

Portfolios generated by contingent claim functions, with applications to option pricing

Ricardo T. Fernholz¹

Robert Fernholz²

August 29, 2023

Abstract

In a market of stocks represented by strictly positive continuous semimartingales, a *contingent claim function* is a positive $C^{2,1}$ function of the stock prices and time with a given terminal value. If a contingent claim function satisfies a certain parabolic differential equation, it will generate a portfolio with value process that replicates the contingent claim function. This parabolic differential equation is a general form of the Black-Scholes equation.

Keywords: Stochastic portfolio theory, functionally generated portfolios, contingent claims, option pricing, Black-Scholes equation

¹Claremont McKenna College, 500 E. Ninth St., Claremont, CA 91711, rfernholz@cmc.edu.

²Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, bob@bobfernholz.com.

1 Introduction

Consider a collection of $n > 1$ stocks represented by stock price processes X_1, \dots, X_n that are strictly positive continuous semimartingales that satisfy

$$d \log X_i(t) = \gamma_i(t) dt + \sum_{\ell=1}^d \zeta_{i,\ell}(t) dW_\ell(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $W = (W_1, \dots, W_d)$, with $d \geq n$, is a Brownian motion and the processes γ_i and $\zeta_{i,\ell}$ are square integrable and progressively measurable with respect to the Brownian filtration \mathcal{F}^W . We assume without loss of generality that there is just one share of each stock, and portfolios hold fractional shares. Let $\langle \log X_i, \log X_j \rangle_t$ represent the cross variation process for $\log X_i$ and $\log X_j$, with processes σ_{ij} such that

$$\sigma_{ij}(t) \triangleq \sum_{\ell=1}^d \zeta_{i,\ell}(t) \zeta_{j,\ell}(t) = \frac{d \langle \log X_i, \log X_j \rangle_t}{dt},$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [0, T]$. A *riskless asset* is a stock X with $\langle \log X \rangle_t \triangleq \langle \log X, \log X \rangle_t = 0$, a.s., for $t \in [0, T]$. We assume neither the existence nor the nonexistence of riskless assets, and in Sections 1 through 4 we assume that there are no dividends.

Let π be a portfolio with weight processes π_1, \dots, π_n , which are bounded processes progressively measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}^W , and which add up to one. For a portfolio π , the *portfolio value process* Z_π will satisfy

$$dZ_\pi(t) \triangleq Z_\pi(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) \frac{dX_i(t)}{X_i(t)},$$

or, in logarithmic terms,

$$d \log Z_\pi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) d \log X_i(t) + \gamma_\pi^*(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (1.2)$$

with the *excess growth rate process*

$$\gamma_\pi^*(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) \sigma_{ii}(t) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \pi_i(t) \pi_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) \right). \quad (1.3)$$

We use the notation $X(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$ for stock price processes and $\pi(t) = (\pi_1(t), \dots, \pi_n(t))$ for portfolio weight processes.

We shall use partial differentiation of $C^{2,1}$ functions of the form $f: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, and we shall use the notation $D_i f$ for the partial derivative of f with respect to the i th variable, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $D_t f$ for the partial derivative of f with respect to the last variable, time. In order to avoid confusion when we consider derivatives of composed functions $f \circ g$, we sometimes use classical notation for partial derivatives. For example, for a C^1 functions $f: (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $g: (0, \infty)^m \rightarrow (0, \infty)^n$ the partial derivative $D_i(f \circ g)(x)$ with respect to x_i , for $x \in (0, \infty)^m$, will be written with the notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f(g(x))) = D_i(f \circ g)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n D_j f(g(x)) D_i g_j(x),$$

by the chain rule.

2 Scalable functions

Definition 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a function $V: (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is *scalable* if for $c \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in (0, \infty)^n$, $V(cx) = cV(x)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V: (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a scalable C^1 function. Then, for $x \in (0, \infty)^n$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i V(x) = V(x). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, and $x \in (0, \infty)$, $V(x) = xV(1)$, so

$$DV(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(xV(1)) = V(1),$$

and $xDV(x) = xV(1) = V(x)$.

Now suppose $n \geq 2$. For $x \in (0, \infty)^n$, let $z = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ and let $\xi_j = x_j z^{-1}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, so $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$. Then $x = z\xi$, and since V is scalable,

$$V(x) = V(z\xi) = zV(\xi).$$

We can calculate

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_j z^{-1}) = z^{-1}(\delta_{ij} - \xi_j),$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, where δ_{ij} is the Kroniker delta. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} V(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n D_j V(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_i} = z^{-1} \left(D_i V(\xi) - \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j V(\xi) \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_i V(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (zV(\xi)) \\ &= V(\xi) + z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} V(\xi) \\ &= V(\xi) + D_i V(\xi) - \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j V(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i V(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left(V(\xi) + D_i V(\xi) - \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j V(\xi) \right) \\ &= zV(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i V(\xi) - z \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j D_j V(\xi) \\ &= V(x), \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

A condition similar to (2.1) applied to semimartingales appears in Karatzas and Ruf (2017), Definition 3.2. Here (2.1) is not a definition, but rather the consequence of a natural property of contingent claim functions.

3 Functionally generated portfolios

In the following sections we study $C^{2,1}$ functions $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T > 0$, that are scalable in the first n variables. For simplicity, we call such functions *scalable*, with the understanding that scalability does not extend to the $(n+1)$ st variable, which represents time.

Definition 3.1. A scalable $C^{2,1}$ function $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ generates the portfolio π if for $t \in [0, T)$,

$$d \log Z_\pi(t) = d \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) + d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t), \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ is a process of finite variation. In this case, \mathbf{V} is a *portfolio generating function* and $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ is the *drift process* for \mathbf{V} .

Functionally generated portfolios originally appeared in Fernholz (1999), and were defined multiplicatively with the market portfolio as numeraire. Functional generation has been extended to more general numeraire portfolios (see Strong (2014), Theorem 3.1) and to additive generation rather than multiplicative generation (see Karatzas and Ruf (2017), Proposition 4.3). Multiplicative generation reinvests the drift process in the generated portfolio, whereas additive generation invests it uniformly across the market, so here we use multiplicative generation (see Karatzas and Ruf (2017), Section 4.3). Our goal here is to generate differential equations for pricing options, and the following theorem serves our purpose.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a scalable $C^{2,1}$ function, and suppose that the functions $x_i D_i \mathbf{V}(x, t) / \mathbf{V}(x, t)$ are bounded for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then \mathbf{V} generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t) D_i \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (3.2)$$

for $t \in [0, T)$, and drift process $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ that satisfies

$$d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{D_{ij} \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} X_i(t) X_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) dt - \frac{D_t \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} dt, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (3.3)$$

for $t \in [0, T)$.

Proof. By Itô's rule,

$$\begin{aligned} d \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) dX_i(t) + D_t \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_{ij} \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) d\langle X_i, X_j \rangle_t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t) D_i \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) d \log X_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t) D_i \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) \sigma_{ii}(t) dt \\ &\quad + D_t \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_i(t) X_j(t) D_{ij} \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) \sigma_{ij}(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where we applied Itô's rule again for the second equation. Note that in $D_i \log \mathbf{V} = D_i(\log \circ \mathbf{V})$, etc., the partial derivatives are applied to the composition of \log and \mathbf{V} .

Lemma 2.2 shows that the π_i defined by (3.2) add up to one, so they define a portfolio π , and with this portfolio (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) d \log X_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) \sigma_{ii}(t) dt + \frac{D_t \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{D_{ij} \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} X_i(t) X_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \pi_i(t) \pi_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) d \log X_i(t) + \gamma_\pi^*(t) dt + \frac{D_t \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{D_{ij} \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} X_i(t) X_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) dt \\ &= d \log Z_\pi(t) - d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t), \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

as in (1.2) and (1.3), and (3.1) is satisfied. \square

4 Contingent claim functions

Definition 4.1. A *contingent claim function* is a continuous scalable function $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that is $C^{2,1}$ on $(0, \infty)^n \times [0, T]$.

For a contingent claim function \mathbf{V} and stock price processes X_1, \dots, X_n , the value of this function for stock prices $X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t)$ at time $t \in [0, T]$ is given by $\mathbf{V}(X(t), t) = \mathbf{V}(X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t), t)$. The *terminal value* for a contingent claim function is the function $\mathbf{V}(x, T)$, for $x \in (0, \infty)^n$.

Scalability is a natural property for a contingent claim function, since these functions must be compatible with change in the numeraire currency. For example, if the stocks are valued in euros rather than in dollars, the value of the contingent claim will be calculated simply by applying the same exchange rate. The Black-Scholes option-pricing function appearing in (6.3) below, perhaps the archetypal contingent claim function, can be seen to be scalable.

Definition 4.2. Let \mathbf{V} be a contingent claim function and let π be the portfolio it generates by Theorem 3.2. Then \mathbf{V} is *replicable* if

$$Z_\pi(t) = \mathbf{V}(X(t), t), \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (4.1)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

The following proposition follows immediately from Definitions 3.1 and 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. A *contingent claim function* \mathbf{V} is replicable if and only if its drift process $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ satisfies

$$d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (4.2)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

Remark 4.4. A non-replicable contingent claim function will create an *instant arbitrage* between the contingent claim process and the portfolio it generates, so our definition of *replicable* is a local version of the term “viable” in Section 2.2.2 of Karatzas and Kardaras (2021) (see Remark following Example 3.2.3 of Fernholz (2002)).

Corollary 4.5. A *contingent claim function* $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is replicable if and only if

$$D_t \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t) X_i(t) X_j(t) D_{ij} \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) = 0, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 4.3 and (3.3) of Theorem 3.2. \square

Example 4.6. For real constants p_1, \dots, p_n , with $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$, let $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be the $C^{2,1}$ function defined by

$$\mathbf{V}(x, t) = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n},$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then \mathbf{V} is scalable, so it is a contingent claim function, and it generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t) D_i \mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} = \frac{X_i(t) (p_i X_1^{p_1}(t) \cdots X_i^{p_i-1}(t) \cdots X_n^{p_n}(t))}{X_1^{p_1}(t) \cdots X_n^{p_n}(t)} = p_i,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where the X_i are defined as in (1.1). Here π is a *constant-weighted* portfolio, and by Theorem 3.2 we have the decomposition (3.1) with drift process $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ that satisfies

$$d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \sigma_{ii}(t) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j \sigma_{ij}(t) \right) dt$$

$$= \gamma_\pi^*(t)dt, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (4.4)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. If, for example, $p_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the covariance matrix (σ_{ij}) is nonsingular, then $\gamma_\pi^* > 0$, a.s., (see Fernholz (2002), Proposition 1.3.7), so (4.2) is not satisfied, and \mathbf{V} is not replicable.

It would be convenient to define $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(x, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \gamma_\pi^*(s)ds\right), \quad (4.5)$$

for $(x, t) \in (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T]$, which would compensate for the term (4.4), but in this case $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ would not be a function but rather a random process. However, if the σ_{ij} are constant, then $\gamma_\pi^*(t) = \gamma_\pi^*(0)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is also constant, and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(x, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, t)e^{\gamma_\pi^*(0)t}, \quad (4.6)$$

so $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a $C^{2,1}$ function. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d \log \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(X(t), t) &= d \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) + \gamma_\pi^*(0)dt \\ &= d \log Z_\pi(t) - d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \gamma_\pi^*(0)dt \\ &= d \log Z_\pi(t), \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ is a replicable contingent claim function, with

$$d\Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}(t) = 0, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, as required by Proposition 4.3. □

Example 4.7. For $p \in (0, 1)$, the L^p -norm

$$\mathbf{V}(x, t) = (x_1^p + \dots + x_n^p)^{1/p}, \quad (4.7)$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, is $C^{2,1}$ and scalable. Hence, it is a contingent claim function and it generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t)D_i\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)} = \frac{X_i^p(t)}{X_1^p(t) + \dots + X_n^p(t)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, the decomposition (3.1) has drift process $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ with

$$d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) = (1-p)\gamma_\pi^*(t)dt, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ (see Fernholz (2002), Example 3.4.4), so as in Example 4.6, \mathbf{V} is not replicable. By modifying \mathbf{V} as in (4.5), we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(x, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, t) \exp\left((1-p) \int_0^t \gamma_\pi^*(s)ds\right),$$

which is replicable, as in Example 4.6. However, since the portfolio weights π_i are variable, γ_π^* will not be constant even if all the covariances are, so $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ remains a process rather than a function, and this modification fails to create a replicable contingent claim function. □

Although Example 4.7 shows that the simple adjustment of Example 4.6 cannot be used with the L^p -norm, nevertheless with constant covariances this generating function can be modified to become replicable. This modification can be constructed by first using a logarithmic transformation to convert (4.3) into a heat equation, and then finding a convolutional solution to this heat equation (see Evans (2010), Section 2.3, Theorem 1). This procedure is carried out for the simple case of $p = 1/2$ and $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ in Example A.1 of the Appendix.

5 Dividends

Definition 5.1. A *dividend rate process* δ is a bounded process defined on $[0, T]$ that is progressively measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}^W .

In this section and the next, stock price processes X_i may have corresponding dividend rate processes δ_i , which measure the rate at which dividends are paid by the stocks. A dividend rate process contributes to the “total return” of the stock (see Fernholz (2002), (1.1.31)). For a portfolio π , the *dividend rate process* for the portfolio is defined by

$$\delta_\pi(t) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) \delta_i(t).$$

Definition 5.2. For a stock market with dividends, let \mathbf{V} be a contingent claim function and let π be the portfolio it generates. Then \mathbf{V} is *replicable* if

$$d \log \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) = d \log Z_\pi(t) + \delta_\pi(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (5.1)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, where δ_π is dividend rate process for π .

The next proposition follows immediately from Definitions 3.1 and 5.2.

Proposition 5.3. Let \mathbf{V} be a contingent claim function and π be the portfolio it generates. Then \mathbf{V} is replicable if and only if its drift process $\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}$ satisfies

$$d\Phi_{\mathbf{V}}(t) = \delta_\pi(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, where δ_π is the dividend rate process for π .

Corollary 5.4. In a stock market with dividends, a contingent claim function $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is replicable if and only if

$$D_t \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t) X_i(t) X_j(t) D_{ij} \mathbf{V}(X(t), t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(t) X_i(t) D_i \mathbf{V}(X(t), t), \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (5.2)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 3.2. \square

We shall see in the next section that (5.2) is a general version of the Black-Scholes equation (Black and Scholes, 1973).

6 Black-Scholes and the option-pricing problem

The *option-pricing problem* for *European* options is: given a continuous *terminal value function* $f: (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and an *expiration date* $T > 0$, find a replicable contingent claim function $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\mathbf{V}(x, T) = f(x)$, for $x \in (0, \infty)^n$.

Example 6.1. Suppose we have p_1, \dots, p_n as in Example 4.6, and let $f(x) = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$, for $x \in (0, \infty)^n$, be a terminal value function. If the covariance processes σ_{ij} in that example are constant then $\gamma_\pi^*(t) = \gamma_\pi^*(0)$, $t \in [0, T]$, so the contingent claim function $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ of that example can be used to solve the option-pricing problem for the terminal value function $f(x) = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$, with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}(x, t) = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} e^{\gamma_\pi^*(0)(t-T)}.$$

However, if the σ_{ij} processes are not constant, then γ_π^* may not be constant, and the since value of $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ defined here depends on future values of X , it may not be progressively measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}^W . Accordingly, the option-pricing problem cannot be solved for nonconstant σ_{ij} . \square

In order to avoid the type of difficulty encountered in Example 6.1 with variable σ_{ij} , we assume henceforth that the stock price processes X_1, \dots, X_n are Markovian, and that the parameters δ_i and σ_{ij} , for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, are constant. Since the growth rates γ_i do not appear in (5.2), there is no need to assume that they are constant.

Remark 6.2. It is not necessary here to assume that the δ_i and σ_{ij} are constant, but only that they are non-random functions of time. However, in this more general setting the differential equation (5.2) could become intractable, so we prefer to leave this generalization for future work. \square

A (*European*) *option* is defined to have a specified payout at a given *expiration date* $T > 0$. The corresponding contingent claim function is defined to have a specified continuous terminal value function $\mathbf{V}(\cdot, T): (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. In this case, we can write (5.2) as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} X_i X_j \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i X_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_i} = 0, \quad (6.1)$$

for $t \in [0, T)$, which is a form of the *Kolmogorov backward equation* (see, e.g., Karatzas and Shreve (1991), Chapter 5, Equation (1.7)).

Example 6.3. The Black-Scholes model is a solution to the option-pricing problem for a (*European*) *call* option (Black and Scholes, 1973). A call option has terminal value $\mathbf{V}(x, T) = x \wedge K - K$, for $x \in (0, \infty)$, where the constant $K > 0$ is called the *strike price* of the call. Black and Scholes (1973) showed that \mathbf{V} follows the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 X^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}}{\partial X^2} + rX \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial X} - r\mathbf{V} = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

where the constant $r > 0$ is the *riskless interest rate*.

The solution of the differential equation (6.2) with the terminal value $\mathbf{V}(x, T) = x \wedge K - K$, for $x, K \in (0, \infty)$, was shown by Black and Scholes (1973) to be

$$\mathbf{V}(X(t), t) = N(z_1)X(t) - N(z_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)}, \quad (6.3)$$

with

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \left(\log(X(t)/K) + (r + \sigma^2/2)(T-t) \right) \\ z_2 = z_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}$$

where N is the normal cumulative distribution function,

$$N(z) \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-s^2/2} ds.$$

We see that the function \mathbf{V} defined by (6.3) is scalable, since a change of scale by $c > 0$ will change $X(t)$ to $cX(t)$ and K to cK , so $\mathbf{V}(cX(t), t) = c\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)$. Equation (6.2) is derived from (5.2), so \mathbf{V} is a replicable contingent claim function. \square

Let us consider the Black-Scholes model in our setting. For $n = 2$, we define the riskless asset to be a stock X_0 with constant capitalization $X_0 \equiv 1$ and constant dividend rate $r > 0$. We define a second stock X_1 that follows (1.1) with $\langle \log X_1 \rangle_t = \sigma^2 t$, $\sigma^2 > 0$, and $\delta_1(t) = 0$, for $t \in [0, T]$. Since $x \wedge K - K \notin (0, \infty)$ for $x \leq K$, we need to modify the terminal value to, e.g., $\mathbf{V}(x, T) = x \wedge K$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$, and then subtract K from the result. Since for $n = 2$,

$$\pi_0(t) = 1 - \pi_1(t), \quad \text{a.s.},$$

equation (3.2) implies that the last term in (6.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} rX_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_0} &= r\pi_0 \mathbf{V} \\ &= r(1 - \pi_1) \mathbf{V} \\ &= r\mathbf{V} - rX_1 \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us (6.2).

We can derive a multivariate version of (6.2) for the stock price processes X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n , for $n \geq 2$, if we let the dividend rate for X_0 be the riskless interest rate $r > 0$, and let the rest of the dividend rates be zero, with $\delta_i \equiv 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case (6.1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} X_i X_j \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} + r \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial X_i} - r\mathbf{V} = 0,$$

which is a multivariate version of (6.2) (see Carmona and Durrleman (2006) or Guillaume (2019)). Note that all versions of the Black-Scholes equation seem to include a riskless interest rate, whereas equation (5.2) of Corollary 5.4 has no such restriction.

A Appendix

Example A.1. Let us consider the L^p -norm of Example 4.7 in the simplest case, where $p = 1/2$ and

$$d \log X_i(t) = \gamma_i(t)dt + \sigma_i dW_i(t),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [0, T]$, with W a Brownian motion and constants $\sigma_i > 0$ and \mathcal{F}^W -progressively measurable processes γ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case (4.3) will be

$$D_t \mathbf{V}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 x_i^2 D_{ii} \mathbf{V}(x, t) = 0, \tag{A.1}$$

where $x \in (0, \infty)^n$, $t \in [0, T]$ and $\mathbf{V}: (0, \infty)^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{2,1}$. We wish to solve this equation with the condition

$$\mathbf{V}(x, T) = (x_1^{1/2} + \dots + x_n^{1/2})^2,$$

which corresponds to the $L^{1/2}$ -norm for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We can change variables, with

$$y_i = \log x_i + \sigma_i^2 t/2, \quad \text{or,} \quad x_i = e^{y_i} e^{-\sigma_i^2 t/2},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $U: \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$U(y, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, t)$$

will be $C^{2,1}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t \mathbf{V}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(\log x + \sigma^2 t/2, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 D_i U(y, t) + D_t U(y, t), \end{aligned}$$

and, for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$D_i \mathbf{V}(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} U(\log x + \sigma^2 t/2, t)$$

$$= x_i^{-1} D_i U(y, t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ii} \mathbf{V}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D_i \mathbf{V}(x, t) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_i^{-1} D_i U(y, t)) \\ &= -x_i^{-2} D_i U(y, t) + x_i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D_i U(\log x + t/2, t) \\ &= -x_i^{-2} D_i U(y, t) + x_i^{-2} D_{ii} U(y, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (A.1) becomes

$$D_t U(y, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 D_{ii} U(y, t) = 0, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and if we let

$$\tau = T - t \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}(y, \tau) = U(y, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, t),$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}}{\partial y_i^2}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which is a heat equation (see Evans (2010), Section 2.3).

We must solve (A.3) with the initial condition

$$\tilde{U}(y, 0) = \mathbf{V}(x, T) = (x_1^{1/2} + \dots + x_n^{1/2})^2 = (e^{y_1/2} e^{-\sigma_1^2 T/4} + \dots + e^{y_n/2} e^{-\sigma_n^2 T/4})^2.$$

The solution to this initial value problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(y, \tau) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\sigma_i^2 T/2}}{(2\pi\sigma_i^2\tau)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(y_i - z_i)^2 / 2\sigma_i^2\tau} e^{z_i} dz_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\sigma_i^2 T/4}}{(2\pi\sigma_i^2\tau)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(y_i - z_i)^2 / 2\sigma_i^2\tau} e^{z_i/2} dz_i \right) \left(\frac{e^{-\sigma_j^2 T/4}}{(2\pi\sigma_j^2\tau)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(y_j - z_j)^2 / 2\sigma_j^2\tau} e^{z_j/2} dz_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\sigma_i^2(T-\tau)/2} e^{y_i} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n e^{-\sigma_i^2\tau/8} e^{-\sigma_j^2\tau/8} (e^{-\sigma_i^2(T-\tau)/4} e^{y_i/2}) (e^{-\sigma_j^2(T-\tau)/4} e^{y_j/2}), \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tau \in [0, T]$ (see Evans (2010), Section 2.3, Theorem 1). With this we have

$$\mathbf{V}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n e^{\sigma_i^2(t-T)/8} e^{\sigma_j^2(t-T)/8} x_i^{1/2} x_j^{1/2},$$

which generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t) + e^{\sigma_i^2(t-T)/8} X_i^{1/2}(t) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n e^{\sigma_j^2(t-T)/8} X_j^{1/2}(t)}{\mathbf{V}(X(t), t)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since (A.1) is satisfied, the portfolio π satisfies (4.1), and \mathbf{V} is replicable. \square

References

- Black, F. and M. Scholes (1973). The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. *Journal of Political Economy* 81, 637–654.
- Carmona, R. and V. Durrleman (2006). Generalizing the Black–Scholes formula to multivariate contingent claims. *Journal of Computational Finance* 9(2), 43–67.
- Evans, L. C. (2010). *Partial Differential Equations* (Second ed.), Volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Providence: American Mathematical Society.
- Fernholz, E. R. (2002). *Stochastic Portfolio Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Fernholz, R. (1999). Portfolio generating functions. In M. Avellaneda (Ed.), *Quantitative Analysis in Financial Markets*, River Edge, NJ. World Scientific.
- Guillaume, T. (2019). On the multidimensional Black–Scholes partial differential equation. *Annals of Operations Research* 281, 229–251.
- Karatzas, I. and C. Kardaras (2021). *Portfolio Theory and Arbitrage: A Course in Mathematical Finance*. AMS.
- Karatzas, I. and J. Ruf (2017). Trading strategies generated by Lyapunov functions. *Finance and Stochastics* 21, 753–787.
- Karatzas, I. and S. E. Shreve (1991). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.
- Strong, W. (2014). Generalizations of functionally generated portfolios with applications to statistical arbitrage. *SIAM J. Financial Math.* 5, 472–492.