

## TWO RESULTS ON COHOMOLOGY OF GROUPS ADAPTED TO COCHAINS

CONSTANTIN-NICOLAE BELI

ABSTRACT. If  $G$  is a group and  $M$  a  $G$ -module, then we denote by  $(C(G, M), d)$  the corresponding cochain complex obtained from the standard resolution. If  $n \geq 0$ , then an element of  $H^n(G, M)$  is written as  $[a]$  for some cocycle  $a \in C^n(G, M)$ .

Our first result regards the action of  $G$  on  $H^n(G, M)$ , which is known to be trivial, i.e.  $s[a] = [a]$  for all  $[a] \in H^n(G, M)$  and  $s \in G$ . We prove that for all  $a \in C^n(G, M)$  and  $s \in G$  we have

$$sa - a = (h_s d + dh_s)(a),$$

where  $h_s : C(G, M) \rightarrow C(G, M)[-1]$  is an explicit linear map.

The second result involves the commutativity of the cup product, i.e.  $[a] \cup [b] = (-1)^{pq} t_*([b] \cup [a])$  for all  $[a] \in H^p(G, M)$  and  $[b] \in H^q(G, N)$ . Here  $t : N \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes N$  denotes the natural bijection. We will prove that for all  $a \in H^p(G, M)$  and  $b \in H^q(G, N)$  we have

$$(-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a) - a \cup b = (hd + dh)(a \otimes b),$$

where  $h : C(G, M) \otimes C(G, N) \rightarrow C(G, M \otimes N)[-1]$  is an explicit linear map.

We will use these results as a pre-requisite in a future paper.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a group. For any  $G$ -module  $M$  we denote by  $(C(G, M), d)$  the corresponding cochain complex obtained from the standard resolution. If  $n \geq 0$ , then an element of  $H^n(G, M)$  is written as the class  $[a]$  of some cocycle  $a \in C^n(G, M)$ .

We consider two results regarding the cohomology, namely the triviality of the action of  $G$  on  $H^n(G, M)$ , i.e.  $s[a] = [a]$  for every  $[a] \in H^n(G, M)$  and  $s \in G$ , and the commutativity of the cup product, i.e.  $[a] \cup [b] = (-1)^{pq} t_*([b] \cup [a])$  for every  $[a] \in H^p(G, M)$  and  $[b] \in H^q(G, N)$ . We will show how these formulas translate to cochains. Namely, we will write the differences  $sa - a$  and  $(-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a) - a \cup b$  in terms of some explicit homotopies. Our main results are Theorems 1.1, 3.4 and 3.8.

We now give a short review of notations and results we will use.

If  $f : M' \rightarrow M$  and  $g : N \rightarrow N'$  are morphisms of  $R$ -modules, then we denote by  $f^* : Hom_R(M, N) \rightarrow Hom_R(M', N)$  and  $g_* : Hom_R(M, N) \rightarrow Hom_R(M, N')$  the induced morphisms,  $f^*(a) = af$  and  $g_*(a) = ga$ . Note that  $f^*g_* = g_*f^*$ , as both maps are given by  $a \mapsto fag$ .

If  $(F, \partial)$  is a chain complex of  $R$ -modules, with  $\partial : F \rightarrow F[-1]$  the boundary map, then for every  $R$ -module  $M$  we have the cochain complex  $(Hom_R(F, M), d)$ ,

where  $\text{Hom}_R(F, M)^n = \text{Hom}_R(F_n, M)$  and  $d : \text{Hom}_R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, M)[1]$  is given by  $da(x) = a(\partial x)$ , i.e.  $d = \partial^*$ .

If  $(F, \partial)$  and  $(F', \partial)$  are two chain complexes, and  $f, g : F \rightarrow F'$  are two maps of complexes, we denote by  $h : f \approx g$  the fact that  $h : F \rightarrow F'[1]$  is a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ , i.e.  $f - g = \partial h + h\partial$ . Similarly, if  $(P, d)$  and  $(P', d)$  are cochain complexes and  $f, g : P \rightarrow P'$  are two maps of complexes, we denote by  $h : f \approx g$  the fact that  $h : P \rightarrow P'[-1]$  is a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ , i.e.  $f - g = hd + dh$ .

If  $f, g : F \rightarrow F'$  are maps of chain complexes of  $R$ -modules, and  $h : f \approx g$ , then we have the maps of cochain complexes  $f^*, g^* : \text{Hom}_R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, M)$  and the map  $h^* : \text{Hom}_R(F', M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, M)[-1]$  satisfies  $h^* : f^* \approx g^*$ .

Moreover, if  $f, g$  are maps of chain or cochain complexes and  $h : f \approx g$ , then  $hk : fk \approx gk$  and  $lh : lf \approx lg$  for all compatible maps of complexes  $k, \ell$ .

From now on, we fix the group  $G$  and we denote by  $\varepsilon : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the corresponding standard resolution, with  $F_n = \mathbb{Z}G^{n+1}$ . We denote by  $\partial : F \rightarrow F[-1]$  the boundary map,  $\partial(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_n)$ . Then for every  $G$ -module  $M$  the cochain complex  $C(G, M)$  is defined as  $C(G, M) = \text{Hom}_G(F, M)$ .

The action of  $G$  on  $C(G, M)$  is given by

$$(sa)(s_0, \dots, s_n) = sa(s^{-1}s_0s, \dots, s^{-1}s_ns) = a(s_0s, \dots, s_ns)$$

(For inhomogeneous cochains we have  $(sa)(s_1, \dots, s_n) = sa(s^{-1}s_1s, \dots, s^{-1}s_ns)$ .)

For every  $s \in G$  we have an augmentation-preserving chain map  $\tau_s : F \rightarrow F$  given by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (s_0s, \dots, s_ns)$ . Hence for  $a \in C(G, M) = \text{Hom}_G(F, M)$  we have  $(sa)(x) = a(\tau_s(x))$  so the map  $s : \text{Hom}_G(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(F, M)$ , i.e.  $s : C(G, M) \rightarrow C(G, M)$ , can be written as  $s = \tau_s^*$ .

The cup product,  $\cup : \text{Hom}_G(F, M) \otimes \text{Hom}_G(F, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(F, M \otimes N)$ , i.e.  $\cup : C(G, M) \otimes C(G, N) \rightarrow C(G, M \otimes N)$ , is defined as the composition

$$\text{Hom}_G(F, M) \otimes \text{Hom}_G(F, N) \xrightarrow{\times} \text{Hom}_G(F \otimes F, M \otimes N) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \text{Hom}_G(F, M \otimes N),$$

where  $\times$  is given by  $(a \times b)(x \otimes y) = a(x) \otimes b(y)$  and  $\Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F$  is the Alexander-Whitney map, given by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_n)$ , which is known to be a diagonal approximation, i.e. an augmentation-preserving chain map from  $F$  to  $F \otimes F$ . See, e.g., [E, §3.1].

(By abuse of notation, here  $\times$  and  $\cup$  denote both two binary operations on  $\text{Hom}_G(F, M) \times \text{Hom}_G(F, N)$  and two maps on  $\text{Hom}_G(F, M) \otimes \text{Hom}_G(F, N)$ . If  $a \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)$  and  $b \in \text{Hom}_G(F, N)$ , then  $\times(a \otimes b) = a \times b$  and  $\cup(a \otimes b) = a \cup b$ .)

If  $a \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)^p$ ,  $b \in \text{Hom}_G(F, N)^q$ , then  $d \times (a \otimes b) = d(a \times b) = da \times b + (-1)^p a \times db = \times(da \otimes b + (-1)^p a \otimes db) = \times d(a \otimes b)$ . Hence  $d \times = \times d$ , i.e.  $\times$  is a map of complexes.

Following [E, §3.1 (d)] we consider the augmentation-preserving chain map  $\tau : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ , given by  $x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{\deg x \deg y} y \otimes x$ , the map of cochain complexes  $T : \text{Hom}_R(F, M) \otimes \text{Hom}_R(F, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, N) \otimes \text{Hom}_R(F, M)$ , given by  $a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{\deg a \deg b} b \otimes a$ , and the map  $t : N \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes N$ , given by  $\beta \otimes \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \otimes \beta$ .

Let  $\bar{\cup} : \text{Hom}_G(F, M) \otimes \text{Hom}_G(F, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(F, M \otimes N)$ ,  $\bar{\cup} = t_* \cup T$ . If  $a \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)^p$ ,  $b \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)^p$ , then  $a \bar{\cup} b = \bar{\cup}(a \otimes b) = t_* \cup (T(a \otimes b)) = t_* \cup ((-1)^{pq} b \otimes a) = (-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a)$ .

We note that  $t_* \times T = \tau^* \times$ . If  $a \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)^p$  and  $b \in \text{Hom}_G(F, M)^p$ , then  $t_* \times T(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{pq} t(b \times a)$  and  $\tau^* \times (a \otimes b) = (a \times b)\tau$ . If  $x \in F_k$ ,  $y \in F_l$ , then  $(-1)^{pq} t(b \times a)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{pq} a(y) \otimes b(x)$  and  $(a \times b)\tau(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{kl} a(y) \otimes b(x)$ . But  $(-1)^{pq} a(y) \otimes b(x) = (-1)^{kl} a(y) \otimes b(x)$ . (If  $(k, l) = (q, p)$ , this is obvious. Otherwise both sides are zero.)<sup>1</sup>

We also have  $t_* \Delta^* = \Delta^* t_*$ . Hence  $\bar{\cup} = t_* \cup T = t_* \Delta^* \times T = \Delta^* t_* \times T = \Delta^* \tau^* \times$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** (i) If  $s \in G$  and  $\phi_s : F \rightarrow F[1]$  satisfies  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ , then for every  $G$ -module  $M$ , the map  $h_s = \phi_s^* : C(G, M) \rightarrow C(G, M)[-1]$ , given by  $h_s(a) = a\phi_s$ , satisfies  $h_s : s \approx 1$ , i.e.

$$sa - a = (h_s d + dh_s)(a) \quad \forall a \in C(G, M).$$

(ii) If  $\lambda : F \rightarrow (F \otimes F)[1]$  satisfies  $\lambda : \tau \Delta \approx \Delta$ , then for every  $G$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  the map  $h = \lambda^* \times : C(G, M) \otimes C(G, N) \rightarrow C(G, M \otimes N)[-1]$ , given by  $h(a \otimes b) = (a \times b)\lambda$ , satisfies  $h : \bar{\cup} \approx \cup$ , i.e.

$$(-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a) - a \cup b = (hd + dh)(a \otimes b) \quad \forall a \in C^p(G, M), b \in C^q(G, N).$$

*Proof.* (i) The map  $s : C(G, M) \rightarrow C(G, M)$  writes as  $s = \tau_s^*$ . Then, since  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ , we have  $\phi_s^* : \tau_s^* \approx 1$ , i.e.  $h_s : s \approx 1$ .

(ii) We have  $\bar{\cup} = \Delta^* \times$  and  $\bar{\cup} = \Delta^* \tau^* \times = (\tau \Delta)^* \times$ . Since  $\lambda : \tau \Delta \approx \Delta$ , we have  $\lambda^* : (\tau \Delta)^* \approx \Delta^*$ . Since  $\times$  is a map of cochain complexes, we get  $\lambda^* \times : (\tau \Delta)^* \times \approx \Delta^* \times$ , i.e.  $h : \bar{\cup} \approx \cup$ .

If  $a \in C^p(G, M)$  and  $b \in C^q(G, N)$  then  $h(a \otimes b) = \lambda^* \times (a \otimes b) = \lambda^*(a \times b) = (a \times b)\lambda$ , as claimed. And, since  $\bar{\cup}(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a)$  and  $\cup(a \otimes b) = a \cup b$ , the relation  $\bar{\cup} - \cup = hd + dh$  applied to  $a \otimes b$  writes as  $(-1)^{pq} t_*(b \cup a) - a \cup b = (hd + dh)(a \otimes b)$ .  $\square$

Since both  $\tau_s, 1 : F \rightarrow F$  are augmentation-preserving chain maps, we have  $\tau_s \approx 1$  by [B, I.7.5]. Similarly,  $\tau, 1 : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F$  are augmentation-preserving chain maps, so  $\tau \approx 1$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a chain map, this implies that  $\tau \Delta \approx \Delta$ . (Alternatively, both  $\tau \Delta, \Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F$  are augmentation-preserving chain maps, so  $\tau \Delta \approx \Delta$ .) To produce explicit maps  $h_s$  and  $h$  we need explicit homotopies  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$  and  $\lambda : \tau \Delta \approx \Delta$ .

## 2. EXPLICIT CONTRACTING HOMOTOPIES

We start by giving an explicit formula for a contracting homotopy of a product of two resolutions in terms of the contracting homotopies for the two resolutions.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $h$  and  $h'$  are contracting homotopies for the resolutions  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$  and  $F' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} N \rightarrow 0$ , then we have a contracting homotopy  $H$  of  $F \otimes F' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon'} M \otimes N \rightarrow 0$

<sup>1</sup>The formula appears in the equivalent form  $\times T = t_* \tau^* \times$  in [E, §3.1(d)], but on cohomology instead of cochains.

$M \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ , where  $H_{-1}(x \otimes y) = h_{-1}(x) \otimes h_{-1}(y)$  for every  $x \otimes y \in M \otimes N$  and, if  $x \otimes y \in F \otimes F'$ , then

$$H(x \otimes y) = \begin{cases} h(x) \otimes y & \text{if } x \in F_{>0} \\ h(x) \otimes y + h_{-1}\varepsilon(x) \otimes h'(y) & \text{if } x \in F_0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is essentially [AM, Lemma IV.3.2]. Note that in the terminology of [AM, §IV.3] by a contracting homotopy of  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$  is meant only the restriction  $\tilde{h} : F \rightarrow F[1]$  of  $h$  i.e.  $\tilde{h} = (h_p)_{p \geq 0}$ . We consider the similar restrictions  $\tilde{h}'$  of  $h'$  and  $\tilde{H}$  of  $H$ . Then, in the notations of [AM, Lemma IV.3.2],  $\tilde{h}$ ,  $\tilde{h}'$  and  $\tilde{H}$  are denoted by  $s_G$ ,  $s_H$  and  $s^\otimes$ , both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$  are denoted by  $\varepsilon$  and both  $h_{-1}$  and  $h'_{-1}$  by  $\phi$ . The map  $H_{-1}$ , although not explicitly stated, is  $\phi \otimes \phi$ , so we have  $H_{-1} = h_{-1} \otimes h'_{-1}$ , i.e.  $H_{-1}(x \otimes y) = h_{-1}(x) \otimes h'_{-1}(y)$ . Then [AM, Lemma IV.3.2] writes as  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{h} \otimes 1 + h_{-1}\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{h}'$ . Here  $h_{-1}\varepsilon : F \rightarrow F$  is the extension of  $h_{-1}\varepsilon : F_0 \rightarrow F_0$  so it is trivial on  $F_{>0}$ . Thus, if  $x \otimes y \in F \otimes F'$  then  $H(x \otimes y) = h(x) \otimes y + h_{-1}\varepsilon(x) \otimes h'(y)$  if  $x \in F_0$  and  $= h(x) \otimes y$  if  $x \in F_{>0}$ .  $\square$

For the rest of the section,  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  is the standard resolution corresponding to a group  $G$ . We also denote by  $\bar{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}$  the normalized standard resolution, i.e.  $\bar{F} = F/D$ , where  $D \subseteq F$  is the subcomplex generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n)$ , with  $s_i = s_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

We now produce contracting homotopies for  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  and  $F \otimes F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every  $t \in G$  we have a contracting homotopy  $\psi_t$  of  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , regarded as a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, which on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $\psi_t(1) = t$  and on  $F$  by  $\psi_t(x) = (t, x)$  for every  $x$  in the basis  $G^{n+1}$  of  $F_n$ , with  $n \geq 0$ .*

*The contracting homotopy  $\psi_t$  can also be defined on the normalized resolution  $\bar{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. For the case  $t = 1$ , see, say, [B, §I.3.], but the proof is the same for  $t$  arbitrary.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every  $s, t \in G$  we have a contracting homotopy  $\psi_{s,t}$  of  $F \otimes F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , regarded as a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, which on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $\psi_{s,t}(1) = s \otimes t$  and on  $F \otimes F$ , for every  $x \otimes y$ , with  $x$  and  $y$  in the bases  $G^{p+1}$  and  $G^{q+1}$  of  $F_p$  and  $F_q$ , by*

$$\psi_{s,t}(x \otimes y) = \begin{cases} (s, x) \otimes y & \text{if } p > 0 \\ (s, x) \otimes y + s \otimes (t, y) & \text{if } p = 0 \end{cases}.$$

*Moreover,  $\psi_{s,t}$  can also be defined on the normalized resolution, i.e. on  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 2.1 where both  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$  and  $F' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} N \rightarrow 0$  are  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , and the contracting homotopies  $h$  and  $h'$  are  $\phi_s$  and  $\phi_t$ , as defined in Lemma 2.2. We prove that the resulting contracting homotopy  $H$  of  $F \otimes F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  is  $\psi_{s,t}$ .

For  $H_{-1} : \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (F \otimes F)_0$ , we have  $H_{-1}(1) = H_{-1}(1 \otimes 1) = \psi_s(1) \otimes \psi_t(1) = s \otimes t$ . Hence  $H$  coincides with  $\psi_{s,t}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose now that  $x \in G^{p+1} \subseteq F_p$  and  $y \in G^{q+1} \subseteq F_q$ . If  $p = 0$ , then  $H(x \otimes y) = \psi_s(x) \otimes y + \psi_s \varepsilon(x) \otimes \psi_t(y) = (s, x) \otimes y + s \otimes (t, y) = \psi_{s,t}(x \otimes y)$ . (We have  $\psi_s \varepsilon(x) = \psi_s(1) = s$ .) If  $p > 0$ , then  $H(x \otimes y) = \psi_s(x) \otimes y = (s, x) \otimes y = \psi_{s,t}(x \otimes y)$ , which concludes the proof.

Since  $\psi_{s,t}$  is given in terms of  $\psi_s$  and  $\psi_t$ , which can also be defined on normalized resolutions, the above reasoning can be repeated with  $F$  replaced by  $\bar{F}$ , so our result also holds on the normalized resolution.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *On normalized resolutions we have  $\psi_t^2 = 0 \ \forall t \in G$  and  $\psi_{s,t}^2 = 0 \ \forall s, t \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that in  $\bar{F}$  we have  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) = 0$  if  $s_i = s_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

We have  $\psi_t^2(1) = \psi_t(t) = (t, t) = 0$  so  $\psi_t^2 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\bar{F}_n$  with  $n \geq 0$  we note that if  $x \in G^{n+1}$ , then  $\psi^2(x) = (t, t, x) = 0$ .

We have  $\psi_{s,t}^2(1) = \psi_{s,t}(s \otimes t) = (s, s) \otimes t + s \otimes (t, t) = 0$  so  $\psi_{s,t}^2 = 0$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\bar{F}_p \otimes \bar{F}_q$  let  $x \otimes y \in G^{p+1} \otimes G^{q+1}$ . If  $p > 1$ , then  $\psi_{s,t}^2(x \otimes y) = (s, s, x) \otimes y = 0$ . If  $p = 0$ , then  $\psi_{s,t}^2(x \otimes y) = \psi_{s,t}((s, x) \otimes y + s \otimes (t, y)) = (s, s, x) \otimes y + (s, s) \otimes (t, y) + s \otimes (t, t, y) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** (i) *If  $t, u \in G$ , then  $\psi_{ut}u = u\psi_t$ .*

(ii) *If  $s, t, u \in G$ ,  $\psi_{us,ut}u = u\psi_{s,t}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) On  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\psi_{ut}u(1) = \psi_{ut}(1) = ut = u\psi_t(1)$ . On  $F$ , if  $n \geq 0$  and  $x$  belongs to the basis  $G^{n+1}$  of  $F_n$ , then  $\psi_{ut}(ux) = (ut, ux) = u\psi_t(x)$ .

(ii) On  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\psi_{us,ut}u(1) = \psi_{us,ut}(1) = us \otimes ut = u\psi_{s,t}(1)$ . On  $F \otimes F$ , if  $p, q \geq 0$  and  $x \otimes y$  belongs to the basis  $G^{p+1} \otimes G^{q+1}$  of  $F_p \otimes F_q$ , then  $\psi_{us,ut}u(x \otimes y) = \psi_{us,ut}(ux \otimes uy)$ . If  $p > 0$ , then  $\psi_{us,ut}(ux \otimes uy) = (us, ux) \otimes uy = u\psi_{s,t}(x \otimes y)$ . If  $p = 0$ , then  $\psi_{us,ut}(ux \otimes uy) = (us, ux) \otimes uy + us \otimes (ut, uy) = u\psi_{s,t}(x \otimes y)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** (i) *If  $n \geq 0$  and  $\gamma : F_n \rightarrow F$  is a  $G$ -linear map such that  $\text{Im } \gamma \subseteq \partial F$ , then for any  $0 \leq i \leq n$  the map  $\omega : F_n \rightarrow F$ , given by  $\omega(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \psi_{s_i} \gamma(s_0, \dots, s_n)$ , is  $G$ -linear and satisfies  $\partial \omega = \gamma$ .*

(ii) *If  $p, q \geq 0$  and  $\gamma : F_p \otimes F_q \rightarrow F \otimes F$  is a  $G$ -linear map such that  $\text{Im } \gamma \subseteq \partial(F \otimes F)$ , then for any  $0 \leq i, j \leq p + q + 1$  the map  $\omega : F_p \otimes F_q \rightarrow F \otimes F$ , given by  $\omega((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})) = \psi_{s_i, s_j} \gamma((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1}))$ , is  $G$ -linear and satisfies  $\partial \omega = \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* The  $G$ -linearity, both for (i) and (ii), follows from Lemma 2.5. Let  $u \in G$ . For (i), if  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$ , since the  $i$  entry of  $ux = (us_0, \dots, us_n)$  is  $us_i$ , we have  $\omega(ux) = \psi_{us_i} \gamma(ux) = \psi_{us_i} u \gamma(x)$ . Since, by Lemma 2.5(i),  $\psi_{us_i} u = u\psi_{s_i}$ , we get  $\omega(ux) = u\psi_{s_i} \gamma(x) = u\omega(x)$ . Hence  $\omega$  is  $G$ -linear. Similarly for (ii), if  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$ , since the  $i$  and  $j$  entries of  $ux = (us_0, \dots, us_p) \otimes$

$(us_{p+1}, \dots, us_{p+q+1})$  are  $us_i$  and  $us_j$ , we have  $\omega(ux) = \psi_{us_i, us_j} \gamma(ux) = \psi_{us_i, us_j} u\gamma(x)$ . Since, by Lemma 2.5(ii),  $\psi_{us_i, us_j} u = u\psi_{s_i, s_j}$ , we get  $\omega(ux) = u\psi_{s_i, s_j} \gamma(x) = u\omega(x)$ .

For the formula  $\gamma = \partial\omega$ , we note that if  $(F', \partial')$  is a chain complex and  $h : F' \rightarrow F'[1]$  is a contracting homotopy, then for every  $y \in \partial'F'$  we have  $y = \partial'h(y)$ . Indeed, we have  $\partial'y \in \partial'^2F' = 0$  and so  $y = \partial'h(y) + h(\partial'y) = \partial'h(y)$ . For (i) we apply this result in the case when  $F'$  is  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $h = \psi_{s_i}$  and  $y = \gamma(x)$ , with  $x \in F$ . Since  $\gamma(x) \in \text{Im } \gamma \subseteq \partial F$ , we have  $\gamma(x) = \partial\psi_{s_i} \gamma(x) = \partial\omega(x)$ . Hence  $\gamma = \partial\omega$ . The proof for (ii) is similar, but this time  $F'$  is  $F \otimes F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $h = \psi_{s_i, s_j}$  and  $y = \gamma(x)$ , with  $x \in F \otimes F$ .  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We now determine the homotopies  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$  and  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ , from which we obtain the homotopies  $h_s$  and  $h$  of Theorem 1.1. As a first step for determining  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ , we first find a homotopy  $\phi : \tau \approx 1$ .

**3.1.** We will use the standard procedure for constructing homotopies from, say, [B, Lemma I.7.4], applied to augmentation-preserving maps, as in [B, Theorem I.7.5]. If  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$  and  $F' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} M \rightarrow 0$  are projective resolutions and  $f, g : F \rightarrow F'$  are augmentation-preserving maps, then the homotopy  $h = (h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is constructed inductively as follows. For  $n < 0$  we have  $h_n = 0$ . For  $n = 0$  we have  $\varepsilon'f_0 = \varepsilon'g_0 = \varepsilon$ , so  $\varepsilon'(f_0 - g_0) = 0$ , which implies that

$$\text{Im}(f_0 - g_0) \subseteq \ker \varepsilon' = \text{Im}(\partial' : F'_1 \rightarrow F'_0),$$

from which we deduce the existence of some  $h_0 : F_0 \rightarrow F'_1$  with  $f_0 - g_0 = \partial'h_0$ .

For the inductive step  $n - 1 \rightarrow n$ , we have  $\partial'(f_n - g_n) = (f_{n-1} - g_{n-1})\partial = (\partial'h_{n-1} + h_{n-2}\partial)\partial = \partial'h_{n-1}\partial$ , so  $\partial'(f_n - g_n - h_{n-1}\partial) = 0$ , which implies that

$$\text{Im}(f_n - g_n - h_{n-1}\partial) \subseteq \ker(\partial' : F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1}) = \text{Im}(\partial' : F'_{n+1} \rightarrow F'_n),$$

from which we deduce the existence of some  $h_n : F_n \rightarrow F'_{n+1}$  with  $f_n - g_n - h_{n-1}\partial = \partial'h_n$ , i.e.  $f_n - g_n = \partial'h_n + h_{n-1}\partial$ .

In our case, when the maps  $f, g : F \rightarrow F'$  are  $\tau_s, 1 : F \rightarrow F$  or  $\tau, 1 : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ , we use Lemma 2.6 to produce  $h_0$  with  $f_0 - g_0 = \partial'h_0$  or, for  $n > 0$ ,  $h_n$  with  $f_n - g_n - h_{n-1}\partial = \partial'h_n$ .

**3.2.** Recall the notation  $\bar{F} = F/D$  for the normalized resolution from §2, where  $D \subseteq F$  is the degenerate subcomplex generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in G^{n+1}$  with  $s_i = s_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

The maps  $\partial : F \rightarrow F[-1]$  and  $\psi_t : F \rightarrow F[1]$ , with  $t \in G$ , can be defined also on  $\bar{F} = F/D$ , so  $\partial D \subseteq D$  and  $\psi_t(D) \subseteq D$ . Also note that  $\tau_s(D) = D$ , so  $\tau_s : F \rightarrow F$  can also be defined as  $\tau_s : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}$ .

We have  $F = D \oplus F'$ , where  $F'$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $F$  generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in G^{n+1}$  with  $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

We also denote by  $F''$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $F$  generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in G^{n+1}$  with  $s_0, \dots, s_n$  mutually distinct and by  $F''_s$  the submodule of  $F$  generated by

$(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  with  $s_0, \dots, s_n, s_0s, \dots, s_ns$  mutually distinct. Obviously  $F_s'' \subseteq F'' \subseteq F'$ . If  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$  is one of the generators of  $F''$  or  $F_s''$ , then so is  $(s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_n) \forall i$ . Then  $\partial x = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_n) \in F''$  or  $F_s''$ , respectively. Thus  $\partial F'' \subseteq F''$  and  $\partial F_s'' \subseteq F_s''$ .

Also note that  $\tau_s$  sends bijectively the set of generators of  $F''$  to itself, so  $\tau_s(F'') = F''$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *We have  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ , where  $\phi_s : F \rightarrow F[1]$  is given by  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the standard procedure described in 3.1 to define inductively on  $F_n$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , the map  $\tilde{\phi}_s = (\tilde{\phi}_{s,n}) : F \rightarrow F[1]$  such that  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ .

By 3.1, on  $F_0$  we have  $\text{Im}(\tau_s - 1) \subseteq \partial F$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6(i), the map  $\tilde{\phi}_{s,0} : F_0 \rightarrow F_1$ , given by  $\tilde{\phi}_{s,0}(s_0) = \psi_{s_0}(\tau_s - 1)(s_0)$ , is  $G$ -linear and satisfies the desired relation  $\tau_s - 1 = \partial \tilde{\phi}_{s,0}$ .

Assume now that  $n \geq 1$  and we defined  $\tilde{\phi}_{s,0}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{s,n-1}$ . By 3.1, the image of the map  $\tau_s - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_{s,n-1} \partial : F_n \rightarrow F$  is contained in  $\partial F$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6(i), the map  $\tilde{\phi}_{s,n} : F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$ , given by  $\tilde{\phi}_{s,n}(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \psi_{s_0}(\tau_s - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_{s,n-1} \partial)(s_0, \dots, s_n)$ , is  $G$ -linear and satisfies the desired relation  $\tau_s - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_{s,n-1} \partial = \partial \tilde{\phi}_{s,n}$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\phi}_s$  is defined recursively in terms of the maps  $\tau_s$ ,  $\partial$  and  $\psi_t$ , for some  $t \in G$ . Since all these maps can also be defined on the normalized cochains  $\bar{F}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_s$  too can be defined on  $\bar{F}$ .

We now prove, by induction on  $n$ , that on  $\bar{F}$  we have  $\tilde{\phi}_s = \phi_s$ . So, from now on, we work on  $\bar{F}$ , where  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) = 0$  if  $s_i = s_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

If  $n = 0$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}_s(s_0) = \psi_{s_0}(\tau_s - 1)(s_0) = \psi_{s_0}(s_0 s - s_0) = (s_0, s_0 s) - (s_0, s_0) = (s_0, s_0 s) = \phi_s(s_0)$ , as claimed.

Before proving the induction step, we note that, by definition, we have  $\tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in \text{Im } \psi_{s_0}$  so  $\psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in \text{Im } \psi_{s_0}^2$ . But, by Lemma 2.4, we have  $\psi_{s_0}^2 = 0$ . Hence

$$\psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) = 0.$$

We now prove the induction step  $n - 1 \rightarrow n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) &= \psi_{s_0}(\tau_s - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_s \partial)(s_0, \dots, s_n) \\ &= \psi_{s_0}(s_0 s, \dots, s_n s) - \psi_{s_0}(s_0, \dots, s_n) - \psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(\partial(s_0, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are  $(s_0, s_0 s, \dots, s_n s)$  and  $(s_0, s_0, \dots, s_n) = 0$ . For the third term, note that, with exception of  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , all terms of  $\partial(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  are of the type  $\pm(s_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{n-1})$ . But, as seen above,  $\psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{n-1}) = 0$ , so the contribution of these terms to  $\psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(\partial(s_0, \dots, s_n))$  is zero. Hence  $\psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(\partial(s_0, \dots, s_n)) = \psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ . By the induction hypothesis, on  $F_{n-1}$  we have  $\tilde{\phi}_s = \phi_s$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{s_0} \tilde{\phi}_s(s_1, \dots, s_n) &= \psi_{s_0} \phi_s(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \psi_{s_0} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (s_1, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s). \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) &= (s_0, s_0s, \dots, s_ns) - 0 - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s) = \phi_s(s_0, \dots, s_n).\end{aligned}$$

We now return to the original resolution  $F$ . We proved that over  $\bar{F} = F/D$  we have  $\tilde{\phi}_s = \phi_s$ , i.e. that  $\tilde{\phi}_s$  reduced modulo  $D$  coincides with  $\phi'_s$ . Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_s = \phi_s + \phi'_s$ , where  $\phi'_s$  takes values in  $D$ , i.e.  $\phi'_s : F \rightarrow D[1]$ .

We want to prove that, together with  $\tau_s - 1 = \partial\tilde{\phi}_s + \tilde{\phi}_s\partial$ , we also have  $\tau_s - 1 = \partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial$ .

Note that if  $(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  is a generator of  $F''_s$ , i.e. with  $s_0, \dots, s_n, s_0s, \dots, s_ns$  mutually distinct, then for every  $i$  we have  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s) \in F''$  and so  $\phi_s(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in F''$ . Hence  $\phi_s(F''_s) \subseteq F''$ . We refer to 3.2 for other properties of  $D, F', F''$  and  $F''_s$ .

We first prove the relation  $\tau_s - 1 = \partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial$  on  $F''_s$ . Let  $x \in F''_s$ . Since  $(\tau_s - 1)(x) = (\partial\tilde{\phi}_s + \tilde{\phi}_s\partial)(x)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_s = \phi_s + \phi'_s$ , we have

$$(\tau_s - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial)(x) + (\partial\phi'_s + \phi'_s\partial)(x).$$

We prove that  $(\tau_s - 1)(x), (\partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial)(x) \in F'$  and  $(\partial\phi'_s + \phi'_s\partial)(x) \in D$ . Then, since  $F = D \oplus F'$ , the relation above implies that  $(\tau_s - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial)(x)$ .

We have  $x \in F''_s \subseteq F'$ , so  $\tau_s(x) \in \tau_s(F') = F'$ . Thus  $(\tau_s - 1)(x) \in F'$ . We have  $\phi_s(x) \in \phi_s(F''_s) \subseteq F''$  and  $\partial x \in \partial F''_s \subseteq F''_s$ . It follows that  $\partial\phi_s(x) \in \partial(F'') \subseteq F''$  and  $\phi_s(\partial x) \in \phi_s(F''_s) \subseteq F''$ . Hence  $(\partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial)(x) \in F'' \subseteq F'$ . Finally, since  $\phi'_s$  takes values in  $D$  and  $\partial D \subseteq D$ , the images of both  $\partial\phi'_s$  and  $\phi'_s\partial$  are included in  $D$ . In particular,  $(\partial\phi'_s + \phi'_s\partial)(x) \in D$ . This concludes the proof.

For the general case, denote by  $t_1, \dots, t_{2n+2}$  the sequence  $s_0, \dots, s_n, s_0s, \dots, s_ns$ . Then, by the way  $\tau_s$  and  $\phi_s$  are defined, we have

$$(\tau_s - 1 - \partial\phi_s - \phi_s\partial)(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_l \leq 2n+2} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n}(t_{i_0}, \dots, t_{i_n}),$$

for some  $\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It suffices to prove that all  $\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  are zero. We consider an arbitrary group  $G$  that contains the elements  $s, s_0, \dots, s_n$  such that  $s_0, \dots, s_n, s_0s, \dots, s_ns$  are mutually distinct, i.e.  $t_i \neq t_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . (E.g.  $s_i = i$  and  $s = n+1$ , with  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ .) In this case,  $x := (s_0, \dots, s_n) \in F''_s$ , which implies that  $(\tau_s - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi_s + \phi_s\partial)(x)$  and so  $\sum_{1 \leq i_l \leq 2n+2} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n}(t_{i_0}, \dots, t_{i_n}) = 0$ . But  $t_1, \dots, t_{2n+2}$  are mutually distinct, so  $(t_{i_0}, \dots, t_{i_n})$  are mutually distinct elements in the basis  $G^{n+1}$  of  $F_n$ . It follows that all  $\alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  are zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** (i) On  $C(G, M)$  we have  $h_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ , where  $h_s : C^{n+1}(G, M) \rightarrow C^n(G, M)$  is given by  $h_s(a)(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s, \dots, s_n s)$ .

(ii) In inhomogeneous cochains,  $h_s : C^{n+1}(G, M) \rightarrow C^n(G, M)$  is given by  $h_s(a)(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a(s_1, \dots, s_i, s, s^{-1}s_{i+1}s, \dots, s^{-1}s_n s)$ .



*Proof.* (i) Note that  $h_s(a) = a\phi_s$ , i.e.  $h_s = \phi_s^*$ , where  $\phi_s$  is the map from Proposition 3.3. Since  $\phi_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ , by Theorem 1.1(i), we have  $h_s : s \approx 1$ .

(ii) Here we use the notation  $C(G, M)$  for inhomogeneous cochains and  $\bar{C}(G, M)$  for the homogeneous ones. The homotopy  $h_s$  defined on  $\bar{C}(G, M)$  induces a homotopy  $h_s$  defined on  $C(G, M)$  via the isomorphism  $C(G, M) \cong \bar{C}(G, M)$ .

If  $a \in C^n(G, M)$ , we denote by  $\bar{a}$  its correspondent in  $\bar{C}(G, M)$ . We have  $a(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_n)$ , where  $t_i = s_1 \cdots s_i$ . (In particular,  $t_0 = 1$ .) And  $\bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_n) = t_0 a(t_0^{-1}t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}^{-1}t_n)$ .

If  $a \in C^{n+1}(G, M)$ ,  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in G^n$  and  $t_i = s_1 \cdots s_i$ , then  $h_s(a)(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \bar{h}_s(\bar{a})(t_0, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_i, t_i s, \dots, t_n s)$ . But  $t_0 = 1$ ,  $t_{j-1}^{-1}t_j = s_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ ,  $t_i^{-1}t_i s = s$  and  $(t_{j-1}s)^{-1}t_j s = s^{-1}t_{j-1}^{-1}t_j s = s^{-1}s_j s$  for  $i+1 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence  $\bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_i, t_i s, \dots, t_n s) = a(s_1, \dots, s_i, s, s^{-1}s_{i+1}s, \dots, s^{-1}s_n s)$ , which implies the claimed formula for  $h_s(a)(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ .  $\square$

**3.5.** The map  $\tau : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ , given by  $x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{pq}y \otimes x$  if  $x \in F_p, y \in F_q$  can obviously be defined as  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F} \otimes \bar{F}$ . Same happens for  $\partial : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F[-1]$ , defined as  $x \otimes y \mapsto \partial x \otimes y + (-1)^p x \otimes \partial y$ , because  $\partial : F \rightarrow F[-1]$  can also be defined as  $\partial : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}[-1]$ . And, by Lemma 2.3,  $\psi_{s,t}$  too can be defined on the normalized resolution.

We refer to 3.2 for the notations  $D, F'$  and  $F''$ .

Since  $\bar{F} = F/D$ , we have  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} \cong (F \otimes F)/C$ , where  $C = D \otimes F + F \otimes D$ .

Then, since  $\partial : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F$  induces a map  $\partial : \bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F} \otimes \bar{F}$ , and  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} = (F \otimes F)/C$ , we have  $\partial C \subseteq C$ .

Since  $F = D \oplus F'$ , we have  $F \otimes F = C \oplus (F' \otimes F')$ .

We obviously have  $\tau(F' \otimes F') = F' \otimes F'$ .

By 3.2,  $\partial F'' \subseteq F''$ , which implies that  $\partial(F'' \otimes F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . (We have  $\partial(x \otimes y) = \partial x \otimes y + (-1)^{\deg x} x \otimes \partial y$ .)

We denote by  $C'$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $F \otimes F$  generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$  where  $s_0, \dots, s_{p+q+1}$  are mutually distinct. For each such generator we have  $(s_0, \dots, s_p), (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1}) \in F''$ , so  $C' \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . Also  $\partial((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1}))$  is a sum of elements of the form  $\pm(s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$  and  $\pm(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$ , which all belong to  $C'$ . Hence  $\partial C' \subseteq C'$ .

If  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$  is one of the generators of  $F'$ , then so are  $(s_0, \dots, s_i)$  and  $(s_i, \dots, s_n)$  for every  $i$ . Thus  $\Delta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_n) \in F' \otimes F'$ . Hence  $\Delta(F') \subseteq F' \otimes F'$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *We have  $\phi : \tau \approx 1$ , where  $\phi : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F[1]$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y \mapsto & (-1)^{pq} \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{(q+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, y) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p) \\ & - (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^p (s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p, y), \end{aligned}$$

For every  $(s_0, \dots, s_p) \in G^{p+1} \subseteq F_p$  and  $y \in G^{q+1} \subseteq F_q$ .

*Proof.* We use the technique from 3.1 to define inductively on  $(F \otimes F)_n$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , the map  $\tilde{\phi} = (\tilde{\phi}_n)_{n \geq 0} : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F[1]$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} : \tau \approx 1$ .

For convenience, if  $s \in G$ , we denote by  $\bar{\psi}_s = \psi_{s,s}$ .

If  $n = 0$ , then, by 3.1, on  $(F \otimes F)_0 = F_0 \otimes F_0$  we have  $\text{Im}(\tau - 1) \subseteq \partial(F \otimes F)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6(ii), if we define  $\tilde{\phi}_0 : (F \otimes F)_0 \rightarrow (F \otimes F)_1$  by

$$\tilde{\phi}_0(s_0 \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(\tau - 1)(s_0 \otimes y)$$

for every  $s_0, y \in G \subseteq F_0$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}_0$  is  $G$ -linear and satisfies the desired relation,  $\tau - 1 = \partial\tilde{\phi}_0$ , on  $(F \otimes F)_0$ .

For the inductive step  $n - 1 \rightarrow n$ , assume that  $n > 0$  and we constructed  $\tilde{\phi}_0, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{n-1}$ . By 3.1, the image of the map  $\tau - 1 - \phi_{n-1}\partial : (F \otimes F)_n \rightarrow F \otimes F$  is contained in  $\partial(F \otimes F)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2(ii), if for every component  $F_p \otimes F_q$ , with  $p + q = n$ , of  $(F \otimes F)_n$  we define  $\tilde{\phi}_n : F_p \otimes F_q \rightarrow (F \otimes F)_{n+1}$  by

$$\tilde{\phi}_n((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(\tau - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_{n-1}\partial)((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$$

for every  $(s_0, \dots, s_p) \in G^{p+1} \subseteq F_p$  and  $y \in G^{q+1} \subseteq F_q$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}_n$  is  $G$ -linear and the desired relation,  $\tau - 1 - \tilde{\phi}_{n-1}\partial = \partial\tilde{\phi}_n$ , holds on  $(F \otimes F)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F_p \otimes F_q$ .

Note that the inductive definition of  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given in terms of the maps  $\partial$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\bar{\psi}_s$ , with  $s \in G$ . By 3.5, these maps can also be defined on the normalized resolution. Therefore  $\tilde{\phi}$  too induces a map on normalized resolutions,  $\tilde{\phi} : \bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F} \otimes \bar{F}[1]$ . Same as for Proposition 3.3, we first determine  $\tilde{\phi}$  on normalized resolutions, where we have  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) = 0$  whenever  $s_i = s_{i+1}$  for some  $i$ .

We take first case  $n = 0$ , i.e. when  $p = q = 0$  and so  $s_0, y \in G$ . We have  $\tilde{\phi}(s_0 \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(\tau - 1)(s_0 \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(y \otimes s_0 - s_0 \otimes y) = ((s_0, y) \otimes s_0 + s_0 \otimes (s_0, s_0)) - ((s_0, s_0) \otimes y + s_0 \otimes (s_0, y)) = (s_0, y) \otimes s_0 - s_0 \otimes (s_0, y)$ .

Before going further, we note that, by definition,  $\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) \in \text{Im } \bar{\psi}_{s_0}$  whenever  $y \in G^{q+1}$  for some  $q \geq 0$ . By linearity, this holds for every  $y \in \bar{F}$ . It follows that  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) \in \text{Im } \bar{\psi}_{s_0}^2$ . But, by Lemma 2.4,  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}^2 = 0$ . Hence

$$\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \bar{F}.$$

We now consider the case  $p = 0$  and  $q > 0$ . We have  $\partial(s_0 \otimes y) = s_0 \otimes \partial y$  and, by the above property,  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}(s_0 \otimes \partial y) = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(s_0 \otimes y) &= \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(\tau - 1 - \tilde{\phi}\partial)(s_0 \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(y \otimes s_0) - \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(s_0 \otimes y) - \bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}(s_0 \otimes \partial y) \\ &= (s_0, y) \otimes s_0 - ((s_0, s_0) \otimes y + s_0 \otimes (s_0, y)) - 0 = (s_0, y) \otimes s_0 - s_0 \otimes (s_0, y). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove, by induction on  $p$ , that for every  $q \geq 0$ ,  $(s_0, \dots, s_p) \in G^{p+1} \subseteq F_p$  and  $y \in G^{q+1} \subseteq F_q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) &= \sum_{i=0}^p a_{p,q}(i)(s_0, \dots, s_i, y) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^p b_{p,q}(i)(s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p, y) \end{aligned}$$

for some integers  $a_{p,q}(i)$  and  $b_{p,q}(i)$ . Later we will identify  $a_{p,q}(i) = (-1)^{pq+(q+1)i}$  and  $b_{p,q}(i) = (-1)^{p+1}$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ .

If  $p = 0$ , both when  $q = 0$  or  $q > 0$ , we have  $\tilde{\phi}(s_0 \otimes y) = (s_0, y) \otimes s_0 - s_0 \otimes (s_0, y)$  so the claimed result holds, with  $a_{0,q}(0) = 1$  and  $b_{0,q}(0) = -1$ .

Now we prove the induction step  $p - 1 \rightarrow p$  for  $p \geq 1$ . We use the relation  $\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}(\tau - 1 - \tilde{\phi}\partial)((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$ .

Note that  $\partial((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$  writes as  $\partial(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y + (-1)^p(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes \partial y$  and the term  $\partial(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y$  writes as  $(s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y$  plus a sum of terms of the type  $\pm(s_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{p-1}) \otimes y$ . But we have both  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes \partial y) = 0$  and  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{p-1}) \otimes y) = 0$ , so in  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}\partial((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$  these terms have no contribution. It follows that

$$\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}\partial((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$$

We also have  $\tau((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = (-1)^{pq}y \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p)$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = \bar{\psi}_{s_0}((-1)^{pq}y \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p) - (s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y - \tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)).$$

If  $q = 0$  then  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}(y \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p)) = (s_0, y) \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p) + s_0 \otimes (s_0, s_0, \dots, s_p) = (s_0, y) \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p)$ . If  $q > 0$ , then again  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}(y \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p)) = (s_0, y) \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p)$ .

We also have  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) = (s_0, s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y = 0$ .

And for the term  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$ , by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) &= \sum_{i=1}^p a_{p-1,q}(i-1)(s_1, \dots, s_i, y) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p b_{p-1,q}(i-1)(s_1, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$  is a linear combination of  $x \otimes z$  with  $x \in G^{k+1}$  and  $z \in G^{l+1}$  for some  $k, l \geq 0$ . For almost all these terms we have  $k > 0$  so  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}(x \otimes z) = (s_0, x) \otimes z$ . The only exception is  $b_{p-1,q}(0)s_1 \otimes (s_1, \dots, s_p, y)$ . We have  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}(s_1 \otimes (s_1, \dots, s_p, y)) = (s_0, s_1) \otimes (s_1, \dots, s_p, y) + s_0 \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p, y)$ , so  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}(b_{p-1,q}(0)s_1 \otimes (s_1, \dots, s_p, y))$  brings an extra term,  $b_{p-1,q}(0)s_0 \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p, y)$ , to  $\bar{\psi}_{s_0}\tilde{\phi}((s_1, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$ .

In conclusion,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) &= (-1)^{pq}(s_0, y) \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p) - 0 \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^p a_{p-1,q}(i-1)(s_0, \dots, s_i, y) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^p b_{p-1,q}(i-1)(s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_p, y) - b_{p-1,q}(0)s_0 \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_p, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{\phi}((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y)$  has the claimed form and, moreover, we have  $a_{p,q}(0) = (-1)^{pq}$ ,  $b_{p,q}(0) = -b_{p-1,q}(0)$  and, if  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , then  $a_{p,q}(i) = -a_{p-1,q}(i-1)$  and  $b_{p,q}(i) = -b_{p-1,q}(i-1)$ . Also recall that  $a_{0,q}(0) = 1$  and  $b_{0,q}(0) = -1$ .

We use repeatedly the formula  $b_{p,q}(0) = -b_{p-1,q}(0)$  to get  $b_{p,q}(0) = (-1)^p b_{0,q}(0) = (-1)^{p+1}$ .

Next, from  $a_{p,q}(i) = -a_{p-1,q}(i-1)$  and  $b_{p,q}(i) = -b_{p-1,q}(i-1)$  we get that

$$a_{p,q}(i) = (-1)^i a_{p-i,q}(0) = (-1)^i (-1)^{(p-i)q} = (-1)^{pq+(q+1)i}$$

$$b_{p,q}(i) = (-1)^i b_{p-i,q}(0) = (-1)^i (-1)^{p-i+1} = (-1)^{p+1}.$$

It follows that on  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F}$  we have  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ . Since, by 3.5,  $\bar{F} \otimes \bar{F} = (F \otimes F)/C$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + \phi'$ , where  $\phi' : F \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes F[1]$  takes values in  $C$ . We want to prove that, together with  $\tau - 1 = \partial\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\phi}\partial$ , we also have  $\tau - 1 = \partial\phi + \phi\partial$ .

Recall that  $C'$  is generated by  $(s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y$ , with  $y = (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$  such that  $s_0, \dots, s_{p+q+1} \in G$  are mutually distinct. In this case for all  $i$  we have  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, y)$ ,  $(s_i, \dots, s_p)$ ,  $(s_0, \dots, s_i)$ ,  $(s_i, \dots, s_p, y) \in F''$ . Then, by the definition of  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi((s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes y) \in F'' \otimes F''$ . Hence  $\phi(C') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . For other properties involving  $F' \otimes F'$ ,  $F'' \otimes F''$ ,  $C$  and  $C'$  we refer to 3.5.

We first prove that  $\tau - 1 = \partial\phi + \phi\partial$  holds on  $C'$ . Let  $x \in C'$ . Since  $\tau - 1 = \partial\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\phi}\partial$  and  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + \phi'$ , we have

$$(\tau - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi + \phi\partial)(x) + (\partial\phi' + \phi'\partial)(x).$$

We prove that  $(\tau - 1)(x)$ ,  $(\partial\phi + \phi\partial)(x) \in F' \otimes F'$  and  $(\partial\phi' + \phi'\partial)(x) \in C$ . Since  $F \otimes F = C \oplus (F' \otimes F')$ , this will imply that  $(\tau - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi + \phi\partial)(x)$ .

We have  $x \in C' \subseteq F' \otimes F'$  and so  $\tau(x) \in \tau(F' \otimes F') = F' \otimes F'$ . Hence  $(\tau - 1)(x) \in F' \otimes F'$ . We have  $\phi(x) \in \phi(C') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$  and  $\partial x \in \partial C' \subseteq C'$ . It follows that  $\partial\phi(x) \in \partial(F'' \otimes F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$  and  $\phi\partial x \in \phi(C') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . Hence  $(\partial\phi + \phi\partial)(x) \in F'' \otimes F'' \subseteq F' \otimes F'$ . And we have  $\text{Im } \phi' \subseteq C$  and  $\partial C \subseteq C$ , so the images of both  $\partial\phi'$  and  $\phi'\partial$  are included in  $C$ . In particular, we get  $(\partial\phi' + \phi'\partial)(x) \in C$ , which concludes our proof.

For the general case, we note that, by the way  $\tau$  and  $\phi$  are defined, if  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1})$ , then

$$(\tau - 1 - \partial\phi - \phi\partial)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p+q} \sum_{0 \leq i_l \leq p+q+1} \alpha_{k, i_0, \dots, i_{p+q+1}}(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{p+q+1}}),$$

for some  $\alpha_{k, i_0, \dots, i_{p+q+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It suffices to prove that all  $\alpha_{k, i_0, \dots, i_{p+q+1}}$  are zero.

We take  $s_0, \dots, s_{p+q+1}$  mutually distinct elements of some group  $G$ , so that  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_p) \otimes (s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q+1}) \in C'$ . But in this case we proved that  $(\tau - 1)(x) = (\partial\phi + \phi\partial)(x)$  and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p+q} \sum_{0 \leq i_l \leq p+q+1} \alpha_{k, i_0, \dots, i_{p+q+1}}(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{p+q+1}}) = 0.$$

But  $s_0, \dots, s_{p+q+1}$  are mutually distinct, so  $(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{p+q+1}})$  are mutually distinct elements of the basis  $\bigcup_{k+l=p+q} G^{k+1} \otimes G^{l+1}$  of  $(F \otimes F)_{p+q}$ . It follows that all  $\alpha_{k, i_0, \dots, i_{p+q+1}}$  are zero.  $\square$

We now determine a homotopy  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ . Since  $\phi : \tau \approx 1$ , we have  $\phi\Delta : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ . However our  $\lambda$  will not be  $\phi\Delta$ , but a simplified version of  $\phi\Delta$ , with all degenerate terms removed.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0} : F \rightarrow F \otimes F[1]$ , with  $\lambda_n : F_n \rightarrow (F \otimes F)_{n+1}$ ,  $\lambda_n = \sum_{p+q=n+1} \lambda_{p,q}$ , where  $\lambda_{p,q} : F_n \rightarrow F_p \otimes F_q$  are given by  $\lambda_{0,n+1} = \lambda_{n+1,0} = 0$*

and for  $p, q > 0$  by

$$\lambda_{p,q}(s_0, \dots, s_n) = (-1)^{pq+q} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{(q+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_{i+q}).$$

Then  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6,  $\phi : \tau \approx 1$ . Then, since  $\Delta$  is a map of chain complexes, we have  $\tilde{\lambda} := \phi\Delta : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ . By definition,  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ , where

$$\phi_1((s_0, \dots, s_k) \otimes y) = (-1)^{kl} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{(l+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, y) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_k),$$

$$\phi_2((s_0, \dots, s_k) \otimes y) = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k (s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_k, y)$$

for every  $(s_0, \dots, s_k) \in G^{k+1} \subseteq F_k$  and  $y \in G^{l+1} \subseteq F_l$ . (Here we changed  $p, q$  from Proposition 3.6 to  $k, l$ .) Hence  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , where  $\lambda_1 = \phi_1\Delta$  and  $\lambda_2 = \phi_2\Delta$ .

For  $\lambda_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(s_0, \dots, s_n) &= \phi_1 \left( \sum_{k+l=n} (s_0, \dots, s_k) \otimes (s_k, \dots, s_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k+l=n} (-1)^{kl} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{(l+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_k, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\lambda_1$  takes values in  $(F \otimes F)_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n+1} (F_p \otimes F_q)$ . We want, for every  $p, q \geq 0$  with  $p+q = n+1$ , to identify the  $F_p \otimes F_q$  component of  $\lambda_1$ , which we denote by  $\tilde{\lambda}_{p,q}$ . For every  $k, l$  with  $k+l = n$  and every  $0 \leq i \leq k$  we have  $(s_i, \dots, s_k) \in G^{k-i+1} \subseteq F_{k-i}$  and  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_k, \dots, s_n) \in G^{i+1+n-k+1} \subseteq F_{n+1+i-k}$ . Hence  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_k, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_k)$  belongs to  $F_p \otimes F_q = F_{n+1-q} \otimes F_q$  iff  $q = k-i$ . Then we have  $k = q+i$  and the condition  $k+l = n = p+q-1$  writes as  $l = (p+q-1) - k = p-i-1$ . We also have the conditions  $0 \leq i \leq k = q+i$  and  $0 \leq l = p-i-1$ , which are equivalent to  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ .

The factors  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_k, \dots, s_n)$  and  $(s_i, \dots, s_k)$  write as  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n)$  and  $(s_i, \dots, s_{i+q})$ . And for the coefficient  $(-1)^{kl+(l+1)i}$  we note that  $kl + (l+1)i = (q+i)(p-i-1) + (p-i)i = pq + 2pi - q - qi - 2i^2 - i \equiv pq + q + (q+1)i \pmod{2}$ .

In conclusion, for every  $p, q \geq 0$  with  $p+q = n+1$  we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{p,q}(s_0, \dots, s_n) = (-1)^{pq+q} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{(q+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_{i+q}).$$

Hence  $\tilde{\lambda}_{p,q} = \lambda_{p,q}$ , for all  $(p, q) \neq (n+1, 0)$ . (This includes the case  $(p, q) = (0, n+1)$ , when the sum above is zero, so  $\tilde{\lambda}_{0,n+1} = 0 = \lambda_{0,n+1}$ .) Since  $\lambda_{n+1,0} = 0$ , we have  $\lambda_1 = \lambda + \lambda_3$ , where  $\lambda_3 = \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1,0}$ , i.e.

$$\lambda_3(s_0, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i, \dots, s_n) \otimes s_i.$$

Since  $(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i, \dots, s_n) \in D$ , we have  $\lambda_3(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in D \otimes F$ , so  $\text{Im } \lambda_3 \subseteq D \otimes F$ .

For  $\lambda_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2(s_0, \dots, s_n) &= \phi_2 \left( \sum_{k+l=n} (s_0, \dots, s_k) \otimes (s_k, \dots, s_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k+l=n} (-1)^{l+1} \sum_{i=0}^k (s_0, \dots, s_i) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_k, s_k, \dots, s_n).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(s_i, \dots, s_k, s_k, \dots, s_n) \in D$ , we have  $\lambda_2(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in F \otimes D$ , so  $\text{Im } \lambda_2 \subseteq F \otimes D$ .

Since  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda + \lambda_3$ , we have  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + \lambda'$ , where  $\lambda' = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ . Since  $\text{Im } \lambda_2 \subseteq F \otimes D$  and  $\text{Im } \lambda_3 \subseteq D \otimes F$ , we have  $\text{Im } \lambda' \subseteq F \otimes D + D \otimes F = C$ .

If  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$  is one of the generators of  $F''$ , i.e. with  $s_j \neq s_h \forall j \neq h$ , then every term  $\pm (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_{i+q})$  that appears in  $\lambda(x)$  belongs to  $F'' \otimes F''$  so  $\lambda(x) \in F'' \otimes F''$ . Hence  $\lambda(F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . For other properties involving  $F'$ ,  $F''$ ,  $F' \otimes F'$ ,  $F'' \otimes F''$  and  $C$  we refer to 3.2 and 3.5.

We now employ a technique which is similar to that from Propositions 3.3 and 3.6 to prove that  $\tau\Delta - \Delta = \partial\lambda + \lambda\partial$ . First we prove this relation on  $F''$ .

Let  $x \in F''$ . Since  $\tau\Delta - \Delta = \partial\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\lambda}\partial$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + \lambda'$ , we have

$$(\tau\Delta - \Delta)(x) = (\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial)(x) + (\partial\lambda' + \lambda'\partial)(x).$$

We prove that  $(\tau\Delta - \Delta)(x)$ ,  $(\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial)(x) \in F' \otimes F'$  and  $(\partial\lambda' + \lambda'\partial)(x) \in C$ . Since  $F \otimes F = C \oplus (F' \otimes F')$ , this will imply that  $(\tau\Delta - \Delta)(x) = (\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial)(x)$ .

We have  $x \in F'' \subseteq F'$  so  $\Delta(x) \in F' \otimes F'$  and also  $\tau\Delta(x) \in \tau(F' \otimes F') = F' \otimes F'$ . Thus  $(\tau\Delta - \Delta)(x) \in F' \otimes F'$ . We have  $\lambda(x) \in \lambda(F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$  and  $\partial x \in \partial F'' \subseteq F''$ , so  $\partial\lambda(x) \in \partial(F'' \otimes F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$  and  $\lambda(\partial x) \in \lambda(F'') \subseteq F'' \otimes F''$ . Hence  $(\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial)(x) \in F'' \otimes F'' \subseteq F' \otimes F'$ . And, since  $\text{Im } \lambda' \subseteq C$  and  $\partial C \subseteq C$ , the images of both  $\partial\lambda'$  and  $\lambda'\partial$  are included in  $C$ . It follows that  $(\partial\lambda' + \lambda'\partial)(x) \in C$ , which concludes the proof.

For the general case, we note that, by the way  $\tau$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\lambda$  are defined, the map  $\tau\Delta - \Delta - (\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial) : F_n \rightarrow (F \otimes F)_n$  is given by

$$(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{0 \leq i_l \leq n} \alpha_{k; i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{n+1}})$$

for some  $\alpha_{k; i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We will prove that all  $\alpha_{k; i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}$  are zero, which concludes our proof. We take  $s_0, \dots, s_n$  mutually distinct elements of some arbitrary group  $G$ . Then  $x := (s_0, \dots, s_n) \in F''$ , which implies that  $(\tau\Delta - \Delta)(x) = (\partial\lambda + \lambda\partial)(x)$ . Hence in this case we have  $\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{0 \leq i_l \leq n} \alpha_{k; i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{n+1}}) = 0$ . But  $s_0, \dots, s_n$  are mutually distinct, so  $(s_{i_0}, \dots, s_{i_k}) \otimes (s_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, s_{i_{n+1}})$  are mutually distinct elements in the basis  $\bigcup_{k+l=n} G^{k+1} \otimes G^{l+1}$  of  $(F \otimes F)_n$ . It follows that all  $\alpha_{k; i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}$  are zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** (i) Let  $M, N$  be  $G$ -modules and let  $h : C(G, M) \otimes C(G, N) \rightarrow C(G, M \otimes N)[-1]$ , where if  $a \in C^p(G, M)$  and  $b \in C^q(G, N)$ , then  $h(a \otimes b) \in C^n(G, M \otimes N)$ , with  $n = p + q - 1$ , is defined by  $h(a \otimes b) = 0$  if  $p$  or  $q = 0$  and if

$p, q > 0$ , by

$$(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (-1)^{pq+q} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q(i+1)} a(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes b(s_i, \dots, s_{i+q}).$$

Then  $(-1)^{pq}t(b \cup a) - a \cup b = (hd + dh)(a \otimes b) \forall a \in C(G, M), b \in C(G, N)$ .

(ii) In terms of inhomogeneous cochains,  $h(a \otimes b)$  for  $p, q > 0$  is given by

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (-1)^{pq+q} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{q(i+1)} a(s_1, \dots, s_i, s_{i+1} \cdots s_{i+q}, s_{i+q+1}, \dots, s_{p+q-1}) \\ \otimes s_1 \cdots s_i b(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+q}).$$

*Proof.* (i) The map  $\lambda$  from Proposition 3.7 satisfies  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ . We prove that  $h(a \otimes b) = (a \times b)\lambda$ . Then, by Theorem 1.1(ii),  $h$  satisfies the required property.

Let  $a \in C^p(G, M)$  and  $b \in C^q(G, N)$  and let  $n = p+q-1$ , i.e.  $p+q = n+1$ . Then  $a \times b \in \text{Hom}(F \otimes F, M \otimes N)$ , given by  $(a \times b)(x \otimes y) = a(x) \otimes b(y)$ , is zero everywhere outside  $F_p \otimes F_q \subseteq (F \otimes F)_{n+1}$ . Since the  $F_p \otimes F_q$  component of  $\lambda$  is  $\lambda_{p,q}$  we have  $(a \times b)\lambda = (a \times b)\lambda_{p,q}$ . If  $p$  or  $q = 0$  then  $\lambda_{p,q} = 0$  so  $(a \times b)\lambda = 0 = h(a \otimes b)$ . If  $p, q > 0$ , then each term  $\pm(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_{i+q})$  from the formula for  $\lambda_{p,q}(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  is mapped by  $a \times b$  to  $\pm a(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+q}, \dots, s_n) \otimes b(s_i, \dots, s_{i+q})$ , which proves the claimed formula,  $(a \times b)\lambda(s_0, \dots, s_n) = h(a \otimes b)(s_0, \dots, s_n)$ .

(ii) We use the notations from the proof of Theorem 3.4(ii). We have  $h(a \otimes b)(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \overline{h(a \otimes b)}(t_0, \dots, t_n) = h(\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b})(t_0, \dots, t_n)$ . For the terms  $\pm \bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_i, t_{i+q}, \dots, t_n) \otimes \bar{b}(t_i, \dots, t_{i+q})$  which appear in  $h(\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b})(t_0, \dots, t_n)$ , we note that  $t_{j-1}^{-1}t_j = s_j$ ,  $t_i^{-1}t_{i+q} = s_{i+1} \cdots s_{i+q}$ ,  $t_0 = 1$  and  $t_i = s_0 \cdots s_i$ . Then

$$\bar{a}(t_0, \dots, t_i, t_{i+q}, \dots, t_n) = a(s_1, \dots, s_i, s_{i+1} \cdots s_{i+q}, s_{i+q+1}, \dots, s_n) \\ \bar{b}(t_i, \dots, t_{i+q}) = s_0 \cdots s_i b(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+q}).$$

Hence the conclusion.  $\square$

**3.9. Alternative formulas.** Note that  $\tau_s \tau_{s^{-1}} = 1$ . Since  $\phi_{s^{-1}} : \tau_{s^{-1}} \approx 1$  and  $\tau_s$  is a map of complexes, we have  $\tau_s \phi_{s^{-1}} : \tau_s \tau_{s^{-1}} \approx \tau_s$ , i.e.  $\tau_s \phi_{s^{-1}} : 1 \approx \tau_s$ . So if  $\phi'_s = -\tau_s \phi_{s^{-1}}$ , then  $\phi'_s : \tau_s \approx 1$ .

If  $x = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$ , then  $\phi_{s^{-1}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_i s^{-1}, \dots, s_n s^{-1})$ , so  $\phi'_s(x) = -\tau_s \phi_{s^{-1}}(x) = -\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (s_0 s, \dots, s_i s, s_i, \dots, s_n)$ .

If we replace  $\phi_s$  by  $\phi'_s$ , then the map  $h_s$  from Theorem 3.4, satisfying  $sa - a = (h_s d + dh_s)(a)$ , will be replaced by  $h'_s$ , given by  $h'_s(a) = a\phi'_s$ , i.e.

$$h'_s(a)(s_0, \dots, s_n) = -\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a(s_0 s, \dots, s_i s, s_i, \dots, s_n).$$

In terms of inhomogeneous cochains

$$h'_s(a)(s_1, \dots, s_n) = -\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i sa(s^{-1}s_1 s, \dots, s^{-1}s_i s, s^{-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n).$$

We have  $\tau^2 = 1$  and  $\tau$  is a map of complexes, so  $\lambda : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$  implies that  $\tau\lambda : \tau^2\Delta \approx \tau\Delta$ , i.e.  $\tau\lambda : \Delta \approx \tau\Delta$ . It follows that  $\lambda' : \tau\Delta \approx \Delta$ , where  $\lambda' = -\tau\lambda$ .

If  $p+q = n+1$ , we denote by  $\lambda'_{p,q}$  the  $F_p \otimes F_q$  component of  $\lambda'$ . Since  $\tau(F_q \otimes F_p) = F_p \otimes F_q$  and the  $F_q \otimes F_p$  component of  $\lambda$  is  $\lambda_{q,p}$ , we have  $\lambda'_{p,q} = -\tau\lambda_{q,p}$ . If  $p$  or  $q = 0$  then  $\lambda_{q,p} = 0$ , so  $\lambda'_{p,q} = 0$ . If  $p, q > 0$  then

$$\lambda_{q,p}(s_0, \dots, s_n) = (-1)^{qp+p} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{(p+1)i} (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+p}, \dots, s_n) \otimes (s_i, \dots, s_{i+p})$$

so

$$\lambda'_{p,q}(s_0, \dots, s_n) = -(-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{(p+1)i} (s_i, \dots, s_{i+p}) \otimes (s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+p}, \dots, s_n).$$

If we replace  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda'$ , then the map  $h$  from Theorem 3.8, with  $(-1)^{pq}t(b \cup a) - a \cup b = (hd + dh)(a \otimes b)$ , is replaced by  $h'$ , given by  $h'(a \otimes b) = (a \times b)\lambda'$ .

If  $p$  or  $q = 0$ , then  $h'(a \otimes b) = 0$ . If  $p, q > 0$ , then  $h'(a \otimes b)$  is given by

$$(s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto -(-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{(p+1)i} a(s_i, \dots, s_{i+p}) \otimes b(s_0, \dots, s_i, s_{i+p}, \dots, s_n).$$

In terms of inhomogeneous cochains, if  $p, q > 0$  then  $h'(a \otimes b)$  is given by

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto -(-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{(p+1)i} s_1 \cdots s_i a(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+p}) \otimes b(s_1, \dots, s_i, s_{i+1} \cdots s_{i+p}, s_{i+p+1}, \dots, s_n).$$

## References

[AM] Alejandro Adem, R. James Milgram, Cohomology of finite groups, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, volume 309.

[B] Kenneth S. Brown, Cohomology of groups, Springer 1982.

[E] Leonard Evens, The cohomology of groups, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.