

Hot Stellar Populations of Berkeley 39 using *Swift*/UVOT

Komal CHAND, Khushboo Kunwar RAO, Kaushar VAIDYA and Anju PANTHI

Department of Physics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science-Pilani, 333031 Rajasthan,
India

* Corresponding author: p20210463@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in

Abstract

Open clusters are excellent tools to probe the history of the Galactic disk and properties of star formation. In this work, we present a study of an old age open cluster Berkeley 39 using the observations from UVOT instrument of the Neil Gehrels Swift observatory. Making use of a machine learning algorithm, ML-MOC, we have identified a total of 861 stars as cluster members out of which 17 are blue straggler stars. In this work, we present a characterisation of 2 blue straggler stars. To estimate the fundamental parameters of blue straggler stars and their companions (if any), we constructed spectral energy distributions using UV data from *swift*/UVOT and GALEX, optical data from *Gaia* DR3, and infrared (IR) data from 2MASS, *Spitzer*/IRAC, and WISE. We find excess flux in UV in one blue straggler star, implying the possibility of a hot companion.

Keywords: Blue Straggler Stars, Open Clusters, Spectral Energy Distributions, Berkeley 39

1. Introduction

Open clusters (OCs) showcase a wide variety of stellar populations providing a glimpse into the formation and evolution of stars. They contain hundreds to thousands of gravitationally bound stars formed in the same star formation event from the same parent giant molecular cloud (GMC, Harris and Zaritsky 2009). Therefore, member stars of OCs are a group of stars with similar ages, distances, and metallicities. Dynamical interactions in OCs can lead to the formation of exotic stellar populations such as blue straggler stars (BSS, Sandage 1953), yellow straggler stars (Strom et al., 1971; Leiner et al., 2016), red straggler stars (Geller et al., 2017), and cataclysmic variables (Ritter, 2010), that challenge the standard model of single star evolution. BSS are rejuvenated main-sequence stars that are brighter and bluer than the main-sequence turnoff (MSTO) in the color-magnitude diagrams (CMD). Their formation mechanisms and evolution processes are still debatable and are an active field of research (Boffin et al., 2015). The widely accepted formation mechanisms of BSS are: (a) Mass transfer (MT) process: this occurs when a BSS is in a binary system with a companion star, and this companion star evolves and expands, (b) Merger process: this occurs when two stars are in close proximity and they merge due to loss of angular momentum to form a more massive star (Andronov et al., 2006), and (c) Collision process: this occurs because of direct stellar collisions leading to mergers

(Hills and Day, 1976; Leonard, 1996). Direct stellar collisions are not feasible for low-density environments such as OCs (Chatterjee et al., 2013). Based on the evolutionary stage of the primary star, MT mechanism is further divided into three categories: Case A – primary star is in the main sequence phase of its evolution (Webbink, 1976), Case B – primary star is in the red-giant branch (RGB) phase (McCrea, 1964), and Case C – primary star is in the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase (Chen and Han, 2008).

With an objective of characterizing the BSS population, determining their properties, and detecting their hot companions, we studied Berkeley 39 using archival data from *Swift*/UVOT and other wavelengths. Berkeley 39 is a 6 Gyr old (Kassis et al., 1997) massive OC ($\sim 2 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$, Lata et al. 2002) having a mean metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.20$ (Bragaglia et al., 2012). It is located at a distance of ~ 3.8 kpc. The BSS population of this cluster was extensively studied by Vaidya et al. (2020) and Rao et al. (2021). Vaidya et al. (2020) identified 23 BSS on the basis of the magnitude ($G \leq \text{MSTO}_{\text{Mag}} + 0.5$) and color ($BP - RP \leq \text{MSTO}_{\text{Color}} - 0.05$) of the MSTO Rao et al. (2021) identified 16 BSS candidates based on the fitted zero-age main sequence, parsec isochrone, and equal mass binary isochrone ($G = 0.75$ mag brighter than the fitted parsec isochrone). Vaidya et al. (2020) and Rao et al. (2021) found this cluster to be dynamically young or intermediate age on the basis of the segregation of its BSS with respect to other less massive reference populations. With a reasonably large number of BSS, this cluster is an ideal cluster to study in ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths. Although the BSS of this cluster have been studied to understand the dynamical status of the cluster by Vaidya et al. (2020) and Rao et al. (2021), the formation mechanisms of the BSS have not been studied earlier. We found *Swift*/UVOT archival data for this cluster in three near-UV filters, *UVW1*, *UVW2*, and *UVM1*. Combining UVOT data with photometric data in other filters provides valuable insights into the fundamental physical properties of astronomical objects by characterizing their emissions across a wide range of wavelengths. Since BSS are hot, they radiate a significant amount of their flux at UV wavelengths. Additionally, BSS may exhibit UV excess owing to the presence of an unresolved hot companion. Therefore, spectral energy distributions (SEDs) covering a long wavelength range from far UV to far IR bands, have the potential to explain the formation and evolution of BSS along with their hot companions (Gosnell et al., 2015; Subramaniam et al., 2016; Subramaniam, 2018; Sindhu et al., 2019; Vaidya et al., 2022; Rao et al., 2022; Panthi et al., 2022).

2. Observation and Data Analysis

2.1. Optical Data

We used optical data from *Gaia* DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2021) to identify the cluster members using a machine-learning based membership determination algorithm for open clusters (ML-MOC, Agarwal et al. 2021). ML-MOC uses k-Nearest Neighbour (kNN, Cover and Hart 1967) and Gaussian mixture model (GMM, Peel and MacLahlan 2000). To identify cluster members, this algorithm uses parallax and proper motion from *Gaia* DR3 data. We identified a total of 861 sources as cluster members, out of which 17 are classified as BSS. The details of the two BSS that we present in this work are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Basic details of two BSS presented in this work.

Name	RA	DEC	GAIA DR3 source_id	UV flux (ergs s ⁻¹ cm ⁻² Å ⁻¹)		
				UVW2	UVM2	UVW1
BSS3	116.74244	-4.69012	3056678441602599296	9.83405e-16	7.79188e-16	6.964300e-16
BSS9	116.61005	-4.66228	3056682289893334912	2.40237e-17	2.09187e-17	8.136015e-17

2.2. UV Data

UV data are taken from *Swift*/UVOT and Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX). The UVOT is a 30 cm modified Ritchey-Chretien UV/optical telescope co-aligned with the X-ray telescope and having a wide-field view of $17' \times 17'$. The UVOT instrument covers a wavelength range of 170 – 650 nm. It utilises clear white filters, U (300 – 400 nm), B (380 – 500 nm), V (500 – 600 nm), UVW1 (220 – 400 nm), UVM2 (200 – 280 nm), and UVW2 (180 – 260 nm), two grisms, a magnifier, and a blocked filter (Romig et al., 2005). *Swift*/UVOT observed Berkeley 39 in three UV filters, UVW1, UVM2, and UVW2 in 2011. A Catalog of this cluster for near ultra-violet (NUV) point source is provided by Siegel et al. (2019). GALEX is a space-based telescope (Martin et al., 2005) that operates in two UV bands: far-UV (1350 – 1780 Å) and NUV (1770 – 2730 Å).

2.3. Infrared data

Infrared data (IR) are taken from two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS), *Spitzer*/IRAC, and Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE). 2MASS (Cohen et al., 2003) provides a near-IR survey of the entire sky in the J-band (1.235 μm), H-band (1.662 μm), and Ks-band (2.159 μm). *Spitzer*/IRAC catalog (Fazio et al., 2004) contains information about sources detected in all four filters I1 (3.6 μm), I2 (4.5 μm), I3 (5.8 μm), and I4 (8.0 μm). Berkeley 39 was observed in all four IRAC channels in 2006 with an exposure time of 26.8 s. WISE is a mid-IR full sky survey which contains four different bands, W1, W2, W3, and W4, with wavelengths centered at 3.35 μm , 4.60 μm , 11.56 μm , and 22.09 μm , respectively (Wright et al., 2010). For sources with no IRAC magnitudes in the I1 and I2 channels, we use the WISE W1 and W2 band fluxes, whereas WISE W3 and W4 band fluxes are used for all the sources when available. When only upper limits are available in WISE W3 and W4 bands, they are shown on the plots but not included in the fits.

3. Analysis of BSS

We identified a total of 17 BSS, out of which 16 BSS had no nearby sources within $3''$ radial distance and were analysed using SEDs. In this work, we focus on two BSS, BSS3 and BSS9. To construct the SEDs, we used the Virtual Observatory SED Analyzer (VOSA, Bayo et al. 2008). We provide VOSA with an input file which contains BSS coordinates, UV fluxes from *Swift*/UVOT and GALEX, mid-IR fluxes from *Spitzer*/IRAC, mean distance = 3.8 kpc, and extinction in the V-band (A_V) as 0.51 mag. We estimated (A_V) and the mean distance of the cluster using the bright cluster members ($G \leq 16$ mag) referring to the Bailer-Jones et al.

(2021) catalog for conversion from parallaxes to distances of individual members. VOSA then assembles fluxes in optical data from *Gaia* DR3 and Pan-STARRS (Chambers et al., 2016), near-IR from 2MASS (Cohen et al., 2003), and mid-IR data from WISE (Wright et al., 2010). In order to normalise the SEDs, VOSA uses the distance and A_V . We first excluded the UV data points from the SEDs to ensure that optical and IR data points were fitting satisfactorily with the model flux. We examined if there were any noticeable excess in the UV and/or IR data points and noted down the residuals in all the UV filters. After that, we used Kurucz stellar model (Castelli et al., 1997) to fit the extinction-corrected observed fluxes to construct the SEDs. We used temperature T_{eff} and $\log g$ as free parameters, with $\log g$ ranging from 3 to 5 and T_{eff} from 3500 to 50000 K, whereas fixed the value of metallicity ($[Fe/H]$) to be zero since it is closest to the cluster metallicity, -0.20 (Bragaglia et al., 2012). VOSA uses the χ^2 minimisation technique to choose the best-fit SEDs. The reduced χ^2 (χ_r^2) value is obtained using following expression:

$$\chi_r^2 = \frac{1}{N - N_f} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(F_{o,i} - M_d F_{m,i})^2}{\Sigma_{o,i}^2} \quad (1)$$

where N indicates the total number of photometric data points, N_f is the total number of fitted model parameters, $F_{o,i}$ is the observed flux, $F_{m,i}$ is the model flux of the star and $M_d = (\frac{r}{d})^2$ is the scaling factor, which is dependent on the radius and the distance of objects.

BSS9 did not show UV excess, whereas BSS3 showed UV excess with a fractional residual greater than 0.5 in all three UV filters. Figure 1(a) shows *single-component* SED fit of BSS9 whereas Figure 1(b) shows *single-component* SED fit of BSS3. We tried to fit the UV excess in BSS3 by using the *Binary_SED_fit* pipeline by Jadhav et al. (2021). However, we were unsuccessful in finding a suitable model to fit the excess using Koester (Koester, 2010) and Kurucz (Castelli et al., 1997) models. Due to the unavailability of data in the XMM and *Chandra* catalogs for this cluster, we cannot exclude the possibility of the presence of chromospheric activity as a cause of the UV excess in this BSS. Therefore, in order to gain more understanding of the nature of BSS3, we need further observations and revisit the SED fitting. Spectroscopic observations from the 3.6 m Devesthal Optical Telescope (DOT) can enable us to know the fundamental parameters of this BSS, which will help to constrain the SED and investigate the physical reason behind the UV excess. The analysis of the remaining BSS will be presented in Chand et al. (2023, in preparation).

4. Summary

We used the *Swift*/UVOT data along with other multi-wavelength data to characterize two BSS of an old OC Berkeley 39. BSS3 shows an excess in the UV wavelengths, whereas BSS9 shows no UV excess. We are unsuccessful in fitting a suitable model to the SED of BSS3. As the UV excess could as well be due to reasons other than the presence of a hot companion, we searched the XMM, and *Chandra* database for this BSS position. However, the X-ray data are not available for this region. Hence, we are unable to comment if this BSS shows UV excess




Figure 1: (a) The single component SED fit of BSS9. (b) The single component SED fit of BSS3. The top panels in each figure show the extinction corrected observed fluxes with brown data points, error bars representing the errors in observed fluxes in black, and the blue curve representing the Kurucz stellar model fit. The bottom panels depict the residual between extinction-corrected observed fluxes and the model across the filters from UV to IR wavelengths. BSS3 shows a fractional residual greater than 0.5 in all three UV filters.

likely due to unresolved hot companion or other reasons. We conclude that we need to revisit this BSS with better photometric and spectroscopic information to learn about its properties and detect the presence of any hot companions.

Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous referee for their valuable comments. This work has made use of the third data release from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia>), *Gaia* DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2021), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium>). This research has made use of the VizieR catalog access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France. This research also made use of the Astrophysics Data System (ADS) governed by NASA (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu>).

Further Information

Author contributions

Komal Chand – Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualisation, Writing-original draft.

Khushboo Kunwar Rao – Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-review and editing.

Kaushar Vaidya – Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing-review and editing.

Anju Panthi – Data curation, Validation, Writing-review and editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Agarwal, M., Rao, K. K., Vaidya, K. and Bhattacharya, S. (2021) ML-MOC: machine learning (kNN and GMM) based membership determination for open clusters. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 502(2), 2582–2599.
- Andronov, N., Pinsonneault, M. and Terndrup, D. (2006) Mergers of close primordial binaries. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 646(2), 1160.
- Bailer-Jones, C., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M. and Andrae, R. (2021) VizieR Online Data Catalog: Distances to 1.47 billion stars in Gaia EDR3 (Bailer-Jones+, 2021). *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, pp. I–352.
- Bayo, A., Rodrigo, C., y Navascués, D. B., Solano, E., Gutiérrez, R., Morales-Calderón, M. and Allard, F. (2008) VOSA: virtual observatory SED analyzer-An application to the Collinder 69 open cluster. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 492(1), 277–287.
- Boffin, H. M., Carraro, G., Beccari, G. et al. (2015) *Ecology of Blue Straggler Stars*, vol. 413. Springer.
- Bragaglia, A., Gratton, R., Carretta, E., D’Orazi, V., Sneden, C. and Lucatello, S. (2012) Searching for multiple stellar populations in the massive, old open cluster Berkeley 39. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 548, A122.
- Castelli, F., Gratton, R. and Kurucz, R. (1997) Notes on the convection in the ATLAS9 model atmospheres. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 318, 841–869.
- Chambers, K. C., Magnier, E., Metcalfe, N., Flewelling, H., Huber, M., Waters, C., Denneau, L., Draper, P., Farrow, D., Finkbeiner, D. et al. (2016) The pan-starrs1 surveys. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05560*.
- Chatterjee, S., Rasio, F. A., Sills, A. and Glebbeek, E. (2013) Stellar collisions and blue straggler stars in dense globular clusters. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 777(2), 106.
- Chen, X. and Han, Z. (2008) Mass transfer from a giant star to a main-sequence companion and its contribution to long-orbital-period blue stragglers. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 387(4), 1416–1430.
- Cohen, M., Wheaton, W. A. and Megeath, S. (2003) Spectral irradiance calibration in the infrared. XIV. The absolute calibration of 2MASS. *The Astronomical Journal*, 126(2), 1090.
- Cover, T. and Hart, P. (1967) Nearest neighbor pattern classification. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 13(1), 21–27.

- Fazio, G., Hora, J., Allen, L., Ashby, M., Barmby, P., Deutsch, L., Huang, J.-S., Kleiner, S., Marengo, M., Megeath, S. et al. (2004) The infrared array camera (IRAC) for the spitzer space telescope. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 154(1), 10.
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A. and et al. (2021) Gaia Early Data Release 3. Summary of the contents and survey properties. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 649, A1.
- Geller, A. M., Leiner, E. M., Bellini, A., Gleisinger, R., Haggard, D., Kamann, S., Leigh, N. W., Mathieu, R. D., Sills, A., Watkins, L. L. et al. (2017) On the Origin of Sub-subgiant Stars. I. Demographics. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 840(2), 66.
- Gosnell, N. M., Mathieu, R. D., Geller, A. M., Sills, A., Leigh, N. and Knigge, C. (2015) Implications for the Formation of Blue Straggler Stars from HST Ultraviolet Observations of NGC 188. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 814(2), 163.
- Harris, J. and Zaritsky, D. (2009) The star formation history of the large magellanic cloud. *The Astronomical Journal*, 138(5), 1243.
- Hills, J. and Day, C. (1976) Stellar collisions in globular clusters. *Astrophysical Letters*, Vol. 17, p. 87, 17, 87.
- Jadhav, V. V., Pennock, C. M., Subramaniam, A., Sagar, R. and Nayak, P. K. (2021) UOCS–III. UVIT catalogue of open clusters with machine learning-based membership using Gaia EDR3 astrometry. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 503(1), 236–253.
- Kassis, M., Janes, K. A., Friel, E. D. and Phelps, R. L. (1997) Deep CCD photometry of old open clusters. *The Astronomical Journal*, 113, 1723–1732.
- Koester, D. (2010) White dwarf spectra and atmosphere models. *Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana*, 81, 921–931.
- Lata, S., Pandey, A., Sagar, R. and Mohan, V. (2002) Integrated photometric characteristics of galactic open star clusters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 388(1), 158–167.
- Leiner, E., Mathieu, R. D., Stello, D., Vanderburg, A. and Sandquist, E. (2016) The K2 M67 Study: An Evolved Blue Straggler in M67 from K2 Mission Asteroseismology. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 832(1), L13.
- Leonard, P. J. (1996) The implications of the binary properties of the M67 blue stragglers. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 470, 521.
- Martin, D. C., Fanson, J., Schiminovich, D., Morrissey, P., Friedman, P. G., Barlow, T. A., Conrow, T., Grange, R., Jelinsky, P. N., Milliard, B. et al. (2005) The Galaxy Evolution Explorer: A space ultraviolet survey mission. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 619(1), L1.
- McCrea, W. (1964) Extended main-sequence of some stellar clusters. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 128(2), 147–155.

- Panthi, A., Vaidya, K., Jadhav, V., Rao, K. K., Subramaniam, A., Agarwal, M. and Pandey, S. (2022) UOCS–VIII. UV study of the open cluster NGC 2506 using ASTROSAT. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 516(4), 5318–5330.
- Peel, D. and MacLahlan, G. (2000) *Finite mixture models*. John & Sons.
- Rao, K. K., Vaidya, K., Agarwal, M. and Bhattacharya, S. (2021) Determination of dynamical ages of open clusters through the A+ parameter–I. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 508(4), 4919–4937.
- Rao, K. K., Vaidya, K., Agarwal, M., Panthi, A., Jadhav, V. and Subramaniam, A. (2022) Characterization of hot populations of Melotte 66 open cluster using Swift/UVOT. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 516(2), 2444–2454.
- Ritter, H. (2010) Formation and evolution of cataclysmic variables. *Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana*, 81, 849.
- Roming, P. W. A., Kennedy, T. E., Mason, K. O., Nousek, J. A., Ahr, L., Bingham, R. E., Broos, P. S., Carter, M. J., Hancock, B. K., Huckle, H. E., Hunsberger, S. D., Kawakami, H., Killough, R., Koch, T. S., McLelland, M. K., Smith, K., Smith, P. J., Soto, J. C., Boyd, P. T., Breeveld, A. A., Holland, S. T., Ivanushkina, M., Pryzby, M. S., Still, M. D. and Stock, J. (2005) The Swift Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope. *Space Science Reviews*, 120(3-4), 95–142.
- Sandage, A. R. (1953) The color-magnitude diagram for the globular cluster M 3. *The Astronomical Journal*, 58, 61–75.
- Siegel, M., Laporte, S., Porterfield, B., Hagen, L. and Gronwall, C. (2019) VizieR Online Data Catalog: Swift UVOT Stars Survey. III. Galactic open clusters (Siegel+, 2019). *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, pp. J–AJ.
- Sindhu, N., Subramaniam, A., Jadhav, V. V., Chatterjee, S., Geller, A. M., Knigge, C., Leigh, N., Puzia, T. H., Shara, M. and Simunovic, M. (2019) UVIT open cluster study. I. Detection of a white dwarf companion to a blue straggler in M67: Evidence of formation through mass transfer. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 882(1), 43.
- Strom, S. E., Strom, K. M. and Bregman, J. N. (1971) The Blue Stars Above the Turn-Off in M67: Horizontal Branch or Blue Stragglers? *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 83(496), 768.
- Subramaniam, A. (2018) Ultraviolet stellar population of the old open cluster M67 (NGC 2682). *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 481(1), 226–243.
- Subramaniam, A., Sindhu, N., Tandon, S., Rao, N. K., Postma, J., Cote, P., Hutchings, J., Ghosh, S., George, K., Girish, V. et al. (2016) A hot companion to a blue straggler in NGC 188 as revealed by the ultra-violet imaging telescope (UVIT) on AstroSat. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 833(2), L27.

- Vaidya, K., Panthi, A., Agarwal, M., Pandey, S., Rao, K. K., Jadhav, V. and Subramaniam, A. (2022) UOCS - VII. Blue straggler populations of open cluster NGC 7789 with UVIT/AstroSat. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 511(2), 2274–2284.
- Vaidya, K., Rao, K. K., Agarwal, M. and Bhattacharya, S. (2020) Blue straggler populations of seven open clusters with Gaia DR2. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 496(2), 2402–2421.
- Webbink, R. F. (1976) The evolution of low-mass close binary systems. I-The evolutionary fate of contact binaries. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 209, 829–845.
- Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R., Mainzer, A. K., Ressler, M. E., Cutri, R. M., Jarrett, T., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Padgett, D., McMillan, R. S., Skrutskie, M. et al. (2010) The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE): mission description and initial on-orbit performance. *The Astronomical Journal*, 140(6), 1868.