

Nonreciprocal photon transport in indirectly coupled whispering-gallery mode resonators

Gang Li, Ying Qiao Zhang*, and Xing Ri Jin*

Department of Physics, College of Science, Yanbian University, Yanji, Jilin 133002, China

We explore the reflection and transmission characteristics of a system composed of two whispering-gallery mode resonators. Each resonator contains a Zeeman split quantum dot and side-couples to an optical fiber. The results indicate that the unidirectional reflectionlessness and unidirectional transmissionlessness can be achieved by adjusting the coupling strength between the resonators and optical fiber. Besides, a one-to-one correspondence is set up between the resonance frequencies of quantum dots' energy levels and the positions of low reflection (transmission) peaks when phase shift is π . This research provides the valuable insights for the developments of quantum optical devices such as isolators, circulators, and routers.

Nonreciprocal photonic devices[1–3], such as isolators[4], filters[5] and like-diodes[6], are commonly used to control photon transport and play the essential roles in quantum information and quantum computing[7–9]. However, conventional magneto-optic systems struggle to produce nonreciprocal devices that meet the demands of modern miniaturization. To solve this problem, some alternative non-magnetic techniques are proposed, including dynamic modulation dependent on refractive index[10], stimulated polarization inter scattering based on photoacoustic effects[11], modulation dielectric constant[12, 13] and intensity dependent isolation caused by optical nonlinearity[14, 15]. Not only that, several non-magnetic systems such as metamaterials[16–18], optomechanical circuits[19–21], cavity-based photonic devices[22–24] and whispering-gallery mode (WGM) systems[25] have been proposed for the study of nonreciprocal photon trans-

mission. Among these systems, WGM resonator has garnered a great deal of attention from researchers and been widely employed to investigate photon transport behaviors such as nonreciprocity[2, 26], nonlinear optical response[27, 28], electromagnetic-like induced transparency[29–31], and so on, due to its simplicity in fabrication, low mode volume, high quality factor and minimal energy loss.

In recent years, lots of researches based on WGM resonator focused on exploring the transmission characteristics in nonreciprocal systems[32–37]. For example, in 2011, Mi *et al.* successfully achieved asymmetric transmission and reflection by using a WGM resonator system embedded with Zeeman split quantum dot[33]. They also analyzed the effects of some system parameters on scattering characteristics. In 2014, Chang *et al.* designed a parity-time symmetric WGM system with balanced gain and loss, which enabled the experimental realization of nonreciprocal light transmission[34]. In 2016, Jiang *et al.* proposed a single WGM resonator with gain-induced nonlinearity to effectively suppress signal power loss, resulting in successful experimental realization of nonreciprocal light transmission[35]. In 2018, Ruesink *et al.* demonstrated the nonreciprocal circulation of light through radiation pressure interactions in a three-mode system[36]. The aforementioned investigations mainly focused on transmission characteristics, whereas optical devices based on the mechanism of unidirectional reflectionlessness (UR)[38–40] can not only protect upper stage optical devices from reflected light in the cascade circuit to improve system stability, but also convey information, similar to transmission. Up to now, UR has demonstrated the significant potential applications in sensors, diodes, isolators, filters, and so on, and thus researches on UR continues to grow. In 2021, Huang *et al.* employed a pair of indirectly

Ying Qiao Zhang*: yqzhang@ybu.edu.cn

Xing Ri Jin*: xrjin@ybu.edu.cn

coupled WGM resonators to achieve multi-band UR of photons through modulation of the inter-mode backscatterings of resonators[41]. In the reciprocal system that the equivalent transmission in both directions was exhibited. Obviously, these systems previously mentioned only investigated the transmission or reflection characteristics, without considering achieving complete non-reciprocity in both channels, whereas directional transport in both channels is vital to enhance the controllability of photons.

To this end, we propose a non-reciprocal system consisting of two WGM resonators that are individually embedded with a Zeeman split quantum dot (QD)[42–44] and indirectly coupled through an optical fiber. By optimizing some sys-

tem parameters, we demonstrate the simultaneous realization of UR and unidirectional transmissionlessness (UT). Moreover, the conversion between UR and UT can be achieved by adjusting the coupling strength between WGM resonators and optical fiber. Additionally, a one-to-one correspondence is established between the resonant frequencies of QDs energy levels and the positions of UR and UT peaks.

1 Model and calculations

The schematic of system is shown in Fig.1 (a) and energy levels of QD is shown in Fig.1 (b). Assuming that the WGM resonators and QDs have the same loss rates γ , then the Hamiltonian of the system can be written as (assuming $\hbar = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \int dx \{ [-iv_g C_R^\dagger(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C_R(x) + iv_g C_L^\dagger(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C_L(x)] \\
& + \sum_{j=1,2} G_j \delta[x - (j-1)d] (C_R^\dagger C_{a_j} + C_L^\dagger C_{b_j} + \text{H.c.}) \} \\
& + \sum_{j=1,2} \{ [g_j (C_{a_j} \sigma_{R_j}^\dagger + C_{b_j} \sigma_{L_j}^\dagger) + h_j C_{a_j}^\dagger C_{b_j} + \text{H.c.}] \\
& + (\omega_0 - \omega_j - i\gamma) \sigma_{R_j}^\dagger \sigma_{R_j} + (\omega_0 + \omega_j - i\gamma) \sigma_{L_j}^\dagger \sigma_{L_j} \\
& + (\omega_{a_j} - i\gamma) C_{a_j}^\dagger C_{a_j} + (\omega_{b_j} - i\gamma) C_{b_j}^\dagger C_{b_j} \}, \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_R^\dagger(x)$ ($C_R(x)$) and $C_L^\dagger(x)$ ($C_L(x)$) are creation (annihilation) operators at x for forward and backward propagating photon along fiber, respectively. $C_{b_j}^\dagger$ (C_{b_j}) and $C_{a_j}^\dagger$ (C_{a_j}) are creation (annihilation) operators of CW mode b_j and CCW mode a_j with resonance frequencies ω_{b_j} and ω_{a_j} , respectively. $\sigma_{L_j}^\dagger$ (σ_{L_j}) and $\sigma_{R_j}^\dagger$ (σ_{R_j}) are transition operators which mean the transitions from states $|g_j\rangle$ ($|e_{L_j}\rangle$) to $|e_{L_j}\rangle$ ($|g_j\rangle$) and $|g_j\rangle$ ($|e_{R_j}\rangle$) to $|e_{R_j}\rangle$ ($|g_j\rangle$), respectively. v_g is group velocity of photon. G_j (g_j) is coupling strength between the j th WGM resonator and fiber (j th QD), and h_j is transition rate between b_j and a_j . We set up $\omega_{a1} = \omega_{a2} = \omega_a$,

$\omega_{b1} = \omega_{b2} = \omega_b$, $G_1 = G_2 = G$, $g_1 = g_2 = g$ and $h_1 = h_2 = h$ for the sake of simplicity in the following discussions.

Assuming that photon with energy $E_k = \omega = v_g k$ is incident along the forward direction, where ω and k are frequency and wave vector of the incident photon, and state of system is (assume $\omega_a = \omega_b = \omega$ and system is originally prepared in vacuum state $|O\rangle$)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle = & \int dx [\Phi_{k,R}(x) C_R^\dagger(x) + \Phi_{k,L}(x) C_L^\dagger(x)] |O\rangle \\
& + (\varepsilon_{a_j} C_{a_j}^\dagger + \varepsilon_{b_j} C_{b_j}^\dagger + \xi_{l_j} \sigma_{l_j}^\dagger) |O\rangle, \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{k,R}(x)$ and $\Phi_{k,L}(x)$ represent the




Figure 1: (a) Schematic of system under consideration. Two WGM resonators are positioned at $x = 0$ and $x = d$ along the fiber, respectively, and are coupled to the fiber with coupling strength G_j (subscript $j = 1, 2$ means the first and second WGM resonator). Each resonator inherently supports both clockwise (CW) mode b_j and counterclockwise (CCW) mode a_j which can be converted to each other with a transition rate h_j . A Zeeman split QD is coupled to a WGM resonator with coupling strength g_j . (b) Schematic of energy levels of each QD. $|g_j\rangle$ is ground state, $|e_{Lj}\rangle$ and $|e_{Rj}\rangle$ are left- and right-polarized exciton states of the j th QD with resonance frequencies $\omega_0 + \omega_j$ and $\omega_0 - \omega_j$, respectively, where ω_0 is reference frequency. The CW mode b_j and CCW mode a_j only couple to the left-polarized exciton $|e_{Lj}\rangle$ and right-polarized exciton $|e_{Rj}\rangle$, respectively.

wave functions at x of the fiber for forward and backward directions, respectively. $\varepsilon_{a(b)j}$ and ξ_{lj} ($l=L, R$) are excitation amplitudes of a_j (b_j) and the j th QD with respect to the transition from $|g_j\rangle$ to $|e_{lj}\rangle$, respectively. The spatial dependence of wave functions can be expressed as

$$\Phi_{k,R}(x) = e^{ikx}[\theta(-x) + a\theta(x)\theta(d-x) + t\theta(x-d)], \quad (3)$$

$$\Phi_{k,L}(x) = e^{-ikx}[r\theta(-x) + b\theta(x)\theta(d-x)], \quad (4)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the unit step function, which is equal to 1 when $x \geq 0$ or 0 when $x < 0$. $e^{ikx}a\theta(x)\theta(d-x)$ and $e^{-ikx}b\theta(x)\theta(d-x)$ are wave functions of photon between two WGM resonators for forward and backward directions, respectively. t and r are transmission and reflection amplitudes, respectively. According to the eigenvalue equation $H|\Psi\rangle = E_k|\Psi\rangle$, we can analyti-




Figure 2: Reflections (red lines) and transmissions (black lines) as the functions of detuning Δ for forward (solid lines) and backward (dot-dashed lines) directions when (a) $\eta/2\pi = 1$ GHz, (b) $\eta/2\pi = 3.8$ GHz and (c) $\eta/2\pi = 6$ GHz. The other parameters are set as $\omega_1/2\pi = 2$ GHz, $\omega_2/2\pi = 3.5$ GHz, $\theta = \pi$, $g/2\pi = h/2\pi = 1$ GHz and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz.

cally obtain a set of coefficients for forward and backward directions, as

$$r_f = \frac{2ih\eta(Be^{2i\theta}C_-^A + AC_+^B)}{4ABe^{2i\theta}h^2\eta^2 + C_+^AC_+^B}, \quad (5)$$

$$r_b = \frac{2ih\eta(Ae^{2i\theta}C_-^B + BC_+^A)}{4ABe^{2i\theta}h^2\eta^2 + C_+^AC_+^B}, \quad (6)$$

$$t_f = \frac{D_-^AD_-^B}{4ABe^{2i\theta}h^2\eta^2 + C_+^AC_+^B}, \quad (7)$$

$$t_b = \frac{D_+^AD_+^B}{4ABe^{2i\theta}h^2\eta^2 + C_+^AC_+^B}, \quad (8)$$

where $A(B) = (\Delta + i\gamma)^2 - \omega_{1(2)}^2$, $C_{\pm}^{A(B)} = -g^4 + A(B)[h^2 + (\gamma \pm \eta)^2] + 2ig^2(\Delta + i\gamma)(\gamma \pm \eta)$, $D_{\pm}^{A(B)} = g^4 - A(B)(h^2 + \gamma^2 - \eta^2) - 2ig^2(\Delta\gamma + i\gamma^2 \pm \eta\omega_{1(2)})$, detuning $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$ and $\eta = G^2/v_g$. $\theta = kd$ denotes phase shift between two WGM resonators. The forward (backward) transmission and reflection are represented by $T_{f(b)} = |t_{f(b)}|^2$ and $R_{f(b)} = |r_{f(b)}|^2$, respectively.

2 Results and discussion

First, we show the reflections and transmissions of the system versus detuning Δ for different coupling strengths η in Fig.2. It can be observed from Fig.2 (a) that reflections are ~ 0 in vicinity of $\Delta/2\pi = \pm 2$ GHz for forward direction




Figure 3: Reflections (a), (b) and transmissions (c), (d) versus detuning Δ and phase shift θ between two WGM resonators for forward and backward directions, respectively. The other parameters are set as $\omega_1/2\pi = 2$ GHz, $\omega_2/2\pi = 3.5$ GHz, $\eta/2\pi = 3.8$ GHz, $g/2\pi = h/2\pi = 1$ GHz and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz.

and ± 3.5 GHz for backward direction, respectively, when $\eta/2\pi = 1$ GHz. Obviously, dual-band UR is realized, whereas transmissions in both directions are tiny compared to reflections. This is to say, the system is dominated by UR in this case. As shown in Fig.2 (b), the forward (backward) transmissions are ~ 0 in vicinity of $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ (2) GHz and -3.5 (3.5) GHz, respectively, when $\eta/2\pi = 3.8$ GHz. It indicates that the system can also achieve dual-band UT. Moreover, the contrast of reflections for forward and backward directions ($|R| = |R_f - R_b|$) is relatively large, and so is the contrast of transmission ($|T| = |T_f - T_b|$). Obviously, the system can realize both UR and UT under this condition. It is quite different from the system that UR[45–47] can be implemented but has the same transmissions in both directions. When $\eta/2\pi = 6$ GHz, $|R|$ decreases faster and $|T|$ increases more rapidly in Fig.2 (c) than it in Figs.2 (a) and 2 (b), indicating that the system is dominated by UT under this condition. A comparison of Figs.2 (a), 2 (b) and 2 (c) reveals a gradual decrease in $|R|$ and increase in $|T|$ with increasing of the coupling strength between the WGM resonators and fiber.

Next we discuss the influences of detuning Δ and phase shift θ on reflection and transmission as shown in Fig.3. From Figs.3 (a) and 3 (b), low




Figure 4: Reflections (a), (b) and transmissions (c), (d) as the functions of detuning Δ and coupling strength η between WGM resonators and optical fiber for forward and backward directions, respectively. The other parameters are set as $\omega_1/2\pi = 2$ GHz, $\omega_2/2\pi = 3.5$ GHz, $\theta = \pi$, $g/2\pi = h/2\pi = 1$ GHz and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz.

reflection areas appear around $\Delta/2\pi = \pm 2$ and ± 3.5 for forward and backward directions, respectively, when θ is in range of $0 \sim 0.2\pi$, $0.8\pi \sim 1.2\pi$ and $1.8\pi \sim 2\pi$. Moreover, low reflection areas near $\Delta/2\pi = \pm 2$ (± 3.5) for forward (backward) direction correspond to high reflection areas for backward (forward) direction. From Fig.3 (c) (d), the low transmission for forward (backward) direction is shown around $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ (2) and -3.5 (3.5), respectively. The low transmission areas for forward (backward) direction correspond to high transmission areas for backward (forward) direction. Not only that, the low reflection peaks in both directions exhibit weak red shifts within a period accompanying with the increase in phase shift θ , while the low transmission peaks remain almost unchanged with varying in θ , which makes them intersect at $\theta = \pi$ (corresponds to the situation in Fig.2 (b)). Visibly, UR and UT can both be realized when the values of detuning and phase shift are appropriate.

Then, we discuss the influences of detuning Δ and coupling strength η between WGM resonators and optical fiber on reflection and transmission. When $\eta/2\pi$ is in range of 0.42 GHz ~ 2.52 GHz, as shown in Figs.4 (a) and 4 (b), it can be found that the low reflection areas for forward (backward) direction correspond to the high reflection areas for backward (forward) di-




Figure 5: Reflections (a), (b) and transmissions (c), (d) versus detuning Δ and coupling strength g between WGM resonators and QDs for forward and backward directions, respectively. The other parameters are set as $\omega_1/2\pi = 2$ GHz, $\omega_2/2\pi = 3.5$ GHz, $\eta/2\pi = 3.8$ GHz, $\theta = \pi$, $h/2\pi = 1$ GHz and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz.

rection around ± 2 (± 3.5), and the transmissions for both directions are tiny as shown in Figs.4 (c) and 4 (d). With increasing $\eta/2\pi$ from 4.72 GHz to 7.5 GHz, the low transmission areas for forward (backward) direction appear near -2 (2) and -3.5 (3.5), and the low transmission areas for forward (backward) direction correspond to the high transmission areas for backward (forward) direction. Hence, UR and UT can be realized by adjusting the coupling strength η appropriately. In addition, the positions of low reflection peaks and low transmission peaks are immobile with the variation of η .

Figure 5 illustrates the effects of detuning Δ and coupling strength g between WGM resonators and QDs on reflection and transmission. It can be observed from Figs.5 (a) and 5 (b) that low reflection areas for forward and backward directions appear around $\Delta/2\pi = \pm 2$ and ± 3.5 , respectively, when the range of $g/2\pi$ is approximately 0.8 GHz \sim 1.2 GHz. Also in the same range, the low transmission for forward (backward) directions appears around $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ (2) and -3.5 (3.5), respectively, according to Figs.5 (c) and 5 (d). Moreover, the low reflection (transmission) areas for forward direction correspond to the high reflection (transmission) areas for backward direction and vice versa. Therefore, UR and UT can be achieved when $g/2\pi$ is in appropriate




Figure 6: Reflections (a), (b) and transmissions (c), (d) versus detuning Δ and transition rate h between CW mode and CCW mode for forward and backward directions, respectively. The other parameters are set as $\omega_1/2\pi = 2$ GHz, $\omega_2/2\pi = 3.5$ GHz, $\eta/2\pi = 3.8$ GHz, $\theta = \pi$, $g/2\pi = 1$ GHz and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz.

range.

Finally, the effects of detuning Δ and transition rate h between CW and CCW modes on reflection and transmission are discussed according to Fig.6. As shown in Figs.6 (a) and 6 (b), the reflections for forward and backward directions are very low when $h/2\pi$ is less than 0.6 GHz. With increasing $h/2\pi$ from 0.86 GHz to 1.4 GHz, low reflection areas for forward and backward directions appear around $\Delta/2\pi = \pm 2$ and ± 3.5 , respectively. The low reflection areas for forward (backward) direction correspond to high reflection areas for backward (forward) direction. From Figs.6 (c) and 6 (d), the forward (backward) low transmission areas around $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ (2) and -3.5 (3.5) correspond to the backward (forward) high transmission areas, and the obvious UT occurs when $h/2\pi$ is less than ~ 1.4 GHz. Hence, UR and UT can both be realized when $h/2\pi$ is in range of 0.86 GHz \sim 1.4 GHz. In addition, the positions of low reflection and low transmission peaks are almost unchanged with the varying $h/2\pi$.

3 Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated the UR and UT properties in a system consisting of two WGM resonators embedded with a Zeeman split QD,

respectively, and indirectly coupled through an optical fiber. Research results show that the positions of low reflection peaks exhibit periodic red shifts with the increasing phase shift θ and intersect with the low transmission peaks simultaneously when phase shift $\theta = \pi$. In other words, both UR and UT can be achieved when $\theta = \pi$. In addition, UR and UT can be manipulated by adjusting the coupling strength η between WGM resonators and optical fiber as well as the transition rate h between CW mode and CCW mode, while the positions of low reflection and low transmission peaks are robust to the changing of η and h , but alterable to energy level splitting of QDs. It is worth mentioning that the intermode conversion caused by defective workmanship is fixed during WGM resonator manufacturing, while η can be adjusted by altering the distance between WGM resonators and optical fiber. Therefore, we prefer to utilize it as a means of switching between UR and UT. We also investigate the impact of coupling strength g between QD and WGM resonator on reflection and transmission, and identify the specific range in which UR and UT can occur simultaneously. In brief, the system has the capability to dynamically regulate photon transport, and it could potentially inspire the advancements in quantum optical devices such as isolators, circulators, routers and so on.

References

- [1] Aqiang Guo, Greg J. Salamo, David Duchesne, Roberto Morandotti, Maite Volatier-Ravat, Vincent Aimez, Georgios A. Siviloglou, and Demetrios N. Christodoulides. Observation of PT-symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(9):093902, 8 2009. ISSN 00319007. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.093902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.093902).
- [2] Allegra Calabrese, Fernando Ramiro-Manzano, Hannah M. Price, Stefano Biasi, Martino Bernard, Mher Ghulinyan, Iacopo Carusotto, and Lorenzo Pavesi. Unidirectional reflection from an integrated “taiji” microresonator. *Photonics Research*, 8(8):1333, 8 2020. ISSN 23279125. DOI: [10.1364/prj.393070](https://doi.org/10.1364/prj.393070).
- [3] Mu-Tian Cheng. Electric field controlling a single plasmon transport in a metal nanowire. *Optics Communications*, 285(5): 804–807, 3 2012. ISSN 00304018. DOI: [10.1016/j.optcom.2011.11.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2011.11.026).
- [4] Dirk Jalas, Alexander Petrov, Manfred Eich, Wolfgang Freude, Shanhui Fan, Zongfu Yu, Roel Baets, Milos Popovic, Andrea Melloni, John D. Joannopoulos, Mathias Vanwolleghem, Christopher R. Doerr, and Hagen Renner. What is - and what is not - an optical isolator. *Nature Photonics*, 7(8): 579–582, 8 2013. ISSN 1749-4885. DOI: [10.1038/nphoton.2013.185](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.185).
- [5] Andrea Ashley and Dimitra Psychogiou. Rf co-designed bandpass filters/isolators using nonreciprocal resonant stages and microwave resonators. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 69(4): 2178–2190, 4 2021. ISSN 15579670. DOI: [10.1109/TMTT.2021.3061431](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.2021.3061431).
- [6] Zhong-Ming Gu, Jie Hu, Bin Liang, Xin-Ye Zou, and Jian-Chun Cheng. Broadband non-reciprocal transmission of sound with invariant frequency. *Scientific Reports*, 6:19824, 2 2016. ISSN 20452322. DOI: [10.1038/srep19824](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19824).
- [7] Zhi-Rong Lin, Guo-Ping Guo, Tao Tu, Hai-Ou Li, Chang-Ling Zou, Jun-Xue Chen, Yong-Hua Lu, Xi-Feng Ren, and Guang-Can Guo. Quantum bus of metal nanoring with surface plasmon polaritons. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 82(24):241401, 2010. ISSN 10980121. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.241401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.241401).
- [8] Yueh-Nan Chen, Guang-Yin Chen, Der-San Chuu, and Tobias Brandes. Quantum-dot exciton dynamics with a surface plasmon: Band-edge quantum optics. *Physical Review A*, 79(3):033815, 3 2009. ISSN 10502947. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.79.033815](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.033815).
- [9] Hong Wei, Zhipeng Li, Xiaorui Tian, Zhuoxian Wang, Fengzi Cong, Ning Liu, Shunping Zhang, Peter Nordlander, Naomi J. Halas, and Hongxing Xu. Quantum dot-based local field imaging reveals plasmon-based interferometric logic in silver nanowire networks. *Nano Letters*, 11(2):471–475, 2 2011. ISSN 15306984. DOI: [10.1021/nl103228b](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl103228b).
- [10] By Ian A. D. Williamson, Momchil Minkov, Avik Dutt, Jiahui Wang, Alex Y. Song, and Shanhui Fan. Integrated nonrecipro-

- cal photonic devices with dynamic modulation. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 108(10): 1759–1784, 10 2020. ISSN 15582256. DOI: [10.1109/JPROC.2020.3023959](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2020.3023959).
- [11] Gil Bashan, Hilel-Hagai Diamandi, Yosef London, Kavita SHarma, Keren Shemer, Elad Zehavi, and Avi Zadok. Forward stimulated brillouin scattering and optomechanical non-reciprocity in standard polarization maintaining fibres. *Light: Science and Applications*, 10(1):119, 12 2021. ISSN 20477538. DOI: [10.1038/s41377-021-00557-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00557-y).
- [12] Parvez A. Shaikh, Dong Shi, Jose Ramon Duran Retamal, Arif D. Sheikh, Md. Azimul Haque, Chen-Fang Kang, Jr-Hau He, Osman M. Bakr, and Tom Wu. Schottky junctions on perovskite single crystals: Light-modulated dielectric constant and self-biased photodetection. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 4(35):8304–8312, 2016. ISSN 20507526. DOI: [10.1039/c6tc02828d](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6tc02828d).
- [13] James G. Grote, John S. Zetts, Robert L. Nelson, Frank Kenneth Hopkins, Larry Raymond Dalton, Cheng H. Zhang, and William H. Steier. Effect of conductivity and dielectric constant on the modulation voltage for optoelectronic devices based on nonlinear optical polymers. *Optical Engineering*, 40(11):2464, 11 2001. ISSN 0091-3286. DOI: [10.1117/1.1412227](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.1412227).
- [14] Xin Zhou, You Wang, Daniel Leykam, and YiDong Chong. Optical isolation with nonlinear topological photonics. *New Journal of Physics*, 19(9):095002, 2017. ISSN 13672630. DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/aa7cb5](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aa7cb5).
- [15] Andres Rosario Hamann, Clemens Mueller, Markus Jerger, Maximilian Zanner, Joshua Combes, Mikhail Pletyukhov, Martin Weides, Thomas M. Stace, and Arkady Fedorov. Nonreciprocity realized with quantum nonlinearity. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(12): 123601, 5 2018. ISSN 10797114. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.123601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.123601).
- [16] Ming Kang, Hai-Xu Cui, Teng-Fei Li, Jing Chen, Weiren Zhu, and Malin Premaratne. Unidirectional phase singularity in ultrathin metamaterials at exceptional points. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 89(6):065801, 2014. ISSN 10941622. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.89.065801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.065801).
- [17] Hadiseh Alaeian and Jennifer A. Dionne. Parity-time-symmetric plasmonic metamaterials. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 89(3):033829, 2014. ISSN 10502947. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.89.033829](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.033829).
- [18] Fenghao Yin, Ying-Qiao Zhang, and Xing-Ri Jin. Manipulation of unidirectional reflectionlessness and asymmetric transmissionlessness in terahertz metamaterials. *Optics Communications*, 533: 129309, 4 2023. ISSN 00304018. DOI: [10.1016/j.optcom.2023.129309](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2023.129309). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0030401823000548>.
- [19] Cheng Shang, Hong-Zhi Shen, and Xue-Xi Yi. Nonreciprocity in a strongly coupled three-mode optomechanical circulatory system. *Optics Express*, 27(18):25882–25901, 9 2019. ISSN 10944087. DOI: [10.1364/oe.27.025882](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.27.025882).
- [20] Xun-Wei Xu, Yong Li, Ai-Xi Chen, and Yu-Xi Liu. Nonreciprocal conversion between microwave and optical photons in electro-optomechanical systems. *Physical Review A*, 93(2):023827, 2 2016. ISSN 24699934. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.93.023827](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.023827).
- [21] Xiao-Bo Yan, He-Lin Lu, Feng Gao, and Liu Yang. Perfect optical nonreciprocity in a double-cavity optomechanical system. *Frontiers of Physics*, 14(5):52601, 10 2019. ISSN 20950470. DOI: [10.1007/s11467-019-0922-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11467-019-0922-3).
- [22] Jan Wiersig. Enhancing the sensitivity of frequency and energy splitting detection by using exceptional points: Application to microcavity sensors for single-particle detection. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(20):203901, 2014. ISSN 10797114. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.203901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.203901).
- [23] Nan Zhang, Shuai Liu, Kaiyang Wang, Zhiyuan Gu, Meng Li, Ningbo Yi, Shumin Xiao, and Qinghai Song. Single nanoparticle detection using far-field emission of photonic molecule around the exceptional point. *Scientific Reports*, 5:11912, 2015. ISSN 20452322. DOI: [10.1038/srep11912](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11912).
- [24] Sang-Bum Lee, Juhee Yang, Songky Moon, Soo-Young Lee, Jeong-Bo Shim, Sang Wook Kim, Jai-Hyung Lee, and Kyungwon An.

- Observation of an exceptional point in a chaotic optical microcavity. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(13):134101, 2009. ISSN 00319007. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.134101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.134101).
- [25] Jonathan Ward and Oliver Benson. Wgm microresonators: Sensing, lasing and fundamental optics with microspheres. *Laser and Photonics Reviews*, 5(4):553–570, 7 2011. ISSN 18638880. DOI: [10.1002/lpor.201000025](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.201000025).
- [26] Erik J. Lenferink, Guohua Wei, and Nathaniel P. Stern. Coherent optical non-reciprocity in axisymmetric resonators. *Optics Express*, 22(13):16099, 6 2014. ISSN 10944087. DOI: [10.1364/oe.22.016099](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.22.016099).
- [27] Jin-Hui Chen, Xiao-Qin Shen, Shui-Jing Tang, Qin-Tao Cao, Qi-Huang Gong, and Yun-Feng Xiao. Microcavity nonlinear optics with an organically functionalized surface. *Physical Review Letters*, 123(17):173902, 10 2019. ISSN 10797114. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.173902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.173902).
- [28] Qi-Fan Yang, Xu Yi, Ki Youl Yang, and Kerry Vahala. Counter-propagating solitons in microresonators. *Nature Photonics*, 11(9):560, 4 2017. ISSN 17494885. DOI: [10.1038/NPHOTON.2017.117](https://doi.org/10.1038/NPHOTON.2017.117).
- [29] Dmitry V. Strekalov, Christoph Marquardt, Andrey B. Matsko, Harald G. L. Schwefel, and Gerd Leuchs. Nonlinear and quantum optics with whispering gallery resonators. *Journal of Optics*, 18(12), 12 2016. ISSN 2040-8978. DOI: [10.1088/2040-8978/18/12/123002](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/18/12/123002).
- [30] Yue Wang, Kun Zhang, Song Zhou, Yi-Hui Wu, Ming-Bo Chi, and Peng Hao. Coupled-mode induced transparency in a bottle whispering-gallery-mode resonator. *Optics Letters*, 41(8):1825–1828, 4 2016. ISSN 0146-9592. DOI: [10.1364/ol.41.001825](https://doi.org/10.1364/ol.41.001825).
- [31] Xu Huang, Tie-Jun Wang, and Chuan Wang. Electromagnetically induced transparency and absorption in directly coupled whispering-gallery mode microcavities. *IEEE Photonics Journal*, 14(2): 1–8, 4 2022. ISSN 19430655. DOI: [10.1109/JPHOT.2022.3151506](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOT.2022.3151506).
- [32] Ahmer Naweed, G. Farca, S. I. Shopova, and A. T. Rosenberger. Induced transparency and absorption in coupled whispering-gallery microresonators. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 71(4):043804, 4 2005. ISSN 10502947. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.71.043804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.043804).
- [33] Xian-Wu Mi, Jin-xiang Bai, Li Dai-Jun, and He-Ping Zhao. Coupling to a microdisk cavity containing a three-level quantum-dot with two orthogonal modes. *Optics Communications*, 284(12): 2937–2942, 6 2011. ISSN 00304018. DOI: [10.1016/j.optcom.2011.02.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2011.02.024).
- [34] Long Chang, Xiaoshun Jiang, Shiyue Hua, Chao Yang, Jianming Wen, Liang Jiang, Guanyu Li, Guanzhong Wang, and Min Xiao. Parity-time symmetry and variable optical isolation in active-passive-coupled microresonators. *Nature Photonics*, 8(7): 524–529, 2014. ISSN 17494893. DOI: [10.1038/nphoton.2014.133](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.133).
- [35] Xiaoshun Jiang, Chao Yang, Hongya Wu, Shiyue Hua, Long Chang, Yang Ding, Qian Hua, and Min Xiao. On-chip optical nonreciprocity using an active microcavity. *Scientific Reports*, 6:38972, 12 2016. ISSN 20452322. DOI: [10.1038/srep38972](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38972).
- [36] Freek Ruesink, John P. Mathew, Mohammad-Ali Miri, Andrea Alu, and Ewold Verhagen. Optical circulation in a multimode optomechanical resonator. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):1798, 12 2018. ISSN 20411723. DOI: [10.1038/s41467-018-04202-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04202-y).
- [37] Freek Ruesink, Mohammad-Ali Miri, Andrea Alu, and Ewold Verhagen. Nonreciprocity and magnetic-free isolation based on optomechanical interactions. *Nature Communications*, 7:13662, 11 2016. ISSN 20411723. DOI: [10.1038/ncomms13662](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13662).
- [38] Hang Yang, De-Xiu Qiu, Xin-Yu Zou, Ying-Qiao Zhang, and Xing-Ri Jin. Active controlled dual-band unidirectional reflectionlessness by classical driving field in non-hermitian quantum system. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 5(4):045018, 2020. ISSN 20589565. DOI: [10.1088/2058-9565/abb4a7](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abb4a7).
- [39] Hang Yang, Fei Zhao, Lingxue Yu, De-Xiu Qiu, Cheng-Shou An, Ying-Qiao Zhang, and Xing-Ri Jin. A plasmonic waveguide end-coupled to two plasmonic cavities in a non-hermitian quantum system for dual-band

- unidirectional reflectionlessness. *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, 73(3):035102, 3 2021. ISSN 02536102. DOI: [10.1088/1572-9494/abda18](https://doi.org/10.1088/1572-9494/abda18).
- [40] Lingxue Yu, Hang Yang, Ying-Ming Liu, Chengshou An, Xing-Ri Jin, and Ying-Qiao Zhang. Theoretical investigation of a controlled unidirectional reflectionlessness by applying external voltage in an electro-optical plasmonic waveguide system. *Optics Express*, 28(16):24069–24078, 8 2020. ISSN 10944087. DOI: [10.1364/OE.396267](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.396267).
- [41] Xinyao Huang, Cuicui Lu, Chao Liang, Honggeng Tao, and Yong-Chun Liu. Loss-induced nonreciprocity. *Light: Science and Applications*, 10(1):30, 12 2021. ISSN 20477538. DOI: [10.1038/s41377-021-00464-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00464-2).
- [42] Hong-Kang Zhao and Jian Wang. Zeeman-split mesoscopic transport through a normal-metal–quantum-dot–superconductor system with ac response. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 64(9):094505, 2001. ISSN 1550235X. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.64.094505](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.094505).
- [43] Seung-Chang Lee, Sanjay Krishna, and Steven R. J. Brueck. Light direction-dependent plasmonic enhancement in quantum dot infrared photodetectors. *Applied Physics Letters*, 97(2):021112, 7 2010. ISSN 00036951. DOI: [10.1063/1.3454776](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3454776).
- [44] Shan Xiao, Shiyao Wu, Xin Xie, Jingnan Yang, Wengqi Wei, Shushu Shi, Feilong Song, Sibai Sun, Jianchen Dang, Longlong Yang, Yunuan Wang, Zhanchun Zuo, Ting Wang, Jianjun Zhang, and Xiulai Xu. Position-dependent chiral coupling between single quantum dots and cross waveguides. *Applied Physics Letters*, 118(9):091106, 3 2021. ISSN 00036951. DOI: [10.1063/5.0042480](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0042480).
- [45] Xin Yu Zou, De-Xiu Qiu, Hang Yang, Ying-Qiao Zhang, Xing-Ri Jin, and Chengshou An. Dual-frequency unidirectional reflectionlessness in a non-hermitian quantum system of two different energy-level quantum dots coupled to a plasmonic waveguide. *Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics*, 127(12):159, 2021. ISSN 09462171. DOI: [10.1007/s00340-021-07708-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-021-07708-4).
- [46] Nan Wu, Cong Zhang, Xing-Ri Jin, Ying-Qiao Zhang, and Youngpak Lee. Unidirectional reflectionless phenomena in a non-hermitian quantum system of quantum dots coupled to a plasmonic waveguide. *Optics Express*, 26(4):3839–3849, 2018. ISSN 10944087. DOI: [10.1364/oe.26.003839](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.26.003839).
- [47] De-Xiu Qiu, Xin-Yu Zou, Yingming Liu, Hang Yang, Lingxue Yu, Ying-Qiao Zhang, Xing-Ri Jin, Chengshou An, and Shou Zhang. Dual-band unidirectional reflectionlessness in non-hermitian quantum system consisting of a gain and a loss plasmonic cavities. *Quantum Information Processing*, 18(9):269, 9 2019. ISSN 15731332. DOI: [10.1007/s11128-019-2380-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-019-2380-9).