# Astrometry via Close Approach Events: Applications to Main-Belt Asteroid (702) Alauda

B. F. Guo<sup>1,3</sup>, Q. Y. Peng<sup>1,3 \*</sup>, A. Vienne<sup>2,3</sup>, X. Q. Fang<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

<sup>2</sup>IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Lille, Paris 75014, France <sup>3</sup>Sino-French Joint Laboratory for Astrometry, Dynamics and Space Science, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

Accepted 2023 August 08; 2023 August 06; in original form 2023 July 12

# ABSTRACT

The release of *Gaia* catalog is revolutionary to the astronomy of solar system objects. After some effects such as atmospheric refraction and CCD geometric distortion have been taken into account, the astrometric precision for ground-based telescopes can reach the level of tens of milli-arcseconds. If an object approaches a reference star in a small relative angular distance (less than 100 arcseconds), which is called close approach event in this work, the relative positional precision between the object and reference star will be further improved since the systematic effects of atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics can be reduced. To obtain the precise position of a main-belt asteroid in an close approach event, a second-order angular velocity model with time is supposed in the sky plane. By fitting the relationship between the relative angular distance and observed time, we can derive the time of maximum approximation and calculate the corresponding position of the asteroid. In practice, 5 nights' CCD observations including 15 close approach events of main-belt asteroid (702) Alauda are taken for testing by the 1m telescope at Yunnan Observatory, China. Compared with conventional solutions, our results show that the positional precision significantly improves, which reaches better than 4 milli-arcseconds, and 1 milli-arcsecond in the best case when referenced for JPL ephemeris in both right ascension and declination.

Key words: Astrometry - Asteroids: general - Method: data analysis - Techniques: image processing

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The astrometry of asteroids is helpful to reveal the formation and evolution of the solar system. For example, the precise positions of asteroids are essential to estimate their accurate orbits (Milani & Gronchi 2010; Desmars et al. 2013). The study of Yarkovsky effect also needs accurate positions of the asteroids (Del Vigna et al. 2018; Greenberg et al. 2020). In addition, the astrometry of asteroids are meaningful to the defense of impact. Spoto et al. (2017) presented that astrometric accuracy affected the impact monitoring of near-Earth asteroids (NEAs), especially for short-arc orbital solutions. Siltala & Granvik (2020) found that the high-accuracy astrometry of an asteroid could reduce the uncertainty of mass estimate.

At present, most observations of asteroids are obtained via groundbased telescopes. The event of stellar occultation is one of the approach to obtain not only the size and shape of an asteroid, but also the accurate astrometry (Ferreira et al. 2022). For a main-belt asteroid (MBA), a well-observed stellar occultation under the right circumstances can establish the position of the shadow better than 1 km, which is equivalent to less than 0.5 milli-arcseconds (Herald et al. 2020). However, if a stellar occultation by a given asteroid cannot be observed, one may obtain accurate astrometry from close approach events with Gaia stars, as described in this work. *Gaia* catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023) provides the accurate and precise positions of reference stars, which is revolutionary to the astrometry of solar system objects. Nonetheless, it is not easy to obtain the accurate and precise positions of asteroids. For fast-moving asteroid, long trails exist even if a short exposure time is adopted, which causes the loss of precision. Shao et al. (2014) developed the technique of synthetic tracking to obtain accurate positions for NEAs. Later, Zhai et al. (2018) reported the precision can reach the level of 10 milli-arcseconds using this technique. Moreover, the CCD distortion influences the astrometry of asteroids (Peng et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2015), which should be also taken account. In addition, the effect of differential color refraction (DCR) results in systematic positional errors towards zenith, which should be considered to obtain the accurate and precise positions (Anderson et al. 2006; Velasco et al. 2016).

If we consider the effects of atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics, the precision of astrometry will be further improved. An asteroid approaches a reference star in a small angular distance (usually less than 100 arcseconds), which is called an approach event in this work, during which these effects can be reduced. Similar to close approach event, appulse is also an event with the minimum apparent angular distance between two objects. One of the difference is that the two objects have even smaller angular distance during an appulse so that the extended part of the body (such as the atmosphere) is occulted as shown in Kammer et al. (2020). Another difference is that observations with less spans of angular distances are required (e.g. within the range of only several arcseconds) in an

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: tpengqy@jnu.edu.cn

Table 1. The specifications of CCDs used of the 1m telescope.

Parameter	CCD#1	CCD#2		
Size of CCD array	$2048 \times 2048$	$4096 \times 4112$		
Size of pixel	$13.5\mu \times 13.5\mu$	$15\mu \times 15\mu$		
Approximate scale factor	0"209/pixel	0"233/pixel		
Field of view	7.'1 × 7.'1	$16'.0 \times 16'.0$		
Nights observed	2	3		

appulse like stellar occultations. The reasons for positional precision improvement in a close approach event mainly come from the local similar atmospheric turbulence and telescope optical effect. Morgado et al. (2016) used this method to determine the positional difference between two concerned planetary satellites. This method was successfully applied to the observations of Galilean satellites of Jupiter (Morgado et al. 2019) and satellites of Uranus (Santos-Filho et al. 2019). For the practice of Galilean satellites, the average internal precision can reach 11.3 milli-arcseconds within the angular distance of 30 arcseconds (Morgado et al. 2019), which is almost comparable to the positional precision from mutual phenomenon. Lin et al. (2019) found that precision premium occurred within the angular distance of 100 arcseconds and the improvement of the precision could be expressed as a sigmoidal function with the angular distance.

In this paper, we want to obtain higher positional precision of an asteroid after considering the effects of image quality, geometric distortion, atmospheric refraction (including DCR effect), atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics. Meanwhile, we want to extend the study of the close approach events from two satellites (Morgado et al. 2016, 2019) to an asteroid with respect to its nearby reference star. In fact, the close approach event happens on all the solar system objects. In this work, considering the aperture size of the telescope used and the available observation time, we choose the typical objects MBAs for testing because of the high signal-noise ratios (SNRs), large angular velocity but less trailing. The main-belt asteroid (702) Alauda is appropriate for testing due to the enough calibrated stars in the field of view and several close approach events during one night (also see Section 2).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 will introduce the telescope, CCDs and observations. Section 3 will elaborate the reduction method for a main-belt asteroid in a close approach event. Section 4 will show the astrometric reduction results and analysis of main-belt asteroid (702) Alauda. Finally, the drawn conclusions will be presented in the last section.

# **2 OBSERVATIONS**

Five nights' CCD observations including 15 close approach events of asteroid (702) Alauda are taken by the 1m telescope at Yunnan Observatory, China (IAU code: 286). Totally, 1092 CCD frames are taken from Nov 11, 2020 to Feb 10, 2021. We exclude some observations (~2% of the total) when they are taken with the nearby service lights turned on by mistake. For the telescope used, the diameter of the primary mirror is 101.6 cm, and the *f* ratio is 13.3. Two different CCDs are used during our observation. The specifications of the CCDs are listed in Table 1. As we aimed to minimize the effect of differential color refraction, according to Stone (2002) and Lin et al. (2020), we adopt the scheme that all the observations are taken with *Cousins-I* filter and the observational zenith distances are less than 60°. To ensure the measured positional precision of Alauda, we empirically make its trailing less than 1/3 of seeing. The visual magnitude of Alauda is  $12 \sim 13$  during the observation. The magnitude differences between Alauda and nearby reference stars (the visual magnitude of Alauda and *Gaia-G* magnitude of stars) are less than 5. More details of the observations are shown in Table 2.

#### **3 METHOD**

#### 3.1 Data Reduction

Firstly, all CCD frames are pre-processed by bias and flat-field corrections. Then, for each frame, we detect all the sources and measure their pixel coordinates with two-dimensional Gaussian centering algorithm. Specifically, for each image, one can refer to DAOPHOT program (Stetson 1987) for detecting each source and deriving its initial position in pixel coordinate. To further measure the pixel coordinate of each source, we use the two-dimensional Gaussian centering algorithm. For more details of the centering algorithm, one can refer to eq.(3) in Lin et al. (2021). Secondly, we match these sources with the stars in Gaia DR3 catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023) and calculate their topocentric astrometric positions at the observational epoch. To ensure the precision of calibration, we exclude the stars with their renormalised unit weight errors (RUWEs) in Gaia catalog larger than 2.0 (Zheng et al. 2022). In addition, we calibrate the DCR effect of the matched Gaia stars and Alauda according to Lin et al. (2020). Thirdly, we calculate the standard coordinate of each matched star through the central projection (Green 1985), and solve the plate model with a weighted fourth order polynomial (Lin et al. 2019), in which some effects such as the geometric distortion and atmospheric refraction can be absorbed. Finally, the observed position (the topocentric astrometric position) of Alauda can be calculated via the solved plate model. Finally, by referring for some ephemeris, the positional (O - C) (the observed minus computed) of Alauda can be conveniently calculated.

#### 3.2 Close Approach Model

In figure 1, an asteroid (also called target) moves in the sky plane during a close approach event. It is supposed that the angular velocity can be expressed as a second order polynomial with time in the standard coordinate,

$$v = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2, \tag{1}$$

where v is the angular velocity of the target changing with time, and parameters  $a_0 \sim a_2$  are the constants. At any moment of t, the target moves to B with the shift s with respect to the starting point A where its recording time t is zero. During a close approach event, the asteroid has its maximum approximation at point C. The point C corresponds the minimum relative angular distance  $d_0$  (also called the impact parameter) between the target and reference star, and has an offset  $s_0$  from the point A. At the moment of t, the target has a distance d relative to the reference star in the standard coordinate. Thus,  $d^2$  has the following relationship,

$$d^{2} = p_{0} + p_{1}t + p_{2}t^{2} + p_{3}t^{3} + p_{4}t^{4} + p_{5}t^{5} + p_{6}t^{6}.$$
 (2)

Similar to Morgado et al. (2019), we can fit the square of distance  $d^2$  in equation (2) by the weighted least squares scheme for this sixth order polynomial with 7 parameters ( $p_0 \sim p_6$ ). Here, the weighted scheme is used according to the sigmoidal function (precision premium curve) as shown in Lin et al. (2019). The observed positions (which are calculated in Subsection 3.1) of the target and reference

**Table 2.** The observations of Alauda overview. The first column shows the observation date. The second column shows the number of frames acquired. The third column shows the exposure time. The fourth column shows the CCD used. The fifth column shows the approximate number of matched *Gaia* stars in the field of view for calibration. The mean seeings of each night are shown in the sixth column and the last column shows the number of close approach events.

Date (UT)	Frames (No.)	ExpTime (s)	CCD used	Calibrated stars (No.)	Seeing ('')	Approach events (No.)
2020-11-11	242	40	CCD#1	190	3.3	2
2020-11-12	340	30	CCD#1	170	3.2	3
2020-12-08	173	40	CCD#2	860	1.7	4
2021-01-15	130	60	CCD#2	600	1.2	3
2021-02-10	207	60	CCD#2	500	1.2	4



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of the motion model. The target moves relative to a reference star nearby along line *ACB* with its starting point *A* and has its zero recording time (t = 0) at the point *A*. The point *C* corresponds the relative minimum angular distance  $d_0$  (also called the impact parameter) between the target and reference star, and has a shift  $s_0$  from the point *A*.  $d_0^{\xi}$  and  $d_0^{\eta}$  are the projections of  $d_0$  in right ascension and declination, respectively. At any moment of *t*, the target moves to the point B with the distance *d* relative to the reference star, and the shift *s* equals the distance of *AB*.  $d^{\xi}$  and  $d^{\eta}$  are the projections of *d* in right ascension and declination, respectively.

star in each frame are used to calculate  $d^2$  and for fitting. The fitted curve is also called distance curve in Morgado et al. (2019). At the central instant  $t_0$ , the target has its impact parameter  $d_0$ , which can be computed by differentiating equation (2) and let it zero. Then, the expression will be shown as the following equation,

$$p_1 + 2p_2t_0 + 3p_3t_0^2 + 4p_4t_0^3 + 5p_5t_0^4 + 6p_6t_0^5 = 0, (3)$$

where  $t_0$  comes from the determination of the root in equation (3). Based on Newton-Raphson method, we can conveniently solve the value of  $t_0$  via programming. Then, we can calculate the impact parameter  $d_0$  ( $d_0 = d$  at the moment of  $t_0$ ) according to the solved  $t_0$  and parameters  $p_0 \sim p_6$ .

#### 3.3 Calculate the (O – C) in a Close Approach Event

In Figure 1, the motion of the target in the standard coordinate can be decomposed in right ascension (R.A.) and declination (Decl.). In each direction, we can also respectively fit the square of component distance  $(d^{\xi})^2$  and  $(d^{\eta})^2$  by a sixth order polynomial with time (i.e., equation (2)). Then, we substitute the central instant  $t_0$ , which corresponds to the resultant minimum distance  $d_0$  (which is solved in Subsection 3.2), to the respective fitted sixth order polynomial in each direction. Thus, we can conveniently calculate the components of the minimum distance (impact parameter)  $d_0^{\xi}$  in R.A. and  $d_0^{\eta}$  in Decl. in the standard coordinate. For the positions of the reference star, we can calculate its mean observed position ( $\xi^R$ ,  $\eta^R$ ) (the superscript *R* stands for the reference star) in the same standard coordinate. Of course, we can also directly use the computed position based on the catalog (if the catalog provides the precise position of the reference star). Then, the observed position of the target ( $\xi^o_{o}$ ,  $\eta^T_{o}$ ) (the superscript *T* stands for the target, while the subscript *O* stands for the observed position) at the moment of  $t_0$  can be expressed as,

$$\begin{cases} \xi_o^T = \xi^R + d_0^\xi \\ \eta_o^T = \eta^R + d_0^\eta \end{cases}$$
(4)

The signs (positive or negative) of  $d_0^{\xi}$  and  $d_0^{\eta}$  should be determined according to the relative position between the target and reference star by the observation near the moment of  $t_0$ . Through re-projection (Green 1985), we can calculate the observed position of the target  $(\alpha_o^T, \delta_o^T)$  in equatorial coordinate. We can acquire the computed position of the target  $(\alpha_c^T, \delta_c^T)$  from some ephemeris by submitting the central instant  $t_0$ . Finally, we can calculate the positional (O - C)(the observed minus computed) of the target in a close approach event.

#### 3.4 Comparison with Previous Works

Although our reduction method of close approach event is somewhat similar to Morgado et al. (2016, 2019), there are still some differences. The major difference is the coordinate system used during the reduction process. They reduced the observations in pixel coordinate, which introduces some problems: the determination of pixel scale, the orientation of CCD and geometric distortion. In this work, we reduce the observations in standard coordinate (the projection of equatorial coordinate), in which these effects can be calibrated in the plate model. In addition, we extend the method from two Galilean moons to a main-belt asteroid and its nearby reference star. Morgado et al. (2016, 2019) supposed the angular velocity with a first order polynomial with time and fitted the distance curve (equation (2)) with a fourth order polynomial, while we supposed the angular velocity with a second order polynomial and fit the distance curve with a sixth order polynomial to better reflect the accuracy and precision in the practice of a main-belt asteroid, which will be further discussed in Section 4.

**Table 3.** The reduced results for all the used observations of main-belt asteroid (702) Alauda. The second column shows the *Gaia* IDs of the reference stars for each close approach event. The third column gives the central instants ( $t_0$ ) in form of JD-2459000. The fourth and fifth columns list the (O - C)s of Alauda in R.A. and Decl., which are derived from the fitted scheme of both 4th order and 6th order polynomials, respectively. The impact parameters and magnitudes of the reference stars (*Gaia-G* magnitude) are listed in the sixth and seventh columns, respectively. The eighth column shows the estimated uncertainty of central instant according to Morgado et al. (2016). The ninth and tenth columns respectively show the observed minimum and maximum angular distance between Alauda and the reference star. The last column shows the duration for each close approach event. Below the results of each observation set, the mean positional (O - C)s and standard deviations are also listed together with conventional solutions.

2020-11-11         199965107955867776         165.3385796         -0.003 (-0.003)         0.001 (-0.002)         7.81         14.2         0.784         7.81         60.85         2.80           2020-11-11         199965103659693952         165.3713975         0.003 (-0.006)         -0.001 (-0.002)         33.09         15.3         1.682         33.08         57.61         3.05           2020-11-12         1999451037910664         166.3411577         0.000 (-0.001)         -0.001 (-0.001)         24.57         15.4         1.282         24.58         41.48         3.05           2020-11-12         1999450316746612608         166.3598021         0.004 (-0.007)         -0.001 (-0.001)         24.57         15.4         1.282         24.58         41.48         3.05           2020-12-08         188197534110556032         192.1526737         0.004 (-0.002)         30.94         16.0         2.322         30.93         70.54         2.44           2020-12-08         188197534110557696         192.165233         0.002 (-0.009)         -0.002 (-0.001)         38.02         15.4         1.422         38.02         63.85         2.44           2021-12-08         188197534110557696         192.167333         0.0004 (-0.002)         0.000 ± 0.002         63.85         2.4	Date (UT)	Reference Star ID	$t_0$ (JD-2459000)	$\Delta \alpha \cos \delta$ ('') 6th order (4th order)	$\Delta \delta$ ('') 6th order (4th order)	$d_0$ ('')	Star Mag	$\sigma_{t_0}$ (s)	Min Dis ('')	Max Dis ('')	Duration (hour)
2020-11-11         19996510755867776         165.3713975         0.003 (0.0002)         7.81         14.2         0.784         7.81         60.85         2.80           2020-11-11         19996510755867776         165.3713975         0.003 (0.006)         -0.001 (-0.002)         14.48         13.8         0.938         14.68         36.09         2.80           2020-11-12         199945106593952         165.3713975         0.000 (-0.001)         -0.004 (-0.003)         19.22         16.2         3.125         19.23         44.57         3.05           2020-11-12         199945105891746612608         166.35198021         0.001 ± 0.006         -0.002 ± 0.001         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.001         -0.002 ± 0.008         -0.002 ± 0.001         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.00											
2020-11-11       199095103659693952       165.3713975       0.003 ( 0.006)       -0.001 (-0.002)       14.68       13.8       0.938       14.68       36.09       2.80         2020-11-12       1990945103659179456       166.3279130       0.004 (-0.007)       -0.004 (-0.003)       19.22       16.2       3.125       19.23       44.57       3.05         2020-11-12       199045106293176064       166.3598021       0.004 (-0.007)       -0.001 (-0.001)       24.57       15.4       1.282       24.58       41.48       3.05         2020-11-12       199445316746612608       166.3598021       0.001 ± 0.006       -0.002 ± 0.002       -	2020-11-11	190965107955867776	165.3385796	-0.003 (-0.003)	0.001 (-0.002)	7.81	14.2	0.784	7.81	60.85	2.80
2020-11-12       199945110588179456       166.3279130       0.004 (0.007)       -0.004 (-0.003)       19.22       16.2       31.282       33.08       57.61       3.05         2020-11-12       19994510538179456       166.3411577       0.000 (-0.001)       -0.004 (-0.003)       19.22       16.2       31.282       24.58       44.57       3.05         2020-11-12       199945316746612608       166.3598021       0.001 ± 0.006       -0.002 ± 0.001       -2.4.57       15.4       1.282       24.58       41.48       3.05         Average position (4th order)       0.001 ± 0.003       -0.002 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.008       -0.002 ± 0.007       0.000 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.007       0.000 ± 0.002       -0.002 ± 0.007       0.000 ± 0.003       -0.002 ± 0.007       0.000 ± 0.003       -0.002 ± 0.007       0.000 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.000 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.001       -0.001 ± 0.001       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.001       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.001       -0.001 ± 0.0	2020-11-11	190965103659693952	165.3713975	0.003 ( 0.006)	-0.001 (-0.002)	14.68	13.8	0.938	14.68	36.09	2.80
2020-11-12         190945106293176064         166.3411577         0.000 (-0.001)         -0.004 (-0.003)         19.22         16.2         3.125         19.23         44.57         3.05           2020-11-12         190945316746612608         166.3598021         0.004 (-0.007)         -0.001 (-0.001)         24.57         15.4         1.282         24.58         41.48         3.05           Average position (4th order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution         0.001 ± 0.006         -0.002 ± 0.002         30.94         16.0         2.322         30.93         70.54         2.44           2020-12-08         188197534110557952         192.1526737         0.004 (0.002)         -0.002 + 0.001         23.43         15.0         0.988         23.42         62.34         2.44           2020-12-08         188197534110557966         192.155573         -0.002 (-0.001)         -0.002 + 0.003         15.4         1.422         38.02         63.85         2.44           2021-12-08         188197534110557966         192.157330         0.004 (-0.002)         -0.001 ± 0.003         15.4         1.422         38.02         63.85         2.44           Average position (4th order)         -0.002 ± 0.003         0.000 ± 0.003         15.4         1.422         38.02	2020-11-12	190945110588179456	166.3279130	0.004 ( 0.007)	-0.004 (-0.002)	33.09	15.3	1.682	33.08	57.61	3.05
2020-11-12         190945316746612608         166.3598021         0.004 (-0.007)         -0.001 (-0.001)         24.57         15.4         1.282         24.58         41.48         3.05           Average position (4th order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution         0.001 ± 0.003         -0.002 ± 0.001         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.001         23.43         15.0         0.988         23.42         62.34         2.44           2020-12-08         188197534110557696         192.1675330         0.004 (0.002)         -0.002 (-0.001)         38.02         15.4         1.422         38.02         63.85         2.44           2020-12-08         188197534110557696         192.1675330         -0.002 ± 0.007         0.000 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.007         0.000 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001	2020-11-12	190945106293176064	166.3411577	0.000 (-0.001)	-0.004 (-0.003)	19.22	16.2	3.125	19.23	44.57	3.05
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2020-11-12	190945316746612608	166.3598021	0.004 (-0.007)	-0.001 (-0.001)	24.57	15.4	1.282	24.58	41.48	3.05
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Average position (4th order)	$0.001 \pm 0.006$	$-0.002 \pm 0.001$						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Average position (6th order)	$0.001 \pm 0.003$	$-0.002 \pm 0.002$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Conventional solution	$0.006 \pm 0.010$	$-0.002 \pm 0.008$						
2020-12-08       188197534110557952       192.1595573       -0.002 (-0.009)       -0.002 (-0.001)       23.43       15.0       0.988       23.42       62.34       2.44         2020-12-08       188197534110557696       192.1675330       0.004 (0.002)       -0.002 (-0.001)       38.02       15.4       1.422       38.02       63.85       2.44         Average position (4th order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution       -0.002 ± 0.003       0.000 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.002       -0.001 ± 0.002       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.008       -0.001 ± 0.003       -0.004 ± 0.006       72.65       13.8       2.245       72.65       82.44       2.54         2021-01-15       173523344549362432       230.0668542       0.000 (-0.003)       -0.004 ± 0.004       -0.003 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.004       -2.54	2020-12-08	188197534110556032	192.1526737	0.004 ( 0.005)	0.004 ( 0.002)	30.94	16.0	2.322	30.93	70.54	2.44
2020-12-08         188197534110557696         192.1675330         0.004 (0.002)         -0.002 (-0.001)         38.02         15.4         1.422         38.02         63.85         2.44           Average position (4h order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution         -0.002 ± 0.007 0.000 ± 0.003         0.000 ± 0.002 -0.001 ± 0.008         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.002         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.003         -0.001 ± 0.004         -2.54         2.245         72.65         82.44         2.54           2021-01-15         173523344549362432         230.0668542         0.000 (-0.003)         -0.006 ± 0.001         -0.003 ± 0.005         -0.006 ± 0.001         -0.003 ± 0.004         -0.005 ± 0.009         -2.156         1.355         32.21         54.01         2.54           2021-02-10         159439940627025408         256.0220522         0.000 (0.003)         -0.001 (0.000)         47.25         12.1         3.426         47.24         76.93         <	2020-12-08	188197534110557952	192.1595573	-0.002 (-0.009)	-0.002 (-0.001)	23.43	15.0	0.988	23.42	62.34	2.44
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2020-12-08	188197534110557696	192.1675330	0.004 ( 0.002)	-0.002 (-0.001)	38.02	15.4	1.422	38.02	63.85	2.44
Average position (4th order)       -0.003 ± 0.003       -0.001 ± 0.003         2021-01-15       173523550707799552       230.0214632       -0.003 (0.002)       -0.011 (-0.006)       15.17       16.7       1.454       15.15       43.50       2.54         2021-01-15       1735235550707799552       230.0214632       -0.003 (0.002)       -0.014 (-0.006)       72.65       13.8       2.245       72.65       82.44       2.54         2021-01-15       173523344549362432       230.0590659       -0.005 (-0.003)       -0.006 (-0.005)       32.20       15.6       1.355       32.21       54.01       2.54         Average position (4th order)       -0.003 ± 0.005       -0.006 ± 0.001         Average position (6th order)       -0.003 ± 0.007       -0.005 ± 0.009       -0.005 ± 0.009       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.001 ± 0.004       -0.002 ± 0.002       27.49       15.4       1.720       27.48       60.72       4.27         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.0220522       0.000 (0.004)       -0.002 ± 0.002       27.49       15.4       1.720       27.48       60.72       4.27         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.0370666			Average position (4th order)	$-0.002 \pm 0.007$	$0.000 \pm 0.002$						
2021-01-15         173523550707799552         230.0214632         -0.001 ± 0.012         -0.001 ± 0.008           2021-01-15         173523550707799552         230.0214632         -0.003 (0.002)         -0.011 (-0.006)         15.17         16.7         1.454         15.15         43.50         2.54           2021-01-15         173523275829562624         230.0590659         -0.003 (-0.003)         -0.006 (-0.005)         32.20         15.6         1.355         32.21         54.01         2.54           2021-01-15         173523344549362432         230.0668542         0.000 (-0.003)         -0.006 ± 0.001         -0.006 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.007         -0.005 ± 0.009         -0.001 ± 0.004         -0.001 ± 0.007         -0.005 ± 0.009         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.001 ± 0.001         -0.002 ± 0.002         27.49         15.4         1.720         27.48         60.72         4.27           2021-02-10         159439910562389760         256.03984256         0.0001 (0.000)         -0.002 ± 0.002         27.48         64.39			Average position (6th order)	$0.002 \pm 0.003$	$0.000 \pm 0.002$ $0.000 \pm 0.003$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Conventional solution	$-0.001 \pm 0.012$	$-0.001 \pm 0.008$						
$\frac{2021-01-13}{2021-01-15}  1735233300719532 \\ 173523327582956264 \\ 230.0590659 \\ 230.0668542 \\ 2021-01-15 \\ 173523344549362432 \\ 230.0668542 \\ 20.000 (-0.003) \\ -0.000 (-0.003) \\ -0.006 (-0.005) \\ 32.20 \\ 15.6 \\ 1.355 \\ 32.21 \\ 54.01 \\ 2.54 \\ 2$	2021 01 15	172522550707700552	220 0214622	0.002 ( 0.002)	0.011 ( 0.006)	15.17	16.7	1 454	15.15	42.50	2.54
2021-01-13       173222/3629302024       230.0590639       4.000 (-0.003)       -0.001 (-0.006)       72.03       13.6       2.243       72.03       82.44       2.34         2021-01-15       173523344549362432       230.0668542       0.000 (-0.003)       -0.006 (-0.005)       32.20       15.6       1.355       32.21       54.01       2.54         Average position (4th order)       -0.003 ± 0.005       -0.006 ± 0.001         Average position (6th order)       -0.001 ± 0.007       -0.005 ± 0.009       -0.005 ± 0.009       -0.005 ± 0.009       -0.001 ± 0.007       -0.005 ± 0.009       47.25       12.1       3.426       47.24       76.93       4.27         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.0220522       0.000 (0.003)       0.001 (0.000)       47.25       12.1       3.426       47.24       76.93       4.27         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.020522       0.000 (0.004)       -0.003 (-0.002)       27.49       15.4       1.720       27.48       60.72       4.27         2021-02-10       159439910562389760       256.0984256       0.000 (0.004)       -0.003 (-0.003)       53.03       14.7       1.832       53.03       69.98       4.27         2021-02-10       1594397387636696640       256.	2021-01-15	173525550707799552	230.0214032	-0.005 ( 0.002)	-0.011 (-0.006)	13.17	10.7	1.434	13.13	45.50	2.54
2021-01-13       173223544349502432       2500006342       0.000 (-0.003)       -0.000 (-0.003)       32.20       13.0       1.333       32.21       34.01       2.34         Average position (4th order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution       -0.003 ± 0.005       -0.006 ± 0.001       -0.010 ± 0.004         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.0220522       0.000 (0.003)       0.001 (0.000)       47.25       12.1       3.426       47.24       76.93       4.27         2021-02-10       159439940627025408       256.0220522       0.000 (0.004)       -0.002 (-0.002)       27.49       15.4       1.720       27.48       60.72       4.27         2021-02-10       159439910562389760       256.0984256       0.000 (0.004)       -0.003 (-0.003)       53.03       14.7       1.832       53.03       69.98       4.27         2021-02-10       159439738763696640       256.1304893       -0.002 (-0.001)       0.000 (-0.003)       25.45       16.0       2.162       25.44       64.39       4.27         2021-02-10       159439738763696640       256.1304893       -0.002       -0.002       25.45       16.0       2.162       25.44       64.39       4.27         2021-02-10       159439738763696640       256.1304893	2021-01-15	172522273629302024	230.0390039	-0.003 (-0.008)	-0.014 (-0.006)	72.03	15.6	1.245	72.03	62.44 54.01	2.34
Average position (4th order) Average position (6th order) Conventional solution         -0.003 ± 0.005 -0.003 ± 0.003 -0.010 ± 0.004         -0.010 ± 0.004 -0.005 ± 0.009           2021-02-10         159439940627025408         256.0220522         0.000 (0.003)         0.001 (0.000)         47.25         12.1         3.426         47.24         76.93         4.27           2021-02-10         159439940627025408         256.0220522         0.000 (0.003)         -0.002 (-0.002)         27.49         15.4         1.720         27.48         60.72         4.27           2021-02-10         159439910562389760         256.0984256         0.000 (0.004)         -0.003 (-0.003)         53.03         14.7         1.832         53.03         69.98         4.27           2021-02-10         159439738763696640         256.1304893         -0.002 (-0.001)         0.000 (-0.003)         25.45         16.0         2.162         25.44         64.39         4.27           2021-02-10         159439738763696640         256.1304893         -0.002 (-0.002)         25.45         16.0         2.162         25.44         64.39         4.27           2021-02-10         159439738763696640         26.014 horder)         0.001 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         4.27	2021-01-13	175525544549502452	250.0008342	0.000 (-0.003)	-0.006 (-0.003)	32.20	15.0	1.555	52.21	54.01	2.34
Average position (6th order) Conventional solution         -0.003 ± 0.003 -0.001 ± 0.007         -0.010 ± 0.004 -0.005 ± 0.009           2021-02-10         159439940627025408         256.0220522         0.000 (0.003)         0.001 (0.000)         47.25         12.1         3.426         47.24         76.93         4.27           2021-02-10         159439940627025408         256.0370666         -0.001 (0.000)         -0.002 (-0.002)         27.49         15.4         1.720         27.48         60.72         4.27           2021-02-10         159439910562389760         256.0984256         0.000 (0.004)         -0.003 (-0.003)         53.03         14.7         1.832         53.03         69.98         4.27           2021-02-10         159439738763696640         256.1304893         -0.002 (-0.001)         0.000 (-0.003)         25.45         16.0         2.162         25.44         64.39         4.27           Average position (4th order)         0.001 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002			Average position (4th order)	$-0.003 \pm 0.005$	$-0.006 \pm 0.001$						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Average position (6th order)	$-0.003 \pm 0.003$	$-0.010 \pm 0.004$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Conventional solution	$-0.001 \pm 0.007$	$-0.005 \pm 0.009$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2021-02-10	159439940627025408	256.0220522	0.000 ( 0.003)	0.001 ( 0.000)	47.25	12.1	3.426	47.24	76.93	4.27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2021-02-10	159440048001339008	256.0370666	-0.001 ( 0.000)	-0.002 (-0.002)	27.49	15.4	1.720	27.48	60.72	4.27
2021-02-10         159439738763696640         256.1304893         -0.002 (-0.001)         0.000 (-0.003)         25.45         16.0         2.162         25.44         64.39         4.27           Average position (4th order)         0.001 ± 0.002         -0.002 ± 0.002         ±0.001         ±0.00	2021-02-10	159439910562389760	256.0984256	0.000 ( 0.004)	-0.003 (-0.003)	53.03	14.7	1.832	53.03	69.98	4.27
Average position (4th order) $0.001 \pm 0.002$ $-0.002 \pm 0.002$ Average position (6th order) $0.001 \pm 0.001$ $-0.002 \pm 0.002$	2021-02-10	159439738763696640	256.1304893	-0.002 (-0.001)	0.000 (-0.003)	25.45	16.0	2.162	25.44	64.39	4.27
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$			Average position (4th order)	$0.001 \pm 0.002$	$-0.002 \pm 0.002$						
			Average position (6th order)	$-0.001 \pm 0.002$	$-0.001 \pm 0.001$						
Convertional solution 0.001 ± 0.001 ± 0.001 ± 0.001			Conventional solution	$-0.001 \pm 0.001$	$-0.001 \pm 0.001$						



**Figure 2.** In this figure, the left panel shows the distributions of the absolute positional residuals  $(\sqrt{(\Delta \alpha \cos \delta)^2 + (\Delta \delta)^2})$  derived from close approach events against *Gaia-G* magnitudes, while the right panel shows the distributions against impact parameters. The residuals plotted in blue diamond and red asterisk are distinguished for their larger values. The residual in blue diamond is large (left panel) might be due to its large impact factor (right panel). The residual in red asterisk is large (right panel) might be due to the faintness of the reference star (left panel).

#### **4 RESULTS**

# 4.1 Astrometric Results

As shown in Subsection 3.1, we calculate the positional (O - C)s of Alauda in each frame, which are here called the conventional

solutions. The observed positions (as calculated in Subsection 3.1) in each frame are further used for calculation and fitting to derive the observed positions of each close approach event. For this astrometric reduction, the positional effects of geometric distortion, atmospheric refraction, DCR effect have been taken into account. In addition,

we notice that there is a satellite of Alauda, which might affect our positional measurement. According to light ratio, mass ratio and the angular distance between the primary and its satellite presented in Rojo & Margot (2011), the evaluated positional difference between their photocenter and the center of mass caused by the satellite is less than negligible 1 milli-arcsecond using the method of Peng et al. (2023).

In order to derive the positional (O - C) of Alauda for each close approach event (at the moment of maximum approximation), we compute the square of the observed distance  $d^2$  between the target and reference star in the standard coordinate. Then, we can solve the central instant  $t_0$  by solving the root of equation (3). Meanwhile, we also fit the six order polynomials (equation (2)) in the direction of R.A. and Decl.. Based on the solved central instant  $t_0$ , we can calculate the component of the minimum distance  $d_0^{\xi}$  in R.A. and  $d_0^{\eta}$  in Decl.. According to equation (4), we calculate the observed positions of the target and project it to the equatorial coordinate. The computed positions of the target are derived from JPL ephemeris<sup>1</sup> (JPL#116 and DE441). For comparison, we also calculate the results if supposing the squares of the relative distances  $(d^2, (d^{\xi})^2, (d^{\eta})^2)$ are the fourth order polynomials with time. The results of the positional (O - C) are shown in Table 3 together with some information of each close approach event.

Compared with conventional solutions, the positional precision of results from close approach events (fitted with both fourth and sixth order polynomial) are improved significantly. The observed topocentric astrometric positions of Alauda (fitted by six order polynomials) via close approach events are shown in Table 4. For the scheme of fitted by a fourth order polynomial, the standard variations of each observation set are 0''002 ~ 0''007 and 0''001 ~ 0''002 in R.A. and Decl., respectively. For the scheme of fitted by a sixth order polynomial, the standard variations of each observation set are  $0.001 \sim$ 0.003 and  $0.001 \sim 0.004$  in R.A. and Decl., respectively. Both the mean (O - C)s from close approach events are similar to the results of conventional solutions. In our observations, the angular velocity of the target in R.A. usually strides across the peak of the velocity variation caused by diurnal parallax, while the angular velocity in Decl. is not. Considering the effect of diurnal parallax, we adopt the scheme of fitting by a sixth order polynomial, which will better reflect the accuracy and precision in the practice. Usually for a main-belt asteroid, if we observe the target within a short time span (e.g. within 2 hours) and the angular velocity will not strides across the peak of the velocity variation, a fourth order polynomial for fitted can derive good results. In Subsection 4.3, we will present the difference of the fitted residual in a specific case.

With the fitted scheme of a six order polynomial, we do not find the obvious relevance between positional accuracy and magnitudes of reference stars or the impact parameters, which can be shown in Figure 2, because the effects of atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics might not be dominant in positional errors. The positional accuracy of each event might be also affected by the other conditions such as the uncertainty of central instant. However, we think the dominating effect in this work is the centering errors of Alauda and reference stars, which will be elaborated in the following subsection. In Figure 2, most of the absolute positional residuals  $(\sqrt{(\Delta \alpha \cos \delta)^2 + (\Delta \delta)^2})$  range from 2 to 6 milli-arcseconds, except two in different shape. The positional absolute residual in blue diamond might be affected by the larger impact parameter, while the

**Table 4.** The table shows the topocentric astrometric positions of asteroid (702) Alauda via close approach event. The IAU code of the observatory is 286. The first column lists the Julian dates of maximum approximation. The second and third columns list the corresponding positions in right ascension (R.A.) and declination (Decl.), respectively.

Julian Date	R.A. (h m s)	Decl. (° ′ ′′)
2459165.3385796	05 36 42.5223	+39 46 37.745
2459165.3713975	05 36 41.1470	+39 46 35.894
2459166.3279130	05 36 02.0518	+39 45 42.400
2459166.3411577	05 36 01.4756	+39 45 41.572
2459166.3598021	05 36 00.6663	+39 45 40.382
2459192.1526737	05 12 10.8423	+38 29 09.676
2459192.1595573	05 12 10.3978	+38 29 07.612
2459192.1675330	05 12 09.8837	+38 29 05.222
2459230.0214632	04 41 41.7784	+34 02 06.951
2459230.0590659	04 41 40.8386	+34 01 49.600
2459230.0668542	04 41 40.6437	+34 01 45.992
2459256.0220522	04 40 31.0515	+31 00 06.122
2459256.0370666	04 40 31.2851	+31 00 00.680
2459256.0984256	04 40 32.2478	+30 59 38.324
2459256.1304893	04 40 32.7584	+30 59 26.573

residual in red asterisk might be due to the faintness of the reference star.

#### 4.2 Case Analysis

In this subsection, we will analyze two typical cases for the close approach events in this work. For more details of the close approach events, one can find the figures of all the distance curves and the fitted residuals with a sixth order polynomial in the Appendix.

Figure 3 shows the fitted residuals of equation (2) with time when Alauda approached the reference star whose *Gaia* ID is 190965103659693952 observed on Nov 11, 2020. The left panel shows the fitted residuals of resultant  $d^2$ , while the right panel shows residuals of the components  $(d^{\xi})^2$  in R.A. and  $(d^{\eta})^2$  in Decl.. The residual dispersion of  $d^2$ ,  $(d^{\xi})^2$  and  $(d^{\eta})^2$  almost show the consistency with time. Near the central instant  $t_0 = 0.371$  (with the minimum relative angular distance  $d_0$ ), the dispersion of fitted residuals reach the minimum, which is mainly due to the similar effects of atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics when the relative angular distance is small. However, the minimum dispersion may not be exactly located at the moment of  $t_0$  for the possible reasons of the change of seeing, air mass and the characteristics of local CCD chip. The mentioned factors affect the precision of centering, and further affect the observed  $d^2$  for fitting.

Figure 4 shows the fitted residuals of  $d^2$  in equation (2) for another close approach event observed on Feb 10, 2021 with the nearby reference star's *Gaia* ID 159439940627025408. For the left panel, we notice that near the moment of  $t_0 = 0.022$ , the dispersion of fitted residual for  $d^2$  is small. The dispersion reaches the minimum near the moment of t = 0.035. From the right panel, the dispersion of  $(d^{\xi})^2$ in R.A. reaches the minimum near the moment of t = 0.035, whereas the dispersion  $(d^{\eta})^2$  in Decl. reaches the minimum near the moment of t = 0.08. The reasons are presented as follows. Firstly, near the moment of t = 0.08, the SNRs of the sources in the field of view are higher due to the better weather conditions, and the centering errors of both Alauda and the reference star become smaller. Figure 5 shows the change of SNRs of both Alauda and the reference star. It can be seen that near the moment of t = 0.08, the SNRs of the reference star are larger due to the better weather conditions, which makes the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi#top



**Figure 3.** The fitted residuals of  $d^2$  in equation (2) with time in a close approach event observed on Nov 11, 2020. The *Gaia* ID of the reference star is 190965103659693952. The left panel shows the resultant fitted residuals, while the right panel shows the components in the direction of R.A. and Decl..

positional precision better. The SNRs of Alauda will be affected by the weather conditions, other nearby stars and its rotation. Secondly, the telescope used is equatorial-mounted. The tracking accuracy in R.A. becomes worse when pointing to the west. Hence, the dispersion residuals in the direction of R.A. and Decl. are not consistent.

Theoretically, in a close approach event, the dispersion of fitted residual of  $d^2$  will reach the minimum with the minimum relative angular distance  $d_0$  at the moment of  $t_0$ . However, in fact, the centering errors will be affected by some factors such as the change of weather conditions, air mass, tracking accuracy, dome occlusion and local characteristics of CCD chip. These effects might affect and dominate the positional errors. If the asteroid with higher SNR approaches brighter reference stars, the positional errors might be dominated by the atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics. In such a case, we believe that more precise positions will be obtained from close approach events.

#### 4.3 Discussion

In this work, we suppose that the angular velocity of a main-belt asteroid is a second order polynomial with time as shown in equation (1) in a close approach event. Figure 6 shows the change of angular velocity with time from Nov 11 to 15, 2021 in both R.A. and Decl. from JPL ephemeris. It can be seen that the angular velocity changes sinusoidally with time due to the diurnal parallax. During the time, the declination of Alauda is about  $40^{\circ}$  and the amplitudes in both R.A. and Decl. are numerically similar. For the asteroids (especially for NEAs) in a close approach event, to obtain the precise positions with the level of several milli-arcseconds, the angular velocity model of at least the second order polynomial with time should be supposed. For comparison, Figure 7 shows the fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with different supposed angular velocity models in the close approach event of reference star ID 188197534110557952 on Dec 8, 2020. The positions of Alauda are taken from JPL ephemeris while the position of the star are from Gaia DR3 catalog. The angular velocity model with a second order polynomial ( $d^2$  is a sixth order polynomial with time) can be fitted better with the residuals close to zero. If we take the angular velocity model with a first order polynomial  $(d^2)$ is a fourth order polynomial with time), the fitted residuals can reach about  $0.2 \ arcsec^2$  (depend on the central instant), which might cause the positional errors of several milli-arcseconds in a close approach event. With the fixed fitted errors, the positional errors depend on the values of impact parameter  $(d_0, d_0^{\xi})$  and  $d_0^{\eta})$ . The smaller the impact parameter is, the larger positional error will cause. The difference of the two fitted velocity models (first-order or second-order polynomial) is the consideration of diurnal parallax effect. We can fit the diurnal parallax effect well using a second-order polynomial velocity model. There will be larger diurnal parallax effect for angular velocities of NEAs. Therefore, we should also consider this effect using the second-order polynomial velocity model for NEAs to derive accurate positions. For Kuiper-belt objects (KBOs), both their angular velocities and diurnal parallax effects are much smaller. The technique in this work might not work well for KBOs because they only pass across the small angular distance during one night. However, if one would like to make use of the close approach event, we still recommend using the second-order polynomial velocity model so that the diurnal parallax effect can be well considered. Perhaps, for the close approach event of natural satellites, the angular velocity model with higher order polynomial with time should be supposed to obtain the precise positions (e.g., with the precision better than 5 milli-arcseconds).

The keys to obtaining precise position in this work are presented as follows. Firstly, we reduce the observations in standard coordinate instead of pixel coordinate. In this way, the effects of pixel scale, CCD orientation, geometric distortion and atmospheric refraction can be taken into account using accurate and precise positions of calibrated stars provided by Gaia catalog. Secondly, the DCR effect of both the target and reference star should be calibrated. The positional systematical errors affect the calculation of the relative angular distance between the target and reference star. Even if we used the Cousins-I filter to minimize the DCR effect, the positional errors of relative angular distance might reach about 10 milli-arcseconds for each frame (mainly depend on the observed zenith distance and the different color indices between the target and reference stars). Thirdly, when the object passes through a dense star field, observations of multiple close approach events can be captured during one night and more precise positions can be obtained. To fully tap the potential of close approach event, the target and nearby reference star should be bright enough so that the effects of atmospheric turbulence and local telescope optics dominate the positional errors.



**Figure 4.** The fitted residuals of  $d^2$  in equation (2) with time in a close approach event observed on Feb 10, 2021. The *Gaia* ID of the reference star is 159439940627025408. The left panel shows the resultant fitted residuals, while the right panel shows the components in the direction of R.A. and Decl..



**Figure 5.** The figure shows the change of SNRs of Alauda and reference star (*Gaia* ID: 159439940627025408) with time on Feb 10, 2021. The red and black spots shows the SNRs of Alauda and the reference star, respectively.

# **5** CONCLUSION

In this work, we explore a method to obtain the precise positions of a main-belt asteroid in the close approach event. We perform reduction in the standard coordinate to calibrate the effects of pixel scale, CCD orientation and geometric distortion. To further improve the fitted precision, the angular velocity model with a second order polynomial is supposed. In practice, 15 close approach events of the main-belt asteroid (702) Alauda are taken over 5 nights. The positional precision of the close approach events reach 1~3 and 1~4 milli-arcseconds in R.A., and Decl., respectively. Compared with the similar work of Morgado et al. (2019), we extend the application from Galilean moons to a main-belt asteroid and the reference star nearby. We derive better precision for the asteroid (702) Alauda compared with the precision of 11.3 milli-arcseconds in the practice of Galilean moons. If we tap the potential of the close approach event in the proper conditions, the positional precision might be comparable to that is from stellar occultation.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2022YFE0116800), by the China Manned Space Project (Grant No. CMS-CSST-2021-B08), by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11873026, 11273014) and Joint Research Fund in Astronomy (Grant No. U1431227). We thank the anonymous reviewer who provided us with valuable comments, Dr. Lin F. R. who had a helpful discussion with us and Mr. Cao J. L. who helped us match the observations. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC; hppts: //www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson J., Bedin L. R., Piotto G., Yadav R. S., Bellini A., 2006, A&A, 454, 1029
- Del Vigna A., Faggioli L., Milani A., Spoto F., Farnocchia D., Carry B., 2018, A&A, 617, A61
- Desmars J., Bancelin D., Hestroffer D., Thuillot W., 2013, A&A, 554, A32
- Ferreira J. F., Tanga P., Spoto F., Machado P., Herald D., 2022, A&A, 658, A73
- Gaia Collaboration et al., 2023, A&A, 674, A1
- Green R. M., 1985, Spherical Astronomy. Cambridge University Press
- Greenberg A. H., Margot J.-L., Verma A. K., Taylor P. A., Hodge S. E., 2020, AJ, 159, 92
- Herald D., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 499, 4570
- Kammer J. A., et al., 2020, AJ, 159, 26
- Lin F. R., Peng J. H., Zheng Z. J., Peng Q. Y., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 4382
- Lin F. R., Peng Q. Y., Zheng Z. J., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 258
- Lin F. R., Peng Q. Y., Zheng Z. J., Guo B. F., Shang Y. J., 2021, Ap&SS, 366, 59



Figure 6. The change of Alauda's angular velocity with time of JD 2459165  $\sim$  2459169 (Nov 11  $\sim$  15, 2020) from JPL ephemeris. The left and right panels show the angular velocity with time in R.A and Decl., respectively.



Figure 7. The figure shows the fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The black plots shows the fitted residuals with the angular velocity model with a first order polynomial, while the red shows fitted residuals of the angular velocity model with a second order polynomial.

Milani A., Gronchi G. F., 2010, Theory of Orbital Determination

- Morgado B., Assafin M., Vieira-Martins R., Camargo J. I. B., Dias-Oliveira A., Gomes-Júnior A. R., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 4086
- Morgado B., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 5190
- Peng Q. Y., Vienne A., Zhang Q. F., Desmars J., Yang C. Y., He H. F., 2012, AJ, 144, 170
- Peng Q. Y., Guo B. F., Vienne A., Tian W., Lu X., Zheng Z. J., 2023, A&A, 672, A61
- Rojo P., Margot J. L., 2011, ApJ, 727, 69
- Santos-Filho S., Assafin M., Morgado B. E., Vieira-Martins R., Camargo J. I. B., Gomes-Júnior A. R., Benedetti-Rossi G., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 3464
- Shao M., Nemati B., Zhai C., Turyshev S. G., Sandhu J., Hallinan G., Harding L. K., 2014, ApJ, 782, 1
- Siltala L., Granvik M., 2020, A&A, 633, A46
- Spoto F., et al., 2017, A&A, 607, A21
- Stetson P. B., 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Stone R. C., 2002, PASP, 114, 1070
- Velasco S., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 3519
- Wang N., Peng Q. Y., Zhang X. L., Zhang Q. F., Li Z., Meng X. H., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 3805



**Figure A1.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 190965107955867776, approached on Nov 11, 2020.

Zhai C., et al., 2018, AJ, 156, 65 Zheng Z. J., Peng Q. Y., Vienne A., Lin F. R., Guo B. F., 2022, A&A, 661, A75

# APPENDIX A: DISTANCE CURVE AND FITTED RESIDUAL

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/IATFX file prepared by the author.



**Figure A2.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 190965103659693952, approached on Nov 11, 2020.



**Figure A3.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 190945110588179456, approached on Nov 12, 2020.



**Figure A4.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 190945106293176064, approached on Nov 12, 2020.



**Figure A5.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 190945316746612608, approached on Nov 12, 2020.



**Figure A6.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 188197534110556032, approached on Dec 8, 2020.



**Figure A7.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 188197534110557952, approached on Dec 8, 2020.



**Figure A8.** The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 188197534110557696, approached on Dec 8, 2020.



Figure A9. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 173523550707799552, approached on Jan 15, 2021.



Figure A10. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 173523275829562624, approached on Jan 15, 2021.



Figure A11. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 173523344549362432, approached on Jan 15, 2021.



Figure A12. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 159439940627025408, approached on Feb 10, 2021.



Figure A13. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 159440048001339008, approached on Feb 10, 2021.



Figure A14. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 159439910562389760, approached on Feb 10, 2021.



Figure A15. The figure shows the distance curve and fitted residuals of  $d^2$  with time. The reference star ID is 159439738763696640, approached on Feb 10, 2021.