

# Unveiling the Collaborative Patterns of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Human Resource Management: A Social Network Analysis Approach

Mehrdad Maghsoudi <sup>a</sup>, Motahareh Kamrani Shahri <sup>b</sup>, Mehrdad Agha Mohammadali Kermani <sup>b,\*</sup>, Rahim Khanizad <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Faculty of Management and Accounting, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>b</sup> *Faculty of economy and management, Iran university of science and technology, Tehran, Iran*

## Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into human resource management (HRM) strategies has become increasingly common due to technological advancements. This has spurred a new field of research focused on evaluating the impact of AI adoption on business and individual outcomes, as well as how to evaluate AI-enabled HRM practices. However, there is limited cross-disciplinary research in this area, causing a fragmented body of knowledge. To address this issue, social network analysis has been recognized as a tool for analyzing and researching large-scale social phenomena in HRM. The study of scientific co-authorship networks is one application of social network analysis that can help identify the main components and trends in this field.

Using social network analysis indicators, the current study examined the AI&HRM co-authorship network, which consists of 43,789 members and 81,891 scientific collaborations. The study analyzed articles related to AI&HRM published between 2000 and 2023 extracted from the WOS citation database. Through centrality measures, the most important members of the "AI&HRM" co-authorship network were identified using the TOPSIS method, which identified twenty prominent researchers in this field. The study also examined the keywords "AI&HRM" and the scientific cooperation network of nations, universities, and communities. Overall, this study highlights the importance of cross-disciplinary research and social network analysis in understanding the implications of AI adoption in HRM.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, Human resource management, Co-Authorship Analysis, Social Network Analysis, Centrality Measures

---

\* Corresponding author. e-mail: m\_kermani@iust.ac.ir.

## 1. Introduction

With the help of data and artificial intelligence, more comprehensive information and technologically advanced movement and innovation are now at the forefront decision sions makers today's minds. Artificial intelligence (AI) is not something new, but it has attracted a lot of attention in recent years(Ransbotham et al., 2018). According to (Tambe et al., 2019), AI encompasses a wide range of technologies that empower a computer to execute tasks that typically necessitate human cognition, such as adaptive decision making.

A study conducted by (Alsheibani et al., 2020) suggests that organizations that implement AI applications can reap benefits in terms of improved organizational value, such as increased revenue, cost-effectiveness, and productivity. However, the integration of AI technology also introduces a new set of challenges and barriers to organizational operations as indicated by (Duan et al., 2019)

Human resources analytics began as a small administrative endeavor and has gradually evolved into advanced diagnostic and predictive capabilities (Edwards, 2018) capable of improving employee engagement and retention and generating benefits for the entire organization through digitally-enabled analytics solutions(Deloitte, 2017). In terms of HRM practices, this means that a number of activities (such as hiring employees, managing performance, setting compensation and benefits, and providing training and development) could be automated to a significant degree in the near future. The term digital HR refers to the digital transformation currently affecting the human resources industry as a whole, driven largely by advances in data collection and analysis and various technologies.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has the ability to make decisions in real time based on pre-installed algorithms and computing technologies constructed based on data analysis to learn and acclimate automatically to offer more refined responses to situations Human resource management (HRM) that incorporates both the human element and the use of AI applications human resource management (HRM) can provide a better experience for an organization's employees(Parent-Rocheleau & Parker, 2022). (Agrawal et al., 2017) acknowledge that the literature on AI-HRM still lacks a profound comprehension of how AI and its related technologies can offer effective solutions for HRM and its sub-functions, along with how AI-enabled HRM functions can be integrated with other operational tasks to attain improved employee outcomes. Meanwhile, (Chowdhury et al., 2023) addressed this issue by selecting eight varied definitions of AI from various disciplines Table 1 to establish a more comprehensive grasp of AI in the HRM context .

(Bersin & Chamorro-Premuzic, 2019) argue that, despite the limited knowledge available from AI-HRM, there is a growing body of evidence that current developments in automation technologies offer remarkable benefits for HRM. It is important to note that AI is not there to replace managers, but rather to support HRM decisions (Wirtky et al., 2016), such as assessing applicants, managing employee performance, succession management and career development, customizing internal communications, and evaluating opinions and comments available online.

**Table 1** *AI definitions*

<b>Citation</b>	<b>Definition</b>
(Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020)	<b>A system's ability to correctly interpret external data, to learn from such data, and to use those learnings to achieve specific goals and tasks through flexible adaptation</b>
(van Esch et al., 2021)	<b>An intelligent agent (e.g., a device) is capable of distinguishing between different environments and taking a course of action to increase the success of achieving predetermined objectives</b>
(van Esch et al., 2021)	<b>In the business context, AI refers to the development of intelligent machines or computerized systems that can learn, react and perform activities like humans for a range of tasks</b>
(Makarius et al., 2020)	<b>AI involves a system's capability to correctly interpret external data, to learn from such data, and to use those learnings to achieve specific goals and tasks through flexible adaptation.</b>
(Schmidt et al., 2020)	<b>the endeavor to mimic cognitive and human capabilities on computers is also what defines AI.</b>
(Wamba-Taguimdje et al., 2020)	<b>AI is a general term that involves the use of computers to model intelligent behavior with minimal human intervention.</b>
(Mikalef & Gupta, 2021)	<b>AI is the ability of a system to identify, interpret, make inferences, and learn from data to achieve predetermined organizational and societal goals</b>
(Dwivedi et al., 2021)	<b>AI is contributing to the increasing capability of machines to perform specific roles and tasks currently executed by humans within the workplace and society in general.</b>

The concept of AI&HRM has been widely studied and researched, with a multitude of articles published on the subject. Despite this, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that provide an overview of research trends in AI&HRM, focusing on the challenges to be expected and the potential improvements that can be made (Agrawal et al., 2017). In this paper, we build on AI&HRM-based articles to provide a global perspective on research in this area.

Our aim is to use social network analysis (SNA) to analyze AI&HRM research and gain a better understanding of productivity, trends, performance, community structures, and collaboration patterns between various research areas. By examining contact patterns and identifying heavily interconnected units of analysis, we can provide valuable insights into the relationships among entities(Kan, 2009).

The analysis of relationships among various groups, including individuals, organizations, communities, and companies, is crucial for understanding social networks. Social Network Analysis (SNA) is an effective tool for such analyses and aids in recognizing key individuals within social networks (Saracoglu, 2022). This study aims to leverage SNA to comprehend the influence of network connections on the behavior, attitudes, and performance of groups and individuals. Collaborative research is also promoted in the AI&HRM field by studying author collaboration and identifying the most influential authors and their degree of participation. By dividing research workloads, sharing expertise, equipment, and resources, collaborative research can overcome individual research limitations. Furthermore, identifying notable institutions and countries that have contributed to AI&HRM research helps to facilitate international cooperation. In conclusion, this paper provides vital insights into promising and uninteresting AI&HRM research avenues while also pinpointing areas with potential for further study, contributing to the advancement of the field.

This study presents several methodological innovations, as stated in the following:

- According to new research, analyzing the co-authorship between researchers can provide valuable insights on the most influential authors and their collaborative efforts in the field of AI&HRM. By expanding their network and collaborating with researchers from different institutions, scientists can potentially enhance the quality of their research in this field. Collaboration enables researchers to share the workload, expertise, skills, equipment, and resources, which can help overcome personal limitations in their research.
- This study represents the first attempt to examine the co-authorship network between human resources and artificial intelligence researchers. Additionally, this research makes use of the TOPSIS technique to aggregate criteria related to centrality and identify the most important and central authors in this network.


The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. The rest of the article follows a standard format: a literature review is discussed in the following section, the methodology is outlined in Section 3, and Section 4 examines the gathered data. The subsequent section, Section 5, elaborates on the results. Finally, Section 6 discusses the findings and draws conclusions.

## **2. literature review**

Co-authorship network analysis has increased its use of social network analysis methods. This scientific issue arises from the fact that researchers investigate the current trends in their area of expertise and identify well-known subjects and authors. The SNA technique enables researchers to analyze scientific collaboration and forecast future trends (Molontay & Nagy, 2021).

### **2.1. AI**

There is little agreement exists in the AI community about what exactly AI is and what it should be. We all agree that we would like to endow machines with a property that we cannot really define. Needless to say, AI suffers from a lack of definition of its scope (Schank, 1987). Artificial intelligence is more historical than science and philosophy (Collins et al., 2021). The artificial intelligence timeline, which is depicted in Figure 1, the history of Artificial Intelligence (AI) dates back to the Enlightenment period through the inventions of early mechanical devices such as Blaise Pascal's 'Pascaline' calculating machine (1642), Jacques de Vaucanson's 'Digesting Duck' (1739) and mechanical loom (1740), and Joseph Jacquard's punched card program (1802). The pioneering contributions of Charles Babbage, Ada Lovelace (1837), and Alan Turing (1950) further fueled the development of AI. The term AI, however, was officially coined in 1956 at a conference organized by Dartmouth College in Hanover, New Hampshire. Subsequently, AI gained considerable attention and investment as it was seen as a promising solution for complex problems in mathematics and physics (Lanvin & Monteiro, 2020). However, the development of AI has been slow and challenging due to its complex nature and limitations in technology. Despite these challenges, AI has continued to evolve and gain significant momentum, with the potential to revolutionize various industries and fields.




**Figure 1** The AI Timeline (Lanvin & Monteiro, 2020)

The first paradigm of AI was the ability to think in multiple steps and understand the meaning of natural language, which is called weak AI (Hasan et al., 2021). This general human-like intelligence was referred to as strong AI (Kurzweil, 2005). Distinguishes between rule-based decision making, in which machines strictly follow rules set by developers, and rule-based decision making, in which machines follow rules that have not been strictly set for them. Rule-based decision making corresponds to weak AI (Norvig & Intelligence, 2002), while rule-based decision making is an attempt that tends to strong AI (Wolfe, 1991). Applications of artificial intelligence (AI) systems are widespread due to their domain-independent properties (Leo Kumar, 2017). With AI we can understand failure modes and failure mitigation techniques (Freeman, 2020). Nowadays AI is more

trending than in the past, and there are many studies on it. (Mikalef & Gupta, 2021) explores the relationship between AI capability and organizational creativity and performance.

The second paradigm's conclusion consists of four sections, as described by (Schank, 1991). In the first section, the concept of AI as "magic bullets" is presented. This suggests that if a machine can connect things efficiently without explicitly representing anything, intelligence can emerge as a byproduct of unexpected connections. The second section tackles the issue of AI being interpreted as inference machines which led businesses to expect AI-like features from every program. The third section emphasizes that making a machine perform something beyond what was originally thought possible can be seen as AI. However, the human tendency to conflate a machine's intelligence with human intelligence is a severe mistake. And while the initial AI prototypes may appear challenging to implement, they ultimately rely on a software development approach. Finally, the fourth section introduces machine learning as the basis for true intelligence. (Schank, 1991)claims that true AI requires a self-improving machine that learns from its experiences and evolves over time. There is missing world between machines and human that show in Figure 2 (Daugherty & Wilson 2018).




**Figure 2** The missing middle of hybrid activities between humans and AI (Lanvin & Monteiro, 2020)

According to various sources, including a report by (Bughin & Van Zeebroeck, 2018), the availability of big data and the emergence of IoT (Internet of Things) have enabled the implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) in the past decade. With these technological advancements, more data can be collected, and AI algorithms can use this data to learn and improve their decision-making capabilities. This has led to the development of various AI applications, such as chatbots, recommendation systems, and predictive analytics tools, that have transformed how businesses operate and interact with their customers.

AI is the ability of a system to identify, interpret, make inferences, and learn from data to achieve predetermined organizational and societal goals (Maghsoudi & Nezafati, 2023; Mikalef & Gupta, 2021).

Artificial intelligence is conceptually broad and includes machine learning and deep learning, which is specifically shown in Figure 3.



**Figure 3** AI vs ML (Joshi & Joshi, 2020)

According to (Joshi & Joshi, 2020), the behavior of machine learning (ML) is learned based on three critical factors: (1) the data consumed by the program, (2) a metric that quantifies the error or the distance between the current and ideal behaviors, and (3) a feedback mechanism that guides the program to improve subsequent events. Moreover, AI applications require the support of various ML techniques described in prior research. Separating the applications and techniques is crucial to avoid confusion, given that there is a significant overlap between them. Although some techniques are exclusive to certain applications, many applications can benefit from several different techniques, thus generating a many-to-many relationship between them. Accomplishing consistent answers for these issues and conveying them to a huge number of individuals makes the impression of man-made consciousness .

## **2.2. HRM**


In the United States, human resource management emerged in the 1900s, especially in large corporations (Kaufman & Miller, 2011). The nature of Human Resource Management (HRM) remains a contested concept, as evidenced by various studies. (Teo, 2002) study focuses on the effectiveness of the HR department. On the other hand, (Hitt et al., 2001) examine the value of HR in terms of knowledge, skills, and competencies. Meanwhile, (Cappelli & Neumark, 2001) define HRM as systems or bundles of practices.

Furthermore, some research acknowledges the impact of these practices or systems on employee behavior, such as motivation, job satisfaction, and attendance, as well as on human capital value, in terms of knowledge, skills, and abilities (Paauwe & Boselie, 2005). These varying perspectives contribute to the ongoing discourse on the true nature of HRM in contemporary organizations.

HR is a product of the human relations movement of the early 20th century when researchers began documenting ways to create business value through the strategic management of the workforce. Originally, the function was dominated by transactional work, such as managing payroll and

benefits, but due to globalization, corporate consolidation, technological advances, and further research, HR now focuses on strategic initiatives such as mergers and acquisitions, talent management, succession planning, labor relations, and diversity and inclusion (Rihan, 1998). (Assets et al., 1984) define HRM as, “all management decisions that affect the relationship between organizations and employees – its humans”

Human resources are widely regarded as one of the most important assets of any company, and the successful management of this asset is consequently considered a crucial task of management (Gratton et al., 1999), perspectives on how people or HR analytics can be defined (Margherita, 2022). HR analytics is a fact-based approach to driving people-related decisions and actions. Most HRM research assumes that organizations are either permanent or at least long-lasting. Instead, it focuses only on one aspect of the temporary organization, temporary employment (Samimi & Sydow, 2021). Some of the work of HR is used to make decisions about hiring, promotions, and salaries. HRM has 6 functions (Bankins et al., 2022) : recruitment (Lievens & Chapman, 2010), training (Edralin, 2004) , rewarding (Afrazeh & Zarinozv, 2010), restriction (Duggan et al., 2020), replacement (Vrontis et al., 2022) and promotion *Error! Reference source not found.* (Bush, 2020).



**Figure 4** HRM functions

According to (Alfes et al., 2017), Human Resource (HR) practices that enhance the skills of volunteers are those that equip them with the relevant competencies and abilities needed to complete tasks successfully. To achieve this, nonprofit organizations incorporate skill-building HR practices such as recruitment, selection, training, and development of volunteers. Such skill enhancement practices guarantee that volunteer workers possess the necessary knowledge, capabilities, and skills




that align with the organization's mission to foster their values and culture(Alfes et al., 2017) emphasize the significance of skill-building HR practices in the nonprofit sector, as they enable organizations to attract, retain and motivate volunteers while delivering on their mandate.

Human resource management focuses on people in organizations. In addition, good human resource practices create more satisfied employees, who in turn work harder to satisfy customers(Bamberger et al., 2014).

### **2.3. AI in HRM**

Humans and machines with artificial intelligence will present a complex cooperative relationship of competition and collaboration(Ma & Wang, 2020). The field of Human Resource Management (HRM) covers six main topic areas, as stated by the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). These include business management and strategy, workforce planning and employment, human resource development, compensation and benefits, employee and labor relations, and risk management. Each of these areas plays a significant role in managing an organization's human capital to achieve the company's goals and objectives. Business management and strategy encompass strategic planning, organizational development, and change management initiatives. Workforce planning and employment relate to the processes of recruitment, selection, and retention of employees. Human resource development deals with training and development programs aimed at enhancing employee skills and competencies. Compensation and benefits involve the design and implementation of reward programs for employees. Employee and labor relations address issues such as employee engagement, communication, and workplace behavior, while risk management encompasses the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks related to HR policies and practices. Understanding and effectively managing these six areas of HRM is critical for an organization's success.AI can improve 6 function of HRM: AI in the recruitment process performs processes such as filtering and preselection, AI technologies can try to guide human resource managers in identifying and matching candidates suitable for job requirements (Kambur & Akar, 2021).

Type and scope of AI applications used in the three main areas of HRM: Acquisition, Development, and Retention HR, are showed in Error! Reference source not found. (Malik et al., 2022).



**Figure 5** AI and strategic HRM practice choice menus (Malik et al., 2022)

AI could also significantly improve the efficiency and quality of HR in general through the use of chatbots (Strohmeier & Piazza, 2015), which is a common application of AI technology in HR today.

Table 2 summarize how HRM in the majority of public sector organizations is likely to be affected by AI now or in the future. (Johnson et al., 2022).

**Table 2** *HRM and AI*

<b>HR tasks</b>	<b>POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF AI-ENABLED TOOLS</b>
<b>Talent Acquisition</b>	Increased processing capacity and more precise candidate evaluation result from expanding the applicant pool
<b>Employee Development</b>	Individualized training and onboarding that can be provided right away
<b>Performance Management</b>	A comprehensive assessment of employee performance, compared to other employees and based on a variety of factors
<b>Compensation</b>	Compensation is tracked automatically across numerous data sources.
<b>Turnover And Retention</b>	Incentives and awareness of potential departures, delivered strategically

### 2.3. social network analysis (SNA)

Social network analysis (SNA) was first introduced by Stanley Milgram in the 1960s with his famous "small world" experiment and later popularized by the "six degrees of Kevin Bacon" game in the 1990s (HabibAgahi et al., 2022). SNA has since been employed in various fields of study, such as healthcare to monitor and map the spread of contagious diseases (Stattner & Vidot, 2011), national security to analyze terrorist networks, and digital marketing to enhance targeted advertising strategies (Anggadwita & Martini, 2020). It has also been widely used for predicting electoral outcomes and voter classification (Gaurav et al., 2013). Similarly, co-authorship networks can be analyzed using SNA to investigate research collaborations within a scientific community (Maghsoudi, Shokouhyar, Ataei, et al., 2023). SNA has important aspect like examines and tests ideas using structural or relational information that distinguishes from other approach (Kermani et al., 2022; Kermani et al., 2016), unlike traditional research, SNA focuses on interactions between things rather than relationships between variables (Gönçer-Demiral & İnce-Yenilmez, 2022). With SNA we can compute the structural properties of nodes, groups or the whole network, it deals with the properties of edges between nodes (Maghsoudi, Shokouhyar, Khanizadeh, et al., 2023).

Social network analysis (SNA) is a valuable tool for identifying key actors, roles, and strategies that impact network structure and collective and individual outputs (da Fonseca, 2021; Kermani et al., 2022). Using SNA, researchers can analyze and visualize collaboration networks and subject clusters in any field (Zohdi et al., 2022). Macro- and micro-level indicators can be utilized for network visualization in SNA. Macro-level indicators such as "density" and "clustering coefficient" (CC) help to describe the general characteristics of the network and analyze it at the macro level (Zare-Farashbandi et al., 2014) In SNA, a social network can be illustrated as a graph composed of nodes and edges, where the nodes represent individuals/entities, and the edges represent the relations or interactions they have with one another (Bedi & Sharma, 2016).

Increased processing capacity and more precise candidate evaluation result from expanding the applicant pool (Bhattacharya, 2019).

Table 3 (HabibAgahi et al., 2022) define some indicators of centrality including Degree, Closeness, and Betweenness centralities.

#### **Degree**

The degree of a node is the number of links that involve that node, which is the cardinality of  $i$ 's neighborhood (Jalilvand Khosravi et al., 2022). A serious level of centrality can be viewed as dynamic individuals and have a bigger number of partnerships with different hubs in the organization (Bakkalbasi & Krichel, 2006).

#### **Closeness**

By taking the average of the shortest path length to every other node in the network, closeness centrality determines how close a node is to other nodes in the network (Maghsoudi, Jalilvand Khosravi, et al., 2023; Salamati & Soheili, 2016). Thus, an author with a high closeness centrality has shorter connections to other authors in the network. This suggests that the closer an author is to

other authors, the more popular they are. The closer an author is to other authors in the network, the more popular (Golbeck, 2013).

### **Betweenness centrality**

The betweenness centrality of a node measures the frequency at which it appears along the shortest path between any two nodes in a network (Perez & Germon, 2016). Nodes with high betweenness centrality often act as intermediaries or bridges, playing a crucial role in managing the flow of information and content transfer in the network.

### **Eigenvector**

Studies have proposed that the eigenvector of the highest eigenvalue of an adjacency matrix can serve as an effective measure of network centrality (Maghsoudi & Shumaly). The concept of eigenvector centrality differs from that of degree centrality, which assigns equal weights to each contact, by weighting the centrality of each contact according to its respective importance. In addition, eigenvector centrality can be regarded as a weighted summation of both direct and indirect connections of various lengths (Bonacich, 2007).

### **Density**

The density of a network consisting of  $n$  nodes refers to the ratio of its total number of edges ( $m$ ) connecting these nodes to the maximum number of potential edges in the network. Density, as defined by (Friedkin, 1981), provides a metric of the proportion of feasible connections between nodes within a network that are currently established.

### **Community Detection**

Community detection is a fundamental step in Social Network Analysis (SNA) for comprehending the structure and functionality of networks. A community is a structural component that represents the interaction between nodes, and the detection process involves initially predicting links between nodes to generate communities. Through community detection, related nodes are extracted and placed into specific groups, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the network's composition and behavior (Sutaria et al., 2015).

**Giant Component:** a connected part of a network that has most of the network's nodes in it. The network's cohesiveness or separation can be determined by the size of giant components. Scientific flows spread more quickly throughout the network when there is a large, massive component present.

**Size:** number of the network's nodes.

**shortest path:** Minimum number of edges that connect two nodes.

**Table 3 SNA Measures**

Centrality measure	Description	Formula
<b>DEGREE</b>	The number of links involving that node	$C_d(N_i) = \sum_{l=1}^n a(n_i, N_j)$
<b>Closeness</b>	The standard deviation of the length of the shortest path from one node to all other nodes	$C_c(j) = \frac{N - 1}{\sum_{i=1}^N D(j, i)}$
<b>Betweenness</b>	The number of times a node is found along the shortest possible path to other nodes.	$C_B(i) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{v_s, t \in V} \frac{N_{s,t}^i}{G_{s,t}}$
<b>Eigenvector</b>	Sum of the centrality values of the nodes To which it is connected	$X_j = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{l=1}^N A_{l,j} X_l$

## 2.4. Associated works

According to (Henneberg et al., 2009), one of the key features of Social Network Analysis (SNA) is its ability to identify the academic community of Human Resource Management (HRM) and compare it to two other similar communities. Through SNA, researchers can identify groups that work closely together and interact regularly, providing insight into the underlying structure of the network.

Furthermore, the study highlights the impressive cumulative growth of the main component, which has expanded from six actors in 1990 to 624 actors in 2005. Such findings demonstrate the potential of SNA in comprehensively examining large-scale networks and the progressive growth of the HRM academic community.

In a study conducted by (Afrazeh & Zarinozv, 2010), the authors utilized Social Network Analysis (SNA) techniques in tandem with analysis of factors influencing human resource productivity to encourage Knowledge Sharing (KS) in the Supply Chain (SC). The authors observed that the implementation of Just-in-Time (JIT) Knowledge Sharing within the supply chain resulted in increased collaboration between partners, leading to higher efficiency in performing work tasks and ultimately creating greater value.

According to (Hyun et al., 2015), Social Network Analysis (SNA) can be utilized to analyze the flow trends of a particular network. By applying the author co-citation analysis (ACA) technique to four major journals of Human Resource Development (HRD) in South Korea, the researchers identified seven topical clusters within the network. Results showed that 'competency' emerged as a keyword in some of these clusters, highlighting its significance in HRD research. Additionally, ACA proved to be a useful tool in determining key HRD research trends and future needs.

(García-Lillo et al., 2017) conducted a study examining the intellectual structure of the human resource management (HRM) domain using author citation and co-citation analyses (ACAs) in


conjunction with network analysis applied to reference lists of research papers published in The International Journal of Human Resource Management from 2000 to 2012. Through these analyses, the authors identified distinct research frameworks that shape HRM research thinking. Similarly, (Gloor et al., 2017) utilized these methods to identify managers who have left their duties by collecting 18 months of e-mails from 866 managers and analyzing their communication patterns. The authors computed social network metrics to compare differences in communication patterns. They find supervisors before they leave, the circle back in their correspondence conduct, as they are more focal in the correspondence network than their partners, they pivot their jobs all the more often, and others should be pushed (pinged) more to get a reaction. To investigate patterns of human resource development (HRD) scholars' collaboration networks (Chae et al., 2020) analyzed four major HRD journals from 1990 to 2014, this study applied the exponential random graph model (ERGM). As a result in **Table 4**, the observed co-authorship network showed a high density of collaboration that has small-world onto logical characteristics. It indicates that the overall performance network can be used to understand a cooperative network at the network level.

**Table 4** *related work*

Researchers	Year	Case study	Using SNA	SNA indicators used
Henneberg et al.	2009	journal publications between 1995 and 2005	yes	Cliques, Closeness centrality
Abbas Afrazeh et al.	2010	supply chain	yes	Centrality, Density, Cohesion,
Young-Sup Hyun et al.	2015	four primary journals of HRD	yes	Clustering, Betweenness centrality
Francisco García et al.	2016	The International Journal of Human Resource Management	no	-
Peter A. Gloor et al.	2017	communication behavior of 866 managers	yes	Complexity, Closeness, Betweenness, degree
Chungil Chae et al.	2019	HRD journals from 1990 to 2014	yes	Cluster Coefficient, Diameter, Density


### 3. Methodology

This research article discusses the co authorship in field of AI & HR. First of all, we collected all articles whose title or keyword contains the word "AI & HR" is collected from the Web of Science (WOS) database. In the most article used the Web of Science as s Core Collection and one of the most reputable sources for indexing scientific research that is used in academia(Li & Liu, 2020). In Figure 6 we show methodology and steps of this research .



**Figure 6** Methodological Framework

In data preprocessing we create a dataset for the analysis of the co-authorship network for the authors, universities, countries, and keywords. Figure 7 show how this dataset create, when two authors collaborate on an article, a link is established between them, and when these two authors collaborate on more than one article, the final weight of their links increase.



**Figure 7** Network formation rules

The formation of a co-authorship network at the authors, universities, countries, and keywords levels is the goal of the network formation phase. Gephi software is used to create and illustrate the networks in this step, which is based on the datasets created in the previous step. Gephi software is one of the most widely used tools for social network visualization and analysis is (Zohdi et al., 2022). This step gets us ready for the analysis of the created networks.

The analysis of the constructed networks is the fourth step. In this analysis, centrality measures like the Eigenvector, Degree, Closeness, and Betweenness and the use of community detection.

## **TOPSIS**

A technique for determining the best options based on a variety of factors is called multiple-criteria decision-making (MCDM). Multiple-attribute decision-making (MADM) and multiple-objective decision-making (MODM) are two names for this approach, which was presented by Hwang and Yoon in 1981 (Kermani et al., 2016). The steps that make up the TOPSIS method are as follows:

**Step 1:** create a decision matrix  $(D_{ij})_{p \times q}$  with  $p$  rows as options (nodes) and  $q$  columns as criteria.



**Step 2:** The dimensionless matrix  $L = (l_{ij})_{p \times q}$  is created by normalizing the decision matrix:

$$l_{ij} = \frac{D_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p D_{ij}^2}}$$

**Step 3:** Each criterion's relative importance is shown by a weighted matrix T.

Calculate T as follows:

$$T = (t_{ij})_{p \times q} = (w_j l_{ij})_{p \times q} \quad \forall i, j$$

**Step 4:** The worst solution  $t_j^-$  and the best solution  $t_j^+$  are defined as follows:

$$t_j^+ = \{(Max\ t_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \forall j \in J_+), (Min\ t_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \forall j \in J_-)\}$$

$$t_j^- = \{(Max\ t_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \forall j \in J_-), (Min\ t_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \forall j \in J_+)\}$$

$J^+$  represents the set of benefit criteria and  $J^-$  represents the set of cost criteria. A set of criteria,  $J^+$ , is aimed to be greater, while a set of criteria,  $J^-$ , is aimed to be less.

**Step 5:** Distance all alternatives (options) and the best solution  $t_j^+$  is calculated as follows:

$$S_i^+ = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^q (t_{ij} - t_j^+)^2}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Also, the distance between the whole alternatives and the worst defined solution  $t_j^-$  is calculated as follows:

$$S_i^- = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^q (t_{ij} - t_j^-)^2}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$


**Step 6:** Defining the comparative closeness to the best solution as follows:

$$C_i = \frac{S_i^-}{S_i^- + S_i^+}$$

**Step 7:** Alternatives are ranked according to  $C_i$

#### 4. Data gathering

The study collected a significant amount of data on AI&HRM research. The initial search yielded 20,061 articles that contained AI&HRM in the title, abstract, or keyword. The research team then analyzed the distribution of the articles according to the year they were published and presented the findings in Figure 8. The data reveals that the number of articles on AI&HRM has consistently increased over the years, demonstrating the growing interest among researchers in the subject.



**Figure 8** Year of publication

Furthermore, Table 5 provides insight into the diverse range of data collected. It notes that the authors collected over 20,000 articles featuring a combination of relevant keywords, including "artificial intelligence," "human resource management," "deep learning," "machine learning," "data mining," and "human resource," from the Web of Science database. These articles were published between 2000 and 2023, covering significant ground and presenting a comprehensive overview of the field.

*Table 5* Data diversity

<b>Data diversity</b>	<b>number</b>
<b>Article</b>	<b>17,931</b>
<b>Book Chapter</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Proceedings Paper</b>	<b>1,737</b>
<b>Retracted Publication</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Review</b>	<b>344</b>


## 5. Result

### 5.1. Authors Network

Identifying the key members of the co-authorship network is a critical aspect of the analysis, as it highlights their significant contribution to scientific production and research collaboration within the network. As per (HabibAgahi et al., 2022), these members play a crucial role in the network's overall research endeavors.

A knowledge domain map for the AI&HRM research co-authorship network is shown in **Error! Reference source not found.**. The size of each node, which represents an author, indicates the number of publications coauthored. The links are a representation of the collaborations that take place between the nodes; more extensive links signify closer collaborations between authors (Tan et al., 2021).

Based on **Figure 9**, which represents the co-authorship network among authors in AI & HRM, it can be seen that there are 43,789 nodes interconnected by 81,891 edges. It can be inferred from this network of co-authorship relationships that each individual node has an average degree of 3.74, reflecting the number of connections each author has in the network. The size of the nodes corresponds to the number of connections that a contributor has, and the thickness of the links indicates a higher frequency of research collaborations between authors.



**Figure 9** HRM & AI Co-authorship Network

By using the centrality measure, the collaborative practices of individual authors were evaluated, and those with the highest popularity, centrality, and prominence were identified in the co-authorship network in the field of HRM&AI. The degree of centrality was used to identify the authors with the most connections to other authors in the network, and Table 6 highlights the authors with the highest centrality.

Pedrycz Witold emerged as the author with the highest degree of co-authorship with others in the field of HRM&AI, followed by Chen, C.L. Philip, and Herrera Francisco. These authors were considered to be the most influential and active collaborators in the network, as they had the highest degree and the most connections to other authors in the field of HRM&AI.

**Table 6** depicts the authors who collaborated the most central authors on publications related to HRM & AI. This can be used to gauge the level of trust and the degree to which authors collaborate with one another. Pedrycz Witold and Chen, C.L.Philip had the strongest connections, indicating that they worked together most frequently on these publications.

By using the centrality measure, the collaborative practices of individual authors were evaluated, and those with the highest popularity, centrality, and prominence were identified in the co-authorship network in the field of HRM&AI. The degree of centrality was used to identify the authors with the most connections to other authors in the network, and Table 6 highlights the authors with the highest centrality.

Pedrycz Witold emerged as the author with the highest degree of co-authorship with others in the field of HRM&AI, followed by Chen, C.L. Philip, and Herrera Francisco. These authors were considered to be the most influential and active collaborators in the network, as they had the highest degree and the most connections to other authors in the field of HRM&AI.

**Table 6** Most Central Authors

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Degree</b>	<b>Closeness</b>	<b>Betweenness</b>	<b>Eigenvector</b>
1	Pedrycz Witold	Chang, Wb	Pedrycz Witold	Pedrycz Witold
2	Chen, C.L.Philip	Juang, Jg	Chen, C.L.Philip	Chen, C.L.Philip
3	Herrera Francisco	Chang, Kia-Yi	Wang, Wei	Wang, Wei
4	Wang, Wei	Tai, Wei-Shen	Herrera Francisco	He, Wei
5	Herrera-Viedma, Enrique	Chang, HH	Yao, Xin	Liu, Yang
6	Liu, Yang	Yalcin, Haydar	Yang, Jie	Yang, Chenguang
7	Wang, Jing	Aldhaban, Fahad	Liu, Yang	Su, Chun-Yi
8	He, Wei	Basoglu, Nuri	He, Wei	Herrera-Viedma, Enrique
9	Shi, Peng	Harmon, Robert	Martinez, Luis	Shi, Peng
10	Wang, Zidong	Cirovic, Dragana	Ding, Weiping	Wang, Shitong
11	Nie, Feiping	Melovic, Boban	Wang, Zidong	Shi, Peng

12	Li, Tianrui	Veljkovic, Slavica Mitrovic	Wang, Yu	Wang, Zidong
13	Li, Yan	Vulic, Tamara Backovic	Shi, Peng	Lin, Jerry Chun-Wei
14	Wang, Shitong	Alassaf, Deemah	Yang, Bo	Herrera, Francisco
15	Wang, Jun	Shifrer, Dara	Kaynak, Okyay	Wang, Shitong
16	Li, Hui	Yucelbas, Cuneyt	Hu, Qinghua	Chen, Long
17	Wang, Hao	Ahrens, Diane	Chen, Hao	Wu, Min
18	Jiao, Licheng	Wohlrab, Lars	Li, Tianrui	Wang, Yu
19	Wang, Yu	Smirnov, Evgueni N.	Yang, Chenguang	Yun, Unil
20	Hong, Tzung-Pei	Sprinkhuizen-Kuyper, Ida G.	Wang, Jun	Wang, Jun

As per social network analysis, when an author has the highest centrality of betweenness, it typically means they serve as a bridge connecting two or more substantial groups of authors within the network. This indicates that the author plays a crucial role in facilitating the flow of information or collaboration between otherwise disconnected groups. If the betweenness centrality of the network is low, the connections in the network are cut off. Pedrycz Witold had the highest betweenness centrality, indicating that he was a broker or mediator in the AI&HRM co-authorship network. Chen, C.L. Phillip, Wang, Wei, and Herrera Francisco came in second and third, respectively.

Chang, Wb was the author in the AI & HRM co-authorship network with the highest closeness centrality, indicating that he has the greatest influence over the entire network, if an article introduces a new artificial intelligence topic or application (Reza HabibAgahi et al., 2022), other authors will sooner publish it and use it as a model. Chang, Kia-Yi, Juang, Jg, and Tai, Wei-Shen, followed.

In the AI & HRM co-authorship network, Pedrycz Witold served as a mediator. He only had a few connections to the other authors. Pedrycz Witold had the highest eigenvector centrality in Table 8 indicating that he was the most influential and significant member of the network, it means that he had scientific cooperation with more influential and important people.

It is difficult to select the most significant and influential nodes due to the numerous measures and outcomes. As a result in Table 7, it is preferable to combine the centrality measures using TOPSIS, one of the multi attribute decision making methods(HabibAgahi et al., 2022).

Table 8 20 effective authors

Rank	Author	Institute	Country	Field of study
1	Pedrycz, Witold	University Of Alberta	Canada	Faculty Of Engineering - Electrical & Computer Engineering Dept.
2	Chen, C. L. Philip	South China University Of Technology	China	Computational Intelligence Intelligent Control Cybernetics, Intelligent Transportation Systems, Data Science Data Mining, Machine Learning, Big Data Analytics, Bioinformatics And
3	Wang, Wei	UCLA	USA	Computational Biology Computational Medicine
4	Herrera, Francisco	Granada University	Spain	Artificial Intelligence, Computational Intelligence, Data Mining, Evolutionary Algorithms, Big Data Analytics
5	He, Wei	Purdue University Northwest	Indian	Strategic Inter-Firm Relationship Management, Global Innovation And Knowledge Management
6	Liu, Yang	Nanyang Technological University	Singapore	Cybersecurity, Software Engineering And Artificial Intelligence
7	Yang, Jie	Delft University Of Technology	Netherlands	Human-Centered AI, Crowd Computing, Human-Centered Computing, Human Language Technologies, Recommender Systems
8	Yao, Xin	University Of Birmingham	England	Evolutionary Computation, Neural Network Ensembles, And Multiple Classifiers,
9	Shi, Peng	The University Of Adelaide	Australia	Meta-Heuristic Algorithms, Data Mining, Global Optimization,
10	Wang, Zidong	Brunel University London	England	Systems And Control, Electrical And Electronic, Engineering, Cybernetics, Human-Computer Interaction
11	Ding, Weiping	Nantong University	China	Intelligent Data Analysis, Control Engineering, Signal Processing, Bioinformatics
12	Martinez, Luis	University Of Jaén	Spain	Feature Extraction, Pattern Classification, Rough Set Theory, Image Segmentation, Decision Making
13	Yang, Chenguang	University Of The West Of England Bristol	England	Fuzzy Decision Making, Computing With Words, Recommender Systems
14	Wang, Yu	University Of Hong Kong	China	Neural Networks And Learning Systems, Robotics
				Analytic Of Geo-Data, Geotechnical Risk And Reliability, Probabilistic Site Characterization

15	Su, Chun-Yi	Concordia University	Canada	On holonomic Mechanical Systems, Mechatronics, Fuzzy Control Techniques
16	Wang, Shitong	Massachusetts Institute Of Technology	USA	Nuclear Science And Engineering
17	Li, Tianrui	Southwest Jiao Tong University	China	Data Mining, Big Data, Cloud Computing
18	Wang, Jun	University College London	England	Machine Learning, Multi-Agent Learning, Information Retrieval
19	Herrera-Viedma, Enrique	University Of Granada	Spain	Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Decision Making, Computing With Words
20	Wang, Jing	University of Guangdong,	China	Data Mining, Big Data, and Educational Theory Technology


After identifying the top 20 authors through TOPSIS, we examined the fields of study of the top 20 authors and discovered that they are data analysts, and the field of human resources has been the focus of data analysts in recent years. This allowed us to identify the majors and fields of study of the top authors.

China has five authors out of the nine countries listed in the table, which contains the top 20 authors on the list of most central researchers and their countries. England has four authors, Spain has three, Canada and the United States have two, and other nations like Indian, Singapore, the Netherlands, and Australia only have one representative on the list. Table 9 provides a comprehensive list of all nations and the number of authors. This table shows the most effective countries of the top 20 authors in the field of artificial intelligence and human resources.

**Table 9** country of 20 effective authors

Number of effective Authors	5	4	3	2	1
Country	China	England	Spain	Canada & USA	Indiana, Singapore, the Netherlands, and Australia

One of the useful and interesting analyses in the co-authorship network is the study of the scientific connections formed between different countries. **Error! Reference source not found.** is the network of scientific cooperation between different countries on the topic of AI&HRM.



**Figure 10** Co-authorship network of countries

Researchers from 127 nations have published academic papers on the intersection of artificial intelligence and human resource management. The country co-authorship network, depicted in **Figure 10**, provides a visual representation of these publications. In this network, each node corresponds to a country, and undirected links between them denote AI&HRM publication collaborations. The network comprises 127 nodes and 1424 links. Node size reflects the number of collaborations, and thicker links indicate a higher level of research collaboration between countries.

Collaborations between Chinese and American authors have been the most frequent, followed by those between Canada and China, with the United States in the third position. Based on the number of collaborations, China, the United States, Australia, England, and India are the top five contributing nations. We identified the nations in the AI&HRM co-authorship network that collaborated the most by employing community detection. Communities of nations worked closely together.

The network's co-authorship Communities are depicted in Figure 10. The purple community was the most populous. There were 69 countries in the purple community, three of which were the



most productive in AI&HRM research (England, France, and Spain), But the most effective country (USA, China, Canada) is in the Orange Community, which includes 32 countries.

Three Communities are included in the country co-authorship network, which is analyzed in Table 10.


*Table 10 Communities of country co-authorship network*

Community color	community Area	Suggested Name	Number of edge and nodes	Top 5 country	Density
Purple	Eastern Europe	Global HR Applications	Nodes 69 Edges 475	England, Spain, France, Germany, Italy	0.202
Green	The Northern, Western, Developing	Emerging Market HR Innovation	Nodes 26 Edges 68	Malaysia, Pakistan, Egypt, UAE, Jordan	0.209
Orange	Developing and developed	AI-Powered Workforce Management	Nodes 32 Edges 160	China, USA, Australia, India, Canada	0.323

**global HR Applications** community includes authors from a diverse range of countries across multiple continents, suggesting a global perspective on the application of AI in HR, **AI-Powered Workforce Management** community includes authors from some of the world's largest and most technologically advanced economies, suggesting a focus on how AI can be used to manage and optimize workforces in modern organizations And **Emerging Market HR Innovation** community includes authors from a mix of emerging and developing economies, suggesting a focus on how AI can be used to drive HR innovation in these markets and overcome unique challenges faced in these regions.

## 5.2. Universities Network

The study of organizational cooperation reveals information about the most effective and influential institutions. The contributions of institutions in the HRM and AI domain were the foundation for the creation of an institutional co-authorship network. There were 4036 nodes and 24655 links in this network. The link indicates collaborations on HRM and AI publications, while the node size indicates the total number of connections between the institutions. Institutions are represented by each node. Research collaboration between institutions occurs more frequently when there is a stronger connection. In the field of HRM and AI, papers were published in many institutions altogether Figure 11 depicts the HRM and AI institutional co-authorship network. The fact that various institutions are connected by a link suggests that these institutions collaborate with one another.



**Figure 11** HRM & AI institutional co-authorship network

The colors in Figure 11 represent the different communities of institutions. In the graphs, co-authorship between institutions is represented by "edges," while each institution is represented by a "node." The size of the edges serves as an illustration of the scientific cooperation and connection between the two nodes. When the edges are thicker, there is more cooperation. The five components in detail in Table 11 indicate that American institutions typically collaborate in the HRM and AI fields.

*Table 11* Details of 5 components

Community Name	Community Color	Nodes and Edges	Density
European Ai Research Leaders	Pink	Nodes 361 Edges 981	0.015
AI In Top US Universities	Orange	Nodes 619 Edges 2579	0.013

Global AI In Higher Education	Green	Nodes 415 Edges 1264	0.015
AI Research Powerhouses In Asia	Purple	Nodes 664 Edges 4093	0.019
Emerging Ai Research Centers	Gray	Nodes 192 Edges 643	0.023

There are different methods for classifying universities and scientific institutions in the field of artificial intelligence, such as cultural and social factors, and the country's development status, and large research centers such as American scientific institutions can be classified into different groups. Based on these parameters we categorized the institution in the field of AI research. We named each community after the way of classification of that community and divided the network of institutions into several communities. Table 11 shows color of the nodes and the largest and most influential nodes.

**AI Research Powerhouses in Asia:** The largest node, the Chinese Academy of Science, is closely linked to other nodes and indicates frequent collaboration with Chinese institutions. This cluster includes top-tier research institutions from China, Hong Kong, and Singapore, suggesting a focus on cutting-edge AI research in these regions. The Chinese Academy of Science, and Tsinghua University, are the primary members of the purple community. It exemplifies the close collaboration that exists between China's top scientific research institutes and top universities worldwide.

Numerous universal HRM principles and functions can be applied to Chinese businesses. Different kinds of Chinese businesses adopted common HRM functions and practices as HRM developed in China (Bhutoria, 2022). In point of fact, when a market economy was implemented in China, companies like Lenovo, Formosa Plastics, Haier, and Huawei Technology had an advantage over their Chinese counterparts because they quickly learned and adopted Western HRM practices. There are two plausible explanations for their success. These businesses gain knowledge and experience that can assist them in moving toward modern HRM in a market economy, which is one reason (Jia et al., 2018). Another reason is that HRM functions and practices help these businesses overcome the drawbacks of the traditional culture by absorbing new cultural elements (Cooke & He, 2010). For instance, problems frequently arise as a result of the excessive prevalence of Guanxi networks (Nolan & Rowley, 2020). Unfit representatives are chosen, held, and, surprisingly, elevated because of special interactions with powerful chiefs, which presents a specific issue. Western HRM's competency-based selection and performance-based reward systems may be able to help Chinese businesses address the issue (Zhang, 2012).

**AI in Top US Universities:** American universities are a part of the orange community. This cluster includes prestigious universities and systems from the United States, suggesting a focus on the role of AI in higher education and research in this country. The University of Florida, the University of Texas, and the University of California collaborate closely in AI&HRM. The prosperity of the US economy has resulted in a shortage of workers, necessitating innovative

approaches to recruitment and retention as well as the hiring of workers from unconventional sources, resulting in a more diverse workforce (Pereira et al., 2023). According to Keegan et al. (2012), Human Resource (HR) practitioners have acknowledged the need to develop systems for managing virtual teams due to the increasing use of remote working. Consequently, there is a growing need to update technological skills among HR professionals. Additionally, HR has been striving to become a more strategic partner in businesses by contributing to the overall organizational goals (Keegan et al., 2012).

As identified by (Konrad & Deckop, 2001), HR has the potential to create a competitive advantage for businesses by implementing a high-performance work system, effective incentive programs, and developing an employer brand reputation. By doing so, organizations can attract and retain talented employees that contribute to the overall success of the organization.

**European AI Research Leaders:** The majority of European universities in the Pink community are The London University, Edinburgh University, and KU Leuven. This cluster includes leading universities and research institutions from Europe, suggesting a focus on cutting-edge AI research in this region. HRM procedures are typically less formal in Europe than in the United States. Additionally, there are fewer formal grading systems and organizational charts (Budhwar et al., 2022). As a result, employees in Europe would rather have a line management system rather than the organizational and reporting system used at the US headquarters to direct workers toward work tasks (Brewster & Hegewisch, 2017). Associations have created variety drives in light of these tensions on the grounds that utilizing a labor force that is more different requires evolving practices, ways of behaving, and mentalities to further develop execution and the nature of work life (Konrad & Deckop, 2001).

**Global AI in Higher Education:** This cluster includes universities from a diverse range of countries, suggesting a global perspective on the role of AI in higher education. Iran, Egypt, and Malaysia make up the majority of the green community. It has been discovered that the HRM practices of an organization have a significant impact on its performance. When all of HRM's functions are carried out correctly, it will only be possible for businesses to use HRM as a useful strategic tool (Ciolacu et al., 2019). All Muslims are obligated to adhere to the Islamic rules and regulations because Islam is a complete code of conduct. One of the main obstacles to the way Islamic HRM is practiced in the Muslim world is the absence of adequate Islamic guidelines. The eight main aspects of Islamic HRM, including hiring, selection, orientation, performance evaluation, training and development, benefits and compensation, and career advancement. It is anticipated that both the company and its employees would greatly benefit if all functions were carried out in accordance with the guidelines outlined in this study (Chowdhury et al., 2019).


**Emerging AI Research Centers:** This cluster includes universities and research institutions from a mix of emerging and developing economies, suggesting a focus on the growth of AI research in these regions and its potential impact on global AI development.

### 5.3. Keywords Network

The frequency and co-occurrence of keywords in a set of articles in a field may largely reflect the subject matter of those articles. An article's main ideas may be reflected in its keywords. Keywords in a cluster are most frequently found in the same documents and probably refer to similar or related topics. There are different numbers of subject keywords in each cluster. In fact, the influence and closeness of two topics can be understood through keywords.

The keywords in AI & HRM articles can be divided into four Communities based on the results of community detection as shown in Error! Reference source not found. According to (Andrade et al., 2019) a successful method for predicting future scientific directions is keyword search analysis.

A research network of keywords that appear together, as shown in with 172894 links and 38363 nodes. The number of nodes for each keyword is indicative of its frequency in the record. Keywords are important, descriptive words that help readers locate and comprehend the ideas and content of research articles.



**Figure 12** Keywords network

The assignment of words to Communities and the connections between these Communities of Words can be better understood through an analysis of the community data. Community detection

of the indexed corpus keywords led to the discovery of four keyword communities. In **Table 12** we show details of the number of edges and nodes.

**Table 12** Details of keywords community

Color	Number Of Nodes And Edges	Density	Topics	Top 5 Of Community
Red	Nodes 4099 Edges 12739	0.002	AI and Big Data	ML, AI, Big data, Text mining, Prediction
Purple	Nodes 5734 Edges 19490	0.001	Performance Management	SNA, Performance, Innovation, Sustainability, KM
Orange	Nodes 5674 Edges 21075	0.001	Machine Learning	Classification, Ensemble learning, Extreme learning machines, Genetic algorithms, Semi-supervised learning
Green	Nodes 4788 Edges 17749	0.002	AI for System Identification	Neural networks, Adaptive control, Fuzzy logic, Nonlinear system, Uncertainty

The use and thematic function of keywords in human resources divide the keyword network. For instance, the topic of artificial intelligence for system identification and reason control has a high degree in the green cluster of artificial intelligence keywords. It is followed by adaptive control and system identification, which are the most important applications of artificial intelligence. As a result, in order to name the clusters, we examine the influence of keywords in human resources and the interaction of the largest nodes.

**AI for System Identification and Control:** This green cluster includes topics related to neural networks, adaptive control, and system identification, which are key areas where AI can be applied in HR management. The focus on stability and robustness also suggests that these techniques could be useful for managing HR processes (Baldegger et al., 2020).

**HR Analytics and Performance Management:** This purple cluster includes topics related to performance, innovation, sustainability, knowledge management, and corporate social responsibility, which are all important areas of HR management. The inclusion of SMEs and financial performance suggests that this cluster is focused on using AI to help organizations optimize their HR practices (Zehir et al., 2020).

**Machine Learning for Classification and Prediction:** This orange cluster includes topics related to machine learning techniques such as classification, regression, and ensemble learning, as well as specific applications like credit scoring and pattern classification. The focus on unbalanced data

and class imbalance suggests that these techniques could be useful for HR management tasks such as identifying high-potential employees or predicting employee turnover (Garg et al., 2022).

**AI and Big Data for HR Decision-Making:** This red cluster includes topics related to AI, big data, text mining, and natural language processing, which are all key areas where HR management could benefit from AI technologies. The inclusion of topics such as human resource management, intellectual capital, and interpretability suggests that this cluster is focused on using AI to support decision making across a range of HR functions (Budhwar et al., 2022).

## 6. Conclusions

The co-authorship network of AI&HRM was examined in this study by utilizing data extracted from the WOS database. This study aimed to explore the impact of co-authorship collaborations on AI&HRM research performance by analyzing the co-authorship network based on authors, countries, institutions, and keywords. Besides, the study examined centrality measures in the AI&HRM co-authorship network to determine the authors' performance. However, as the network involved several measures, selecting the most relevant and influential authors posed a challenge. The centrality metrics were compiled using TOPSIS in our multi-attribute decision-making technique. Pedrycz, Witold, Chen, C. L. Philip, and Wang, Wei were the three authors who had the greatest impact.

The co-authorship network of nations was studied to evaluate international co-authorship. Research on AI&HRM was published by 127 nations. based on the countries' co-authorship networks and Community detection, it appears that countries in the same community share concerns. nations that are least developed. Regarding distribution, the majority of AI&HRM publications originated in China. We conclude that China has made progress in HRM&AI research and is the leading nation in the field. China was a key node in this network, according to centrality measures, and contributed significantly to the formation of a country co-authorship network.

AI&HRM-based research received contributions from 4036 institutions. The Chinese Academy of Sciences had the most connections out of all the universities and institutions that had been identified. We noticed that the Chinese Academy of Sciences, which was represented by the most co-authoring collaborative institutions, was located in China. However, Pedrycz Witold, the most significant author, is affiliated with the University of Alberta. When it comes to the distribution of AI&HRM intuitional co-authorship networks, we noticed that the universities' networks are grouped together according to their region. Based on the findings of our study, authors from the same country or region tend to collaborate more frequently than those from other nations in terms of national collaboration. For instance, Chinese universities and institutions collaborate more frequently than international ones.

We created a network with 38363 distinct keywords from AI&HRM articles to examine and analyze the characteristics of the keywords network. The AI&HRM-based topics that appear the most frequently in the keywords network are broken down into four distinct categories.



Concerning the Community detection result, we discovered that the most frequently used keyword for members of the Machine Learning for Classification community is "machine learning." It demonstrates that machine learning is the primary concern of AI&HRM researchers, followed by AI, Big Data, Performance Management, and AI for System Identification. Machine learning is the most frequently discussed topic related to AI&HRM.

### **Data Availability Statement**

Data available on request from the authors



## References

- Afrazeh, A., & Zarinozv, I. (2010). A conceptual framework for presenting and promoting human resource knowledge sharing in the supply chain as a social network. *International Journal of Services, Economics and Management*, 2(3-4), 227-245.
- Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2017). What to expect from artificial intelligence. In: MIT Sloan Management Review Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Alfes, K., Antunes, B., & Shantz, A. D. (2017). The management of volunteers—what can human resources do? A review and research agenda. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 62-97.
- Alsheibani, S., Messom, C., & Cheung, Y. (2020). Re-thinking the competitive landscape of artificial intelligence. Proceedings of the 53rd Hawaii international conference on system sciences,
- Andrade, D. F., Romanelli, J. P., & Pereira-Filho, E. R. (2019). Past and emerging topics related to electronic waste management: top countries, trends, and perspectives. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 17135-17151.
- Anggadwita, G., & Martini, E. (2020). *Digital Economy for Customer Benefit and Business Fairness: Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Collaboration in Business, Information and Innovation (SCBTII 2019), Bandung, Indonesia, October 9-10, 2019*. Routledge.
- Assets, M. H., Beer, M., Spector, B., Lawrence, P., Quinn Mills, D., & Walton, R. (1984). The Free Press: New York. NY, USA.
- Bakkalbasi, N., & Krichel, T. (2006). Patterns of research collaboration in a digital library for Economics. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 43(1), 1-15.
- Baldegger, R., Caon, M., & Sadiku, K. (2020). Correlation between entrepreneurial orientation and implementation of AI in human resources management. *Technology innovation management review*, 10(4).
- Bamberger, P. A., Biron, M., & Meshoulam, I. (2014). *Human resource strategy: Formulation, implementation, and impact*. Routledge.
- Bankins, S., Formosa, P., Griep, Y., & Richards, D. (2022). AI decision making with dignity? Contrasting workers' justice perceptions of human and AI decision making in a human resource management context. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 1-19.
- Bedi, P., & Sharma, C. (2016). Community detection in social networks. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 6(3), 115-135.
- Bersin, J., & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2019). New ways to gauge talent and potential. *MIT sloan management review*, 60(2), 1.
- Bhattacharya, S. (2019). Some Salient Aspects of Machine Learning Research: A Bibliometric Analysis. *J. Sci. Res.*, 8(2s), s85-s92.
- Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and Artificial Intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a Human-In-The-Loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100068. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100068>
- Bonacich, P. (2007). Some unique properties of eigenvector centrality. *Social Networks*, 29(4), 555-564. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2007.04.002>
- Brewster, C., & Hegewisch, A. (2017). *Policy and practice in european human resource management: The Price Waterhouse Cranfield survey*. Taylor & Francis.
- Budhwar, P., Malik, A., De Silva, M. T., & Thevisuthan, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence—challenges and opportunities for international HRM: a review and research agenda. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1065-1097.
- Bughin, J., & Van Zeebroeck, N. (2018). Artificial intelligence: Why a digital base is critical. *The McKinsey Quarterly*.

- Bush, J. T. (2020). Win-Win-Lose? Sustainable HRM and the promotion of unsustainable employee outcomes. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(3), 100676.
- Cappelli, P., & Neumark, D. (2001). Do "high-performance" work practices improve establishment-level outcomes? *Ilr Review*, 54(4), 737-775.
- Chae, C., Yoon, S. W., Jo, S. J., & Han, S. h. (2020). Structural determinants of Human Resource Development research collaboration networks: A Social-Network Analysis of publications Between 1990 to 2014. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 33(1), 7-30.
- Chowdhury, A., Hoque, N., & Mawla, M. (2019). Human Resource Management from Islamic Perspective.
- Chowdhury, S., Dey, P., Joel-Edgar, S., Bhattacharya, S., Rodriguez-Espindola, O., Abadie, A., & Truong, L. (2023). Unlocking the value of artificial intelligence in human resource management through AI capability framework. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(1), 100899.
- Ciolacu, M. I., Binder, L., Svasta, P., Tache, I., & Stoichescu, D. (2019). Education 4.0–jump to innovation with IoT in higher education. 2019 IEEE 25th international symposium for design and technology in electronic packaging (SIITME),
- Cooke, F. L., & He, Q. (2010). Corporate social responsibility and HRM in China: a study of textile and apparel enterprises. *Asia pacific business review*, 16(3), 355-376.
- da Fonseca, D. R. (2021). Exploring government networks through interorganizational relationships: research strategies based on social network analysis (SNA). *International Journal of Public Sector Management*.
- Deloitte, L. (2017). Rewriting the rules for the digital age: 2017 Deloitte global human capital trends.
- Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of Big Data–evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 48, 63-71.
- Duggan, J., Sherman, U., Carbery, R., & McDonnell, A. (2020). Algorithmic management and app-work in the gig economy: A research agenda for employment relations and HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(1), 114-132.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., . . . Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 101994.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002>
- Edralin, D. M. (2004). Training: A strategic HRM function. *Centre for Business and Economic Research and Development*, 7(4), 1-4.
- Edwards, M. R. (2018). HR metrics and analytics. In *e-HRM* (pp. 89-105). Routledge.
- Friedkin, N. E. (1981). The development of structure in random networks: an analysis of the effects of increasing network density on five measures of structure. *Social Networks*, 3(1), 41-52.  
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(81\)90004-6](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(81)90004-6)
- García-Lillo, F., Úbeda-García, M., & Marco-Lajara, B. (2017). The intellectual structure of human resource management research: A bibliometric study of the International Journal of Human Resource Management, 2000–2012. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(13), 1786-1815.
- Garg, S., Sinha, S., Kar, A. K., & Mani, M. (2022). A review of machine learning applications in human resource management. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(5), 1590-1610.

- Gaurav, M., Srivastava, A., Kumar, A., & Miller, S. (2013). Leveraging candidate popularity on Twitter to predict election outcome. Proceedings of the 7th workshop on social network mining and analysis,
- Gloor, P. A., Colladon, A. F., Grippa, F., & Giacomelli, G. (2017). Forecasting managerial turnover through e-mail based social network analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *71*, 343-352.
- Golbeck, J. (2013). Network structure and measures. *Analyzing the social web*, 25-44.
- Gönçer-Demiral, D., & Ince-Yenilmez, M. (2022). Network analysis of international export pattern. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, *12*(1), 1-16.
- Gratton, L., Hope Hailey, V., Stiles, P., & Truss, C. (1999). 227References. In *Strategic Human Resource Management: Corporate Rhetoric and Human Reality* (pp. 0). Oxford University Press.
- HabibAgahi, M. R., Kermani, M. A. M. A., & Maghsoudi, M. (2022). On the Co-authorship network analysis in the Process Mining research Community: A social network analysis perspective. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *206*, 117853.
- Henneberg, S. C., Swart, J., Naudé, P., Jiang, Z., & Mouzas, S. (2009). Mobilizing ideas in knowledge networks: A social network analysis of the human resource management community 1990-2005. *The Learning Organization*.
- Hitt, M. A., Bierman, L., Shimizu, K., & Kochhar, R. (2001). Direct and moderating effects of human capital on strategy and performance in professional service firms: A resource-based perspective. *Academy of Management journal*, *44*(1), 13-28.
- Hyun, Y.-S., Cho, D., & Yoon, S. W. (2015). Landscape of human resource development research in Korea: Results from author co-citation network analysis. *Human Resource Development International*, *18*(5), 446-463.
- Jalilvand Khosravi, M., Maghsoudi, M., & Salavatian, S. (2022). Identifying and Clustering Users of VOD Platforms Using SNA Technique: A case study of Cinemamarket. *New Marketing Research Journal*, *11*(4), 20-21.
- Jia, Q., Guo, Y., Li, R., Li, Y., & Chen, Y. (2018). A conceptual artificial intelligence application framework in human resource management.
- Johnson, B. A., Coggburn, J. D., & Llorens, J. J. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Public Human Resource Management: Questions for Research and Practice. *Public Personnel Management*, *51*(4), 538-562.
- Joshi, A. V., & Joshi, A. V. (2020). Introduction to AI and ML. *Machine learning and artificial intelligence*, 3-7.
- Kan, A. (2009). General characteristics of waste management: A review. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part A: Energy Science and Research*, *23*, 55-69.
- Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, *63*(1), 37-50.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.09.003>
- Kaufman, B. E., & Miller, B. I. (2011). The firm's choice of HRM practices: Economics meets strategic human resource management. *Ilr Review*, *64*(3), 526-557.
- Keegan, A., Huemann, M., & Turner, J. R. (2012). Beyond the line: exploring the HRM responsibilities of line managers, project managers and the HRM department in four project-oriented companies in the Netherlands, Austria, the UK and the USA. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *23*(15), 3085-3104.
- Kermani, M. A., Maghsoudi, M., Hamedani, M. S., & Bozorgipour, A. (2022). Analyzing the interorganizational collaborations in crisis management in coping with COVID-19 using social network analysis: Case of Iran. *Journal of emergency management (Weston, Mass.)*, *20*(3), 249-266.

- Kermani, M. A. M. A., Badiee, A., Aliahmadi, A., Ghazanfari, M., & Kalantari, H. (2016). Introducing a procedure for developing a novel centrality measure (Sociability Centrality) for social networks using TOPSIS method and genetic algorithm. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *56*, 295-305.
- Konrad, A., & Deckop, J. (2001). Human resource management trends in the USA - Challenges in the midst of prosperity. *International Journal of Manpower*, *22*, 269-278.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/01437720110398374>
- Lanvin, B., & Monteiro, F. (2020). The global talent competitiveness index 2020: Global talent in the age of artificial intelligence. *Global Urban Talent Competitiveness Index Report*, 69-78.
- Li, H., & Liu, W. (2020). Same same but different: self-citations identified through Scopus and Web of Science Core Collection. *Scientometrics*, *124*(3), 2723-2732.
- Lievens, F., & Chapman, D. (2010). Recruitment and selection. *The SAGE handbook of human resource management*, 135-154.
- Ma, H., & Wang, J. (2020). Application of artificial intelligence in intelligent decision-making of human resource allocation. International Conference on Machine Learning and Big Data Analytics for IoT Security and Privacy,
- Maghsoudi, M., Jalilvand Khosravi, M., & Salavatian, S. (2023). Analyzing the Ecosystem of Iranian websites for Watching and Downloading videos based on User Behavior. *Iranian Journal of Information Processing and Management*, *38*(3), 1067-1094.
- Maghsoudi, M., & Nezafati, N. (2023). Navigating the Acceptance of Implementing Business Intelligence in Organizations: A System Dynamics Approach. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 100070.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2023.100070>
- Maghsoudi, M., Shokouhyar, S., Ataei, A., Ahmadi, S., & Shokoohyar, S. (2023). Co-authorship network analysis of AI applications in sustainable supply chains: Key players and themes. *Journal of cleaner production*, 138472. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138472>
- Maghsoudi, M., Shokouhyar, S., Khanizadeh, S., & Shokoohyar, S. (2023). Towards a taxonomy of waste management research: An application of community detection in keyword network. *Journal of cleaner production*, 136587. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136587>
- Maghsoudi, M., & Shumaly, S. Study of co-authorship network of papers in all sessions of IIIEC Conference.
- Makarius, E. E., Mukherjee, D., Fox, J. D., & Fox, A. K. (2020). Rising with the machines: A sociotechnical framework for bringing artificial intelligence into the organization. *Journal of Business Research*, *120*, 262-273. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.07.045>
- Malik, A., Budhwar, P., & Kazmi, B. A. (2022). Artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted HRM: Towards an extended strategic framework. In (pp. 100940): Elsevier.
- Margherita, A. (2022). Human resources analytics: A systematization of research topics and directions for future research. *Human Resource Management Review*, *32*(2), 100795.
- Mikalef, P., & Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. *Information & Management*, *58*(3), 103434.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2021.103434>
- Molontay, R., & Nagy, M. (2021). Twenty years of network science: A bibliographic and co-authorship network analysis. In *Big Data and Social Media Analytics: Trending Applications* (pp. 1-24). Springer.
- Nolan, J., & Rowley, C. (2020). Whither guanxi and social networks in China? A review of theory and practice. *Asia pacific business review*, *26*(2), 113-123.
- Pereira, V., Hadjielias, E., Christofi, M., & Vrontis, D. (2023). A systematic literature review on the impact of artificial intelligence on workplace outcomes: A multi-process perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*, *33*(1), 100857.

- Perez, C., & Germon, R. (2016). Graph creation and analysis for linking actors: Application to social data. In *Automating open source intelligence* (pp. 103-129). Elsevier.
- Ransbotham, S., Gerbert, P., Reeves, M., Kiron, D., & Spira, M. (2018). Artificial intelligence in business gets real. *MIT sloan management review*, 60280.
- Reza HabibAgahi, M., Agha Mohammad Ali Kermani, M., & Maghsoudi, M. (2022). On the Co-authorship network analysis in the Process Mining research Community: A social network analysis perspective. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 206, 117853. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.117853>
- Rihan, I. (1998). What is human resources management. *Eriřim Adresi: https://www.academia.edu/7775792/What\_is\_Human\_Resources\_Management\_*.
- Salamati, P., & Soheili, F. (2016). Social network analysis of Iranian researchers in the field of violence. *Chinese journal of traumatology*, 19(05), 264-270.
- Samimi, E., & Sydow, J. (2021). Human resource management in project-based organizations: revisiting the permanency assumption. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(1), 49-83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1783346>
- Saracoglu, B. O. (2022). Initialization of profile and social network analyses robot and platform with a concise systematic review. *Machine Learning with Applications*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2022.100249>
- Schank, R. C. (1987). What Is AI, Anyway? *AI magazine*, 8(4), 59. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v8i4.623>
- Schank, R. C. (1991). Where's the AI? *AI magazine*, 12(4), 38-38.
- Schmidt, P., Biessmann, F., & Teubner, T. (2020). Transparency and trust in artificial intelligence systems. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 29(4), 260-278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12460125.2020.1819094>
- Stattner, E., & Vidot, N. (2011, 19-21 May 2011). Social network analysis in epidemiology: Current trends and perspectives. 2011 FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RESEARCH CHALLENGES IN INFORMATION SCIENCE,
- Strohmeier, S., & Piazza, F. (2015). Artificial intelligence techniques in human resource management—a conceptual exploration. In *Intelligent techniques in engineering management* (pp. 149-172). Springer.
- Sutaria, K., Joshi, D., Bhensdadia, C., & Khalpada, K. (2015). An adaptive approximation algorithm for community detection in social network. 2015 IEEE international conference on computational intelligence & communication technology,
- Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources Management: Challenges and a Path Forward. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 15-42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619867910>
- Tan, H., Li, J., He, M., Li, J., Zhi, D., Qin, F., & Zhang, C. (2021). Global evolution of research on green energy and environmental technologies: A bibliometric study. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 297, 113382.
- Teo, S. T. (2002). Effectiveness of a corporate HR department in an Australian public-sector entity during commercialization and corporatization. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 13(1), 89-105.
- van Esch, P., Black, J. S., & Arli, D. (2021). Job candidates' reactions to AI-Enabled job application processes. *AI and Ethics*, 1(2), 119-130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-020-00025-0>
- Vrontis, D., Christofi, M., Pereira, V., Tarba, S., Makrides, A., & Trichina, E. (2022). Artificial intelligence, robotics, advanced technologies and human resource management: a systematic review. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1237-1266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1871398>



- Wamba-Taguimdje, S.-L., Fosso Wamba, S., Kala Kamdjoug, J. R., & Tchatchouang Wanko, C. E. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: the business value of AI-based transformation projects. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(7), 1893-1924. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-10-2019-0411>
- Wirtky, T., Laumer, S., Eckhardt, A., & Weitzel, T. (2016). On the untapped value of e-HRM: A literature review. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 38(1), 2.
- Zare-Farashbandi, F., Geraei, E., & Siamaki, S. (2014). Study of co-authorship network of papers in the Journal of Research in Medical Sciences using social network analysis. *Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*, 19(1), 41.
- Zehir, C., Karaboğa, T., & Başar, D. (2020). The transformation of human resource management and its impact on overall business performance: Big Data analytics and ai technologies in strategic HRM. *Digital Business Strategies in Blockchain Ecosystems: Transformational Design and Future of Global Business*, 265-279.
- Zhang, M. (2012). The development of human resource management in China: An overview. *Human Resource Management Review*, 22, 161–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2012.02.002>
- Zohdi, M., Maghsoudi, M., & Nooralizadeh, H. (2022). Providing a User-Based Behavior Model to Recommend a Movie Using the Social Network Analysis (Case Study: CinemaMarket). *Sciences and Techniques of Information Management*, 8(1), 451-484.