

# Star Formation Shut Down by Multiphase Gas Outflow in a Galaxy at a Redshift of 2.45

Sirio Belli<sup>1</sup>, Minjung Park<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca L. Davies<sup>3,4</sup>, J. Trevor Mendel<sup>5,4</sup>, Benjamin D. Johnson<sup>2</sup>, Charlie Conroy<sup>2</sup>, Chloë Benton<sup>6</sup>, Letizia Bugiani<sup>1</sup>, Razieh Emami<sup>2</sup>, Joel Leja<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Yijia Li<sup>7,8</sup>, Gabriel Maheson<sup>10,11</sup>, Elijah P. Mathews<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Rohan P. Naidu<sup>12</sup>, Erica J. Nelson<sup>6</sup>, Sandro Tacchella<sup>10,11</sup>, Bryan A. Terrazas<sup>13</sup>, Rainer Weinberger<sup>14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, Cambridge, MA, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria, Australia.

<sup>4</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for All Sky Astrophysics in 3 Dimensions (ASTRO 3D), Australia.

<sup>5</sup>Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

<sup>6</sup>Department for Astrophysical and Planetary Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA.

<sup>8</sup>Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA.

<sup>9</sup>Institute for Computational & Data Sciences, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA.

<sup>10</sup>Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

<sup>11</sup>Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

<sup>12</sup>MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Cambridge, MA, USA.

<sup>13</sup>Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.

<sup>14</sup>Leibniz Institute for Astrophysics, Potsdam, Germany.

## Abstract

Large-scale outflows driven by supermassive black holes are thought to play a fundamental role in suppressing star formation in massive galaxies. However, direct observational evidence for this hypothesis is still lacking, particularly in the young universe where star formation quenching is remarkably rapid [1–3], thus requiring effective removal of gas [4] as opposed to slow gas heating [5, 6]. While outflows of ionized gas are commonly detected in massive distant galaxies [7], the amount of ejected mass is too small to be able to suppress star formation [8, 9]. Gas ejection is expected to be more efficient in the neutral and molecular phases [10], but at high redshift these have only been observed in starbursts and quasars [11, 12]. Here we report JWST spectroscopy of a massive galaxy experiencing rapid quenching at redshift  $z=2.445$ . We detect a weak outflow of ionized gas and a powerful outflow of neutral gas, with a mass outflow rate that is sufficient to quench the star formation. Neither X-ray or radio activity are detected; however, the presence of a supermassive black hole is suggested by the properties of the ionized gas emission lines. We thus conclude that supermassive black holes are able to rapidly suppress star formation in massive galaxies by efficiently ejecting neutral gas.

We observed the galaxy COSMOS-11142 as part of the Blue Jay survey, a Cycle-1 JWST program that targeted about 150 galaxies uniformly

distributed in redshift  $z$  ( $1.7 < z < 3.5$ ) and stellar mass  $M_*$  ( $\log M_*/M_\odot > 9$ ). COSMOS-11142 is among the most massive targets, with

$\log M_*/M_\odot = 10.9$ , and is one of the 17 objects that are classified as quiescent according to the rest-frame  $UVJ$  colors [13]. This is confirmed by the JWST/NIRSpec spectrum, shown in Figure 1, which covers the rest-frame range from 3000 Å to 1.4 μm and features several stellar absorption lines and relatively weak emission lines from ionized gas.

We fit stellar population models to the observed spectroscopy together with the photometry, which covers a wider wavelength range from the ultraviolet to the mid-infrared. The best-fit spectral model is shown in red in Figure 1, and includes stellar light, absorption by dust, and re-emission by dust at longer wavelengths, but does not include the contribution of gas. By analyzing the difference between the data and the model we detect several emission lines due to warm ionized gas: [O II], [Ne III],  $H\beta$ , [O III],  $H\alpha$ , [N II], [S II], [S III], He I. We also detect three absorption lines, Ca II K, Ca II H, Na I D, which are not correctly reproduced by the stellar model. Unlike the other absorption lines visible in the spectrum, these three are resonant lines, meaning that they can be produced both by stars and by intervening gas, because they involve transitions out of the atomic ground level. The detection of extra absorption in these lines is thus revealing the presence of cold gas. Since the energy required to ionize Na I and Ca II (5.1 and 11.9 eV respectively) is smaller than that required to ionize hydrogen (13.6 eV), these lines probe gas in the neutral atomic phase (i.e., where hydrogen atoms are neutral).


We fit a Gaussian profile to each gas emission and absorption line, obtaining a measure of their flux, line width  $\sigma$ , and velocity offset  $\Delta v$  with respect to the systemic velocity of the galaxy. We show a selection of lines in the left column of Figure 2: a remarkable diversity of kinematics is apparent, with a wide range of measured line widths and velocity offsets. The presence of blueshifted lines, both in absorption and in emission, with velocity offsets of hundreds of km/s is the unmistakable sign of a gas outflow.

We use a simple model of a biconical outflow, shown in the right column of Figure 2, to qualitatively explain the kinematics of all the observed lines. The five rows of the figure illustrate five different types of lines, classified according to their kinematics, which we discuss here from top to bottom. 1) Low-ionization lines such as [O II] have kinematics in agreement with those of the stellar population (i.e.,  $\Delta v \sim 0$  km/s and  $\sigma \sim 300$  km/s), suggesting that they originate in the galaxy and

not in the outflow. 2) The neutral absorption lines Na I D and Ca II K are significantly blueshifted with  $\Delta v \sim -200$  km/s, and are therefore tracing the foreground gas that is in the approaching side of the outflow. Since this gas must remain neutral, it is likely farther out compared to the ionized gas, and we assume it has the shape of a thin shell. 3) Emission lines with a relatively high ionization energy, such as [O III], are also blueshifted ( $\Delta v \sim -200$  to  $-400$  km/s), and are thus likely to originate in the approaching side of the outflow. 4) A special case is [S III], which is also a high-ionization emission line but is observed to be at roughly systemic velocity ( $\Delta v \sim 0$  km/s) and with a line width that is too broad to be produced by the gas in the galaxy ( $\sigma \sim 600$  km/s); this emission is likely tracing both the approaching and the receding side of the outflow. The difference with the other high-ionization emission lines is due to the redder rest-frame wavelength of [S III], which makes it less prone to dust attenuation and allows us to see the full velocity distribution. The [O III] emission is thus blueshifted not because the outflow is asymmetric, but because its far, redshifted side is hidden by dust attenuation. 5) Finally, He I is the only emission line that is redshifted ( $\Delta v \sim +400$  km/s) compared to the systemic velocity of the galaxy, implying that we are seeing the receding side of the outflow but not the approaching side. This peculiar behavior (often seen for  $Ly\alpha$ ) is due to resonant scattering, because the He I transition involves a meta-stable state and can therefore be self-absorbed. Since the meta-stable level can only be populated via recombination, the He I line traces the ionized gas. The overall picture emerging from the observations is that of an outflow that is present both on the foreground and on the background of the galaxy: this could be either a biconical or a spherical outflow.

From the observed line profiles we derive high outflow velocities for the ionized gas, reaching up to  $\sim 1700$  km/s in the case of [O III], which strongly suggests the outflow is driven by an active galactic nucleus (AGN). The presence of an AGN is confirmed by the high [N II]/ $H\alpha$  and [O III]/ $H\beta$  line ratios [14].

Following standard modeling for the ionized [15, 16] and neutral [17] phases we measure  $M_{\text{out}}$ , the mass of gas in the outflow. The derived outflow masses are particularly sensitive to the assumptions made in the derivation, such as the electron number density (for the ionized phase) and the dust depletion together with the opening angle (for the neutral phase; see Methods for details).




**Figure 1: JWST/NIRSpec spectrum of COSMOS-11142.** The best-fit model, which includes the contribution of stars and dust, is shown in red. Data and model are inverse-variance smoothed using a 2-pixel window. Important absorption lines due to hydrogen (violet) and metals (black), and emission lines due to ionized gas (blue) are marked. The discrepancy between the stellar model and the data reveals the presence of substantial neutral gas (absorption by Ca II and Na I) and ionized gas (emission by O II, O III, N II, and other species).


The uncertainties are therefore dominated by systematics, which we estimate to be about 0.7 dex for both the ionized and the neutral outflow mass. Dust depletion is particularly uncertain for calcium, and so we can use the Ca II K line only to derive a lower limit on the outflow mass. The resulting outflow velocities and masses are shown in Figure 3a. The ionized outflow masses derived from four different emission lines are in remarkable agreement, which validates some of the assumptions made in the modeling. Moreover, we find that the neutral outflow is slower but has a substantially larger mass, in qualitative agreement with observations of local galaxies [18].

Knowing the outflow mass and velocity allows us to calculate the mass outflow rate,  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} =$

$\dot{M}_{\text{out}} \cdot v_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{out}}$ , where  $R_{\text{out}}$  is the size of the outflow. We estimate  $R_{\text{out}} \sim 3$  kpc from the NIRSpec data, in which we are able to spatially resolve the blueshifted [O III] emission. We then find  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} \sim 35 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  for the neutral outflow and  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} \sim 1 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  for the ionized outflow. The ratio between the two phases is large, but within the range measured in local outflows [18–22]. However, the mass outflow rate of COSMOS-11142 is an order of magnitude larger than the typical values measured in local star-forming galaxies [23, 24]. At high redshift, measurements of neutral outflows from Na I D absorption have only been obtained for a few quasars [25, 26], but observations of UV or sub-millimeter lines tracing neutral gas reveal



**Figure 2: Kinematics of gas emission and absorption lines.** Each row illustrates a different kinematic component of the gas, with the observations on the left and a cartoon on the right showing which spatial regions (highlighted in color) are probed by the observations. In the left panels, Gaussian fits are shown in purple, with fits to the individual lines in doublets ([O II] and Na I D) shown in a lighter color. For the emission lines, the difference between the observed flux and the best-fit stellar model is shown, while for the absorption line the ratio of the observed flux and the best-fit stellar model is shown. The zero of the velocity scale corresponds to the redshift of the stellar component measured from spectral fitting, and the dashed vertical lines mark the expected rest-frame location of the emission or absorption lines.



**Figure 3: Physical properties of the outflow and comparison to the star formation history.**

**a)** Outflow mass versus velocity derived for individual lines, probing neutral and ionized gas. The vertical error bars show the 0.7 dex systematic uncertainty on the outflow mass measurements, while the horizontal error bars reflect the systematic uncertainty on the definition of outflow velocity. The Ca II K outflow mass is derived assuming no depletion onto dust, and is therefore a lower limit. The diagonal lines are at constant mass outflow rate assuming  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} = M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{out}}$ . **b)** Star formation history (red line) and 95% credible region (shaded area) derived from fitting the spectroscopic and photometric data. The mass outflow rate is shown for neutral and ionized gas at a lookback time of zero (i.e., the epoch at which the galaxy is observed). The mass outflow rate for the neutral gas is substantially higher than the residual star formation rate, implying that the outflow is able to strongly suppress the star formation activity.

high mass outflow rates in star-forming galaxies and AGN systems [11].

To understand the role of the outflow in the evolution of COSMOS-11142, in Figure 3b we show the star formation history of the galaxy, derived from our spectro-photometric fit. We find that the system is in a “post-starburst” phase: it formed most of its stellar mass in a rapid and powerful starburst  $\sim 300$  Myr before the observations, and then experienced a rapid quenching of the star formation rate by two orders of magnitude. These remarkably rapid formation and quenching timescales are not seen in the local universe, but are common among massive systems at  $z \sim 1 - 2$  [1–3], and represent the only way to form quiescent galaxies at even higher redshift [27] due to the younger age of the universe. According to the star formation history, the rate at which COSMOS-11142 is currently forming stars is between 1 and  $10 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ ; we obtain consistent estimates from ionized emission lines and infrared emission. The system is therefore in the middle of quenching, about 1 dex below the main sequence of star formation [28], but still above the bulk of the quiescent population [29, 30]. By comparing the mass outflow rate to the current star formation rate, we

conclude that the ionized outflow is weak, while the neutral outflow is very strong. This comparison shows that the ionized outflow is irrelevant in terms of gas budget, whereas the neutral outflow is able to substantially affect the star formation rate by ejecting cold gas before it can be transformed into stars. We thus conclude that the observed outflow likely plays a key role in the rapid quenching of COSMOS-11142. Given the low outflow velocity,  $v_{\text{out}} \sim 200$  km/s, it is possible that most of the neutral gas is not able to escape the galaxy. In this case, heating of the halo gas by radio-mode AGN feedback is likely required to maintain this galaxy quiescent over a Hubble timescale. However, this does not change our main conclusion, since radio-mode AGN feedback alone is unable to explain the observed rapidity of quenching.

Despite the highly effective feedback in action, COSMOS-11142 is not detected in publicly available X-ray or radio observations. This suggests that AGN samples selected at those wavelengths do not necessarily probe the galaxy population in which feedback is being most effective. Such samples are usually biased towards powerful AGNs, which tend to live in gas-rich, star-forming galaxies [31, 32]. Strong neutral outflows similar to

the one detected in COSMOS-11142 may in fact be present in the majority of massive galaxies [33], which often have emission line ratios consistent with AGN activity despite the lack of X-ray emission [7, 34]. Among massive galaxies, AGN-driven outflows may be particularly important for the post-starburst population, which is very likely to host emission lines with high [N II]/H $\alpha$  ratio [3, 30]. Moreover, the detection of blueshifted gas absorption in post-starburst galaxies at  $z \sim 1$  [35, 36] suggests that neutral outflows are frequent during this specific evolutionary phase. The rapid quenching of massive galaxies at  $z > 1$  may thus be fully explained by the AGN-driven ejection of cold gas.

## References

- [1] Wild, V. *et al.* The evolution of post-starburst galaxies from  $z=2$  to 0.5. *MNRAS* **463**, 832–844 (2016).
- [2] Belli, S., Newman, A. B. & Ellis, R. S. MOS-FIRE Spectroscopy of Quiescent Galaxies at  $1.5 < z < 2.5$ . II. Star Formation Histories and Galaxy Quenching. *ApJ* **874**, 17 (2019).
- [3] Park, M. *et al.* Rapid Quenching of Galaxies at Cosmic Noon. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2210.03747 (2022).
- [4] Di Matteo, T., Springel, V. & Hernquist, L. Energy input from quasars regulates the growth and activity of black holes and their host galaxies. *Nature* **433**, 604–607 (2005).
- [5] Bower, R. G. *et al.* Breaking the hierarchy of galaxy formation. *MNRAS* **370**, 645–655 (2006).
- [6] Croton, D. J. *et al.* The many lives of active galactic nuclei: cooling flows, black holes and the luminosities and colours of galaxies. *MNRAS* **365**, 11–28 (2006).
- [7] Förster Schreiber, N. M. *et al.* The KMOS<sup>3D</sup> Survey: Demographics and Properties of Galactic Outflows at  $z = 0.6$ -2.7. *ApJ* **875**, 21 (2019).
- [8] Lamperti, I. *et al.* SUPER. V. ALMA continuum observations of  $z \sim 2$  AGN and the elusive evidence of outflows influencing star formation. *A&A* **654**, A90 (2021).
- [9] Concas, A. *et al.* Being KLEVER at cosmic noon: Ionized gas outflows are inconspicuous in low-mass star-forming galaxies but prominent in massive AGN hosts. *MNRAS* **513**, 2535–2562 (2022).
- [10] Fiore, F. *et al.* AGN wind scaling relations and the co-evolution of black holes and galaxies. *A&A* **601**, A143 (2017).
- [11] Veilleux, S., Maiolino, R., Bolatto, A. D. & Aalto, S. Cool outflows in galaxies and their implications. *A&A Rev* **28**, 2 (2020).
- [12] Vayner, A. *et al.* Multiphase Outflows in High-redshift Quasar Host Galaxies. *ApJ* **923**, 59 (2021).
- [13] Williams, R. J., Quadri, R. F., Franx, M., van Dokkum, P. & Labbé, I. Detection of Quiescent Galaxies in a Bicolor Sequence from  $Z = 0$ -2. *ApJ* **691**, 1879–1895 (2009).
- [14] Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M. & Terlevich, R. Classification parameters for the emission-line spectra of extragalactic objects. *PASP* **93**, 5–19 (1981).
- [15] Soto, K. T., Martin, C. L., Prescott, M. K. M. & Armus, L. The Emission-line Spectra of Major Mergers: Evidence for Shocked Outflows. *ApJ* **757**, 86 (2012).
- [16] Carniani, S. *et al.* Ionised outflows in  $z \sim 2.4$  quasar host galaxies. *A&A* **580**, A102 (2015).
- [17] Rupke, D. S., Veilleux, S. & Sanders, D. B. Outflows in Infrared-Luminous Starbursts at  $z < 0.5$ . II. Analysis and Discussion. *ApJS* **160**, 115–148 (2005).
- [18] Roberts-Borsani, G. W. Observational constraints on the multiphase nature of outflows using large spectroscopic surveys at  $z \sim 0$ . *MNRAS* **494**, 4266–4278 (2020).
- [19] Baron, D., Netzer, H., Davies, R. I. & Xavier Prochaska, J. Multiphase outflows in post-starburst E+A galaxies - II. A direct connection between the neutral and ionized outflow phases. *MNRAS* **494**, 5396–5420 (2020).
- [20] Fluetsch, A. *et al.* Properties of the multiphase outflows in local (ultra)luminous infrared galaxies. *MNRAS* **505**, 5753–5783 (2021).



- [21] Baron, D., Netzer, H., Lutz, D., Prochaska, J. X. & Davies, R. I. Multiphase outflows in post-starburst E+A galaxies - I. General sample properties and the prevalence of obscured starbursts. *MNRAS* **509**, 4457–4479 (2022).
- [22] Avery, C. R. *et al.* Cool outflows in MaNGA: a systematic study and comparison to the warm phase. *MNRAS* **511**, 4223–4237 (2022).
- [23] Concas, A., Popesso, P., Brusa, M., Mainieri, V. & Thomas, D. Two-face(s): ionized and neutral gas winds in the local Universe. *A&A* **622**, A188 (2019).
- [24] Roberts-Borsani, G. W. & Saintonge, A. The prevalence and properties of cold gas inflows and outflows around galaxies in the local Universe. *MNRAS* **482**, 4111–4145 (2019).
- [25] Perna, M. *et al.* Galaxy-wide outflows in  $z \sim 1.5$  luminous obscured quasars revealed through near-IR slit-resolved spectroscopy. *A&A* **574**, A82 (2015).
- [26] Cresci, G. *et al.* Bubbles and outflows: The novel JWST/NIRSpec view of the  $z = 1.59$  obscured quasar XID2028. *A&A* **672**, A128 (2023).
- [27] Carnall, A. C. *et al.* A massive quiescent galaxy at redshift 4.658. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2301.11413 (2023).
- [28] Popesso, P. *et al.* The main sequence of star-forming galaxies across cosmic times. *MNRAS* **519**, 1526–1544 (2023).
- [29] Fumagalli, M. *et al.* How Dead are Dead Galaxies? Mid-infrared Fluxes of Quiescent Galaxies at Redshift  $0.3 < z < 2.5$ : Implications for Star Formation Rates and Dust Heating. *ApJ* **796**, 35 (2014).
- [30] Belli, S. *et al.* KMOS<sup>3D</sup> Reveals Low-level Star Formation Activity in Massive Quiescent Galaxies at  $0.7 < z < 2.7$ . *ApJL* **841**, L6 (2017).
- [31] Harrison, C. M. Impact of supermassive black hole growth on star formation. *Nature Astronomy* **1**, 0165 (2017).
- [32] Ward, S. R., Harrison, C. M., Costa, T. & Mainieri, V. Cosmological simulations predict that AGN preferentially live in gas-rich, star-forming galaxies despite effective feedback. *MNRAS* **514**, 2936–2957 (2022).
- [33] Davies, R. L. *et al.* JWST Reveals Widespread AGN-Driven Neutral Gas Outflows in Massive  $z \sim 2$  Galaxies.. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2310.17939 (2023).
- [34] Genzel, R. *et al.* Evidence for Wide-spread Active Galactic Nucleus-driven Outflows in the Most Massive  $z \sim 1-2$  Star-forming Galaxies. *ApJ* **796**, 7 (2014).
- [35] Tremonti, C. A., Moustakas, J. & Diamond-Stanic, A. M. The Discovery of 1000 km s<sup>-1</sup> Outflows in Massive Poststarburst Galaxies at  $z=0.6$ . *ApJL* **663**, L77–L80 (2007).
- [36] Maltby, D. T. *et al.* High-velocity outflows in massive post-starburst galaxies at  $z > 1$ . *MNRAS* **489**, 1139–1151 (2019).

## Methods

### JWST Spectroscopy

The Blue Jay survey is a Cycle-1 JWST program (GO 1810) that observed about 150 galaxies at  $1.7 < z < 3.5$  in the COSMOS field with the NIRSpec Micro-Shutter Assembly (MSA). Each galaxy was observed with the three medium-resolution gratings, covering the 1 - 5  $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength range at a spectral resolution of  $R \sim 1000$ . The target sample was drawn from a catalog [37] based on Hubble Space Telescope (HST) data. The selection was designed in a way to obtain a roughly uniform coverage in redshift and mass, and the sample is unbiased above a redshift-dependent stellar mass of  $10^{8.7} - 10^{9.3} M_{\odot}$ . COSMOS-11142 (the ID is from ref. [37]) was observed in December 2022 for a total of 13 hours in G140M, 3.2 hours in G235M, and 1.6 hours in G395M. A slitlet made of four MSA shutters (shown in Extended Data Figure 1) was placed on the target and the observations employed a 2-point A-B nodding pattern along the slit. We reduced the NIRSpec data using a modified version of the JWST Science Calibration Pipeline v1.10.1, using version 1093 of the Calibration Reference Data System. Prior to combining the data we visually inspected and excluded any remaining artefacts in the individual exposures. The galaxy 1D spectrum was then optimally extracted. For more details on the Blue

Jay survey and the data reduction, see Belli et al. (in preparation).

## JWST Imaging

JWST imaging of COSMOS-11142 is available from the PRIMER survey (GO 1837, PI: J. Dunlop) in several bands: F090W, F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, F410M, and F444W with NIRCcam; and F770W and F1800W with MIRI. We performed aperture photometry on the MIRI data and applied a point-source correction derived from WebbPSF [38]. For the NIRCcam data, which have a higher resolution and sensitivity, we fit the surface brightness profile of COSMOS-11142, independently in each band, using *Forcepho* (Johnson et al., in preparation). We model the galaxy using a single Sersic profile, convolved with the point spread function, and explore the posterior distribution via Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC). This yields a multi-band set of photometric and structural measurements. Extended Data Figure 1 shows the data and the model for F200W, which is the short-channel band with the highest signal-to-noise ratio (SNR=212), where we measure an effective (half-light) radius  $R_e = 0.075''$ , corresponding to 0.6 kpc, a Sersic index  $n = 2.6$ , and an axis ratio  $q = 0.5$ . While the formal errors are small, and the measurements are likely dominated by systematic uncertainties, we can robustly conclude that the galaxy is compact (yet well resolved in the NIRCcam data) and elongated. These results are qualitatively unchanged when considering the other NIRCcam bands. The residuals are small, implying that a single Sersic profile is a good description of the galaxy morphology and ruling out the presence of a major merger, a close companion, or bright point-source emission from a Type-1 AGN.

## Spectral Fitting

We characterize the stellar population and dust properties of COSMOS-11142 by fitting models to the observed spectroscopic and photometric data. We use the Bayesian code *Prospector* [39] and follow the approach explained in ref. [3, 40]. We adopt the synthetic stellar population library FSPS [41, 42], the MIST isochrones [43], the C3K spectral library [44], and the Chabrier initial mass function [45]. The galaxy stellar population is described by stellar mass, redshift, velocity dispersion, metallicity, and a non-parametric star formation history with 14 bins. The bins are logarithmically spaced


except for the youngest one (0–30 Myr), and the oldest, which is a narrow bin placed at the age of the universe, providing the possibility of a maximally old population. We adopt a continuity prior that disfavors abrupt changes in the star formation history (see [46] for details). The model also includes attenuation by dust, described by three parameters ( $A_V$ , dust index, and extra attenuation towards young stars; [47, 48]), and dust emission, implemented with three free parameters describing the infrared emission spectrum [49]. We assume that the total amount of energy absorbed by dust is then re-emitted in the infrared. We do not include the contribution from gas or AGN.

In order to fit a single model to both the JWST spectroscopy and the multi-wavelength photometry, it is necessary to include important systematic effects, as described in [39]. We add one parameter describing the fraction of spectral pixels that are outliers, and one “jitter” parameter that can rescale the spectroscopic uncertainties when necessary to obtain a good fit. The best-fit value for the jitter parameter is 2.05, suggesting that the NIRSpect data reduction pipeline underestimates the spectral uncertainties. In our subsequent analysis of the emission and absorption lines, we apply this jitter term to the error spectrum, in order to obtain a more accurate estimate of the uncertainties on our results.


We also adopt a polynomial distortion of the spectrum to match the spectral shape of the template, to allow for imperfect flux calibration and slit loss corrections (particularly important in this case since the shutter covers only a fraction of the galaxy). In practice, this is equivalent to normalizing the continuum and only considering the small-scale spectral features such as breaks and absorption lines. In turn, this procedure yields an accurate flux calibration for the JWST spectrum, if we assume that the emission probed by the MSA shutter is just a rescaling of the emission probed by the photometry (i.e., we are neglecting strong color gradients). This yields a slit-loss correction of  $\sim 2$ , with a small dependence on wavelength. The spectrum shown in Figure 1 has been calibrated in this way, and we adopt this calibration also in subsequent analysis of absorption and emission lines.

The model has a total of 25 free parameters, and to fully explore the posterior distribution we employ the nested sampling package *dynesty* [50]. We fit the model to the observed NIRSpect spectroscopy and to the broadband data (shown in Extended Data Figure 2). In the spectrum we





**Extended Data Figure 1: Observed and modeled surface brightness distribution.** a) HST F160W data used for designing the observations, with the footprint of the four open MSA microshutters. b-d) Data, model, and residual for the F200W NIRCам observations. The model is a single Sersic profile obtained with *ForcePho*, and is able to reproduce the data well.



**Extended Data Figure 2: Spectral energy distribution.** Points show the observed photometry from the ACS and WFC3 instruments onboard HST (circles), and from the NIRCам and MIRI instruments onboard JWST (pentagons). The observed NIRSpectroscopy spectrum is shown in blue, and the red line represents the best-fit model from *Prospector*, with the shaded red region marking the central 95% credible interval.

mask ionized gas emission lines, including  $H\alpha$  and  $H\beta$ , and the resonant absorption lines Na I D, Ca II H, and Ca II K. For the photometry we make use of our measurements from MIRI and NIRCам data (excluding F356W and F410M because the data reduction pipeline flagged most of the galaxy pixels as outliers). We also adopt archival photometry from HST, measured from data taken with the ACS and WFC3 instruments [37]. These measurements are clearly offset from the JWST NIRCам photometry, likely because they have

been measured using a different method (photometry within a fixed aperture). From comparing the HST F160W and the JWST F150W bands, which cover a very similar wavelength range, we determine that the HST fluxes are overestimated by 26%. We correct all the HST points for this offset, and add in quadrature a 26% relative error to the HST uncertainties.

The best-fit model is shown in red in Figure 1 and Extended Data Figure 2: the same model is able to simultaneously reproduce the spectroscopy and the photometry. The fit yields a stellar mass

**Extended Data Table 1: Measured properties of absorption and emission lines.** Quantities marked with  $\dagger$  have been fixed during the fit. The kinematics for H $\alpha$  and [N II] are assumed to be identical.

Line	$\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$\Delta v$ (km/s)	$\sigma$ (km/s)	Flux ( $10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ )	EW ( $\text{\AA}$ )
<b>Absorption</b>					
Ca II K	3935	$-210 \pm 19$	$190 \pm 23$		$3.3 \pm 0.2$
Na I D	5892, 5898	$-212 \pm 27$	$187 \pm 41$		$6.5 \pm 0.5$
<b>Emission</b>					
[O II]	3727, 3730	$67 \pm 25$	$292 \pm 29$	$4.2 \pm 0.3$	
[Ne III]	3870	$-190 \pm 78$	$168 \pm 99$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	
H $\beta$	4863	$-22^\dagger$	$465^\dagger$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	
[O III]	5008	$-438 \pm 30$	$632 \pm 31$	$14.3 \pm 0.6$	
H $\alpha$	6565	$-22 \pm 23$	$465 \pm 23$	$7.8 \pm 1.1$	
[N II]	6585	$-22 \pm 23$	$465 \pm 23$	$27.7 \pm 1.1$	
[S II]	6718, 6733	$-22^\dagger$	$465^\dagger$	$5.4 \pm 0.7$	
[S III]	9533	$-61 \pm 131$	$591 \pm 133$	$5.5 \pm 1.0$	
He I	10833	$386 \pm 79$	$531 \pm 81$	$7.4 \pm 0.9$	

$\log M_*/M_\odot = 10.9$ , a stellar velocity dispersion  $\sigma = 273 \pm 13$  km/s, and a metallicity  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.16 \pm 0.05$ ; the resulting star formation history is shown in Figure 3. The galaxy is relatively dusty, with  $A_V = 1.5 \pm 0.1$ , which is higher than what found in quiescent systems and may be related to the rapid quenching phase in which it is observed. We find that the main results of our analysis do not change when excluding the HST photometry, using a smaller wavelength range for the spectroscopic data, or changing the order of the polynomial distortion.

## Absorption and Emission Lines


To analyze the gas emission and absorption lines, we first mask these features when running *Prospector*, and then fit the residuals using Gaussian profiles convolved with the instrumental resolution. The results of the Gaussian fits are listed in Extended Data Table 1.

We show the resonant absorption lines Ca II H, K and Na I D in Extended Data Figure 3. These lines are also present in the best-fit stellar model, but the observed absorption is both much stronger and clearly blueshifted, making it easier to study the neutral gas. We also note that the Ca II H line lies on top of the Balmer H $\epsilon$  absorption line, which however is not resonant and is therefore present only in the stellar spectrum.

Since the effect of neutral gas absorption is multiplicative, when fitting Gaussian profiles we consider the ratio of the observed spectrum to the stellar model. We model Ca II H and Ca II K

with a Gaussian profile each, assuming the same width and velocity offset. Due to the faintness of Ca II H it is difficult to measure the doublet ratio, so we fix it to 2:1, appropriate for optically thin gas [51], which seems to reproduce well the data. We independently fit the Na I D doublet, which is unresolved, using two Gaussians with the same width and velocity offset, and fixing their equivalent width ratio to the optically thin value of 2:1. We verified that the results do not change in a substantial way when leaving the doublet ratio free.

The observed line profiles do not warrant the modeling of multiple kinematic components; however, we consider the possibility that the observed absorption consists of the sum of a blueshifted and a systemic component. For example, this could be the case if our model underestimates the stellar absorption. We use the *Alf* stellar population synthesis code [52, 53] to assess how the observed absorption lines vary when changing the abundance of individual elements in the stellar populations. We conclude that this effect is negligible: for a Na abundance that is twice the solar value, the extra absorption in Na I D would be only 8% of the equivalent width we observe in COSMOS-11142. A systemic component could also arise from neutral gas that is in the galaxy and not in the outflow. We find this unlikely because of the increased importance of the molecular phase, at the expense of the neutral phase, for the gas reservoir of galaxies at high redshift [54]; and also based on a study of Na I D absorption in the Blue




**Extended Data Figure 3: Absorption lines from neutral gas.** **a-b)** Observed spectrum (blue) and best-fit stellar model (red). **c-d)** Ratio of the observed spectrum to the stellar model (blue) and best-fit Gaussian components describing absorption by neutral gas (purple). The expected position of the resonant lines (at the systemic velocity of the galaxy) are shown in gray, while the position of the Balmer lines, which are only present in the stellar spectrum, are shown in green. The absorption by neutral gas is clearly blueshifted.

Jay survey, where neutral gas is mostly associated with outflows and not with the gas reservoir, even in star-forming galaxies [33]. Nonetheless, we repeat the fit for Ca II K, which is well detected and not blended, adding a Gaussian component fixed at systemic velocity. In this case we find that the equivalent width of the blueshifted component would be reduced by  $(41 \pm 9)\%$ . Finally, an additional source of systematic uncertainty could be the presence of resonant redshifted emission from Na I D, which would fill up the red side of the Na I D absorption line and thus yield a slight underestimate of the equivalent width and a slight overestimate of the outflow velocity. Resonant Na I D emission is rarely seen in the local universe [19], but the presence of resonant He I emission suggests this could be a possibility in COSMOS-11142.

Similarly to what was done for the absorption lines, we fit Gaussian profiles to all the detected emission lines, which are shown in Figure 2 and Extended Data Figure 4. Given the additive

nature of the emission, here we consider the difference between the observed spectrum and the stellar model. The emission line kinematics present a wide diversity and thus require a sufficiently flexible model. For this reason we try to fit each line independently, imposing physically motivated constraints only when necessary because of blending and/or low signal-to-noise ratio. The most complex case is the blend of H $\alpha$  with the [N II] doublet. We model all three lines simultaneously, assuming that they have identical velocity offset and dispersion, and we also fix the [N II] doublet ratio to the theoretical value of 3:1. The resulting best fit, shown in Extended Data Figure 4 (panel a) approximately reproduces the data, even though some discrepancies in the flux peaks and troughs are visible. If we add a broad H $\alpha$  component to the model we obtain a marginally better fit (panel b). The broad component has a velocity dispersion  $\sigma \sim 1200$  km/s, and may be due to a faint broad line region [27]. However we obtain a nearly identical fit if we adopt a broad component for the [N II] lines as well, in which case the broad component has  $\sigma \sim 800$  km/s and would be



**Extended Data Figure 4: Emission lines from ionized gas.** **a)** Fit to the H $\alpha$  and [N II] lines, using three single-Gaussian profiles. **b)** Fit to the H $\alpha$  and [N II] lines, using three single-Gaussian profiles and one additional broad H $\alpha$  component (shown in red). **c-e)** Fit to the [Ne III], H $\beta$ , and [S II] emission lines. In all panels, the spectra shown are obtained by subtracting the best-fit stellar model obtained with **Prospector** from the observed spectrum. Gaussian fits are shown in purple, with lighter lines showing fits to the individual lines when multiple components are fit simultaneously. The dashed vertical lines mark the expected rest-frame location of the emission lines. Other emission lines are shown in Figure 2.

due to the outflow. We conclude that the lines are too blended to robustly constrain their kinematics (while the line fluxes remain consistent across the different fits, within the uncertainties), and we adopt the simplest model, consisting of three single Gaussian profiles. The velocity dispersion obtained with this model is large (465 km/s), suggesting the presence of an outflow component in these lines. Other blended doublets in our spectrum are [O II] and [S II]; for each of them we fix the doublet flux ratio to 1:1, which is in the middle of the allowed range, and assume that the two lines in the doublet have identical kinematics. Finally, given the low signal-to-noise ratio of the H $\beta$  and [S II] lines we also decide to fix their kinematics to those derived for H $\alpha$  and [N II], since they all have similar ionization energy. We note that if we instead fit the H $\beta$  line independently we measure a blueshift, which would be consistent with the emission originating in the approaching side of the outflow, but the line is too faint for drawing robust conclusions. To summarize, we assume the same kinematics for the low-ionization

lines H $\alpha$ , H $\beta$ , [N II], [S II]; obtaining a dispersion consistent with the presence of an outflow. The other low-ionization line [O II] represents an exception, since it has a much smaller line width which does not show evidence of outflowing material; however we analyze the [O II] morphology in the 2D spectrum and find the clear presence of a faint outflow component (as discussed below). For the high-ionization lines [O III], [Ne III], [S III] we leave the kinematics free when fitting. We measure a blueshift for [O III] and [Ne III], although the latter has a much lower velocity shift. This discrepancy may simply be due to the low signal-to-noise ratio of [Ne III]; we also try to fix its kinematics to that of [O III] but the fit is substantially worse. Interestingly, for [S III] we do not measure a statistically significant blueshift, but the velocity dispersion is very large, likely tracing the contribution of both the receding and the approaching sides of the outflow. Finally, He I presents a clear redshift, which is the sign of resonant scattering. We note that [S III] and He I

have similar wavelength and require similar ionization energy, meaning that they probe the same type of gas conditions. One important difference is that the blue side of He I experiences resonant scattering; however, the red side is not affected by this phenomenon. The red side of [S III] and He I must therefore trace almost exactly the same type of gas, which is found in the receding outflow. Our interpretation of the observed kinematics for these two lines is thus self-consistent.

Clearly, the emission lines in COSMOS-11142 present a remarkable complexity. Our goal is to estimate the properties of the ionized outflow in order to understand its role in the evolution of the galaxy; a detailed study of the ionized emission lines is beyond the scope of this work. For this reason we avoid a decomposition into broad and narrow component for the brightest emission lines, and choose to fit each line independently, when possible. We have also tested a global fit, where all lines except for He I and [O III] have the same kinematics; and also a model with separate kinematics for high- and low-ionization lines. The result of these tests is that the fluxes for the lines used in the calculation of the ionized outflow mass ([O III], [Ne III], [S III], H $\beta$ ) are remarkably stable, with different assumptions causing differences in the fluxes that are always smaller than the statistical uncertainties.

## Star Formation Rate

The star formation rate of COSMOS-11142 is a key physical quantity, since it is used both to confirm the quiescent nature of the system and as a comparison to the mass outflow rate. We employ several methods to estimate the star formation rate, obtaining consistent results. The **Prospector** fit gives a star formation rate of  $\sim 3 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  in the youngest bin (0-30 Myr), with an uncertainty of a factor of 3; considering the average star formation over the last 100 Myr we obtain an upper limit of  $10 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ . An alternative, independent method relies on the hydrogen recombination emission lines; because of the contribution from the outflow to the observed flux, this method can only yield an upper limit on the star formation rate. We first correct the measured emission line fluxes for dust attenuation using the result of the **Prospector** fitting (including the extra attenuation towards young stars); we then use the standard conversion [55] applied to the measured H $\alpha$  flux, obtaining an upper limit of  $10 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ . A similar upper limit is obtained

using the observed H $\beta$  flux. It is possible that this method misses heavily dust-obscured regions hosting a starburst, as it is sometimes found in local post-starburst galaxies [56]. We check for this possibility by using redder hydrogen emission lines, which can be used to probe deeper into the dust: while we do not detect any of the Paschen lines in emission, we can place a  $3\text{-}\sigma$  upper limit to the Pa $\gamma$  flux of  $2.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , yielding an upper limit on the star formation of  $28 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ . The absence of substantial star formation in dust-obscured regions is also confirmed by the lack of strong mid-infrared emission. COSMOS-11142 is not detected in the *Spitzer* 24- $\mu\text{m}$  observations from the S-COSMOS survey [57], yielding a  $3\text{-}\sigma$  upper limit of  $38 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ , according to the measurements and assumptions detailed in [58]. However this estimate assumes that dust is heated exclusively by young stars – a bias known to lead to an overestimate of the star formation rate for quiescent galaxies, in which most of the heating is due to older stars [59]. The more sensitive JWST/MIRI observations detect the galaxy at 18  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the measured flux is fully consistent with the best-fit **Prospector** model, as shown in Extended Data Figure 2, thus confirming the lack of substantial star formation hidden by dust.


## Ionized Outflow

We detect clear signs of ionized outflow as blueshifted emission in [O III] and [Ne III], and as a broad emission in [S III]. We can independently estimate the outflow properties using each of these emission lines. For a generic element  $X$ , the outflow mass can be written as a function of the observed line luminosity  $L$  [15, 16]:

$$M_{\text{out}} = \frac{1.4 m_p L}{n_e 10^{[X/H]} (n_X/n_H)_{\odot} j}, \quad (1)$$

where  $m_p$  is the proton mass,  $n_e$  is the electron density,  $[X/H]$  is the logarithmic elemental abundance in the gas relative to the solar value  $(n_X/n_H)_{\odot}$  (which we take from ref. [60]), and  $j$  is the line emissivity. In this relation we neglect a factor  $\langle n_e^2 \rangle / \langle n_e \rangle^2$ , and we assume that all the atoms of the element  $X$  are found in the ionization stage responsible for the observed line (this is consistent with the observation of strong [O III] emission in the outflow but nearly absent [O II]; the other outflow-tracing emission lines have comparable excitation energy). We calculate the emissivity for each line using pyNeb [61] with standard assumptions (density  $500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and temperature  $10^4 \text{ K}$ ).





**Extended Data Figure 5: Two-dimensional JWST/NIRSpec spectrum centered on the [O III] $\lambda$ 5008 emission line. a) Observed trace, which is mostly due to stellar emission. b) Spatially resolved [O III] emission after subtraction of the median stellar continuum. The vertical dashed line marks the expected position of [O III] at the systemic velocity.**

We further assume that the gas in the outflow has solar metallicity, given the high stellar mass of the galaxy and the result of the **Prospector** fit. The electron density cannot be reliably derived from the flux ratio of the poorly resolved [S II] and [O II] doublets. We make the standard assumption of  $n_e = 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [16], however we note that this value is highly uncertain. Since local studies using different methods find a wide range of values,  $\log n_e \sim 2 - 3.5$  [62], we assign a systematic uncertainty of 0.7 dex (a factor of 5 in each direction) to the assumed value of  $n_e$ . For the blueshifted lines we multiply the observed luminosity by two to account for the receding side hidden by dust. The resulting outflow masses are listed in Extended Data Table 2 (see also Figure 3a). We also include an estimate of the outflow mass based on the  $H\beta$  line, assuming it is entirely originating in the outflowing gas. The four estimates of the outflow mass are in agreement with each other to better than a factor of two, which is remarkable given that the mass derived from  $H\beta$  does not depend on assumptions on the ionization stage and the gas metallicity. However, all four lines depend in the same way on  $n_e$ . The uncertainty on the outflow mass measurement is therefore dominated by the assumed  $n_e$ .

In order to calculate the mass outflow rate we assume that the ionized gas is distributed, on each side of the outflow, in a mass-conserving cone that is expanding with velocity  $v_{\text{out}}$ , independent of

radius [17]. In this case the mass outflow rate can be easily derived to be  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} = M_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{out}}$ . If the outflow is in a narrow cone directed toward the observer, then the velocity shift observed in blueshifted lines ([O III] and [Ne III]) corresponds to the outflow velocity:  $v_{\text{out}} = |\Delta v|$ . On the other hand, if the emitting gas spans a range of inclinations, then the intrinsic outflow velocity corresponds to the maximum observed value, which is often defined as  $v_{\text{out}} = |\Delta v| + 2\sigma$  [10, 17]. Since we do not know the opening angle and inclination of the outflow, we adopt an intermediate definition:  $v_{\text{out}} = |\Delta v| + \sigma$ , and take  $\sigma$  as a measure of the systematic uncertainty, so that both scenarios are included within the error bars. For emission lines that are not blueshifted ([S III] and  $H\beta$ ), instead, we simply take  $v_{\text{out}} = 2\sigma$  and use  $\sigma$  as a measure of the systematic uncertainty.

To constrain the outflow size  $R_{\text{out}}$  we analyze the 2-D NIRSpec spectrum around the location of the [O III] $\lambda$ 5008 line. We first construct the spatial profile by taking the median flux along each spatial pixel row; then we subtract this profile, representing the stellar continuum, from the data, revealing a clearly resolved [O III] line (see Extended Data Figure 5). The emission line morphology is complex, consisting of a fast component in the galaxy center and two slower components extending several pixels along the spatial direction on either side of the galaxy; all components are blueshifted, and are therefore not associated with

**Extended Data Table 2: Outflow properties measured from different lines**

	$v_{\text{out}}$ ( $10^3$ km/s)	$M_{\text{out}}$ ( $10^6 M_{\odot}$ )	$\dot{M}_{\text{out}}$ ( $M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ )
<b>Neutral outflow</b>			
Na I D	0.21	488	35
Ca II K	0.21	> 9	> 0.65
<b>Ionized outflow</b>			
[Ne III]	0.36	1.44	0.18
H $\beta$	0.93	1.16	0.37
[O III]	1.07	1.98	0.72
[S III]	1.18	2.46	0.99

a large-scale rotating disk. We measure the extent of the larger components to be approximately 4 spatial pixels, i.e.  $0.4''$ ; we detect weak extended blueshifted emission also in the [O II], [N II], and H $\alpha$  emission lines, confirming the presence of ionized gas  $\sim 0.4''$  from the center. We interpret this as the maximum extent of the ionized outflow, and we thus adopt  $R_{\text{out}} \sim 0.4'' \sim 3$  kpc. The line morphology in the 2-D spectrum is consistent with our physical model of the outflow: most of the emission comes from the central regions because they are denser (in a mass-conserving cone the gas density scales inversely with the square of the radius [17]), and the line blueshift is progressively weaker in the outer regions due to projection effects [16]. However, it is also possible that we are seeing one fast, small-scale outflow together with a separate slow, large-scale outflow. If the size of the fast outflow is smaller than our estimate, the ionized outflow rate would then be correspondingly larger. Also, if the outflow is strongly asymmetric, then the slit loss correction derived for the stellar emission may not be appropriate, which would introduce a bias in our line flux measurements and gas masses.

With the adopted value for the outflow size we are able to derive ionized outflow masses, which are in the range  $0.2 - 1 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ . The outflow properties estimated from the four different lines are shown in Figure 3a: it is clear that the different tracers give consistent results, particularly when considering the large systematic uncertainties in the outflow mass. Also, the order of magnitude of the mass outflow rate appears to be nearly independent of the exact definition of the outflow velocity: for any reasonable value of the velocity, the mass outflow rate of the ionized gas is unlikely to go substantially beyond  $\sim 1 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ .

Despite the large size of the outflow, most of the emission comes from the central, denser

regions, and can therefore be heavily attenuated by the dust present in the galaxy. We can estimate the effect of dust attenuation on different lines, using the modified Calzetti extinction curve with the best-fit parameters from spectral fitting. It is difficult to estimate the extra attenuation towards nebular emission because of the complex morphology of the outflow; we consider a wide range from 1 (i.e., stars and gas are attenuated equally) to 2, which is the canonical value for starburst galaxies. At the [O III] wavelength, the emission from gas behind the galaxy is attenuated by a factor of  $\sim 5-27$ , explaining why we do not observe a redshifted component. The attenuation decreases to a factor of  $\sim 3-9$  at the H $\alpha$  wavelength, and is only a factor of  $\sim 2-3.5$  at the [S III] wavelength (which indeed has an asymmetric profile, visible in Figure 2, with some flux missing in the redshifted part likely due to differential dust extinction).

## Neutral Outflow

We use the observed Na I D and Ca II K lines to constrain the properties of the neutral outflow. The first step is to derive the Na I and Ca II column density from the observed equivalent widths (listed in Extended Data Table 1), which can be done easily in the optically thin case [51], yielding  $N_{\text{Na I}} = 2.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $N_{\text{Ca II}} = 3.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . These should be considered lower limits, as even small deviations from the optically thin case can substantially increase the column density corresponding to the observed equivalent width. If the outflow is clumpy, and its covering fraction is less than unity, then the true equivalent width would be larger than the observed one. The observed depths of the two Ca II lines can be used to constrain the covering fraction [63]: in our case the data are consistent with a covering fraction of unity, but with a large uncertainty due to the

low signal-to-noise of Ca II H. A hard lower limit can also be obtained from the maximum depth of any of the neutral gas absorption lines; thus, the covering fraction must be larger than 50%. For simplicity, here we assume a covering fraction of unity; if the true value were smaller by a factor of two, then the column density would be larger by a factor of two, and the mass outflow rate, which depends on their product, would remain the same.

The next step consists in inferring the hydrogen column density. For Na I we can write [19, 64]:

$$N_{\text{H}} = \frac{N_{\text{Na I}}}{(1 - y) 10^{[\text{Na/H}]} (n_{\text{Na}}/n_{\text{H}})_{\odot} 10^b}, \quad (2)$$

where  $y$  is the sodium ionization fraction, and  $10^b$  represents the depletion of sodium onto dust. For consistency with local studies we make the standard assumption  $1 - y = 0.1$  [64], meaning that only 10% of the sodium is in the neutral phase; it is likely that the true value is even lower [19], which would increase the derived column density and therefore the outflow mass. We also assume solar metallicity for the gas, and take the canonical values [65] for solar abundance,  $\log(n_{\text{Na}}/n_{\text{H}})_{\odot} = -5.69$ , and dust depletion in the Milky Way,  $b = -0.95$ . We obtain a hydrogen column density  $N_{\text{H}} = 9.6 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . The systematic uncertainty on this result is dominated by the observed scatter in the dust depletion value, which is 0.5 dex [66].

The calcium column density is more difficult to interpret because calcium, unlike sodium, presents a highly variable depletion onto dust as a function of the environment [67, 68]. Observations of Milky Way clouds show very high dust depletion (up to 4 dex) for calcium at the high column density that we measure, which would imply a hydrogen column density that is  $\sim 20\times$  higher than what measured from Na I D. This discrepancy is probably caused by the presence of shocks in the outflow, which can destroy dust grains and decrease the depletion of calcium. Thus, we can only derive a lower limit on the hydrogen column density by assuming that calcium is not depleted at all, and we obtain  $N_{\text{H}} > 1.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . We have neglected the ionization correction since most of the calcium in the neutral gas is expected to be in the form of Ca II [69].

In order to derive the outflow mass we assume that the neutral gas forms an expanding shell outside the ionized outflow. This is consistent with local observations [19] and with the idea that the neutral gas should be further out from the ionizing source. In principle, a direct measurement of the

neutral outflow size would require a background source extending far beyond the galaxy size; a source which does not exist in our case. However, this rare configuration has been observed for J1439B, a galaxy with similar mass, redshift, and star formation rate to those of COSMOS-11142, which happens to be located near the line-of-sight to a background luminous quasar [70]. Neutral gas associated to J1439B and likely belonging to an AGN-driven outflow has been observed in absorption in the spectrum of the quasar, which is 38 projected kpc from the galaxy. This rare system suggests that AGN-driven neutral outflows from quenching galaxies can extend significantly beyond the stellar body of their host galaxies.


Given the large size of the outflow compared to the size of the stellar emission, we are likely detecting neutral gas that is moving along the line of sight, as shown in the cartoon in Figure 2, and therefore we assume  $v_{\text{out}} = |\Delta v|$ . For a shell geometry, the outflow mass and mass rate are [17]:

$$M_{\text{out}} = 1.4 m_p \Omega N_{\text{H}} R_{\text{out}}^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{M}_{\text{out}} = 1.4 m_p \Omega N_{\text{H}} R_{\text{out}} v_{\text{out}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the solid angle subtended by the outflow. Based on the results of local studies [17] and on the incidence of neutral outflows in the Blue Jay sample [33], we assume an opening angle of 40% of the solid sphere, i.e.  $\Omega/4\pi = 0.4$ . We consider the systematic uncertainty on  $\Omega$  to be a factor of 2.5 in each direction, ranging from a narrow opening to a spherical and homogeneous outflow. Combined with the uncertainty on the calcium dust depletion, this gives a total uncertainty on the outflow mass of  $\sim 0.7$  dex, similar to that on the ionized outflow mass (but due to a different set of assumptions).

The neutral mass outflow rate estimated from the Na I D line is  $35 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ , between one and two orders of magnitude larger than what measured for the ionized phase. In addition to the 0.7 dex uncertainty due to the opening angle and the dust depletion, there are two additional assumptions that could influence this result. First, we ignored a possible contribution to Na I D from a systemic component of neutral gas not associated with the outflow, which would decrease the measured column density by 41% (adopting the value derived for Ca II K). Second, we assumed that the radius of the neutral outflow coincides with that of the ionized outflow; in principle, the neutral outflow radius could be as small as the galaxy effective radius, which is 0.6 kpc (it cannot be smaller than



**Extended Data Figure 6: Emission line diagnostics.** Line ratio diagrams [14, 71] comparing COSMOS-11142 (red symbol) to a sample of local galaxies (from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey [72], black points), high-redshift galaxies ( $1.9 < z < 2.7$ , from the MOSDEF survey [73], blue points) and a sample of high-redshift AGNs observed with JWST/NIRSpec ( $3 < z < 3.7$ , from the GA-NIFS survey [74], orange points). The uncertainty on the  $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$  ratio of COSMOS-11142 includes a systematic contribution from the adoption of a specific profile when fitting the emission lines. Red curved lines represent the theoretical maximum starburst locus [75], while the red straight line in panel b) shows an empirical separation between Seyferts and LINERS [76].

this because the covering fraction must be larger than 50%, meaning that the neutral gas must be in front of at least 50% of the stars in the galaxy). If the neutral outflow were this small, the gas would be detected in the full range of inclinations, and the outflow velocity would be  $v_{\text{out}} = |\Delta v| + 2\sigma$ . If we make the most conservative choice on both the systemic component of Na I D and on the outflow radius we obtain a mass outflow rate for the neutral phase  $\dot{M}_{\text{out}} = 11 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ . This is still one order of magnitude larger than the ionized mass outflow rate.

### Physical Nature of the Outflow and Properties of the AGN

Due to the low star formation rate of COSMOS-11142, it is unlikely that the outflow is driven by star formation activity. We can also rule out a star-formation driven fossil outflow: the travel time to reach a distance of  $R_{\text{out}} \sim 3$  kpc at the observed velocity is less than 10 Myr, which is much smaller than the time elapsed since the starburst phase, according to the inferred star formation history. Moreover, the ionized gas velocity we measure is substantially higher than what observed in the most powerful star-formation driven outflows at  $z \sim 2$  [77]. Another possibility could be that

the outflowing material actually consists of tidally ejected gas due to a major merger [78, 79]. However, the lack of tidal features or asymmetries in the near-infrared imaging, together with the high velocity of the ionized gas, rule out this scenario.

The only reasonable explanation is therefore that the observed outflow is driven by AGN feedback. This is confirmed by the emission line flux ratios, which we show in Extended Data Figure 6. COSMOS-11142 occupies a region on the standard optical diagnostic diagrams [14, 71] which is exclusively populated by AGN systems, both at low and high redshift. We note that some of the measured emission lines (notably  $[\text{O III}]$  and  $\text{H}\beta$ ) trace the outflow rather than the galaxy, which may complicate the interpretation of the line ratios. Nonetheless, the line ratios measured in COSMOS-11142 are fully consistent with those measured in the outflows of high-redshift quasars [25, 80].

Despite the rather extreme line ratios, the AGN activity in 11142 is relatively weak, leaving no trace other than the ionized gas emission lines. We do not detect AGN emission in the UV-to-IR broadband photometry (which is well fit by a model without AGN contribution), in the mid-infrared IRAC colors (using the criterion

proposed by ref. [81]), in the rest-frame optical morphology (no evidence for a point source in the NIRC*am* imaging), in X-ray observations [82] ( $L_X < 10^{44}$  erg/s at 2-10 keV), or in radio observations [83] ( $S < 3 \cdot 10^{23}$  W/Hz at 3 GHz). We estimate the bolometric luminosity of the AGN from the [O III] luminosity [84], obtaining  $\log L_{\text{bol}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1}) \sim 45.3$ . Using AGN scaling relations [85, 86], we find that the upper limit on the radio emission is above the expected level for a typical AGN of this luminosity; while the X-ray upper limit is about equal to the value we would expect for a typical AGN of this luminosity. However, the scaling relations present a large scatter, which may be due to the fact that AGN activity is highly variable, and different tracers respond on different timescales [87, 88]. We thus conclude that, at  $z > 2$ , current radio and X-ray surveys can only probe the brightest AGNs and are not sensitive to the emission from less extreme systems such as COSMOS-11142. Finally, we point out that the bolometric luminosity we derived from [O III] should be considered an upper limit, since part of the observed line luminosity may come from shock excitation. The actual bolometric luminosity may be an order of magnitude lower; even so, the mass outflow rate that we measure for the ionized phase would not be substantially off from the known AGN scaling relations [10]. Interestingly, the scaling relations would then predict a molecular outflow with a mass rate similar to what we measure for the neutral phase. This suggests that the neutral and molecular phases may be comparable. However, with current data we are not able to directly probe the molecular gas in COSMOS-11142, and we point out that this system is substantially different from most of the galaxies used to derive the scaling relations, due to its gas-poor and quiescent nature.

## Data Availability

The reduced NIRS*pec* data, the *Prospector* best fit, and the multi-band photometry for COSMOS-11142 are publicly available in the repository <https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.10058977>.

## Code Availability

We used publicly available code including the JWST data reduction pipeline (<https://github.com/spacetelescope/jwst>), *Prospector* [39], *Forcepho* (Johnson et al. in prep., <https://github.com/bd-j/forcepho>),

*dynesty* [50], *astropy* [89], *pyneb* [61], *linetools* [90], *specutils* [91].

## References

- [37] Skelton, R. E. *et al.* 3D-HST WFC3-selected Photometric Catalogs in the Five CANDELS/3D-HST Fields: Photometry, Photometric Redshifts, and Stellar Masses. *ApJS* **214**, 24 (2014).
- [38] Perrin, M. D. *et al.* Oschmann, J., Jacobus M., Clampin, M., Fazio, G. G. & MacEwen, H. A. (eds) *Updated point spread function simulations for JWST with WebbPSF*. (eds Oschmann, J., Jacobus M., Clampin, M., Fazio, G. G. & MacEwen, H. A.) *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*, Vol. 9143 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 91433X (2014).
- [39] Johnson, B. D., Leja, J., Conroy, C. & Speagle, J. S. Stellar Population Inference with *Prospector*. *ApJS* **254**, 22 (2021).
- [40] Tacchella, S. *et al.* Fast, Slow, Early, Late: Quenching Massive Galaxies at  $z \sim 0.8$ . *ApJ* **926**, 134 (2022).
- [41] Conroy, C., Gunn, J. E. & White, M. The Propagation of Uncertainties in Stellar Population Synthesis Modeling. I. The Relevance of Uncertain Aspects of Stellar Evolution and the Initial Mass Function to the Derived Physical Properties of Galaxies. *ApJ* **699**, 486–506 (2009).
- [42] Conroy, C. & Gunn, J. E. The Propagation of Uncertainties in Stellar Population Synthesis Modeling. III. Model Calibration, Comparison, and Evaluation. *ApJ* **712**, 833–857 (2010).
- [43] Choi, J. *et al.* Mesa Isochrones and Stellar Tracks (MIST). I. Solar-scaled Models. *ApJ* **823**, 102 (2016).
- [44] Cargile, P. A. *et al.* MINESweeper: Spectrophotometric Modeling of Stars in the Gaia Era. *ApJ* **900**, 28 (2020).
- [45] Chabrier, G. Galactic Stellar and Substellar Initial Mass Function. *PASP* **115**, 763–795



- (2003).
- [46] Leja, J., Carnall, A. C., Johnson, B. D., Conroy, C. & Speagle, J. S. How to Measure Galaxy Star Formation Histories. II. Nonparametric Models. *ApJ* **876**, 3 (2019).
- [47] Charlot, S. & Fall, S. M. A Simple Model for the Absorption of Starlight by Dust in Galaxies. *ApJ* **539**, 718–731 (2000).
- [48] Kriek, M. & Conroy, C. The Dust Attenuation Law in Distant Galaxies: Evidence for Variation with Spectral Type. *ApJL* **775**, L16 (2013).
- [49] Draine, B. T. & Li, A. Infrared Emission from Interstellar Dust. IV. The Silicate-Graphite-PAH Model in the Post-Spitzer Era. *ApJ* **657**, 810–837 (2007).
- [50] Speagle, J. S. DYNESTY: a dynamic nested sampling package for estimating Bayesian posteriors and evidences. *MNRAS* **493**, 3132–3158 (2020).
- [51] Draine, B. T. *Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium* (Princeton University Press, 2011).
- [52] Conroy, C. & van Dokkum, P. Counting Low-mass Stars in Integrated Light. *ApJ* **747**, 69 (2012).
- [53] Conroy, C., Villaume, A., van Dokkum, P. G. & Lind, K. Metal-rich, Metal-poor: Updated Stellar Population Models for Old Stellar Systems. *ApJ* **854**, 139 (2018).
- [54] Péroux, C. & Howk, J. C. The Cosmic Baryon and Metal Cycles. *ARA&A* **58**, 363–406 (2020).
- [55] Kennicutt, J., Robert C. Star Formation in Galaxies Along the Hubble Sequence. *ARA&A* **36**, 189–232 (1998).
- [56] Poggianti, B. M. & Wu, H. Optical Spectral Signatures of Dusty Starburst Galaxies. *ApJ* **529**, 157–169 (2000).
- [57] Sanders, D. B. *et al.* S-COSMOS: The Spitzer Legacy Survey of the Hubble Space Telescope ACS 2 deg<sup>2</sup> COSMOS Field I: Survey Strategy and First Analysis. *ApJS* **172**, 86–98 (2007).
- [58] Whitaker, K. E. *et al.* Constraining the Low-mass Slope of the Star Formation Sequence at  $0.5 < z < 2.5$ . *ApJ* **795**, 104 (2014).
- [59] Leja, J. *et al.* An Older, More Quiescent Universe from Panchromatic SED Fitting of the 3D-HST Survey. *ApJ* **877**, 140 (2019).
- [60] Asplund, M., Amarsi, A. M. & Grevesse, N. The chemical make-up of the Sun: A 2020 vision. *A&A* **653**, A141 (2021).
- [61] Luridiana, V., Morisset, C. & Shaw, R. A. PyNeb: a new tool for analyzing emission lines. I. Code description and validation of results. *A&A* **573**, A42 (2015).
- [62] Davies, R. *et al.* Ionized outflows in local luminous AGN: what are the real densities and outflow rates? *MNRAS* **498**, 4150–4177 (2020).
- [63] Hamann, F., Barlow, T. A., Junkkarinen, V. & Burbidge, E. M. High-Resolution Spectra of Intrinsic Absorption Lines in the Quasistellar Object UM 675. *ApJ* **478**, 80–86 (1997).
- [64] Rupke, D. S., Veilleux, S. & Sanders, D. B. Outflows in Infrared-Luminous Starbursts at  $z < 0.5$ . I. Sample, Na I D Spectra, and Profile Fitting. *ApJS* **160**, 87–114 (2005).
- [65] Savage, B. D. & Sembach, K. R. Interstellar Abundances from Absorption-Line Observations with the Hubble Space Telescope. *ARA&A* **34**, 279–330 (1996).
- [66] Wakker, B. P. & Mathis, J. S. Dependence of Gas-Phase Abundances in the Interstellar Medium on Column Density. *ApJL* **544**, L107–L110 (2000).
- [67] Hobbs, L. M. A comparison of interstellar Na I, Ca II, and K I absorption. *ApJ* **191**, 381–393 (1974).
- [68] Phillips, A. P., Pettini, M. & Gondhalekar, P. M. Element depletions in interstellar gas - II. The density-dependence of calcium and sodium depletions. *MNRAS* **206**, 337–350 (1984).
- [69] Field, G. B. Interstellar abundances: gas and dust. *ApJ* **187**, 453–459 (1974).

- [70] Rudie, G. C., Newman, A. B. & Murphy, M. T. A Unique View of AGN-driven Molecular Outflows: The Discovery of a Massive Galaxy Counterpart to a  $Z = 2.4$  High-metallicity Damped Ly $\alpha$  Absorber. *ApJ* **843**, 98 (2017).
- [71] Veilleux, S. & Osterbrock, D. E. Spectral Classification of Emission-Line Galaxies. *ApJS* **63**, 295 (1987).
- [72] Tremonti, C. A. *et al.* The Origin of the Mass-Metallicity Relation: Insights from 53,000 Star-forming Galaxies in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. *ApJ* **613**, 898–913 (2004).
- [73] Kriek, M. *et al.* The MOSFIRE Deep Evolution Field (MOSDEF) Survey: Rest-frame Optical Spectroscopy for  $\sim 1500$  H-selected Galaxies at  $1.37 < z < 3.8$ . *ApJS* **218**, 15 (2015).
- [74] Perna, M. *et al.* A surprisingly high number of dual active galactic nuclei in the early Universe. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2310.03067 (2023).
- [75] Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A. & Trevena, J. Theoretical Modeling of Starburst Galaxies. *ApJ* **556**, 121–140 (2001).
- [76] Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G. & Heckman, T. The host galaxies and classification of active galactic nuclei. *MNRAS* **372**, 961–976 (2006).
- [77] Davies, R. L. *et al.* Kiloparsec Scale Properties of Star Formation Driven Outflows at  $z \sim 2.3$  in the SINS/zC-SINF AO Survey. *ApJ* **873**, 122 (2019).
- [78] Puglisi, A. *et al.* A titanic interstellar medium ejection from a massive starburst galaxy at redshift 1.4. *Nature Astronomy* **5**, 319–330 (2021).
- [79] Spilker, J. S. *et al.* Star Formation Suppression by Tidal Removal of Cold Molecular Gas from an Intermediate-redshift Massive Post-starburst Galaxy. *ApJL* **936**, L11 (2022).
- [80] Brusa, M. *et al.* A fast ionised wind in a star-forming quasar system at  $z \sim 1.5$  resolved through adaptive optics assisted near-infrared data. *A&A* **588**, A58 (2016).
- [81] Donley, J. L. *et al.* Identifying Luminous Active Galactic Nuclei in Deep Surveys: Revised IRAC Selection Criteria. *ApJ* **748**, 142 (2012).
- [82] Elvis, M. *et al.* The Chandra COSMOS Survey. I. Overview and Point Source Catalog. *ApJS* **184**, 158–171 (2009).
- [83] Schinnerer, E. *et al.* The VLA-COSMOS Survey. II. Source Catalog of the Large Project. *ApJS* **172**, 46–69 (2007).
- [84] Heckman, T. M. *et al.* Present-Day Growth of Black Holes and Bulges: The Sloan Digital Sky Survey Perspective. *ApJ* **613**, 109–118 (2004).
- [85] Delvecchio, I. *et al.* A super-linear ‘radio-AGN main sequence’ links mean radio-AGN power and galaxy stellar mass since  $z \sim 3$ . *A&A* **668**, A81 (2022).
- [86] Duras, F. *et al.* Universal bolometric corrections for active galactic nuclei over seven luminosity decades. *A&A* **636**, A73 (2020).
- [87] Ciotti, L. & Ostriker, J. P. Radiative Feedback from Massive Black Holes in Elliptical Galaxies: AGN Flaring and Central Starburst Fueled by Recycled Gas. *ApJ* **665**, 1038–1056 (2007).
- [88] Schawinski, K., Koss, M., Berney, S. & Sartori, L. F. Active galactic nuclei flicker: an observational estimate of the duration of black hole growth phases of  $\sim 10^5$  yr. *MNRAS* **451**, 2517–2523 (2015).
- [89] Astropy Collaboration *et al.* The Astropy Project: Sustaining and Growing a Community-oriented Open-source Project and the Latest Major Release (v5.0) of the Core Package. *ApJ* **935**, 167 (2022).
- [90] Prochaska, J. X. *et al.* linetools/linetools: Third Minor Release. Zenodo (2017).
- [91] Earl, N. *et al.* astropy/specutils: v1.11.0. Zenodo (2023).

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge discussions with Marcella Brusa, Karl Glazebrook, Shri Kulkarni, Luca Ciotti, Andrea Ferrara, and Drew Newman. The Blue Jay Survey is funded in part by STScI Grant JWST-GO-01810. SB is supported by the the ERC Starting Grant “Red Cardinal”, GA 101076080. RD is supported by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All Sky Astrophysics in 3 Dimensions (ASTRO 3D), through project number CE170100013. RE acknowledges the support from grant numbers 21-atp21-0077, NSF AST-1816420, and HST-GO-16173.001-A as well as the Institute for Theory and Computation at the Center for Astrophysics. RW acknowledges funding of a Leibniz Junior Research Group (project number J131/2022)

This work is based on observations made with the NASA/ESA/CSA James Webb Space Telescope. The data were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-03127 for JWST. These observations are associated with program GO 1810. This work also makes use of observations taken by the 3D-HST Treasury Program (GO 12177 and 12328) with the NASA/ESA HST, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.

## Author Contributions

S.B. led the observational program, the analysis, and the writing of the manuscript. M.P. carried out the spectral fitting with *Prospector*. R.L.D. led the development of the outflow models. J.T.M. reduced the spectroscopic data. B.D.J. carried out the fit of the imaging data. C.C. first identified the neutral outflow in the NIRSpect data. All authors contributed to the planning and execution of the Blue Jay survey, to the interpretation of the results and to the writing of the manuscript.

## Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional Information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Sirio Belli (sirio.belli@unibo.it).