
REINFORCEMENT LEARNING FOR BATTERY MANAGEMENT IN DAIRY FARMING

Nawazish Ali

School of Computer Science
University of Galway
Galway, Ireland H91 T8NW
n.ali3@universityofgalway.ie

Abdul Wahid

School of Computer Science
University of Galway
Galway, Ireland H91 T8NW
abdul.wahid@universityofgalway.ie

Rachael shaw

Atlantic Technological University
Galway, Ireland, H91 T8NW
rachael.shaw@atu.ie

Karl Mason

School of Computer Science
University of Galway
Galway, Ireland H91 T8NW
karl.mason@universityofgalway.ie

August 21, 2023

ABSTRACT

Dairy farming is a particularly energy-intensive part of the agriculture sector. Effective battery management is essential for renewable integration within the agriculture sector. However, controlling battery charging/discharging is a difficult task due to electricity demand variability, stochasticity of renewable generation, and energy price fluctuations. Despite the potential benefits of applying Artificial Intelligence (AI) to renewable energy in the context of dairy farming, there has been limited research in this area. This research is a priority for Ireland as it strives to meet its governmental goals in energy and sustainability. This research paper utilizes Q-learning to learn an effective policy for charging and discharging a battery within a dairy farm setting. The results demonstrate that the developed policy significantly reduces electricity costs compared to the established baseline algorithm. These findings highlight the effectiveness of reinforcement learning for battery management within the dairy farming sector.

Keywords Reinforcement Learning · Dairy Farming · Battery management · Q-learning · Maximizing self Consumption · Time of Use.

1 Introduction

The global population rise has increased food demand, including milk. Milk production rose from 735 to 855 million metric tons between 2000 and 2019. Growing demand for dairy products requires more electricity for farm activities. To reduce costs and reliance on external grids, adopting renewable energy like solar and wind is crucial [1, 2].

Renewable energy generation has variability, so batteries are essential for storing electricity for future use. They play a crucial role in reducing dairy farming's electricity costs. To optimize battery performance, different techniques are used, including Maximizing Self-Consumption (MSC) and Time of Use (TOU) [3]. These methods involve regulating battery charge to reduce reliance on the power grid. With the advent of AI, many tasks have become more manageable.

AI has undergone significant advancements in recent years, following the emergence of the data revolution. Its potential has been demonstrated across various domains, offering encouraging outcomes. Reinforcement learning (RL) is a prevalent area of study within the field of artificial intelligence. RL agents are utilized in this domain and are not

provided with explicit instructions on how to make decisions. Rather, they acquire knowledge through a process of trial and error by defining objective functions. Actor-Critical Learning and Q-Learning are widely recognized as the two most prominent Reinforcement Learning algorithms. RL agents have demonstrated efficacy in formulating policies across various domains. The objective of this paper is to apply RL agents to establish policies for battery management with the aim of improving renewable utilization and reducing electricity cost.

Previous studies have shown RL's effectiveness for residential battery management. Ebell et al. achieved a 7.8% reduction in grid energy consumption using RL techniques with PV panels [4]. However, Minnaert et al. assessed energy storage options in dairy farming without utilizing RL algorithms [5]. RL's application to agriculture, especially in dairy farming, is limited in the existing literature. This study introduces RL for optimizing PV battery management in dairy farming and makes the following contributions:

1. Development dairy farm energy simulator as a baseline.
2. The implementation of Q-learning to control a battery store in a dairy farm.
3. Compare the performance of Q-learning with existing baseline battery control algorithms.

2 Background

Battery management is a significant area of research across various domains, aiming to decrease reliance on power grid imports and cut costs. While many battery load regulation methods have been studied in scientific research, dairy farm battery management has not yet explored the use of RL. This study aims to implement battery management techniques in dairy farming to reduce power grid load. The main battery scheduling methods used in scientific studies include dynamic programming, rule-based scheduling, and Reinforcement Learning.

2.1 Conventional Battery Control Methods

Numerous investigations have explored operational strategies for PV Battery systems, focusing on objectives such as efficient utilization [6]. The MSC strategy, widely used for PV battery systems, particularly in distributed PV systems, efficiently consumes PV generation [7]. This approach aims to consume PV generation at the highest permissible rate, enhancing self-consumption [6]. Braun et al. found that proper battery utilization significantly increases local consumption of PV generation [8]. Researchers have optimized PV size [9] and storage capacity [10] to maximize self-consumption and minimize grid energy supply. Luthander et al.'s study indicates that appropriately sizing batteries can enhance relative self-consumption by 13-24

Sharma et al. optimized battery size for zero-net energy homes with PV panels using the MSC strategy, potentially boosting self-consumption by 20-50% [11, 12]. FiT and TOU tariffs promote PVB systems and demand-side engagement [13]. Christoph M Flath and Li et al. explored TOU tariff optimization methodologies [14, 15]. Prosumers seek economic benefits through FiTs and TOU strategies [16]. Gitizadeh et al. and Hassan et al. optimized battery capacity with tariff incentives [17, 18]. Ratnam et al. noted significant cost savings for PVB system users through FiTs [19].

2.2 Reinforcement learning for Battery Control

Various applications utilize reinforcement learning algorithms to enhance energy management and efficiency. Wei et al. employed dual iterative Q-learning for smart residential battery management, optimizing charging and discharging for improved energy usage[20]. Kim et al. developed a reinforcement learning-based algorithm for smart energy-building management, dynamically determining optimal energy strategies[21]. Ruelens et al. applied reinforcement learning to enhance electric water heater efficiency by adapting to real-time demand and grid conditions[22]. Li et al. used multi-grid reinforcement learning to optimize HVAC systems for energy efficiency and comfort[23].

Foruzan et al. proposed reinforcement learning for microgrid energy management, adapting to changing requirements and renewable generation[24]. Guan et al. suggested a reinforcement learning-based solution for domestic energy storage control, reducing costs through optimized charging and discharging[25]. Liu et al. employed deep reinforcement learning for household energy management, continuously learning optimal techniques for efficiency[26]. These applications demonstrate how reinforcement learning can enhance energy consumption and management efficiency across various contexts.




Figure 1: Farm load and PV generation for one year.

3 Methodology

3.1 System Design

The grid-connected photovoltaic (PVB) system includes solar PV, battery (Tesla Powerwall 2.0 with 13.5KWh capacity and 3.3 kW to 5 kW charging/discharging), power grid, and Dairy Farm load. PV power can go to the load, battery, or grid. The charge/discharge controller controls battery processes. The power grid powers the load, battery, PV, and battery storage as needed.

3.2 Data and Price Profile

The investigation used Load data from Finland [27] and PV data from the System Advisor Model (SAM) [28]. Hourly electricity usage was tracked for one year for a dairy farm with 180 cows, consuming 261 MWh annually. Similar-scale farms provided data, and a daily consumption profile was created from a large dairy farm’s winter consumption. Sliding data series were made using monthly consumption data to estimate annual variations. Pricing data from the same area had hourly divisions into reduced, standard, and peak-hour rates: 11 pm to 7 am (reduced), 8 am to 4 pm and 7 pm to 10 pm (standard), and 5 pm to 7 pm (peak-hour).

3.3 Baseline Implementation

Two baseline algorithms are implemented which are MSC and TOU:

MSC optimizes PV power for load demand and battery charging in PV-integrated systems. The grid receives excess energy from the battery. When PV generation is low, the battery discharges to meet demand. Grid electricity is bought if demand exceeds PV and battery capacity. Weather drives MSC strategy.

TOU seeks economic gains from peak and off-peak electricity rates. Low-cost utility electricity charges the battery and discharges during high prices. Off-peak hours (23:00–8:00) charge the battery at the highest grid rate. Peak demand (5:00–7:00 PM) depletes the battery.

3.4 Application of Q-Learning to Battery Management

The proposed methodology involves the utilization of the Q-learning Reinforcement Learning algorithm for efficient battery management. The optimal policy can be derived from the greatest Q values through Q-learning. The Q-Learning algorithm operates by choosing the action that corresponds to the maximum Q-value in every state. Equation 1 illustrates the maximum Q value selection.

$$Q^*(s_t, a_t) = \underset{a \in A}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q^\pi(s_t, a_t) \quad (1)$$

The state space (S) influences an agent’s perception and behavior. State space knowledge helps the RL agent optimize reward. State space complexity affects RL algorithm performance. Time and battery charge are considered in this study.

3.4.1 Action Space (A)

($A = \{0,1,2\}$) The study considers charging (0), discharging (1), and remaining idle (2). The battery is charged by photovoltaic and utility grid electricity. To meet energy needs, action $a = 1$ discharges the battery. Purchase grid power if the PV system and battery cannot provide enough energy. The dairy farm is idle and powered by solar PV and the grid ($a = 2$).

3.4.2 Reward (R_p)

To reduce grid electricity export and import, this study optimizes PV system-generated electricity. The reward function, P_r , is the grid electricity price minus 1.

4 Results and Discussion

Q-learning optimizes PV battery scheduling for solar power utilization and grid import reduction using reinforcement learning. Based on battery charge level, time of day, and energy prices, the Q-learning algorithm optimizes charging, discharging, and idle times over the year.




Figure 2: Comparison of the electricity load and price imported from the power grid by using Rule base and Q-learning.

The Q-learning algorithm reduced the grid electricity importation from 9.45% to 10.42% as compared to the Rule base algorithm over the period of one year. As depicted in the figure 2a Q-learning reduced a significant amount of energy importation from the external grid. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm reduces the cost of electricity imported from the grid by 11.93% to 12.39% compared to the rule base as illustrated in the figure 2b.

Figure 2 compares electricity consumption and importation over one year using various methods. Grid electricity purchased is on the vertical axis and hour on the horizontal. The graph shows three bars: grid-imported electricity without proposed algorithms, TOU-procured electricity, and Q-learning-consumed electricity. Electricity management affects grid importation and consumption.

Figure 3 shows charging behavior over two days using various algorithms. The vertical axis shows battery charge in kilowatts, while the horizontal shows hours. Q-learning and rule-based battery charge lines. Battery charging affects system performance. Q-learning reduced electricity prices and load. The Q-learning agent charges the battery at night. The agent discharges the battery during peak prices or farm load demand to reduce grid electricity import.

Q-learning maximizes dairy farm energy use. AI algorithms optimize PV battery scheduling to reduce electricity consumption without control. Dairy farms save energy with this.

5 Conclusion

1. This paper discussed dairy farming battery load management. Two rule base algorithms in a dairy farm energy simulator reduced grid-imported electricity significantly. The rule base algorithm cuts imported electricity prices by 11.93%.




Figure 3: Comparison of the battery charging and discharging by using TOU and Q-learning.

2. This study shows that RL algorithms improve solar photovoltaic battery performance. RL can make real-time decisions in a continuous action space. RL beats TOU. Q-learning cuts electricity prices by 11.93–12.39
3. RL algorithms for dairy farm battery management may lower electricity prices because they outperform TOU algorithms. RL can be improved to handle complex and large systems.
4. The Q-learning algorithm's battery management scalability for larger dairy farms could be studied. The Q-learning algorithm may be affected by weather and battery life. The study could compare Q-learning to other reinforcement learning algorithms, including deep reinforcement learning, to find the best dairy farm battery management method. Multiple batteries and better management could also be studied.

Acknowledgements

This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of Science Foundation Ireland under Grant number [21/FFP-A/9040].

References

- [1] John Upton, Murphy Michael, Pdraig French, Pat Dillon, et al. *Dairy farm energy consumption*. 2010. [Online; Accessed 20-April-2023].
- [2] Renewable energy opportunities for dairy farmers, 2021. [Online; Accessed 20-April-2023].
- [3] Bin Zou, Jinqing Peng, Sihui Li, Yi Li, Jinyue Yan, and Hongxing Yang. Comparative study of the dynamic programming-based and rule-based operation strategies for grid-connected pv-battery systems of office buildings. *Applied Energy*, 305:117875, 2022.
- [4] Niklas Ebell, Felix Heinrich, Jonas Schlund, and Marco Pruckner. Reinforcement learning control algorithm for a pv-battery-system providing frequency containment reserve power. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications, Control, and Computing Technologies for Smart Grids (SmartGridComm)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2018.
- [5] Ben Minnaert, Bart Thoen, David Plets, Wout Joseph, and Nobby Stevens. Optimal energy storage solution for an inductively powered system for dairy cows. In *2017 IEEE Wireless Power Transfer Conference (WPTC)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2017.
- [6] Donald Azuatalam, Kaveh Paridari, Yiju Ma, Markus Förstl, Archie C Chapman, and Gregor Verbič. Energy management of small-scale pv-battery systems: A systematic review considering practical implementation, computational requirements, quality of input data and battery degradation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 112:555–570, 2019.
- [7] Yijie Zhang, Tao Ma, Pietro Elia Campana, Yohei Yamaguchi, and Yanjun Dai. A techno-economic sizing method for grid-connected household photovoltaic battery systems. *Applied Energy*, 269:115106, 2020.
- [8] Martin Braun, Kathrin Büdenbender, Dirk Magnor, and Andreas Jossen. Photovoltaic self-consumption in germany: using lithium-ion storage to increase self-consumed photovoltaic energy. In *24th European photovoltaic solar energy conference (PVSEC), Hamburg, Germany, 2009*.

- [9] DL Talavera, FJ Muñoz-Rodríguez, G Jimenez-Castillo, and C Rus-Casas. A new approach to sizing the photovoltaic generator in self-consumption systems based on cost-competitiveness, maximizing direct self-consumption. *Renewable energy*, 130:1021–1035, 2019.
- [10] Neil J Vickers. Animal communication: when i’m calling you, will you answer too? *Current biology*, 27(14):R713–R715, 2017.
- [11] Vanika Sharma, Mohammed H Haque, and Syed Mahfuzul Aziz. Energy cost minimization for net zero energy homes through optimal sizing of battery storage system. *Renewable Energy*, 141:278–286, 2019.
- [12] Emil Nyholm, Joel Goop, Mikael Odenberger, and Filip Johnsson. Solar photovoltaic-battery systems in swedish households–self-consumption and self-sufficiency. *Applied energy*, 183:148–159, 2016.
- [13] Luigi Dusonchet and Enrico Telaretti. Comparative economic analysis of support policies for solar pv in the most representative eu countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42:986–998, 2015.
- [14] Christoph M Flath. An optimization approach for the design of time-of-use rates. In *IECON 2013-39th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, pages 4727–4732. IEEE, 2013.
- [15] Ran Li, Zhimin Wang, Chenghong Gu, Furong Li, and Hao Wu. A novel time-of-use tariff design based on gaussian mixture model. *Applied energy*, 162:1530–1536, 2016.
- [16] Naïm R Darghouth, Ryan H Wisler, and Galen Barbose. Customer economics of residential photovoltaic systems: Sensitivities to changes in wholesale market design and rate structures. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54:1459–1469, 2016.
- [17] Mohsen Gitizadeh and Hamid Fakharzadegan. Battery capacity determination with respect to optimized energy dispatch schedule in grid-connected photovoltaic (pv) systems. *Energy*, 65:665–674, 2014.
- [18] Abubakar Sani Hassan, Liana Cipcigan, and Nick Jenkins. Optimal battery storage operation for pv systems with tariff incentives. *Applied Energy*, 203:422–441, 2017.
- [19] Elizabeth L Ratnam, Steven R Weller, and Christopher M Kellett. An optimization-based approach to scheduling residential battery storage with solar pv: Assessing customer benefit. *Renewable Energy*, 75:123–134, 2015.
- [20] Qinglai Wei, Derong Liu, and Guang Shi. A novel dual iterative q-learning method for optimal battery management in smart residential environments. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 62(4):2509–2518, 2014.
- [21] Sunyong Kim and Hyuk Lim. Reinforcement learning based energy management algorithm for smart energy buildings. *Energies*, 11(8):2010, 2018.
- [22] Frederik Ruelens, Bert J Claessens, Salman Quaiyum, Bart De Schutter, R Babuška, and Ronnie Belmans. Reinforcement learning applied to an electric water heater: From theory to practice. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 9(4):3792–3800, 2016.
- [23] Bocheng Li and Li Xia. A multi-grid reinforcement learning method for energy conservation and comfort of hvac in buildings. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*, pages 444–449. IEEE, 2015.
- [24] Elham Foruzan, Leen-Kiat Soh, and Sohrab Asgarpour. Reinforcement learning approach for optimal distributed energy management in a microgrid. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 33(5):5749–5758, 2018.
- [25] Chenxiao Guan, Yanzhi Wang, Xue Lin, Shahin Nazarian, and Massoud Pedram. Reinforcement learning-based control of residential energy storage systems for electric bill minimization. In *2015 12th Annual IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC)*, pages 637–642. IEEE, 2015.
- [26] Yuankun Liu, Dongxia Zhang, and Hoay Beng Gooi. Optimization strategy based on deep reinforcement learning for home energy management. *CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems*, 6(3):572–582, 2020.
- [27] Sanna Uski and Erkka Rinne. Data for a dairy farm microgrid solution, Jun 2018.
- [28] National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL). System advisor model (sam). <https://sam.nrel.gov>, 2017. [Online; Accessed 1-November-2022].