, above hundreds of GeV, and show the sensitivity to the corresponding parameters. A different study for the non-unitary case was done previously [18]. However, their approach is different in terms of the theoretical description of non-unitarity as well as in the study of other neutrino observables in their analysis.

The paper structure is: in section II we briefly review the non-unitarity formalism and the zero-distance approximation used in this work. In section III we show the statistical procedure that we follow to obtain the sensitivity in the non-unitarity formalism. The χ^2 analysis and the sensitivity of the non-unitarity parameters are discussed in section IV. Finally, in section V, we talk about the conclusions and perspectives.

II. NON-UNITARITY

Any model with additional neutrino species implies the non-unitarity of the standard leptonic mixing matrix for the three oscillation neutrino picture. In this scenario, the three times three mixing matrix is a block of the complete mixing matrix $U^{n \times n}$, with n the total number of neutrino eigenstates. Studies on the implications of the non-unitarity can be found in the literature [5–8], as well as constraints from either neutrino experiments or those coming from charge leptons [9–11]. Recent constraints from a combined analysis of short and long-baseline experiments are reported in Ref. [12].

For the general case of 3 active neutrinos and $n - 3$ heavy neutrino states, we can define the matrix $U^{n \times n}$ as compose of four submatrices

$$U^{n \times n} = \begin{pmatrix} N & S \\ V & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where N is the 3×3 matrix in the light-active neutrino sector, and S describes the contribution of the extra isosinglets states to the three active neutrinos.

The neutral heavy leptons effects in the active neutrino oscillation can be factorized into the N matrix as follows [17]:

$$N = N^{\text{NP}}U = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} \end{pmatrix} U, \quad (2)$$

where U is the usual leptonic mixing matrix, and N^{NP} is the matrix characterizing the unitary violation that arises when new heavy neutrino states are introduced.

Clearly, the 3×3 N matrix is not unitary and, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} NN^\dagger &= I - SS^\dagger = N^{\text{NP}}UU^\dagger N^{\text{NP}\dagger} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}^2 & \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21}^* & \alpha_{11}\alpha_{31}^* \\ \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22}^2 + |\alpha_{21}|^2 & \alpha_{22}\alpha_{32}^* + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31}^* \\ \alpha_{11}\alpha_{31} & \alpha_{22}\alpha_{32} + \alpha_{31}\alpha_{21}^* & \alpha_{33}^2 + |\alpha_{31}|^2 + |\alpha_{32}|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The α parameters are related to the mixings $\cos \theta_{ij}$ and $\sin \theta_{ij}$ as [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11} &= c_{1n}c_{1n-1}c_{1n-2} \cdots c_{14}, \\ \alpha_{22} &= c_{2n}c_{2n-1}c_{2n-2} \cdots c_{24}, \\ \alpha_{33} &= c_{3n}c_{3n-1}c_{3n-2} \cdots c_{34}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where θ is the oscillation angle, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$. The non-diagonal terms are [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{21} &= c_{2n}c_{2n-1} \cdots c_{25}\eta_{24}\bar{\eta}_{14} + c_{2n} \cdots c_{26}\eta_{25}\bar{\eta}_{15}c_{14} + \cdots + \eta_{2n}\bar{\eta}_{1n}c_{1n-1}c_{1n-2} \cdots c_{14}, \\ \alpha_{32} &= c_{3n}c_{3n-1} \cdots c_{35}\eta_{34}\bar{\eta}_{24} + c_{3n} \cdots c_{36}\eta_{35}\bar{\eta}_{35}c_{24} + \cdots + \eta_{3n}\bar{\eta}_{2n}c_{2n-1}c_{2n-2} \cdots c_{24}, \\ \alpha_{31} &= c_{3n}c_{3n-1} \cdots c_{35}\eta_{34}\bar{\eta}_{14}c_{24} + c_{3n} \cdots c_{36}\eta_{35}c_{25}\bar{\eta}_{15}c_{14} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \eta_{3n}c_{2n}\bar{\eta}_{1n}c_{1n-1}c_{1n-2} \cdots c_{14}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with $\eta_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}e^{-i\delta_{ij}}$, where δ_{ij} is the CP phase associated to θ_{ij} . Also, the non-diagonal parameters are related with the diagonal ones through the triangle inequality [9]:

$$\alpha_{ij} \leq \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_{ii}^2)(1 - \alpha_{jj}^2)}. \quad (6)$$

As a consequence of the non-unitarity, the oscillation probability will change. The new oscillation

probability is [17, 19]:

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j}^3 N_{\alpha i}^* N_{\beta i} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta j}^* - 4 \sum_{j>i}^3 \text{Re} [N_{\alpha j}^* N_{\beta j} N_{\alpha i} N_{\beta i}^*] \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2 L}{4E_\nu} \right) + 2 \sum_{j>i}^3 \text{Im} [N_{\alpha j}^* N_{\beta j} N_{\alpha i} N_{\beta i}^*] \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2 L}{2E_\nu} \right). \quad (7)$$

In this work, we focus on the analysis of non-unitarity formalism in the FASER experiment. In FASER, the typical energy is in the range of 100 – 1000 GeV and the distance between the source and the detector is $L = 480 \text{ m}$. Therefore, the wavelength is enough to consider that our results are in the regime of a short baseline. Also, as a good approximation, we can work in the so-called zero-distance approximation. The oscillation probability in this zero-distance case is

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j}^3 N_{\alpha i}^* N_{\beta i} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta j}^*, \quad (8)$$

where Greek letters refers to the lepton-flavor index and Latin letters denote the mass state index. In terms of the non-unitary parameters α_{ij} from Eq. (2), the oscillation probabilities in this approximation are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mu e} &= \alpha_{11}^2 |\alpha_{21}|^2, \\ P_{e\tau} &= \alpha_{11}^2 |\alpha_{31}|^2, \\ P_{\mu\tau} &\approx \alpha_{22}^2 |\alpha_{32}|^2, \\ P_{ee} &= \alpha_{11}^4, \\ P_{\mu\mu} &= (|\alpha_{21}|^2 + \alpha_{22}^2)^2, \\ P_{\tau\tau} &= (|\alpha_{31}|^2 + \alpha_{32}^2 + \alpha_{33}^2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

III. EXPERIMENT DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

FASER ν experiment will provide an abundant neutrino flux with thousands of expected events in the very near future. The first few neutrino events have already been recorded by FASER ν [20]. This new experiment at the LHC opens a new opportunity to study the non-unitarity of the neutrino oscillation matrix in the search for heavy neutrino states due to the high statistics for neutrino events. Being a high energy neutrino flux that spans from 100 GeV to 1 TeV [15], the momentum transfer in this fix target experiment is expected to be in the range of $Q^2 \sim (10 \text{ GeV})^2$, allowing an indirect test for new heavy states through the non-unitary of the leptonic mixing matrix. The FASER ν experiment has a total tungsten target mass of 1.2 tons and a baseline of




FIG. 1: Expected charged-current neutrino interactions at the FASER ν detector with 150 fb^{-1} integrated luminosity, as a function of neutrino energy (recomputed from Ref. [22]).

480 m. The FASER ν collaboration measures these events by measuring the charged current (CC) with high accuracy. The neutral-current (NC) events are more complicated to measure due to the absence of charged leptons in the final states, although there are some attempts to describe the neutral-current (NC) interactions [21]. Although the FASER ν collaboration has estimated the number of SM neutrino interactions at the detector [15], a more recent prediction of these events and their uncertainties using various event generators has been made [22]. Fig. 1 shows the expected neutrino interactions at the FASER ν detector for each flavor. This figure was recomputed¹ using the information given in Ref. [22] and coincides with the corresponding figure of this reference. We will use this prediction in the following analyses on non-unitarity.

An upgrade plan for the detection of collider neutrinos in the high luminosity era of the LHC is the FASER ν 2 detector [23]. With a mass of 20 tonnes and 20 times the luminosity of its predecessor, it will be able to detect two orders of magnitude more events than FASER ν . Ref [22] has also estimated the number of interactions at this detector.¹

In this work, we will use the zero-distance approximation to have a forecast on the sensitivity of FASER ν and FASER ν 2 to non-unitary α parameters. In this analysis, we will use 3 observables: electron, muon, and tau neutrino events. The SM expected events can be computed as

$$N_{\alpha}^{\text{SM}} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} N_T \int f(E_{\text{reco}}) R(E_{\text{reco}}, E_{\nu}) \sigma_{\alpha}(E_{\nu}) \phi_{\alpha} dE_{\nu} dE_{\text{reco}} \quad (10)$$

¹ <https://github.com/KlingFelix/FastNeutrinoFluxSimulation>

where ϕ_α is the expected flux at the detector, σ_α is the neutrino-nucleus DIS cross section, $R(E_{\text{reco}}, E_\nu)$ is a gaussian smearing function of width $0.3E_\nu$, $f(E_{\text{reco}})$ it is the vertex reconstruction efficiency (taken from Fig. 9 of Ref. [15]), ε_α is the charged-lepton identification efficiency ($\varepsilon_e = 100\%$, $\varepsilon_\mu = 86\%$, $\varepsilon_\tau = 76\%$), and N_T is the number of targets in the detector. To estimate the number of events at both FASER ν and FASER ν 2 detectors, we take the estimated interactions from Ref. [22] and apply smearing, vertex reconstruction and charged-lepton identification efficiencies. Our estimated number of events for the complete neutrino energy range ($10^2 - 10^4$ GeV), along with their uncertainties, are shown in Table I. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the uncertainties on the number of interactions at the detector are high, and come mostly from flux estimations. We propose a scenario where only interactions between 100 – 600 GeV are taken into account in order to reduce the systematic error significantly. The expected number of events in this energy regime is also shown in Table I.

We compute the expected sensitivity through a χ^2 analysis:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{\alpha=e}^{\tau} \frac{(N_\alpha^{\text{NU}} - N_\alpha^{\text{exp}})^2}{\sigma_\alpha^2} + \sum_{ij} \frac{(\alpha_{ij} - \delta_{ij})^2}{\sigma_{ij}^2}, \quad (11)$$

where N_α^{exp} is the expected measured number of events per neutrino flavor, N_α^{NU} is the events number computed when non-unitarity is present, α refers to the lepton flavor, and σ_α is the total expected error (statistical and systematic). Regarding the systematic uncertainties, for FASER ν , we symmetrized this error as an approximation, whereas for FASER ν 2 we consider two scenarios, 5% and 10%, motivated by the expected improvement in the flux estimation by the time the HL-LHC starts taking data. To make a complete analysis considering the three observables that FASER ν expects to measure, we have to consider both appearance and disappearance channels. Therefore, the complete theoretical prediction will depend on six different non-unitary parameters. Therefore, the complete expressions will have more parameters than FASER ν observables, and we need to consider priors to perform our analysis. In Eq. (11), we have included priors to the values of α_{ij} that will be marginalized in our fit, using as errors, σ_{ij} , the constraints reported in Ref. [12]. Notice that these constraints were presented at 90 % C.L., then our results can be considered as conservative.

The theoretical expected number of events will be then expressed as

$$N_\alpha^{\text{NU}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{11}^2(\alpha_{22}^2 + |\alpha_{21}|^2)} (N_\alpha^{\text{SM}} P_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} P_{\alpha\beta} N_\beta^{\text{SM}}), \quad (12)$$

where $P_{\alpha\alpha}$ and $P_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined in Eq. (9) and depend on the non-unitary parameters, N_α^{SM} is the standard model predicted number of events for the flavor α , and there is no sum over α . The prefactor in the right-hand side of this equation corresponds to the correction due to the

Lepton flavor	FASER ν		FASER $\nu 2$	
	$10^2 - 10^4$ GeV	$100 - 600$ GeV	$10^2 - 10^4$ GeV	$100 - 600$ GeV
e	1095 ± 937	307 ± 101	44230	20775
μ	2807 ± 909	1163 ± 190	193630	85044
τ	19 ± 19	6 ± 4	767	314

TABLE I: Expected number of events and systematic uncertainties used in the analysis, for different neutrino energy ranges. For the projections of FASER $\nu 2$, we will consider two systematic uncertainty scenarios, 5% and 10% (see text for details).

measurement of the Fermi constant, G_F [7, 8, 24], that comes from muon decay and in the case of non-unitary must be considered as $G_F = G_\mu / \sqrt{\alpha_{11}^2 (\alpha_{22}^2 + |\alpha_{21}|^2)}$, with G_μ as the Fermi constant measured in muon decay.

Since we consider several non-unitary parameters at a time, it may happen that the disappearance events compensate for the appearance events and no effect would be visible. Therefore, to take into account the combined effect of the six different parameters, considering that we have only three observables (the three neutrino flavors), we will consider only one free parameter at a time by marginalizing over the other five parameters. It is important to remark that in the computation of χ^2 , we take into account the triangle inequality condition for all the off-diagonal α_{ij} parameters given in Eq. (6). In other words, we have included three triangle inequality conditions.

IV. RESULTS

We will show in this section the results of computing the expected sensitivity for FASER ν and FASER $\nu 2$ in two different energy windows. In Fig. 2 we illustrate the expected FASER ν sensitivity for the two energy regimes already mentioned. As discussed in the previous sections, the energy range from $100 - 600$ GeV has smaller uncertainties. Therefore, besides the case with the full energy range, we also consider this reduced region. However, for FASER $\nu 2$, the analysis has been performed in the energy range of $100 - 600$ GeV because we consider systematic uncertainties very significant beyond this energy range. Results are shown in Fig. 3. We must remember that this analysis considers every appearance and disappearance channel for all neutrino flavors, as well as priors from the current limits on α_{ij} , hence it provides a realistic and useful projection of the FASER ν and FASER $\nu 2$ capabilities to constrain the non-unitary parameters. A summary of the expected 90 % C.L. sensitivity to each parameter is shown in Table II. From this table, and from Fig. 2, we can notice that it is not expected that FASER ν could improve the current limits on non-unitary parameters. Nevertheless, for FASER $\nu 2$ we found competitive results, mainly for α_{11} and α_{33} . On the other hand, for the case of FASER $\nu 2$, we illustrate in Fig. 3 how the sensitivity to non-unitarity can play a role in future global analysis, especially if we restrict ourselves to the




FIG. 2: Sensitivity to one at a time diagonal (left panel) and non-diagonal (right panel) non-unitarity parameters for FASER ν . The dashed curves represent the sensitivity for the full FASER ν energy regime, while the solid curves represent the scenario with events only between 100-600 GeV. The horizontal line shows the 90% C.L. Besides marginalization over the other non-unitary parameters, the triangle inequality conditions have also been taken into account.

	FASER ν		FASER ν 2		
Parameter	$10^2 - 10^4$ GeV	100 - 600 GeV	100 - 600 GeV (5%)	100 - 600 GeV (10%)	Current limit
$\alpha_{11} \geq$	0.818	0.894	0.970	0.944	0.969
$\alpha_{22} \geq$	0.760	0.873	0.944	0.928	0.995
$\alpha_{33} \geq$	—	—	0.945	0.932	0.890
$\alpha_{21} \leq$	0.028	0.027	0.022	0.025	0.013
$\alpha_{31} \leq$	0.118	0.114	0.083	0.089	0.033
$\alpha_{32} \leq$	0.048	0.048	0.042	0.043	0.009

TABLE II: Expected sensitivities at 90% C.L. for all the non-unitary α_{ij} parameters for the FASER ν and FASER ν 2 experiments, for different energy ranges and systematic uncertainties. For FASER ν , there is no constraint on α_{33} . In the last column we show the current global limits from Ref. [12].

preferable energy window that goes from 100 – 600 GeV and if FASER ν 2 can keep under control its systematic uncertainties. Since the experiments plans to collect high statistics events (below 1 %) it is reasonable to expect an important campaign to reduce systematic effects. From Table II we can see that the most promising sensitivities are expected for the case of α_{11} and, especially, α_{33} , where the tau neutrino FASER ν 2 events will represent a window of opportunity to shed light on this parameter.




FIG. 3: Sensitivity to one at a time diagonal (left panels) and non-diagonal (right panels) non-unitarity parameters for FASER ν 2. The upper (lower) panels correspond to the case where the systematic uncertainty is taken as 5% (10%). The horizontal line shows the 90% C.L. Besides marginalization over the other non-unitarity parameters, the triangle inequality conditions have also been taken into account.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we analyzed the non-unitary effects in the context of the FASER ν and FASER ν 2 experiments. We used the approximation of zero distance and performed an analysis of the expected sensitivity for all the non-unitarity parameters. We find that the expected FASER ν sensitivity to

non-unitarity test is in general poor, being best sensitive to the α_{21} parameter that might give a complementary information, useful perhaps in a global analysis. On the other hand, for the FASER ν 2 case, the perspectives are much better and the sensitivity to the α_{33} parameter could be quite competitive with current restrictions, thanks to the relatively large number of tau neutrino events that are expected in this detector. Besides, FASER ν 2 also has the possibility to give a competitive constraint on the α_{11} parameter. Since the expected statistic in FASER ν 2 is high, the main challenge rest in reducing the systematic uncertainties. In summary, future measurements at FASER ν 2 may test the non-unitarity of the leptonic mixing angle in a different neutrino channel and energy region and may have competitive sensitivities for some of the non-unitary parameters.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been partially supported by CONAHCyT research grant: A1-S-23238. The work of O. G. M. and L. J. F. has also been supported by SNII (Sistema Nacional de Investigadoras e Investigadores, Mexico).

-
- [1] Peter Minkowski. $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays? *Phys. Lett. B*, 67:421–428, 1977.
 - [2] Murray Gell-Mann, Pierre Ramond, and Richard Slansky. Complex Spinors and Unified Theories. *Conf. Proc. C*, 790927:315–321, 1979.
 - [3] Tsutomu Yanagida. Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos. *Conf. Proc. C*, 7902131:95–99, 1979.
 - [4] Rabindra N. Mohapatra and Goran Senjanovic. Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 44:912, 1980.
 - [5] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle. Neutrino Masses in SU(2) x U(1) Theories. *Phys. Rev. D*, 22:2227, 1980.
 - [6] Michael Gronau, Chung Ngoc Leung, and Jonathan L. Rosner. Extending Limits on Neutral Heavy Leptons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 29:2539, 1984.
 - [7] Enrico Nardi, Esteban Roulet, and Daniele Tommasini. Limits on neutrino mixing with new heavy particles. *Phys. Lett. B*, 327:319–326, 1994.
 - [8] Anupama Atre, Tao Han, Silvia Pascoli, and Bin Zhang. The Search for Heavy Majorana Neutrinos. *JHEP*, 05:030, 2009.
 - [9] F. J. Escrihuela, D. V. Forero, O. G. Miranda, M. Tórtola, and J. W. F. Valle. Probing CP violation with non-unitary mixing in long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments: DUNE as a case study. *New J. Phys.*, 19(9):093005, 2017.
 - [10] Enrique Fernandez-Martinez, Josu Hernandez-Garcia, and Jacobo Lopez-Pavon. Global constraints on heavy neutrino mixing. *JHEP*, 08:033, 2016.
 - [11] Mattias Blennow, Enrique Fernández-Martínez, Josu Hernández-García, Jacobo López-Pavón, Xabier

- Marcano, and Daniel Naredo-Tuero. Bounds on lepton non-unitarity and heavy neutrino mixing. JHEP, 08:030, 2023.
- [12] D. V. Forero, C. Giunti, C. A. Ternes, and M. Tortola. Nonunitary neutrino mixing in short and long-baseline experiments. Phys. Rev. D, 104(7):075030, 2021.
- [13] Peter B. Denton and Julia Gehrlein. New oscillation and scattering constraints on the tau row matrix elements without assuming unitarity. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2022(6), jun 2022.
- [14] Debajyoti Dutta and Samiran Roy. Non-Unitarity at DUNE and T2HK with Charged and Neutral Current Measurements. J. Phys. G, 48(4):045004, 2021.
- [15] Henso Abreu et al. Detecting and Studying High-Energy Collider Neutrinos with FASER at the LHC. Eur. Phys. J. C, 80(1):61, 2020.
- [16] Felix Kling and Sebastian Trojanowski. Heavy Neutral Leptons at FASER. Phys. Rev. D, 97(9):095016, 2018.
- [17] F. J. Escribuela, D. V. Forero, O. G. Miranda, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle. On the description of nonunitary neutrino mixing. Phys. Rev. D, 92(5):053009, 2015. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 93, 119905 (2016)].
- [18] Daniel Aloni and Avital Dery. Revisiting leptonic non-unitarity in light of FASER ν . 11 2022.
- [19] O. G. Miranda, D. K. Papoulias, O. Sanders, M. Tórtola, and J. W. F. Valle. Future CEvNS experiments as probes of lepton unitarity and light-sterile neutrinos. Phys. Rev. D, 102:113014, 2020.
- [20] Henso Abreu et al. First Direct Observation of Collider Neutrinos with FASER at the LHC. 3 2023.
- [21] Ahmed Ismail, Roshan Mammen Abraham, and Felix Kling. Neutral current neutrino interactions at FASER ν . Phys. Rev. D, 103(5):056014, 2021.
- [22] Felix Kling and Laurence J. Nevay. Forward neutrino fluxes at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D, 104(11):113008, 2021.
- [23] Luis A. Anchordoqui et al. The Forward Physics Facility: Sites, experiments, and physics potential. Phys. Rept., 968:1–50, 2022.
- [24] Paul Langacker and David London. Mixing Between Ordinary and Exotic Fermions. Phys. Rev. D, 38:886, 1988.