Optical and near-UV spectroscopic properties of low-redshift jetted quasars in the main sequence context

Shimeles Terefe ^{1,2,3,*} †, Ascensión Del Olmo⁴, Paola Marziani⁵, Mirjana Pović ^{1,4,6},

María Angeles Martínez-Carballo⁷, Jaime Perea⁴, and Isabel Márquez 4

¹*Space Science and Geospatial Institute (SSGI), Entoto Observatory and Research Centre (EORC), Astronomy and Astrophysics Research*

and Development Division, P.O.Box 33679, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

²*Addis Ababa University (AAU), P.O.Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia*

3 *Jimma University, College of Natural Sciences, Department of Physics, P.O.Box 378, Jimma, Ethiopia*

5 *Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF), Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova,vicolo dell*′ *Osservatorio 5, Padova I-35122, Italy*

⁶*Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Mbarara University of Science and Technology (MUST), P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda*

⁷*Department of Applied Mathematics and IUMA. Computational Dynamics group. University of Zaragoza. E-50009. Spain*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

This paper presents new optical and near-UV spectra of 11 extremely powerful jetted quasars, with radio to optical flux density ratio > 10^3 , that concomitantly cover the low-ionization emission of Mgn λ 2800 and H β as well as the Feri blends in the redshift range $0.35 \le z \le 1$. We aim to quantify broad emission line differences between radio-loud (RL) and radio-quiet (RQ) quasars by using the 4D eigenvector 1 parameter space and its Main Sequence (MS) and to check the effect of powerful radio ejection on the low ionization broad emission lines. The $H\beta$ and Mg $\mu\lambda$ 2800 emission lines were measured by using non-linear multicomponent fittings as well as by analysing their full profile. We found that broad emission lines show large redward asymmetry both in $H\beta$ and Mg μ 2800. The location of our RL sources in a UV plane looks similar to the optical one, with weak Fe μ _{UV} emission and broad MgII λ 2800. We supplement the 11 sources with large samples from previous work to gain some general inferences. We found that, compared to RQ, our extreme RL quasars show larger median $H\beta$ full width at half maximum (FWHM), weaker Fein emission, larger M_{BH} , lower $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, and a restricted bf space occupation in the optical and UV MS planes. The differences are more elusive when the comparison is carried out by restricting the RQ population to the region of the MS occupied by RL sources, albeit an unbiased comparison matching M_{BH} and L_{bol}/L_{Edd} suggests that the most powerful RL quasars show the highest redward asymmetries in $H\beta$.

Key words: Galaxies: active – quasars: general – quasars: emission lines – line: profiles – quasars: supermassive black holes

1 INTRODUCTION

Type I Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) exhibit distinct features that are not seen in normal galaxies, such as a power-law continuum shape in UV and optical bands with a number of broad and narrow emission lines emitted by ionic species over a wide range of ionization potentials(e.g., [Osterbrock & Mathews 1986;](#page-20-0) [Vanden Berk et al.](#page-20-1) [2001](#page-20-1); [Netzer 2015](#page-20-2)). They show widely different properties among themselves [\(Osterbrock & Pogge 1985;](#page-20-3) [Boroson & Green 1992](#page-19-0); [Strateva et al. 2003](#page-20-4); [Ho 2008](#page-19-1); [Śniegowska et al. 2018](#page-20-5)): different line profiles, intensity ratios, and ionization levels (e.g., [Gaskell 1982](#page-19-2); [Corbin 1997](#page-19-3); [Sulentic et al. 2007;](#page-20-6) [Marziani et al. 2010\)](#page-20-7). Since the discovery of quasi-stellar radio sources and quasi-stellar objects (quasars and $QSOs$)^{[1](#page-0-1)} in the early 1960s there is a general consensus

© 2022 The Authors

about their nature: they are thought to be powered by matter accreting on to a supermassive black hole (SMBH) capable of producing emission across the majority of the electromagnetic spectrum. They are among the most powerful, distant, and luminous objects in the Universe reaching luminosities $\geq 10^{48}$ ergs s⁻¹ (e.g., [Rees 1984;](#page-20-8) [Peterson 1997;](#page-20-9) [Bañados et al. 2018](#page-18-0)) although much of the empirical understanding is still to be developed. The last three decades have opened promising lines of investigation on the definition and contextualization of optical and UV properties (e.g., [Boroson & Green](#page-19-0) [1992](#page-19-0); [Sulentic et al. 2000a;](#page-20-10) [Shen & Ho 2014](#page-20-11); [Wolf et al. 2020](#page-20-12)), with the exploration of a spectroscopic unification for broad-line emitting AGN, like the four-dimensional eigenvector 1 (4DE1) parameter space that organizes quasar diversity [\(Sulentic et al. 2000b\)](#page-20-13).

Type 1 AGN have been classified into two distinct classes using the ratio of their radio to optical flux densities [\(Kellermann et al. 1989](#page-19-4)), which are thought to correlate with the presence or absence of extended relativistic radio jets. These are known as RL and RQ, respectively (e.g., [Antonucci 1993](#page-18-1); [Urry & Padovani 1995](#page-20-14); [Blandford et al.](#page-19-5) [2019](#page-19-5)).

⁴ *Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), Glorieta de la Astronomia s/n, Granada E-18008, Spain*

[★] Visiting researcher at the IAA-CSIC as a PhD fellow

[†] e-mail: shimeles11@gmail.com

¹ In the following we will use the quasar as an umbrella term that includes all type-1 AGN regardless of luminosity and radio power.

With the improvement of radio interferometry techniques, it was possible to notice that both classes are capable of producing radio jets, although RQ jets are far less powerful and at least in some cases sub-relativistic (e.g., [Ulvestad et al. 1998](#page-20-15); [Blundell et al. 2003](#page-19-6); [Middelberg et al. 2004](#page-20-16); [Ulvestad et al. 2005;](#page-20-17) [Gallimore et al. 2006](#page-19-7); [Hartley et al. 2019](#page-19-8); [Sbarrato et al. 2021](#page-20-18)). The radio power of RQ quasars can be even $2 - 3$ orders of magnitude lower than that of their RL counterparts for the same optical power. [Padovani et al.\(2017](#page-20-19)) argues that the classification should be based on a fundamentally physical rather than just an observational difference, namely the presence (or lack) of strong relativistic jets and that we should use the term "jetted" and "non-jetted". From the theoretical point of view, in spite of the great advancement in the ability to collect sets of data with very long baseline interferometry (VLBI), the formation of the relativistic radio jet in quasars is still an open question (e.g. see [Urry & Padovani](#page-20-14) [1995](#page-20-14); [Blandford et al. 2019;](#page-19-5) [Davis & Tchekhovskoy 2020](#page-19-9), and references therein).

In this paper, we will be concerned with debated problems associated with the possibility of a real physical dichotomy between RL and RQ quasars (e.g., [Xu et al. 1999;](#page-21-0) [Cirasuolo et al. 2003](#page-19-10); [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-1) [2008](#page-21-1); [Coziol et al. 2017;](#page-19-11) [Panessa et al. 2019;](#page-20-20) [Blandford et al. 2019\)](#page-19-5): the effect of radio loudness on the dynamics of the low-ionization broad line emitting regions.

The singly-ionized iron emission is systematically fainter in RL than in RQ sources, although it is still unclear if this is an effect intrinsic to a different emitting region structure, or associated with different host galaxy properties (e.g., [Marziani et al. 2021](#page-20-21), and references therein).

To this aim, we utilize a parameter space that provides spectroscopic contextualization for all classes of broad line emitting AGN, the 4DE1 concept (e.g. [Sulentic et al. 2000b](#page-20-13)). The four dimensions of the space are:

• FWHM of H β full broad profile (H β _{FP}) (see Sec. [3.2\)](#page-6-0) related to the velocity field of the low-ionization line emitting region;

• Intensity ratio of the optical Fe μ 4570Å (which is the sum over $4434\text{\AA} - 4684\text{\AA}$) and $H\beta_{\text{FP}}$, $R_{\text{FeII,opt}} = I(\text{FeII}\lambda 4570\text{\AA})/I(H\beta_{\text{FP}})$. R_{FeII} is affected by physical conditions such as density, ionization level, and metal content [\(Panda et al. 2019b](#page-20-22)).

• Centroid shift at half maximum of the Civ λ 1549Å, that measures the prominence of an outflowing/wind component (e.g., [Richards et al. 2011\)](#page-20-23), and

• Soft X-ray photon index (Γ_{soft}) that depends on the accretion state (see e.g. [Sulentic et al. 2000b](#page-20-13); [Jin et al. 2017;](#page-19-12) [Panda et al.](#page-20-24) [2019a](#page-20-24)).

The two first parameters define the optical plane of the 4DE1 parameter space, the quasar main sequence (hereafter MS, [Marziani et al. 2001;](#page-20-25) [Sulentic et al. 2002;](#page-20-26) [Marziani et al. 2010](#page-20-7); [Shen & Ho 2014](#page-20-11); [Wolf et al. 2020](#page-20-12)). The main advantage of the 4DE1 parameter space formulation is its weak dependence on source luminosity (e.g., [Zamfir et al. 2008\)](#page-21-1).

This formalism also assisted the interpretation of several observational aspects that appear puzzling if, for example, sets of spectra are indiscriminately averaged together. Spectra can be averaged, but only in a well-defined context like the 4DE1 [\(Sulentic et al. 2012\)](#page-20-27). In addition, it helps to establish a connection between an observational set of accretion parameters such as black hole mass (M_{BH}) and Eddington ratio ($\lambda_E = L_{bol}/L_{Edd}$) (see e.g., [Fraix-Burnet et al. 2017](#page-19-13); [Marziani et al. 2018](#page-20-28), and references therein).

Exploration of the 4DE1 parameter space gave rise to the concept of two populations of quasars that present important spectroscopic differences [\(Sulentic et al. 2007\)](#page-20-6):

• Population A (hereafter Pop. A), whose sources generally have

 $H\beta_{\text{FP}}$ FWHM < 4000 km s⁻¹, show Lorentzian profiles in the broad emission lines, tend to have $R_{\text{FeII,opt}} > 0.5$, significant blue shifts in high ionization lines (HILs, e.g. $Civ\lambda$ 1549) and soft X-ray excess.

• Population B (hereafter Pop. B), with a very wide range of $H\beta_{\rm FP}$ FWHM, with values higher than 4000 km s⁻¹, show Gaussian profiles (a broad and an additional very broad redshifted component), $R_{\text{FeII,out}}$ < 0.5. In general, at low-z, they do not show significant blue shifts in HILs and no soft X-ray excess.

The physical distinction between the two populations is related to the fact that Pop. A are fast-accreting objects with a relatively small M_{BH} , meanwhile, Pop. B are the ones with high M_{BH} and low Eddington ratios [\(Marziani et al. 2009](#page-20-29)). At low $z \leq 1$), most powerful RL sources belong to Pop. B [\(Sikora et al. 2007](#page-20-30); [Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1)).

As suggested in [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-1) [\(2008](#page-21-1)), the value of studying the RL phenomenon within the 4DE1 context is at least twofold: (i) the approach compares RL and RQ quasars in a parameter space defined by measures with no obvious dependence on the radio properties [\(Sulentic et al. 2003](#page-20-31)); and (ii) it allows predictions of the probability of radio loudness for any AGN population given a specific set of spectroscopic properties. Open questions are whether the geometry/ kinematics of RQ and RL quasars is the same or not. A related issue is also the ability to distinguish between high and low accretors from optical and UV spectroscopy, to assess any effect the black hole spin has, and to infer the structure of the accretion disc (e.g., [Sikora et al.](#page-20-30) [2007](#page-20-30); [Lagos et al. 2009](#page-20-32); [Tchekhovskoy et al. 2009\)](#page-20-33).

This work presents new optical and near-UV spectra and a multicomponent fitting analysis of 11 jetted Pop. B (RL) quasars at low–z $(0.3 \le z \le 1)$. This paper extends the study to near-UV with the coverage of the Mg $\text{II}/2800\text{Å}$ (hereafter Mg II) spectral range, providing still rare simultaneous observations of $H\beta$ and MgII. Both $H\beta$ and Mgii provide diagnostics of the low-ionization line (LIL) part of the emitting region. In addition, MgII is known to be less affected by shifts and asymmetries than $H\beta$ and is expected to provide a reliable virial black hole mass estimation (e.g., [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer 2012](#page-20-34)). The sources we selected are among the most extreme radio emitters, those with a radio to optical flux density ratio $\geq 10^3$. For them, we want to test if there might be a strong effect of the relativistic jets on the low-ionization UV and optical lines emission. Section [2](#page-1-0) describes the new AGN sample, the main comparison samples, the new observations from the 3.5 m telescope at the Calar Alto Observatory, and the archival radio data.

Spectral non-linear multicomponent fittings and full broad profile (FP) analysis are described in Section [3.](#page-4-0) The results of spectral fittings and observed trends are described in Section [4.](#page-6-1)

We discuss the results in Section [5](#page-14-0) and conclude in Section [6.](#page-18-2) Throughout this paper we adopt a flat ΛCDM cosmology with Ω_{Λ} = 0.7, $\Omega_0 = 0.3$, and $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

2 SAMPLE AND DATA

2.1 Sample selection

Table [1](#page-2-0) presents the main properties of our sample, reporting the quasar identification (Col. 1), the equatorial coordinates at J2000 (Cols. 2 and 3), the median redshift estimated for each quasar as explained in Sect. [2.2.1](#page-3-0) (Col. 4), the apparent and absolute magnitudes taken from [Véron-Cetty & Véron](#page-20-35) [\(2010\)](#page-20-35) (Cols. 5 and 6 respectively) from where we also took the initial redshift for the sample selection, and a summary of the observations (see Sect. [2.2\)](#page-3-1). We selected 11 RL quasars based on their strong radio emission that satisfies a criterion of extreme radio loudness, with values of the Kellermann parameter [\(Kellermann et al. 1989](#page-19-4), radio to optical flux density ratio)

Table 1. Summary of sample properties, and observations with Cassegrain TWIN spectrograph of the 3.5m telescope at the Calar Alto Observatory

Object	Coordinates		z	m^a	M_B^a	Date of	Total exp.	Airmass	S/N
	RA(2000)	Dec(2000)				observation	time(s)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
PHL 923	00 59 05.6	$+0000651$	0.7183	17.9	-24.6	22-10-2012	3600	1.26	18
$B20110+29$	01 13 24.2	$+29.5816$	0.3625	17.0	-24.2	23-10-2012	2700	1.08	12
3C 37	01 18 18.5	$+02.58.06$	0.6667	18.8	-23.7	23-10-2012	3600	1.29	20
PKS 0230-051	02 33 22.1	-04.5508	0.7807	17.0	-25.9	23-10-2012	3600	1.47	43
3C 94	03 52 30.6	-071102	0.9648	16.7	-26.4	23-10-2012	2700	1.48	34
PKS 0420-01	04 23 15.8	-012033	0.9136	17.0	-25.9	22-10-2012	3600	1.30	38
3C 179	07 28 10.8	$+674847$	0.8416	18.4	-24.9	23-10-2012	3600	1.17	19
3C 380	18 29 31.8	$+484446$	0.6919	16.8	-25.5	23-10-2012	2700	1.12	40
S5 1856+73	18 54 57.4	$+735119$	0.4604	16.8	-25.0	23-10-2012	3600	1.35	68
PKS 2208-137	22 11 24.1	-132810	0.3912	17.0	-24.4	23-10-2012	2700	1.62	18
PKS 2344+09	23 46 37.0	$+093045$	0.6724	15.9	-26.3	22-10-2012	3600	1.16	74

Note: Col. 2 is in units of hours, minutes, and seconds. Col. 3 is in units of degrees, minutes, and seconds. ^(a) From the catalogue of quasars and active galactic nuclei [\(Véron-Cetty & Véron 2010](#page-20-35), $13th$ Ed.), Col. 5 corresponds to the apparent magnitude and Col. 6 is the absolute magnitude in the B-band.

 R_K exceeding 10^3 (see Sec. [2.3](#page-3-2) and Table [3,](#page-4-1) Col. 6 and 7). Such extremely powerful jetted sources permit a detailed study of the effects of powerful radio ejections on the low-ionization broad line region (BLR). The 11 sources are optically bright, with $\log L_{Bol}$ in the range of 44.9 to 46.7 [$ergs s^{-1}$], and were selected in a redshift range $(0.35 \le z \le 1; V$ éron-Cetty & Véron 2010) that makes possible the concomitant coverage of $H\beta$ and Mgii. Such observations provide consistent information, as observed spectra at different times may suffer a significant continuum variability. Our high signal-to-noise spectra with spectral range 3500–10000Å provide an extended view of the quasar continuum in each spectral region and allows us to make accurate measurements of emission line parameters such as the intensity of optical and UV Fe $u features.$

There are not so many RL AGN with radio loudness parameter, $R_K \ge 10^3$ (see Sect. [2.3\)](#page-3-2), and the uniqueness of this study rests on the selection of extremely powerful jetted sources. In our sample, all sources are not only with $\log R_K \geq 3$ but 6 of them are with $log R_K \geq 4$ (see the histogram in Fig. [1](#page-2-1) in which we showed the distribution of the radio loudness parameter that was estimated by using the 1.4GHz radio flux density and the g-band optical flux density in all the cases). Only a handful of sources in the main comparison samples (see below) have $R_K \gtrsim 10^4$ and a few tens have $\gtrsim 10^3$.

In the optical plane of 4DE1 parameter space, our extreme $\log R_K \geq 3$ (hereafter eRk) represent non-negligible addition to RL sub-samples of optically selected quasars that are represented in Population B spectral types [\(Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1)). The eRk sample enhances the significance of statistical comparisons between the highest radio loudness sources and RQ as well as other RL sub-samples.

2.1.1 Main comparison samples

As main comparison samples in the optical, we used the low redshift samples of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010\)](#page-21-2). The redshift and luminosity ranges, the number of sources (both Pop. A and B) considered in each sample, the number of Pop. B RL sources in different radio loudness ranges, as well as the emission lines used and profile measures available in each one are summarized in Table [2.](#page-3-3) The sample of [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) consists of 469 quasars of which 209 are classified as Pop. B.

The sample of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a\)](#page-20-36) originally has 215 sources. Before using it as a main comparison sample, we excluded sources in common with the other comparison sample (38) and also nine sources that may create doubt in the interpretation of the spectra, due mainly

Figure 1. Distribution of radio loudness parameter for Pop. B RLs from our sample (red striped area) and the main comparison samples used in this work, [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) (solid orange) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) (blue line). The vertical dot-dashed lines at 1 and 1.8 mark the nominal RQ-radio intermediate and radio intermediate-RL boundaries [\(Ganci et al. 2019](#page-19-14)). The vertical dot-dashed line at 3 marks the boundary for extreme radio loudness values.

to a noisy spectrum, the presence of a strong stellar continuum or strong star formation, after a careful examination. The 168 remaining objects, of which 94 belong to Pop. B, contain information for the line center $(c(\frac{1}{2}))$ and the line base $(c(\frac{1}{4}))$ of H β . These measurements provide an empirical description of the full line profile, i.e., velocity shifts at different fractional intensities of the FP, e.g., at the line base, at the line center, and at peak fractional intensities $(c(\frac{9}{10}))$.

We also use in particular for the MgII region the composite spectra from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)) built for different spectral types (STs) along the MS, i.e. A1 to A4 for Pop. A quasars and in Pop. B for the STs B1, B2, $B1⁺$ and B1⁺⁺ as well as within the B1 bin for those classified by the authors as RL. The composite spectra from that sample have a measurement for both UV and optical regions.

Other secondary samples used in specific points such as those of [Wang et al.](#page-20-38) [\(2009\)](#page-20-38) and the QSFIT catalogue [\(Calderone et al. 2017](#page-19-15)) are described in the corresponding sections (Sec. [4.3](#page-11-0) and [4.4\)](#page-12-0).

Table 2. Summary of main comparison samples and this work (eRk) sample

Information	Marziani+03	$Zamfir+10$	Marziani+13 a	This work ^b
Redshift range	z < 0.8	z < 0.7	0.4 < z < 0.75	0.35 < z < 1
No. of sources	168	469	8 ^a	11
Parameters		$c(\frac{1}{2}), c(\frac{1}{4}), R_K$ $c(\frac{1}{4}), R_K$, AI	$c(\frac{1}{2}), c(\frac{1}{4})$	$c(\frac{1}{2}), c(\frac{1}{4}), R_{K}$
		$FWHM, AI$ $FWHM, R_{FeII,opt}$	FWHM,AI	FWHM, AI
				$R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$, $R_{\text{FeII,UV}}$
Lines used	$H\beta$	$H\beta$	$H\beta$ and Mg _{II}	$H\beta$ and MgII
Log L_{bol} [ergs s ⁻¹]	$43.7 - 47.8$	$43.0 - 47.0$	$45.7 - 46.9$	45.15 - 46.57
No. Pop. B RLs:				
$logR_K$ >1.8	42	61		11
$log R_K > 3$	17	25		11
$logR_K>4$	1	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$		6

Note: ^aThis sample provides 8 composite spectra generated by using

spectral binning of physically similar quasars of 680 SDSS spectra. ^bAll the profile parameters measured for our eRk quasars, both in the FP and the multi-component fittings, are detailed in the following sections. $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ represent the centroid velocity shift at $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ fractional intensity of the line respectively. AI denotes the asymmetry index.

2.2 Optical and UV observations

Long slit optical observations were obtained with the Cassegrain TWIN spectrograph of the 3.5m telescope at the Calar Alto Ob-servatory (CAHA, Almería, Spain)^{[2](#page-3-4)}. The TWIN spectrograph is optimized to cover a wavelength range from about 3400Å to 10000 Å, dividing the light from the slit in two channels (blue and red) by a dichroic mirror. We selected the beam splitter at 5500Å and gratings T13 and T11, for the blue and the red channels, respectively, in order to obtain simultaneously the spectra corresponding to the Mgii and $H\beta$ regions at the redshift of the objects of our sample. T13 grating provides a spectral coverage from 3500Å to 5500Å with a reciprocal dispersion of 2.14Å/pixel, meanwhile, T11 grating covers the range from 5400Å to 10000Å with a spectral dispersion of 2.41Å/pixel.

Table [1](#page-2-0) with the main properties of the sample also summarizes the observations where is listed the date of observation (Col. 7), the total exposure time in seconds (Col. 8), the air mass (Col. 9), and the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) measured in the continuum (Col. 10). The observations were obtained with a slit width of 1.2 arcsecs and oriented at the paralactic angle in order to minimize the effects of atmospheric differential refraction in the spectra. The total exposure time required for each object was split into three exposures to reduce the number of cosmic rays and eliminate them by combining different exposures.

Data reduction was carried out in a standard way using $IRAF³$ $IRAF³$ $IRAF³$ [\(Tody 1986](#page-20-39)). Spectra were bias and overscan corrected and flatfielded with a normalized flat-field obtained from a median combination of the flats obtained for each spectral region (blue and red). Wavelength calibration was obtained using the standard lamps (He-Ar and Fe-Ne) exposures and standard IRAF tasks. The apall task in IRAF was used for object extraction and background subtraction. Instrumental response and absolute flux calibration were obtained each night through observations done with the same instrumental setup of two spectrophotometric standard stars, G191B2B and BD+28d4211. They were also used to remove telluric bands that are mainly observed in the red channel. The final calibrated rest-frame spectra of all the sources, once the blue (MgII region) and red ($H\beta$ region) spectra were combined for each object, are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-1)

2.2.1 Redshift determination

We determine the redshift of the quasars, following [Bon et al.](#page-19-16) [\(2020](#page-19-16)), by measuring the observed vacuum wavelengths of individual narrow emission lines, in particular [OII] λ 3727Å, H δ , H γ , H β , and $[OIII]\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007ÅÅ that are available in our spectra. For that, we used the IRAF task splot, and by taking mainly as reference vacuum wavelengths the ones from [Vanden Berk et al.](#page-20-1) [\(2001](#page-20-1)). The median value of the redshift obtained from the different narrow emission lines was adopted as the empirical redshift of each object (reported in Table [1,](#page-2-0) Col. 4), and then used for getting the rest frame spectrum of each quasar. Typical root mean square (rms) in the estimated redshifts are between 0.0003 and 0.001.

2.3 Archival radio data

Table [3](#page-4-1) presents the main radio properties of the sample. The radio fluxes were obtained at 1.4 GHz (20 cm) from the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) Very Large Array (VLA) Sky Survey $(NVSS)^4$ $(NVSS)^4$ [\(Condon et al. 1998](#page-19-17)) and at 5 GHz (6 cm) from [Véron-Cetty & Véron](#page-20-35) [\(2010](#page-20-35))^{[5](#page-3-7)} catalogue. We also list in Col. 3 the integrated flux at 1.4 GHz compiled from the Faint Images of the Ra-dio Sky at Twenty centimeters (FIRST)^{[6](#page-3-8)} survey [\(Becker et al. 1995](#page-19-18)) for the only five sources of our sample observed by FIRST.

As we mentioned in the introduction, quasars have been classified as RL and RQ by using radio and optical measurements as well. However a clear consensus on a boundary between RL and RQ quasars has been difficult to achieve and several radio-loudness criteria have been used in the literature (see e.g., [White et al. 2005;](#page-20-40) [Hao et al. 2014;](#page-19-19) [Kellermann et al. 2016](#page-19-20); [Chakraborty et al. 2022](#page-19-21), and references therein). One of the most commonly used criteria is the radio-loudness parameter defined by [Kellermann et al.](#page-19-4) [\(1989](#page-19-4)), R_{KS} , as the ratio of the radio flux density at 5 GHz to the B-band optical flux density. In this work, we have used a modified version of the radio-loudness parameter, currently commonly used, based on the ratio of the rest-frame radio flux density at 1.4 GHz to the rest-frame optical flux density in the g-band, R_K (e.g., [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-1) [2008](#page-21-1); [Gürkan et al. 2015](#page-19-22)). For completeness, we also estimated the Kellermann parameter in rest frame units (R_{KS}) .

To estimate the radioloudness parameters, the k-corrected radio flux density at 1.4 GHz and 5 GHz was found from the observed radio flux densities (reported in Table [3,](#page-4-1) Cols. 2 and 4, respectively) by using the spectral index α obtained from [Vollmer et al.](#page-20-41) [\(2010](#page-20-41)) (reported in Table [3,](#page-4-1) Col. 5) and the relation from [Ganci et al.](#page-19-14) [\(2019](#page-19-14)),

$$
f_{\nu_{o,e}} = f_{\nu,o} \left[(1+z)^{\alpha-1} \right] \tag{1}
$$

where the subscript "o" refers to the observer's frame, and the subscript *"e"* refers to quantities in the quasar rest-frame. In all the calculations, we used the convention for the spectral index, $S \propto v^{-\alpha}$. We have FIRST radio data only for five of our sources (see Table [3,](#page-4-1) Col. 3), and hence we used the NVSS 1.4 GHz fluxes.

The rest-frame optical fluxes around the effective wavelengths λ 4770Å (for g band) and λ 4450Å (for B band) were obtained from our rest-frame spectra. The resulting radio loudness parameters are reported in Cols. 6 and 7 of Table [3,](#page-4-1) respectively. Throughout this paper, we used the modified radio loudness parameter (R_K) . Also, radio power (P_v) has been calculated by using [Shankar et al.](#page-20-42) [\(2008](#page-20-42),

⁶ FIRST-<http://sundog.stsci.edu/cgi-bin/searchfirst>

² <http://www.caha.es/>

³ IRAF is the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility, a general purpose software system for the reduction and analysis of astronomical data, <iraf.net>

⁴ NVSS-<https://www.cv.nrao.edu/nvss/NVSSPoint.shtml>

⁵ <https://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR-3?-source=VII/258/vv10>

Figure 2. Rest-frame spectra of our 11 type 1 AGN, with Mg11 and H β regions after joining the two observed spectra. Abscissas are rest-frame vacuum wavelengths in Å and ordinates are specific flux in units of 10^{-15} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹, except for PHL 923, B2 0110+29, 3C 37, and 3C 179 that are in units of 10^{-16} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹. In each panel, the green line traces Feii emission and the red one represents the power-law continuum. Dot dashed vertical lines trace the rest-frame wavelength of $H\beta$ and Mgii.

their equation 1) and is reported in Col. 8. Previous works showed a well-defined lower limit for R_K in which lobe dominated (LD) RL sources have a value of $log R_K > 1.8$ and a radio power $log P_V >$ 31.6 [ergs s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹] (e.g., [Sulentic et al. 2003](#page-20-31); [Zamfir et al. 2008\)](#page-21-1). [Ganci et al.](#page-19-14) [\(2019\)](#page-19-14) also classified sources on the basis of R_K , as radio detected (RD, sources with $log R_K < 1.0$), radio intermediate (RI, $1.0 \le \log R_K$ < 1.8) and radio-loud (RL, $\log R_K \ge 1.8$). According to these classifications, all our sources are strong radio emitters and can be labeled as strong RLs. As can be seen in Table [3,](#page-4-1) the resulting radio-power for all our quasars is also extremely high with $log P_{\nu}$ between 33.5 and 35.4 [$\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$] and with $\log R_{\text{K}} > 3$, by far meeting the requirement to classify them as RL.

2.3.1 Radio morphology classification

A morphological radio classification of our sample at an angular scale of arcsecs was obtained with the NVSS images and supplemented by FIRST images for the 5 objects also observed in that survey. This is the scale related to a kpc sized scale associated with extended features such as the radio lobes and normally used in the majority of the comparison samples. We classified our sources according to the following scheme: as core dominated (C) if the core appears unresolved; as core plus lobe/s (CL), if we have a visible bright core and at least one lobe, and as lobe-dominated (LD), if we see the lobes without core in the spatial scales of VLA or if the radio emission is dominated by lobes. This classification for our quasars is provided in Col. 9 of Table. [3.](#page-4-1) In this scale, we have 2, 6, and 3 quasars with C, CL, and LD radio morphology, respectively. Also, our quasars present inner jets at parsec and sub-parsec scales (milli-arcsecs scale, VLBA/I), as expected for strong radio emitters. [Plavin et al.](#page-20-43) [\(2022](#page-20-43)) provides information on the estimated distance between the VLBI core and a structure assigned to a jet/s for 8/11 of our sources.

In this paper, we used the kpc scale, which is available for the

Table 3. Radio properties of the sample

	NVSS	FIRST	Flux at					
Object	Int.flux		Int.flux 5 GHz ^(a) $\alpha^{(b)}$ $logR_K^{(c)}$ $logR_{KS}^{(d)}$ $log(P_v)$ Morph.					
	(mJy)	(mJy)	(mJy)					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
PHI.923	$2508 + 75$	2494	1410	0.42	4.63	4.37	34.63	CL
B ₂ 0110+29	810 ± 9		311	0.54	4.34	3.99	33.50	LD
3C 37	$1522+46$	1646	621	0.92	4.49	4.15	34.45	CL.
PKS 0230-051	$210+8$	139	160	0.52	3.13	3.03	33.59	CL
3C 94	3061 ± 112	2798	790	1.04	4.23	3.57	35.18	LD
PKS 0420-01	$2726 + 82$		1580	0.23	3.94	3.71	34.71	LD
3C 179	$2123 + 75$	$\overline{}$	1000	0.72	4.63	4.29	34.79	CL.
3C 380	13753+413		5000	0.75	4.73	4.34	35.41	CL.
S5 1856+73	490 ± 16		610	0.12	3.03	3.22	33.42	C
PKS 2208-137	1330 ± 40		620	0.66	3.71	3.45	33.81	CL.
PKS 2344+09	1804 ± 54	1734	1690	0.19	3.44	3.49	34.37	C

Note: ^(*a*) From [\(Véron-Cetty & Véron 2010](#page-20-35)). ^(*b*) from [Vollmer et al.](#page-20-41) [\(2010](#page-20-41)). (c) With NVSS k-corrected radio flux density at 1.4 GHz and optical rest frame flux density in g band. (d) With k-corrected radio flux density at 5GHz and rest frame optical flux density in B band. Units in Col 8 are ergs $s^{-1}Hz^{-1}$

whole sample and is the most widely used in literature and in the comparison samples. In Appendix A of the online supplemental material, we included the FIRST images and their overlay on the optical Pan-STARRS image for PHL 923, 3C 37, PKS 0230-051, 3C 94, and PKS 2344+09. In addition, for two sources (B2 0110+29 and PKS 2208-137) that do not have the FIRST cutout image, we present NVSS radio maps, that we used for the morphological classification.

3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Narrow and broad emission lines are prominent features in optical and UV spectra of type I AGN [\(Osterbrock 1988](#page-20-44)). In this work, the analysis of each spectrum was performed independently in the two observed spectral regions, corresponding to the blue and red arms of the spectrograph, respectively. The red arm includes both low ionization features such as H β , Fe $\mu\lambda$ 4570Å, and HILs like $[OIII]\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007ÅÅ (hereafter $[OIII]$) as main representatives. The low ionization Mgii doublet is located in the redshifted UV region along with prominent $F_{\text{EII}}_{\text{UV}}$ emission covered by the blue arm. In each region, we carried out a multicomponent non-linear fitting and an analysis of the FP of the emission lines, as it is described in the next subsections.

3.1 Spectral fitting

3.1.1 Optical region

In order to get relevant physical parameters for the emission lines observed in our spectra, an empirical model that matches the observed spectrum was applied. Our spectral non-linear multicomponent fitting was carried out by using IRAF specfit routine, which can fit data spanning a large range in wavelength by using a nonlinear χ^2 minimization technique [\(Kriss 1994](#page-20-45)), and used in previous studies (e.g., [Marziani et al. 2010](#page-20-7); [Martínez-Aldama et al. 2015](#page-20-46); [Sulentic et al. 2017](#page-20-47)). This allowed us to simultaneously fit a continuum, a scalable Feii emission, and individual emission lines yielding FWHM, peak wavelengths, and intensities of all emission line components. To get the best fit, we first used a simplex algorithm with an iteration up to 200 and afterward, a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm with a lower number of iterations to ensure convergence to the global minimum χ^2 [\(Levenberg 1944](#page-20-48)).

For non-linear multicomponent fitting, we included the following components and conditions in the fittings:

• A power law local continuum underlying the $H\beta$ region to take into consideration the thermal accretion disk emission in optical [\(Shields 1978](#page-20-49)). We defined the continuum by using three to four regions that are free of emission lines.

• A scalable Feii template for modeling the Feilopt emission lines that are blended with $H\beta$, as FeII has a large number of transitions producing overlapping and blended lines [\(Marziani et al. 2009](#page-20-29)).

• We assumed that the Balmer line $H\beta$ has three components with Gaussian profiles, as appropriate for Pop. B sources (e.g., [Marziani et al. 2010](#page-20-7); [Buttiglione et al. 2010](#page-19-23)):

a) A narrow component (NC) that represents the Narrow Line Region (NLR) with low-density and more slowly moving clouds with narrow line width, which infers this region is far from the central supermassive black hole (SMBH);

b) A broad component (BC) associated with the BLR with almost unshifted component and corresponds to dense and fastmoving clouds which indicates the proximity to the central SMBH;

c) A very broad component (VBC) associated with a Very Broad Line Region (VBLR) with high ionization and large column density that corresponds to broader and redshifted components.

• The [O III] emission lines, represented by two Gaussian NC set at rest-frame plus two blue-shifted semi-broad components (SBC).

• A Hei λ 4686Å line when there were hints of its presence with a BC and/or VBC component.

The fitting for this region was done in a different wavelength range (see Table [4](#page-9-0) Col. 2) for each object to account for the complex nature observed in some of the spectra, like PHL 923 and 3C 94. In the fitting procedure, the number of free parameters was reduced by assuming constraints related to emission lines coming from the same region. All the narrow lines were assumed to have roughly the same width and shift. The two [O III] lines were assumed to have a flux ratio $I([O \text{ III}]\lambda4959\text{\AA})/I([O \text{ III}]\lambda5007\text{\AA})$ of 1:3 [\(Dimitrijević et al. 2007](#page-19-24)). In all sources except PHL 923, 3C 94, and PKS 2344+09, the He $\mu\lambda$ 4686Å line contributes to the blue wing of H β , and we constrained the shift and FWHM of the Hei λ 4686Å VBC to be the same as $H\beta$ VBC [\(Snedden & Gaskell 2007](#page-20-50)).

The power law that defines the continuum level and the Feii contribution obtained from the specfit analysis are plotted in Fig. [2](#page-4-2) as red and green lines respectively. The plot of the other components from the specfit fitting for $H\beta$ and $[O \text{III}]$ is presented in the right plots of Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) where the black line represents the rest-frame spectrum and the dashed magenta line shows the model fit. Residuals from the fittings are shown in the bottom panels. In 3C 94, PKS 0420-01, and 3C 179, with the highest redshift in the sample, the H β region is close to the edge of the observed spectrum and thus affected by more noise. This resulted in larger uncertainties in the determination of parameters related to the $H\beta$ line profile.

3.1.2 UV region

The doublet MgII is located in a complex spectral region of the UV known as the small blue bump (e.g., [Antonucci 2012;](#page-18-3) [Popović et al.](#page-20-51) [2019](#page-20-51); [Gaskell et al. 2022](#page-19-25)). To determine the parameters of the broad Mgii line profiles, we carried out, as in optical, a multicomponent fitting of the region of interest including:

• A power-law continuum underlying MgII, in order to approximate the thermal accretion disk emission in UV region (e.g., [Malkan & Oke 1983\)](#page-20-52);

• An Feii scalable template for accounting the Fe III_{UV} (which is the integrated flux over 2200Å – 3090Å) emission lines blended with MgII. This template is based based on CLOUDY simulations assuming ionization parameter $\log U = -2.25$, $\log n_H = 12.25$, solar chemical abundances and standard (i.e., [Mathews & Ferland 1987](#page-20-53)) AGN continuum. For practical purposes it is analogous to the e.g., [Bruhweiler & Verner](#page-19-26) [\(2008](#page-19-26)) "best" template;

• A UV Balmer continuum,

found to be important at $\lambda < 3646$ Å [\(Kovačević et al. 2014;](#page-20-54) [Kovačević-Dojčinović et al. 2017\)](#page-19-27).

• As MgII is a doublet, we fitted the blended line component by using multiple Gaussians:

– Two NCs, accounting for the doublet of Mg_{II} at λ 2796.35Å and λ 2803.53Å, with an assumed ratio of 1.5:1.

– Two BCs, where the intensity ratio between the blue and red broad component of the doublet is taken to be 1.25, which is representative of the physical conditions observed in the BLR according to CLOUDY simulations (see details in [Marziani et al. 2013](#page-20-37)).

– One VBC, instead of two due to the small doublet separation of ∼ 8Å which is much less than the FWHM of the VBC components. This restrains us from separating two VBCs with the spectral resolution we have.

The fitting was done in a wide wavelength range, from 2600Å to 3800 \AA , in order to include the narrow LIL [OII] λ 3727 \AA and to obtain measurements for Feii emission in UV, which is not well known for jetted sources [\(Marziani et al. 2018\)](#page-20-28). We also included a Gaussian profile fitting for $OIII\lambda3133\AA$ and the two HILs of [NeV] at λ λ 3346,3426ÅÅ when the lines are clearly present. For the low redshift quasars, with z < 0.4 (B2 0110+29 and PKS 2208-137), the Mgii doublet measures are affected by a higher uncertainty because it is located at the extreme blue edge of the observed spectrum, which could imply usually more noise and a worse determination of the blue continuum level.

The overall fitting result for Mgii spectral region for each object is shown in the left plots of Fig. [3](#page-7-0) (adjacent with the H β fitting, in the right panels). Residuals from the fittings are also shown in the bottom panels.

3.2 Full profile analysis

Besides the multicomponent spectral fitting to account for the individual components of the emission lines of $H\beta$ and MgII regions, a complementary measurement that describes the FP is required. The parametrization of the FP has been obtained by estimating the equivalent width (EW), FWHM, centroids at different fractional line intensities, $(c(i/4)$ for i = 1, 2 and 3), the peak velocity assumed to be the centroid at 9/10 fractional intensity $(c(9/10))$, and asymmetry (AI) and kurtosis (KI) indexes. The latter two quantities and centroids are used as defined according to [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)).

3.3 Error estimation

We made a coarse estimate of the fractional errors in the fluxes and relative intensities of the lines by using the interactive IRAF task splot. We empirically define extreme levels for line base or for continuum placements and assign them a confidence limit of \pm 2 σ . The range of uncertainty and the typical errors that we derived depend on whether a feature was extended (such FeII_{opt} and Fe H_{UV} emission) or sharp and prominent ([O H_{UV} narrow lines) or faint affected by a much stronger line component (i.e., HenA4686Å). Considering Fe $_{\text{topt}}$ emission, the uncertainty ranges between 20% and 50% at 1σ confidence level, the latter for quasars with extremely faint Feii emission like B2 0110+29. For Fe H_{UV} , we have uncertainties between 15% and 35% , the latter for relatively faint quasars. The Balmer continuum is affected by a comparable fractional uncertainty, as it is modeled over the same spectral range of $FeII_{UV}$ emission. Considering the individual components of $H\beta$, the NC has uncertainties between 15% and 50%. The larger uncertainty applies to the case where the NC is weaker i.e., for quasars like 3C 179 and S5 1856+73: a small change of the BC translates into a much larger fractional change for the NC. This can also be seen from the intensity ratio between the NC and BC. For the two quasars with larger uncertainties (3C 179 and S5 1856+73), this ratio is, $I_{NC}/I_{BC} \approx 0.125$ and 0.097, respectively. The prominent BC of $H\beta$ is affected by a small uncertainty between 10% and 20%. For the sharp, narrow, and well-defined $[O\,\textsc{iii}]$ line, the uncertainty in flux is between 5% and 10%. For the UV lines, the Mgii NC, in most cases we have uncertainties between 15% and 30%. The larger uncertainty is associated with cases in which the NC merges with the BC. For the prominent Mg_{II} BC, the uncertainty is estimated between 10% and 20%. The uncertainties for the BC can also be used for the VBC since the two components are usually of comparable strength.

In all the cases, errors for the FP parameters have been estimated taking into account the effect of variation in the continuum on the profile parameters, by assuming $a \pm 5\%$ variation in the continuum. The uncertainties were derived with quadratic error propagation.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Spectral multicomponent fitting results

$4.1.1$ H β *and [O* μ *]region*

Spectrophotometric measurements of the optical region as well as the parameters of the FP and the individual components of $H\beta$, represented in the right panels of Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) are presented in Table [4.](#page-9-0) Col. 2 contains the rest-frame specific continuum flux at 5100Å obtained from the fitted power law; Col. 3 lists the wavelength range used for the spectral fitting in the H β region; Cols. 4 to 12 report the FP measures $H\beta_{FP}$ (BC + VBC): EW (Col. 4), total intensity (Col. 5), FWHM of H β_{FP} (Col. 6), the centroids at $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{9}{10}$ fractional intensity of the peak (Cols. $7 - 10$), as well as AI and KI (Cols. 11 and 12, respectively). For each line component isolated with the specfit analysis, we report the total flux (I), the peak shift, and the FWHM in $km s^{-1}$: for NC (Cols. 16 – 18), for BC (Cols. $19 - 21$), and for VBC (Cols. $22 - 24$). Additionally, we also provide in Table [4](#page-9-0) the parameters related to Feii: the total flux of the blue blend of Fe μ 4570Å in Col. 13, and the smoothing factor applied to the FeII template to reproduce the observed FeII feature (Col. 14). In Col. 15 we report the $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ parameter (see Sect. [1\)](#page-0-0). In the two most redshifted quasars in the sample at $z > 0.9$ (3C 94 and PKS 0420), the reddest part of the spectrum has a slightly lower S/N due to the correction of the telluric band that extends between 9250Å and 9700Å in the observed wavelength. This results in a kind of flat-topped and even multipeaked profile in H β (see Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0), and slightly larger uncertainties in the determination of the higher fractional intensity centroids of $H\beta$, and to less extent in [O III].

Regarding H β _{FP}, in general the objects of our eRk sample show FWHM(H β) \geq 4000 km s⁻¹, with a median value of 5100 km s⁻¹, which is a typical value of B1 spectral type (ST) quasars at $z < 1$ (see Sect. [4.2\)](#page-11-1) with similar luminosities (e.g., [Sulentic et al. 2002;](#page-20-26) [Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1)). In two cases (3C 179 and PKS 2208-137), the FWHM is slightly less than 4000 km s⁻¹. For PKS 2208-137, the barely lower value may be caused by the uncertainty in identifying the $H\beta$ NC, which may have been included in the BC producing a slightly narrower and sharper BC profile. In the case of 3C 179, with the lowest FWHM (\sim 3600 km s⁻¹) in the eRk sample, that value may be influenced by a slightly high continuum location on the red side due to the correction of the telluric band in that region, preventing an accurate continuum determination on the redward [O III].

In our quasars, the $H\beta$ FP presents an asymmetry towards the red, with a median AI of 0.2, which is related to the presence of a VBC. This fact is clearly reflected in the centroid velocities at different fractional intensities (see Table [4\)](#page-9-0), which are significantly shifted to the red wing of the broad profile in the majority of quasars, being more pronounced towards the base of the line, with a median value of +1160 km s⁻¹. This is also verified in the centroid velocity at half peak intensity, $c(\frac{1}{2})$, with a median value of 230 km s⁻¹.

The multicomponent analysis corroborates the result obtained in the FP. In all cases, a VBC needs to be included (apart from the BC) to account for the observed $H\beta$ profile. The contribution of the VBC represents in 4/11 quasars more than 60% of the total intensity of $H\beta_{FP}$ (for the whole sample the median value for VBC contribution is 57%) and that reaches FWHM(VBC) $\gtrsim 10000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. As a consequence of the presence of the VBC, FWHM($H\beta_{BC}$) is always smaller than the one corresponding to the FP. The ratio between both ξ = FWHM(H β BC)/FWHM(H β FP) has a mean value of 0.83 ± 0.09 for our quasars, in agreement with the values reported by [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)) for an SDSS composite spectrum of sources belonging to Pop. B, B1 ST quasars. Also, a narrow component is detected in all quasars, although in general, its intensity relative to the broad profile is small, with a median value of 6%. Considering the individual components of $H\beta$, both the NC and BC are almost always unshifted and centered around the rest-frame of each object. In 3C 94 and PKS 2344+09 the NC appears slightly blueshifted. Two factors may be contributing to the shift: the first one and more probable is the possible presence of an unresolved SBC included in

Figure 3. Multicomponent fitting results of our quasars in the region of Mgi and H β lines (adjacent left and right panels), represented after subtracting the continuum obtained from the simultaneous best specfit fit. In both cases, the upper abscissa is rest-frame wavelength in Å and the lower abscissa is in radial velocity units. The vertical scales correspond to the specific flux in units of 10^{-15} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹, and 10^{-16} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹ (PHL 923, B2 0110+29, 3C 37, and 3C 179) in both panels. Black continuous lines correspond to the rest-frame spectrum. The emission line components are: Feii (green), VBC (red), BC (black), all the NCs as blue lines, and [O III] SBC as orange line. The dashed magenta line shows the model fitting. The dot-dashed vertical lines trace the rest-frame wavelength of Mgii and H β . The lower panels show the residuals of the fit. The reduced χ^2 values indicated in each panel are estimated in a window of λ around the main lines, H β and Mgii.

MNRAS **000**, [1–](#page-0-0)[23](#page-25-0) (2022)

Note: Col. 2 is in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹. Cols. 3 and 4 are in units of Å. Cols. refereed to intensities as Col. 5, 13, 16, 19, and 22 are in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻². Cols. refereed to centroid velocities at different fractional intensities, FWHM, and shift as Cols. 6 - 10, 14, 17 - 18, 20 - 21, 23 - 24 are in units of km s⁻¹. ⁽¹⁾ Centroid and peak shift determinations might be affected by the presence of a telluric band from 9250 to 9700 Å at observed wavelengths. Values ending with a colon (:) means that the values are highly uncertain and "::" that the feature is poorly defined.

Note: Cols. 2 and 3 are in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹. Col. 4 is in units of Å. Cols. 5, 13, 16, 19, and 22 are in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻². Cols. 6 - 10, 14, 17 - 18, 20 - 21, and 23 - 24 are in units of km s⁻¹. Cols. 16 - 24 show measurements of the red component of Mgii doublet. Measurements of the blue component can be obtained by using the line ratios given in Sect. [3.1.2.](#page-5-0)

the NC of H β , in correspondence with the observed SBC of [O III] (see below), which would also explain the relatively high value obtained for the FWHM H $\beta_{NC} \sim 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for 3C 94. A second factor to take into account in the case of 3C 94, as we mentioned previously, is that both the $H\beta$ profile and the FeII blue blend are affected by a telluric band extending between \approx 9250Å and 9700Å in observed wavelengths (that correspond to \sim 4700Å - 4900Å in the rest-frame), whose correction can also introduce greater uncertainty in the determination of the position of the peak and the centroids at the highest fractional intensities.

A similar analysis was carried out for $[O \text{ III}]$, as described in Sect. [3.1.1.](#page-5-1) The results for the FP and the individual components for [O III] λ 5007Å are reported in Table [5.](#page-11-2) We present the EW (Col. 2), total intensity (Col. 3), FWHM (Col. 4), centroid velocities at different fractional intensities (Cols. $5 - 10$), AI (Col. 9), and KI (Col. 10). Intensity, shift, and FWHM from the specfit analysis are also presented for the NC (Cols. $11 - 13$), and the SBC (Cols. 14 – 16) of each quasar. The resulting measurements for [OIII] λ 4959Å can be found by using appropriate line ratios detailed in Sect. [3.1.1.](#page-5-1)

Our sources show strong [O III], lines clearly separated from H β , presenting a spectrum characteristic of Pop. B quasars as listed in Table [5.](#page-11-2) The FP of [O III] shows a slight blue asymmetry for most of the objects, with a negative AI and a median value of -0.1. This is due to the presence of a weaker SBC that is blueshifted (for 9/11 of our sources). As can be seen in Table [5](#page-11-2) where the specfit measures are presented, while the NC is, within the uncertainties, unshifted in the rest-frame of the objects, the SBC appears blueshifted with a median shift of -130 km s^{-1} , and a slightly broader FWHM of around 1100 km s^{-1} . The presence of blueshifts in HILs like $[O \text{III}]$ is considered as one of the main detectors of outflowing gas (e.g., [Zamanov et al. 2002](#page-21-3); [Komossa et al.](#page-19-28) [2008](#page-19-28); [Zhang et al. 2011;](#page-21-4) [Marziani et al. 2016](#page-20-55); [Vietri et al. 2020](#page-20-56); [Deconto-Machado et al. 2022;](#page-19-29) [Kovačević-Dojčinović et al. 2022\)](#page-19-30). Our quasars present moderate [O III] blueshifts, indicating perhaps the presence of outflows in the inner NLR. In no case do we have the named "blue-outlier", which is defined by a blue-shift in [O III] larger than -250 km s⁻¹ [\(Zamanov et al. 2002](#page-21-3)) and are preferentially observed at low-z in the Pop. A quasars of the MS.

In some objects He $\text{II} \lambda 4686\text{\AA}$ is also detected in the blue side of $H\beta$ as a residual emission in the fit. We have fitted it with a Gaussian profile. In the majority of the cases, it seems to correspond to a VBC, but in some cases, it is not clear whether this extra emission is actually Hei λ 4686Å or corresponds to the Feil blend. Only in the case of 3C 380 two components (BC and VBC) can be identified, and for PKS 0230-51 a NC is clearly seen overlaid on the VBC (see Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). The results of the specfit analysis for $\text{HeII}\lambda4686\text{\AA}$ are reported in Table [6.](#page-11-3) The values given in the table are only to be considered, for most objects, as an indication of the He H 24686Å detection.

*4.1.2 Mg*ii *region*

The results of the spectrophotometric measurements for Mgii region and measured parameters including both Mgii FP and the individual components from the specfit analysis (plotted in left panels of Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0), following the approach described in Sect. [3.1.2,](#page-5-2) are presented in Table [7.](#page-9-1) Cols. 2 and 3 contain respectively the rest-frame specific continuum flux at 3000Å, obtained from the fitted power law, and the Balmer continuum whose intensity was estimated at the Balmer edge at 3646Å. From Cols. 4 – 12 are reported the FP measurements of Mgii, including 2BC and a VBC: EW (Col. 4), total intensity (Col. 5), FWHM of Mg_{IIFP} (Col. 6), the centroids at $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{9}{10}$ fractional intensity of the peak (Cols. $7 - 10$), as well as the AI and

Figure 4. Location of our eRk quasars (large red solid circles) in the optical plane of the 4DE1 space, traced by the measures from the [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) sample, where grey dots represent the RQ (Pop. $A \& B$) and blue and magenta symbols corresponds to RLs. The horizontal line at 4000 km s^{-1} marks the nominal Pop. A - B boundary. For the explanation of different STs see Sect. [4.2.](#page-11-1) The vertical axis is truncated at 12500 km s^{-1} for clarity.

KI in Cols. 11 and 12, respectively. The specfit fitting parameters of the individual components of the reddest line of the doublet are reported from Cols. 16 – 24. The corresponding measures of the blue component of MgII, can easily be found by taking into account the appropriate line ratio (see Sect[.3.1.2\)](#page-5-2). For each red line component analyzed we report the total flux (I), the peak shift, and the FWHM of the NC (Cols. 16 – 18), BC (Cols. 19 – 21), and VBC (Cols. 22 – 24). In addition, we also provided the parameters related to $FeII_{\rm UV}$: the total flux of the blue blend (Col. 13), and the smoothing factor applied to $FeII_{UV}$ template to reproduce the observed Fe II feature (Col. 14). In Col. 15 we report the $R_{\text{FeII,UV}}$ parameter, i.e. the ratio between the intensities of Fe $_{\text{HUV}}$ (in the 2200Å to 3090Å range) and Mgii $(R_{\rm{FeII,UV}} = I(\rm{FeII_{UV}})/I(\rm{Mg_{IIFP}})).$

As in the case of $H\beta$, the FWHM of MgII FP is higher than FWHM of MgII BC obtained from the specfit analysis. The median value for the ratio $\xi_{\text{Mgii}} = \text{FWHM}(\text{Mgu}_{BC})/\text{FWHM}(\text{Mgu}_{FP})$ is 0.84±0.02 for our quasars, in good agreement with the value found by [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)) for the ST B1 by using composite spectra. This is due to the presence also of a VBC in MgII, with a FWHM ≥ 10000 km s⁻¹, though Mgii shows a weaker VBC, with a median flux representing about the 42% of the total broad intensity. This manifests itself in a redward asymmetry, although showing a more symmetric profile than H β , with <AI> = 0.04, and a shift towards the red of the centroid velocities of Mgii, more pronounced towards the base of the line, with $\langle c(\frac{1}{4}) \rangle \approx 300$ km s⁻¹.

In addition, Table [8](#page-11-4) presents the parameters obtained from the fit to a single Gaussian profile of other lines detected in the UV region, such as the OIII λ 3133Å, HIL [NeV] λ 3426Å and [OII] λ 3728Å doublet. For each of these lines the total flux, the peak shift, and FWHM are reported when the corresponding line is detected in the spectrum.

Table 5. Results of FP and the specfit analysis for $[OIII]\lambda5007\text{\AA}$.

Object	Full broad profile $(FP)(NC + SBC)$										NC			SBC		
	EW		FWHM	$C(\frac{1}{4})$	$C(\frac{1}{2})$	$\mathrm{C}(\frac{3}{4})$	$C(\frac{9}{10})$	AI	ΚI		Shift	FWHM		Shift	FWHM	
$\scriptstyle{(1)}$	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	7	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	12°	(13)	[14]	(15)	(16)	
PHI _{.923}	64	8.9	$860+80$	$-40+50$	-50 ± 30	$-50+30$	$-50+40$	$0.04 + 0.12$	$0.42+0.04$	4.99	-30	806	3.86	10	1640	
$B20110+29$	117	7.9	$480+40$	-40 ± 20	-40 ± 10	-40 ± 10	-40 ± 20	-0.04 ± 0.09	$0.42+0.05$	5.24	-20	430	2.7	-60	1200	
3C 37	41	5.6	$580+50$	$-100+40$	$-60+20$	$-50+20$	$-40+20$	$-0.17+0.09$	$0.38 + 0.04$	2.41	-20	440	3.23	-150	980	
PKS 0230-051	37	11.4	$450+40$	$-40+30$	$20+20$	$30+10$	$40+10$	$-0.21 + 0.13$	$0.37+0.04$	4.94	40	340	6.5	-130	690	
3C 94	57	49	$620+60$	$-70+80$	$70+20$	$90+20$	$90+20$	$-0.29 + 0.20$	$0.32+0.05$	22.0	110	490	27.1	-240	1380	
PKS 0420-01	14	9.7	$620+50$	$-140+50$	-130 ± 20	$-130+20$	-120 ± 30	$-0.02+0.28$	$0.39 + 0.05$	4.56	-110	500	5.1	-160	1280	
3C 179	27	4.8	$520+40$	$10+30$	$20+10$	$30+10$	$30+20$	$-0.09+0.13$	$0.41 + 0.05$	2.74	20	440	2.1	-80	940	
3C 380	50	36.	$610+50$	-30 ± 40	$-10+20$	-10 ± 20	$-10+20$	$-0.04 + 0.09$	$0.38 + 0.05$	14.4	10	470	21.7	-60	1070	
S ₅ 1856+73	34	25.3	$410+30$	$10+20$	$1 + 10$	$1 + 10$	$10+20$	$0.05 + 0.06$	$0.44 + 0.05$	18.3	30	370	7.0	120	760	
PKS 2208-137		3.4	$600+40$	$-70+20$	$-60+20$	$-50+20$	$-50+20$	$-0.12+0.07$	$0.45+0.04$	2.43	20	530	1.0	-210	620	
PKS 2344+09	63	85.3	$660+60$	$-270+80$	-160 ± 20	-140 ± 20	-130 ± 30	$-0.23 + 0.25$	$0.34 + 0.05$	39.6	-100	520	45.7	-400	1300	

Note: Col. 2 is in unit of Å. Cols. 3, 11, and 14 are in units of 10^{-15} erg s⁻¹cm⁻². Cols. 4 – 8, 12 – 13, and 15 – 16 are in units of km s⁻¹.

Table 6. Specfit result of HeII λ 4686Å.

FWHM Shift Shift T (1) (3) (6) (2) (4) (5) PHL 923 3190 1.5 160 B ₂ 0110+29 2070 1.0 3C 37 990 4.0 PKS 0230-051 8.7 2180 3C 94 PKS 0420-01 13.2: 2410: 3C 179 3.9 1510 3070 3C 380 12.7 3670 -420 4.5 S5 1856+73 4060 1200 5.3 PKS 2208-137 540 4.6	Object		BC		VBC				
							FWHM		
							(7)		
							9630		
							9600		
							10310		
							9730:		
							6660:		
							8470		
							7110		
	PKS 2344+09	8.2	-260	4760					

Note: Cols. 2 and 5 are in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs s⁻¹cm⁻². Cols. 3, 4, 6, and 7 are in km s^{-1} . For (:) measurements see the notes in Table [4.](#page-9-0)

4.2 Main Sequence optical plane

The optical plane of the 4DE1 parameter space is defined by the $H\beta_{FP}$ FWHM and $R_{FeII,opt}$. The quasar MS allows contextualization of the observed empirical spectroscopic properties of type I AGN and their connection with the physical conditions of the BLR (see e.g., [Sulentic et al. 2000a](#page-20-10); [Marziani et al. 2018](#page-20-28); [Panda et al. 2019b](#page-20-22); [Wolf et al. 2020\)](#page-20-12). After performing the analysis of the H β region (see section [4.1.1\)](#page-6-2), that provides us with both the FWHM($H\beta_{FP}$) and R_{FeII} (Table [4](#page-9-0) Cols. 6 and 15, respectively), we can locate our quasars in the MS. It is possible to subdivide the optical plane into a grid of bins (or STs) formed from FWHM($H\beta_{FP}$) and $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ that shows different spectral line profile properties [\(Sulentic et al. 2002](#page-20-26)): bins A1 to A4 are defined in terms of increasing $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ with bin size $\Delta R_{\text{FeII,opt}} = 0.5$ (from A1 with $R_{\text{FeII}} < 0.5$) to A4 with $1.5 < R_{\text{FeII}} \le 2$), while bins B1, B1⁺ and B1⁺⁺ are defined in terms of increasing FWHM with Δ FWHM= 4000km s⁻¹, from B1 with $4000 <$ FWHM ≤ 8000 km s⁻¹ to B1⁺⁺ with FWHM > 12000 km s⁻¹.

Fig. [4](#page-10-0) shows the locus of our quasars on the MS as well as the Pop. A/B separation and the identification of some of the most populated STs, where we have also included as a comparison the low-z SDSS sample by [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010\)](#page-21-2). In Fig. [4,](#page-10-0) grey symbols represent the Pop. A and B RQs, meanwhile coloured symbols represent the RLs, splited into core-dominated (CD; magenta triangles) and lobedominated (LD) FRII (blue squares). As clearly seen in this figure, the RQ sources show a broad domain in the MS, covering the entire

Table 8. Specfit analysis results for the other UV lines

Object	OIILA3133Ă					$[NeV] \lambda 3426\AA$	[OII] <i>λ</i> 3728Å			
	Т		Shift FWHM			Shift FWHM	L		Shift FWHM	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
PHL923							1.0	170:	550:	
B ₂ 0110+29							1.8	70	1130	
3C37	1.2	130:	1880:	1.0	-70	1550	0.4:	70	1090::	
PKS 0230-051	4.1	-110	1940		$2.1: -260:$	1170:	2.6	-40	1080	
3C94	4.4	110	1950	6.1	60	1560	6.1	190	1030	
PKS 0420-01	$\overline{}$	\equiv				$\qquad \qquad -$	2.2:	30:	1150:	
3C179	0.1 ::	80:	1680::	0.1:	20:	940:	0.1	-20	1030	
3C380	4.1::	$-310::$	1910::	5.0	40	1700	3.4	60	1070	
S5 1856+73	3.0:	-150 :	2150::	1.8	-1	600		$3.4: -110:$	1130:	
PKS 2208-137						$\overline{}$	2.6	80	1090	
PKS 2344+09		$10.4:: -110::$	2380::	5.9	-70	1420		7.0:200:	1140:	
Note: Calc 2, 5 and 8 are in units of 10^{-15} area s^{-1} are $^{-2}$. Other solumns										

Note: Cols. 2, 5 and 8 are in units of 10^{-15} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻². Other columns are in $km s^{-1}$. For (:) and (::) measurements see the notes in Table [4.](#page-9-0)

range of observed values in both FWHM and R_{FeII} , and in both A and B populations. On the converse, the RL ("jetted") sources are mainly Pop. B and populate particular bins of the optical plane, mostly in B1 and B⁺ [\(Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1); [Kuźmicz et al. 2021\)](#page-20-57).

Our quasars are well located in the MS domain of the CD RL sources. The majority lie in the B ST (more than 80%), mostly in B1. For 3C 179 and PKS 2208, FWHM($H\beta_{FP}$) value is only marginally below 4000 km s^{-1} placing them on the upper edge of Pop. A, at the ST A1. Since in both quasars there is no doubt about its Pop. B classification with a clearly recognized VBC in the $H\beta$ profile, its position may be due to an inclination effect (as we mentioned in section [4.1.1\)](#page-6-2) since the broadening of $H\beta$ is orientation-dependent [\(Wills & Browne 1986](#page-20-58); [Sulentic et al. 2003](#page-20-31); [Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1)). Our quasars also show weak Fe $_{\text{Iopt}}$ intensities. All except one case (PHL 923 with $R_{\text{FeII,opt}} = 0.63$ that locates the object in B2 bin) present low $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ values, with a mean of 0.29 and a σ = 0.19. This is in agreement with the results obtained by [Marziani et al.](#page-20-21) [\(2021\)](#page-20-21) who using composite spectra found that RL sources, both CD and FRII, present weaker Fe $_{\text{Iopt}}$ emission (\sim a factor 2 lower) compared to the composite spectrum of RQ quasars sharing the same B1 ST.

4.3 Main sequence UV plane

In a close analogy to the optical plane, it is also possible to analyse the UV plane by using MgII, and $F_{\text{EII}}_{\text{UV}}$ line parameters. This is possible mainly due to the fact that both $H\beta$ and Mgii lines belong to LILs and are supposed to be emitted from a similar region

Figure 5. Location of our eRK quasars (red solid circles) in the UV plane defined by MgII FWHM and $R_{\text{FeII,UV}}$. A comparison sample was taken from [Calderone et al.](#page-19-15) [\(2017](#page-19-15)) catalogue by considering sources that are found around our redshift range (0.4 $\le z \le 1$) and is shown after kernel smoothing to account for a large number of sources (10,344). The color scale is normalized to the peak density in the UV parameter plane.

[\(Collin-Souffrin et al. 1988](#page-19-31)). The UV plane is also formed by using MgII_{FP} FWHM and the strength of the FeII_{UV}. Figure [5](#page-12-1) shows the location of our eRK quasars in the UV plane where we used as a comparison sample the recently available parameters determined by the software QSFIT from [Calderone et al.](#page-19-15) [\(2017](#page-19-15)) using SDSS-DR10 spectra, in which they incorporated spectral information in the UV, and in particular for MgII and F_{eIIUV} to produce a publicly available catalogue of AGN spectral properties. See also a discussion about this catalogue and the MS UV plane in [Śniegowska et al.](#page-20-59) [\(2020\)](#page-20-59). For the QSFit catalogue we selected a sample consisting of the sources in the redshift range from 0.4 to 1 and with good quality measures in Mg_{II} and Fe μ_{UV} according this catalogue. The available comparison sample is large in number (10,344 spectra) and we used therefore a kernel smoothing for better visualization. As compared to the optical plane, the UV plane shows a larger range in $R_{\text{FeII II}}$, This is mainly due to the fact that the range of the integrated flux in $FeIIUV$ is broader as compared to the optical, FeII_{Opt}. For the sole purpose of the representation of our sample in the UV plane together with the data obtained from the QSFIT catalogue, we have estimated a $F_{\text{EII}_{\text{IV}}}$ modified total flux for our RL sources by extrapolating the FeII_{UV} model fitted to our spectra to the wavelength range λ 1250Å to λ 3090Å used by [Calderone et al.](#page-19-15) [\(2017](#page-19-15)). Our quasars are placed in the FWHM(MgII_{FP}) ≥ 4000 km s⁻¹ and in the lowest FeII_{UV} emission, as in the case of the optical plane.

4.4 Comparison between the H𝛽 **and Mg**ii **spectral ranges**

*4.4.1 Mg*ii *and* H𝛽 *line profiles*

Based on the measurements reported in Tables [4](#page-9-0) and [7,](#page-9-1) we analyse and compare here the line profile parameters of $H\beta$ and Mgii. The upper and bottom panels of Fig. [6](#page-12-2) show the location of our eRk quasars in the $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ versus FWHM(H β FP) plots, respectively. The comparison sample containing the $c(\frac{1}{2})$ information for the RL and RQ was taken from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and the $c(\frac{1}{4})$ from [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)). Our eRk quasars are located in the upper

Figure 6. Centroids at $c(\frac{1}{2})$ (upper plot) and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ (bottom plot) peak intensity of H β _{FP} versus the FWHM of H β _{FP}. The large red solid circles represent our RL objects. Comparison samples from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) are also represented. The legends identify different populations and radio classes. The vertical dot-dashed line at 4000 km s−¹ marks the nominal population A/B boundary. The horizontal dot-dashed line traces the symmetric line in $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$.

Figure 7. Relation between $c(\frac{1}{2})$ (upper) and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ (lower) versus FWHM of Mgii. Red solid circles from our RL spectra. The additional comparison sample shown in the plot was taken from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013\)](#page-20-37). Orange symbols represent Pop. B and cyan solid triangles for Pop. A. Orange solid triangle and square represent Pop. B CD and FRII respectively.

right part of both diagrams, mainly occupied by Pop. B quasars, but showing more extreme shift values in the $c(\frac{1}{4})$ when compared to [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010\)](#page-21-2) sample. Fig. [6](#page-12-2) also shows that our quasars follow the trend observed in the other two samples in the sense that larger velocity centroids, particularly in $c(\frac{1}{4})$, correspond to wider FWHM(H β_{FP}). Similarly, Fig. [7](#page-12-3) shows the centroid at $c(\frac{1}{2})$ (upper plot) and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ (lower plot) peak intensity of the Mg_{II} profile versus the FWHM of MgIIFP for our quasars and for the composite spectra

by [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013\)](#page-20-37) corresponding to the different STs of the MS, with cyan solid triangles denoting Pop. A and orange-filled circles for Pop. B. Also in MgII our eRk objects are located in the Pop. B region and showing a larger shift towards the red in the base of the $\lim_{\theta \to 0}$ line, is observed in the broadening estimator, FWHM of the FP, in which the FWHM(MgII_{FP}) is narrower than FWHM(H β _{FP}): the median value of Mg11_{FP} FWHM is 4470 km s^{−1}, about 10% less than the FWHM of H $\beta_{\rm FP}$, 5100 km s $^{-1}$. Since for the FWHM measurements of Mg11_{FP}, a single unresolved line is assumed for the doublet, those values can be converted to the FWHM of a single component by subtracting 300 km s⁻¹ [\(Trakhtenbrot & Netzer 2012](#page-20-34)). Taking into account this correction, we obtained a median value for the FWHM of Mgu single component of $\approx 4170 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, that corresponds to $\approx 0.84 \pm 0.12$ the median $H\beta_{FP}$ FWHM, of our eRK quasars, in agreement with previous results obtained by [Wang et al.](#page-20-38) [\(2009](#page-20-38)), claiming that FWHM of $H\beta$ is larger than FWHM of MgII, and later clearly identified by [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013\)](#page-20-37) for the Pop. B quasars. As explained in [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)), Mgii might be emitted predominantly farther out from the central continuum source than $H\beta$. This means only part of the gas emitting $H\beta$ is emitting Mgii. For instance, the innermost regions moving with the largest velocities close to the H β line base could be too highly ionized to emit Mgii. This is quite true as much of the broad line emission's kinematics can be dominated by Keplerian motigurePststrows&thWrekktlohDbetween FWHM of one single component of Mg_{II} and H β _{FP}, along with a comparison sample built by [Wang et al.](#page-20-38) [\(2009](#page-20-38)). This figure shows that the correlation deviates from the one-to-one line as the profile widths are affected by the VBC present in both $H\beta$ and Mgii, significantly more pronounced in H β , (see Sect. [4.1.1](#page-6-2) and [4.1.2\)](#page-10-1). This deviation is larger for higher FWHM(H β) and in particular for FWHM > 4000 km s⁻¹ corresponding to Rop. B inference who to NBC in detected neasurements deviation from the rest-frame spectra at specified fractional intensities, mainly at $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$, as we mentioned above (see also Figs. [6](#page-12-2) and [7\)](#page-12-3). The results of the centroid measurements on $H\beta_{FP}$ and Mg_{IIFP} indicate a shift towards the red for both lines. The shift has larger amplitude in H β than MgII, as shown in Fig. [9](#page-14-1) (left and middle panels). This amplitude difference becomes more evident when considering the line base: the value of $c(\frac{1}{4})$ is larger than the value of $c(\frac{1}{2})$, suggesting that $H\beta$ is more strongly affected than Mg_{II} by contribution of the VBGd Salneticatch was shown when considering the AI (see Table [4](#page-9-0) and [7,](#page-9-1) Col. 11, respectively). Fig. [9](#page-14-1) (right) indicates that both $H\beta$ and Mgii profiles show redward asymmetry, although the AI is significantly larger for $H\beta$ than for MgII, i.e MgII is more symmetric than H β . Higher KI found for Mg_{II} than H β are explained by the broadening of the Mgii line due to its doublet components separated by Bh0 kealwa¹dandsybynthedby oadd#J8H&opr@blp.aBthoolinee,bahave been previously observed (e.g., [Sulentic et al. 2002;](#page-20-26) [Marziani et al. 2009](#page-20-29); [Wolf et al. 2020\)](#page-20-12), and can be linked to the existence of a distinct kinematic emitting region, the VBLR. The difference in the profile shape between $H\beta$ and Mgii and the specfit line profile analysis provides empirical evidence that the stronger $H\beta$ VBC gives rise to a stronger redward asymmetry than in Mgii.

Figure 8. Comparison between Mg_{II} and $H\beta$ FWHM. The ordinate is the FWHM of MgIIFP subtracted by 300 km s⁻¹. A comparison sample was taken from [Wang et al.](#page-20-38) [\(2009](#page-20-38)) that we subdivided into Pop. A (gray dots) and Pop. B (blue dots) by using the 4000 km s⁻¹ as a separation limit. The solid line represents the correlation of both FWHM including only Pop. B sources from [Wang et al.](#page-20-38) [\(2009](#page-20-38)) and our quasars. The two values are highly correlated with a Pearson's correlation factor $r \approx 0.78$ and p-value ≈ 0.005 .

4.4.2 Equivalent widths and Intensities

The EW reflects the emission line strength relative to the total continuum and was obtained in each object from the best spectral fit. Fig. [10](#page-14-2) (left) shows the EW of Fe III_{UV} versus the EW of Fe II_{opt} . A correlation is not found between the two quantities. This is in agreement with the previous result by [Kovačević-Dojčinović & Popović](#page-19-32) [\(2015](#page-19-32)) who do not find any correlation between the EWs of these lines. The discrepancy could be due to a difference in the emitting regions: the Fe $_{\text{topt}}$ emission is usually thought to arise in the outer BLR before the inner radius of the torus (e.g., [Popović et al.](#page-20-63) [2009](#page-20-63); [Kovačević et al. 2010](#page-19-33); [Shapovalova et al. 2012;](#page-20-64) [Barth et al.](#page-19-34) [2013](#page-19-34); [Kovačević-Dojčinović & Popović 2015](#page-19-32)). The FeII_{UV} might be preferentially emitted in clouds closer to the continuum source and strongly affected by the X-ray emitting corona believed to be present in most AGN [\(Panda et al. 2019a\)](#page-20-24). Excitation mechanisms are also expected to be different: $F_{\text{EII}_{\text{UV}}}$ is produced by recombination following photoionization and enhance by florescence phenomena with continuum and $Ly\alpha$, while collisional excitation contributes to optical emission (see [Marinello et al. 2020](#page-20-65), for a Grotrian diagram showing the channels leading to FeII_{UV} and FeII_{opt} production by Ly α fluorescence; c.f. [Sigut & Pradhan 2003\)](#page-20-66). A loose correlation between the intensities of the Fe $_{\text{Iopt}}$ and Fe $_{\text{IIUV}}$ and $R_{\text{FeII,UV}}$ and $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ might be expected in large samples of quasars because optical and UV emissions are both dependent on chemical abundances that are widely and systematically different along the quasar main sequence [\(Panda et al. 2019c](#page-20-67); [Śniegowska et al. 2021](#page-20-68); [Marziani et al. 2023\)](#page-20-69).

The intensities of H β and Mgii are highly correlated (Fig. [10,](#page-14-2) right): Pearson's $r \approx 0.77$, with a probability $P \approx 0.01$ of a stochastic correlation. The MgII/H β intensity ratio varies in the range 0.5–1.8, with an average of ≈ 1.2 , a value consistent with the ones derived for

Figure 9. Comparison between H β and Mgn c($\frac{1}{2}$) (left), c($\frac{1}{4}$) (middle) and asymmetry index (right) for our eRk quasars (red filled circles). Additional comparison sample was taken from composite spectra of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013\)](#page-20-37), where symbols are as in Fig. [7.](#page-12-3) The diagonal dot-dashed line in each plot represents the one-to-one line.

Figure 10. Left: relation between EW of Fer_{opt} and Fer_{UV} . The uncertainties in the EW are taken to be proportional to the uncertainty in the flux of the Feir emissions in the two regions (see Sect. 3.3). Right: correlation between the intensities of $H\beta$ and Mgii. The intensities are highly correlated with $r \approx 0.77$ and a p-value ≈ 0.01 .

composites RL spectra in Population B (see Table 3 of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [2013](#page-20-37)).

5 DISCUSSION

As pointed out in the previous sections, quasars broad line spectra show a wide range of line profiles, line shifts as well as line intensities. In order to explain this spectroscopic diversity, much emphasis was placed on the connection between profile parameters (e.g., [Martínez-Aldama et al. 2015](#page-20-46); [Rakić](#page-20-70) [2022](#page-20-70); [Kovačević-Dojčinović et al. 2022](#page-19-30)), M_{BH} (e.g., [Boroson](#page-19-35) [2002](#page-19-35); [Hernitschek et al. 2016;](#page-19-36) [Bao et al. 2022\)](#page-19-37), luminosity (e.g., [Marziani et al. 2009](#page-20-29); [Popović & Kovačević 2011;](#page-20-71) [Rakić et al. 2017](#page-20-72)) and accretion rate (e.g., [Mathur et al. 2001;](#page-20-73) [Marziani et al. 2001](#page-20-25)). In this section, we present a discussion on M_{BH} and Eddington ratio $(\lambda_{\rm E})$ (Sect. [5.1\)](#page-14-3), and their effects on line profile shapes (Sect. [5.2\)](#page-15-0). Finally, we consider the role of radio loudness (Sect. [5.3\)](#page-16-0).

5.1 Black hole mass and Eddington ratio

The estimation of M_{BH} and Eddington ratio (λ_{E}) is crucial for understanding the AGN phenomenon, its evolution across cosmic time, and the properties of the host galaxies (e.g., [Marconi & Hunt 2003\)](#page-20-74). In addition, $M_{\rm BH}$ is a fundamental parameter that relates to the evolutionary stages and the accretion processes occurring within them, as the power output is directly proportional to $M_{\rm BH}$ (e.g., [Lapi et al.](#page-20-75) [2006](#page-20-75); [Fraix-Burnet et al. 2017](#page-19-13)). λ _E is a parameter that expresses the relative balance between gravitational and radiation forces (e.g., [Marziani et al. 2010](#page-20-7); [Netzer & Marziani 2010\)](#page-20-76), a major factor influencing both the dynamics and the physical conditions of the line emitting gas [\(Marziani et al. 2018](#page-20-28)).

To estimate M_{BH} for type I AGN, we used an empirically calibrated formalism (scaling laws) that is based on single-epoch spectra (e.g., [Vestergaard & Peterson 2006](#page-20-77); [Ho & Kim 2015](#page-19-38)) and that has been applied to large and diverse samples of AGN (e.g., [Marziani & Sulentic 2012b](#page-20-78); [Shen 2013](#page-20-79)). At low redshift ($z \le 0.8$), the lines of choice for estimation can be $H\beta$ and MgII (e.g, [Kaspi et al.](#page-19-39) [2000](#page-19-39); [Vestergaard & Peterson 2006](#page-20-77); [McLure et al. 2006](#page-20-80)). Table [9](#page-16-1) reports the estimations of the accretion parameters for our eRk quasars by using both the BC and FP FWHM measurements. Cols. 2 and 5 report the bolometric luminosity (L_{bol}) estimated from the optical (5100Å) and UV (3000Å) contunuum luminosity respectively, as λL_{λ} , by using the luminosity dependent relation from [Netzer](#page-20-81) [\(2019](#page-20-81)) to calculate the bolometric correction factor (K_{bol}) for the luminosity in question (L_{5100} or L_{3000}). The bolometric luminosity of our eRk quasars estimated with $log L_{bol}$ between 45.15–46.57 and 44.98–46.53 [ergs s⁻¹] from H β and Mgii, respectively. Cols. 3–4 and 6–7 list the M_{BH} and λ_{E} by using the FP FWHM mea-sures and the relations from [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-20-77) [\(2006\)](#page-20-77) for H β and [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-20-34) [\(2012](#page-20-34)) for the MgII line, respectively. The same estimation was also done by using FWHM of the BC alone rather than the FP and reported in Cols. 8–11. M_{BH} values computed from the FP FWHM range from $log M_{BH}$ [M_{\odot}] ≈ 8.49 to 9.25 (H β) and from log $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 8.26$ to 9.33 (MgII). Fig. [11](#page-15-1) indicates that the mass estimation using $H\beta$ and [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-20-77) [\(2006](#page-20-77)) relation is in a very good agreement with the mass estimation from Mgii, when using four different Mgii scaling laws, namely the ones from [Vestergaard & Osmer](#page-20-82) [\(2009\)](#page-20-82), [Shen et al.](#page-20-83) [\(2011](#page-20-83)), [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-20-34) [\(2012](#page-20-34)) and [Shen & Liu](#page-20-84) [\(2012](#page-20-84)). This result holds also if only the BC is used (see Table [9,](#page-16-1) Cols. 8 and 10). We also checked the mass estimation by using the Mgii with McLure $\&$ Jarvis [\(2002](#page-20-85)) relation and found a lower estimation by about 0.25 dex compared to the other scaling relations (not shown in Fig. [11](#page-15-1) to avoid confusion).

The $log(\lambda_{\rm E})$ values for our eRk quasars range from -1.45 to -

Figure 11. M_{BH} comparison for estimates from H β and Mgii, FP FWHM measurement using different scaling laws. The abscissa is $M_{\rm BH}$ estimated by using the formula from [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-20-77) [\(2006](#page-20-77)). In ordinate we plot different M_{BH} estimates for Mgii, from [Vestergaard & Osmer](#page-20-82) [\(2009](#page-20-82)), [Shen et al.](#page-20-83) [\(2011](#page-20-83)), [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-20-34) [\(2012](#page-20-84)), and [Shen & Liu](#page-20-84) (2012). The dot-dashed line represents the 1:1 line and the solid one represents the correlation between the masses estimated by using [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-20-77) [\(2006\)](#page-20-77) for H β and [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-20-34) [\(2012](#page-20-34)) for Mgii. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the two estimates is $r = 0.91$.

0.55 and from -1.40 to -0.78 (see Table [9,](#page-16-1) Cols. 4 and 7) when using the $H\beta$ and Mg_{II} lines, respectively. Previous studies suggested that around some critical value of λ _E≈ 0.2±0.1, there could be an accretion mode change i.e., a change in the structure of the accretion disk (e.g., [Abramowicz & Straub 2014](#page-18-4); [Marziani et al. 2018](#page-20-28); [Giustini & Proga 2019,](#page-19-40) and references therein), or at least in the BLR dynamics [\(Collin et al. 2006\)](#page-19-41). For a given log $M_{BH} \approx 8.5$, Pop. A sources show $log(\lambda_E) = -0.7 - 0$, and Pop. B show $log(\lambda_E) = -2 -$ -0.7 [\(Sulentic et al. 2011](#page-20-86)). All our quasars fit into the Pop. B domain of low accretion rates. The two sources (3C 179 and PKS 2208-137) with FWHM < 4000 km s⁻¹ have $log(\lambda_{\rm E}) \approx$ -0.64 and -0.75, respectively. These values are at the λ_E boundary between Pop. A and B, signifying a possible M_{BH} underestimate due to low S/N (i.e., loss of line wings in the case of 3C 179) or perhaps to a pole-on orientation of the emitting regions (e.g., [Wills & Browne 1986](#page-20-58); [Sulentic et al.](#page-20-31) [2003](#page-20-31); [Rokaki et al. 2003](#page-20-87); [Zamfir et al. 2008](#page-21-1)).

5.2 Correlations between profile and physical parameters

5.2.1 M_{BH} correlations

Fig. [12](#page-16-2) and Fig. [13](#page-16-3) show profile parameters, as AI and centroids, as a function of $M_{\rm BH}$, for H β and Mgii respectively, including our eRk quasars and the comparison samples. The immediate result is that there is no strong, clear correlation with $M_{\rm BH}$ – although an intriguing trend appears for the joint comparison sample. This is also supported by previous statistical tests for low- z Pop. B sources [\(Zamfir et al. 2010](#page-21-2)).

Restricting the attention to $H\beta$, for the joint comparison sample and irrespective of whether the sources are Pop. A or B, below $log M_{BH} \sim 8.5[M_{\odot}]$ RL sources show a weak median redshift for $c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx 280 \pm 270 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while RQs show no significant shift nor asymmetry (median $c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx -20 \pm 180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\mu_{1/2} \text{AI} \approx 0.01 \pm 0.08$). Above log $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 8.5 \,[\text{M}_\odot]$, there is an increase in scatter in the values of the AI and centroids, and a predominance of shifts to the red appears, especially for RL sources $(\mu_{1/2}c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx 660 \pm 650 \text{ km s}^{-1})$, $\mu_{1/2}$ AI \approx 0.1±0.1), while RQs remain more symmetric (median $c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx 150 \pm 490 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, AI $\approx 0.04 \pm 0.10$), albeit with a slight net shift to the red. Restricting now the attention to only Pop. B sources, $H\beta$ yields similar results: RL show a trend towards the red with a median $c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx 300 \pm 270$ km s⁻¹ for log $M_{BH} \le 8.5$ [M_☉], while the RQ counterpart a median centroid consistent with no shift $\left(c(\frac{1}{4})\right) \approx$ 30 ± 280 km s⁻¹). For the higher M_{BH} range, both RQ and RL Pop. B sources show a net shift to the red that reaches a median $c(\frac{1}{4}) \approx$ 680 km s^{-1} for the RL subsample. Consistently, for the whole comparison sample, the ratio of the number of sources with negative and positive $c(\frac{1}{4})$ is ≈ 1.2 for RQ for log M_{BH} < 8.5 and 0.61 for $log M_{\text{BH}} > 8.5$. The same ratio for RL sources is 0.45 and 0.31 for the two mass ranges, showing a net predominance of redshift. If the attention is restricted to Pop. B, we see a net predominance of redshifts for both RQ and RL in the higher mass range (ratio negativeto-positive $c(\frac{1}{4})$ is 0.38 and 0.30, respectively). In the lower $M_{\rm BH}$ range, the prevalence of redshifts is higher for RL (ratio ≈ 0.54) than for RQ (ratio ≈ 0.95) for which the distribution is fairly symmetric, as expected also by the almost zero median shift amplitude of $c(\frac{1}{4})$.

The intrinsic shift to red might be associated with gravitational redshift (e.g. [Bon et al. 2015](#page-19-42); [Punsly et al. 2020\)](#page-20-88) or with infall plus obscuration [\(Wang et al. 2017](#page-20-89)). However, the origin of the redward asymmetry is still unclear and the subject of ongoing investigations (e.g., [Bao et al. 2022\)](#page-19-37). Infall of gas toward the center is an alternative to gravitational redshift that may also produce significant shifts to the red expected to grow in amplitude toward the line base [\(Netzer 1977;](#page-20-90) [Penston et al. 1990;](#page-20-91) [Fromerth & Melia 2001;](#page-19-43) [Wang et al. 2017](#page-20-89)).

Related to Mgii, Figure [13](#page-16-3) shows the centroid shift at the two different fractional intensities of the MgII line as a function of $M_{\rm BH}$ computed from FWHM Mg_{IIFP}. The values of $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ are comparable, with a slight systematic difference of about 100 km s^{-1} . Considering the comparison composite samples from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)), Pop. B Mgii centroid shifts close to the line base exceed the ones at the center by a modest amount of 200 - 300 km s⁻¹, at variance with $H\beta$: the $H\beta$ line base is significantly more redshifted than the center. For instance, the eRk sample sources show an average $c(\frac{1}{4})$ $-c(\frac{1}{2}) \approx 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. As mentioned above, the MgII profile retains a higher degree of symmetry than $H\beta$ because of a less prominent VBC. Whatever the cause of the reward asymmetry might be, the Mg_{II} profile is apparently less affected than $H\beta$.

5.2.2 λ _E correlations

Several authors suggested that outflows are apparently more related to λ _E than to luminosity or M_{BH} (e.g., [Abramowicz & Straub 2014;](#page-18-4) [Sulentic et al. 2017](#page-20-47)). How $c(\frac{1}{2})$, $c(\frac{1}{4})$ and λ_E are related, in our eRk quasars and the comparison samples, is shown in Fig. [14.](#page-17-0)

Pop. B RL and RQ quasars belonging to the comparison samples are redshifted, and they generally possess low $\lambda_{\rm E}$. If the shift to the red is gravitational in origin, the line might be emitted from a region closer to the central SMBH which results in larger shifts if the λ_E is lower. As there is a general consensus that outflows produce blueshifts [\(Marziani & Sulentic 2012a](#page-20-92)), the lower shifts at higher $\lambda_{\rm E}$ may be due to the increased relevance of radiation forces with respect to gravitation, which may push outward the emitting gas if there is a sort of radiation pressure/gravitation balance [\(Mathews 1993](#page-20-93); [Marconi et al.](#page-20-94) [2009](#page-20-94); [Netzer & Marziani 2010](#page-20-76); [Khajenabi 2015\)](#page-19-44). Therefore, a difference between RL and RQ might be due to a combination of inflows

Object	Measurements from full broad profile $(BC + VBC)$							Measurement from broad component only (BC)				
		Accretion parameters $(H\beta)$			Accretion parameters (Mg _{II})			Accretion parameters $(H\beta)$		Accretion parameters (Mg _{II})		
	$logL_{bol,5100}$	$logM_{BH}^{a}$	$log\lambda_E^a$	$logL_{bol,3000}$	$log M_{BH}^{b}$	$\log \lambda_E{}^b$	$logM_{BH}^{a}$	$log\lambda_E^a$	$logM_{BH}^{b}$	$\log \lambda_E$ ^b		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)		
PHL923	45.78	8.56	-0.90	45.80	8.58	-0.89	8.39	-0.73	8.43	-0.74		
B ₂ 0110+29	45.15	8.49	-1.45	44.98	8.26	-1.40	8.21	-1.18	8.15	-1.29		
3C37	45.73	8.73	-1.11	45.66	8.76	-1.21	8.60	-0.98	8.64	-1.10		
PKS 0230-051	46.11	8.89	-0.90	46.10	8.90	-0.92	8.66	-0.66	8.75	-0.77		
3C94	46.57	9.25	-0.74	46.53	9.33	-0.92	9.35	-0.70	9.33	-0.73		
PKS 0420-01	46.49	8.94	-0.57	46.17	8.77	-0.71	8.83	-0.45	8.62	-0.56		
3C179	45.95	8.49	-0.64	45.86	8.71	-0.96	8.22	-0.38	8.54	-0.79		
3C380	46.33	9.13	-0.92	46.18	8.99	-0.93	8.82	-0.60	8.80	-0.73		
S5 1856+73	46.11	8.99	-1.00	45.93	8.99	-1.18	8.92	-0.93	8.84	-1.03		
PKS 2208-137	45.86	8.49	-0.75	45.83	8.69	-0.97	8.43	-0.69	8.56	-0.84		
PKS 2344+09	46.55	8.99	-0.55	46.49	9.16	-0.78	8.87	-0.44	9.01	-0.64		

Table 9. Physical Parameters measurement from the FP (BC + VBC) and BC of HB and Mg_{II} lines.

(a) Estimated from [Vestergaard & Peterson](#page-20-77) [\(2006](#page-20-77)).^(b) Estimated from [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer](#page-20-34) [\(2012](#page-20-34)). Cols. 2 and 5 in ergs s⁻¹. M_{BH} cols. in units of M_☉.

Figure 12. M_{BH} effect on line profile parameters, AI (left), on $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$ (middle and right plots, respectively). Comparison samples were taken from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a\)](#page-20-36) for $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and Marziani et al. (2003a) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) for AI and $c(\frac{1}{4})$. The large red solid circles represent the results from our RL spectra. The horizontal dot-dashed lines trace the symmetry line for zero AI, $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and $c(\frac{1}{4})$.

Figure 13. M_{BH} of Mg_{IIFP} and centroid shift comparison at $c(\frac{1}{2})$ (upper) and at $c(\frac{1}{4})$ (lower) of Mgii. The large red solid circles represent the results from our RL spectra. A comparison sample was taken from the composite spectra of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37)) and the meaning of symbols is as for Fig[.7.](#page-12-3)

and outflows that may result in a net slightly redshifted profile for RQ where winds are stronger (e.g., [Bachev et al. 2004](#page-19-45); [Sulentic et al.](#page-20-6) [2007](#page-20-6); [Richards et al. 2011\)](#page-20-23), and more redshift profiles for RLs, due to less prominent outflows.

5.3 Similarities and differences between RL and RQ quasars

For better visualization of the trends using the main comparison samples as well as the eRk sources, we analyzed the distribution of the velocity centroids at 1/4 based on their population as well as radio type. In addition, we showed the median measurements of the comparison samples in equally-spaced bins by using the semiinterquartile range as an estimate of the sample dispersion. Our eRk quasars are strong radio emitters with $\log R_K > 3$ and very powerful with log $P_v > 33.3$ [ergs s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹], and include some of the highest log R_K values ever observed. Objects with log $R_K \geq 3$ are most likely highly beamed, but they are still expected to be very powerful radio sources as suggested previously by [Cohen et al.](#page-19-46) (2007) (2007) .

A first result of the inter-comparison between RL and RQ sources is their distribution in the optical plane of the MS (see Fig. [4\)](#page-10-0). In that figure, all of the eRk sources show a restricted domain occupation (mostly B1) compared to the RQ majority (taken from [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)). RQ sources are found in both Pop. A and Pop. B and are distributed along the MS in all bins. The RLs, with CD and FR

Figure 14. Dependence of H β c($\frac{1}{2}$) (upper) and c($\frac{1}{4}$) (lower) on log λ _E calculated from the FP. Comparison samples were taken from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) for $c(\frac{1}{2})$ and [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) (2003a) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) for $c(\frac{1}{4})$..

Figure 15. Relation between $c(\frac{1}{4})$ of H β and radioloudness parameter. Sources from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010\)](#page-21-2) were taken as comparison sample. The connected blue solid squares represent the median value of the comparison sample distribution in equally-spaced bins where the vertical bars were computed by using the semi-interquartile range as an estimate of the sample dispersion. The horizontal bins denote, for each bin, the mid-point of the bin interval. The black solid square is the median value for our eRk quasars. The horizontal dot-dashed line traces the symmetric line in $c(\frac{1}{4})$. The vertical lines at 1 and 1.8 mark the nominal RQ-radio intermediate and radio intermediate-RL boundaries [\(Zamfir et al. 2008\)](#page-21-1).

II morphology, show a distribution centered in the region of low $R_{\text{FeII,opt}}$ and broader FWHM. A restricted domain space occupation of Pop. B RL sources is also shown in the previous studies of [Sulentic et al.](#page-20-10) [\(2000a](#page-20-10), [2003](#page-20-31)). Similar considerations apply to the sources that are used to make the composite in [Marziani et al.](#page-20-37) [\(2013](#page-20-37), see their Table 1 for the number of sources in different bins),

Figure [15](#page-17-1) relates the velocity centroid shift, $c(\frac{1}{4})$ of H β to the radio loudness parameter, R_K , for our eRk, and the [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) Pop. B sub-samples, represented in equally-spaced bins of R_K (see also figure in Appendix B of the online supplementary material for the relation with $c(\frac{1}{2})$). The second result is that the Pop. B RL source distribution doesn't show a clear trend with the R_K . However, there is a significant increase in the centroid shift between Pop. B RQ and Pop. B RL: the median $c(\frac{1}{4})$ is \approx 233 km s⁻¹ and 144 km s⁻¹ for Pop. B RQ, and becomes \approx 720 km s⁻¹ and 440 km s⁻¹ for the RL sources of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) samples, respectively. The centroid shift for the eRk sources even reaches 1350 km s⁻¹. The significance of this difference needs to be further investigated in samples with matching $\lambda_{\rm E}$ and $M_{\rm BH}$ distributions, as systematic differences between RL and RQ sources are also found in terms of $M_{\rm BH}$. Considering the two comparison samples from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)) and [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)) and our eRk, and then subdividing the Pop. B quasars only into RLs and RQs, the RQ sources have $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$ log $M_{BH} \approx 8.18 \pm 0.53$ [M_o] and the RL's, $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$ log $M_{\rm BH}$ ≈ 8.98 ± 0.54 [M_☉], a 0.8 dex difference. In addition, considering the λ_{E} , the RQs may be slightly higher accretors compared to the RLs, $\mu_1 \log \lambda_E \approx -1.081 \pm 0.156$ and $\mu_1 \log \lambda_E \approx$ -1.121 \pm 0.224, respectively that become $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$ log $\lambda_{\text{E}} \approx$ -0.785 \pm 0.343 and μ_{\perp} log $\lambda_{\rm E} \approx$ -1.009 \pm 0.348 with a difference of -0.224 dex if a constant optical bolometric correction of 10 is applied. Systematically lower λ_E and larger M_{BH} for Pop. B RL sources have been also found in several past works (e.g., [Boroson 2002](#page-19-35); [Dunlop et al. 2003;](#page-19-47) [McLure & Dunlop 2004](#page-20-95)).

Therefore, it is not necessarily appropriate to correlate radio loudness to a single variable such as $c(\frac{1}{4})$, since other parameters such as λ _E and M_{BH} are expected to play a role [\(Woo & Urry 2002;](#page-21-5) [Körding et al. 2006](#page-19-48)). We need to take this into account if we compare the radio parameters and centroid shifts: the distribution of shifts appears to be slightly dependent on $M_{\rm BH}$, i.e. largest c($\frac{1}{4}$) values occur for the highest M_{BH} (Fig. [12\)](#page-16-2) and lowest λ_E (Fig. [14\)](#page-17-0). To verify whether there is a genuine effect of radio loudness on the $c(\frac{1}{4})$, we considered the samples of [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-2) [\(2010](#page-21-2)), and of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-36) [\(2003a](#page-20-36)), added the 11 RLs of the present work and separated RQ and RL within Pop. B only, where most RL reside. This gave us 169 RQ and 145 RL Pop. B sources, of which 53 are eRk with $log R_K \geq 3$. The c($\frac{1}{4}$) distributions remain different ($P \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$), suggesting that the shift amplitudes are higher in RL than in RQ. However, the $M_{\rm BH}$ distributions of RQ and RL are also markedly different ($P \sim 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ that they are drawn from the same parent populations), with medians differing by $\delta \log M_{\rm BH} \approx 0.35$, while the two $\lambda_{\rm E}$ distributions are similar (Fig. [16\)](#page-19-49).

Bootstrap replications of the RQ Pop. B samples were computed considering only distributions of $M_{\rm BH}$ and $\lambda_{\rm E}$ that were consistent with the ones of RL Pop. B (Fig. [16](#page-19-49) upper right panel). Of the 1000 bootstrap distributions for the RQ Pop. B $c(\frac{1}{4}) \le 85\%$ were different from the RL one by a confidence level more than 2σ . Therefore, within the limitations of our sample, we are unable to detect a highly significant effect of radio loudness on the $c(\frac{1}{4})$ shift amplitude. The majority of the RQ Pop. B bootstrapped distributions of $c(\frac{1}{4})$ are largely overlapping with the RL one. The analysis thus confirms the statement of [Marziani et al.](#page-20-96) [\(2003c\)](#page-20-96) that the RQ and RL show similar velocity shift amplitudes, with the most extreme values (the ones attracting more attention) occurring for RLs.

Extreme RL sources, i.e. the 53 Pop. B sources with $log R_K \geq 3$, have a larger $c(\frac{1}{4})$ shift with respect to the full population of RL, with distributions that are different at an even higher significance $(P \sim 10^{-6})$. We repeat the bootstrap analysis matching the RQ M_{BH} and $\lambda_{\rm E}$ distributions to the ones of the extreme RLs, and in this case, the difference at a 2σ confidence level is highly significant: less than 1 out of 1000 RQ $c(\frac{1}{4})$ resampled distributions are statistically indistinguishable from the extreme RL one. The extreme RL sources also show $c(\frac{1}{4})$ in excess with respect to the RL sources with $1.8 \le$ $log R_K$ < 3, and the 2σ excess is still confirmed at a high confidence level. Therefore, the significance of the difference between RQ and RL sources is strongly dependent on sample biases, as it is mainly driven by the fraction of sources with high Kellermann ratio.

Equally important is not to forget the similarity between RQ and RL Pop. B sources. The same line profile phenomenology is observed in both classes, provided that a restriction to Pop. B is done for RQ quasars: RLs appear more extreme but with a large overlap in the line profile parameter distribution with RQs. This indicates that there could be only a quantitative effect on the BLR associated with the jet, without inducing any strong structural or dynamical change. Investigations that are beyond the scope of the present paper are needed to ascertain the physical origins of the excess $c(\frac{1}{4})$ shift in the most powerful RL.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This work presented new long-slit simultaneous near-UV and optical spectra of 11 relativistically jetted quasars selected on the basis of their extreme radio emission (log $R_K > 3$, the eRk sources) with redshifts $0.35 \le z \le 1$, and studied their spectroscopic properties by using the optical projection of the four-dimensional eigenvector 1 (4DE1) parameter space i.e., the so-called quasar main sequence (MS). Our analysis focused mainly on the spectral fitting of the strongest emission lines recorded on our spectra, MgII, and $H\beta$, by using specfit routine within the IRAF package. We aimed to quantify broad emission line differences between radio-loud (RL) and radio-quiet (RQ) quasars, paying special attention to the quasars with extreme radio emission.

The main findings that we draw from this study are:

• The eRk quasars presented in this paper occupy a much more restricted domain in the optical plane (∼ bin B1) compared to the RQ sources of the comparison samples. This confirms the result of [Zamfir et al.](#page-21-1) [\(2008\)](#page-21-1) who found that powerful jetted sources tend to occupy mainly the Pop. B region of the MS.

• The UV plane formed from FWHM of MgII and F_{EII} looks similar to the optical plane. As in the optical plane, our quasars occupy a more restricted domain than the full quasar population, with low $R_{\text{FeII,UV}}$. There is no correlation between the EW of Fe H_{UV} and Fe u_{opt} in our eRk sample.

• The FWHM of Mgii is systematically narrower than FWHM $H\beta$ by about 10%. This holds for both the full profile (BC+VBC) and if only the broad component is considered for our eRk sample, a result consistent with previous studies.

• Both $H\beta$ and MgII lines show profile shifts and asymmetries towards the red. The centroid shift of the line base $c(\frac{1}{4})$, as well as the asymmetry index, are larger in $H\beta$ than MgII.

• H β and MgII appear to be provide consistent virial M_{BH} estimates. The eRk quasars of this paper lie within the range of $log M_{BH}$ = 8.49 – 9.25[M_☉] and 8.26 – 9.33[M_☉] when using H β _{FP} and Mg_{IIFP}, respectively. The resulting $log \lambda_E$ has a range of [-1.65, -0.42] and [-1.40,-0.71].

• Joining the sources studied in this work with comparison samples, we find that the distribution of shifts appears to be slightly dependent on $M_{\rm BH}$ in which larger c($\frac{1}{4}$) values occur for the highest $M_{\rm BH}$ and lowest $\lambda_{\rm E}$ values. A possible explanation is offered by a combination of outflow and infall (or gravitational redshift) contributing to blueshifted and redshifted excesses, respectively, with the outflow component being minimal in the RL $H\beta$ and MgII profiles.

• There is a trend between the velocity shifts, stronger for $c(\frac{1}{4})$, and R_K : Pop. B RL quasars tend to have larger velocity shifts to the red than RQs. The difference is found to be only marginally significant if the RQ and RL M_{BH} and λ_{E} distributions are matched. However, the difference becomes highly significant if the comparison is carried between eRk and RQ Pop. B AGN.

There is apparently no evidence of outflow in the broad line profiles of our eRk sources. The only evidence is provided by a slight asymmetry of [O III]. Further observations of HILs such as CIv λ 1549 would be needed to assess the extent of any mildly ionized outflow origination from the accretion disk.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions that helped us to significantly improve the paper. STM acknowledges the support from Jimma University under the Ministry of Science and Higher Education. STM and MP acknowledge financial support from the Space Science and Geospatial Institute (SSGI) under the Ethiopian Ministry of Innovation and Technology (MInT). STM, ADO, and MP acknowledge financial support through the grant CSIC I-COOP+2020, COOPA20447. STM especially acknowledges the IAA for all the support received during the two stays. ADO, MP, JP, PM, and IM acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación - Agencia Estatal de Investigación through projects PID2019-106027GB-C41 and PID2019–106027GB–C43, and from the Severo Ochoa grants SEV-2017-0709 and CEX2021-001131-S funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033. MAMC has been supported by the Spanish Research project PID 2021-122961NB-IOO.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This work used original data observed by the group using the Cassegrain TWIN spectrograph of the 3.5m telescope at the Calar Alto Observatory (CAHA) (Almería, Spain)^{[7](#page-18-5)} and present new optical and near-UV spectra of 11 powerful jetted quasars. In support of this study, the additional data used in this article were obtained from the public sources cited in the article (or references therein).

REFERENCES

Abramowicz M. A., Straub O., 2014, [Scholarpedia,](http://dx.doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.2408) [9, 2408](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014SchpJ...9.2408A) Antonucci R., 1993, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.31.090193.002353) [31, 473](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993ARA&A..31..473A)

Antonucci R., 2012, Astronomical and Astrophysical Transactions, [27, 557](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A&AT...27..557A) Bañados E., et al., 2018, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature25180) [553, 473](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Natur.553..473B)

 7 <http://www.caha.es/>

Figure 16. Results of the bootstrap analysis. Left panels, from top to bottom: distributions of M_{BH} , $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, and H β c($\frac{1}{4}$) for the RQ (blue), RL (black shaded), and extreme RL (log $R_K \geq 3$, magenta). Right panels: comparison between H β c($\frac{1}{4}$) resampled distributions matching M_{BH} and λ_E and original distributions. Top: RL vs RQ bootstrap replications; middle: extreme RL vs RQ bootstrap; bottom: extreme RL vs. rest of RL i.e., objects with $1.8 \le \log R_{K}$ < 3. Vertical dot dashed lines indicate medians.

- Bachev R., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zamanov R., Calvani M., Dultzin-
- Hacyan D., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/425210) [617, 171](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...617..171B)
- Bao D.-W., et al., 2022, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac7beb) [262, 14](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJS..262...14B)
- Barth A. J., et al., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/769/2/128) [769, 128](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...769..128B)
- Becker R. H., White R. L., Helfand D. J., 1995, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176166) [450, 559](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...450..559B)
- Blandford R., Meier D., Readhead A., 2019, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051948) [57, 467](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ARA&A..57..467B)
- Blundell K. M., Beasley A. J., Bicknell G. V., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377295) [591, L103](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...591L.103B)
- Bon N., Bon E., Marziani P., Jovanović P., 2015, [Ap&SS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10509-015-2555-5) [360, 7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015Ap&SS.360...41B)
- Bon N., Marziani P., Bon E., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., del Olmo A., D'Onofrio M., Martínez-Aldama M. L., 2020, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936773) [635, A151](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020A&A...635A.151B)
- Boroson T. A., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324486) [565, 78](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...565...78B)
- Boroson T. A., Green R. F., 1992, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/191661) [80, 109](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1992ApJS...80..109B)
- Bruhweiler F., Verner E., 2008, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/525557) [675, 83](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...675...83B)
- Buttiglione S., Capetti A., Celotti A., Axon D. J., Chiaberge M., Macchetto F. D., Sparks W. B., 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913290) [509, A6](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...509A...6B)
- Calderone G., Nicastro L., Ghisellini G., Dotti M., Sbarrato T., Shankar F., Colpi M., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2239) [472, 4051](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.472.4051C)
- Chakraborty A., Bhattacharjee A., Brotherton M. S., Chatterjee R., Chatterjee S., Gilbert M., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2398) [516, 2824](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.516.2824C)
- Cirasuolo M., Magliocchetti M., Celotti A., Danese L., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06485.x) [341, 993](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.341..993C)
- Cohen M. H., Lister M. L., Homan D. C., Kadler M., Kellermann K. I., Kovalev Y. Y., Vermeulen R. C., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/511063) [658, 232](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...658..232C)
- Collin-Souffrin S., Dyson J. E., McDowell J. C., Perry J. J., 1988, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/232.3.539) [232, 539](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1988MNRAS.232..539C)
- Collin S., Kawaguchi T., Peterson B. M., Vestergaard M., 2006, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20064878) [456, 75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006A&A...456...75C)
- Condon J. J., Cotton W. D., Greisen E. W., Yin Q. F., Perley R. A., Taylor G. B., Broderick J. J., 1998, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/300337) [115, 1693](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998AJ....115.1693C)
- Corbin M. R., 1997, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/313058) [113, 245](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1997ApJS..113..245C)
- Coziol R., Andernach H., Torres-Papaqui J. P., Ortega-Minakata R. A., Moreno del Rio F., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3164) [466, 921](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.466..921C)
- Davis S. W., Tchekhovskoy A., 2020, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051905) [58, 407](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020ARA&A..58..407D)
- Deconto-Machado A., del Olmo A., Marziani P., Perea J., Stirpe G., 2022, [Astronomische Nachrichten,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/asna.20210084) [343, e210084](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022AN....34310084D)
- Dimitrijević M. S., Popović L. Č., Kovačević J., Dačić M., Ilić D., 2007, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.11238.x) [374, 1181](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007MNRAS.374.1181D)
- Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., Kukula M. J., Baum S. A., O'Dea C. P., Hughes

D. H., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06333.x) [340, 1095](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.340.1095D)

- Fraix-Burnet D., Marziani P., D'Onofrio M., Dultzin D., 2017, [Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2017.00001) [4, 1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017FrASS...4....1F)
- Fromerth M. J., Melia F., 2001, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/319037) [549, 205](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001ApJ...549..205F)
- Gallimore J. F., Axon D. J., O'Dea C. P., Baum S. A., Pedlar A., 2006, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/504593) [132, 546](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AJ....132..546G)
- Ganci V., Marziani P., D'Onofrio M., del Olmo A., Bon E., Bon N., Negrete C. A., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936270) [630, A110](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...630A.110G)
- Gaskell C. M., 1982, Lick Observatory Bulletin, [927, 1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1982LicOB.927....1G)
- Gaskell C. M., Anderson F. C., Birmingham S. Á., Ghosh S., 2022, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:2208.11437](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022arXiv220811437G)
- Giustini M., Proga D., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833810) [630, A94](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...630A..94G)
- Gürkan G., et al., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1502) [452, 3776](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.452.3776G)
- Hao H., et al., 2014, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:1408.1090](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014arXiv1408.1090H)
- Hartley P., Jackson N., Sluse D., Stacey H. R., Vives-Arias H., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz510) [485, 3009](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.485.3009H)
- Hernitschek N., Schlafly E. F., Sesar B., Rix H. W., Hogg D. W., Ivezic Z., Grebel E. K., 2016, CoKon, [105, 85](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016CoKon.105...85H)
- Ho L. C., 2008, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.45.051806.110546) [46, 475](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ARA&A..46..475H)
- Ho L. C., Kim M., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/2/123) [809, 123](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...809..123H)
- Jin C., Done C., Ward M., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx718) [468, 3663](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.468.3663J)
- Kaspi S., Smith P. S., Netzer H., Maoz D., Jannuzi B. T., Giveon U., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/308704) [533, 631](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...533..631K)
- Kellermann K. I., Sramek R., Schmidt M., Shaffer D. B., Green R., 1989, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/115207) [98, 1195](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989AJ.....98.1195K)
- Kellermann K. I., Condon J. J., Kimball A. E., Perley R. A., Ivezić Ž., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/168) [831, 168](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...831..168K)
- Khajenabi F., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2193) [446, 1848](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.446.1848K)
- Komossa S., Xu D., Zhou H., Storchi-Bergmann T., Binette L., 2008, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/587932) [680, 926](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...680..926K)
- Körding E. G., Jester S., Fender R., 2006, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10954.x) [372, 1366](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006MNRAS.372.1366K)
- Kovačević-Dojčinović J., Popović L. Č., 2015, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/221/2/35) [221, 35](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJS..221...35K)
- Kovačević-Dojčinović J., Marčeta-Mandić S., Popović L. Č., 2017, [Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2017.00007) [4, 7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017FrASS...4....7K)
- Kovačević-Dojčinović J., Dojčinović I., Lakićević M., Popović L. Č., 2022, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141043) [659, A130](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...659A.130K)
- Kovačević J., Popović L. Č., Dimitrijević M. S., 2010, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/189/1/15) [189, 15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJS..189...15K)
- Kovačević J., Popović L. Č., Kollatschny W., 2014, [Advances in Space Research,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2013.11.035) [54, 1347](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014AdSpR..54.1347K)
- Kriss G., 1994, in Crabtree D. R., Hanisch R. J., Barnes J., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 61, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems III. p. 437
- Kuźmicz A., Sethi S., Jamrozy M., 2021, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac27ad) [922, 52](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021ApJ...922...52K)
- Lagos C. D. P., Padilla N. D., Cora S. A., 2009, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14451.x) [395, 625](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009MNRAS.395..625L)
- Lapi A., Shankar F., Mao J., Granato G. L., Silva L., De Zotti G., Danese L., 2006, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/507122) [650, 42](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJ...650...42L)
- Levenberg K., 1944, QApMa, 2, 164
- Malkan M. A., Oke J. B., 1983, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/160656) [265, 92](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1983ApJ...265...92M)
- Marconi A., Hunt L. K., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/375804) [589, L21](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...589L..21M)
- Marconi A., Axon D. J., Maiolino R., Nagao T., Pietrini P., Risaliti G., Robinson A., Torricelli G., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/L103) [698, L103](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...698L.103M)
- Marinello M., Rodríguez-Ardila A., Marziani P., Sigut A., Pradhan A., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa934) [494, 4187](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.494.4187M)
- Martínez-Aldama M. L., Dultzin D., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Bressan A., Chen Y., Stirpe G. M., 2015, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/217/1/3) [217, 3](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJS..217....3M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., 2012a, [The Astronomical Review,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21672857.2012.11519710) [7, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012AstRv...7d..33M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., 2012b, [New Astron. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2011.09.001) [56, 49](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012NewAR..56...49M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Calvani M., 2001, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/322286) [558, 553](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001ApJ...558..553M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zamanov R., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Bachev R., Zwitter T., 2003a, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/346025) [145, 199](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJS..145..199M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J., Zamanov R., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Bachev R., Zwitter T., 2003b, ApJS, 145, 199
- Marziani P., Zamanov R. K., Sulentic J. W., Calvani M., 2003c, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07033.x) [345, 1133](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.345.1133M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Stirpe G. M., Zamfir S., Calvani M., 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810764) [495, 83](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...495...83M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., Zamfir S., Bachev R., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17357.x) [409, 1033](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.409.1033M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Plauchu-Frayn I., del Olmo A., 2013, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321374) [555, A89](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...555A..89M)
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Stirpe G. M., Dultzin D., Del Olmo A., Martínez-Carballo M. A., 2016, [Ap&SS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10509-015-2590-2) [361, 3](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016Ap&SS.361....3M)
- Marziani P., et al., 2018, [Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2018.00006) [5, 6](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018FrASS...5....6M)
- Marziani P., Berton M., Panda S., Bon E., 2021, [Universe,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/universe7120484) [7, 484](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021Univ....7..484M)
- Marziani P., Panda S., Deconto Machado A., Del Olmo A., 2023, [Galaxies,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/galaxies11020052) [11, 52](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023Galax..11...52M)
- Mathews W. G., 1993, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/186929) [412, L17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993ApJ...412L..17M)
- Mathews W. G., Ferland G. J., 1987, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/165843) [323, 456](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1987ApJ...323..456M)
- Mathur S., Kuraszkiewicz J., Czerny B., 2001, [New Astron.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1384-1076(01)00058-6) [6, 321](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001NewA....6..321M)
- McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., 2004, in Mújica R., Maiolino R., eds, Multiwavelength AGN Surveys. pp 389–392, [doi:10.1142/9789812702432_0093](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/9789812702432_0093)
- McLure R. J., Jarvis M. J., 2002, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05871.x) [337, 109](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002MNRAS.337..109M)
- McLure R. J., Jarvis M. J., Targett T. A., Dunlop J. S., Best P. N., 2006, [New Astron. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2006.06.010) [50, 782](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006NewAR..50..782M)
- Middelberg E., et al., 2004, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20040019) [417, 925](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004A&A...417..925M)
- Netzer H., 1977, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/181.1.89P) [181, 89](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1977MNRAS.181P..89N)
- Netzer H., 2015, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122302) [53, 365](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ARA&A..53..365N)
- Netzer H., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2016) [488, 5185](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.488.5185N)
- Netzer H., Marziani P., 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/724/1/318) [724, 318](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...724..318N)
- Osterbrock D. E., 1988, in Miller H. R., Wiita P. J., eds, , Vol. 307, Active Galactic Nuclei. p. 1, [doi:10.1007/3-540-19492-4_159](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-19492-4_159)
- Osterbrock D. E., Mathews W. G., 1986, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.24.090186.001131) [24, 171](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986ARA&A..24..171O)
- Osterbrock D. E., Pogge R. W., 1985, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/163513) [297, 166](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1985ApJ...297..166O)
- Padovani P., et al., 2017, [A&ARv,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00159-017-0102-9) [25, 2](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A&ARv..25....2P)
- Panda S., Czerny B., Done C., Kubota A., 2019a, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab11cb) [875, 133](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...875..133P)
- Panda S., Marziani P., Czerny B., 2019c, ApJ, 882, 79
- Panda S., Marziani P., Czerny B., 2019b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3292) [882, 79](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...882...79P)
- Panessa F., Baldi R. D., Laor A., Padovani P., Behar E., McHardy I., 2019, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0765-4) [3, 387](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019NatAs...3..387P)
- Penston M. V., Croft S., Basu D., Fuller N., 1990, MNRAS, [244, 357](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1990MNRAS.244..357P)
- Peterson B. M., 1997, An Introduction to Active Galactic Nuclei
- Peterson B. M., Wandel A., 1999, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/312190) [521, L95](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999ApJ...521L..95P)
- Peterson B. M., Wandel A., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/312862) [540, L13](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...540L..13P)
- Plavin A. V., Kovalev Y. Y., Pushkarev A. B., 2022, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac6352) [260, 4](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJS..260....4P)
- Popović L. Č., Kovačević J., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/738/1/68) [738, 68](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...738...68P)
- Popović L. Č., Smirnova A. A., Kovačević J., Moiseev A. V., Afanasiev V. L., 2009, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/137/3/3548) [137, 3548](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009AJ....137.3548P)
- Popović L. Č., Kovačević-Dojčinović J., Marčeta-Mandić S., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz157) [484, 3180](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.484.3180P)
- Punsly B., Marziani P., Berton M., Kharb P., 2020, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abb950) [903, 44](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020ApJ...903...44P)
- Rakić N., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2259) [516, 1624](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.516.1624R)
- Rakić N., La Mura G., Ilić D., Shapovalova A. I., Kollatschny W., Rafanelli P., Popović L. Č., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630085) [603, A49](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A&A...603A..49R)
- Rees M. J., 1984, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.22.090184.002351) [22, 471](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1984ARA&A..22..471R)
- Richards G. T., et al., 2011, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/141/5/167) [141, 167](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011AJ....141..167R)
- Rokaki E., Lawrence A., Economou F., Mastichiadis A., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06414.x) [340, 1298](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.340.1298R)
- Sbarrato T., Ghisellini G., Giovannini G., Giroletti M., 2021, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141827) [655, A95](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021A&A...655A..95S)
- Shankar F., Dai X., Sivakoff G. R., 2008, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/591488) [687, 859](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...687..859S)
- Shapovalova A. I., et al., 2012, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/202/1/10) [202, 10](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJS..202...10S)
- Shen Y., 2013, Bulletin of the Astronomical Society of India, [41, 61](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013BASI...41...61S)
- Shen Y., Ho L. C., 2014, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13712) [513, 210](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014Natur.513..210S)
- Shen Y., Liu X., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/2/125) [753, 125](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...753..125S)
- Shen Y., et al., 2011, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/194/2/45) [194, 45](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJS..194...45S)
- Shields G. A., 1978, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/272706a0) [272, 706](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1978Natur.272..706S)
- Sigut T. A. A., Pradhan A. K., 2003, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/345498) [145, 15](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJS..145...15S)
- Sikora M., Stawarz Ł., Lasota J.-P., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/511972) [658, 815](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...658..815S)
- Snedden S. A., Gaskell C. M., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/521290) [669, 126](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...669..126S) Śniegowska M., Czerny B., You B., Panda S., Wang J. M., Hryniewicz K.,
- Wildy C., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730433) [613, A38](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018A&A...613A..38S)
- Śniegowska M., Kozłowski S., Czerny B., Panda S., Hryniewicz K., 2020, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba620) [900, 64](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020ApJ...900...64S)
- Śniegowska M., Marziani P., Czerny B., Panda S., Martínez-Aldama M. L., del Olmo A., D'Onofrio M., 2021, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abe1c8) [910, 115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021ApJ...910..115S)

Strateva I. V., et al., 2003, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/378367) [126, 1720](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003AJ....126.1720S)

- Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000a, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.38.1.521) [38, 521](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ARA&A..38..521S)
- Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/312717) [536, L5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...536L...5S)
- Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Zwitter T., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Calvani M., 2000c, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317330) [545, L15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...545L..15S)
- Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Zamanov R., Bachev R., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339594) [566, L71](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...566L..71S)
- Sulentic J. W., Zamfir S., Marziani P., Bachev R., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/379754) [597, L17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...597L..17S)
- Sulentic J. W., Bachev R., Marziani P., Negrete C. A., Dultzin D., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/519916) [666, 757](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...666..757S)
- Sulentic J., Marziani P., Zamfir S., 2011, [Baltic Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/astro-2017-0314) [20, 427](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011BaltA..20..427S)

Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., D'Onofrio M., 2012, in D'Onofrio M., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., eds, Astrophysics and Space Science Library Vol. 386, Fifty Years of Quasars: From Early Observations and Ideas to Future Research. p. 549, [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-27564-7_9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-27564-7_9)

- Sulentic J. W., et al., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630309) [608, A122](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A&A...608A.122S)
- Tchekhovskoy A., McKinney J. C., Narayan R., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1789) [699, 1789](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...699.1789T)
- Tody D., 1986, in Crawford D. L., ed., Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 627, Instrumentation in astronomy VI. p. 733, [doi:10.1117/12.968154](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.968154)
- Trakhtenbrot B., Netzer H., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22056.x) [427, 3081](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.427.3081T)
- Ulvestad J. S., Roy A. L., Colbert E. J. M., Wilson A. S., 1998, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305382) [496, 196](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998ApJ...496..196U)
- Ulvestad J. S., Antonucci R. R. J., Barvainis R., 2005, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427426) [621, 123](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...621..123U)
- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/133630) [107, 803](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995PASP..107..803U)
- Vanden Berk D. E., et al., 2001, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/321167) [122, 549](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001AJ....122..549V)
- Véron-Cetty M. P., Véron P., 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014188) [518, A10](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...518A..10V)
- Vestergaard M., Osmer P. S., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/1/800) [699, 800](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...699..800V)
- Vestergaard M., Peterson B. M., 2006, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/500572) [641, 689](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJ...641..689V)
- Vietri G., et al., 2020, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039136) [644, A175](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020A&A...644A.175V)
- Vollmer B., et al., 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913460) [511, A53](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...511A..53V)
- Wang J.-G., et al., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/1334) [707, 1334](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...707.1334W)
- Wang J.-M., Du P., Brotherton M. S., Hu C., Songsheng Y.-Y., Li Y.-R., Shi Y., Zhang Z.-X., 2017, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-017-0264-4) [1, 775](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017NatAs...1..775W)
- White R. L., Becker R. H., Helfand D. J., 2005, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/431249) [130, 586](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005AJ....130..586W)
- Wills B. J., Browne I. W. A., 1986, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/163973) [302, 56](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986ApJ...302...56W)
- Wolf J., et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa018) [492, 3580](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.492.3580W)

22 *S. Terefe et al.*

- Woo J.-H., Urry C. M., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/342878) [579, 530](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...579..530W)
- Xu C., Livio M., Baum S., 1999, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/301007) [118, 1169](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999AJ....118.1169X)
- Zamanov R., Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Calvani M., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Bachev R., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/342783) [576, L9](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...576L...9Z)
- Zamfir S., Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., 2008, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13290.x) [387, 856](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008MNRAS.387..856Z)
- Zamfir S., Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin D., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16236.x) [403, 1759](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.403.1759Z)
- Zhang K., Dong X.-B., Wang T.-G., Gaskell C. M., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/737/2/71) [737, 71](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...737...71Z)

APPENDIX A: RADIO INFORMATION AND OVERLAY WITH OPTICAL PAN-STARRS IMAGES.

The figures show the images we used for the radio morphology determination. We considered seven out of 11 RL sources that have FIRST cutout image (5 sources) as well as two sources (B2 0110+29 and S5 1856+73) in which our classification is based on NVSS and an overlay with the optical Pan-STARRS.

Figure A1. FIRST cutout image in arcmin (**left**) and an overlay of FIRST cutout image on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) of the source **PHL 923, (00 59 05.5148 +00 06 51.621).**

Figure A2. NVSS contour map (**left**) and an overlay of NVSS contour map on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) of **B2 0110+29, (01 13 24.200 +29 58 15.00)**

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.

.

Figure A3. FIRST cutout image obtained with maximum scaling to be 1000 mJy (**left**) and an overlay of FIRST cutout image on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) for the source **3C 37, (01 18 18.489 +02 58 05.97).**

Figure A4. FIRST cutout image obtained with maximum scaling to be 1000 mJy to show the separate components (**left**) and an overlay of FIRST cutout on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) for the source **PKS 0230-051, (02 33 22.18 -04 55 06.8.)**

Figure A5. FIRST cutout image obtained with maximum scaling to be 1000 mJy to show the separate components (**left**) and an overlay of FIRST cutout on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) for the source **3C 94, (03 52 30.552 -07 11 02.32).**

Figure A6. NVSS contour map (**left**) and an overlay of NVSS contour map on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) of the source **PKS 2208-137, (22 11 24.0994 -13 28 09.723).**

Figure A7. FIRST cutout image obtained with maximum scaling to be 1000 mJy to show the separate components (**left**) and an overlay of FIRST cutout on the Optical Pan-STARRS image (**right**) for the source **PKS 2344+09, (23 46 36.8385 +09 30 45.515)**

.

APPENDIX B: DISTRIBUTION OF MEDIAN MEASUREMENT FOR CENTROID SHIFT AND RADIO LOUDNESS PARAMETER

The distribution of median measurement in equal bin intervals by using the semi-interquartile range as an estimate of the sample dispersion for the centroid velocity shift at half fractional intensity and the radio loudness parameter of the sources from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-97) [\(2003b\)](#page-20-97) and our eRK quasars is shown below.

Figure B1. Relation between H β c($\frac{1}{2}$) with the logarithm of radio loudness parameter that indicates the median distribution to show how the trend is with the comparison sample in which Pop.A and Pop.B are shown from [Marziani et al.](#page-20-97) [\(2003b\)](#page-20-97). The semi-interquartile range was used as an error bar. The solid red circle represents the median result from our RL spectra with $H\beta$ profile parameter. The horizontal dot dashed line marks the symmetric line in $c(1/2)$. The vertical lines at 1 and 1.8 mark the nominal RQ-radio intermediate and radio intermediate-RL boundaries [\(Kellermann et al. 1989;](#page-19-4) [Zamfir et al. 2008\)](#page-21-1).